

INJECTIVE HULLS OF CERTAIN S -SYSTEMS OVER A SEMILATTICE

C. S. JOHNSON, JR. AND F. R. McMORRIS

ABSTRACT. We construct, in the category of S -systems over a semilattice, the injective hulls of S -systems which are homomorphic images of S -subsystems of S .

1. Introduction. In [1] Berthiaume showed that injective hulls exist in the category of S -systems (or S -sets) over a semigroup S . In that paper he also showed that if S is a chain then the injective hull of S itself is its Dedekind-MacNeile completion. In the present paper we consider the case where S is a semilattice and construct the injective hulls of S -systems which are homomorphic images of S -subsystems of S (or, in the notation of [3], S -systems which are in $HS(S)$). We do this by adapting the techniques used by Bruns and Lakser in [2] to construct injective hulls in the category of semilattices. We obtain as corollaries Berthiaume's result for chains, a characterization of injective cyclic S -systems over a semilattice, and the result that a semilattice S is injective in the category of semilattices if and only if it is injective in the category of S -systems.

2. Preliminaries. Let S be a semigroup. A (right) S -system is a set M equipped with a map (written multiplicatively) from $M \times S$ to M such that $m(s_1s_2) = (ms_1)s_2$ for all $m \in M$ and all $s_1, s_2 \in S$. If one thinks of each element of S as inducing a unary operation on an S -system M , then M is a finitary algebra and all the notions of universal algebra are available. Thus if M and N are S -systems we have $A \subseteq M$ is an S -subsystem of M if and only if $AS \subseteq A$, $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism if and only if $\phi(ms) = \phi(m)s$ for all $m \in M$ and all $s \in S$, and an equivalence relation \sim on M is a congruence relation if and only if $m_1 \sim m_2$ implies $m_1s \sim m_2s$ for all $s \in S$. Unless otherwise stated, all algebraic notions will be in this category. *We will assume throughout that the semigroup S is a semilattice (i.e., commutative and idempotent).*

LEMMA 1. *If an S -system M has the property that $MS = M$, then it is partially ordered by the rule $m_1 \leq m_2$ if and only if $m_1 = m_2s$ for some $s \in S$.*

Presented to the Society, June 1, 1971; received by the editors June 1, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 20M99; Secondary 16A52, 18B99.

Key words and phrases. S -systems, injective S -systems.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

PROOF. For each $m \in M$ we have $m = m_1 s$ for some $m_1 \in M$ and $s \in S$ (since $MS = M$), and hence $m = ms$, so $m \leq m$. If $m_1 \leq m_2$ and $m_2 \leq m_1$ we have $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $m_1 = m_2 s_1$ and $m_2 = m_1 s_2$. Now $m_1 = m_2 s_1 = (m_1 s_2) s_1 = m_1 (s_2 s_1) = (m_2 s_1) (s_2 s_1) = m_2 (s_1 s_2 s_1) = m_2 (s_1 s_2) = (m_2 s_1) s_2 = m_1 s_2 = m_2$. Transitivity is obvious.

Notice that if S has an identity and M is a unitary S -system, then $MS = M$ and Lemma 1 applies.

When we are dealing with a partial order on an S -system we will use the symbols " \vee " and " \wedge " to denote least upper bounds and greatest lower bounds, respectively.

We will refer to the partial order of Lemma 1 as the *natural partial order* on M .

If an S -system M is partially ordered in some way and if $A \subseteq M$ is such that $\vee A$ exists, we will say that $\vee A$ is *S -distributive* if and only if, for each $s \in S$, $\vee \{as \mid a \in A\}$ exists and equals $(\vee A)s$.

Recall the following definitions in a category of algebras: An algebra C is *injective* if and only if every homomorphism from a subalgebra A of an algebra B into C has an extension to all of B . An extension C of an algebra A is *essential* if and only if any homomorphism from C to an algebra B , whose restriction to A is one-to-one, is itself one-to-one. An *injective hull* of an algebra is an essential, injective extension.

LEMMA 2. Let C be an S -system which is partially ordered in such a way that $c = \vee \{cs \mid s \in S\}$ for each $c \in C$. If C is a complete lattice in which arbitrary joins are *S -distributive*, then C is injective.

PROOF. Let A be an S -subsystem of an S -system B and let $\phi: A \rightarrow C$ be a homomorphism. Define $\phi^*: B \rightarrow C$ by

$$\phi^*(b) = \vee \{\phi(a) \mid a \in A, a = bs \text{ for some } s \in S\}.$$

If $b \in A$, then

$$\phi^*(b) = \vee \{\phi(bs) \mid s \in S\} = \vee \{\phi(b)s \mid s \in S\} = \phi(b)$$

and thus ϕ^* extends ϕ . If $s_0 \in S$ it is easy to see that $\{as_0 \mid a \in A, a = bs \text{ for some } s \in S\} = \{a \mid a \in A, a = bs_0 s \text{ for some } s \in S\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(b)s_0 &= (\vee \{\phi(a) \mid a \in A, a = bs \text{ for some } s \in S\})s_0 \\ &= \vee \{\phi(a)s_0 \mid a \in A, a = bs \text{ for some } s \in S\} \\ &= \vee \{\phi(as_0) \mid a \in A, a = bs \text{ for some } s \in S\} \\ &= \vee \{\phi(a) \mid a \in A, a = bs_0 s \text{ for some } s \in S\} = \phi^*(bs_0). \end{aligned}$$

