We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

## Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



#### Chapter

# Synthesis and Characterization of Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> for Data Storage

Kuldeep Chand Verma

#### Abstract

Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> deals with spintronic devices involved spin-charge processes and applicable in new non-volatile memory devices to store information for computing performance and the magnetic random access memories storage. Since multiferroic leads to the new generation memory devices for which the data can be written electrically and read magnetically. The main advantage of present study of multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is that to observe magnetoelectric effects at room temperature. The nanostructural growth (for both size and shape) of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> may depend on the selection of appropriate synthesis route, reaction conditions and heating processes. In pure BiFeO<sub>3</sub>, the ferroelectricity is induced by  $6s^2$  lone-pair electrons of Bi<sup>3+</sup> ions and the Gtype antiferromagnetic ordering resulting from Fe<sup>3+</sup> spins order of cycloidal (62-64 nm wavelength) occurred below Neel temperature,  $T_N = 640$  K. The multiferroicity of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is disappeared due to factors such as impurity phases, leakage current and low value of magnetization. Therefore, to overcome such factors to get multiferroic enhancement in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>, there are different possible ways like changes dopant ions and their concentrations, BiFeO<sub>3</sub> composites as well as thin films especially multilayers.

**Keywords:** electric-driven magnetic switching, chemical synthesis, magnetoelectric, ferroelectric polarization

#### 1. Introduction

Spintronic devices that electrically store non-volatile information are the potential candidates for high-performance, high-density memories due to their interdependence between magnetization and charge transport phenomenon. Since the capacitor stored information in the form of charges and the electric field moved these charges to transmit information. However, the magnetic recording is caused when the magnetic field used to read or write the information stored in the form of magnetization by measuring local orientation of spins. The behavior started to change in 1988, when the discovery of giant magnetoresistance provides a way for efficient control of charge transport through magnetization [1, 2], for example, the hard-disk recording. Hard disk drives (HDD) with a capacity of 10 MB were sold for ~\$5300 in the 1980s, and were unaffordable for many during Apple and IBM PC era. However, HDDs with 16 TB capacity are available at the time of writing (2020). The computers in 1980s had memory of hundreds of kB that recently 8 GB random access memory (RAM). Even mobile gadgets have a dynamic random access memory (DRAM) capacity of ~4 GB, at the time of writing. This is possible by use of

#### Bismuth - Fundamentals and Optoelectronic Applications

charge property of the electron as well the spintronics devices make use of the spin property of an electron. Flash memory is an example, as it is a non-volatile memory as used in mobile applications [3]. However, the electrically induced bistable magnetization switching at room temperature - a necessary requirement for magnetic data storage - is the multiferroics [4]. The room-temperature manipulation of magnetization by an electric field using the multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> represents an essential step toward magnetoelectric (ME) control for spintronics devices [5].

#### 1.1 Multiferroic memories for data storage

Multiferroic might hold the future for the ultimate memory device. The demonstration of a four-state resistive memory element in a tunnel junction with multiferroic barriers represents a major step in this direction [6–9]. For example, the thin films of lanthanum bismuth manganite remain ferromagnetic and ferroelectric down to thicknesses of 2 nm and, when used as a multiferroic tunneling junction, act as a four-state resistive system. Their spin-filter device as shown in Figure 1(a) is the tunnel junctions, which has tunnel barrier height is spin dependent because the bottom level of the conduction band in the ferromagnetic barrier is spin-split by exchange model. This allows the tunneling of electrons that to be efficiently filtered according to their spin. Gajek M et al. [10] suggested the large tunnel magnetoresistance in junctions that have a ferromagnetic electrode. The combination of these two effects - magnetoresistance plus electroresistance - yields a four-state resistive memory element. In comparison to the information stored in a capacitor, the resistive memories, on the other hand, can be read more simply, for example, by monitoring the source-drain current in a field-effect transistor. In order to make a multiple-state ME memory, one must be able to access the four states formed by electric polarization P and magnetization M, *i.e.* (+P, +M), (+P, -M), (-P, +M), and (-P, -M) [6].

#### 1.2 Spin-transfer-torque magnetic random access memory

The spin-transfer-torque magnetic random access memory (STT-MRAM) devices stored information due to use of magnetic orientation in the ferromagnetic



#### Figure 1.

(a) Tunnel junction (electrons tunnel from bottom electrode through barrier into top electrode): Schematic [6]. (b) Spin-transfer-torque magnetic random access memory (STT-MRAM) bit cell. A magnetic tunnel junction (I), a tunnel barrier (II) and a free-layer element (III), with both layers magnetized perpendicular to the plane of the junction (arrows). Bit is selected by a word line and transistor [7].

nanoparticles. For example, hard disk drives (use magnetic states to store information). In addition to hard disk drives, the STT-MRAM is a device that written and read electrically without any moving parts. The function of spin-transfer is to write information and such information is read by measuring the device resistance. The magnetoresistance plays role to measure percentage change in resistance between parallel and antiparallel magnetic spins of the electrodes of the magnetic tunnel junction. Such magnetic tunnel junction is made up by a ferromagnetic metal/ insulator/ferromagnetic material [7]. **Figure 1(b)** shows a 1-bit STT-MRAM cell constituted by free layer and reference layer that are magnetized perpendicular to the plane of the junction [7]. The cell is constituted with a word line with a transistor that required for each cell. The biasing voltage could operate the cell with respect to bit lines and such read bias voltage measured the cell resistance to determine the bit state to be low of 100 mV. However, the write bias voltage is higher to allow the magnetic moment of the free layer taken to be reversed by using spin transfer torque.

#### 1.3 Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> (BFO) has high Curie temperature,  $T_c \sim 1103$  K and Neel temperature,  $T_N \sim 643$  K results into simultaneously ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic orders at room temperature. The ferroelectricity in BFO is originated by  $6s^2$  lone pair electrons of Bi<sup>3+</sup> via structural distortion, however, magnetism resulted with Fe-O-Fe superexchange interactions [11]. But the reported study pointed out BFO with low spontaneous polarization and saturation magnetization because superimposition of a spiral spins structure of BFO by antiferromagnetic order. In such spiral spin structure, the antiferromagnetic axis rotates BFO crystal with 62 nm long wavelength, which cancels out the macroscopic magnetization as well as affects ME coupling value. The superexchange between the octahedrally coordinated Fe<sup>3+</sup> through the O ligand is responsible for the resulting antiferromagnetism. The presence of oxygen vacancies and the valence fluctuation (Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Fe<sup>3+</sup>) believed to be the main disadvantages causing large electrical leakage in BFO.

#### 1.3.1 BiFeO<sub>3</sub> structure

The BFO structure is characterized with two distorted perovskite units connected by their body diagonal to build a rhombohedral unit cell as shown in **Figure 2(a)**. For such BFO structure, the two octahedral oxygen connected along (111) plane are rotated clockwise and counterclockwise at 13.8°. However, Fe ion is shifted 0.135 Å along same axis from oxygen that present at the octahedral position. The large displacement of Bi ions with respect to the FeO<sub>6</sub> responsible to induced ferroelectric polarization [12–14]. The spins in this BFO structure are incommensurate to form antiferromagnetic order. There is also some canting moments to give weak ferromagnetism due to Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) effect because the moments may oriented perpendicular to the (111) polarization direction to influence symmetry properties.

#### 1.3.2 Lone-pair mechanism supporting multiferroicity

The spatial asymmetry that caused by anisotropic unbounded valence electrons around Bi<sup>3+</sup> might to give lone pair mechanism (**Figure 2(b)**) responsible into room temperature ferroelectric polarization of BFO [12]. In BiFeO<sub>3</sub>, a pair of Bi<sup>3+</sup> valence electrons of the 6 *s* orbital not involved *sp* hybridization to generates a local dipole which resulting into ~100  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup> ferroelectric polarization below T<sub>C</sub> = 1103 K. A long-range periodic antiferromagnetic structure arises below T<sub>N</sub> = 643 K. The main



#### Figure 2.

(a) Rhombohedral (R3c) structure of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. (b) Ferroelectricity of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> due to lone-pair electrons (iso-surface: red) [12]. (c) Pseudocubic unit cell of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>, (d) spin cycloid of canted antiferromagnetic alignments [13].

driving force of the ferroelectric phase transitions seems to be the stereochemical activity of the Bi 6 s lone-pair, resulting into a displacement of Bi and O sublattices. However, the Fe sublattice is also displaced and makes a sizeable contribution to the total electric polarization.

#### 1.3.3 Ferroelectric and magnetic order in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

Bulk BiFeO<sub>3</sub> crystallized into a slightly distorted rhombohedral structure which commonly described by the pseudocubic unit cell (**Figure 2(c)**). The displacement of Bi ions relative to the FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedra gives rise to a strong ferroelectric polarization (100  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup>) along one of the [111] directions [13]. However, the magnetization in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> involved G-type antiferromagnet order with a cycloidal wavelength,  $\lambda \sim 62-64$  nm was investigated by high-resolution neutron diffraction. As shown in **Figure 2(d)**, the normalized position of the spin cycloid propagation and the ferroelectric polarization vector might to induce ME coupling effect.

#### 2. Synthesis of multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The some synthesis methods used for  $BiFeO_3$  are summarized in **Table 1**. Some of the listed methods are the derivatives of wet-chemical that deals with chemical reactions in the solution phase using precursors at proper stoichiometric conditions. Each wet-chemical synthesis route differs from the others in the sense that one cannot find a general rule for these kinds of synthesis approaches. Such wet synthesis schemes mostly used for fabrication of 2D nanomaterials. The wet-chemical processes offer a high degree of controllability and reproducibility of the 2D nanostructures. The solvothermal synthesis, template synthesis are wet-chemical routes. However, the solvothermal and hydrothermal processes are mostly used to synthesize 2D nanostructures due to their simple and scalable steps.

