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#### Abstract

Let $S$ and $R$ be bounded linear operators defined on Banach spaces, $S: X \rightarrow Y, R: Y \rightarrow X$. When $\lambda \neq 0$, then the operators $\lambda-S R$ and $\lambda-R S$ have many basic operator properties in common. This situation is studied in this paper.


## Introduction

It is a well-know and useful result that when $A$ and $B$ are elements of a Banach algebra, then

$$
\sigma(A B) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma(B A) \backslash\{0\}
$$

([BD, Prop. 6, p. 16], [R, Lemma (1.4.17)], [P, Prop. 2.1.8]). Here $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$. The case where the Banach algebra is $B(X)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space $X$, is of special interest.

More generally, let both $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces, and let $S: X \rightarrow Y$ and $R: Y \rightarrow X$ be bounded linear operators. Again, it is known that

$$
\sigma(S R) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma(R S) \backslash\{0\}
$$

Here $R S \in B(X)$ and $S R \in B(Y)$. In this paper we study this situation, showing that, in fact, for $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda-S R$ and $\lambda-R S$ have many basic operator properties in common (for example: $\lambda-S R$ has closed range if and only if $\lambda-R S$ has closed range). Throughout we assume that $X, Y, S$, and $R$ are as stated above.

For $T \in B(X)$, let $\mathcal{N}(T)$ denote the null space of $T$, and let $\mathcal{R}(T)$ denote the range of $T$.

## 1. Spectrum

Let $A$ and $B$ be elements of a ring with unit $I$. We recall some notation: $A \circ B=$ $A+B-A B ; I-(A \circ B)=(I-A)(I-B)$. When $A \circ B=B \circ A=0$, then $B$ is the unique element with this property. In this case we write $B=A^{q}$. Thus, $(I-A)\left(I-A^{q}\right)=\left(I-A^{q}\right)(I-A)=I$, and so $(I-A)^{-1}=I-A^{q}$.

We have the following known basic computation (which holds in a ring with unit). Of course, the computation holds with the roles of $R$ and $S$ reversed.

[^0]Proposition 1 (The basic computation). For any $W \in B(X)$, let

$$
V=S(W-I) R \in B(Y)
$$

(1) $(S R) \circ V=S((R S) \circ W) R$; and
(2) $V \circ(S R)=S(W \circ(R S)) R$.

We verify (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S R) \circ V & =S R+S(W-I) R-S R S(W-I) R=S[I+(W-I)-R S(W-I)] R \\
& =S[W+R S-R S W] R=S[(R S) \circ W] R
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $I-R S$ is invertible in $B(X)$, and set $W=(R S)^{q} \in B(X)$. Thus as remarked above, $(R S) \circ W=W \circ(R S)=0$. Define $V \in B(Y)$ as in Proposition 1, so by that result, $(S R) \circ V=V \circ(S R)=0$. Therefore $V=(S R)^{q}$, and $I-S R$ is invertible in $B(Y)$. From this it follows that:

$$
\sigma(S R) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma(R S) \backslash\{0\}
$$

We will show later in this section that similar equalities hold for all the usual parts of the spectrum. Note that Proposition 1 also implies:
$I-S R$ has a left (right) inverse if and only if $I-R S$ has a left (right) inverse.
Proposition 2. (1) $S(\mathcal{N}(I-R S))=\mathcal{N}(I-S R)$;
(2) $\mathcal{N}(S) \cap \mathcal{N}(I-R S)=\{0\}$.

Proof. Statement (2) clearly holds. Assume $x \in \mathcal{N}(I-R S)$, so $R S x=x$. Then $S R S x=S x$, and thus, $S(\mathcal{N}(I-R S)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(I-S R)$. To verify the opposite inclusion, suppose $y \in \mathcal{N}(I-S R)$. Arguing as above, we have

$$
R(\mathcal{N}(I-S R)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(I-R S)
$$

Therefore, $R y \in \mathcal{N}(I-R S)$. Then $y=S R y \in S(\mathcal{N}(I-R S))$. This proves (1).
We use $\sigma_{p}, \sigma_{a p}, \sigma_{r}$, and $\sigma_{c}$ to denote the point, approximate point, residual, and continuous spectrum, respectively.

