

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Prompt and delayed spectroscopy of ^{203}At: Observation of a shears band and a 29/2^{+} isomeric state

K. Auranen et al.

Phys. Rev. C **97**, 024301 — Published 2 February 2018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.97.024301

Prompt and delayed spectroscopy of 203 At: Observation of a shears band and a ${}^{29/2^+}$ isomeric state

K. Auranen,^{1,2,*} J. Uusitalo,¹ S. Juutinen,¹ H. Badran,¹ F. Defranchi Bisso,¹ D. Cox,¹ T.

Grahn,¹ P.T. Greenlees,¹ A. Herzáň,^{1,†} U. Jakobsson,^{3,‡} R. Julin,¹ J. Konki,¹ M. Leino,¹ A.

Lightfoot,¹ M.J. Mallaburn,⁴ O. Neuvonen,¹ J. Pakarinen,¹ P. Papadakis,¹ J. Partanen,¹

P. Rahkila,¹ M. Sandzelius,¹ J. Sarén,¹ C. Scholey,¹ J. Sorri,^{1,§} S. Stolze,¹ and Y.K. Wang⁵

¹University of Jyvaskyla, Department of Physics,

P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 University of Jyvaskyla, Finland

²Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

³Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Schuster Building,

University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

⁵State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,

School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: January 18, 2018)

Using fusion-evaporation reactions, a gas-filled recoil separator, recoil-gating technique and recoilisomer decay tagging technique we have extended the level scheme of ²⁰³At (N = 118) significantly. We have observed an isomeric [$\tau = 14.1(3) \mu$ s] state with a spin and parity of ²⁹/₂⁺. The isomeric state is suggested to originate from the $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes |^{202}$ Po; $11^-\rangle$ coupling, and it is depopulated through 286-keV E2 and 366-keV E3 transitions. In addition, we have observed a cascade of magnetic dipole transitions which is suggested to be generated by the shears mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nuclei close to the magic Z = 82 shell gap are observed to have several interesting nuclear properties and phenomena. These include, but are not limited to, shape coexistence, superdeformed bands, shears bands, and a large variety of low and high-lying isomeric states. Also, sudden changes in spin, parity and deformation of the ground state are observed in this region (See, for example, Refs. [1–3] and references therein). Near the N = 126 shell closure, spherical or nearly spherical shapes can be found in even-mass polonium nuclei. When the neutron number decreases, there is a change to oblate deformed, and later prolate deformed, structure close to neutron midshell (N = 104) [4, 5]. As the odd-mass astatine nuclei can be described as an odd proton coupled to an even-mass polonium core, this onset of deformation can be predicted to exist also in astatine nuclei, and indeed the existing spectroscopical studies support this picture (see, for example, Refs. [6–12]).

In the previous study of 203 At [10], around twenty γ ray transitions were identified, and the level scheme was built up to maximum spin of $^{23}/^{2(-)}$ and an excitation energy of 1965 keV in the negative parity cascade. Similarly, the level scheme on positive parity side was unambiguously identified up to maximum spin and excitation energy of $^{19/2+}$ and 1942 keV, respectively. However, there is some room for improvements. Above the mentioned excited states, there are a couple of low-energy transitions, Δ , which Dybdal *et al.* were not able to identify. In addition, the present level scheme of 203 At is lacking the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state. This state is known in the neighboring astatine isotopes 199,201,205,209,211 At [7, 9, 11, 13, 14], hence one might expect it to exist also in 203 At. Recent studies [9, 15] report observations of a shears band in nearby nuclei 201 At and 204 At, respectively. Therefore, 203 At is a good candidate nucleus for another shears band.

Rotational-like cascades of M1-type transitions were found in nearly spherical nuclei in the early 1990s. The stunning observable in these cascades was the regularity of the observed γ -ray energy spectrum, it was almost like a superdeformed band. Nowadays more than 50 of these bands have been found mainly in lead and bismuth nuclei ([16] and references therein), but a few examples are also known in other mass regions, for example, in 105 Sn [17], 106,108 Sn [18], 110 Cd [19], and 139 Sm [20]. To date, only four shears bands are known in nuclei heavier than bismuth, these are 201 At [9], 204 At [15], 205 Rn [21] and ²⁰⁶Fr [15]. Shears bands can be described in detail through the tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory (TAC-CDFT) [22–25], which enables a description of rotational excitations on the basis of a well-determined covariant density functional. So far, the TAC-CDFT has been successfully used to describe shears bands [23, 26], antimagnetic rotation bands [27, 28], chiral doublet bands [29], linear alpha cluster bands [30], and transitions of nuclear spin orientation [31], and it has demonstrated high predictive power [24, 25]. In particular for $A \sim 200$ region, the shears bands observed in

^{*} kauranen@anl.gov

[†] Present address: Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, United Kingdom

[‡] Present address: Department of Chemistry - Radiochemistry, P.O. Box 55, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

[§] Present address: University of Oulu, Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, Tähteläntie 62, FI-99600 Sodankylä, Finland



FIG. 1. MWPC-vetoed α -particle energy spectrum observed in the DSSD obtained with the ⁴⁸Ca(198 MeV) + ¹⁵⁹Tb reaction. Activities marked with an asterisk most likely originate from a contaminant ⁴⁸Ti beam, see text for details.

 $^{198,199}\mathrm{Pb}$ were well explained with the TAC-CDFT [26].

In this publication we, present an updated level scheme for the 203 At nucleus including the isomeric $^{29/2^+}$ state and a suggested shears band. The feeding and depopulation of the isomeric state is extracted and compared to the systematics of the neighboring nuclei. Also, a justification for the shears band assignment is discussed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The experiment was conducted in the Accelerator Laboratory at the Department of Physics at the University of Jyväskylä, where the K-130 cyclotron provided the used ⁴⁸Ca beam with an energy of 198 MeV, a typical intensity of 10 pnA and total irradiation time of 70 h. The beam was guided to a self supporting ¹⁵⁹Tb target with a thickness of 360 μ g/cm². This led, among other reactions, to a fusion-evaporation reaction of ¹⁵⁹Tb(⁴⁸Ca,4n)²⁰³At. The relative yields for other fusion-evaporation channels may be estimated from the α -decay energy spectrum presented in Fig. 1. In the high-energy side of the spectrum, one may observe some contaminant α peaks. If the ⁴⁸Ca beam included a contaminant ⁴⁸Ti beam component, it would populate ²⁰²Rn, ^{203m}Rn, ¹⁹⁹At and ^{200m}At nuclei. The α -particle energies of these nuclei are close to those observed contaminant ones, which are marked with an asterisk in Fig. 1.

Prompt γ -ray transitions at the target position were observed with the JUROGAM II array. In JU-ROGAM II, there are a total of 24 clover [32] and 15 tapered [33, 34] Compton-suppressed germanium detectors. In this report, we omit the exact value of the angular distribution parameter, A_2 [35]. This is because the observed angular distributions showed some irregular behavior, which might originate from a misplaced target and/or calibration source. Also, the various isomeric states present in the level scheme (See Sec. IV) make the angular distribution analysis even more challenging. However, a clear ascending $(A_2>0)$ or descending $(A_2<0)$ trend was observed for the angular distributions of a previously identified [10] stretched quadrupole or dipole transitions, respectively. Therefore, the sign of the A_2 may be announced.

The gas-filled recoil separator RITU [36, 37] was used to extract the fusion-evaporation residues (later recoils) from the primary beam and other unwanted target-like and beam-like nuclei. At the focal plane of RITU, the recoils were implanted into a $300-\mu m$ thick double-sided silicon strip detector (DSSD), the main instrument of the GREAT spectrometer [38]. The DSSD was surrounded upstream by PINs, an array of 28 silicon detectors in a box arrangement. These charged-particle detector setups were set to detect α particles and conversion electrons. A planar germanium detector was placed immediately behind the DSSD inside the GREAT vacuum chamber. The planar detector was primarily used for low-energy γ rays and x-rays. An array of three clover detectors was placed in close geometry around the DSSD vacuum chamber to detect γ -ray transitions with higher energies. The peak-to-total ratio of all clover-type detectors was improved through an add-back method. A multiwireproportional counter (MWPC) was placed between RITU and GREAT. Energy losses to the MWPC and time-offlights between MWPC and DSSD enabled to distinguish between recoils and scattered beam particles.

Data from all ADC channels were recorded independently using the triggerless total data readout method (TDR) [39]. A 100-MHz clock was used to timestamp all recorded events, and the GRAIN software [40] was used in the data analysis.

