

Research Article

Spatial Profile of the Dead Core for the Fast Diffusion Equation with Dependent Coefficient

Zhengce Zhang and Biao Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhengce Zhang, zhangzc@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Received 7 May 2011; Accepted 25 October 2011

Academic Editor: Donal O'Regan

Copyright © 2011 Z. Zhang and B. Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider the dead-core problem for the fast diffusion equation with spatially dependent coefficient and obtain precise estimates on the single-point final dead-core profile. The proofs rely on maximum principle and require much delicate computation.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the porous medium equation with the following initial boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= (u^m)_{xx} - x^q u^p, & (x, t) &\in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ u_x(0, t) &= 0, & u(1, t) &= k, & t &\in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x &\in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < p < m < 1$ and $-2 < q < 0$. Assume $k > 0$ and that the initial data u_0 satisfies

$$u_0 \in C([0, 1]), \quad u_0 > 0 \text{ in } [0, 1], \quad u_0(0) = 0, \quad u_0(1) = k. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, we denote

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1-p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here we are mainly interested in the asymptotic behavior of nonnegative and global classical solutions. However, Problem (1.1) is singular at $x = 0$ for $-2 < q < 0$. In fact, the solutions can

be approximated, if necessary, by the ones satisfying the following equation $u_t = (u^m)_{xx} - (x + \epsilon)^q u^p$ with the same initial-boundary value conditions and taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We set

$$\theta(t) := \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} u(x, t) \quad (1.4)$$

and denote

$$T = T(u_0) := \inf\{t > 0; \theta(t) = 0\} > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

For suitable initial data, we will show that $T(u_0) < \infty$ (see Theorem 1.1). We say that the solution develops a dead core in finite time, and T is called the dead-core time.

In the past few years, much attentions have been taken to the dead-core problems. For the semilinear case of $0 < p < m = 1$ and $q = 0$, the temporal dead-core profile was investigated in [1] by Guo and Souplet. For the quasilinear case of $0 < p < m < 1$ and $q = 0$, Guo et al. [2] firstly investigated the solution which develops a dead core in finite time; then they obtained the spatial profile of the dead core and also studied the non-self-similar dead-core rate of the solution. Numerous related works have been devoted to some of the regularity and the corresponding problems such as blowup, quenching, and gradient blowup; we refer the interested reader to [3–11] and the references therein.

Our aim of this paper is to study the dead-core problem for the fast diffusion with strong absorption. In view of the observation concerning the interaction of diffusion and absorption, this question is of interest since the effect of fast diffusion, as compared with linear diffusion, is much stronger near the level $u = 0$. Although our strategy of proof is close to that in [2], the proof is technically much more difficult due to the presence of a nonlinear operator and spatially dependent absorption coefficient.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that the solution of the porous medium equation develops a dead core in finite time. In Section 3, firstly, we obtain the spatial profile of the dead-core upper bound estimate by the initial monotone assumption; then we construct auxiliary function and derive the lower bound estimate by maximum principle.

Our first result gives sufficient conditions under which the solution of Problem (1.1) develops a dead core in finite time. To formulate this, let us first recall some well-known facts: (1.1) admits a unique steady state $U_k \in C^2((0, 1])$ under the condition $-2 < q < 0$ for each given $k > 0$. Moreover, U_k is an even and nondecreasing function of x , and it is a nondecreasing function of k . Furthermore, there exists $k_0 = k_0(m, p) > 0$ such that if $k \in (0, k_0)$ then U_k vanishes on an interval of positive length, if $k = k_0$ then U_k vanishes only at $x = 0$, and if $k > k_0$ then U_k is positive.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $0 < p < m < 1$, $-2 < q < 0$ and (1.2).

- (i) Let $0 < k < k_0$. Then $T(u_0) < \infty$ for any u_0 .
- (ii) Let $k \geq k_0$. For any $\eta, M > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\eta, M) > 0$ such that $T(u_0) < \infty$ whenever $\|u_0\| \leq M$ and $u_0 \leq \delta$ on a subinterval of $(0, 1]$ of length η .

For our main results on the spatial profile of the dead-core problem, we will assume that u_0 satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 \in C^2([0, 1]), \quad (u_0^m)'' \leq x^q u_0^p \quad \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u_0 \text{ is even and nondecreasing in } x \text{ and } T(u_0) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

It then follows from the strong maximum principle that $u_t < 0$ in $Q_T := (0, 1) \times (0, T)$, $u(-x, t) = u(x, t)$ for $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, T)$ and $u_x > 0$ in $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$.