Theorem 3. (1) $\sigma(R S) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma(S R) \backslash\{0\}$;
(2) $\sigma_{p}(R S) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma_{p}(S R) \backslash\{0\}$;
(3) $\sigma_{a p}(R S) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma_{a p}(S R) \backslash\{0\}$;
(4) $\sigma_{r}(R S) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma_{r}(S R) \backslash\{0\}$;
(5) $\sigma_{c}(R S) \backslash\{0\}=\sigma_{c}(S R) \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. As noted previously, (1) follows from Proposition 1. Also, (2) is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2 (1).

Now assume $\lambda \in \sigma_{a p}(R S) \backslash\{0\}$. This means there exists $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq X,\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n$, and $\left\|(\lambda-R S) x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $\left\|(\lambda-S R)\left(S x_{n}\right)\right\|=\left\|S(\lambda-R S) x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Also, $\left\|S x_{n}\right\|, n \geq 1$, is bounded away from zero, for if not, $\left\|S x_{n_{k}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for some subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. But then,

$$
|\lambda|=|\lambda|\left\|x_{n_{k}}\right\| \leq\left\|(\lambda-R S) x_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|R S x_{n_{k}}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

a contradiction. This proves $\lambda \in \sigma_{a p}(S R)$.
(4): $\lambda \in \sigma_{r}(R S) \backslash\{0\}$ means exactly that $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \notin \sigma_{p}(R S)$, and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda-R S)^{-} \neq$ $X$. We use $T^{\prime}$ to denote the adjoint of an operator $T$. We have $\mathcal{N}\left(\lambda-S^{\prime} R^{\prime}\right)=$ $\mathcal{N}\left(\lambda-(R S)^{\prime}\right) \neq\{0\}$. By Proposition $2(1), \mathcal{N}\left(\lambda-(S R)^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\lambda-R^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right) \neq\{0\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}(\lambda-S R)^{-} \neq Y$, and so $\lambda \in \sigma_{r}(S R)$.
(5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{c}(R S) \backslash\{0\} & =\sigma(R S) \backslash\left[\sigma_{p}(R S) \cup \sigma_{r}(R S) \cup\{0\}\right] \\
& =\sigma(S R) \backslash\left[\sigma_{p}(S R) \cup \sigma_{r}(S R) \cup\{0\}\right]=\sigma_{c}(S R) \cup\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Closed Range

Recall that $I-R S$ has pseudoinverse (or generalized inverse) $I-W$ means that

$$
(I-R S)(I-W)(I-R S)=(I-R S)
$$

The existence of a pseudoinverse for $I-R S$ implies that $\mathcal{R}(I-R S)$ is closed (and more) [TL, Theorem 12.9, p. 251].

Theorem 4. $I-R S$ has a pseudoinverse if and only if $I-S R$ has a pseudoinverse.
Proof. Assume $I-R S$ has pseudoinverse $I-W$ (as above). Let $V=S(W-I) R$. From Proposition 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I-S R)(I-V) & =I-(S R) \circ V=I+S[-(R S) \circ W] R \\
& =I+S[(I-R S)(I-W)] R-S R
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I & -S R)(I-V)(I-S R) \\
& =I+S[(I-R S)(I-W)] R-S R-S R-S[(I-R S)(I-W)] R S R+S R S R \\
& =I-2 S R+S R S R+S[(I-R S)(I-W)(I-R S)] R \\
& =I-2 S R+S R S R+S[I-R S] R=I-S R
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the argument in the proof of Theorem 4 is completely algebraic, so the result holds in any ring with unit.

Let $T \in B(X)$. Equip $X / \mathcal{N}(T)$ with the usual quotient norm. A standard condition which is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(T)$ being closed is:
there exists a bounded linear operator $M: \mathcal{R}(T) \rightarrow X / \mathcal{N}(T)$ such that

$$
M T x=x+\mathcal{N}(T) \quad \text { for all } x \in X
$$

Theorem 5. $\mathcal{R}(I-R S)$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{R}(I-S R)$ is closed.
Proof. Assume $I-R S$ has closed range $Z$. Write $(I-R S)^{\sim}: X / \mathcal{N}(I-R S) \rightarrow Z$ where $(I-R S)^{\sim}(x+\mathcal{N}(I-R S))=(I-R S) x$. There exists a bounded linear operator

$$
L: Z \rightarrow X / \mathcal{N}(I-R S)
$$

such that for all $x \in X$,

$$
L(I-R S)^{\sim}(x+\mathcal{N}(I-R S))=x+\mathcal{N}(I-R S)
$$

Define $\widetilde{S}: X / \mathcal{N}(I-R S) \rightarrow Y / \mathcal{N}(I-S R)$ by

$$
\widetilde{S}(x+\mathcal{N}(I-R S))=S x+\mathcal{N}(I-S R)
$$

Note that $\widetilde{S}$ is well-defined by Proposition 2. Also, it is straightforward to check that $\widetilde{S}$ is continuous.

