

Feliks Barański, Jan Musiałek

# ON THE LAURICELLA PROBLEM FOR THE EQUATION $\Delta^2 u(X) = f(X, u(X))$ IN THE CIRCLE

1. In [1] the Lauricella problem for the equation  $\Delta^2 u(X) = f(X)$  in the circle was solved.

In the present paper we shall study the following Lauricella problem, in the circle  $K = \{X: |X| < R\}$ ,

$$(1) \quad \Delta^2 u(X) = f(X, u(X)) \quad \text{for } X \in K,$$

$$(2) \quad u(X) = f_1(X) \quad \text{for } X \in \partial K,$$

$$(3) \quad D_{n_X} u(X) = f_2(X) \quad \text{for } X \in \partial K,$$

where  $f, f_1, f_2$  are given functions,  $n_X$  denotes the inward normal to  $\partial K$  in the point  $X \in \partial K$ .

2. Using the convenient Green function  $G$ , we shall replace the problem (1)-(3) by an integral equation which may be solved by the Banach method of the contracting mapping.

Let us denote:

$X = (x_1, x_2)$  is an arbitrary point of  $K$ ,

$Y = (y_1, y_2)$  is an arbitrary point of the plane  $E_2$ ,

$\bar{X} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$  is the symmetric image of  $X$  with respect to  $\partial K$ ,

$$r^2(X; Y) = (y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - x_2)^2,$$

$$A = -(2R^2)^{-1}, \quad B(X) = R^2 - r^2(0; X),$$

and consider the function  $G$  of the form

$$G(X;Y) = r^2(X;Y)g(X;Y) + AB(X)B(Y) \quad \text{for } X \in K, X \neq Y,$$

where

$$g(X;Y) = \ln[r(0;X)r(\bar{X};Y)(Rr(X;Y))^{-1}] \quad \text{for } X \neq 0,$$

$$g(0;Y) = \ln r(0;Y) - \ln R \quad \text{for } Y \neq 0.$$

The function  $G$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$G(X;Y) = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \partial K,$$

$$D_{n_X} G(X;Y) = r^2(X;Y)D_{n_X} g(X;Y) + g(X;Y)D_{n_X} r^2(X;Y) +$$

$$+ AB(Y)D_{n_X} B(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \partial K,$$

because, by [2] Vol. I, p. 250, we have  $g(X;Y) = 0$ ,  $r^2(X;Y)D_{n_X} g(X;Y) = R^{-1}B(X)$  and  $AB(Y)D_{n_X} B(X) = -R^{-1}B(Y)$  for  $X \in \partial K$ .

Moreover

$$(4) \quad \Delta_Y G(X;Y) = 2AB^2(X)r^{-2}(X;Y) \quad \text{for } Y \in \partial K,$$

$$(5) \quad D_{n_Y} \Delta_Y G(X;Y) = H_1(X;Y) + H_2(X;Y) \quad \text{for } Y \in \partial K,$$

where

$$H_1(X;Y) = 2(R^3 r^2(X;Y))^{-1} B^2(X); \quad H_2(X;Y) = 2(R^3 r^4(X;Y))^{-1} B^3(X).$$

3. Now we shall give the theorem concerning the unicity of the problem (1) - (3). Let us introduce the following two definitions.

**D e f i n i t i o n 1.** We shall call the class (N) the set of all functions  $u \in C(\bar{K})$  such that  $|u| \leq r$  ( $r$  being a positive number).

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.** We shall call the class (F) the set of all functions  $f(X,u)$  such that the functions  $D_u^{(i)} f(X,u)$ ,  $i=0,1$ , are continuous and bounded for  $(X,u) \in D_1 = \{(X,u): X \in \bar{K}, u \in [-r,r]\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $f \in (F)$ ,  $D_u^{(1)} f(X, u) \leq 0$  for  $(X, u) \in D_1$ , and the functions  $u_1, u_2$  of class  $C^4(K) \cap C^3(\bar{K})$  are solutions of the problem (1)-(3), then  $u_1(X) = u_2(X)$  for  $X \in K$ .