Synthesis method	Reaction time	Precursor salts	Reaction condition	Shape control	Shape/size	Ref.
Wet chemical methods	Hours	Bi (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub> used to adjust pH, Ethylene glycol & carboxylic acid are a polymerizing agent, annealed at 400°C/2 h	Good	13-70 nm particles	[15, 16]
Sol-gel method	Hours/ day	Bi <sub>5</sub> H <sub>9</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>22</sub> , Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	Precursor concentration adjusted in 0.05-0.2 M using acetic acid & ethylene alcohol, annealed at 200-500°C	Good	10 nm particles	[17]
Co- precipitation method	Minutes	Fe (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O, Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	NaOH used as a precipitating agent, maintain pH 12, annealed at 400-600°C/1 h	Poor	200-250 nm particles	[18]
Hydrothermal process	Hours/ days	Bi (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O, FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	Precursor salts dissolved in acetone, pH adjusted 10-11 by ammonia solution, Precursor solution transferred into teflon-lined steel autoclave and heated at 180°C for 72 h	Very good	45-200 nm diameter wires	[19]
Solution evaporation method	Hours	Fe (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O, Bi (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, HNO <sub>3</sub>	Tartaric acid and nitric acid used as precipitating and oxidizing agent, crystallization of the final powder take- place at 650°C/2 h	Good	22-31 nm particles	[20]
Microwave- assisted hydrothermal synthesis	Minutes	Bi (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	NaOH solution and polyethylene glycol were added to the precursors to obtain brown precipitates, solution irradiated by 300 W of MW irradiation for 30 min at 190°C with 2450 Hz	Good	20 nm diameter wires	[21, 22]
Self-catalyzed fast reaction process	Hours	α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ,	5 mol of tartaric acid ( $C_4H_6O_6$ ) added to the precursors and heated at 250°C to begin to ignite and violently burn, final powder annealed at 650°C/2 h	Poor	100 nm particles	[23]
Conventional solid state	Hours/ days	Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Synthesis of $BiFeO_3$ powder from $Bi_2O_3$	Poor	100-200 nm particles	[24]

Syn met	thesis hod	Reaction time	Precursor salts	Reaction condition	Shape control	Shape/size	Ref.
read thim dep BiFe CoF	etion and a film osition of eO <sub>3</sub> - Pe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>			and $Fe_2O_3$ and heated at 800°C, $CoFe_2O_4$ powder prepared from $Co_3O_4$ and $Fe_2O_3$ using ball milling for 24 hours and heated at 1200°C/3 h, RF magnetron sputtering used for thin film deposition			
Che com met	emical abustion hod	Hours	Bi(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	Precursor solution mixed in polyethylene glycol, Urea added at 70°C and the combustion take-place, annealed at 600°C/5 h	Poor	50-500 nm nanostructures	[12]
Poly dire solv	ymer- octed rothermal	Hours	Bi(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	Precursor salt dissolved in HNO <sub>3</sub> and dipped by ethanol containing 1 g of PVP, and added 1.2 g of NaOH, transferred precursor solution into Teflon liner steel autoclave and heated at 180°C/6 h	Very good	1-D nanoparticles- assembled microrods	[25]
Sol- tem proc	gel plate cess	Hours/ days	Bi (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> . 9H <sub>2</sub> O	Porous nanochannel alumina (NCA) templates used, precursor salts mixed in nitric acid to get transparent, Citric acid & deionized water added, pH adjusted to be natural by using ammonia, urea added in 1/20th ratio, NCA templates added and heated at 80°C/20 h and annealed at 650° C/5 h	Very good	Nanotubes 150- 190 nm	[26]
Son tech	ochemical inique	Hours	Bi(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O, Mn (OOCCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O, Cr (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	In sonicated solution of Bi and Fe, add 5 ml of tetraethylene glycol and sonicated for 10 min, pH adjusted to 8 by adding ammonia and irradiated with a high intensity (100 W cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Good	Nanorods diameter 20-50 nm	[27]

Synthesis method	Reaction time	Precursor salts	Reaction condition	Shape control	Shape/size	Ref.
			ultrasonic radiation of 20 kHz, final product heated at 400°C/1 h			
Anodized alumina template technique	Hours	Bi(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·9H <sub>2</sub> O	Precursor salts are mixed in 2- methoxyethanol, pH 4-5 by adding 2- methoxyethanol and nitric acid, anodized aluminum oxide template immersed in precursors for 12 h and heated at 750°C/12 h	Very good	Wires ~50 nm in diameter	[28]
Sol-gel based electrospinning	Hours	Bi(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O, Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	Precursors salts are neutralized with 2- methoxyethanol, pH 3-4 adjusted with Ethanolamine, Ethanol, glacial acetic acid, and poly vinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) added, solution was electrospun and the ultrafine fibers spun were collected in glass flake or Pt/Ti/ SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si substrate, final heating at 550° C/2 h	Very good	Nanofiber diameter in 100-300 nm	[29]

#### Table 1.

List the synthesis methods used to fabricate BiFeO<sub>3</sub>.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Structural analysis of BiFeO3

3.1.1 X-ray diffraction of Pb substituted BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

**Figure 3(a)** shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of  $\text{Bi}_{1-x}\text{Pb}_x\text{FeO}_3$  [x = 0 (BFO), 0.05 (BPFO5), 0.075 (BPFO75) and 0.1 (BPFO10)] nanostructures measured at room temperature [11]. All reflections are indexed to a rhombohedral structure of *R3c* space group. This is based on the character of the single (012) peak at around 22° and the splitting of the (104) and (110) peaks around 32°. The splitting of XRD peaks indicate the structural distortion due to tilting of FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedrons. The calculated lattice constants are a(Å) = 5.578, 5.577, 5.573 and 5.574 and c(Å) = 13.862, 13.893, 13.905 and 13.915, respectively for BFO, BPFO5, BPFO75 and BPFO10. The increase in lattice constant, c and decrease in a may be due to change in Fe-O bond lengths and Fe-O-Fe bond angles which have a significant effect on multiferroic properties.



Figure 3.

(a) XRD pattern of  $Bi_{1-x}Pb_xFeO_3$  multiferroic [11]. (b) Glancing angle X-ray diffraction (GAXRD) pattern of  $BiFeO_3/BaTiO_3$  [thickness of BTO = 100 nm; while BFO = 50 nm (BFBT-5), 100 nm (BFBT-10), 150 nm (BFBT-15), and 200 nm (BFBT-20)] [30]. (c) Raman spectra of  $BiFeO_3$  [31].

3.1.2 Crystalline structure of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> bilayer interface

Glancing angle XRD patterns, recorded at incident angle 1°, on different BFO/BTO bilayer thin films sputtered on Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si(100) substrates shown in **Figure 3(b)** [30]. Both BFO and BTO layers were found to be polycrystalline in nature without any impurity phase. The (110) plane of BFO appeared in  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  mode only which was not be observed in GAXRD mode. It can be inferred that the bottom BTO layer promotes the formation of pure perovskite phase and high degree of (110) orientation in film texture.

#### 3.1.3 Raman spectra of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles

The Raman spectrum of BFO obtained using 488 nm excitation wavelength depicted in **Figure 3(c)** [31]. The spectra have been deconvoluted into 10 individual components for BFO (3 A modes and 7 E modes). It was studied by DFT calculation of first principle that the low frequency Raman modes below 167 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to Bi atoms, and the modes between 152 and 262 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to Fe atoms [31].

However, oxygen atoms dominated with higher frequency modes above 262 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The shifting and broadening of Raman modes with standard values suggests the presence of disorder and oxygen vacancies, and the internal microstrain due to Fe ions which might to change resulting magnetism.

#### 3.2 Microstructural studies of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

#### 3.2.1 FESEM image of Bi<sub>0.9</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub>

**Figure 4(a)** shows the FESEM image of  $Bi_{0.9}Pb_{0.1}FeO_3$  nanostructure [11]. The diameter of the nanorods,  $D = 125 \pm 4$  nm, and length,  $L = 900 \pm 20$  nm. However for pure BFO, the nanoparticles ( $D = 75 \pm 2$  nm) are formed [11]. This morphological variation with Pb doping into BFO is explained due to variation in the valence states of Fe ions due to oxygen vacancies. The substitution of Pb<sup>2+</sup> into Bi<sup>3+</sup> ions induces Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions in the BFO matrix that can influence lattice defects (oxygen vacancies) in the rhombohedral BFO phase. It results into an anisotropic growth along the *c*-axis.

#### 3.2.2 BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> self-assembled nanocomposite

**Figure 4(b)** shows a top-view SEM image of a square array with period 83 nm [32]. The bright rectangular islands visible in this image correspond to (111)-faceted tops of the  $CoFe_2O_4$  pillars, while the darker area corresponds to single crystal



#### Figure 4.

(a) FESEM image of  $Bi_{0.9}Pb_{0.1}FeO_3$  [11]. (b) Top-view SEM image of BFO-CFO nanocomposite [32]. (c and d) AFM surface images of BTO33/BFO67 and BTO67/BFO33 [33]. (e) STEM dark-field image of (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub>) × 15 grown on MgO [34].

 $BiFeO_3$  matrix. This BFO-CFO nanocomposite was grown by pulsed laser deposition. The film thickness was between 50 and 100 nm.

#### 3.2.3 Atomic force microscopy images of BTO/BFO thin films

The BiFeO<sub>3</sub> and BaTiO<sub>3</sub> were used to grow homogeneous composite thin films and multilayer heterostructures with 15 double layers by pulsed laser deposition [33]. The thin films are composites grown directly from mixed PLD targets with 67 wt% BTO/33 wt% BFO (BTO67/BFO33) and 33 wt% BTO/67 wt% BFO (BTO33/ BFO67), respectively. **Figure 4(c)** and **(d)** are the AFM surface images of these two different composite films and the nanoparticle sizes are in the ranges 40-100 nm and 100-200 nm, respectively.

#### 3.2.4 Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

Multiferroic (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub>) × 15 multilayer heterostructures show high ME coefficients,  $\alpha_{ME}$  up to 24 V cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> at 300 K [34]. The STEM and SAED mapping results of the (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub>) × 15 multilayer are depicted in **Figure 4(e)**. The STEM cross section is shown 15 double layers BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub> at smooth interfaces. The octahedral tilt involves both clockwise and counter clockwise rotations around [111], which is parallel to ferroelectric dipole displacements to *R3m* phase. The difference between the lattice parameters of the tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and rhombohedral BiFeO<sub>3</sub> layers can be detected by reflection splitting along the growth direction (inset in **Figure 4(e)** top).

#### 3.3 Ferroelectric behavior of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is a rhombohedrally distorted perovskite material, which means that the ferroelectric polarization can have orientation along the four pseudo-cubic diagonals (<111>) [35–38]. The largest relative displacements are those of Bi relative to O, consistent with a stereochemically active Bi lone pair that might to induce ferroelectricity of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>.

