удк 519.24 On Estimation of Conditional Distribution Function under Dependent Random Right Censored Data

Abdurahim A. Abdushukurov^{*}

Dpt. Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics National University of Uzbekistan VUZ Gorodok, Tashkent, 100174

Uzbekistan

Rustamjon S. Muradov^{\dagger}

Institute of Mathematics National University of Uzbekistan VUZ Gorodok, Tashkent, 100174 Uzbekistan

Received 10.06.2014, received in revised form 20.08.2014, accepted 01.10.2014

In this article we study simple integral-type estimator of distribution function under random right censored observations at fixed covariate values, where the dependence between a life time and a censoring variable may expressed by a given Archimedean copula. We prove an almost sure asymptotic representation which provides a key tool for obtaining weak convergence result for estimator.

Keywords: fixed design, right censoring, copulas, asymptotic representation, weak convergence, Gaussian process.

Introduction

In such research areas as bio-medicine, engineering, insurance, social sciences, ..., researchers are interested in positive variables, which are expressed as a time until a certain event. For example, in medicine the survival time of individual, while in industrial trials, time until breakdown of a machine are non-negative random variables (r.v.-s) of interest. But in such practical situations, the observed data may be incomplete, that is censored. This is the case, for example, in medicine when the event of interest-death due to a given cause and the censoring event is death due to other cause. In industrial study, it may occur that some piece of equipment is taken away (that is censored) because it shows some sign of future failure. Moreover, the r.v.-s of interest (lifetimes, failure times) and censoring r.v.-s usually can be influenced by other variable, often called prognostic factor or covariate. In medicine, dose of a drug and in engineering some environmental conditions (temperature, pressure, ...) are influenced to the observed variables. The basic problem consist in estimation of distribution of lifetime by such censored dependent data. The aim of paper is considering this problem in the case of right random censoring model in the presence of covariable.

Let's consider the case when the support of covariate C is the interval [0, 1] and we describe our results on fixed design points $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \ldots \le x_n \le 1$ at which we consider responses (survival

 $a_abdushukurov@rambler.ru$

 $^{^{\}dagger}r_{muradov@myrambler.ru}$

 $[\]textcircled{C}$ Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

or failure times) X_1, \ldots, X_n and censoring times Y_1, \ldots, Y_n of identical objects, which are under study. These responses are independent and nonnegative r.v.-s with conditional distribution function (d.f.) at $x_i, F_{x_i}(t) = P(X_i \leq t/C_i = x_i)$. They are subjected to random right censoring, that is for X_i there is a censoring variable Y_i with conditional d.f. $G_{x_i}(t) = P(Y_i \leq t/C_i = x_i)$ and at *n*-th stage of experiment the observed data is

$$S^{(n)} = \{ (Z_i, \delta_i, C_i), 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

where $Z_i = min(X_i, Y_i), \delta_i = I(X_i \leq Y_i)$ with I(A) denoting the indicator of event A. Note that in sample $S^{(n)}$ r.v. X_i is observed only when $\delta_i = 1$. Commonly, in survival analysis to assume independence between the r.v.-s X_i and Y_i conditional on the covariate C_i . But, in some practical situations, this assumption does not hold. Therefore, in this article we consider a dependence model in which dependence structure is described through copula function. So let

$$S_x(t_1, t_2) = P(X_x > t_1, Y_x > t_2), t_1, t_2 \ge 0.$$

the joint survival function of the response X_x and the censoring variable Y_x at x. Then the marginal survival functions are $S_x^X(t) = 1 - F_x(t) = S_x(t,0)$ and $S_x^Y(t) = 1 - G_x(t) = S_x(0,t)$, $t \ge 0$. We suppose that the marginal d.f.-s F_x and G_x are continuous. Then according to the Theorem of Sclar (see, [1]), the joint survival function $S_x(t_1, t_2)$ can be expressed as

$$S_x(t_1, t_2) = C_x(S_x^X(t_1), S_x^Y(t_2)), t_1, t_2 \ge 0,$$
(1)