We will call a subset A of a poset C *join-dense* in C if and only if $c = \vee \{a \in A \mid a \leq c\}$ for each $c \in C$. If A and C are also S -systems we will say that *S -distributive joins in A are preserved in C* if and only if $a = \vee_C B$

whenever $B \subseteq A$ and $a = \bigvee_A B$ is S -distributive. We will call a map ϕ on a poset P *decreasing* if and only if $\phi(a) \leq a$ for all $a \in P$.

LEMMA 3. *Let C be an S -system which is partially ordered in such a way that the unary operations induced by S preserve the order and are decreasing. Let A be an S -subsystem of C and suppose that for each $a \in A$ there is an $s_a \in S$ such that, for each $c \in C$, $c \wedge a$ exists and equals cs_a . If A is join-dense in C and if S -distributive joins in A are preserved in C , then C is an essential extension of A .*

PROOF. Let $\phi: B \rightarrow C$ be a homomorphism with $\phi|_A$ one-to-one. If ϕ is not one-to-one there exist elements $a, b \in C$ with $a \neq b$ and $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$. Since A is join-dense in C we may suppose there exists $u \in A$ with $u \leq b$ and $u \not\leq a$. We have $\phi(a \wedge u) = \phi(as_u) = \phi(a)s_u = \phi(b)s_u = \phi(bs_u) = \phi(b \wedge u) = \phi(u)$. Now suppose $s \in S$ and let $M = \{(u \wedge x)s \mid x \leq a, x \in A\}$. If we show that $us = \bigvee_A M$ we will have shown (considering the special case $s = s_u$) that $u = \bigvee_A \{u \wedge x \mid x \leq a, x \in A\}$ and is an S -distributive join. Hence $u = \bigvee_C \{u \wedge x \mid x \leq a, x \in A\} \leq a$, a contradiction. Since $u \wedge x \leq u$ implies $(u \wedge x)s \leq us$, it is clear that us is an upper bound for M . Let $v \in A$ be another upper bound for M with $v \neq us$. Since meets exist in A we may further assume that $v < us$. If $c \in A$ and $c \leq (u \wedge a)s$ we have $c \leq us$ and $c = us \wedge c = uss_c = us_c s = (u \wedge c)s$ with $c \leq as \leq a$. Hence we can again use the fact that A is join-dense in C and obtain

$$(u \wedge a)s = \bigvee_C \{(u \wedge x)s \mid x \leq a, x \in A\} = \bigvee_C M \leq v.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(us) &= \phi(u)s = \phi(a \wedge u)s = \phi((a \wedge u)s) = \phi((a \wedge u)s \wedge v) = \phi((a \wedge u)ss_v) \\ &= \phi(a \wedge u)ss_v = \phi(u)ss_v = \phi(uss_v) = \phi(us \wedge v) = \phi(v), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This establishes the fact that $us = \bigvee_A M$ and finishes the proof.

3. Injective hulls. Let M be an S -system such that $MS = M$. Recall that, by Lemma 1, M is partially ordered by the rule $m_1 \leq m_2$ if and only if $m_1 = m_2 s$ for some $s \in S$. Following Bruns and Lakser we will call a subset N of M *admissible* if and only if $\bigvee N$ exists and is S -distributive, and we will call N a *D-ideal* if and only if $y \in N$ and $x \leq y$ imply $x \in N$ (i.e., $NS \subseteq N$) and N is closed under S -distributive joins (i.e., $A \subseteq N$ and A admissible implies $\bigvee A \in N$). Now $I_D(M)$, the set of all D -ideals of M , is closed under arbitrary intersections and is thus a complete lattice under set inclusion. An obvious modification of the proof of [2, Lemma 3] shows that the join operation in $I_D(M)$ is given by

$$\bigvee \{A_i \mid i \in I\} = \{ \bigvee N \mid N \subseteq \bigcup \{A_i \mid i \in I\}, N \text{ admissible} \}.$$

It is easy to show that if N is a D -ideal of M then $Ns = \{ns \mid s \in S\}$ is also a D -ideal and that $Ns = N \cap Ms$. Thus $I_D(M)$ is a complete lattice in which arbitrary joins are S -distributive. Notice that $mS = \{x \in M \mid x \leq m\}$, that these principal ideals are clearly D -ideals and that $m \mapsto mS$ is an embedding of M in $I_D(M)$. Now, considering M as an S -subsystem of $I_D(M)$, notice that S -distributive joins in M are preserved in $I_D(M)$.