#### 3.3.1 Ferroelectric polarization of Pb doped BiFeO3 nanoparticles

The ferroelectric polarization of multiferroic  $Bi_{1-x}Pb_xFeO_3$  nanostructures is given in **Table 2** [11]. In BFO, the lone-pair orbital of  $Bi^{3+}$  (6s<sup>2</sup>) is stereochemically active and responsible for ferroelectric distortion. Here, the distortion is induced by Pb doping and therefore, by tuning the lone-pair activity. Generally, the ferroelectric behavior is weakened due to an increase in oxygen vacancies that form more

Sample		$P_s$	P <sub>r</sub>	E <sub>c</sub>	J		8	MC (%	6)	T <sub>FE</sub>	
		$(\mu C \ cm^{-2})$	$(\mu C cm^{-2})$	(kV cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$(\mu A \text{ cm}^2)$	1 kHz	1 MHz	1 kHz	1 MHz	(K)	
BF	0	0.75	0.35	7.66	10.31	6.2	5.1	0.68	0.61	644	
BP	FO5	1.76	0.63	6.03	6.27	1.9	1.8	2.56	1.59	631	
BP	F075	3.55	1.57	8.55	2.72	13	10.6	3.61	0.36	649	
BP	FO10	6.73	2.63	5.06	1.57	34	12	0.86	0.11	629	

Table 2.

Values of spontaneous polarization ( $P_s$ ), remanent polarization ( $P_r$ ), electric coercivity ( $E_c$ ), current density (J) at 20 kV cm<sup>-1</sup>, dielectric constant ( $\varepsilon$ ) and MC at 1 kHz and 1 MHz, and ferroelectric phase transition ( $T_{FE}$ ) at 1 MHz for Bi<sub>1 - x</sub>Pb<sub>x</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> [x = 0 (BFO), 0.05 (BPFO5), 0.075 (BPFO75) and 0.1 (BPFO10)] nanostructures [11].

free electrons. It resulting into a higher conductivity and hence have a harmful influence on the ferroelectricity. The Pb doping into BFO could increase the grain size as well the oriented growth (nanorod-type) in the samples. From **Table 2**, there is a considerable reduction in the leakage current of BFO upon Pb<sup>2+</sup> doping, which indicates reduction in oxygen vacancies.

#### 3.3.2 Ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties of epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin film

**Figure 5(a)** and **(b)** shows the ferroelectric polarization and piezoelectric behavior of epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin film [35]. The films display a room-temperature spontaneous polarization (50 to 60  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup>) almost an order of magnitude higher than that of the bulk (6.1  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup>). These results leads to the observations of



#### Figure 5.

(a) A ferroelectric hysteresis of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin film at 15 kHz. (b) a small signal  $d_{33}$  for a 50-µm capacitor [35]. (c) Room temperature PE loops of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> trilayer thin films having different BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thicknesses [36]. Temperature dependent relative permittivity ( $\varepsilon_r$ ) of (d) BiFeO<sub>3</sub> (e) Bi<sub>0.925</sub>Pb<sub>0.075</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> [11]. (f) Frequencies dependent dielectric permittivity at different temperatures for Bi(Co<sub>0.4</sub>Ti<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>0.2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (insets shows lower temperature behavior) [37].

heteroepitaxial, in-plane compressive stress imposed by the epitaxial bottom electrode allows growth of a monoclinic crystal structure in BFO, and the degree of compressive stress progressively decreases with increasing BFO thickness. The piezoelectric hysteresis loop shows a remanent out-of-plane piezoelectric coefficient  $(d_{33})$  value 70 pm V<sup>-1</sup>, representing the piezoresponse of the film in the fully clamped state.

#### 3.3.3 Ferroelectric hysteresis of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub>

**Figure 5(c)** represents polarization hysteresis loops of trilayer films of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/ BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> measured at 50 kV cm<sup>-1</sup> applied electric field frequency of 10 kHz [36]. This trilayer thin film was prepared by RF-magnetron sputtering technique at different thicknesses of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> layer. The thickness of BTO layer is 20 nm at the top and bottom, and the middle layer BFO is deposited with thicknesses of 20 nm (B-2), 40 nm (B-4), 60 nm (B-6), and 80 nm (B-8), respectively. The film showed maximum remnant electric polarization (2P<sub>r</sub>) of 13.5  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup> and saturation magnetization (M<sub>s</sub>) of 61 emu cc<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature. The ferroelectric polarization was found to be improved with increasing thickness of BFO layer may be attributed to the reduced oxygen vacancies.

#### 3.4 Dielectric properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

#### 3.4.1 Frequency dependent dielectric properties of Bi(Co<sub>0.4</sub>Ti<sub>0.4</sub>Fe<sub>0.2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub>

**Figure 5(f)** correlates the dielectric permittivity versus frequency plot at temperatures from 300 to 773 K of Bi( $Co_{0.4}Ti_{0.4}Fe_{0.2}$ )O<sub>3</sub> multiferroic [37]. As the value of dielectric permittivity decreases upon increasing frequency, its nature could be described by Koop's hypothesis and Maxwell-Wagner mechanism. The nano grains with highly resistive grain boundaries might exist in an inhomogeneous medium from which the application of electric field constructs space charge polarization. With low frequency, the grain boundaries influence is more dominant to cause dispersion in dielectric properties. However, the higher frequency reduces the space charge polarization impact because the slow traveling species are not capable to trace an applied electric field.

#### 3.4.2 Temperature dependent dielectric permittivity of Pb:BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

**Figure 5(d)** and **(e)** shows the temperature dependent relative permittivity ( $\varepsilon_r$ ) for BiFeO<sub>3</sub> and Bi<sub>0.925</sub>Pb<sub>0.075</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> (BPFO75) multiferroics [11]. The value of  $\varepsilon_r$  starts to increase with temperature because of T<sub>C</sub> for pure BFO is 1103 K. This change in  $\varepsilon_r$  at 600-650 K for both Pb:BFO samples occurs due to occurrence of T<sub>N</sub>. For pure BFO, the value of T<sub>N</sub> is 643 K. The value of the ferroelectric phase transition (T<sub>FE</sub>) is 644 and 649, respectively, for BFO and BPFO75. This observation is an anomaly in the phase transition; T<sub>FE</sub> around T<sub>N</sub> confirms the ME coupling, which must be correlated with inverse DM-type interactions and Landau-Devonshire theory of phase transition. The variation in phase transition temperature with frequency for Pb:BFO nanostructures (inset of **Figure 5(d)** and **(e)**) indicates the emergence of the relaxor behavior which explained with an increase cation disorder in the B-site and Bi-site substitution by Pb<sup>2+</sup>.

#### 3.5 Magnetization in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The magnetization of  $BiFeO_3$  is reported in the refs. [39–44]. The cycloidal model of spin ordering in BFO is distorted at low temperatures. Any break to the

cycloidal spin structure could induce uncompensated spins, enhancing the magnetization [45].

#### 3.5.1 Magnetization in multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> and Bi<sub>0.9</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub>

The ferromagnetic behavior of Pb substituted BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is reported in ref. [11] that the maximum value of magnetization, M = 4.73, 8.41, 2.62 and 8.99 emu g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for BFO, BPFO5, BPFO75 and BPFO10. The origin of the variation of magnetization is analyzed with temperature dependent zero field (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) magnetization is shown in **Figure 6(a)**. The splitting of the ZFC/FC curves usually appears as the co-existent system of the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic phases. With nanostructural dimensions of less than 62 nm, there is a possibility of modification to the cycloidal spin structure of BFO, and that can lead to weak room temperature ferromagnetism. The sharp cusp observed around 65 and 79 K, respectively, for BFO and BPFO10 nanostructures in the ZFC curve is represented by the blocking temperature (T<sub>B</sub> which may attribute via superparamagnetic relaxation, glass transition, T<sub>N</sub> for antiferromagnetic-



#### Figure 6.

(a) Magnetization (M) as a function of temperature (T) following ZFC and FC at H = 500 Oe for BiFeO<sub>3</sub> (BFO) and Bi<sub>0.9</sub>Pb<sub>0.1</sub>FeO<sub>3</sub> (BFFO10) multiferroics. The respective insets are  $\chi^{-1}(T)$  following Curie-Weiss law. (a: right) The temperature dependent real part of the ac magnetic susceptibility ( $\chi'_{ac}$ ) at T = 5-200 K ( $H_{ac} = 2.5$  Oe without any dc field bias) [11]. (b) Magnetic hysteresis at 300 K for BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles with different nano-sizes. Inset shows the corresponding magnetization at 50 kOe as a function of 1/d. (c) Respective ZFC and FC curves at 200 Oe [39]. (d) Magnetic hysteresis of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles grafted on graphene nanosheets (BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-g-GNS) [40].

ferromagnetic transition). The enhancement in magnetization is explained by Coey JMD *et al.* [46] model of F-center exchange mechanism where spinpolarized electrons were trapped at oxygen vacancies to cause higher magnetic moments. The upward curvature in FC curve of M(T) measurements of Pb:BFO suggested a Curie-Weiss like behavior **Figure 6(a)** (inset). The estimated value of  $\theta$  is found to be negative which indicate to the formation of antiferromagnetic interactions.

The *ac* magnetic susceptibility of Pb substituted BFO is measured at 1 Hz, 100 Hz, 1 kHz 10 kHz, and the temperature dependent real ( $\chi'_{ac}$ ) *ac* magnetic susceptibility ( $\chi_{ac}$ ) is shown in **Figure 6(a)**(right). The applied oscillating field,  $H_{ac} = 2.5$  Oe without any *dc* bias in T = 5-200 K. A quite sharp cusp is observed in both the samples. This *ac* magnetic measurement at different frequencies revealed the peak positions of  $\chi'_{ac}$ (T) curve shift toward higher temperature and the peak magnitudes drop down with rising frequency. Such behavior is expected for a spin glass system. The dynamic susceptibility measurements can thus be used to confirm such spin glass or superparamagnetic by using frequency dependence of  $T_{f}(\omega)$  in the expression,  $\Delta p = \frac{\Delta T_f}{T_f \Delta(\log \omega)}$ . The calculated peak shift ( $\Delta p$ ) per decade of frequency shift has a value 0.014 and 0.019, respectively, for BFO and BPFO10. These values of  $\Delta p$  are lower than those observed for superparamagnetic system ( $\Delta p$  is ~0.1.54).