Now define $M: \mathcal{R}(I-S R) \rightarrow Y / \mathcal{N}(I-S R)$ by $M(w)=w+\mathcal{N}(I-S R)+\widetilde{S} L R w$. Note here that $w=y-S R y$ for some $y$, so $R w=(I-R S) R y \in \mathcal{R}(I-R S)$. Thus, $L R w$ is well-defined.

Also, since by definition $M$ is an algebraic combination of continuous maps, $M$ is continuous. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(y-S R y) & =y-S R y+\mathcal{N}(I-S R)+\widetilde{S} L R(y-S R y) \\
& =y-S R y+\mathcal{N}(I-S R)+\widetilde{S} R y=y+\mathcal{N}(I-S R)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{R}(I-S R)$ is closed.

## 3. Fredholm properties

An operator $T \in B(X)$ is semi-Fredholm if $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and either $\operatorname{nul}(T)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{N}(T))$ or $\operatorname{nul}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is finite (as before, $T^{\prime}$ is the adjoint of $T$ ); see [CPY, 1.3 and Chapter 4]. We use the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{+} & =\{T \in B(X): \mathcal{R}(T) \text { is closed and } \operatorname{nul}(T)<\infty\} \\
\Phi^{-} & =\left\{T \in B(X): \mathcal{R}(T) \text { is closed and } \operatorname{nul}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\infty\right\} \\
\Phi & =\Phi^{+} \cap \Phi^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall, when $T$ is semi-Fredholm, then $\operatorname{ind}(T)=\operatorname{nul}(T)-\operatorname{nul}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem 6. $I-R S \in \Phi\left(\Phi^{+}, \Phi^{-}\right)$if and only if $I-S R \in \Phi\left(\Phi^{+}, \Phi^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, when $I-R S \in \Phi$, then $\operatorname{ind}(I-R S)=\operatorname{ind}(I-S R)$.

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Theorem 5 and Proposition 2. Also, when $I-R S \in \Phi$, Proposition 2 implies that nul $(I-R S)=\operatorname{nul}(I-S R)$ and $\left.\operatorname{nul}(I-R S)^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{nul}\left(I-S^{\prime} R^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{nul}\left(I-R^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{nul}\left(I-(R S)^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $\operatorname{ind}(I-R S)=\operatorname{ind}(I-S R)$.

## 4. Functional calculus

In this section we derive a useful relationship between the holomorphic functional calculi of $R S$ and $S R$.

Theorem 7. Let $g(\lambda)$ be a holomorphic function on some open set $U$ such that $\sigma(S R) \cup\{0\} \subseteq U$. Let $f(\lambda)=\lambda g(\lambda)$. Then $f(S R)=S g(R S) R$.

Proof. Set $\Gamma=\sigma(S R) \cup\{0\}$, and let $\gamma$ be a cycle which is contained in $U \backslash \Gamma$ with $\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}(z)=1$ for all $z \in \Gamma$, and $\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}(z)=0$ for all $z \notin U$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-1}\left[I-\left(\lambda^{-1} S R\right)^{q}\right]=(\lambda-S R)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by Proposition 1 with $W=\left(\lambda^{-1} R S\right)^{q}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{-1} S R\right)^{q}=-\lambda^{-1} S\left[I-\left(\lambda^{-1} R S\right)^{q}\right] R \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(S R)=(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda-S R)^{-1} d \lambda \\
&=(2 \pi i)^{-1}\left[\int_{\gamma} \lambda^{-1} f(\lambda) d \lambda-\int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) \lambda^{-1}\left(\lambda^{-1} S R\right)^{q} d \lambda\right] \quad(\text { by }(1)) \\
&=(2 \pi i)^{-1}\left[0+\int_{\gamma} g(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} S\left[I-\left(\lambda^{-1} R S\right)^{q}\right] R d \lambda\right] \\
& \quad(\text { by }(2), \text { and since } f(0)=0) \\
&= S\left[(2 \pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} g(\lambda)(\lambda-R S)^{-1} d \lambda\right] R=S g(R S) R \quad(\text { by }(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 8. Let $f$ and $g$ be as above. Set $R_{1}=g(R S) R$. Then $f(S R)=S R_{1}$, and since $f(\lambda)=g(\lambda) \lambda, f(R S)=g(R S) R S=R_{1} S$.

