

ON WEIGHTED INTEGRABILITY OF TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES AND L^1 -CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

WILLIAM O. BRAY AND ČASLAV V. STANOJEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. A result concerning integrability of $f(x)L(1/x)(g(x)L(1/x))$, where $f(x)(g(x))$ is the pointwise limit of certain cosine (sine) series and $L(\cdot)$ is slowly vary in the sense of Karamata [5] is proved. Our result is an excluded case in more classical results (see [4]) and also generalizes a result of G. A. Fomin [1]. Also a result of Fomin and Telyakovskii [6] concerning L^1 -convergence of Fourier series is generalized. Both theorems make use of a generalized notion of quasi-monotone sequences.

1. Introduction. A classical problem in the theory of trigonometric series concerns sufficient conditions in terms of the coefficients $\{a(n)\}$ for the Fourier character of cosine series

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{a(0)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \cos nx$$

and the conjugate or sine series

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \sin nx.$$

All known results employ conditions which imply that the null sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is of bounded variation ($\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a(n)| < \infty$, $\Delta a(n) = a(n) - a(n+1)$, and $a(n) = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$)). This further implies that the pointwise limit of (1.1) and (1.2) exist on $(0, \pi]$; these are denoted $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively. Consequently, for the Fourier character of (1.1) or (1.2) it is necessary and sufficient that f or g be Lebesgue integrable on $(0, \pi]$. A recent result in this direction is the following, due to Fomin (see also [2, 3]).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $a(n) = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and for some $p > 1$ let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p}{n} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Then

- (i) $f \in L^1(0, \pi]$, and
- (ii) $g \in L^1(0, \pi]$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a(n)|/n < \infty$.

Received by the editors January 23, 1985. Presented at the Mathematical Institute, Beograd, Yugoslavia, May 24, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A20, 42A32.

Key words and phrases. Integrability of trigonometric series, slowly varying functions, regularly varying sequences, L^1 -convergence of Fourier series.

Many authors have varied the point of view of the above problem by considering weighted integrability of the sum functions (see the monograph of Boas [4] for a survey). These results give criteria for the integrability of $x^{-\gamma}f(x)L(1/x)$ and $x^{-\gamma}g(x)L(1/x)$, where $\gamma > 0$ and $L(\cdot)$ is a slowly varying function in the sense of Karamata [5]. In §3 an integrability result for $f(x)L(1/x)$ and $g(x)L(1/x)$ is proved, generalizing Theorem 1.1 in the case of cosine series and giving a restricted generalization in the case of sine series.

In the final section a generalization of the following theorem due to Fomin and Telyakovskii [6] (see also [7]) is proved. For succinct formulation the n th partial sums of the Fourier series of $f \in L^1(0, \pi]$ are denoted $S_n(f) = S_n(f, x)$. Also recall (Szász [8]) that a null sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is said to be quasi-monotone if, for some $\alpha > 0$, $a(n)/n^\alpha \downarrow$ for $n \geq n_0(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let (1.1) be the Fourier series of $f \in L^1(0, \pi]$ with quasi-monotone coefficients. Then $\|S_n(f) - f\| = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $a(n)\lg n = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).*

An analogous result holds for sine series. Both our results make use of a generalization of quasi-monotone sequence developed in §2.

2. Preliminaries. A positive measurable function $L(u)$ is said to be slowly varying in the sense of Karamata [5] if, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{L(\lambda u)}{L(u)} = 1.$$

Karamata [5] proved that (2.1) holds uniformly for λ contained in a bounded closed interval. Slowly varying sequences are defined analogously: a positive sequence $\{l(n)\}$ is said to be slowly varying if, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{l([\lambda n])}{l(n)} = 1.$$

The class of slowly varying functions (sequences) is denoted by $SV(\mathbf{R})$ ($SV(N)$).

In [9] Karamata introduced regularly varying sequences: a positive sequence $\{r(n)\}$ is said to be regularly varying if, for $\lambda > 0$ and some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r([\lambda n])}{r(n)} = \lambda^\alpha.$$

The class of such is denoted by $RV(N)$. Regularly varying sequences are characterized [9] in form as follows: $\{r(n)\} \in RV(N)$ if and only if $r(n) = n^\alpha l(n)$, for some $\alpha > 0$ and some $\{l(n)\} \in SV(N)$.