Our main goal in this paper is thus to obtain the following precise estimates on the single-point final dead-core profile near $x = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k > 0$ and assume $0 < p < m < 1$, $p + m > 1$, $-1 < q < 0$, (1.2), and (1.6), then there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 x^{(q+2)/(m-p)} \leq u(x, T) \leq C_2 x^{(q+2)/(m-p)}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where $C_1 = [\varepsilon(m-p)/m(q+2)]^{1/(m-p)}$, $C_2 = [(m-p)/m(q+1)(q+2)]^{1/(m-p)}$, and $\varepsilon \leq (p+m-1)/(2p+m-1)(q+1)$ is an arbitrary positive constant.

Remark 1.3. Due to the technical difficulty, we cannot prove that the coefficients of the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 1.2 are not identical. Also, it is very interesting whether Problem (1.1), even for the case $q > 0$, exists the non-self-similar dead-core rate similar to that in [1, 2]. We leave these open questions to the interested readers.

2. Quenching in Finite Time

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1. We look for a supersolution \bar{u} of $u_t - (u^m)_{xx} + x^q u^p = 0$ in $Q_T := (0, 1) \times (0, T)$, which develops a dead core at time T . For any $T \in (0, T_0)$, we will construct \bar{u} under the following self-similar form:

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = \varepsilon(T-t)^\alpha V(y), \quad y = x(T-t)^{-\beta}, \quad V(y) = (1+y^2)^\gamma, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$0 < \beta < \frac{\alpha(m-p)}{2} = \frac{m-p}{2(1-p)} \quad (2.2)$$

and $\gamma, \varepsilon, T_0 > 0$ will be determined. Note that $\bar{u}(0, T) = 0$. Computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} P\bar{u} &= \bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{xx} + x^q \bar{u}^p \\ &= \varepsilon(T-t)^{\alpha-1} (-\alpha V + \beta y V') - \varepsilon^m (T-t)^{\alpha m - 2\beta} (V^m)'' + \varepsilon^p x^q (T-t)^{\alpha p} V^p \\ &= \varepsilon(T-t)^{\alpha p} \left\{ \varepsilon^{p-1} x^q V^p - \alpha V + \beta y V' - \varepsilon^{m-1} (T-t)^\lambda (V^m)'' \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for $(x, t) \in Q_T$, where $\lambda = \alpha(m - p) - 2\beta > 0$. Assuming $T \leq T_0(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon^{(1-m)/\lambda}$, we see that

$$P\bar{u} \geq \varepsilon(T - t)^{\alpha p} \left\{ \varepsilon^{p-1} x^q - h(y) \right\}, \quad \text{where } h(y) = \alpha V - \beta y V' + |(V^m)''|. \quad (2.4)$$

Next taking $\gamma > \alpha/(2\beta)$ and using $|(V^m)''| \sim C|y|^{2m\gamma-2}$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, we observe that

$$h(y) \sim (\alpha - 2\beta\gamma)|y|^{2\gamma} \longrightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } |y| \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows that $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} h(y) < \infty$ and choosing $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m, p, \beta, \gamma) > 0$ sufficiently small, we conclude that $P\bar{u} \geq 0$ in Q_T . For further reference we also note that

$$\bar{u}(x, t) \geq \varepsilon|x|^{2\gamma}T^{-\mu} \quad \text{in } Q_T, \quad \text{where } \mu = 2\beta\gamma - \alpha > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Step 2 (we prove assertion (ii)). Fix $\eta, M > 0$ and $x_0 \in [\eta/2, 1 - \eta/2]$. Let \bar{u}, T_0 be as in Step 1 and set $\bar{v}(x, t) = \bar{u}(x - x_0, t)$. Taking $T \leq \min(T_0, T_1)$, where $T_1 = T_1(\eta, M) > 0$ is sufficiently small, and using (2.6), we see that $\bar{v}(x, t) \geq M$ for $|x - x_0| \geq \eta/2$ and $t \in (0, T)$, hence in particular $\bar{v}(\pm 1, t) \geq k$ (here, we deal with the symmetry case in one dimension). Next put $\delta := \min_{|x-x_0| \geq \eta/2} \bar{v}(x, 0)$. Then assuming $\|u_0\|_\infty \leq M$ and $u_0 \leq \delta$ for $|x - x_0| \geq \eta/2$, we get $u_0 \leq \bar{v}(x, 0)$, and it follows from the comparison principle that $u \leq \bar{v}$ in Q_T ; hence $T(u_0) \leq T < \infty$. This proves conclusion (ii).