where $C_x(u, v)$ is a known copula function depending on x, S_x^X and S_x^Y in a general way. It is necessary to note that in the case of no covariates, this idea first was considered by Zeng and Klein [2] and proposed copula-graphic estimator. Rivest and Wells [3] investigated copulagraphic estimator and derived a closed form expression for estimator when the joint survival function (1) is modeled an Archimedean copula. The copula-graphic estimator is then shown to be uniformly consistent and asymptotically normal. Note that the copula-graphic estimator is equivalent to the product-limit estimator of Kaplan and Meier [4] when the survival and censoring times are assumed to be independent. Braekers and Veraverbeke [5] extend copulagraphic estimator to the fixed design regression case and show that estimator has an asymptotic representation and a Gaussian limit. We consider other estimator of d.f. F_x which had a simpler form than copula-graphic estimator and it is also equivalent to the usual exponential-hazard estimator under independent censoring case. We study the large sample properties of estimator proposed and present result of uniform normality with the same limiting Gaussian process as for copula-graphic estimator.

1. Construction of estimator and asymptotic results

Assume that at the fixed design value $x \in (0,1)$, C_x in (1) is Archimedean copula, i.e.

$$S_x(t_1, t_2) = \varphi_x^{[-1]} \Big(\varphi_x \big(S_x^X(t_1) \big) + \varphi_x \big(S_x^Y(t_2) \big) \Big), t_1, t_2 \ge 0,$$

$$\tag{2}$$

where, for each $x, \varphi_x : [0,1] \to [0,+\infty]$ is a known continuous, convex, strictly decreasing function with $\varphi_x(1) = 0$. $\varphi_x^{[-1]}$ is a pseudo-inverse of φ_x (see, Nelsen [1]) and given by

$$\varphi_x^{[-1]}(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi_x^{-1}(s), \ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant \varphi_x(0), \\ 0, \ \varphi_x(0) \leqslant s \leqslant \infty. \end{cases}$$

- 410 -

We assume that copula generator function φ_x is strict, i.e. $\varphi_x(0) = \infty$ and hence $\varphi_x^{[-1]} = \varphi_x^{-1}$. From (2), it follows that

$$P(Z_x > t) = 1 - H_x(t) = \overline{H_x(t)} = S_x^Z(t) = S_x(t, t) = \varphi_x^{-1} \big(\varphi_x \big(S_x^X(t) \big) + \varphi_x \big(S_x^Y(t) \big) \big), \ t \ge 0, \ (3)$$

Let $H_x^{(1)}(t) = P(Z_x \leq t, \delta_x = 1)$ be a subdistribution function and $\Lambda_x(t)$ is crude hazard function of r.v. X_x subjecting to censoring by Y_x ,

$$\Lambda_x(dt) = \frac{P(X_x \in dt, X_x \leqslant Y_x)}{P(X_x \geqslant t, Y_x \geqslant t)} = \frac{H_x^{(1)}(dt)}{S_x^Z(t-)}.$$
(4)

From (4) one can obtain following expression of survival function S_x^X :

$$S_{x}^{X}(t) = \varphi_{x}^{-1} \left[-\int_{0}^{t} S_{x}^{Z}(u) \varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(u)\right) d\Lambda_{x}(u) \right] = \varphi_{x}^{-1} \left[-\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(u)\right) dH_{x}^{(1)}(u) \right], \quad t \ge 0,$$
(5)

(see, for example, [3,5]). In order to constructing the estimator of S_x^X according to representation (5), we introduce some smoothed estimators of S_x^Z , $H_x^{(1)}$ and regularity conditions for them. Similarly to Breakers and Veraverbeke [5], we will also use the Gasser-Müller weights

$$\omega_{ni}(x,h_n) = \frac{1}{q_n(x,h_n)} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \frac{1}{h_n} \pi\left(\frac{x-z}{h_n}\right) dz, \ i = 1,...,n,$$
(6)

with

$$q_n(x,h_n) = \int_0^{x_n} \frac{1}{h_n} \pi\left(\frac{x-z}{h_n}\right) dz,$$

where $x_0 = 0$, π is a known probability density function(kernel) and $\{h_n, n \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of positive constants, tending to zero as $n \to \infty$, called bandwidth sequence. Let's introduce the weighted estimators of H_x , S_x^Z and $H_x^{(1)}$ respectively as

$$H_{xh}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{ni}(x, h_n) I(Z_i \leq t),$$

$$S_{xh}^{Z}(t) = 1 - H_{xh}(t),$$

$$H_{xh}^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{ni}(x, h_n) I(Z_i \leq t, \delta_i = 1).$$
(7)