It is clear that S itself is an S -system and we now restrict our attention to $HS(S)$, that is, to S -systems which are of the form A/\sim where A is an S -subsystem of S and \sim is a congruence relation on A . Notice that A is an ideal of S and \sim is a semigroup congruence on A (since we have assumed S to be commutative) and thus A/\sim is a semilattice as well as an S -system. It is easy to see that $(A/\sim)S = A/\sim$ and that the partial order on A/\sim as a semilattice coincides with the natural partial order of Lemma 1.

THEOREM. *If $M \in HS(S)$, then $I_D(M)$ is the injective hull of M .*

PROOF. $M = A/\sim$ where $A \subseteq S$ is an ideal and \sim is a congruence relation on A . Denoting arbitrary elements of A/\sim by $[x]$ with $x \in A$, we have that $[a]S = Ma$ since $[a]s = [as] = [asa] = [as]a$ and $[x]a = [xa] = [ax] = [a]x$. Since a D -ideal N is the join of the principal ideals it contains we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \bigvee \{[a]S \mid [a] \in N\} = \bigvee \{N \cap Ma \mid [a] \in N\} \\ &= \bigvee \{N \cap Ms \mid s \in S\} = \bigvee \{Ns \mid s \in S\} \subseteq N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $N = \bigvee \{Ns \mid s \in S\}$ for each $N \in I_D(M)$ so the hypotheses of Lemma 2 are satisfied and $I_D(M)$ is injective. Since the unary operations in $I_D(M)$ are given by $Ns = N \cap Ms$, for each $s \in S$, it is apparent that they preserve the order and are decreasing and that for each $[a] \in M$ we have $Na = N \cap Ma = N \cap [a]S$. Thus, by identifying M with the S -subsystem of $I_D(M)$ consisting of the principal order ideals of M , we see that the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied and that $I_D(M)$ is an essential extension of M .

COROLLARY 1. *If $M \in HS(S)$, then M is injective if and only if it is a complete lattice in which arbitrary joins are S -distributive.*

PROOF. M is injective if and only if the embedding $m \mapsto mS$ of M in $I_D(M)$ is onto. This is true precisely when every D -ideal of M is principal. Clearly this is the case when M is a complete lattice in which arbitrary joins are S -distributive. Conversely, if every D -ideal is principal, then the partial ordering of $I_D(M)$ by set inclusion (under which $I_D(M)$ is a complete lattice with S -distributive joins) coincides with its natural partial order as an S -system, i.e., $m_1S \subseteq m_2S$ if and only if $m_1 = m_2s$ for some $s \in S$. Since in this case M is isomorphic to $I_D(M)$, M is also a complete lattice with S -distributive joins.

COROLLARY 2 (BERTHIAUME). *If S is a chain, then its injective hull is its Dedekind-MacNeile completion.*

PROOF. If S is a chain, then every order ideal is a D -ideal and hence $I_D(S)$ is the Dedekind-MacNeile completion.

COROLLARY 3. *A semilattice S is injective in the category of semilattices if and only if it is injective in the category of S -systems.*

PROOF. By Corollary 1, S is injective in the category of S -systems if and only if it is a complete lattice with the property that $(\bigvee M) \wedge s = \bigvee \{m \wedge s \mid m \in M\}$ for all $s \in S$, $M \subseteq S$. By [2, Theorem 1] these properties characterize injectivity in the category of semilattices.

COROLLARY 4. *A cyclic S -system is injective if and only if it is a complete lattice (in its natural partial order) in which arbitrary joins are S -distributive.*

PROOF. If M is a cyclic S -system, then $M = xS$ for some $x \in M$. It is clear that $MS = M$, so M has a natural partial order (Lemma 1). Define a congruence relation on S by $s_1 \sim s_2$ if and only if $xs_1 = xs_2$. The map $xs \mapsto [s]$ is an isomorphism between M and S/\sim and hence $M \in HS(S)$ and Corollary 1 applies.

COROLLARY 5. *Let M be an S -system such that $MS = M$. If for each $m \in M$ there exists an $s \in S$ such that $mS = Ms$, then M is injective if and only if it is a complete lattice in which arbitrary joins are S -distributive.*

PROOF. Define a congruence relation on S by $s_1 \sim s_2$ if and only if $Ms_1 = Ms_2$. The map $m \mapsto [s]$, where $mS = Ms$, is an isomorphism between M and an S -subsystem of S/\sim . Since $SH(S) \subseteq HS(S)$ by [3, Theorem 1, p. 152], $M \in HS(S)$ and Corollary 1 applies.

REFERENCES

1. P. Berthiaume, *The injective envelope of S -sets*, Canad. Math. Bull. **10** (1967), 261–273. MR **35** #4321.
2. G. Bruns and H. Lakser, *Injective hulls of semilattices*, Canad. Math. Bull. **13** (1970), 115–118.
3. G. Grätzer, *Universal algebra*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1968. MR **40** #1320.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403

Current address (McMorris): Biomathematics Program, Box 5457, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607