Step 3 (we prove assertion (i)). First observe that assertion (ii) is actually true for any $k > 0$ in view of Step 2. On the other hand, by standard energy arguments, one can show that $u(x, t)$ converges to U_k in $L^\infty(0, 1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $U_k = 0$ on $[0, \eta/2]$ for some $\eta > 0$, it follows that for t_0 large, the new initial data $\tilde{u}_0 := u(x, t_0)$ satisfies the assumptions of part (ii) with $M = k + 1$. The conclusion follows. \square

3. Dead-Core Profile Upper and Lower Bound

In this section, we will derive some a priori estimates for solutions of (1.1). Since $u_t < 0$ in Q_T and $u_x > 0$ in $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$, we have $(u^m)_{xx} < x^q u^p$. Let $v = u^m$. Then from $v_x = mu^{m-1}u_x, 0 < u \leq k$ in Q_T and

$$v_{xx}(x, t) < x^q v^r(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \quad (3.1)$$

it follows that v_x and u_x are bounded in Q_T .

Integrating the inequality $v_{xx}(x, t) < x^q v^r(x, t)$ using $v_x \geq 0$, we obtain

$$v_x(x, t) = \int_0^x v_{xx}(y, t) dy \leq \int_0^x y^q v^r(y, t) dy \leq v^r(x, t) \int_0^x y^q dy \leq \frac{x^{q+1}}{q+1} v^r(x, t); \quad (3.2)$$

hence $v^{1-r}(x, t) - v^{1-r}(0, t) \leq ((1-r)/(q+1)(q+2))x^{q+2}$. Consequently

$$u(x, T) = v^{1/m}(x, T) \leq Cx^{(q+2)/m(1-r)} = Cx^{(q+2)/(m-p)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $C = [(m-p)/m(q+1)(q+2)]^{1/(m-p)}$. Together with the following lower bound lemma, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 < p < m < 1$, $p + m > 1$ and $-1 < q < 0$. Let (1.2), and (1.6) be in force and fix $t_0 \in (0, T)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the auxiliary function*

$$J := (u^m)_x - \varepsilon x^{q+1} u^p \quad (3.4)$$

satisfies $J \geq 0$ in $[0, 1] \times (t_0, T)$. In particular, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$u(x, t) \geq C_\varepsilon x^{(q+2)/(m-p)}, \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (t_0, T), \quad (3.5)$$

where $C_\varepsilon = [\varepsilon(m-p)/m(q+2)]^{1/(m-p)}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq (p+m-1)/(2p+m-1)(q+1)$.

Proof. The equation in (1.1) can be written under the form

$$u_t - au_{xx} = m(m-1)u^{m-2}(u_x)^2 - x^q u^p, \quad (3.6)$$

with $a = mu^{m-1}$. For $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{q+1} u^p)_t &= px^{q+1} u^{p-1} u_t, \\ (x^{q+1} u^p)_x &= (q+1)x^q u^p + px^{q+1} u^{p-1} u_x \\ (x^{q+1} u^p)_{xx} &= (q+1)qx^{q-1} u^p + 2(q+1)px^q u^{p-1} u_x + p(p-1)x^{q+1} u^{p-2} (u_x)^2 + px^{q+1} u^{p-1} u_{xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{q+1} u^p)_t - a(x^{q+1} u^p)_{xx} &= px^{q+1} u^{p-1} (u_t - au_{xx}) \\ &\quad - a((q+1)qx^{q-1} u^p + 2(q+1)px^q u^{p-1} u_x + p(p-1)x^{q+1} u^{p-2} (u_x)^2) \\ &= pm(m-1)x^{q+1} u^{p+m-3} u_x^2 - px^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} - 2m(q+1)px^q u^{m+p-2} u_x \\ &\quad - mq(q+1)x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1} - mp(p-1)x^{q+1} u^{m+p-3} u_x^2 \\ &= -pm^{-1}(-m+p)x^{q+1} u^{p-m-1} (u^m)_x^2 - 2p(q+1)x^q u^{p-1} (u^m)_x \\ &\quad - px^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} - mq(q+1)x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Using

$$(u^m)_x = J + \varepsilon x^{q+1} u^p, \quad (3.9)$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x^{q+1}u^p\right)_t - a\left(x^{q+1}u^p\right)_{xx} &= b_1J - px^{2q+1}u^{2p-1}\left\{1 + 2\varepsilon(q+1) + \varepsilon^2m^{-1}(p-m)x^{q+2}u^{p-m}\right\} \\ &\quad - mq(q+1)x^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