Then pluggin in (5) estimators (7) we get corresponding estimator of $S_x^X(t)$ as

$$S_{xh}^X(t) = 1 - F_{xh}(t) = \varphi_x^{-1} \left[-\int_0^t \varphi_x'(S_{xh}^Z(u)) dH_{xh}^{(1)}(u) \right], \ t \ge 0,$$
(8)

Remark that in the case of no covariate, estimator (8) reduces to estimator first obtained by Zeng and Klein [2]. In the case of the independent copula $\varphi(y) = -\log y$, Zeng and Klein estimate reduces to a exponential-hazard estimate (see, [8,9]). Also it is well-known that under independent censoring case Kaplan-Meier's product-limit estimator and exponential-hazard estimators are asymptotical equivalent. Therefore, we will show that estimator (8) and copula-graphic estimator of Breakers and Veraverbeke have the same asymptotic behaviours.

For the design points $x_1, ..., x_n$, denote

$$\underline{\Delta_n} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - x_{i-1}), \quad \overline{\Delta_n} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - x_{i-1}).$$

For the kernel π , let

$$\|\pi\|_{2}^{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi^{2}(u) du, \ m_{\nu}(\pi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{\nu} \pi(u) du, \ \nu = 1, 2,$$
$$\|\pi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{u \in R} \pi(u).$$

Moreover, we use next assumptions on the design and on the kernel function:

(A1) As $n \to \infty$, $x_n \to 1$, $\overline{\Delta_n} = O(\frac{1}{n})$, $\overline{\Delta_n} - \underline{\Delta_n} = o(\frac{1}{n})$.

(A2) π is a probability density function with compact support [-M, M] for some M > 0, with $m_1(\pi) = 0$ and $|\pi(u) - \pi(u')| \leq C(\pi)|u - u'|$, where $C(\pi)$ is some constant.

Let $T_{H_x} = \inf\{t \ge 0 : H_x(t) = 1\}$. Then $T_{H_x} = \min(T_{F_x}, T_{G_x})$. For our results we need some smoothnees conditions on functions $H_x(t)$ and $H_x^{(1)}(t)$. We formulate them for a general (sub)distribution function $N_x(t), 0 \le x \le 1, t \in R$ and for a fixed T > 0.

- (A3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}N_x(t) = \dot{N}_x(t)$ exists and is continuous in $(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]$.
- (A4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_x(t) = N'_x(t)$ exists and is continuous in $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$.
- (A5) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} N_x(t) = \ddot{N}_x(t)$ exists and is continuous in $(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T]$
- (A6) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} N_x(t) = N_x''(t)$ exists and is continuous in $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$.
- (A7) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} N_x(t) = \dot{N}'_x(t)$ exists and is continuous in $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$.

(A8) $\frac{\partial \varphi_x(u)}{\partial u} = \varphi'_x(u)$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x(u)}{\partial u^2} = \varphi''_x(u)$ are Lipschitz in the *x*-direction with a bounded $\frac{\partial^3 \varphi_x(u)}{\partial u^2} = \varphi''_x(u)$ are Lipschitz in the *x*-direction with a bounded $\frac{\partial^3 \varphi_x(u)}{\partial u^2} = \varphi''_x(u)$

Lipschitz constant and $\frac{\partial^3 \varphi_x(u)}{\partial u^3} = \varphi_x''(u) \leqslant 0$ exists and is continuous in $(x, u) \in [0, 1] \times (0, 1]$.

It is clear that for existence of right hand side of representation (5) we must require the conditions (A 4) for functions $H_x(t)$ and $H_x^{(1)}(t)$ in $[0,1] \times [0,T]$ with $T < T_{H_x}$ and existence of $\varphi'_x(u)$ on $[0,1] \times (0,1]$.

We derive an almost sure representation result with rate.

