

Xumi (part 1): Lower Xumi, the variety of the lower and middle reaches of the Shuiluo river

Katia Chirkova

Centre de Recherches Linguistiques sur l'Asie Orientale, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France
katia.chirkova@gmail.com

Yiya Chen

Leiden University Centre for Linguistics, Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden, the Netherlands
yiya.chen@hum.leidenuniv.nl

The Xumi 旭米 language (/^{EP}ʃu-hĩ ketɕv/ ‘the language of the Shu people’) is spoken by approximately 1,800 people who reside along the banks of the Shuiluo River (水洛河) in Shuiluo Township (水洛乡) of Muli Tibetan Autonomous County (木里藏族自治县; *smi li rang skyong rdzong* in Written Tibetan, hereafter, WT).¹ This county is located in the South-West of Sichuan Province (四川省) in the People’s Republic of China (see Figure 1).

The language of the group was first described by Sun Hongkai (孙宏开) (Sun 1983), who labeled it ‘Shixing 史兴’ based on the autonym of the group (/^{EP}ʃu-hĩ/ ‘Xumi people’). However, this label is generally unknown in the county where the group resides and where its official name in the national Mandarin Chinese language is Xumi (旭米) (Muli Gazetteers 2010: 560, 563–564, 568).

Xumi is currently classified as a member of the putative Qiangic subgroup of the Sino-Tibetan language family (Bradley 1997: 36–37; Sun 2001). However, the recent migration history of the group from the areas historically populated by the Naxi and Mosuo ethnic groups, in combination with salient typological similarities between Xumi and the Naxi and Mosuo languages, rather suggest that Xumi is more closely related to those two languages (Guo & He 1994: 8–9; Chirkova 2009, 2012).

Of old, Shuiluo Township is a multi-ethnic and multi-lingual area. Hence, Xumi villages are interspersed with villages of other ethnic groups. The ethnic neighbors of the Xumi include (in the order of population size, see Figure 1): (i) Gami (嘎米) Tibetans in the upper reaches

¹ In transcriptions ‘-’ stands for morpheme boundary, and ‘=’ stands for clitic boundary. In WT etymologies, ‘?’ stands for a morpheme whose meaning is unclear. See section ‘Word-level tone patterns’ for the adopted system of tone notation.




Figure 1 Location of Shuiluo Township (Xumi villages marked with solid black circles).

of the Shuiluo river (the village of Dulu),² (ii) Pumi (普米) in the upper and lower reaches of Shuiluo river (the villages of Siweng and Gangba), (iii) Naxi (纳西, the villages of Qiubao and Guni) in the lower reaches of the Shuiluo river, and (iv) Muli Mongolians (speakers of the Mosuo (摩些 or 摩梭) language, the village of Lianmu) in the middle reaches of the Shuiluo river (Weckerle et al. 2006, Büeler 2010).

Possibly reflecting these respective contact influences, the Xumi language can be divided into two varieties with restricted mutual intelligibility: (i) the variety of the upper reaches of the Shuiluo River (hereafter, Upper Xumi, spoken in the village of Lanman); and (ii) the variety of the lower and middle reaches of the Shuiluo River (hereafter, Lower Xumi, spoken in the villages of Xinzang, Pingweng, Liangbao, and Mianbang). The two varieties differ both in their segmental inventories and phonotactic constraints. At the lexical level, the two varieties have loanwords from different donor languages. Both varieties have a large number of Tibetan loanwords in their lexicon, but the number of Tibetan loanwords is higher in Upper Xumi, due to its close contact with Gami Tibetan. For example, 'head' is /^{RP}ku-lɔ/ in Lower Xumi (a native Xumi word), but /^{EP}tʰapɔ/ in Upper Xumi (which is a loanword

² The two neighboring villages of Xiwa and Dongla are formerly Xumi-speaking, but have shifted to Gami in the recent decades.