#### 3.5.2 Nano size dependent magnetism of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The SQUID results as shown in **Figure 6(b)** suggest that a magnetic response in  $BiFeO_3$  can be initiated when the size of the system is less than about 95 nm [39]. A plot of the magnetization, measured at the maximum applied field of  $H_{appl} \sim 50$  kOe as a function of 1/d, is given (inset of **Figure 6(b)**). Neel L [47] attributed the magnetic moment of small antiferromagnetic particles for the incomplete magnetic compensation between these two spin sublattices. For single-domain antiferromagnetic particles, the magnetization is expected to scale as  $\sim 1/d$ (diameter), that is, as the surface to volume ratio [39]. For particles ranging in diameter from 95 to 41 nm, a linear dependence is observed, indicating that the simple Neel model is applicable [48]. The smallest nanoparticle is 14 nm that deviates from the expected behavior which indicates that such 14 nm nanoparticle may diminish the model for superposition of an antiferromagnetic core and a ferromagnetic surface. The maximum magnetization, obtained as  $M_s \sim 1.55$  emu g<sup>-1</sup> for the 14 nm particles. Figure 6(c) shows the magnetization measurements as a function of temperature at an applied field strength of 200 Oe following ZFC and FC process. It is noted that the apparent sharp cusps observed in the magnetization curves at 50 K are reproducible for BFO samples with particle dimensions over 95 nm (e.g. 245 nm and bulk). For BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles possessing diameters of ≤95 nm, associated data curves exhibit a broad magnetization maximum around  $T_{max}$  = 85 K.  $T_{max}$  represents a spin-glasslike freezing temperature due to high packing volume fraction as well as a complex interplay between finite size effects, interparticle interactions, and a random distribution of anisotropy axes.

#### 3.5.3 Temperature dependent magnetization of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-g-GNS nanoparticles

Magnetic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> grafted on graphene nanosheets (BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-*g*-GNS) is studied using SQUID VSM with an applied field of 5 T at different 10, 297 and 380 K **Figure 6(d)** [40]. Magnetic moments of nanoparticles get distorted at higher temperature. The maximum observed value of magnetization is 2.52 emu g<sup>-1</sup> at 10 K, while the minimum is 1.78 emu g<sup>-1</sup> at 380 K. At room temperature,

magnetization measured to be 1.98 emu g<sup>-1</sup>. These values well matched with reported data [49]. It means the magnetic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> are not compromised on GNS grafting.

#### 3.5.4 Magnetization of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (BFO-CFO) bulk heterojunction

Figure 7(a) exhibits the magnetic hysteresis in isotropic magnetic behavior along in plane and out of plane directions [41]. The value of  $M_s$  of BFO-CFO/mica is  $\sim$ 237 emu cm<sup>-3</sup> with  $H_c \sim$  2 kOe, which is smaller than from epitaxial CFO/STO ( $\sim$ 3 kOe). This may due to the effect of an effective relaxation of clamping from the mica substrate.

3.5.5 Ferromagnetism in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> bilayer interface

**Figure 7(b)** shows the ferromagnetic behavior of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (BTO thickness = 100 nm; BFO = 50 nm (BFBT-5), 100 nm (BFBT-10), 150 nm (BFBT-15), and 200 nm (BFBT-20) films [30]. The observed ferromagnetism in the bilayer thin films can be interpreted due to creation of unbalanced spins at the interface. Maximum magnetization value  $M_s \sim 33$  emu cc<sup>-1</sup> was observed in BFBT-5. The value of saturation magnetization is 20 emu cc<sup>-1</sup> for BFBT-10 sample which is higher than for those observed in BFBT-15 (15 emu cc<sup>-1</sup>) and BFBT-20 (8 emu cc<sup>-1</sup>). This is because with smaller antiferromagnetic nanoparticles, the size reduction has incomplete surface compensation of long-range antiferromagnetic ordering which result into increase magnetic moment at comparatively smaller size nanograins.



Figure 7.

(a) M-H hysteresis for BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> composite at room temperature [41]. (b) M-H loops of bilayer BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> thin films [30].

#### 3.6 Magnetocapacitance

The ME behavior due to Magnetocapacitance (MC) effect in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is given in the refs. [50–54]. The MC effect is the change in the capacitance with an external applied magnetic field. This MC/magnetodielectric effect has a resistive origin that arises from the Maxwell-Wagner effect and magnetoresistance [55].

#### 3.6.1 Dielectric constant and MC of Pb substituted BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

**Table 2** shows the magnetic field affected dielectric constant of Pb:BFO nanostructures measured at room temperature. The frequency dependent relative permittivity ( $\varepsilon_r$ ) of Pb:BFO in the frequency region 20 Hz-10 MHz under *dc* magnetic field (H = 0, 1 kOe) is given [11]. From **Table 2**, the improvement in dielectric constant with the substitution of Pb<sup>2+</sup> for Bi<sup>3+</sup> provides a larger vibration space to a larger dipole moment. Besides the oxygen vacancies due to ionic formation of Fe<sup>2+</sup>/ Fe<sup>3+</sup> valence states, the shape/size of BFO grains might to influence the dielectric behaviors [11]. It is also observed from **Table 2** that the capacitance varies with applying magnetic field of 1 kOe, which indicate a positive/negative MC effect. The applied magnetic field leads to local stresses (or strains) and consequently changes in the polarization of the ferroelectric phase due to the piezoelectric effect. The values of MC {[ $\varepsilon(H) - \varepsilon(0) = \Delta\varepsilon$ ]/ $\varepsilon(0$ } at a frequency of 1 MHz is 0.61, 1.59, 0.36 and 0.11%, respectively, calculated for BFO, BPFO5, BPFO75 and BPFO10 multiferroic.

#### 3.6.2 Magnetocapacitance effect in BiFe<sub>0.95</sub>Sc<sub>0.05</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The magnetic field dependent capacitance for  $BiFe_{0.95}Sc_{0.05}O_3$  system to induce MC effect at 30 kHz is shown in **Figure 8(a)** [50]. The value of MC is 0.04% at applied magnetic field of 5 T which is higher than from pure BFO (0.007%). This type of MC behavior might be correlated with  $P^2M^2$  in a Ginzburg-Landau free energy leads to a quadratic dependent dielectric constant in respect to magnetization. The observed results of MC in **Figure 8(a)** have magnetization-linear dielectric behavior which may proportional to  $P^2M$  of a linear MC effect.

#### 3.6.3 Piezoelectric properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/Na<sub>0.5</sub>Bi<sub>4.5</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> composite films

Lead-free BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/Na<sub>0.5</sub>Bi<sub>4.5</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> (BFO/NBTO) composite films were deposited on Pt(100)/Ti/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates using chemical solution deposition [51]. A giant ME voltage coefficient has maximum  $\alpha_E = 136$  mV cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> at H<sub>bias</sub> = 8.0 kOe. **Figure 8(b)** shows the piezoelectric coefficient (d<sub>33</sub>) and surface displacement (d) vs. applied voltage (V) for BFO/NBTO films. A typical butterfly curve from D-V characteristics shows maximum value 4.06 nm at 15 V of 2.63% highest ratio of strain. The occurrence of d<sub>33</sub>-V piezoelectric hysteresis from D-V curve is the result converse piezoelectric effect. The d<sub>33</sub>-V loop clearly shows that BFO/NBTO composite films are switchable and the ferroelectricity is retained. The piezoelectric coefficient d<sub>33</sub> of BFO/NBTO films is as high as 285 pm V<sup>-1</sup> at 20 V, which suggests the strong piezoelectric effect for BFO/NBTO films.

#### 3.6.4 Magnetostriction of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>0.8</sub>FeO<sub>2.7</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, and BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>

The magnetostriction of BFO-BTO,  $B_{0.8}FO_{2.7}$ -BTO, and  $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$  ceramics was measured using a resistive strain gauge when a dc magnetic field was applied to the materials (**Figure 8(c)**) [52]. A contraction induced by the magnetic field is

Synthesis and Characterization of Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> for Data Storage DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94049



#### Figure 8.

(a) Magnetocapacitance of  $BiFe_{0.95}Sc_{0.05}O_3$  [50]. (b) Piezoelectric response of  $BiFeO_3/Na_{0.5}Bi_{4.5}Ti_4O_{15}$  (BFO/NBTO) composite [51]. (c) Magnetostriction of  $BiFeO_3$ -BaTiO<sub>3</sub>,  $Bi_{0.8}FeO_{2.7}$ -BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, and  $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$  measured at room temperature [52]. (d) P-E hysteresis under 0-0.6 T field for BFO-BTO nanoparticles. (Inset of d) P ~ 0 at 0.6 T [53]. PFM study on  $BiFeO_3$  thin film: (e) AFM (f) amplitude image (g) amplitude behavior at an applied bias [54].

observed, and at 6 kOe, the contraction is approximately 20 ppm. BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> is a ferromagnetic material and can have a magnetostrictive response. However, due to the weak ferromagnetic nature of the BFO-BTO ceramics, it was difficult to detect the strain below 6 kOe. Because only a small amount of BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> is generated in the Bi-deficient ceramics, the magnetostriction is also very small in the materials.

#### 3.6.5 ME coupling: ferroelectric polarization in an applied magnetic field

The mechanism for the spin driven ferroelectricity must be involved the spincurrent model or inverse DM interaction due to the local electric polarization,  $p \propto e_{ij} \times (S_i \times S_j)$ , where  $e_{ij}$  is the unit vector of adjacent spins,  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  [56]. These cycloidal spin structures produced the macroscopic electric polarization P due to helicity of spins. The ferroelectric hysteresis under an external magnetic field and the flop near 0.6 T reveal a strong ME coupling in BFO-BTO multiferroics as shown in **Figure 8(d)** [53]. Without applying H, values of  $P_{max} = 24.80 \ \mu C \ cm^{-2}$ ,  $P_r = 15.13 \ \mu C \ cm^{-2}$  and  $E_c = 53.6 \ kV \ cm^{-1}$  are observed. Switching from  $+P_r$  to  $-P_r$  by E, and magnetic switching from  $+P_r$  to zero at 0.6 T have been observed. The inset of **Figure 8(d)** shows a low polarization response with lossy hysteresis of BFO-BTO composite at 0.6 T, which may cause by the electrode. When a magnetic field is applied to a ME material, the material is under strain to induce a stress on the piezoelectrics (ferroelectric) to orient ferroelectric domains, leading to enhance polarization.