III. TAC-CDFT

It has been found that the pairing correlations should be important in the description of shears bands in the $A \sim 200$ mass region [26]. Recently, the tilted axis cranking covariant density function theory (TAC-CDFT) with pairing correlations has been developed in Ref. [31] with a monopole force, and later in Ref. [41] with the separable pairing force [42]. Therefore, in the following, the TAC-CDFT with pairing correlations are employed. Furthermore, the successful relativistic density functional PC-PK1 [43] in the particle-hole channel, and the finite range separable pairing force [42] in the particleparticle channel are adopted. As commonly done, the strength of the pairing force is enhanced about 2% to avoid an unphysical collapse of pairing gaps. The relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov equation is solved in a threedimensional harmonic oscillator basis in Cartesian coordinates with 12 major shells.

IV. RESULTS

A. Isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state

The level scheme below the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state was constructed mainly based on the $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences, the angular distribution of prompt γ rays and energy sums. The validity of the level scheme was confirmed by extracting the total transition intensity for each transition at the focal plane $I_{TR}(FP)$. The observed transitions are listed in Table I, and the obtained level scheme is presented in Fig. 2. The applicable parts of the level scheme obtained in this study are in agreement with the one suggested earlier [10]. Some details of the analysis are now discussed.

Fig. 3(a) shows the singles energy spectrum of the γ rays observed in the focal-plane clover array within $30 \ \mu s$ from the recoil implantation to DSSD. Most of the observed transitions are previously assigned to $^{203}\mathrm{At}$ [10]. The search time of 30 μ s corresponds roughly to three times the half-life of the isomeric state of interest. This time condition is applied to all delayed γ -ray and conversion-electron data presented in this work if not stated otherwise. Extraction of the half-life is discussed later in this section. Fig. 3 also shows two examples of $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence analysis using focal-plane clover detectors, these are presented in panels (b) and (c). In addition to transitions observed in clover detectors, there are a couple of low-energy γ rays, which are only observable in the planar germanium detector placed inside the GREAT vacuum chamber.

Two examples of γ -ray energy spectra observed using the planar detector are presented in Fig. 4. Panel (a) shows the energy spectrum of γ rays in prompt coincidence with the 286 or 309-keV γ -ray transition observed in the focal plane clover array. Panel (b) is the same as (a), but the gate is set to the 411-keV transition. In panel (a) one can observe low-energy transitions with transition energies of 39 and 64 keV. Based on the data obtained in this study it is impossible to fix the ordering of these transitions in the level scheme. However, the intensity analysis dictates M1 and E2 character for the 39 and 64-keV transitions, respectively.

Figs. 3(c) and 4(b) show a coincidence between the 411 and 286-keV transitions. This suggests that there is a weak link transition between the positive and negative parity cascades. A comparison of the K_{α} and K_{β} x-ray intensities in Fig. 4(b) reveals that there is some additional intensity in the peak close to the energy of the astatine K_{α} x-ray energy. The total transition intensity of this additional 80-keV transition is comparable to the total transition intensity of the 286-keV transition in Fig. 4(b), if the 80-keV transition is assumed to be of type E1. In addition, the energy difference between the $^{25/2^+}$ and the $^{23/2^-}$ state is 80 keV, hence an E1 transition is placed between them.

The assignments of the E2 and E3 character for the 286- and 366-keV transitions, respectively, are based on

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | , , | | | v | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $E_{\gamma} \; (\mathrm{keV})$ | I_{γ} | $I_{TR}(FP)$ | A_2 | I_i^{π} | I_f^{π} |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $38.5(2)^{a}$ | | $130(30)^{a}$ | | $(21/2^+)^{\rm b}$ | $^{19/2+b}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $63.5(3)^{\rm a}$ | | $160(50)^{\rm a}$ | | $^{25/2+b}$ | $(21/2^+)^{\rm b}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $80.0(2)^{c}$ | | $8(2)^{c}$ | | $\frac{25}{2^{+}}$ | $^{23}/^{2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 211.9(2) | 47.6(15) | 58(9) | | $^{13}/2^{+}$ | $^{11}/^{2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 223.2(2) | $7.5(5)^{d}$ | 8.3(12) | | $^{13}/2^{+}$ | $^{13}/2^{-}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 246.5(2) | $10.1(6)^{e}$ | 31(4) | $<\!0$ | $^{19}/2^{+}$ | $^{17}/^{2^{+}}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 254.3(2) | $15.4(8)^{e}$ | 24(3) | $<\!0$ | $17/2^+$ | $^{15}/2^{+}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 285.8(2) | | 115(12) | | $^{29/2^{+}}$ | $^{25}/^{2+}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 308.7(2) | 36.3(1.2) | 67(7) | $<\!0$ | $^{19}/2^{+}$ | $^{17}/^{2^{+}}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 316.5(2) | 8.5(6) | 11.3(14) | $<\!0$ | $^{17}/2^{+}$ | $^{15}/2^{+}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 328.1(2) | 15.2(10) | 112(12) | $<\!0$ | $^{23/2}$ | $^{21}/^{2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 365.8(2) | | 110(11) | | $^{29/2^{+}}$ | $^{23/2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 411.2(2) | 41.8(14) | 116(11) | >0 | $^{21}/^{2}$ | $17/2^{-}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 506.9(2) | $44(3)^{e}$ | 51(6) | $<\!0$ | $^{15}/2^{+}$ | $^{13}/_{2}^{+}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 576.5(2) | 79(3) | 139(15) | >0 | $17/2^{-}$ | $^{13}/2^{-}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 648.6(2) | 100(4) | 160(20) | >0 | $^{13}/2^{-}$ | $^{9/2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 660.0(2) | 56(3) | 90(10) | | $^{11}/2^{-}$ | $^{9/2}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 716.4(2) | 10.8(4) | 8(2) | | $^{19/2}+$ | $17/2^{-}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | 761.4(2) | 32.2(10) | 60(7) | >0 | $17/2^+$ | $^{13}/_{2}^{+}$ |
| $871.8(2)$ 15.9(5) 41(5) $\frac{13}{2^{+}}$ $\frac{9}{2^{-}}$ | 823.7(2) | 10.8(4) | 33(4) | >0 | $^{17}/2^{+}$ | $^{13}/_{2}^{+}$ |
| | 871.8(2) | 15.9(5) | 41(5) | | $^{13}/2^{+}$ | $^{9/2}$ |

^a Deduced from planar detector data, that is gated with suitable γ -ray transitions observed in the focal-plane clover array.

- ^b Ordering of the 39 and 64-keV transitions is not clear. See text for details.
- ^c Transition observed indirectly, see text for details. Transition energy is calculated from the energy difference of the 286 and 366-keV transitions. Intensity is from 411-keV (clover) gated planar vs clover $\gamma\gamma$ data. The number of K_{α} x-rays is subtracted based on the number of observed K_{β} x-rays.
- ^d Intensity from the 649-keV gated $\gamma\gamma$ data. Intensity normalized to the intensity of the 577-keV transition in singles spectrum.
- ^e Intensity from the 660-keV gated $\gamma\gamma$ data. Intensity normalized to the intensity of the 761-keV transition in singles spectrum.

the internal-conversion intensity ratio K/L+M+... The spectra used in this analysis are presented in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b). For the 286-keV transition the extracted intensity ratio is 0.99(22), which matches with a theoretical [44] ratio of 0.98(3), calculated for a 286-keV *E*2 transition. Similarly, the deduced ratio of 0.55(8) matches with a theoretical [44] ratio of 0.50(2), calculated for a 366-keV *E*3 transition. In both spectra there are some transitions overlapping with the transitions of interest, especially the 309-keV transition which overlaps with the 286-keV transition. The number of events in each case were extracted by using a multi-component fit. The validity of this method was confirmed by extracting also the intensity ratio for the 309-keV transition, which agrees with



FIG. 2. Partial level scheme of 203 At. The level scheme of the levels connected to the isomeric intruder $^{1/2^+}$ state is shown in Ref [45]. The purpose of the inset is to clarify the low-energy transitions below the isomeric $^{29/2^+}$ state. The transitions marked with Δ and Δ' were not observed, but $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences suggest that those are present. Energy summing of γ rays suggest a transition energy of 12 keV and 51 keV for Δ and Δ' , respectively. The circulated numbers refer to group number in Table III.

a theoretical [44] estimate calculated for a 309-keV M1 transition. The previous study [10] suggested this character for the 309-keV transition, and the angular distribution of prompt γ rays obtained in this study supports this picture. The theoretical K/L+M+... intensity ratio for a 309-keV E1 transition is nearly equal to the corresponding theoretical ratio of an M1 transition, hence this analysis does not confirm the character of the 309-keV transition. However, this shows that the used fitting method produces reasonable K/L+M+... ratios despite the overlap of the peaks. The contribution of the 212-keV L + M + ... conversions to the peak at energy of ~ 200 keV was considered to be negligible. This is reasonable because the 212-keV transition, being of type E1, has a small total conversion branch and large K/L+M+... ratio.