Therefore the results of this paper apply to $f(S R)$ and $f(R S)$.

## 5. Poles

Let $\lambda_{0} \neq 0$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(R S)$. We adopt the notation and terminology in [TL, pp. 328-331]. In particular, for $n \neq 1$, let

$$
f_{-n}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{n-1} & \text { if }\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|<r \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|>2 r\end{cases}
$$

(Here $r>0$ is chosen so that $(\sigma(R S) \cup\{0\}) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{0}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\lambda:\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|>2 r\right.$.) Let $B_{n}(R S)=f_{-n}(R S)$, and note that $B_{1}(R S)$ is the spectral projection corresponding to the spectral set $\left\{\lambda_{0}\right\}$. We use the same notation relative to $S R ; B_{n}(S R)=$ $f_{-n}(S R)$. Define

$$
h(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\lambda^{-1} & \text { if }\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|<r \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|>2 r\end{cases}
$$

We have $f_{-n}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda f_{-n}(\lambda) h(\lambda)\right)$, which gives:
(1) $B_{n}(S R)=S R B_{n}(S R) h(S R)$; and
(2) $B_{1}(S R)=S\left[B_{1}(R S) h(R S)\right] R$.
((2) follows by applying Theorem 7.)
By definition $\lambda_{0}$ is a pole of order $p$ of the resolvent of $R S$ if $B_{p}(R S) \neq 0$, and $B_{n}(R S)=0$ for all $n>p$ [TL, p. 330].

Theorem 9. An isolated point $\lambda_{0} \neq 0$ of $\sigma(R S)$ is a pole of order $p$ of the resolvent of $R S$ if and only if $\lambda_{0}$ is a pole of order $p$ of the resolvent of $S R$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}\left(B_{1}(R S)\right)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\mathcal{R}\left(B_{1}(S R)\right)$ is finite dimensional.

Proof. We use the notation introduced above. Assume $B_{n}(R S)=0$ for some $n>1$. By Theorem 7 with $g(\lambda)=f_{-n}(\lambda), f(\lambda)=\lambda g(\lambda)$, it follows that $S R B_{n}(S R)=$ $S\left[B_{n}(R S)\right] R=0$. By (1) it follows that $B_{n}(S R)=0$. This argument establishes that $B_{n}(R S)=0$ if and only if $B_{n}(S R)=0$. The statement of the theorem concerning poles follows from this.

The second statement of the theorem follows from (2), since if $\mathcal{R}\left(B_{1}(R S)\right)$ is finite dimensional, then $B_{1}(S R)=S\left[B_{1}(R S) h(R S)\right] R$ has finite dimensional range.

Recall that the smallest integer $p \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}\left(T^{p}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(T^{p+1}\right)$ is called the ascent of the operator $T$ (the ascent of $T$ is infinite if $\mathcal{N}\left(T^{n}\right) \neq \mathcal{N}\left(T^{n+1}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$ ) [TL, Section V6]. The property that $\lambda_{0}-T$ has finite ascent is closely connected to $\lambda_{0}$ being a pole of the resolvent of $T$; see [TL, Section V10].

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. There exists $U_{n}$ such that

$$
(I-S R)^{n+1}=I-S U_{n} ; \quad(I-R S)^{n+1}=I-U_{n} S
$$

In fact, by direct computation, $U_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{n+1}{k} R(S R)^{k-1}$ works.
Proposition 10. $I-R S$ has finite ascent $p$ if and only if $I-S R$ has finite ascent $p$.