Theorem 1.1. Assume (A1), (A2), $H_x(t)$ and $H_x^{(1)}(t)$ satisfy (A5)-(A7) in [0,T] with $T < T_{H_x}$, φ_x satisfies (A8) and $h_n \to 0$, $\frac{\log n}{nh_n} \to 0$, $\frac{nh_n^5}{\log n} = O(1)$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$F_{xh}(t) - F_x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{ni}(x, h_n) \Psi_{tx}(Z_i, \delta_i) + r_n(t),$$

where

$$\Psi_{tx}(Z_i, \delta_i) = \frac{-1}{\varphi'_x(S^X_x(t))} \left[\int_0^t \varphi''_x(S^Z_x(u)) \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \leqslant u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \otimes u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \otimes u) - H_x(u) \right) dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \left(I(Z_i \otimes u) - H_x(u) \right) dH$$

$$-\varphi_x'\left(S_x^Z(t)\right)\left(I(Z_i \leqslant t, \delta_i = 1) - H_x^{(1)}(t)\right) - \int_0^t \varphi_x''\left(S_x^Z(u)\right)\left(I(Z_i \leqslant u, \delta_i = 1) - H_x^{(1)}(u)\right)dH_x(u)\right]$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |r_n(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n} \right)^{3/4} \right).$$

The weak convergence of the empirical process $(nh_n)^{1/2} \{F_{xh}(\cdot) - F_x(\cdot)\}$ in the space $\ell^{\infty}[0,T]$ of uniformly bounded functions on [0,T], endowed with the uniform topology is the contents of the next theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Assume (A1), (A2), $H_x(t)$ and $H_x^{(1)}(t)$ satisfy (A5)–(A7) in [0,T] with $T < T_{H_x}$, and that φ_x satisfies (A8). (I) If $nh_n^5 \to 0$ and $\frac{(\log n)^3}{nh_n} \to 0$, then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$(nh_n)^{1/2} \{F_{xh}(\cdot) - F_x(\cdot)\} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{W}_x(\cdot) \text{ in } \ell^{\infty}[0,T].$$

(II) If $h_n = Cn^{-1/5}$ for some C > 0, then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$(nh_n)^{1/2} \{ F_{xh}(\cdot) - F_x(\cdot) \} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{W}_x^*(\cdot) \text{ in } \ell^{\infty}[0,T],$$

where $W_x(\cdot)$ and $W_x^*(\cdot)$ are Gaussian processes with means

$$E \boldsymbol{W}_x(t) = 0, \quad E \boldsymbol{W}_x^*(t) = a_x(t),$$

and same covariance

$$Cov(\mathbf{W}_{x}(t), \mathbf{W}_{x}^{*}(s)) = Cov(\mathbf{W}_{x}^{*}(t), \mathbf{W}_{x}^{*}(s)) = \Gamma_{x}(t, s)$$

with

$$a_x(t) = \frac{-C^{5/2}m_2(\pi)}{2\varphi'_x(S^X_x(t))} \int_0^t \left[\varphi''_x(S^Z_x(u))\ddot{H}_x(u)dH^{(1)}_x(u) - \varphi'_x(S^Z_x(u))d\ddot{H}^{(1)}_x(u)\right],$$

and

$$\Gamma_{x}(t,s) = \frac{\|\pi\|_{2}^{2}}{\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{X}(t)\right)\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{X}(s)\right)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\min(t,s)} \left(\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(z)\right)\right)^{2} dH_{x}^{(1)}(z) + \right. \\ \left. + \int_{0}^{\min(t,s)} \left[\varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(w))S_{x}^{Z}(w) + \varphi_{x}'(S_{x}^{Z}(w))\right] \int_{0}^{w} \varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(y)) dH_{x}^{(1)}(y) dH_{x}^{(1)}(w) + \right. \\ \left. + \int_{0}^{\min(t,s)} \varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(w)) \int_{w}^{\max(t,s)} \left(\varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(y))S_{x}^{Z}(y) + \varphi_{x}'(S_{x}^{Z}(y))\right) dH_{x}^{(1)}(y) dH_{x}^{(1)}(w) - \right. \\ \left. + \int_{0}^{t} \left[\varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(y))S_{x}^{Z}(y) + \varphi_{x}'(S_{x}^{Z}(y))\right] dH_{x}^{(1)}(y) \int_{0}^{s} \left[\varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(w))S_{x}^{Z}(w) + \varphi_{x}'(S_{x}^{Z}(w))\right] dH_{x}^{(1)}(w) \right\}$$

2. Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

In order to proving the Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we need some auxiliary results for empiricals H_{xh} and $H_{xh}^{(1)}$. While the Lemma 3.1 below (i.e. Lemma A4 from [9]) about the rates of strong uniform consistency of weighted empiricals is formulated only for H_{xh} , it is still true also for $H_{xh}^{(1)}$ and proved exactly with the same way.