from Tibetan, WT *thod pa* ‘forehead’). Conversely, Lower Xumi has many Pumi loanwords, e.g. ‘wolf’: Lower Xumi /^Hlɛ/ (loan from the local dialect of Pumi, [lɛ⁵³], see Lu 2001: 373), Upper Xumi /^Rp^hu/. As a result of its exposure to multiple linguistic influences, Xumi has large and complex consonant and vowel inventories, much subphonemic variation in the realization of individual phonemes and tonemes, and a number of marginal phonemes in both varieties. Given that most languages with which Xumi is in contact are non-written (with the exception of Tibetan) and little researched, it remains difficult at this stage to reliably assess their respective impact on the phonetics and phonology of Xumi. On the whole, of the two varieties, Lower Xumi has clearer distinctions between vowels, and therefore lends itself better for an introductory overview of the Xumi language. The more complex Upper Xumi is the topic of a follow-up Illustration (see Chirkova, Chen & Kocjančič Antolík 2013).

The Xumi use their native language as the primary language of oral communication for family and community events. A considerable percentage of Xumi women are monolingual, whereas most men are proficient in Mandarin Chinese (the local variety of South-West Mandarin). In addition, Lower Xumi speakers often have a good command of Pumi (and some even of Naxi and Mosuo), whereas Upper Xumi speakers often have a good command of Gami Tibetan. The language of the Xumi is traditionally considered by its speakers and ethnic neighbors alike as mixed and combining elements of various local languages. It does not have its own writing system.

Xumi is little researched, with only three outlines to date. Of these, one (Sun 1983) focuses on Lower Xumi, whereas two other (Huang & Renzeng 1991, Chirkova 2009) focus on Upper Xumi.

The present description is based on the first author’s fieldwork. The word list and the text provided with this paper were recited by a sixty-two-year-old male native speaker of Lower Xumi, who was born and raised in Shuiluo Township (Liangbao village 两保村). Examples in the sections on syllable structure and prosodic organization were pronounced by a female speaker in her sixties, also born and raised in Shuiluo Township (Mianbang village 免邦村; sound files marked as ‘FEMALE_SPKR’). A chart of all C–V combinations is provided in the appendix.

Consonants

The consonant inventory comprises of 50 consonants, as listed in the table below. In the table, segments that are low in frequency (the voiced uvular stop) or restricted to loanwords (retroflex affricates, and the voiceless alveolopalatal and velar nasals) are put in parentheses.

	Bilabial	Alveolar	Retroflex	Post-alveolar	Alveolo-palatal	Velar	Uvular	Glottal
Plosive	p p ^h b	t t ^h d				k k ^h g	q q ^h (G)	
Affricate		ts ts ^h dz	(tʂ tʂ ^h dz)	tʃ tʃ ^h dʒ	tɕ tɕ ^h dʑ			
Nasal	m̩ m	n̩ n			(ɲ) ɲ	(ŋ) ŋ		
Fricative		s z		ʃ ʒ	ç ʑ	x ɣ	ʁ	h fɦ
Approximant	w	ɹ			j			
Lateral approximant		l̩ l			ʎ̩ ʎ			