In Fig. 6 the time distributions between the recoil implantation and the subsequent γ rays depopulating the isomeric state are shown. In panel (a) the 286-keV transition is observed in the planar detector and it must be

in prompt coincidence with the 761-keV γ -ray transition, observed in the focal-plane clover array. Panel (b) is the same, but with transition energies of 366 keV (planar) and 577 keV (clover). The mean lifetimes, extracted using a logarithmic time-scale method [46], are nearly identical, indicating that the 286-keV and 366-keV transitions are from the same isomeric state. The longer-lived component in both distributions are the outcome of random γ -ray coincidences originating, for example, from Compton scattering. The 30 μ s time gate was omitted in these lifetime analyses.

The mean lifetime of the $^{13}/^{2+}$ and $^{25}/^{2+}$ states were extracted through centroid-shift method, which gives lifetimes of 4(3) ns and 20(2) ns, respectively. Selected examples of this analysis are shown in Fig. 7, where the time distributions between two γ rays observed in the focal plane clover array are presented. The time difference between the selected γ rays was taken from the timestamp of each observed γ ray. The dashed distributions



FIG. 3. Energy spectrum of γ rays observed in the focal-plane clover array: (a) Singles, within 30 μ s from the recoil implantation. Recoil-gated delayed $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence energy spectrum when the gating transition is (b) the 660-keV or (c) the 411-keV γ -ray transition.



FIG. 4. Energy spectrum of γ rays observed using the planar germanium detector: In the panel (a) a prompt coincidence with the 286 or 309-keV transition observed in the focal-plane clover array is demanded. Panel (b) is the same as the panel (a), but has an energy gate of 411-keV.



FIG. 5. Energy spectra of internal conversion electrons in prompt coincidence with the 328-keV (a) or 761-keV (b) γ ray transitions. Conversion electrons are observed in the PIN silicon box array, and the γ rays are observed in the focalplane clover array.



FIG. 6. Natural logarithm of the time difference between the recoil implantation and the subsequent 286-keV (a) or 366-keV (b) γ -ray observation in the planar detector. In addition, the transition observed in the planar detector must be in prompt coincidence with the 761-keV (a) or 577-keV (b) γ ray observed in one of the focal-plane clover detectors. A logarithmic time-scale method [46] yields nearly identical mean lifetime for both distributions. The longer living component is a result of random γ -ray coincidences originating, for example, from Compton scattering. In the extraction of theses spectra the 30 μ s time gate was omitted.

are a result of reversing start and stop γ rays. After the background subtraction, the centroid C of each distribution was extracted by using the standard equations [47]



FIG. 7. Time difference between two selected γ rays observed in the focal plane clover array: Panels (a)-(c) show three examples of a prompt time distributions. Panel (d) shows the time difference between 749-keV and 512-keV γ rays in ²⁰¹At. This time difference correspond to the mean lifetime of the $^{13}/\mathrm{2^{+}}$ in $^{201}\mathrm{At},$ which has a literature value of 23(2) ns [10]. This time difference was sorted from the data of our earlier experiment, whose results have been published in Refs. [8, 9]. Panels (e) and (f) show the time distributions related to the mean lifetime of the $^{25}/^{2+}$ and $^{13}/^{2+}$ states in 203 At, respectively. In each panel the dashed distribution is a result of reversing γ_1 and γ_2 (start and stop). The vertical lines indicate the centroid of each distribution given by Eq. 1 and the given mean lifetimes are extracted through centroid-shift method. The few bins with negative number of counts are due to background subtraction, see text for details.

of

$$C = \frac{\sum_{j} n_j t_j}{\sum_{j} n_j} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\delta C = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j} |n_j| (t_j - C)^2}{(\sum_{j} n_j)^2}},$$
(2)

where n_j is the number of observations in the time bin t_j . The absolute value for n_j in Eq. 2 was added in or-

der to handle a few bins with negative number of events, which are due to background subtraction procedure. Assuming no background contribution after the background subtraction it follows [48] that the centroid shift between normal C_N and reversed C_R time distribution is equal to two times the mean lifetime of the state between the start and stop γ ray, hence $\tau = (C_N - C_R)/2$. The validity of the method described above was confirmed by extracting the mean lifetime for several states which are assumed to be prompt (Fig. 7(a)-(c)) and also by extracting a mean lifetime of the $^{13/2^+}$ state in 201 At (Fig. 7(d)). This state is known to have a lifetime of 23(2) ns [10]. The method described above suggests a mean lifetime of 4(3) ns and 20(2) ns for the $^{13/2^+}$ and $^{25/2^+}$ states in 203 At, respectively.

B. Shears band

Figure 8 shows an energy spectrum of prompt γ rays in coincidence with a 949-keV transition. In this spectrum, there are low-energy M1 transitions which, based on $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences, form a cascade. The M1 assignment is based on a stretched dipole like angular distribution and on a high x-ray yield observed together with this cascade. The γ rays in this cascade are listed in Table II together with the transitions depopulating this band. Table II also lists lower limits for the B(M1)/B(E2) ratios, which were extracted by integrating the smallest observable peaks in the noise close to the energies of the unobserved E2 overlap transitions. The transition energy of the E2 candidate depopulating the 4639-keV state overlaps with the 544-keV transition, hence it was not possible to integrate the noise close to this energy. Therefore, an average intensity of all the other E2 candidates was used to extract the lower limit of the B(M1)/B(E2) ratio for the depopulation of this level. This is justified because the noise level through the energy range where the E2 candidates are expected to appear is stable.

There are two observed depopulation paths from the band-head state. A weaker decay path is observed to feed the isomeric $^{29}/_2^+$ state through an 1156-keV stretched dipole transition, see Fig. 9(a). This dipole transition suggests that the band head of the dipole band lies at the excitation energy of 3486 keV with spin of $^{27}/_2$ or $^{31}/_2$.

The majority of the intensity from the band head state is depopulated through a cascade of 949-keV and 544-keV transitions. Figures 8(a) and (b) show γ rays coinciding with this decay path. Some of these transitions are previously assigned to the positive parity cascade below the isomeric ²⁹/2⁺ state and those are marked with an asterisk in Figs. 8(a) and (b). The presence of the 309-keV transition suggests that this depopulation path must end up to the ¹⁹/2⁺ state or higher in the positive parity cascade. As the energy of the band-head state is fixed by the 1156-keV transition, energy summing of γ rays suggests that the 949-, 544-keV decay path must populate the





FIG. 8. Energy spectrum of recoil-gated γ rays observed in the JUROGAM II array in coincidence with (a) the 949-keV transition or (b) the 544-keV transition. Transitions labeled with an asterisk are known transitions associated with the positive parity structure below the $^{29}/_{2}^{+}$ isomeric state. In panel (b), the dipole band members and the 949-keV transition depopulating the band are connected with a dashed line.

TABLE II. Observed γ rays in the suggested shears band (above the line) and those depopulating the shears structure (below the line). If not specified otherwise, E_{γ} and I_{γ} are extracted from the $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data gated with the 949keV transition and I_{γ} is normalized to the intensity of the 259-keV transition in the recoil gated singles spectrum.

| E_{γ} (keV) | I_{γ} | A_2 | I_i^{π} | I_f^{π} | B(M1)/B(E2) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| , , , | , | | | 5 | $\left(\mu_N^2 / e^2 b^2 \right)$ |
| 133.8(4) | 5.1(10) | <0 | $(29/2^+)$ | $(27/2^+)$ | - |
| 178.8(5) | 3.3(9) | (<0) | $(39/2^+)$ | $(37/2^+)$ | > 15 |
| 193.6(4) | 3.5(6) | (<0) | $(41/2^+)$ | $(39/2^+)$ | >2 |
| 223.4(4) | 13(3) | $<\!0$ | $(31/2^+)$ | $(29/2^+)$ | > 10 |
| 253.4(4) | 10(2) | $<\!0$ | $(37/2^+)$ | $(35/2^+)$ | $>25^{a}$ |
| 259.4(4) | 16(3) | $<\!0$ | $(33/2^+)$ | $(^{31}/_{2}^{+})$ | >20 |
| 283.3(4) | 13(2) | $<\!0$ | $(35/2^+)$ | $(33/2^+)$ | >30 |
| 321.7(6) | 4.0(11) | $<\!0$ | $(43/2^+)$ | $(41/2^+)$ | >3 |
| 381.0(6) | 4.4(13) | $<\!0$ | $(45/2^+)$ | $(43/2^+)$ | >5 |
| 544.2(5) | $26.4(9)^{\rm b}$ | <0 | $(25/2^+)$ | $(23/2^+)$ | |
| $948.5(7)^{b}$ | $8.6(3)^{b}$ | $<\!0$ | $(27/2^+)$ | $(25/2^+)$ | |
| $1155.5(8)^{b}$ | $2.24(13)^{\rm b}$ | $<\!0$ | $(27/2^+)$ | $^{29}/_{2}^{+}$ | |

^a Extracted using an average E2 intensity of all other E2 candidate transitions. See main text for details.