Proof. Suppose, for some $n \geq 0, \mathcal{N}\left((I-R S)^{n}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left((I-R S)^{n+1}\right)$. By the existence of $U_{k}$ as indicated above, Proposition 2 applies to $(I-S R)^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}\left((I-S R)^{n+1}\right) & =\mathcal{N}\left(I-S U_{n}\right)=S\left(\mathcal{N}\left(I-U_{n} S\right)\right)=S\left(\mathcal{N}\left((I-R S)^{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& =S\left(\mathcal{N}\left((I-R S)^{n}\right)\right)=\mathcal{N}\left((I-S R)^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $I-R S$ has ascent $p$.

## 6. Examples, applications

In this section we look at several situations where the results of the previous sections apply.

Example 11. Assume that the Banach space $X$ is continuously embedded as a subspace of a Banach space $Y$. Assume that $T \in B(X)$ has an extension $\bar{T} \in$ $B(Y)$. In [B1] operator properties of $T$ and $\bar{T}$ are studied with the hypothesis that $\bar{T}(Y) \subseteq X$. All of the main results of [B1, §2] (and more!) can be derived from results in this paper. For let $S: X \rightarrow Y$ be the continuous embedding, $S(x)=x$. Let $R: Y \rightarrow X$ be the bounded operator, $R(y)=\bar{T}(y) \in X$. Then $T=R S$ and $\bar{T}=S R$. Therefore in this situation the results of the previous sections apply to $T$ and $\bar{T}$.

Example 12. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Assume $S: X \rightarrow H$ and $R: H \rightarrow X$ have the special property that $S R$ is selfadjoint. Then $T=R S$ has many of the operator properties of a selfadjoint operator. Exactly this situation is studied in [B2].

In particular, suppose $X=H, R \geq 0$, and $S=S^{*}$. Then an operator of the form $S R$ is called symmetrizable. The operator $S R$ has many operator properties in common with the selfadjoint operator $R^{\frac{1}{2}} S R^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Example 13. Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space. Let $K(x, t)$ be a kernel on $\Omega \times \Omega$ with the property

$$
k(x)=\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|K(x, t)| \in L^{1}(\mu)
$$

The linear integral operator

$$
T_{K}(f)(x)=\int_{\Omega} K(x, t) f(t) d \mu(t), \quad f \in L^{1}(\mu)
$$

is an operator in $B\left(L^{1}(\mu)\right)$. In this case $T_{K}$ is a Hille-Tamarkin operator, $T_{K} \in H_{11}$; see [J, Sections 11.3 and 11.5].

We may assume that $k(x)$ is everywhere defined and nonnegative. Define

$$
J(x, t)= \begin{cases}k(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K(x, t) & \text { if } k(x)>0 \\ 0 & \text { if } k(x)=0\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\underset{t \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|J(x, t)|^{2}=k(x) \in L^{1}(\mu), \quad t \in \Omega
$$

the integral operator, $T_{J}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{2}$, is in the Hille-Tamarkin class $H_{21}$. Also, define

$$
H(x, t)=J(x, t) k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Since $|H(x, t)|^{2} \leq k(x) k(t)$, it follows that $T_{H}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $L^{2}(\mu)$.

Now consider the operators $S: L^{2} \rightarrow L^{1}$ and $R: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{2}$ given by

$$
S(f)=k^{\frac{1}{2}} f \quad\left(f \in L^{2}\right) ; \quad R(g)=T_{J}(g) \quad\left(g \in L^{1}\right)
$$

Then $S R=T_{K}$ and $R S=T_{H}$. We summarize:
Theorem 14. Let $T_{K}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ be a Hille-Tamarkin operator in class $H_{11}$. Then there exist bounded operators $S: L^{2} \rightarrow L^{1}, R: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{2}$ such that $T_{K}=S R$ and $R S$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.
Corollary 15. Let $T_{K}: L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ be a Hille-Tamarkin operator in class $H_{11}$. Then $T_{K}^{2}$ is compact, and the nonzero eigenvalues of $T_{K}$ (counted according to multiplicities) form a square summable sequence.
Proof. Let $T_{K}=S R$ with $R S$ Hilbert-Schmidt. Then $T_{K}^{2}=S(R S) R$, so $T_{K}^{2}$ is compact. Also, the sequence of nonzero eigenvalues (counted according to multiplicities) of $T_{K}$ and $R S$ are the same by Proposition 2 and Theorem 3. This sequence is square summable by $[\mathrm{Rg}$, Corollary 2.3.6, p. 89].
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