**Proof.** By [2], Vol. II, p. 179, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \iint_K \{ [\Delta(u_1 - u_2)]^2 - (u_1 - u_2) [\Delta^2(u_1 - u_2)] \} dY = \\ &= - \int_{\partial K} [(D_{n_Y}(u_1 - u_2)) \Delta(u_1 - u_2) - \\ &\quad - (u_1 - u_2) D_{n_Y} \Delta(u_1 - u_2)] ds_Y = P_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the formula  $\Delta^2 u_i = f(X, u_i)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) and by the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$P_1 = \iint_K \{ [\Delta(u_1 - u_2)]^2 - (u_1 - u_2)^2 D_u f(Y, \bar{u}) \} dY \geq 0,$$

where  $\bar{u} = u_1 + Q(u_2 - u_1)$ ,  $0 < Q < 1$ , therefore  $\bar{u} \in (N)$ . Similarly by the boundary conditions (2), (3), we get  $P_2 = 0$ . Hence  $P_1 = P_2 = 0$  implies  $u_1 \equiv u_2$ , for  $X \in K$ .

4. Let the function  $V$  denote the solution of the biharmonic equation

$$(1a) \quad \Delta^2 u(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in K,$$

satisfying the boundary conditions (2) and (3).

Consider the integral equation

$$(6) \quad u(X) = S(X; u) + V(X),$$

where

$$S(X; u) = I_1(X; u) + I_2(X; u); \quad V(X) = V_1(X) + V_2(X)$$

and

$$I_1(X; u) = A_1 \iint_K f(Y; u(Y)) B(X) B(Y) dY,$$

$$I_2(X;u) = A_1 \iint_K f(Y;u(Y)) r^2(X;Y) g(X;Y) dY,$$

$$V_1(X) = A_2 \iint_{\partial K} f_1(Y) D_{n_Y} \Delta_Y G(X;Y) dS_Y,$$

$$V_2(X) = A_2 \int_{\partial K} f_2(Y) \Delta_Y G(X;Y) dS_Y,$$

$A_1 = -(4\pi)^{-1}$ ,  $A_2 = -(8R)^{-1}$ , the functions  $D_{n_X} \Delta_X G$ ,  $\Delta_Y G$  being given by formulae (4), (5).

Let  $\|W-Z\| = \sup_{X \in K} |W(X)-Z(X)| = d(W,Z)$  for any functions  $W, Z \in C(\bar{K})$ . By [1], we have

$$|V_1(X) + V_2(X)| \leq B = \sup_{X \in \partial K} |f_1(X)| + 2R \sup_{X \in \partial K} |f_2(X)|.$$

Now we shall give the lemma concerning the estimate of the Green potentials.

**L e m m a 1.** If  $f \in (F)$  and  $M_1 = \sup_{(X;u) \in D_1} |f(X;u)|$ , the following inequalities hold

$$(a) \quad |I_1(X;u)| < \frac{\pi}{2} |A_1| M_1 R^6; \quad (b) \quad |I_2(X;u)| \leq H(R),$$

where

$$H(R) = C_1 R^2 [C_2 + C_3 |\ln R| + R^2 C_4 |\ln R| + C_5 R^2],$$

$C_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, 5$ ) being a convenient positive constants.

**P r o o f .** We omit the simple proof of the inequality (a) and we shall prove only (b). Let us write the function  $I_2$  in the form

$$I_2(X,u) = J_1(X,u) + J_2(X,u) + J_3(X,u),$$

where

$$J_1(X,u) = A_1 \iint_K f(Y,u(Y)) r^2(X;Y) \ln [r(O,X) r(\bar{X};Y)] dY,$$

$$J_2(X, u) = -A_1 \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) r^2(X; Y) \ln R \, dY,$$

$$J_3(X, u) = \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) r^2(X; Y) \ln r(X; Y) \, dY.$$

For the function  $J_2$  we have the following estimation

$$|J_2| \leq 4\pi |A_1| M_1 R^4 |\ln R| = 2A_3 R^2 |\ln R|, \text{ where } A_3 = 2\pi |A_1| M_1 R^2.$$

Now we shall estimate the function  $J_1$ . By [2], p.249, we have the following inequalities

$$(7) \quad R(R-r(0, X)) \leq r(0, X)r(\bar{X}, Y) \leq R(R+r(0, X)).$$

We shall consider two cases:

$$1^0 \quad r(0, X) > \frac{R}{n},$$

$$2^0 \quad r(0, X) = 0 \text{ for } X = 0.$$

By (7), in the case  $1^0$  we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2 \leq r(0, X)r(\bar{X}, Y) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and we shall consider two cases:

$$(a) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2 < 1, \quad (b) \quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2 > 1.$$

$$\text{Ad (a).} \quad |J_1(X, u)| \leq -2A_3 R^2 \ln \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2\right].$$

$$\text{Ad (b). Let } M(R) = \max\left(\left|\ln \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2\right]\right|, \left|\ln \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)R^2\right]\right|\right) \\ \text{and we have } |\ln[r(0, X)r(\bar{X}, Y)]| \leq M(R). \text{ Thus } |J_1(X, u)| \leq \\ \leq 2A_3 R^2 M(R).$$