^b Extracted from recoil gated singles spectrum

C. Other observed states

 $19/2^+$ state or the $(21/2^+)$ state or both of them. As the 949-keV and 544-keV transitions have a stretched dipole like angular distribution, the spin assignment of 31/2 for the band head state can be ruled out. Hence, the spin of the band-head state is likely to be $(27/2^+)$, which requires the spin of the 1993-keV level to be $(23/2^+)$. The parity assignment of the dipole band is based on TAC-CDFT calculations, for more details see Sec. VC. The transition from the 1993-keV $(^{23}/^{2+})$ state to the $(^{21}/^{2+})$ state would have an M1 character with possible E2 admixture and an energy of 12 keV. Similarly, the transition to the $19/2^+$ state would be a 51-keV E2 transition. These transition energies were obtained from the energy summing of γ -rays and are both too low to be observed in JUROGAM II. These unobserved transitions are marked with Δ and Δ' in the level scheme shown in Fig. 2, respectively. Due to these missing transitions the 544-keV and 949-keV transitions are placed tentatively in the level scheme and also the spin and parity assignment for the shears band remain tentative. It is also worth noting that the transitions marked with an asterisk in Fig. 8(a)are lacking some intensity with respect to the 544-keV transition. This might suggest a lifetime of the order of some nanoseconds for the 1993-keV state.

The observed dipole band and depopulation paths are shown in the level scheme in Fig. 2. However, further intensity balance analysis reveals that there must be at least one unobserved decay path from the band head state. Further justification for the shears band assignment is discussed in section V C.

Levels above the isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state were probed using the recoil-isomer decay tagging method. Fig. 9(a) shows the singles energy spectrum of prompt γ -rays observed in JUROGAMII by tagging with the depopulation of the $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state. The used gates are listed in Fig. 9(a), and the γ rays used as a gate were observed in the focalplane clover array or planar germanium detector. Fig. 9(b) shows an example of recoil-isomer decay tagged $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence analysis. The observed γ -ray transitions are listed in Table III and those are labeled with group number 1. The level scheme above the isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state was constructed based on $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences, energy sums and intensity balances. Also, the angular distributions of γ rays were extracted when possible. The observed low-energy transitions are not placed in the level scheme due to inconsistencies in the observed transition intensities. However, based on the $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data these transitions might form a band-like structure which most likely feeds the 3617-keV state.

The energy of the 1438-keV transition is close to the energy sum of the 920-keV and 520-keV transitions, hence it might be suggested to depopulate the 3770-keV state. This, however, would indicate a spin change of four units assuming that the consecutive 920-keV and 520-keV quadrupole transitions set the spin of the 3770-keV state four units higher with respect to the final $^{29/2^+}$ state. This would make the 1438-keV transition extremely hindered, hence it is placed tentatively to depopulate a separate state at the energy of 3768 keV.

Also the level scheme of the negative parity cascade was extended significantly. This was done through con-



FIG. 9. Recoil-isomer decay tagged prompt γ rays observed in JUROGAM II: (a) Energy spectrum of singles γ rays tagged with any of the most intensive γ -ray transitions depopulating the $^{29/2^+}$ isomeric state. Transitions connected with a dashed line are associated with the dipole band, see section IVB. (b) An example of recoil-isomer decay tagged $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence analysis. Energy spectrum of γ -rays in coincidence with the 920-keV γ -transition is shown.

ventional $\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ coincidence analysis. The observed transitions are listed as group 2 in Table III, and the extended level scheme is presented in Fig. 2.

In addition to the above mentioned transitions, there are seven γ -ray transitions which are only observed to be in coincidence with the 544-keV transition, see Fig. 8(b). These transitions are listed as group 3 in Table III, and those are placed tentatively to the level scheme when possible. Based on the data obtained in this study it was not possible to establish a coincidence between these seven transitions and the rest of the level scheme, other than the 544-keV transiton. Therefore, these transitions cannot be firmly assigned to ²⁰³At. However, it is good to bear in mind that Dybdal *et al.* [10] also observed coinciding γ rays with energies of 544.3 keV and 214.8 keV, and based on excitation function study, these were assigned to ²⁰³At.

V. DISCUSSION

We have performed prompt and delayed spectroscopy for the neutron-deficient nucleus 203 At. The applicable parts of the now extracted level scheme are in good agreement with the level scheme obtained in the earlier study [10]. Also, the presently obtained level scheme for 203 At is remarkably similar compared to the level scheme of 201 At [9]. Therefore, a large fraction of the discussions presented in these earlier studies can be adopted for the low-lying states in 203 At. It is not necessary to repeat these discussions here, but instead the reader is encour-

TABLE III. Other observed γ -ray transitions in ²⁰³At: The γ -ray transitions feeding the ²⁹/2⁺ isomeric state are listed as group 1. E_{γ} and I_{γ} for group 1 transitions are extracted from the recoil-isomer decay tagged JUROGAM II singles data (Fig. 9(a)). Intensities are normalized to the intensity of the 920-keV transition in recoil gated singles spectrum. The γ -ray transitions in the negative parity cascade are listed as group 2. E_{γ} and I_{γ} for group 2 transitions are extracted from the 649-keV and 577-keV gated $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ coincidence data. Intensities are normalized to the intensity of the 411-keV transition in the recoil gated singles spectrum. That we will be supported to the intensity of the 411-keV transition in the recoil gated singles spectrum.

| Group | $E_{\gamma} \; (\text{keV})$ | I_{γ} | A_2 | I_i^{π} | I_f^{π} |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | $101.7(4)^{\rm a}$ | 1.9(2) | | | |
| 1 | $110.1(4)^{\rm a}$ | 2.2(2) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 1 | $127.8(4)^{\rm a}$ | 2.1(2) | | | |
| 1 | $213.2(4)^{a}$ | 2.7(2) | | | |
| 1 | $228.9(4)^{\rm a}$ | 2.9(2) | >0 | | |
| 1 | $287.3(4)^{\rm a}$ | 2.2(2) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 1 | 331.1(4) | 8.8(6) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 1 | 365.8(4) | 9.3(6) | >0 | | |
| 1 | $506.8(5)^{\rm a}$ | 3.8(4) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 1 | 520.2(5) | 5.9(4) | >0 | $37/2^+$ | $^{33/2}$ + |
| 1 | $526.9(5)^{\rm a}$ | 1.7(3) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 1 | 919.7(7) | 20.7(11) | >0 | $^{33/2}$ + | $^{29/2}+$ |
| 1 | 981.2(7) | 4.7(3) | <0 | , | , |
| 1 | 1217.8(8) | 1.5(2) | | | |
| 1 | 1286.8(8) | 8.2(5) | | | |
| 1 | 1438.4(9) | 2.9(3) | (<0) | | |
| 2 | 265.4(5) | 1.6(6) | >0 | | |
| 2 | $321.7(5)^{\rm a}$ | 4.1(8) | >0 | | |
| 2 | 423.3(6) | 4.6(14) | | | |
| 2 | $430.1(13)^{\rm a}$ | 4.3(24) | | | |
| 2 | 435.5(6) | 9.5(24) | >0 | $\frac{21}{22}$ | $\frac{21}{21}$ |
| 2 | $467.8(8)^{\rm a}$ | 1.4(8) | | · - | , 1 |
| 2 | 567.9(6) | 8.5(15) | >0 | $(25/2^{-})$ | $21/2^{-}_{2}$ |
| 2 | 684.1(7) | 7.6(15) | >0 | | · - |
| 2 | 709.6(7) | 5.5(15) | >0 | $(29/2^{-})$ | $(25/2^{-})$ |
| 2 | 847.0(7) | 13(2) | >0 | $21/2^{-}_{2}$ | $17/2^{-1}$ |
| 2 | 854.3(7) | 11(2) | >0 | , 2 | , |
| 2 | 923.3(7) | 2.5(8) | | | |
| 2 | 988.5(7) | 7.8(14) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 3 | 214.3(4) | 10.8(10) | | | |
| 3 | 423.7(5) | 5.4(7) | <0 | | |
| 3 | $429.0(6)^{a}$ | 2.1(5) | | | |
| 3 | 452.3(5) | 8.5(8) | $<\!0$ | | |
| 3 | 476.4(5) | 5.6(7) | | | |
| 3 | 534.5(5) | 5.3(8) | >0 | | |
| 3 | 567.4(5) | 7.9(10) | >0 | | |

^a Transition not placed in the level scheme

aged to see Refs. [9, 10]. Some of the suggested predominant configurations for the low-lying states are summarized in Table IV. Newly observed states, and those with updated observations are now discussed in more detail.