#### 3.6.6 ME effect in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> by PFM

**Figure 8(e)** shows AFM, out of plane piezoresponse amplitude of the BFO thin film which leads to agglomeration of the nanoparticles of average size 10 nm [55]. It can be seen from **Figure 8(f)** that BFO nanoparticles exhibits positive and negative polarization components and the ferroelectric domains are constrained at grain boundaries. The PFM amplitude under bias voltage is shown in **Figure 8(g)**. It observed ferroelectric hysteresis for dc voltage sweeps in +0.5 V to -0.5 V to +0.5 V which indicates that sub - 5 nm BFO nanoparticles retains their ferroelectric behavior that might be usable for read-write operation.

#### 3.7 Magnetoelectric (ME) coupling

#### 3.7.1 ME coupling in $Bi_{0.88}$ Dy<sub>0.12</sub>Fe<sub>0.97</sub>Ti<sub>0.03</sub>O<sub>3+ $\delta$ </sub> (BDFO) and BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

The ME effect of BFO and BDFO was measured, and the results are shown in **Figure 9(a)** [57]. It can be seen that the pure BiFeO<sub>3</sub> exhibits no ME signal under bias magnetic field because spatially incommensurately modulated spin structure that cancels out the linear ME effect. In contrast, BDFO exhibits a strong ME signal under bias magnetic field at 300 K due to the ME coefficient  $\alpha_E$ -magnetic field ( $\alpha_E$ -H) hysteresis to include the features of saturation at field of 250 Oe. This is because the switching of electric polarization by either 109° or 71° leads to switching of the ferroelastic domain states [58–60]. The incorporation of Dy<sup>3+</sup> into BFO suppresses the spiral spin structure, leads to weak ferromagnetism. Because the magnetic moment lags behind the variation of magnetic field, the electric polarization induced by magnetic field through ME effect is also lagged behind the variation of magnetic field, giving  $\alpha_E(H)$  hysteresis.

#### 3.7.2 ME coupling of $15 \times (10 \text{ nm BaTiO}_3 - 5 \text{ nm BiFeO}_3)$

The ME coefficient was measured as a function of dc bias field in **Figure 9(b)** for  $15 \times (10 \text{ nm BaTiO}_3-5 \text{ nm BiFeO}_3)$  [58]. The ME coefficient reaches its maximum, off - resonance, value of 60.2 V cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> at a bias field of 2 T. This higher value is described due to the rotation of the oxygen octahedra with the antiferrodistortion vector  $\Omega$  and shows that the linear ME effect depends on the derivative of  $\Omega$  with respect to the external field. This leads to giant values of  $\alpha_{ME}$ .

#### 3.7.3 ME coupling of $15 \times [BaTiO_3/BiFeO_3]$ multilayer

The effect of strain and interfaces on the ME coupling in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> was studied for a number of thin films and multilayers of  $15 \times [BaTiO_3/BiFeO_3]$  as shown in **Figure 9(c)** [33, 59]. To this end, a direct longitudinal ac method was used to measure the ME coefficient  $\alpha_{ME}$  as a function of static magnetic field. It is clear that  $\alpha_{ME}$  of the multilayer is notably larger than that of the BFO film. Since the

Synthesis and Characterization of Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> for Data Storage DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94049



#### Figure 9.

(a) Magnetoelectric P(H) hysteresis at 300 K, showing ME coefficient of  $Bi_{0.88}Dy_{0.12}Fe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}O_{3+\delta}$  (BDFO) and BFO multiferroic [57]. (b) ME coefficient of the multilayers  $15 \times (10 \text{ nm BaTiO}_3 - 5 \text{ nm BiFeO}_3)$  at room temperature [58]. (c) ME coefficient  $\alpha_{ME}$  of  $15 \times [BaTiO_3/BiFeO_3]$  multilayer film and pure BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. (d) Ferroelectric-multiferroic multilayer (left) showing the ME effect through magnetostrictive-piezoelectric interface coupling (arrows represent magnetostrictive stress); and a BiFeO<sub>3</sub> film (right) [59]. (e) MEimpedance response of  $BaTiO_3/BiFeO_3/BaTiO_3$  trilayer film measured at room temperature (magnetomodulus plots: inset). (f) ME coefficient for BTO/BFO/BTO trilayer films [36].

multilayer additionally contains piezoelectric/piezomagnetic interfaces, an extra ME coupling in multilayers may occur via the horizontal interfaces through strainmediated interface coupling. The magnetostrictive stress is produced in the weak ferromagnetic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> layer and is transferred to ferroelectric BaTiO<sub>3</sub> layer through the interface (**Figure 9(d)**). This mechanical stress generates an electric potential difference in the ferroelectric layer via a piezoelectric effect.

#### 3.7.4 Magnetoimpedance and ME effects of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> heterostructure

The ME effect for  $BaTiO_3/BiFeO_3/BaTiO_3$  heterostructure is also investigated by analyzing complex impedance (-Z'' vs. Z') as well as complex modulus plots (M'' vs. M') under applied magnetic fields (**Figure 9(e)**) [36]. The data were fitted with an equivalent circuit of two series RC-elements. Two well resolved semicircles

Synthesis method	Phase structure	Nano-structure	$P_s/P_r (\mu C cm^{-2})$	M (emu g <sup>-1</sup> )	MC (%)	$mVcm^{-1}Oe^{-1}$	Ref.
Pulsed laser deposition	М	70 nm thick film	60/55	150	) —	3000	[35]
Chemical-solution deposition	R	140 nm thick film	125/73			—	[61]
Hydrothermal synthesis	R	20 nm square nanosheets	~4.2/3	3.1		_	[62]
Chemical combustion	R	D = 75 nm	0.75/0.35	0.73	0.68	—	[11]
PVA sol-gel	R	—	2.9/2.13	0.624	0.6	3.37	[63]
Mechano-synthesis	R	D = 1 μm	~1.1/0.82	AF	_	~74	[64]
Sol-gel	Distorted R	D = 27 nm	10.5/5.1	~0.7	11.9	—	[65]
Sol–gel	Distorted R	D = 28.5 nm	15.1/7.2	AF	~17	—	[66]
Sonochemical	Distorted R	D = 20-25 nm	2.63/1.03	0.34	0.05		[67]
Sol–gel/pulsed laser deposition	R	300 nm thick film	22.91/16.1	35	7-	142	[68]
Solution-gelation technique (thin film)	R	D = 23.96 nm	23.56/9.5	E		_	[69]
Spin coating deposition	R	D = 90-105 nm		2.87	77	_	[70]
Hydrothermal route	R	_		10.88	)+	7.4	[71]
Conventional sintering method	T/R	D = 12 μm	15/9.1	0.33	2.96	—	[72]
Thermo-mechanical	R	D = 5-20 nm	~12/0.6	$\sim 0.05$	) —	8.7	[73]
Sol-gel	0	D = 1-2 μm	~2.5/0.14	0.67	_	354	[74]
Spin coating	α & β phases of PVDF	D = 100-150 nm	8/2	0.03	) —	2400	[75]
Conventional solid state	R/C	Core-shell	~35/27	~0.6	]	~3.4	[76]
Sol–gel	R	D = 186 nm	~0.15/0.1	AF	) —	2.74	[77]
	Synthesis method Pulsed laser deposition Chemical-solution deposition Hydrothermal synthesis Chemical combustion PVA sol-gel Mechano-synthesis Sol-gel Sol-gel Sol-gel Solo-gel/pulsed laser deposition Solution-gelation technique (thin film) Spin coating deposition Hydrothermal route Conventional sintering method Sol-gel Spin coating Conventional solid state Sol-gel	Synthesis methodPhase structurePulsed laser depositionMChemical-solution depositionRHydrothermal synthesisRChemical combustionRPVA sol-gelRMechano-synthesisRSol-gelDistorted RSol-gelDistorted RSol-gelDistorted RSol-gelRSol-gelRSol-gelRSol-gelRSol-gelRSpin coating depositionRHydrothermal routeRConventional sintering methodT/RSol-gelOSpin coatingα & β phases of PVDFConventional solid stateR/CSol-gelRSol-gelR	Synthesis methodPhase structureNano-structurePulsed laser depositionM70 nm thick filmChemical-solution depositionR140 nm thick filmHydrothermal synthesisR20 nm square nanosheetsChemical combustionRD = 75 nmPVA sol-gelR—Mechano-synthesisRD = 1 $\mu$ mSol-gelDistorted RD = 28.5 nmSol-gelDistorted RD = 20-25 nmSol-gelDistorted RD = 20-25 nmSol-gel/pulsed laser depositionR300 nm thick filmSolution-gelation technique (thin film)RD = 90-105 nmHydrothermal routeR—Conventional sintering methodT/RD = 12 $\mu$ mSol-gelOD = 1-2 $\mu$ mSol-gelQD = 1-2 $\mu$ mSol-gelRD = 5-20 nmSol-gelQD = 1-2 $\mu$ mSol-gelQD = 1-2 $\mu$ mSol-gelRD = 100-150 nmFlorencePVDFCore-shellSol-gelRD = 100-150 nmPVDFRD = 100-150 nmSol-gelRD = 186 nm	Synthesis methodPhase structureNano-structure $P_g/P_r (\mu C cm^{-2})$ Pulsed laser depositionM70 nm thick film60/55Chemical-solution depositionR140 nm thick film125/73Hydrothermal synthesisR20 nm square nanosheets~4.2/3Chemical combustionRD = 75 nm0.75/0.35PVA sol-gelR—2.9/2.13Mechano-synthesisRD = 1 µm~1.1/0.82Sol-gelDistorted RD = 28.5 nm10.5/5.1Sol-gelDistorted RD = 20-25 nm2.63/1.03Sol-gelDistorted RD = 20-25 nm2.63/1.03Sol-gel/pulsed laser depositionRD = 90-105 nm—Spin coating depositionRD = 90-105 nm—Hydrothermal routeR———Thermo-mechanicalT/RD = 12 µm15/9.1Sol-gelOD = 1-2 µm~22.5/0.14Spin coating $\alpha \& \beta$ phases of PVDFD = 100-150 nm8/2Conventional solid stateR/CCore-shell~35/27Sol-gelRD = 186 nm~0.15/0.1	Synthesis method         Phase structure         Nano-structure $P_{\mu}/P_{r}$ ( $\mu$ C cm <sup>-2</sup> )         M (emu g <sup>-1</sup> )           Pulsed laser deposition         M         70 nm thick film         60/55         150           Chemical-solution deposition         R         140 nm thick film         125/73         -           Hydrothermal synthesis         R         20 nm square nanosheets         ~4.2/3         3.1           Chemical combustion         R         D = 75 nm         0.75/0.35         0.73           PVA sol-gel         R         -         2.9/2.13         0.624           Mechano-synthesis         R         D = 1 µm         ~1.1/0.82         AF           Sol-gel         Distorted R         D = 27 nm         10.5/5.1         ~0.7           Sol-gel         Distorted R         D = 20.25 nm         15.1/7.2         AF           Sonochemical         Distorted R         D = 20.25 nm         2.63/1.03         0.34           Sol-gel/pulsed laser deposition         R         D = 90.105 nm	Synthesis method         Phase structure         Nano-structure $P_a/P_r$ ( $\mu$ C cm <sup>-2</sup> )         M (c (emu g <sup>-1</sup> ) (%)           Pulsed laser deposition         M         70 nm thick film         60/55         150         -           Chemical-solution deposition         R         140 nm thick film         125/73         -         -           Hydrothermal synthesis         R         20 nm square nanosheets         ~4.2/3         3.1         -           Chemical combustion         R         D = 75 nm         0.75/0.35         0.624         0.68           PVA sol-gel         R         -         2.9/2.13         0.624         0.6           Mechano-synthesis         R         D = 1 µm         ~1.1/0.82         AF         -           Sol-gel         Distorted R         D = 27 nm         10.5/5.1         ~0.7         11.9           Sol-gel         Distorted R         D = 20.25 nm         2.63/1.03         0.34         0.05           Sol-gel/pulsed laser deposition         R         D = 23.96 nm         23.56/9.5         -         -           Solution-gelation technique (thin film)         R         D = 90.105 nm         -         2.87         -           Hydrothermal route         R         D = 12 µm	Synthesis methodPhase structureNano-structure $P_{I}/P_{r}$ ( $\mu C cm^{-2}$ ) $M \\ (emu g^{-1})$ MC $m_{yag}$ (%) $mVcm^{-1}Oe^{-1}$ Pulsed laser depositionM70 nm thick film105/5150-3000Chemical-solution depositionR140 nm thick film125/73Hydrothermal synthesisR20 nm square nanosheets $\sim 4.2/3$ 3.1Chemical combustionRD = 75 nm0.75/0.350.730.68-PVA sol-gelR-2.9/2.130.6240.63.37Mechano-synthesisRD = 1 µm $\sim 1.1/0.82$ AF- $\sim 7.4$ Sol-gelDistorted RD = 27 nm10.5/5.1 $\sim 0.7$ 11.9-Sol-gelDistorted RD = 20-25 nm26.3/1.030.340.05-Sol-gel/pulsed laser depositionRD = 20-25 nm23.56/9.5Solution-regulation technique (thin film)RD = 90-105 nm-2.87Spin coating depositionRD = 90-105 nm-2.87Hydrothermal routeRD = 12 µm15/9.10.332.96Thermo-mechanicalRD = 5-20 nm $\sim 12/0.6$ $\sim 0.05$ -8.7Sol-gelOD = 1.2 µm $\sim 25/0.14$ 0.67-354Spin coating PVDF $\alpha & \beta$ phases of PVDFD = 100-150 nm8/20.03 <t< td=""></t<>