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). (I) Assume (A 1), (A 2), $H_x(t)$ satisfies (A 3), $h_n \to 0$, $nh_n \to \infty$, $\frac{nh_n^3}{\log n} = O(1)$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |H_{xh}(t) - H_x(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

- 413 -

(II) Assume (A 1), (A 2), $H_x(t)$ satisfies (A 3) and (A 5), $h_n \to 0$, $\frac{nh_n^5}{\log n} = O(1)$. Then, as $n \to \infty$, $\left(\left(1_{2} m \right)^{1/2} \right)$

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |H_{xh}(t) - H_x(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{1/2}\right)$$

The next Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 2 in [5]) provides the convergence rate of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 ([5]). Under the conditions of theorem 2.1, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \left| -\int_0^t \left[\varphi_x' \left(S_{xh}^Z(u) \right) - \varphi_x' \left(S_x^Z(u) \right) \right] d \left(H_{xh}^{(1)}(u) - H_x^{(1)}(u) \right) \right| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n} \right)^{3/4} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Applying a second order Taylor expansion, we have

$$F_{xh}(t) - F_{x}(t) = -\left(S_{xh}^{X}(t) - S_{x}^{X}(t)\right) =$$

$$= -\left\{\varphi_{x}^{-1}\left[-\int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{xh}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{xh}^{(1)}(u)\right] - \varphi_{x}^{-1}\left[-\int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{x}^{(1)}(u)\right]\right\} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{X}(t)\right)}\left\{-\int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{xh}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{xh}^{(1)}(u) + \int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{x}^{(1)}(u)\right\} +$$

$$+\frac{\varphi_{x}''\left(\varphi_{x}^{-1}(\theta_{xh}(t))\right)}{2\left[\varphi_{x}'\left(\varphi_{x}^{-1}(\theta_{xh}(t))\right)\right]^{2}} \cdot \left\{-\int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{xh}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{xh}^{(1)}(u) + \int_{0}^{t}\varphi_{x}'\left(S_{x}^{Z}(u)\right)dH_{x}^{(1)}(u)\right\}^{2} =$$

$$= A_{n}(t) + B_{n}(t), \qquad (9)$$

where $\theta_{xh}(t)$ between $\left[-\int_0^t \varphi_x'\left(S_{xh}^Z(u)\right) dH_{xh}^{(1)}(u)\right]$ and $\left[-\int_0^t \varphi_x'\left(S_x^Z(u)\right) dH_x^{(1)}(u)\right]$. In (9) the first summand we rewrite as

$$A_n(t) = -\frac{1}{\varphi'_x(S^X_x(t))} \left[Q_{n1}(t) + Q_{n2}(t) + Q_{n3}(t) \right], \tag{10}$$

where

$$Q_{n1}(t) = -\int_0^t \left[\varphi_x'(S_{xh}^Z(u)) - \varphi_x'(S_x^Z(u))\right] dH_x^{(1)}(u)$$
$$Q_{n2}(t) = -\int_0^t \varphi_x'(S_{xh}^Z(u)) d(H_{xh}^{(1)}(u)) - H_x^{(1)}(u)),$$

and

$$Q_{n3}(t) = -\int_0^t [\varphi_x'(S_{xh}^Z(u)) - \varphi_x'(S_x^Z(u))] d(H_{xh}^{(1)}(u)) - H_x^{(1)}(u)).$$

,

From Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Q_{n3}(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{3/4}\right).$$
(11)

Furthermore, for $0 \leq t \leq T < T_{H_x}$, also by Taylor expansion,

$$Q_{n1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(u))(H_{xh}(u) - H_{x}(u))dH_{x}^{(1)}(u) - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{x}''(\eta_{xh}(u))(H_{xh}(u) - H_{x}(u))^{2}dH_{x}^{(1)}(u) =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{x}''(S_{x}^{Z}(u))(H_{xh}(u) - H_{x}(u))dH_{x}^{(1)}(u) + q_{n}(t),$$
(12)

where $\eta_{xh}(u) \in [\min(H_{xh}(u), H_x(u)), \max(H_{xh}(u), H_x(u))]$ and from Lemma 3.1,