TABLE IV. Suggested predominant configurations for some of the excited states of $^{203}\mathrm{At.}$

| E (keV) | I^{π} | Configuration | Ref. |
|---------|------------------|--|------|
| 649 | $^{13}/_{2}^{-}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 2^+ \rangle$ | [9] |
| 660 | $^{11}/^{2}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 2^+ \rangle$ | [9] |
| 872 | $^{13}/_{2}^{+}$ | $\pi(i_{13/2})\otimes\pi(h_{9/2})^2_{0+}$ | [10] |
| 1225 | $^{17}/^{2}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202}$ Po; 4 ⁺ \rangle | [9] |
| 1633 | $^{17}/^{2^{+}}$ | $\pi(i_{13/2})\otimes\pi(h_{9/2})^2_{2+}$ | [10] |
| 1636 | $^{21}/^{2}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202}$ Po; 6 ⁺ \rangle | [9] |
| 1696 | $^{17}/^{2^{+}}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 5^-\rangle$ | [10] |
| 1942 | $^{19/2}+$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 5^-\rangle$ | [10] |
| 1964 | $^{23/2}$ | $\pi(f_{7/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po}; 8^+ \rangle$ | |
| 1981 | $^{21}/_{2}^{+}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 7^{-} \rangle$ | |
| 2045 | $^{25}/_{2}^{+}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202} \text{Po; } 9^{-} \rangle$ | |
| 2330 | $^{29}/_{2}^{+}$ | $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes ^{202}$ Po; 11 ⁻ \rangle | |
| 3250 | $^{33/2^+}$ | $ \pi(h_{9/2}^2 i_{13/2}); {}^{29}\!/{}^{2^+}\rangle \otimes {}^{200}\mathrm{Pb}; 2^+\rangle$ | |
| 3770 | $^{37/2^{+}}$ | $ \pi(h_{9/2}^{2}i_{13/2});^{29/2^{+}}\rangle \otimes ^{200}\text{Pb}; 4^{+}\rangle$ | |

A. Isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state

Figure 10(a) shows the systematics of the $\frac{29}{2^+}$, $\frac{25}{2^+}$ and $\frac{23}{2}$ states in a tatine nuclei. These states are compared to the 11⁻ $\left[\pi\left(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}\right)\right]$, 9⁻ $\left[\nu\left(f_{5/2}^{-1}i_{13/2}^{-1}\right)\right]$ and $8^{+} \left| \pi \left(h_{_{9/2}}^{2} \right) \right|$ states observed in respective polonium isotones. In Fig 10(a) it is evident that the isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state in a statine isotopes appear to follow the isomeric 11^{-} state in polonium isotopes. Moreover, the astatine states $\frac{25}{2^+}$ and $\frac{23}{2^-}$ appear to follow the systematic trends of the 9^- and 8^+ states observed in polonium nuclei, respectively. In polonium nuclei, it has been observed [49, 50] that the isomeric 11^{-} state can be depopulated to 9^{-} and 8^{+} states through E2 and E3 transitions, respectively. Based on the above mentioned systematic trends one may propose that the now observed $\frac{29}{2^+}$ and $25/2^+$ states in a tatine nuclei are a result of a coupling of the odd $h_{9/2}$ proton to the 11^- or 9^- states of the polonium core, respectively. Similarly, the $\frac{23}{2}$ state can be suggested to originate from the odd $f_{7/2}$ proton coupled to the 8^+ state of the polonium core. These interpretations are identical to those suggested earlier for the applicable states in astatine isotopes ^{199,201,205,209,211}At [7, 9, 11, 13, 14].

The observed mean lifetime of 14.1(3) μ s corresponds to reduced transition strengths of 21(2) W.u. and $1.92(14) \cdot 10^{-4}$ W.u. for the E3 and E2 transitions depopulating the isomeric $^{29}/_2^+$ state in 203 At, respectively. The observed strong hindrance for the E2 transition is understandable as this transition involves a significant structural difference between the initial and final states. Unlike the E2 transition, the E3 transition is strongly favored. This is a fairly common feature of the E3 transitions observed in nuclei above lead. It is understood as a coupling of a particle configuration to the octupole vibrations of the core, which gives a collective component for the E3 transition [51]. In Fig 10(b), the above mentioned transition strengths are compared to the analogous values in neighboring astatine isotopes. From Fig. 10(b), one may observe that the B(E3) value stays roughly constant in all of the astatine isotopes, however, starting from ²⁰¹At (N=116) there is a rapid increase in the B(E2)value. This, together with the energy systematics, explains the observed intensity balance between the E2 and E3 transitions in different astatine isotopes. In ¹⁹⁹At, only the E2 transition is observed. In ²⁰¹Åt, both transitions are observed but the E2 dominates. In ²⁰³At, both transitions are observed with comparable intensities. In ²⁰⁵At, again both transitions are observed but this time the E3 transition dominates and finally, in 209,211 At only the E3 transition is observed.

It is also worth mentioning that there are recent observations [52, 53] of a similar ${}^{29/2^+}$ isomeric state in the nearby nuclei 193,195 Bi. In bismuth nuclei, the isomeric state has been suggested to originate from the same $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2}^2)$ coupling. However, this requires an excitation of a proton pair across the Z = 82 shell closure, which explains the higher excitation energy of the ${}^{29/2^+}$ isomer in Bi nuclei. For further details of the ${}^{29/2^+}$ isomeric state in bismuth nuclei, see Refs. [52, 53].

B. States above the isomeric $\frac{29}{2^+}$ state

As discussed above, the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state in astatine is interpreted to originate from the $i_{13/2}$ proton coupled to a fully-aligned $h_{9/2}$ proton pair. Therefore, achieving an angular momentum higher than $^{29/2}$ must involve a structural change with respect to the configuration of the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state. One option is to couple the $i_{13/2}h_{3/2}^2$ proton configuration to a low-lying neutron state of the respective polonium core. In nearby polonium isotopes the lowest known neutron states are 5⁻ and 7⁻ [59], both originating mainly from the $\nu i_{13/2}^{-1} p_{3/2}^{-1}$ configuration. Another way to increase the angular momentum beyond $^{29/2+}$ is to couple this state to a low-lying state of the respective Pb core. This was also suggested in our earlier publication [9] for 201 At, but the discussion presented there was very limited.

In Fig. 11 the energies of the ${}^{33/2^+}$ and ${}^{37/2^+}$ states feeding the isomeric ${}^{29/2^+}$ state are shown together with a low-lying 2^+ and 4^+ states of the respective Pb core. Figure 11 also shows the energies of the 5^- and 7^- neutron states observed in polonium nuclei. From the figure, one may observe that the energy spacing of the ${}^{33/2^+}$ and ${}^{37/2^+}$ states in astatine is similar to the energy spacing of the 2^+ and 4^+ states in Pb nuclei. Therefore, one may suggest that the ${}^{33/2^+}$ and ${}^{37/2^+}$ states in astatine nuclei originate from the coupling of the $i_{13/2}h_{9/2}^2$ proton configuration to the 2^+ and 4^+ states of the Pb core.



FIG. 10. Panel (a) shows the energy systematics of the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ and the subsequent $^{25/2+}$ and $^{23/2-}$ states in astatine isotopes (solid symbols). These states are compared to the systematics of the analogous isomeric 11^- states in polonium isotopes (open symbols). In panel (b) the reduced transition strengths for the transitions depopulating the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state are shown. Note the scales in panel (b). Data for At isotopes are taken from Refs [7, 9, 11–14, 54] and this work and for Po isotopes from Refs. [4, 50, 55–58]. Neutron number of 203 At is 118.

