

Paola Mannucci, Domingo Alberto Tarzia

THE SUPERCOOLED ONE-PHASE STEFAN PROBLEM IN SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

Abstract. The supercooled one-phase Stefan problem in spherical symmetry with a heat flux condition at the fixed face is considered. The relation between the heat flux and the initial temperature is analysed in order to characterize the cases with a global solution (possibility of continuing the solution for arbitrarily large time intervals), a finite time extinction and a blow-up at a finite time.

1. Introduction

We study a supercooled one-phase Stefan problem in spherical symmetry ($r \in [r_0, 1]$, $r_0 > 0$) corresponding to a positive heat flux condition at the fixed face and a negative initial temperature. Problems of this kind have been studied by other authors in connection with the freezing of a supercooled liquid. Several different boundary conditions were analysed in [3], [5], [6], [7], [10], [11], [13], for the one-dimensional case, in [1], [2] for cylindrical symmetry and in [9] for spherical symmetry.

In Section 1 we give the preliminaries corresponding to the description of the problem and in Section 2 we obtain conditions for data in order to characterize the cases with a global solution (possibility of continuing the solution for arbitrarily large time intervals), a finite time extinction and a blow-up at a finite time. In Section 3 we study the asymptotic behaviour of the solution and we give some results concerning the particular case $r_0 = 0$ with null heat flux, which are a sequel to those given in [9].

In this paper we study the following problem:

The first author is partially supported by the Italian M.U.R.S.T. National Project "Problemi non lineari..."

Key words: One-phase Stefan problem, phase-change problem, parabolic free boundary problem.

AMS Subject classifications: 35R35, 80A22, 35B40, 35K05.

PROBLEM I. Find a triple $(\theta(\rho, \tau), \sigma(\tau), T_1)$ such that:

- i) $T_1 > 0$
- ii) $\sigma(\tau) \in C^0([0, T_1]) \cap C^1((0, T_1))$, $\rho_0 < \sigma(\tau) < b$, $0 < \tau < T_1$, $\rho_0 > 0$.
- iii) $\theta(\rho, \tau)$ is a bounded continuous function in $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq \sigma(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq T_1$, $\theta_\rho(\rho, \tau)$ is continuous in $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq \sigma(\tau)$, $0 < \tau < T_1$, $\theta_{\rho\rho}, \theta_\tau$ are continuous in $\rho_0 < \rho < \sigma(\tau)$, $0 < \tau < T_1$.
- iv) $\sigma(\tau)$ and $\theta(\rho, \tau)$ obey the conditions:

$$\theta_\tau = \alpha \left(\theta_{\rho\rho} + \frac{2\theta_\rho}{\rho} \right), \quad \rho_0 < \rho < \sigma(\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < T_1,$$

$$\sigma(0) = b,$$

$$\theta(\rho, 0) = \tilde{h}(\rho), \quad \rho_0 < \rho < b,$$

$$\theta_\rho(\rho_0, \tau) = \tilde{g}(\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < T_1,$$

$$\theta(\sigma(\tau), \tau) = 0, \quad 0 < \tau < T_1,$$

$$\alpha c \theta_\rho(\sigma(\tau), \tau) = -\Lambda \dot{\sigma}(\tau), \quad 0 < \tau < T_1.$$

The nomenclature is the following:

α material thermal diffusivity,

c specific heat,

Λ latent heat of melting,

θ temperature,

σ free boundary,

ρ radial coordinate variable,

τ time.

The adimensional problem corresponding to Problem I is obtained by the following transforms:

$$r = \frac{\rho}{b}, \quad t = \frac{\alpha}{b^2} \tau, \quad r_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{b} < 1, \quad T = \frac{T_1 \alpha}{b^2},$$

$$u(r, t) = \frac{c}{\Lambda} \theta(\rho, \tau), \quad s(t) = \frac{\sigma(\tau)}{b},$$

$$h(r) = \frac{c}{\Lambda} \tilde{h}(\rho), \quad g(t) = \frac{cb}{\Lambda} \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\alpha}{b^2} \tau\right).$$

Then the variables (T, u, s) satisfy the problem

PROBLEM II. Find a triple (T, s, u) such that:

- i) $T > 0$.
- ii) $s(t) \in C([0, T])$, $s \in C^1((0, T))$, $r_0 < s(t) < 1$, for $0 < t < T$ and $r_0 > 0$.
- iii) $u(r, t)$ is a bounded function, continuous in $r_0 \leq r \leq s(t)$, $0 \leq t < T$, $u_r(r, t)$ is continuous in $r_0 \leq r \leq s(t)$, $0 < t < T$, u_{rr}, u_t are continuous in $r_0 < r < s(t)$, $0 < t < T$.

iv) The following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $u_t = u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r$, in $D_T = \{(r, t) : r_0 < r < s(t), 0 < t < T\}$,
- (2) $s(0) = 1$,
- (3) $u(r, 0) = h(r)$, $r_0 < r < 1$,
- (4) $u_r(r_0, t) = g(t)$, $0 < t < T$,
- (5) $u(s(t), t) = 0$, $0 < t < T$,
- (6) $u_r(s(t), t) = -\dot{s}(t)$, $0 < t < T$,

where we impose, from now on, the following assumptions:

- (A₁) $h(r) \leq 0$, $r_0 < r < 1$, $h(r)$ is a continuous function,
- (A₂) $g(t) \geq 0$, $0 < t < T$, $g(t)$ is a piecewise continuous function.

(Whenever we consider the derivatives of h and g we suppose further regularity of these functions).

Three cases can occur ([4], [5], [12]):

- (A) The problem has a solution with arbitrarily large T (global solution),
- (B) There exists a constant $T_B > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_B^-} s(t) = r_0$ (extinction time),
- (C) There exists a constant $T_C > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_C^-} s(t) > r_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_C^-} \dot{s}(t) = -\infty$ (blow-up).

As we shall see, any of these cases can actually occur with an appropriate choice of the functions $h(r), g(t)$ in (3), (4).

2. Study of the three cases

In order to characterize the three cases we obtain some preliminary properties.

LEMMA 2.1. *If (T, s, u) solves problem (1)-(6) then*

$$(7) \quad \frac{s^2(t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \int_{r_0}^1 r h(r) dr - \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} r u(r, t) dr - \int_0^t (u(r_0, \tau) + r_0 g(\tau)) d\tau,$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{s^3(t)}{3} = \frac{1}{3} + \int_{r_0}^1 r^2 h(r) dr - \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr - r_0^2 \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$(9) \quad \frac{s^2(t)}{4} (s^2(t) + 2r_0^2) = \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2r_0^2) + \int_{r_0}^1 (r_0^2 + r^2) r h(r) dr - \\ - 2r_0^3 \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau + 2 \iint_{D_t} r u(r, \tau) dr d\tau - \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} (r^2 + r_0^2) r u(r, t) dr.$$

Proof. Consider Green's identity

$$\iint_{D_t} (vLz - zL^*v) dr d\tau = \int_{\partial D_t} (z_r v - z v_r) d\tau + v z dr,$$

where L denotes the heat operator and L^* its adjoint, with $z = ru(r, t)$. If $v = 1$ and $v = r$ we get respectively (7) and (8). (9) follows adding to (7) the integral representation obtained with $v = r^2$, taking into account the condition (4). ■

LEMMA 2.2. *If assumptions (A₁) and (A₂) hold, we have:*

- i) $u(r, t) \leq 0$, in D_T ,
- ii) $\dot{s}(t) < 0$, $\forall t \in (0, T)$,
- iii) If $h'(r) \geq 0$, then $u_r(r, t) \geq 0$ in D_T ,
- iv) If $h(r) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$, $h_1 > 0$ and $0 < g(t) \leq h_1$, then $u(r, t) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$ in D_T .

Proof. i), iii) iv) follow from the maximum principle applied respectively to u , u_r , and $u + h_1(1 - r)$.

ii) is a consequence of i) and (6). ■

Now, we shall prove a result which gives us a bound from below for \dot{s} ; that is we avoid the occurrence of case (C) (for the planar case see ([5])).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (T, s, u) be a solution of (1)–(6). If $h(r) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and if there exist two constants $d \in (0, s_T - r_0)$, $z_0 \in (0, 1)$ with $s_T = \inf_{t \in [0, T]} s(t) > r_0$ such that $u(s(t) - d, t) \geq -z_0$, $h_1 d < z_0$, $\forall t \in (0, T)$ then*

$$(10) \quad \dot{s}(t) \geq \frac{1}{d} \log(1 - z_0), \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and define $a = a(\epsilon) = -\inf_{t \in (0, T-\epsilon)} \dot{s}(t) > 0$, $w(r, t) = \frac{-z_0}{1 - e^{-ad}} (1 - e^{a(r-s(t))}) \leq 0$.