BiFeO <sub>3</sub> composition	Synthesis method	Phase structure	Nano-structure	$P_s/P_r (\mu C cm^{-2})$	M (emu g <sup>-1</sup> )	MC (%)	$lpha_{ME}$ mVcm <sup>-1</sup> Oe <sup>-1</sup>	Ref.
0.5BiFeO <sub>3</sub> /0.5MnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Sol-gel auto-combustion	R/spinel	D = 110 nm	0.64/0.97	15.65	) —	_	[78]
BiFeO <sub>3</sub> /BiMnO <sub>3</sub>	Pulsed laser	Pseudo-cubic	Superlattices: $\sim$ 32 nm thick	26	10	_	_	[79]
BiFeO <sub>3</sub> -BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	RF-magnetron sputtering	(110) plane: high degree	50 nm thick $BiFeO_3$	15.5/9	33	4.96	61	[30]
0.7BiFeO <sub>3</sub> / 0.3MgLa <sub>0.025</sub> Fe <sub>1.975</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Sol-gel auto combustion	distorted R	D = 56 nm	0.28/0.08	5.28	-	_	[80]
0.9BiFeO <sub>3</sub> -0.1PbTiO <sub>3</sub>	Sol-gel solid state	R/T	_	$3.8 imes10^{-5}$	0.06	_	0.2	[81]
BaFe <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub> /BiFeO <sub>3</sub>	Mechano-chemical	H/R	D = 588 nm	~2.8/1.4	~28	_	11.9	[82]
BiFeO <sub>3</sub> /CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Solvothermal	R/spinel	D = 285 nm	2.1/1	30.1	_	8.5	[83]
0.7BiFeO <sub>3</sub> -0.3PbTiO <sub>3</sub>	Solid-state	R/T	D = 37 nm	~1.1/0.2	AF	-	_	[84]
0.67BaTiO <sub>3</sub> -0.33BiFeO <sub>3</sub>	Pulsed laser	R/T	1850 nm thick film, D = 40 nm	$\begin{array}{c} 400/230 \times 10^{-2} \\ Cm^{-2} \end{array}$	$2\times 10^{-3}\mu_o T$	) —	20.75	[33]
BaTiO <sub>3</sub> /BiFeO <sub>3</sub> /BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	RF-magnetron sputtering	R/T	BTO = 20 nm BFO = 40 thick thin film	~8/3.2	37	5.95	457	[36]
Bi <sub>0.8</sub> FeO <sub>2.7</sub> -BaTiO <sub>3</sub>	Solid-state	R/T	$D = \sim 1  \mu m$	~48/30.2	~5.5	)7	~120	[52]
$BiFeO_3/Na_{0.5}Bi_{4.5}Ti_4O_{15}$	Chemical solution deposition	R/O	BFO = 879 nm NBT = 545 nm	62.1/38.7	4.68		136	[51]

#### Table 3.

Summary of multiferroic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> [phase structure (monoclinic (M), rhombohedral (R), tetragonal (T), orthorhombic (O), cubic (C), hexagonal (H)), nanostructural size (diameter (D) and length (l)), ferroelectric spontaneous polarization ( $P_s$ ), remanent polarization ( $P_r$ ), saturation magnetization (M), antiferromagnetic (AF), magnetocapacitance (MC), and magnetoelectric coefficient ( $\alpha_{ME}$ )].

representing increase in both grain and grain boundary resistance ( $R_g$  and  $R_{gb}$ ) with applied magnetic field are shown. A maximum 20% increase in grain capacitance ( $C_g$ ) with applied magnetic field of 2 kG to represent an intrinsic ME effect. The bonding between Fe and Ti atoms at interface results into ME interaction between BFO and BTO at both interfaces. This interaction to change grains/boundaries resistances with the application of magnetic field induced magnetoimpedance/MC effect which is explained with Maxwell-Wagner model consisting of two leaky capacitors connected in series.

The ME coefficient,  $\alpha_{ME}$  was measured in trilayer BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> film by dynamic method (**Figure 9(f)**) and the detailed measurement set-up is given [36]. The  $\alpha_{ME}$  was calculated using equation,  $\alpha_{ME} = \delta V/\delta H.t$ , where  $\delta V$  is the measured output voltage,  $\delta H$  is applied ac magnetic field, and *t* is the film thickness. The maximum  $\alpha_{ME}$  of ~515 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> is observed for B-2 film. By increasing the thickness of BFO layer,  $\alpha_{ME}$  found to be reduced to 457 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> for B-4, 400 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> for B-6, and to 318 mV cm<sup>-1</sup> Oe<sup>-1</sup> for B-8. The enhancement in ME coupling for trilayer films may the effect of bonding between Fe and Ti atoms at both interfaces via oxygen atom. The reduction in oxygen vacancies with increasing thickness of BFO layer results into decreasing  $\alpha_{ME}$  value.

#### 3.8 Comparison of multiferroic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

In **Table 3**, we have reported the list of multiferroic properties such as synthesis method, phase structure, nanostructures, ferroelectric behavior, magnetization, magnetocapacitance and ME coefficient of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. It is observed that the single phase BiFeO<sub>3</sub> has multiferroic behavior enhanced due to different doping from transition and rare earth ions. For the composites of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>, there are moderate improvements in ME coupling. However, for multilayer BiFeO<sub>3</sub> with BaTiO<sub>3</sub> or ferrites has remarkable value of ME coupling. The Magnetocapacitance effect study on BiFeO<sub>3</sub> is hardly reported. Therefore it is summarized that the different multilayers perovskites structures of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> may give much advancement to the multiferroic behaviors.

#### 4. Conclusion

Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> becomes a suitable material for spintronic application of data storage. Wet chemical methods, hydrothermal, Polymer-directed solvothermal, sol–gel template process, sonochemical, anodized alumina template, sol–gel based electrospinning and microwave synthesis are the best synthesis routes to control the shape and size of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanostructures. These nanostructural shape and size of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> has much impact to control the magnetism and leakage current of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. In addition to change dopant level and composites with other materials (such as ferrites and other perovskites like BaTiO<sub>3</sub>), the BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films especially multilayers gives remarkable results of ferroelectric polarization and ME voltage.

#### Acknowledgements

The author K.C. Verma thankfully acknowledges the financial support by UGC of Dr. DS Kothari Post Doctorate Fellowship [No. F4-2/2006(BSR)/PH/16-17/0066] and CSIR-HRDG for SRA (Pool Scientist) fellowship Grant No. B-12287 [SRA (Pool No): 9048-A].

# IntechOpen

# Author details Kuldeep Chand Verma<sup>1,2</sup>

1 CSIR-Central Scientific Instruments Organisation, Chandigarh 160030, India

2 Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India

\*Address all correspondence to: dkuldeep.physics@gmail.com; kcv0309@gmail.com

#### **IntechOpen**

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### References

[1] Baibich MN, Broto JM, Fert A, Nguyen F, Dau V, Petroff F, Etienne P, Creuzet G, Friederich A, Chazelas J. Giant magnetoresistance of (001)Fe/ (001)Cr magnetic superlattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1988; **61**, 2472-2475.