C. Shears band

Shears bands have certain characteristic properties which may be summarized as follows [16]:

- 1. The level energies in the band (away from band crossings) follow the pattern of $E(I) E_0 \propto (I I_0)^2$, where 0 refers to the band head state.
- 2. The band is formed from strong M1 transitions with possible weak E2 crossovers. Usually ${}^{B(M1)}/{B(E2)} \gtrsim 20 \, \mu_N^2/{\rm e}^2 {\rm b}^2$, and $B(M1) \sim 2 - 10 \, \mu_N^2$.
- 3. The nucleus has a small quadrupole deformation.
- 4. The active orbitals must involve high j values.
- 5. The ratio of the dynamic moment of inertia over B(E2) is large, >100 MeV⁻¹(eb)⁻², when com-



FIG. 11. Energy systematics of the states above the isomeric ${}^{29/2^+}$ state normalized to the energy of the ${}^{29/2^+}$ state in 201,203 At (solid symbols). These energies are compared to the energies of the 2^+ and 4^+ states in the nearby lead nuclei. For comparison, also the two lowest lying neutron states known in polonium nuclei are shown. Energies for the states in Pb nuclei are taken from Refs. [60–63], for Po from Refs. [49, 59, 64] and for At this work and Ref. [9]. Neutron numbers of 201,203 At are 116 and 118, respectively.

pared to well-deformed (~ 10 MeV⁻¹(eb)⁻²) or superdeformed (~ 5 MeV⁻¹(eb)⁻²) bands.

The above listed properties are now compared to the properties of the dipole band observed in 203 At.

Figure 12 shows the energy of the levels in the observed band as a function of the level spin. The dashed lines in Fig. 12 represents two parabolas fitted independently to the low- and high-spin part of the band. As one may observe, the energies of the cascade are well reproduced by these two parabolas, hence, the characteristic property number 1, listed above, is satisfied with one band crossing taking place at spin and excitation energy of ~18 \hbar and ~4.6 MeV, respectively. This band crossing can also be seen as a backbending in the inset of Fig. 12.

As discussed in Section IV B, the high x-ray yield and the angular distribution of γ rays suggest an M1 character for the transitions in the ²⁰³At band. Moreover, the lack of E2 crossover transitions and the extracted lower limit for the B(M1)/B(E2) values listed in Table II suggest that the observed dipole cascade in ²⁰³At satisfies the characteristic property number 2 listed above. However, it was not possible to extract the exact B(M1) or B(E2)values from our data.

Total Routhian Surface calculations, presented in Ref. [65], predict a small prolate deformation for the ground state of 203 At. As there is no observation of a rotational-like level pattern in 203 At it can be suggested that the ground state of 203 At is nearly spherical. This idea is supported by the fact that the 202 Po core nucleus is known



FIG. 12. Energy of the levels in the dipole band as a function of spin. The dashed lines are two independent parabolas fitted to the low- and high-spin part of the cascade to guide the eye. The inset shows the spin of the shears band as a function of rotational frequency. This is compared to the covariant density functional (TAC-CDFT) calculations (solid line) for $\pi(i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ and $\pi(h_{9/2}^{2}i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ configurations below and above the band crossing, respectively.

to be nearly spherical based on an in-source resonant ionization laser spectroscopy study [66] and Coulombexcitation study [67]. A near spherical shape is required for the observation of a shears band because in well deformed nuclei the core rotation dominates over the shears mechanism (characteristic property No. 3).

The configuration assignment for the shears band was done through TAC-CDFT calculations as described in Sec. III. These calculations suggest a configuration of $\pi(i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ and $\pi(h_{9/2}^2 i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ below and above the band crossing, respectively. These configurations suggest a positive parity for the dipole band. A comparison between angular momenta of the now observed dipole band and the results of the TAC-CDFT calculations are presented in the inset of Fig 12. It is worth noting that the TAC-CDFT can not produce converged results in the backbending region because such a phenomenon is beyond the scope of the cranking calculation [68]. Furthermore, it is found through TAC-CDFT that, for the configuration of $\pi(i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})^{-2}$, the proton quasi-particle in the $i_{13/2}$ orbital contributes with an angular momentum of about $6.4\hbar$ and the two quasineutrons in the same orbital generate an angular momentum of ~ 11.5 \hbar . The appearance of the backbending is due to the alignment of the two $h_{9/2}$ quasi-protons, which provide an extra angular momentum of about $7 \sim 8\hbar$. In the present calculations, the shears mechanism has been found for both configurations by checking the angular momentum decomposition. Moreover, the $i_{13/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ proton orbitals as well as the $i_{13/2}$ neutron orbital, can be considered as high-j orbitals, hence, the observed dipole

TABLE V. Reduced transition strengths for the transitions depopulating the ${}^{13/2^+}$ state in 201,203 At and the ${}^{25/2^+}$ state in 203 At. The data for 201 At was obtained by using a mean lifetime of 23(2) ns [10] for the ${}^{13/2^+}$ state and a level structure reported in Ref. [9].

| $B(\sigma\lambda ; I_i^{\pi} \to I_f^{\pi})$ | 201 At (W.u.) | 203 At (W.u.) |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| $B(M2; {}^{13}\!/{}^2^+ \to {}^{9}\!/{}^2^-)$ | 0.18(4) | 0.22(14) |
| $B(E1; {}^{13}\!/{}_2^+ \to {}^{13}\!/{}_2^-)$ | $6.2(13) \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $4(3) \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| $B(E1; {}^{13}\!/{}_2^+ \to {}^{11}\!/{}_2^-)$ | $2.2(9) \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $4(3) \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| $B(E2; {}^{25}/{}^2^+ \rightarrow {}^{21}/{}^2^+)$ | | 8.2(7) |
| $B(E1; {}^{25}\!/{}^2^+ \to {}^{23}\!/{}^2^-)$ | | $1.1(4) \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

band satisfies the characteristic property number 4.

As there is no lifetime data available for the dipole band states it is not possible to extract the exact B(M1)or B(E2) values, hence the property No. 5 can not be addressed here. However, as discussed above the observed dipole band appears to satisfy the listed characteristic properties 1-4 of shears bands. Therefore, the now observed dipole band can be suggested to originate from the shears mechanism.

D. Isomeric ${}^{13}\!/{}^{2^+}$ and ${}^{25}\!/{}^{2^+}$ states

It is worth expanding this discussion also to the isomeric $^{13}/_{2^+}$ and $^{25}/_{2^+}$ states, even though these states are present in the level scheme of the previous study [10]. In this study, the level structure around the $25/2^+$ state was clarified and a lifetime was extracted for both of the mentioned states. The reduced transition strengths corresponding to the extracted lifetimes are shown in the Table V. As the depopulation of the $^{13}/_{2}^{+}$ state in 201 At state was updated recently [9], also the reduced transition strengths for the transitions depopulating this state are revised. This was done by using the mean lifetime of 23(2) ns [10] and the recent [9] data for the depopulation of the state. These results are also reported in Table V. In Fig. 13 these transition strengths are compared to the strengths of the respective transitions in neighboring astatine nuclei. From the figure one may observe that the obtained transition strength values are in good agreement with the values reported earlier for the same transitions in nearby astatine nuclei. The B(M2) values of 0.18(4)and 0.22(14) W.u. for the ${}^{13}/{}^{2+} \rightarrow {}^{9}/{}^{2-}$ transition in 201,203 At, respectively, are comparable to the values of 0.10(2) W.u. [69] and 0.17(4) W.u. [70] obtained for the same transition in nearby francium nuclei ^{203,205}Fr, respectively. In francium nuclei the $13/2^+$ state is also suggested to originate from the $\pi(i_{13/2})$ configuration.

The E2 transition depopulating the ${}^{25/2^+}$ state is enhanced. The initial and final state configurations for the mentioned E2 transition are $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes |{}^{202}\text{Po}; 9^-\rangle$ and $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes |{}^{202}\text{Po}; 7^-\rangle$, respectively. The dominant component of the polonium state wave functions are $\nu i_{13/2}^{-1} f_{5/2}^{-1}$



FIG. 13. Reduced transition strengths for the transitions depopulating the $^{13/2^+}$ (solid symbols) and $^{25/2^+}$ (open symbols) isomeric states in astatine nuclei. The data point with an arrow is to be considered as a lower limit. Data for this plot was obtained from the Refs. [7, 11, 65] and the present work. Some of the 203 At data points (N=118) are shifted slightly horizontally for better visualization.

and $\nu i_{13/2}^{-1} p_{3/2}^{-1}$, respectively, but the wave function of the 7⁻ state also has a $\nu i_{13/2}^{-1} f_{5/2}^{-1}$ component [59]. This gives a collective component for the ${}^{25/2^+} \rightarrow {}^{21/2^+} E2$ transition which agrees well with the observed enhanced transition strength.

The extracted B(E1) values for the three E1 transitions suggest a strong hindrance of the order of 10^6 or 10^7 W.u. For each E1 transition, the configuration of the initial and final states are remarkably different. In such a case, the reduced transition strengths of 10^{-6} or 10^{-7} W.u for E1 transitions in the lead region are common.