From the maximum principle, $w(r, t) \leq u(r, t)$ in $\Omega_\epsilon = \{(r, t) : s(t) - d \leq r \leq s(t), 0 \leq t \leq T - \epsilon\}$. It follows that $u_r(s(t), t) \leq w_r(s(t), t)$, hence from the definition of a and assumptions on h_1 , z_0 and d , we get (10). ■

Under assumptions (A₁) and (A₂), we proceed now to characterize, cases (A), (B) and (C) in dependence on the value $Q(t)$, where

$$(11) \quad Q(t) = 1 + 3 \int_{r_0}^1 r^2 h(r) dr - 3r_0^2 \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau.$$

We remark that $\dot{Q}(t) \leq 0$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Under assumptions (A₁), (A₂) and $h(r) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$, for $r \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < g(t) \leq h_1$ for $t > 0$, then*

$$\text{case (B)} \implies Q(T_B) = r_0^3.$$

Proof. Performing the limit $t \rightarrow T_B$ in (11) and taking into account that $u(r, t)$ is bounded in D_T , from (8) we get the result. ■

REMARK 2.5. We consider the case $g(t) \equiv g$, where g is a positive constant. From (11), we have

$$Q(T_1) = r_0^3 \iff T_1 = \frac{1 - r_0^3 + 3 \int_{r_0}^1 r^2 h(r) dr}{3r_0^2 g}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\text{If } h(r) \geq -h_1(1-r), \quad 0 < h_1 < \frac{4(1-r_0^3)}{1-r_0^3(4-3r_0)}, \text{ then } T_1 > 0.$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let $h(r) \geq -h_1(1-r)$, $0 < g(t) \leq h_1$, $h_1 \leq 1$. If there exists a T_1 such that $Q(T_1) = r_0^3$ then case (B) occurs with $T_B = T_1$.

Proof. From the assumptions and iv) of Lemma 1.2 we have that $u(r, t) \geq -h_1(1-r)$.

From (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^3(T_1) &= r_0^3 - 3 \int_{r_0}^{s(T_1)} r^2 u(r, T_1) dr \leq r_0^3 + 3h_1 \int_{r_0}^{s(T_1)} r^2 (1-r) dr \\ &= r_0^3 + h_1(s(T_1)^3 - r_0^3) - \frac{3}{4}h_1(s(T_1)^4 - r_0^4). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(s(T_1)^3 - r_0^3)(h_1 - 1) - \frac{3}{4}h_1(s(T_1)^4 - r_0^4) \geq 0,$$

and, if $h_1 \leq 1$ this implies $s(T_1) = r_0$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.7. If the assumptions of Lemma (2.3) hold and $h'(r) \geq 0$, then

$$\text{case (C)} \implies Q(T_C) \leq r_0^3.$$

Proof. If case (C) occurs, from Lemma 2.3 the isotherm $u(r, t) = -1$ exists and reaches the free boundary at $t = T_C$.

Moreover, from the assumptions and from Lemma 2.2, we have $u_r(r, t) \geq 0$. Hence $u(r, T_C) \leq -1$, $\forall r \in [r_0, s(T_C)]$ and from (8) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} s^3(T_C) &= Q(T_C) - 3 \int_{r_0}^{s(T_C)} r^2 u(r, t) dr \\ &\geq Q(T_C) + 3 \int_{r_0}^{s(T_C)} r^2 dr = Q(T_C) + (s^3(T_C) - r_0^3). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 hold.*

Case (C) $\implies Q(T_C) < r_0^3$.