with $b_1 = pm^{-1}(m-p)x^{q+1}u^{p-m-1}(u^m)_x^2(J + 2\varepsilon x^{q+1}u^p) - 2p(q+1)x^qu^{p-1}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u^m)_{xt} - a(u^m)_{xxx} &= (au_x)_t - a(u^m)_{xxx} = a(u_t - (u^m)_{xx})_x + a_tu_x \\ &= -aqx^{q-1}u^p - apx^qu^{p-1}u_x + m(m-1)u^{m-2}u_tu_x \\ &= -mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} - px^qu^{p-1}(u^m)_x + (m-1)u^{-1}u_t(u^m)_x \\ &= -mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} - px^qu^{p-1}\left(J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^p\right) + (m-1)u^{-1}u_t\left(J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^p\right) \\ &= b_2J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^{2p-1}\{-px^q + (m-1)u^{-p}u_t\} - mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} \\ &= b_2J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^{2p-1}\{(1-m-p)x^q + (m-1)u^{-p}(u^m)_{xx}\} - mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $b_2 = -px^qu^{p-1} + (m-1)u^{-1}u_t$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (u^m)_{xx} &= \left(J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^p\right)_x = J_x + \varepsilon\left[(q+1)x^qu^p + px^{q+1}u^{p-1}u_x\right] \\ &= J_x + \varepsilon x^qu^p\left[q+1 + pm^{-1}xu^{-m}(u^m)_x\right] \\ &= J_x + \varepsilon x^qu^p\left[q+1 + pm^{-1}xu^{-m}\left(J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^p\right)\right] \\ &= J_x + b_3J + \varepsilon x^qu^p\left[q+1 + \varepsilon pm^{-1}x^{q+2}u^{p-m}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

with $b_3 = \varepsilon pm^{-1}x^{q+1}u^{p-m}$ being a smooth function on $[0, 1] \times (0, T)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (u^m)_{xt} - a(u^m)_{xxx} &= b_2J + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^{2p-1}\left\{(1-m-p)x^q + (m-1)u^{-p}\right. \\ &\quad \times \left.\left(J_x + b_3J + \varepsilon x^qu^p\left[q+1 + \varepsilon pm^{-1}x^{q+2}u^{p-m}\right]\right)\right\} \\ &\quad - mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1} \\ &= b_4J + b_5J_x + \varepsilon x^{q+1}u^{2p-1}\left\{(1-m-p)x^q + \varepsilon(m-1)x^q\right. \\ &\quad \times \left.\left[q+1 + \varepsilon pm^{-1}x^{q+2}u^{p-m}\right]\right\} - mqx^{q-1}u^{m+p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_4 &= b_2 + \varepsilon(m-1)x^{q+1}u^{p-1}b_3, \\ b_5 &= \varepsilon(m-1)x^{q+1}u^{p-1} \text{ is a smooth function on } [0, 1] \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & b_4 J + b_5 J_x - m q x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1} \\ & + \varepsilon x^{q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ (1-m-p)x^q + \varepsilon(m-1)x^q [q+1 + \varepsilon p m^{-1} x^{q+2} u^{p-m}] \right\} \\ & = J_t - a J_{xx} + \varepsilon b_1 J - \varepsilon m q x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1} \\ & - \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ 1 + 2\varepsilon(q+1) + \varepsilon^2 m^{-1} (p-m) x^{q+2} u^{p-m} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Namely

$$\begin{aligned} & J_t - a J_{xx} - \left(b_5 + (m-1)u^{-1} \right) J_x - b_7 J \\ & = \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ (1-m-p) + \varepsilon(m-1) [q+1 + \varepsilon p m^{-1} x^{q+2} u^{p-m}] \right\} \\ & + p \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ 1 + 2\varepsilon(q+1) + \varepsilon^2 m^{-1} (p-m) x^{q+2} u^{p-m} \right\} \\ & - m q (1 - \varepsilon(q+1)) x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1} \\ & = \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ 1 - m + \varepsilon(m-1) [q+1 + \varepsilon p m^{-1} x^{q+2} u^{p-m}] \right\} \\ & + p \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ 2\varepsilon(q+1) + \varepsilon^2 m^{-1} (p-m) x^{q+2} u^{p-m} \right\} \\ & - m q (1 - \varepsilon(q+1)) x^{q-1} u^{m+p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

with $b_6 = b_5 + (m-1)u^{-1}$ being a smooth function on $[0, 1] \times (0, T)$.

In order to make $b_7 \leq 0$ in force, we require $\varepsilon \leq (p+m-1)/(2p+m-1)(q+1)$ and $p+m > 1$.