[2] Verma KC, Kotnala RK, Goyal N. Multi-Functionality of Spintronic Materials: Nanoelectronics. Elsevier;
2019; eBook ISBN: 9780128133545,
153-215.

[3] Sharma P, Zhang Q, Sando D, Lei CH, Liu Y, Li J, Nagarajan V, Seidel J, Sci. Adv. 2017; **3**: e1700512 (1-8)

[4] Tsymbal EY. Spintronics: Electric toggling of magnets, Nature Mater.2012; 11, 12-13

[5] Bibes M, Barthelemy A, Multiferroics Towards a magnetoelectric memory. Nature Mater. 2008; 7, 425-426

[6] Scott JF. Data Storage Multiferroic memories. Nature Mater. 2007; 6, 256-257

[7] Kent AD, Worledge DC. A new spin on magnetic memories. Nature Nanotechnology. 2015; **10**, 187-191

[8] Verma KC, Kotnala RK. Lattice Defects Induce Multiferroic Responses in Ce, La-Substituted  $BaFe_{0.01}Ti_{0.99}O_3$ Nanostructures. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2016; **99**[5], 1601-1608 (2016)

[9] Verma KC, Kumar M, Kotnala RK. Magnetoelectric, Raman, and XPS Properties of  $Pb_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}[(Fe_{2/3}Ce_{1/3})_{0.012}$  $Ti_{0.988}]O_3$  and  $Pb_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}[(Fe_{2/3}La_{1/3})_{0.012}$  $Ti_{0.988}]O_3$  nanoparticles. Metall. Mater. Trans. A. 2014; **45A**, 1409-1414

[10] Gajek M, Bibes M, Fusil S, Bouzehouane K, Fontcuberta J, Barthelemy A, Fert A. Tunnel junctions with multiferroic barriers. Nature mater. 2007; **6**, 296-302 [11] Verma KC, Kotnala RK. Tailoring the multiferroic behavior in  $BiFeO_3$ nanostructures by Pb doping. RSC Adv., 2016; **6**, 57727-57738

[12] Fiebig M, Lottermoser T, Meier D, Trassin M. The evolution of multiferroics. Nature Rev.: Mater. 2016;1, 1-14

[13] Gross I, Akhtar W, Garcia V, Martinez LJ, Chouaieb S, Garcia K, Carretero C, Barthelemy A, Appel P, Maletinsky P, Kim JV, Chauleau JY, Jaouen N, Viret M, Bibes M, Fusil S, Jacques V. Real-space imaging of noncollinear antiferromagnetic order with a single-spin magnetometer. Nature 2017; **549**, 252-265

[14] Martin LW, Crane SP, Chu YH,
Holcomb MB, Gajek M, Huijben M,
Yang CH, Balke N, Ramesh R.
Multiferroics and magnetoelectrics: thin films and nanostructures. J. Phys.:
Condens. Matter. 2008; 20, 434220 (1-13)

[15] Safi R, Shokrollahi H. Physics, chemistry and synthesis methods of nanostructured bismuth ferrite (BiFeO<sub>3</sub>) as a ferroelectro-magnetic material. Prog. Solid State Chem. 2012; 40, 6-15

[16] Selbach SM, Einarsrud MA, Tybell T, Grande T. Synthesis of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> by Wet Chemical Methods. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2007; **90** [**11**], 3430-3434

[17] Xu JH, Ke H, Jia DC, Wang W, Zhou Y. Low-temperature synthesis of  $BiFeO_3$  nanopowders via a sol-gel method. J. Alloys Compd. 2009; **472**, 473-477

[18] Shami MY, Awan MS, Anis-ur-Rehman M. Phase pure synthesis of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanopowders using diverse precursor via co-precipitation method. J. Alloys Compd. 2011; **509**, 10139- 10144

[19] Liu B, Hu B, Du Z, Hydrothermal synthesis and magnetic properties of single-crystalline BiFeO3 nanowires. Chem. Commun., 2011, **47**, 8166–8168

[20] Manzoor A, Afzal AM, Umair M, Ali A, Rizwan M, Yaqoob MZ. Synthesis and characterization of Bismuth ferrite (BiFeO<sub>3</sub>) nanoparticles by solution evaporation method. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2015; **393**, 269-272

[21] Li S, Zhang G, Zheng H, Wang N, Zheng Y, Wang P. Microwave-assisted synthesis of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles with high catalytic performance in microwave-enhanced Fenton-like process. RSC Adv., 2016; **6**, 82439-82446

[22] Joshi UA, Jang JS, Borse PH, Lee JS, Microwave synthesis of singlecrystalline perovskite BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanocubes for photoelectrode and photocatalytic applications. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2008; **92**, 242106-3

[23] Wu J, Mao S, Ye ZG, Xiea Z, Zheng L. Room-temperature ferromagnetic/ ferroelectric BiFeO<sub>3</sub> synthesized by a self-catalyzed fast reaction process. J. Mater. Chem. 2010; **20**, 6512-6516

[24] Kim TC, Ojha S, Tian G, Lee SH, Jung HK, Choi JW, Kornblum L, Walker FJ, Ahn CH, Ross CA, Kim DH, Selfassembled multiferroic epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocomposite thin films grown by RF magnetron sputtering. **J. Mater. Chem. C** 2018; **6**, 5552-5561

[25] Zhang L, Cao XF, Ma YL, Chen XT, Xue ZL, Polymer-directed synthesis and magnetic property of nanoparticlesassembled BiFeO<sub>3</sub> microrods. J. Solid State Chem. 2010; **183**, 1761-1766

[26] Wei J, Xue D, Xu Y, Photoabsorption characterization and magnetic property of multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanotubes synthesized by a facile sol-gel template process. Scripta Mater. 2008; **58**, 45-48 [27] Dutta DP, Jayakumar OD, Tyagi AK, Girija KG, Pillaia CGS, SharmG, Effect of doping on the morphology and multiferroic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanorods. Nanoscale 2010; **2**, 1149-1154

[28] Gao F, Yuan Y, Wang KF, Chen XY, Chen F, Liu JM, Ren ZF, Preparation and photoabsorption characterization of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanowires. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006; **89**, 102506-3

[29] Xie SH, Li JY, Proksch R, Liu YM, Zhou YC, Liu YY, Ou Y, Lan LN, Qiao Y, Nanocrystalline multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> ultrafine fibers by sol-gel based Electrospinning. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2008; **93**, 222904-3

[30] Gupta R, Chaudhary S, Kotnala RK.
Interfacial Charge Induced
Magnetoelectric Coupling at BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/
BaTiO<sub>3</sub> Bilayer Interface. ACS Appl.
Mater. Interf. 2015; 7(16), 8472-8479

[31] Chaturvedi S, Das R, Poddar P, S Kulkarni. Tunable band gap and coercivity of bismuth ferrite-polyaniline core-shell nanoparticles: the role of shell thickness. RSC Adv., 2015, **5**, 23563-23568

[32] Aimon NM, Kim DH, Sun XY, Ross CA. Multiferroic Behavior of Templated BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Self-Assembled Nanocomposites. ACS Appl. Mater. Interf. 2015; **7**, 2263-2268

[33] Lorenz M, Lazenka V, Schwinkendorf P, Bern F, Ziese M, Modarresi H, Volodin A, Van Bael MJ, Temst K, Vantomme A, Grundmann M. Multiferroic BaTiO<sub>3</sub>–BiFeO<sub>3</sub> composite thin films and multilayers: strain engineering and magnetoelectric coupling. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2014; **47**, 135303-10

[34] Lorenz M, Wagner G, Lazenka V, Schwinkendorf P, Modarresi H, Van Bael MJ, Vantomme A, Temst K, Oeckler O, Grundmann M. Correlation of magnetoelectric coupling in multiferroic BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub> superlattices with oxygen vacancies and antiphase octahedral rotations. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2015; **106**, 012905

[35] Wang J, Neaton JB, Zheng H, Nagarajan V, Ogale SB, Liu B, Viehland D, Vaithyanathan V, Schlom DG, Waghmare UV, Spaldin NA, Rabe KM, Wuttig M, Ramesh R. Epitaxial BiFeO<sub>3</sub> Multiferroic Thin Film Heterostructures. Science 2003; **299**, 1719-1722

[36] Kotnala RK, Gupta R, Chaudhary S. Giant magnetoelectric coupling interaction in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>/BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BaTiO<sub>3</sub> trilayer multiferroic heterostructures. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2015; **107**, 08290

[37] Kumar N, Shukla A, Kumar N, Choudhary RNP, Kumar A. Structural, electrical, and multiferroic characteristics of lead-free multiferroic:  $Bi(Co_{0.5}Ti_{0.5})O_3$ -BiFeO<sub>3</sub> solid solution. RSC Adv. 2018, **8**, 36939-36950

[38] Chu YH, He Q, Yang CH, Yu P, Martin LW, Shafer P, Ramesh R. Nanoscale Control of Domain Architectures in  $BiFeO_3$  Thin Films. Nano Lett. 2009; 9(4), 1727-1730

[39] Park TJ, Papaefthymiou GC, Viescas AJ, Moodenbaugh AR, Wong SS. Sizedependent magnetic properties of single-crystalline multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles. Nano Lett. 2007; **7(3)**, 766-772

[40] Bajpai OP, Mandal S,
Ananthakrishnan R, Mandal P, Khastgir D, Chattopadhyay S. Structural
Features, Magnetic Properties and
Photocatalytic Activity of Bismuth
Ferrite Nanoparticles Grafted on
Graphene Nanosheets. New J. Chem.
2018; 42, 10712-10723

[41] Amrillah T, Bitla Y, Shin K, Yang T, Hsieh YH, Chiou YY, Liu HJ, Do TH, Su D, Chen YC, Jen SU, Chen LQ, Kim KH, Juang JY, Chu YH. Flexible Multiferroic Bulk Heterojunction with Giant Magnetoelectric Coupling via van der Waals Epitaxy. ACS Nano 2017; **11**, 6122-6130

[42] Catalan G, Scott JF. Physics and Applications of Bismuth Ferrite. Adv. Mater. 2009; **21**, 2463-2485

[43] Yuan X, Shi L, Zhao J, Zhou S, Miao X, Guo J. Tunability of bandgap and magnetism in K and Pb Co-doped BiFeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles for multiferroic applications: The Role of structural transition and Fe deficiency. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* 2019; **2(4)**, 1995-2004

[44] Kumar A, Kumar A, Saha S,
Basumatary H, Ranjan R.
Ferromagnetism in the multiferroic alloy systems BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and
BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-SrTiO<sub>3</sub>: Intrinsic or extrinsic?.
Appl. Phys. Lett. 2019; **114**, 022902

[45] Jian G, Xue F, Gao M, Guo Y. Orientation dependence of magnetic properties in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2019; **476**, 188-193

[46] Coey JMD, Douvalis AP, Fitzgerald CB, Venkatesan M. Ferromagnetism in Fe-doped  $SnO_2$  thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2004; **84**, 1332

[47] Neel L. Compt. Rend. 1961; **252**, 4075-4080.