Proof. Easily follows from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7. ■

PROPOSITION 2.9. *If $\|g\|_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} g(t)dt < +\infty$, then*

$$\text{case (A)} \implies Q(t) \geq r_0^3, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a $T_0 > 0$ such that $Q(T_0) < r_0^3$. Since $\dot{Q}(t) < 0$, for all $t > 0$, we get

$$Q(t) \leq Q(T_0) < r_0^3, \quad \forall t \geq T_0.$$

From (8) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr &= \frac{Q(t) - s^3(t)}{3} < \frac{Q(T_0) - s^3(t)}{3} \\ &\leq -\frac{r_0^3 - Q(T_0)}{3} < 0, \quad \forall t \geq T_0, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad r_0 \iint_{D_t} r u dr d\tau &\leq r_0 \iint_{D_{T_0, t}} r u dr d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{T_0}^t d\tau \int_{r_0}^{s(\tau)} r^2 u(r, \tau) dr \leq -\frac{r_0^3 - Q(T_0)}{3} (t - T_0). \end{aligned}$$

From (9),

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad 2 \iint_{D_t} r u(r, \tau) dr d\tau &= \frac{s^2(t)}{4} (s^2(t) + 2r_0^2) - \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2r_0^2) \\ &\quad - \int_{r_0}^1 (r_0^2 + r^2) r h(r) dr + 2r_0^3 \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} (r^2 + r_0^2) r u(r, t) dr \\ &\geq \frac{r_0^2}{4} (3r_0^2) - \frac{1}{4} (1 + 2r_0^2) + 2r_0^3 \|g\|_1 \\ &\quad + (1 + r_0) \int_{r_0}^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr. \end{aligned}$$

From (8)

$$\int_{r_0}^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr \geq \int_{r_0}^1 r^2 h(r) dr - r_0^2 \|g\|_1 \geq C, \quad \forall t > 0$$

where C is a suitable constant.

Hence (13) becomes

$$2 \iint_{D_t} ru(r, \tau) dr d\tau \geq \tilde{C}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

where \tilde{C} is a suitable constant, on the contrary to (12). ■

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.9 hold. Then*

$$\text{Case (A)} \implies Q(t) > r_0^3, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. Easily follows from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.9. ■

COROLLARY 2.11. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.7 hold. Then*

$$Q(t) > r_0^3, \quad \forall t > 0 \implies \text{case (A)}.$$

Proof. It is obtained excluding the other cases. ■

3. Asymptotic behaviour of the solution

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let (T, s, u) be a solution of (1)–(6) of case (A) under assumptions of Corollary 2.10 and let there exist $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t)$. We denote by $Q_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} Q(t)$ and $s_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} s(t)$. Then*

$$(14) \quad s_\infty = (Q_\infty)^{1/3} \geq r_0$$

$$\text{where } Q_\infty = 1 + 3 \int_{r_0}^b r^2 h(r) dr - 3r_0^2 \|g\|_1.$$

Proof. From the assumptions we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = 0.$$

Moreover if $u_\infty(r) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(r, t)$, $u_\infty(r)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_\infty'' + \frac{2}{r} u_\infty' = 0, & \text{in } (r_0, s_\infty), \\ u_\infty'(r_0) = 0, \\ u_\infty(s_\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

hence ([8]) $u_\infty(r) \equiv 0$ and, from (8) and (11), we get the result. ■

REMARK 3.2.

$$s_\infty = r_0 \iff \int_0^{+\infty} g(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1 - r_0^3 + 3 \int_{r_0}^1 r^2 h(r) dr}{3r_0^2}.$$

REMARK 3.3. Taking into account (8) and $u(r, t) \leq 0$ we obtain the estimate

$$(15) \quad s(t) \geq [Q(t)]^{1/3}, \quad \forall t \leq T.$$

Now we shall consider briefly the particular case $r_0 = 0$ and since we are in spherical symmetry, the condition $g(t) \equiv 0$ is the most natural one.

The local in time existence and uniqueness of the classical solution for the case $r_0 = 0$ is given in [9]. The results obtained in this section are in sequel with those of [9] in order to clarify the behaviour of the solution as a function of the parameter R , defined below by 19.