A null sequence $\{a(n)\}$ is said to be *regularly varying quasi-monotone* if for some $\{r(n)\} \in RV(N)$, $a(n)/r(n) \downarrow$ for $n \geq n_0$. The class of such sequences is denoted RQM and properly contains quasi-monotone sequences. We can now give the following generalization of the Cauchy condensation test.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{a(n)\} \in \text{RQM}$. Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)l(n)$ and the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a(2^n)l(2^n)$ are equiconvergent for every $\{l(n)\} \in SV(N)$.*

PROOF. For sufficiently large k , $a(k)/k^\alpha l_1(k) \downarrow$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\{l_1(k)\} \in \text{SV}(N)$. Consequently, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a(2^{n+1})}{2^{\alpha(n+1)} l_1(2^{n+1})} \sum_{k=2}^{2^{n+1}-1} k^\alpha l_1(k) l(k) &\leq \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} a(k) l(k) \\ &\leq \frac{a(2^n)}{2^{\alpha n} l_1(2^n)} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} k^\alpha l_1(k) l(k), \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \frac{a(2^{n+1})}{l_1(2^{n+1})} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} l_1(k) l(k) &\leq \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} a(k) l(k) \\ &\leq 2^\alpha \frac{a(2^n)}{l_1(2^n)} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} l_1(k) l(k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{l_1(k)l(k)\} \in \text{SV}(N)$, the aforementioned uniform nature of (2.1) or (2.2) gives

$$\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} l_1(k) l(k) \sim 2^n l_1(2^n) l(2^n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

from which the conclusion follows.

Another basic property of slowly varying functions is the asymptotic relation [5]

$$u^\alpha \max_{u \leq s < \infty} s^{-\alpha} L(s) \sim L(u) \quad (u \rightarrow \infty),$$

for any $\alpha > 0$. The following lemma resembles a classical Abelian theorem [10].

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\{l(n)\} \in \text{SV}(N)$ and let $\{m_k\}_0^\infty$ be a positive sequence such that, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1-\alpha}} = O\left(\frac{1}{m_n^{1-\alpha}}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{l(m_k)}{m_k} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{l(m_k)}{m_k} = O\left(\frac{l(m_n)}{m_n}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

PROOF. For $N > n$,

$$\sum_{k=n}^N \frac{l(m_k)}{m_k} \leq \left(\sup_{k \geq n} m_k^{-\alpha} l(m_k) \right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Consequently (2.3) holds, and

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{l(m_k)}{m_k} \leq A \left(m_n^\alpha \sup_{k \geq n} m_k^{-\alpha} l(m_k) \right) \frac{1}{m_n},$$

where A is an absolute constant. This completes the proof.

3. Weighted integrability theorem. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $L \in \text{SV}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $L(u) \rightarrow \infty$ ($u \rightarrow \infty$), let $a(n) = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and for some $p > 1$, let*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(n) \left(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p}{n} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Then (i) $f(x)L(1/x) \in L^1(0, \pi]$, and

(ii) if $\{|a(n)|\} \in \text{RQM}$, then $g(x)L(1/x) \in L^1(0, \pi]$ if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(n)|}{n} L(n) < \infty.$$

PROOF. Applying Lemma 2.1 and the methods of [2], the series in (3.1) is equiconvergent with

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Jensen's inequality, (3.1) implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a(n)|L(n) < \infty$, so that $\{a(n)\}$ is of bounded variation. Also, we may suppose $1 < p \leq 2$, a necessary technicality. We prove (ii); (i) is similar. Summation by parts yields the pointwise limit

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a(n) \tilde{D}_n(x), \quad x \in (0, \pi],$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_n(x) = \frac{\cos(x/2) - \cos(n + 1/2)x}{2 \sin(x/2)}$$

is the conjugate Dirichlet kernel. Letting $a(0) = 0$ and

$$\bar{D}_n(x) = -\frac{\cos(n + 1/2)x}{2 \sin(x/2)},$$

we may write

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta a(n) \bar{D}_n(x), \quad x \in (0, \pi].$$

The result will be obtained by means of the following estimate: for $N = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\pi 2^{-(N+1)}}^{\pi} |g(x)| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \sum_{n=0}^N |a(2^n)| \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} \\ + O\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right),$$

the O -term being uniform with respect to N . It follows that $g(x)L(1/x) \in L^1(0, \pi]$ if and only if