VI. SUMMARY

We have performed prompt and delayed spectroscopy of the neutron deficient nucleus 203 At. We have observed

- K. Heyde, P. V. Isacker, M. Waroquier, J. Wood, and R. Meyer, Phys. Rep. **102**, 291 (1983).
- [2] J. Wood, K. Heyde, W. Nazarewicz, M. Huyse, and P. van Duppen, Phys. Rep. **215**, 101 (1992).
- [3] R. Julin, K. Helariutta, and M. Muikku, J. Phys. G 27, R109 (2001).
- [4] K. Helariutta, J. Cocks, T. Enqvist, P. Greenlees, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, P. Jämsen, H. Kankaanpää, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, M. Muikku, M. Piiparinen, P. Rahkila, A. Savelius, W. Trzaska, S. Törmänen, J. Uusitalo, R. Allatt, P. But-

12

an isomeric state with a spin and parity of $^{29/2+}$. The isomeric state has a mean lifetime of 14.1(3) µs, which corresponds to reduced transition strengths of 21(2) W.u. and $1.92(14) \cdot 10^{-4}$ W.u. for the deexciting 366-keV E3 and 286-keV E2 transitions, respectively. The isomeric state is suggested to originate from the $\pi(h_{9/2}) \otimes |^{202}$ Po; $11^{-}\rangle$ configuration. The states above the isomeric $^{29/2+}$ state in odd-mass astatine isotopes exhibits similar systematic trends as do the low-lying states in lead nuclei. In addition, the observed properties of a new dipole band satisfy the characteristic properties of a shears band, hence it is suggested to arise from the shears mechanism.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank P. W. Zhao for helpful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript. This work has been supported by the Academy of Finland under the Finnish Center of Excellence Programme (Contract number 213503). The authors also thank the GAMMAPOOL European Spectroscopy Resource for the loan of the detectors for the JUROGAM II array. Support has also been provided by the EU 7th framework programme, Project No. 262010 (ENSAR). KA acknowledges that this material is partially based upon work supported by the U.S Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357. AH and MJM acknowledges a partial support by the United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC). YKW acknowledges that this work is partially supported by the Major State 973 Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11335002, No. 11375015, No. 11461141002, No. 11621131001).

ler, R. Page, and M. Kapusta, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 289 (1999).

- [5] K. Van de Vel, A. Andreyev, R. Page, H. Kettunen, P. Greenlees, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanpää, A. Keenan, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, M. Muikku, P. Nieminen, P. Rahkila, J. Uusitalo, K. Eskola, A. Hrstel, M. Huyse, Y. L. Coz, M. Smith, P. V. Duppen, and R. Wyss, Eur. Phys. J. A **17**, 167 (2003).
- [6] M. Nyman, S. Juutinen, I. Darby, S. Eeckhaudt, T. Grahn, P. Greenlees, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, H. Kettunen, M. Leino, P. Nieminen,

P. Peura, P. Rahkila, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, J. Uusitalo, and T. Enqvist, Phys. Rev. C 88, 054320 (2013).

- [7] U. Jakobsson, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, M. Leino, P. Nieminen, K. Andgren, B. Cederwall, P. Greenlees, B. Hadinia, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, A. Khaplanov, M. Nyman, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, and J. Sorri, Phys. Rev. C 82, 044302 (2010).
- [8] K. Auranen, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, U. Jakobsson, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, A. Herzáň, R. Julin, J. Konki, M. Leino, J. Pakarinen, J. Partanen, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, and S. Stolze, Phys. Rev. C 90, 024310 (2014).
- [9] K. Auranen, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, U. Jakobsson, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, A. Herzáň, R. Julin, J. Konki, M. Leino, J. Pakarinen, J. Partanen, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, and S. Stolze, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024324 (2015).
- [10] K. Dybdal, T. Chapuran, D. Fossan, W. Piel, D. Horn, and E. Warburton, Phys. Rev. C 28, 1171 (1983).
- [11] R. Davie, A. Poletti, G. Dracoulis, A. Byrne, and C. Fahlander, Nucl. Phys. A 430, 454 (1984).
- [12] T. P. Sjoreen, U. Garg, and D. B. Fossan, Phys. Rev. C 23, 272 (1981).
- [13] T. Sjoreen, G. Schatz, S. Bhattacherjee, B. Brown, D. Fossan, and P. Lesser, Phys. Rev. C 14, 1023 (1976).
- [14] I. Bergström, B. Fant, C. J. Herrlander, K. Wikström, and J. Blomqvist, Phys. Scr. 1, 243 (1970).
- [15] D. J. Hartley, E. P. Seyfried, W. Reviol, D. G. Sarantites, C. J. Chiara, O. L. Pechenaya, K. Hauschild, A. Lopez-Martens, M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, D. Seweryniak, and S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. C 78, 054319 (2008).
- [16] R. M. Clark and A. O. Macchiavelli, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50, 1 (2000).
- [17] A. Gadea, G. de Angelis, C. Fahlander, M. De Poli, E. Farnea, Y. Li, D. Napoli, Q. Pan, P. Spolaore, D. Bazzacco, S. Lenzi, S. Lunardi, C. Petrache, F. Brandolini, P. Pavan, C. Rossi Alvarez, M. Sferrazza, P. Bizzeti, A. Bizzeti Sona, J. Nyberg, M. Lipoglavsek, J. Persson, J. Cederkäll, D. Seweryniak, A. Johnson, H. Grawe, F. Soramel, M. Ogawa, A. Makishima, R. Schubart, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Rev. C 55, R1 (1997).
- [18] D. Jenkins, I. Hibbert, C. Parry, R. Wadsworth, D. Fossan, G. Lane, J. Sears, J. Smith, R. Clark, R. Krcken, I. Lee, A. Macchiavelli, V. Janzen, J. Cameron, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 23 (1998).
- [19] R. Clark, S. Asztalos, B. Busse, C. Chiara, M. Cromaz, M. Deleplanque, R. Diamond, P. Fallon, D. Fossan, D. Jenkins, S. Juutinen, N. Kelsall, R. Krücken, G. Lane, I. Lee, A. Macchiavelli, R. MacLeod, G. Schmid, J. Sears, J. Smith, F. Stephens, K. Vetter, R. Wadsworth, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3220 (1999).
- [20] F. Brandolini, M. Ionescu-Bujor, N. Medina, R. Ribas, D. Bazzacco, M. D. Poli, P. Pavan, C. R. Alvarez, G. de Angelis, S. Lunardi, D. D. Acua, D. Napoli, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Lett. B 388, 468 (1996).
- [21] J. R. Novak, C. W. Beausang, N. Amzal, R. F. Casten, G. Cata Danil, J. F. C. Cocks, J. R. Cooper, P. T. Greenlees, F. Hannachi, K. Helariutta, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanpää, H. Kettunen, R. Krücken, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, B. Liu, M. Muikku, A. Savelius,

T. Socci, J. T. Thomas, N. V. Zamfir, J.-y. Zhang, and

- S. Frauendorf, Phys. Rev. C 59, R2989 (1999).
- [22] S. Frauendorf, Nucl. Phys. A 557, 259 (1993).
- [23] P. Zhao, S. Zhang, J. Peng, H. Liang, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Physics Letters B 699, 181 (2011).
- [24] J. Meng, J. Peng, S.-Q. Zhang, and P.-W. Zhao, Frontiers of Physics 8, 55 (2013).
- [25] J. Meng and P. Zhao, Physica Scripta 91, 053008 (2016).
- [26] L. F. Yu, P. W. Zhao, S. Q. Zhang, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024318 (2012).
- [27] P. W. Zhao, J. Peng, H. Z. Liang, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 122501 (2011).
- [28] P. W. Zhao, J. Peng, H. Z. Liang, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 85, 054310 (2012).
- [29] P. Zhao, Physics Letters B **773**, 1 (2017).
- [30] P. W. Zhao, N. Itagaki, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 022501 (2015).
- [31] P. W. Zhao, S. Q. Zhang, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034319 (2015).
- [32] G. Duchne, F. Beck, P. Twin, G. de France, D. Curien, L. Han, C. Beausang, M. Bentley, P. Nolan, and J. Simpson, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 432, 90 (1999).
- [33] C. Beausang, S. Forbes, P. Fallon, P. Nolan, P. Twin, J. Mo, J. Lisle, M. Bentley, J. Simpson, F. Beck, D. Curien, G. deFrance, G. Duchne, and D. Popescu, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **313**, 37 (1992).
- [34] C. R. Alvarez, Nucl. Phys. News 3, 10 (1993).
- [35] E. D. Mateosian and A. Sunyar, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 13, 391 (1974).
- [36] M. Leino, J. Äystö, T. Enqvist, P. Heikkinen, A. Jokinen, M. Nurmia, A. Ostrowski, W. Trzaska, J. Uusitalo, K. Eskola, P. Armbruster, and V. Ninov, Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B **99**, 653 (1995).
- [37] J. Sarén, J. Uusitalo, M. Leino, and J. Sorri, Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 654, 508 (2011).
- [38] R. Page, A. Andreyev, D. Appelbe, P. Butler, S. Freeman, P. Greenlees, R.-D. Herzberg, D. Jenkins, G. Jones, P. Jones, D. Joss, R. Julin, H. Kettunen, M. Leino, P. Rahkila, P. Regan, J. Simpson, J. Uusitalo, S. Vincent, and R. Wadsworth, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B **204**, 634 (2003).
- [39] I. Lazarus, D. Appelbe, P. Butler, P. Coleman-Smith, J. Cresswell, S. Freeman, R. Herzberg, I. Hibbert, D. Joss, S. Letts, R. Page, V. Pucknell, P. Regan, J. Sampson, J. Simpson, J. Thornhill, and R. Wadsworth, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 48, 567 (2001).
- [40] P. Rahkila, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 595, 637 (2008).
- [41] Y. K. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054324 (2017).
- [42] Y. Tian, Z. Ma, and P. Ring, Physics Letters B 676, 44 (2009).
- [43] P. W. Zhao, Z. P. Li, J. M. Yao, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054319 (2010).
- [44] T. Kibédi, T. Burrows, M. Trzhaskovskaya, P. Davidson, and C. N. Jr., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 589, 202 (2008).
- [45] K. Auranen, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, H. Badran, F. D. Bisso, D. Cox, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, A. Herzáň, U. Jakobsson, R. Julin, J. Konki, M. Leino, A. Lightfoot, M. Mallaburn, O. Neuvonen, J. Pakarinen, P. Papadakis, J. Partanen, P. Rahkila, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Sc-