We may obtain, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, the integral representation (7)-(9) with $r_0 = 0$, $g(t) \equiv 0$,

$$(16) \quad \frac{s^2(t) - 1}{2} = \int_0^1 r h(r) dr - \int_0^{s(t)} r u(r, t) dr - \int_0^t u(0, \tau) d\tau,$$

$$(17) \quad \frac{s^3(t) - 1}{3} = \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr - \int_0^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr,$$

and

$$(18) \quad \frac{s^4(t) - 1}{4} = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} r u(r, \tau) dr d\tau + \int_0^1 h(r) r^3 dr - \int_0^{s(t)} r^3 u(r, t) dr.$$

Moreover the result of Lemma 2.3 can be proved in the same way as before. We may characterize cases (A), (B), (C) in dependence of the constant R defined by

$$(19) \quad R = 1 + 3 \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr.$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let $h(r) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$ for $r \in [0, 1]$. Then we have the following properties:*

- (i) Case (B) $\implies R = 0$,
- (ii) $R = 0$ and case (A) $\implies \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = 0$,
- (iii) Case (A) $\implies R \geq 0$,
- (iv) $R < 0 \implies$ case (C).

Proof. We shall only prove (iii). Using (17) and the assumptions on $h(r)$ (thus $u(r, t) \geq -h_1(1 - r)$), we get

$$(20) \quad -3 \int_0^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr = s^3(t) - 1 - 3 \int_0^1 r^2 h(r) dr \leq \frac{h_1}{4}.$$

Hence

$$(21) \quad - \int_0^{s(t)} r^3 u(r, t) dr \leq \frac{h_1}{12}.$$

Using (18) we have

$$(22) \quad 2 \int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} ru(r, \tau) d\tau \geq -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{h_1}{12}.$$

If $R < 0$ from (17) we obtain

$$- \int_0^{s(t)} ru(r, t) dr \geq - \int_0^{s(t)} r^2 u(r, t) dr = \frac{s^3(t)}{3} - \frac{R}{3} > 0, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

By integrating with respect to t the last inequality we get

$$\int_0^t \int_0^{s(\tau)} ru(r, \tau) d\tau d\tau \leq \frac{R}{3}t,$$

hence a contradiction with (22). ■

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Prof. Pedro Marangunic for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] D. Andreucci, *Continuation of the solution of a free boundary problem in cylindrical symmetry*, Meccanica 19 (1984), 91–97.
- [2] D. Andreucci, A. Fasano and M. Primicerio, *On the occurrence of singularities in axisymmetrical problems of Hele-Shaw type*, Int. Series Numer. Math. 106 (1992), 23–38.
- [3] E. Comparini, R. Ricci and D. A. Tarzia, *Remarks on a one-dimensional Stefan problem related to the diffusion-consumption model*, ZAMM 64 12 (1984), 543–550.
- [4] A. Fasano and M. Primicerio, *General free-boundary problems for the heat equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 57 (1977), 694–723.
- [5] A. Fasano and M. Primicerio, *New results on some classical parabolic free-boundary problems*, Quart. Appl. Math. 38 (1980), 439–460.
- [6] A. Fasano and M. Primicerio, *A critical case for the solvability of Stefan-like problems*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 5 (1983), 84–96.
- [7] A. Fasano, M. Primicerio, S. D. Howison and J. R. Ockendon, *Some remarks on the regularization of supercooled one-phase Stefan problems in one dimension*, Quart. Appl. Math. 47 (1990), 153–168.

- [8] A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliff (1964).
- [9] A. M. Meirmanov, *The Stefan problem with surface tension in the three dimensional case with spherical symmetry: nonexistence of a classical solution*, European J. Appl. Math. 5 (1994), 1–19.
- [10] A. G. Petrova, D. A. Tarzia and C. V. Turner, *The one-phase supercooled Stefan problem with temperature boundary condition*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 4 (1994), 35–50.
- [11] M. Primicerio, *The occurrence of pathologies in some Stefan-like problems*, in: Numerical Treatment of Free Boundary Value Problems, J. Albrecht-L. Collatz-K.H. Hoffmann (Eds.), ISNM 58, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (1982), 233–244.
- [12] B. Sherman, *A general one-phase Stefan problem*, Quart. Appl. Math. 28 (1970), 377–382.
- [13] D. A. Tarzia and C. V. Turner, *The one-phase supercooled Stefan problem with a convective boundary condition*, Quart. Appl. Math., 55 (1997), 41–50.

Paola Mannucci

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

Via Belzoni, 7

PADOVA, ITALY

E-mail: mannucci@math.unipd.it

Domingo Alberto Tarzia

DEPTO MATEMATICA

FCE UNIVERSIDAD AUSTRAL

Paraguay 1950, 2000-ROSARIO, ARGENTINA

E-mail: tarzia@uauar.edu.ar

Received June 8, 1998.