Ad  $2^0$ . By continuity of the function  $g(X; Y)$ , from [2], Vol.I, p.250 we have

$$g(0; Y) = -\ln r(0; Y) + \ln R.$$

Hence we can write the function  $J_1(0, u)$  in the form  $J_1(0, u) = L_1(0, u) + L_2(0, u)$ , where

$$L_1(0, u) = A_1 \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) r^2(0; Y) \ln R \, dY,$$

$$L_2(0, u) = -A_1 \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) r^2(0, Y) \ln r(0, Y) \, dY.$$

For the integrals  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  we have the following estimations

$$|L_1(0, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} A_3 R^2 |\ln R|; \quad |L_2(0, u)| \leq A_1 M_1 R^2 \iint_K |\ln r(0; Y)| \, dY.$$

For the estimation of the integral  $L_2$  we shall distinguish two cases:

(c)  $R \leq 1$ , (d)  $R > 1$ .

Ad (c). Using the polar coordinates we obtain

$$|L_2(0, u)| \leq -\frac{1}{2} A_3 R^2 \ln R + \frac{1}{4} A_3 R^2.$$

Ad (d).  $|L_2(0, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} A_3 (R^2 \ln R - \frac{1}{2} R^2 + 1)$ .

Hence

$$|J_1(0, u)| \leq |L_1(0, u)| + |L_2(0, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} A_3 R^2 |\ln R| - \frac{1}{2} A_3 R^2 \ln R + \frac{1}{4} A_3 R^2$$

for  $R \leq 1$ ,

$$|J_1(0, u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} A_3 R^2 |\ln R| + \frac{1}{2} A_3 (R^2 \ln R - \frac{1}{2} R^2 + 1) \quad \text{for } R > 1.$$

Now we shall estimate the function  $J_3$ ; we have

$$|J_3(X, u)| \leq A_1 M_1 4R^2 \iint_K |\ln r(X; Y)| \, dY.$$

Applying the polar coordinates

$$y_1 - x_1 = p \cos \varphi, \quad y_2 - x_2 = p \sin \varphi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq p \leq p(\varphi) \leq 2R,$$

we obtain

$$|J_3(X, u)| \leq A_3 R^2 (1 - 2 \ln 2R) \quad \text{for } R \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

$$|J_3(X, u)| \leq A_3 \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2R^2 \ln 2R - (2\pi)^{-1} R^2 \right) \quad \text{for } R > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the foregoing inequalities for the functions  $J_1, J_2, J_3$ , we obtain the inequality (b).

5. Denote  $F_V(X; u) = S(X; u) + V(X)$ ,

$$q = A_1 \sup_{(X; u) \in D_1} \left| \iint_K D_u f(Y, u) G(X; Y) dY \right| \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \sup_{(X; u) \in D_1} |D_u f(X; u)|.$$

We shall prove the following lemma.

**L e m m a 2.** If the functions  $W, Z, u$  belong to the class  $(N)$  and  $|V(X)| < (1-q)r$ , where  $q \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$1^\circ \quad S(X; 0) = 0.$$

$$2^\circ \quad d(S(W), S(Z)) \leq q d(W, Z).$$

$$3^\circ \quad \|F_V(W) - F_V(Z)\| < q d(W, Z).$$

$$4^\circ \quad \|F_V(u)\| < r.$$

**P r o o f .** The assertion  $1^\circ$  is evident.

Ad  $2^\circ$ . We have

$$d(S(W), S(Z)) = |A_1| \sup_{X \in K} \left| \iint_K [f(Y, W(Y)) - f(Y, Z(Y))] G(X; Y) dY \right|.$$

By the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(W), S(Z)) &= |A_1| \sup_{(X; u) \in D_1} \left| \iint_K (W - Z) D_u f(Y, \bar{u}) G(X; Y) dY \right| \leq \\ &\leq |A_1| d(W, Z) \sup_{(X; u) \in D_1} \left| \iint_K D_u f(Y, \bar{u}) G(X; Y) dY \right| = q d(W, Z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{u} = W + Q(Z - W)$ ,  $0 < Q < 1$ , therefore  $\bar{u} \in (N)$ .

R e m a r k . Indeed  $q \in (0,1)$  for  $M_2$  sufficiently small, because, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(X,u) \in D_1} \left| \iint_K D_u f(Y,u) G(X;Y) dY \right| &\leq \sup_{(X,u) \in D_1} |D_u f(X,u)| \sup_{X \in K} \iint_K |G(X;Y)| dY = \\ &= M_2 \sup_{X \in K} \iint_K |G(X;Y)| dY \leq M_2 [(2)^{-1} \pi |A_1| R^6 M_1 + H(R)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the assertion  $3^0$  is similar to that of  $2^0$ .