holey, J. Sorri, and S. Stolze, Phys. Rev. C **95**, 044311 (2017).

- [46] K. Schmidt, The European Physical Journal A 8, 141 (2000).
- [47] H. Mach, R. Gill, and M. Moszyński, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 280, 49 (1989).
- [48] J.-M. Régis, G. Pascovici, J. Jolie, and M. Rudigier, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 622, 83 (2010).
- [49] A. Maj, H. Grawe, H. Kluge, A. Kuhnert, K. Maier, J. Recht, N. Roy, H. Hbel, and M. Guttormsen, Nucl. Phys. A 509, 413 (1990).
- [50] H. Beuscher, D. R. Zolnowski, D. R. Haenni, and T. T. Sugihara, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1128 (1976).
- [51] I. Bergström and B. Fant, Phys. Scr. **31**, 26 (1985).
- [52] A. Herzáň, S. Juutinen, K. Auranen, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, U. Jakobsson, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, M. Leino, A. Lopez-Martens, P. Nieminen, M. Nyman, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, S. Rinta-Antila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, and J. Uusitalo, Phys. Rev. C 92, 044310 (2015).
- [53] A. Herzáň, S. Juutinen, K. Auranen, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, U. Jakobsson, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, M. Leino, A. Lopez-Martens, T. Lönnroth, P. Nieminen, M. Nyman, J. Partanen, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. M. K. Slotte, J. Sorri, S. Stolze, and J. Uusitalo, Phys. Rev. C 96, 014301 (2017).
- [54] K. Auranen, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, U. Jakobsson, T. Grahn, P. T. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, A. Herzáň, R. Julin, J. Konki, M. Leino, J. Pakarinen, J. Partanen, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, M. Sandzelius, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Sorri, and S. Stolze, Phys. Rev. C 92, 039901(E) (2015).
- [55] L. A. Bernstein, J. A. Cizewski, H.-Q. Jin, W. Younes, R. G. Henry, L. P. Farris, A. Charos, M. P. Carpenter, R. V. F. Janssens, T. L. Khoo, T. Lauritsen, I. G. Bearden, D. Ye, J. A. Becker, E. A. Henry, M. J. Brinkman, J. R. Hughes, A. Kuhnert, T. F. Wang, M. A. Stoyer, R. M. Diamond, F. S. Stephens, M. A. Deleplanque, A. O. Macchiavelli, I. Y. Lee, B. Cederwall, J. R. B. Oliveira, J. Burde, P. Fallon, C. Duyar, J. E. Draper, E. Rubel, and D. T. Vo, Phys. Rev. C 52, 621 (1995).
- [56] L. G. Mann, K. H. Maier, A. Aprahamian, J. A. Becker, D. J. Decman, E. A. Henry, R. A. Meyer, N. Roy, W. Stöffl, and G. L. Struble, Phys. Rev. C 38, 74 (1988).
- [57] A. Baxter, A. Byrne, G. Dracoulis, R. Bark, F. Riess, A. Stuchbery, M. Kruse, and A. Poletti, Nucl. Phys. A 515, 493 (1990).
- [58] A. Poletti, G. Dracoulis, A. Byrne, A. Stuchbery, B. Fabricius, T. Kibdi, and P. Davidson, Nucl. Phys. A 615, 95 (1997).

- [59] B. Fant, T. Weckström, and A. Källberg, Physica Scripta 41, 652 (1990).
- [60] H. Xiaolong, Nuclear Data Sheets 108, 1093 (2007).
- [61] X. Huang and M. Kang, Nuclear Data Sheets 133, 221 (2016).
- [62] F. Kondev and S. Lalkovski, Nuclear Data Sheets 108, 1471 (2007).
- [63] S. Zhu and F. Kondev, Nuclear Data Sheets 109, 699 (2008).
- [64] N. Bijnens, P. Decrock, S. Franchoo, M. Gaelens, M. Huyse, H.-Y. Hwang, I. Reusen, J. Szerypo, J. von Schwarzenberg, G. Vancraeynest, P. Van Duppen, and J. Wauters, Phys. Rev. C 58, 754 (1998).
- [65] K. Andgren, U. Jakobsson, B. Cederwall, J. Uusitalo, T. Bäck, S. J. Freeman, P. T. Greenlees, B. Hadinia, A. Hugues, A. Johnson, P. M. Jones, D. T. Joss, S. Juutinen, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, A. Khaplanov, M. Leino, M. Nyman, R. D. Page, P. Rahkila, M. Sandzelius, P. Sapple, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, J. Simpson, J. Sorri, J. Thomson, and R. Wyss, Phys. Rev. C 78, 044328 (2008).
- [66] T. E. Cocolios, W. Dexters, M. D. Seliverstov, A. N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A. E. Barzakh, B. Bastin, J. Büscher, I. G. Darby, D. V. Fedorov, V. N. Fedosseyev, K. T. Flanagan, S. Franchoo, S. Fritzsche, G. Huber, M. Huyse, M. Keupers, U. Köster, Y. Kudryavtsev, E. Mané, B. A. Marsh, P. L. Molkanov, R. D. Page, A. M. Sjoedin, I. Stefan, J. Van de Walle, P. Van Duppen, M. Venhart, S. G. Zemlyanoy, M. Bender, and P.-H. Heenen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 052503 (2011).
- [67] N. Kesteloot, B. Bastin, L. P. Gaffney, K. Wrzosek-Lipska, K. Auranen, C. Bauer, M. Bender, V. Bild-stein, A. Blazhev, S. Bönig, N. Bree, E. Clément, T. E. Cocolios, A. Damyanova, I. Darby, H. De Witte, D. Di Julio, J. Diriken, C. Fransen, J. E. García-Ramos, R. Gernhäuser, T. Grahn, P.-H. Heenen, H. Hess, K. Heyde, M. Huyse, J. Iwanicki, U. Jakobsson, J. Konki, T. Kröll, B. Laurent, N. Lecesne, R. Lutter, J. Pakarinen, P. Peura, E. Piselli, L. Próchniak, P. Rahkila, E. Rapis-arda, P. Reiter, M. Scheck, M. Seidlitz, M. Sferrazza, B. Siebeck, M. Sjodin, H. Tornqvist, E. Traykov, J. Van De Walle, P. Van Duppen, M. Vermeulen, D. Voulot, N. Warr, F. Wenander, K. Wimmer, and M. Zielińska, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 054301 (2015).
- [68] I. Hamamoto, Nuclear Physics A **271**, 15 (1976).
- [69] U. Jakobsson, S. Juutinen, J. Uusitalo, M. Leino, K. Auranen, T. Enqvist, P. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Nyman, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, and J. Sorri, Phys. Rev. C 87, 054320 (2013).
- [70] U. Jakobsson, J. Uusitalo, S. Juutinen, M. Leino, T. Enqvist, P. Greenlees, K. Hauschild, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Ketelhut, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Nyman, P. Peura, P. Rahkila, P. Ruotsalainen, J. Sarén, C. Scholey, and J. Sorri, Phys. Rev. C 85, 014309 (2012).