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a(2^n)| \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} < \infty.$$

A change of variables gives

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} = \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} L(2^n u) \frac{du}{u} \sim (\lg 2) L(2^n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus, the series in (3.5) is equiconvergent with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a(2^n)| L(2^n)$, completing the proof by Lemma 2.1, provided we verify (3.4). For $N = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_{\pi 2^{-(N+1)}}^{\pi} |g(x)| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx - \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Delta a(k) \bar{D}_k(x) \right| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \right| \\ \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} \left| \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} \Delta a(k) \bar{D}_k(x) \right| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx.$$

Denote the right side by I_N ; applying Hölder's inequality ($1/p + 1/q = 1$), followed by the Riesz [11] extension of the Hausdorff-Young theorem, one obtains

$$(3.8) \quad I_N \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L^p\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{\sin^p(x/2)} \right)^{1/p} \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} \Delta a(k) \cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \right\|_q \\ \leq A_p \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L^p\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x^p} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_q$ is the $L^q(0, \pi]$ -norm, and A_p is a constant dependent only on p . As in (3.6),

$$\int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L^p\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x^p} \leq B 2^{n(p-1)} L^p(2^n),$$

where B is an absolute constant. From (3.8),

$$I_N \leq A_p \sum_{n=0}^N 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where B has been absorbed into A_p . Returning to (3.7), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\pi 2^{-(N+1)}}^{\pi} |g(x)| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Delta a(k) \bar{D}_k(x) \right| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \\ + O\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right),$$

uniformly in N . Denote the first term on the right side by J_N . Applying the uniform estimate

$$|\bar{D}_n(x) + 1/x| \leq A(n+1), \quad x \in (0, \pi],$$

A being an absolute constant, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \left| J_N - \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Delta a(k) \right| L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} \right| \\ \leq A \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |\Delta a(k)| (k+1) L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx.$$

Again similar to (3.6),

$$\int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \sim \frac{L(2^n)}{2^{n+1}} = O\left(\frac{L(2^n)}{2^n}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Consequently, denoting the right side of (3.10) by J'_N and absorbing all absolute constants into A , we get

$$J'_N \leq A \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{L(2^n)}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |\Delta a(k)| (k+1) \\ \leq A \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} |\Delta a(k)| (k+1) \sum_{n=[\lg_2(k+1)]}^N \frac{L(2^n)}{2^n},$$

$[\lg_2(k+1)]$ denoting the greatest integer in the base two logarithm of $k+1$. Appealing to Lemma 2.2 one obtains

$$J'_N \leq A \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} |\Delta a(k)| (k+1) \sum_{n=[\lg_2(k+1)]}^{\infty} \frac{L(2^n)}{2^n} \\ \leq A \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} |\Delta a(k)| (k+1) \frac{L(k+1)}{k+1} \\ \leq A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Returning to (3.10), we get

$$J_N = \sum_{n=0}^N |a(2^n)| \int_{\pi 2^{-(n+1)}}^{\pi 2^{-n}} L\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} + O\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n L(2^n) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=2^n}^{\infty} |\Delta a(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right),$$

which concludes the proof of (3.4).

4. L^1 -convergence of Fourier series. In this section we prove the following theorem concerning L^1 -convergence of Fourier cosine series, an analogous result holds for Fourier sine series.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let (1.1) be the Fourier series of some $f \in L^1(0, \pi]$ with $\{a(n)\} \in \text{RQM}$. Then $\|S_n(f) - f\| = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $a(n) \lg n = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).*

PROOF. Let $\sigma_n(f) = \sigma_n(f, x)$ denote the $(C, 1)$ means of the Fourier cosine series of f . Summation by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f, x) - \sigma_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n ka(k) \cos kx \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta(ka(k)) \left[D_k(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{n+1} a(n) \left[D_n(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^n \cos kx = \frac{\sin(n+1/2)x}{2 \sin(x/2)}$$

is the Dirichlet kernel. Rearranging terms gives the following useful identity:

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f, x) - \sigma_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \Delta a(k) D_k(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a(k+1) D_k(x) + a(n) D_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the L^1 -norm and using the well-known estimate

$$\|D_n\| = (2/\pi) \lg n + O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

we get

(4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n(f) - \sigma_n(f)\| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k |\Delta a(k)| \lg k + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a(k+1)| \lg k \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} a(n) \lg n + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiency, the hypothesis $a(n) \lg n = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) implies that the second and third terms on the right side are $o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Hence, we must show that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k |\Delta a(k)| \lg n = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $\{a(n)\} \in \text{RQM}$, for some $\alpha > 0$ and some $\{l(n)\} \in \text{SV}(N)$, we have $a(n)/n^\alpha l(n) \downarrow$. This implies that

$$a(n+1) \leq (1 + \alpha/n) \frac{l(n+1)}{l(n)} a(n),$$

without loss of generality, for all n . Consequently,

$$\Delta a(n) + \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) \frac{l(n+1)}{l(n)} - 1 \right] a(n) \geq 0$$

and, finally,

$$(4.3) \quad |\Delta a(n)| \leq \Delta a(n) + 2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) \frac{l(n+1)}{l(n)} \right] a(n).$$

We apply (4.3) to estimate the expression in (4.2); i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k |\Delta a(k)| \lg n &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \Delta a(k) \lg k \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{k}\right) \frac{l(k+1)}{l(k)} a(k) \lg k. \end{aligned}$$

The second term is $o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) since $\{l(n)\} \in \text{SV}(N)$ and $a(n) \lg n = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). For the first we apply summation by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \Delta a(k) \lg k &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \lg \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) a(k+1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a(k+1) \lg(k+1) - \frac{n}{n+1} a(n+1) \lg n. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is $o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) since $\lg(1 + 1/n) \approx 1/n$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$); the second and third terms are $o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) since $a(n) \lg n = o(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). This concludes the proof of sufficiency. For necessity we use the known estimate [6]

$$\|S_n(f) - f\| \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a(n+k)}{k}.$$

From the fact that $\{a(n)\} \in \text{RQM}$ we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a(n+k)}{k} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a(n+k)}{(n+k)^\alpha l(n+k)} \cdot \frac{(n+k)^\alpha l(n+k)}{k} \\ &\geq \frac{a(2n)}{(2n)^\alpha l(2n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n+k)^\alpha l(n+k)}{k} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\right)^\alpha \frac{a(2n)}{l(2n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{l(n+k)}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic relation $l(k) \sim k^\beta [\sup_{n \geq k} n^{-\beta} l(n)]$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), gives for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{l(k)}{k-n} &\approx \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{k^\beta \sup_{m \geq k} m^{-\beta} l(m)}{k-n} \\ &\geq \left[\sup_{m \geq 2n} m^{-\beta} l(m) \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{k^\beta}{k-n} \geq (n+1)^\beta \left[\sup_{m \geq 2n} m^{-\beta} l(m) \right] \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \\ &= \left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\right)^\beta (2n)^\beta \left[\sup_{m \geq 2n} m^{-\beta} l(m) \right] \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sim \frac{1}{2^\beta} l(2n) \lg n. \end{aligned}$$

Returning to (4.4) concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. G. A. Fomin, *A class of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki **23** (1978), 213 – 222.
2. William O. Bray, *On a theorem of Stanojević*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 59 – 62.
3. William O. Bray and V. B. Stanojević, *On the integrability of complex trigonometric series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 51 – 58.
4. R. P. Boas, *Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967.

5. J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance reguliere des fonctions*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **4** (1930), 38 – 53.
6. G. A. Fomin and S. A. Telyakovskii, *On convergence in L^1 -metric of Fourier series with quasi-monotone coefficients*, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR* **134** (1975), 310–314.
7. J. W. Garrett, C. S. Rees and C. V. Stanojević, *On the L^1 -convergence of Fourier series with quasi-monotone coefficients*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 535 – 538.
8. O. Szasz, *Quasi-monotone series*, *Amer. J. Math.* **70** (1948).
9. J. Karamata, *Sur certains "Tauberian Theorems" de M. M. Hardy et Littlewood*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **3** (1930), 33–48.
10. S. Aljancic, R. Bojanic and M. Tomic, *Slowly varying functions with remainder term and their applications in analysis*, *Serbian Acad. Sci. and Arts Monographs*, vol. 461, Math. Inst. Beograd, 1974.
11. F. Riesz, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Parsevalschen Formel*, *Math. Z.* **18** (1923), 117 – 124.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MAINE AT ORONO, ORONO, MAINE 04469

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI AT ROLLA, ROLLA, MISSOURI 65401