Ad  $4^0$ . By  $1^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_V(u)\| &= \|S(X,u) + V(X)\| = \|S(X,u) - S(X,0) + V(X)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|S(X,u) - S(X,0)\| + \|V(X)\| < qd(u,0) + (1-q)r < \\ &< qr + (1-q)r = r. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, the mapping  $F_V$  is contracting for the functions of the class (N) and transforms every function  $u \in (N)$  into a function of the class (N).

Lemma 2 and Theorem VIII.2 in [3] imply the following lemma.

L e m m a 3. There exists exactly one function  $u \in (N)$  satisfying the integral equation (6).

6. Let  $Z_1 = \{X: R_1 < |X| \leq R\}$ , where  $0 < R_1 < R$ . We shall prove the following theorem.

T h e o r e m 2. If  $f_1 \in C^1(Z_1)$ ,  $f_2 \in C(\partial K)$ ,  $f \in (F)$ ,  $u \in (N)$ ,  $u \in C^4(K) \cap C^3(\bar{K})$  and  $D_u^{(1)} f(X,u) \leq 0$  for  $(X,u) \in D_1$ , then

$1^0$  the function  $u$  being the solution of the integral equation (6) is the solution of the problem (1)-(3),

$2^0$  the function  $u$  is the unique solution of the problem (1)-(3).

P r o o f . Ad  $1^0$ . Let us consider the integrals

$$I^{j,k}(X;u) = A_1 \iint_K f(Y,u(Y)) D_{X_k}^j G(X;Y) dY, \quad j = 0,1, \quad k = 1,2.$$



By [1], the integrals  $I^{j,k}$ ,  $j=0,1$ ,  $k=1,2$ , are locally uniformly convergent at every point  $X \in K$ . Hence there exist the derivatives

$$D_{x_k}^j \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) G(X; Y) dY, \quad k=1,2, \quad j=0,1,$$

and

$$A_1 D_{x_k}^j \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) G(X; Y) dY = I^{j,k}(X; u), \quad j=0,1, \quad k=1,2.$$

By the properties of the function  $G$ , we obtain

$$D_{n_X}^i I^{0,k}(X; u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow X_0 \in \partial K, \quad k=1,2, \quad i=0,1.$$

From [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 I^{0,k}(X; u) &= A_1 \iint_K f(Y; u(Y)) \Delta_X^2 G[X; Y] dY = \\ &= A_1 \iint_K f(Y, u(Y)) J(X; Y) dY, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I^{0,k}(X; u) = I_1(X; u) + I_2(X; u)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} J(X; Y) &= 4g(X; Y) + 2R^{-2}B(Y) - \\ &- 4 + 4r^{-2}(X; Y)(R^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + x_1 y_1 - y_2 x_2 - \bar{x}_1 y_1 - \bar{x}_2 y_2). \end{aligned}$$

By [4], p.303, we obtain

$$\Delta^2 I^{0,k}(X; u) = f(X; u(X)) \quad \text{for } X \in K.$$

From the last formula and the integral equation (6), by the properties of the function  $V$ , we obtain

$$\Delta^2 u(X) = \Delta^2 V(X) + \Delta^2 I^{0,k}(X; u) = f(X, u(X)) \quad \text{for } X \in K,$$

$$u(X) = [V(X) + I(X; u)] \rightarrow f_1(X_0) \text{ as } X \rightarrow X_0 \in \partial K,$$

$$D_{n_X} u(X) = [D_{n_X} V(X) + D_{n_X} I(X; u)] \rightarrow f_2(X_0) \text{ as } X \rightarrow X_0 \in \partial K.$$

The assertion 2° follows from Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. B a r a ń s k i , J. M u s i a ł e k : On a boundary value problem for the non-homogeneous biharmonic equation on the circle, Demonstratio Math. 10 (1977) 443-465.
- [2] M. K r z y ż a ń s k i : Partial differential equations of second order. Vol. I, II, Warszawa 1971, 1972.
- [3] K. M a u r i n : Analiza I, Warszawa 1971.
- [4] W. P o g o r z e l s k i : Integral equations and their applications. Pergamon Press, PWN 1966.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF KRAKÓW,  
31-155 KRAKÓW;  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MINING AND METALLURGY,  
30-059 KRAKÓW  
Received November 2, 1981.