Since $m < 1$, by choosing $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ with $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(m, p) > 0$ small enough, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_t - a J_{xx} - b_6 J_x - b_7 J & \geq \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{2p-1} \left\{ \frac{1-m}{2} - \frac{p(1-p)}{m} \varepsilon^2 x^{q+2} u^{p-m} \right\} \\ & = \frac{1-m}{2} \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{3p-m-1} \left\{ u^{m-p} - \kappa \varepsilon^2 x^{q+2} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where $\kappa := 2p(1-p)/[m(1-m)] > 0$. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[u^{m-p} - \frac{m-p}{(q+2)m} \varepsilon x^{q+2} \right]_x &= (m-p) \left[u^{m-p-1} u_x - m^{-1} \varepsilon x^{q+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{m-p}{m} u^{-p} \left[(u^m)_x - \varepsilon x^{q+1} u^p \right] = \frac{m-p}{m} u^{-p} J, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

hence

$$u^{m-p} - \frac{m-p}{(q+2)m} \varepsilon x^{q+2} \geq \frac{m-p}{m} u^{-p} \int_0^x u^{-p} J(y, t) dy. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, taking ε_0 possible smaller, we get

$$J_t - aJ_{xx} - b_6J_x - b_7J \geq \frac{1-m}{2} \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{3p-m-1} \left\{ u^{m-p} - \frac{m-p}{2m} \varepsilon x^{q+2} \right\}, \quad (3.20)$$

hence

$$J_t - aJ_{xx} - b_6J_x - b_7J \geq C \varepsilon x^{2q+1} u^{3p-m-1} \int_0^x u^{-p} J(y, t) dy, \quad (3.21)$$

with $C = (1-m)(m-p)/2m > 0$. Now for any $0 < t_0 < t_1 < T$, it follows from the maximum principle that J attains its minimum in $Q = [0, 1] \times [t_0, t_1]$ on the parabolic boundary of Q (see [1, 2]).

It is thus sufficient to check that $J \geq 0$ on the parabolic boundary of Q for ε small. Clearly $J = 0$ for $x = 0$. Since u_x is bounded on Q_T , $u(x, t) \geq \eta > 0$ in $[1-\eta, 1] \times (t_0, T)$ for some small constant $\delta > 0$. Therefore u extends to a classical solution on $[1-\eta, 1] \times (t_0, T]$, and Hopf's Lemma implies that $u_x(1, t) \geq \tilde{\delta} > 0$ for $t_0 < t < T$; hence $J(1, t) \geq 0$ for $t_0 < t < T$ if ε is chosen small enough. Moreover, also as a consequence of Hopf's Lemma, we have $u_x(x, t_0) \geq cx^{q+1}$ in $[0, 1]$ for some $c > 0$. Again decreasing ε if necessary, we deduce that $J(x, t_0) \geq 0$ in $[0, 1]$. The lemma follows. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Bei Hu for helpful suggestions. The paper is supported by Youth Foundation of NSFC (no. 10701061) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

References

- [1] J.-S. Guo and P. Souplet, "Fast rate of formation of dead-core for the heat equation with strong absorption and applications to fast blow-up," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 331, no. 3, pp. 651–667, 2005.
- [2] J.-S. Guo, C.-T. Ling, and P. Souplet, "Non-self-similar dead-core rate for the fast diffusion equation with strong absorption," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 657–673, 2010.

- [3] V. A. Galaktionov, S. I. Shmarev, and J. L. Vazquez, "Regularity of interfaces in diffusion processes under the influence of strong absorption," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 183–212, 1999.
- [4] Z. Zhang and B. Hu, "Rate estimates of gradient blowup for a heat equation with exponential nonlinearity," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 4594–4601, 2010.
- [5] Y. Li and P. Souplet, "Single-point gradient blow-up on the boundary for diffusive Hamilton-Jacobi equations in planar domains," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 293, no. 2, pp. 499–517, 2010.
- [6] Z. Zhang and B. Hu, "Gradient blowup rate for a semilinear parabolic equation," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series A*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 767–779, 2010.
- [7] S. Zheng and X. Song, "Quenching rates for heat equations with coupled singular nonlinear boundary flux," *Science in China Series A*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 1631–1643, 2008.
- [8] J.-S. Guo and B. Hu, "Blowup rate estimates for the heat equation with a nonlinear gradient source term," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series A*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 927–937, 2008.
- [9] M. Winkler, "Infinite-time quenching in a fast diffusion equation with strong absorption," *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 41–61, 2009.
- [10] W. Liu and M. Wang, "Blow-up of the solution for a p-Laplacian equation with positive initial energy," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 141–146, 2008.
- [11] J.-S. Guo and C.-C. Wu, "Finite time dead-core rate for the heat equation with a strong absorption," *The Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 37–70, 2008.