[48] Neel L. In Low Temperature Physics. Eds. Gordon and Beach: London, 1962; **411**.

[49] Wu L, Dong C, Chen H, Yao J, Jiang C, Xue D, Varela JA. Hydrothermal synthesis and magnetic properties of bismuth ferrites nanocrystals with various morphology. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2012; **95**, 3922-3927

[50] Dutta DP, Mandal BP, Naik R, Lawes G, Tyagi AK. Magnetic, ferroelectric, and magnetocapacitive properties of sonochemically synthesized Sc-doped BiFeO<sub>3</sub>

Nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013; **117**, 2382-2389

[51] Chen J, Tang Z, Zhao S. Giant magnetoelectric coupling effect in leadfree perovskite BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/Na<sub>0.5</sub>Bi<sub>4.5</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> composite films. J. Alloys Compd. 2017; **712**, 256-262

[52] Pan Q, Chu B. Enhanced magnetoelectric response in bismuthdeficient BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> ceramics. J. Appl. Phys. 2019; **125**, 154102

[53] Verma KC, Kotnala RK. Multiferroic magnetoelectric coupling and relaxor ferroelectric behavior in 0.7BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-0.3BaTiO<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals. Solid State Commun. 2011; **151**, 920-923

[54] Shirolkar MM, Li J, Dong X, Li M, Wang H. Controlling the ferroelectric and resistive switching properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin film prepared using sub - 5 nm dimension nanoparticles. **Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.** 2017; **19**, 26085-26097

[55] Verma KC, Singh D, Kumar S, Kotnala RK. Multiferroic effects in  $MFe_2O_4/BaTiO_3$  (M = Mn, Co, Ni, Zn) Nanocomposites. J. Alloys Compd. 2017; **709**, 344-355

[56] Verma KC, Singh M, Kotnala RK, Goyal N. Magnetic field control of polarization/capacitance/voltage/ resistance through lattice strain in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> multiferroic nanocomposite. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2019; **469**, 483-493

[57] Pan L, Yuan Q, Liao Z, Qin L, Bi J, Gao D, Wu J, Wu H, Ye ZG. Superior room-temperature magnetic fielddependent magnetoelectric effect in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-based multiferroic. J. Alloys Compd. 2018; **762**, 184-189

[58] Jochum JK, Lorenz M, Gunnlaugsson HP, Patzig C, Hoche T, Grundmann M, Vantomme A, Temst K, Van Bael MJ, Lazenka V. Impact of magnetization and hyperfine field distribution on high magnetoelectric coupling strength in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-BiFeO<sub>3</sub> multilayers. **Nanoscale**, 2018, **10**, 5574-5580

[59] Lazenka V, Lorez M, Modarresi H, Bisht M, Ruffer R, Bonholzer M, Grundmann M, Van Bael MJ, Vantomme A, Temst K. Magnetic spin structure and magnetoelectric coupling in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> multilayer. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2015; **106**, 082904

[60] Verma KC, Kotnala RK. Multiferroic approach for Cr,Mn,Fe,Co, Ni,Cu substituted BaTiO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles. Mater. Res. Exp.2016; **3**, 055006

[61] Yang B, Jin L, Wei R, Tang X, Hu L, Tong P, Yang J, Song W, Dai J, Zhu X, Sun Y, Zhang S, Wang X, Cheng Z, Chemical Solution Route for High-Quality Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> Thin Films. Small 2019; **doi.org/10.1002/ smll.201903663**, 1903663-19

[62] Sun B, Han P, Zhao W, Liu Y, Chen P, White-Light-Controlled Magnetic and Ferroelectric Properties in Multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> Square Nanosheets.
J. Phys. Chem. C 2014; **118**, 18814-18819

[63] Kolte J, Daryapurkar AS, Agarwal M, Gulwade DD, Gopalan P, Magnetoelectric properties of microwave sintered BiFeO<sub>3</sub> and Bi<sub>0.90</sub>La<sub>0.10</sub>Fe<sub>0.95</sub>Mn<sub>0.05</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanoceramics. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2017; **193**, 253-259

[64] Rout J, Choudhary RNP, Structural transformation and multiferroic properties of Ba-Mn co-doped BiFeO<sub>3</sub>. Phys. Lett. A 2016; **380**, 288-292

[65] Banerjee M, Mukherjee A, Banerjee A, Das D, Basu S, Enhancement of multiferroic properties and unusual magnetic phase transition in Eu doped bismuth ferrite nanoparticles. New J. Chem. 2017; **41**, 10985-10991

[66] Mukherjee A, Basu S, Manna PK, Yusuf SM, Pal M, Giant magnetodielectric and enhanced multiferroic properties of Sm doped bismuth ferrite nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. C 2014; **2**, 5885-5891

[67] Dutta DP, Mandal BP, Mukadam MD, Yusuf SM, Tyagi AK, Improved magnetic and ferroelectric properties of Sc and Ti codoped multiferroic nano BiFeO<sub>3</sub> prepared via sonochemical synthesis. Dalton Trans. 2014; **43**, 7838-7846

[68] Puli VS, Pradhan DK, Gollapudi S, Coondoo I, Panwar N, Adireddy S, Chrisey DB, Katiyar RS, Magnetoelectric coupling effect in transition metal modified polycrystalline BiFeO<sub>3</sub> thin films. J. Mag. Magn. Mater. 2014; **369**, 9-13

[69] Yang S, Ma G, Xu L, Deng C, Wang X, Improved ferroelectric properties and band-gap tuning in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> films via substitution of Mn. RSC Adv. 2019; **9**, 29238-29245

[70] Jena AK, Satapathy S, Mohanty J, Magnetic properties and oxygen migration induced resistive switching effect in Y substituted multiferroic bismuth ferrite. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2019; **21**, 15854-15860

[71] Marzouki A, Loyau V, Gemeiner P, Bessais L, Dkhil B, Megrich A, Increase of magnetic and magnetoelectric properties in Co/Mn co-doped BiFeO3 multiferroic. J. Mag. Magn. Mater. 2020; **498**, 166137

[72] Li CX, Yang B, Zhang ST, Zhang R, Sun Y, Zhang HJ, Cao WW, Enhanced Multiferroic and Magnetocapacitive Properties of (1–x) Ba<sub>0.7</sub>Ca<sub>0.3</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub>– xBiFeO<sub>3</sub> Ceramics. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2014; **97** [**3**], 816-825

[73] Behera C, Choudhary RNP, Das PR, Structural, Electrical and Multiferroic Characteristics of Thermo-mechanically Fabricated BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-(BaSr)TiO<sub>3</sub> Solid Solutions. *Mater. Res. Expr.* 2018; **5**, 056301

[74] Qi X, Zhang M, Zhang X, Gu Y, Zhu H, Yang W, Li Y, Compositional dependence of ferromagnetic and magnetoelectric effect properties in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>–BiFeO<sub>3</sub>–LaFeO<sub>3</sub> solid solutions. RSC Adv. 2017; 7, 51801-51806

[75] Ummer RP, Thevenot RC, Rouxel D, Thomas S, Kalarikkal N, Electric, magnetic, piezoelectric and magnetoelectric studies of phase pure (BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>)-(P(VDF-TrFE)) nanocomposite films prepared by spin coating. RSC Adv. 2016; **6**, 28069-28080

[76] Calisir I, Amirov A A, Kleppe AK, Hall DA, Optimisation of functional properties in lead-free BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> ceramics through La<sup>3+</sup> substitution strategy. **J. Mater. Chem. A** 2018; **6**, 5378-5397

[77] Gupta R, Shah J, Chaudhary S, Singh S, Kotnala RK, Magnetoelectric coupling-induced anisotropy in multiferroic nanocomposite (1 - x)
BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-xBaTiO<sub>3</sub>. J Nanopart Res 2013;
15, 2004

[78] Remya KP, Rajalakshmi R, Ponpandian N, Development of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/ MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ferrite nanocomposites with enhanced magnetic and electrical properties. Nanoscale Adv. 2020; **2**, 2968-2976

[79] Jin C, Geng W, Wang L, Han W, Zheng D, Hu S, Ye M, Xu Z, Ji Y, Zhao J, Chen Z, Wang G, Tang Y, Zhu Y, Mac X, Chen L, Tuning Ferroelectricity and Ferromagnetism in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/BiMnO<sub>3</sub> Superlattices. Nanoscale 2020; **12**, 9810-9816

[80] Sheoran N, Kumar A, Kumar V, Chahar M, Banerjee A, Structural and multiferroic properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>/ MgLa<sub>0.025</sub>Fe<sub>1.975</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocomposite synthesized by sol-gel auto combustion route. J. Mater. Sci. 2020; **31**, 2788

[81] Sufyan M, Atiq S, Abbas SK, Younis M, Riaz S, Naseem S, Magnetically driven robust polarization in (1-*x*)
BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-*x*PbTiO<sub>3</sub> multiferroic composites. 2019; 238, 10-12

[82] Jain A, Wang YG, Wang N, Li Y, Wang FL, Existence of heterogeneous phases with significant improvement in electrical and magnetoelectric properties of  $BaFe_{12}O_{19}/BiFeO_3$ multiferroic ceramic composites. Cer. Int. 2019; **45**, 22889-22898

[83] Alam M, Talukdar S, Mandal K, Multiferroic properties of bilayered  $BiFeO_3/CoFe_2O_4$  nano-hollowspheres. Mater. Lett. 2018; **210**, 80-83

[84] Shariq M, Kaur D, Chandel VS, Jain PK, Florence S, Sharma M, Hussain S, Study of Structural, Magnetic and Optical Properties of BiFeO<sub>3</sub>-PbTiO<sub>3</sub> Multiferroic Composites. Arab. J. Sci. Engg. 2019; 44, 613-621