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |q_n(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right).$$
(13)

Integrating by parts, we rewrite $Q_{n2}(t)$ as

$$Q_{n2}(t) = -\varphi_x'(S_x^Z(t)) \left(H_{xh}^{(1)}(t) - H_x^{(1)}(t) \right) + \int_0^t \varphi_x''(S_x^Z(u)) \left(H_{xh}^{(1)}(u) - H_x^{(1)}(u) \right) dH_x(u).$$
(14)

Therefore, from (10)-(14), and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |A_n(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$
(15)

Since,

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |B_n(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O\left(\left(\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |A_n(t)| \right)^2 \right), \tag{16}$$

hence, from (15)

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |B_n(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} O\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right).$$
(17)

Then, finally from (9)–(17), we obtain that for $0 \leq t \leq T < T_{H_x}$, as $n \to \infty$,

$$F_{xh}(t) - F_x(t) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} -\frac{1}{\varphi'_x(S^X_x(t))} \left\{ \int_0^t \varphi''_x(S^Z_x(u))(H_{xh}(u) - H_x(u))dH_x^{(1)}(u) - \varphi'_x(S^Z_x(t))\left(H^{(1)}_{xh}(t) - H^{(1)}_x(t)\right) + \int_0^t \varphi''_x(S^Z_x(u))\left(H^{(1)}_{xh}(u) - H^{(1)}_x(u)\right)dH_x(u) \right\} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{3/4}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{ni}(x, h_n)\Psi_{tx}(Z_i, \delta_i) + O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{nh_n}\right)^{3/4}\right),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

It is necessary to note that almost sure representation of Theorem 2.1 plays a key role on investigating of estimator (8) and, in particular, it provides a basic tool for obtaining weak convergence result of Theorem 2.2. But the main summand Ψ_{tx} of this representation is the same as in the case of copula-graphic estimator from [5]. Then the proof of Theorem 2.2 one can accomponing by line of proof of Theorem 2 from [5]. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is omitted. Thus, the estimator (8) and copula-graphic estimator are asymptotic equivalent.

References

- [1] R.B.Nelsen, An Introduction to Copulas, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [2] M.Zeng, J.P.Klein, Estimates of marginal survival for dependent competing risks based on an assumed copula, *Biometrika*, 82(1995), 127–138.
- [3] L.P.Rivest, M.T.Wells, A martingall aproach to the copula-graphic estimator for the survival function under dependent censoring, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 79(2001), 138–155.

- [4] E.L.Kaplan, P.Meier, Nonparametric estimation from incompelet observations, Journal of American Statistical Association, 53(1958), 457–481.
- [5] R.Breakers, N.Veraverbeke, A copula-graphic estimator for the conditional survival function under dependent censoring, *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, **33**(2005), no. 3, 429–447.
- [6] T.R.Fleming, D.P.Harrington, Counting Processes and Survival Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [7] R.S.Muradov, A.A.Abdushukurov, Estimation of multivariate distributions and its mixtures, LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2011 (in Russian).
- [8] A.A.Abdushukurov, R.S.Muradov, Estimation of survival and mean resudial life functions from dependent random censored data, New Trends in Mathematical Sciences, 2(2014), no. 1, 35–48.
- [9] I.Van Keilegom, N.Veraverbeke, Estimation and the bootstrap with censored data in fixed design nonparametric regression, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 49(1997), no. 3, 467–491.

Об оценивании условной функции распределения при зависимом случайном цензурировании справа

Абдурахим А. Абдушукуров Рустамжон С. Мурадов

В данной статье мы исследуем простую оценку интегрального типа функции распределения случайно цензурированных при фиксированных ковариатах наблюдений, где зависимость между прожительностью жизни и цензурирующей случайной величиной выражается через архимедовы копулы. Для оценки мы доказываем асимптотическое представление с вероятностью единица, которое обеспечивает ключевой подход для получения результата слабой сходимости.

Ключевые слова: фиксированный план, цензурирование справа, копулы, асимптотическое представление, слабая сходимость, гауссовский процесс.