p	^H pɛ	‘hedgehog’	ʒ	^H ʒɨ	‘to stamp’
p ^h	^H p ^h ɛ	‘price’	tɕ	^H tɕɛ	‘star’
b	^H bɛ	‘girder’	tɕ ^h	^R tɕ ^h ɛ	‘muntjac’
m	^R mɛ	‘sky’	dʒ	^H dʒɛ [^H dʒɛʔ]	‘water’
m̥	^H m̥ɛ̃	‘medicine’	ɲ	^R ɲɛ	‘fire’
w	^H wɛ [^H vɛʔ]	‘tooth’	ɕ	^{RP} dʒi-ɕɛ	‘one hundred’
t	^H tjɛ	‘cutting board’	ʒ	^H ʒɛ	‘beer, wine’
t ^h	^H t ^h jɛ	‘below’	j	^H jɛ	‘tent’
d	^H djɛ	‘fox’ ³	ʎ	^R ʎo	‘musk deer’
ts	^R tsu	‘to be in debt’	ʎ̥	^H ʎ̥o	‘spirit, soul’
ts ^h	^R ts ^h u	‘ghost’	k	^H ko	‘to be able’
dz	^R dzu	‘eat (IMP)’	k ^h	^{RP} k ^h u=ji	‘to want’
n	(^{RP} dʒɛ)nã	‘inside (water)’	g	^H gu	‘to wear’
ɲ	^R ɲã	‘fur, hair’	ŋ	^H ŋɛ	‘I’
s	^H sɛ	‘to know’	x	^H xu	‘rain’
z	^R zɛ	‘to wash’	ɣ	^H ɣu	‘lake’
ɹ	^R ɹɛ	‘good’	q	^H qo	‘hearth’
l	^{RP} lo-lo	‘to wrap’	q ^h	^H q ^h o	‘bowl’
l̥	^{RP} ɬu-l̥o	‘head’	ɕ	^R ɕo	‘to stew’
tʃ	^{RP} qotʃɨ	‘skin’	ɬ	^R ɬo	‘needle’
tʃ ^h	^{LP} tʃ ^h ɨ-tʃ ^h ɨ	‘to suck (milk)’	h	^H hã	‘vegetable’
dʒ	^R dʒɨ	‘yeast’	fi	^H fiã	‘pigeon’
ʃ	^{RP} ʃɨ-ʃɨ	‘paper’			

There is a general three-way contrast in stops and affricates: voiceless aspirated, voiceless unaspirated, and voiced. Velar and uvular stops contrast before /ɛ u ɨ o/, as in /^Hk^hɛ/ ‘foot’ vs. /^Hq^hɛ/ ‘feces’. Elsewhere, they are in complementary distribution. Velar stops are found before front vowels, whereas uvular stops are found before the low back vowel /a/, as in /^Hk^hɛ/ ‘to pour’, /^Rqjɛ/ ‘eggplant’ vs. /^Hq^hɑ/ ‘to slaughter’. The voiced uvular stop occurs only in a few words. It shows varying degrees of frication at the beginning of the following vowel, after the release (e.g. /^RGO/ [^RGɕO] ‘to stew’).⁴

Xumi affricates include three-way contrasts in place of articulation: alveolar, postalveolar, and alveopalatal. Postalveolar and alveopalatal affricates mostly occur before front and central vowels. No contrast is found before /i ɛ/ (in which cases we use symbols for alveopalatal affricates in our transcriptions) (e.g. /^Htɕi/ ‘to be afraid’, /^Htɕɛ/ ‘earth’). Postalveolar and alveopalatal affricates contrast before /ɛ ɨ o/ (as well as marginally also before /ɛ/). Examples include:

/^{RP}dʒɛ-dʒɛ/ ‘to play, to amuse oneself’ vs. /^Hdʒɛ/ ‘traditional chess-like game’
 /^Htɕ^hɨ/ ‘milk curd’ vs. /^{LP}tʃ^hɨ-tʃ^hɨ/ ‘to suck (milk)’
 /^Hdʒo/ ‘swan, goose’ vs. /^Hdʒo/ ‘to shout, to yell, to make sounds’

Xumi has an additional set of retroflex affricates in Tibetan loanwords, which mostly occur before back vowels, but occasionally also with high front vowels (in loanwords). In

³ The three examples with alveolar stops (/^Htjɛ/ ‘cutting board’, /^Ht^hjɛ/ ‘below’, and /^Hdjɛ/ ‘fox’) are strongly palatalized and realized close to palatal stops, i.e. [çɛ], [ç^hɛ], and [jɛ], respectively.

⁴ In addition, Lower Xumi also has one prenasalized voiced stop initial, namely /ŋg/. In our corpus, it occurs in only one word, /^{RP}ɲɛ ŋgɛ/ ‘to be scorched’, where the first syllable is a free morpheme meaning ‘fire’.

such cases, retroflex affricates correspond to WT initial clusters with the medial *-r-*, as in /^{RP}tʃiwa/ ‘business, affair’ (WT *brɛl ba*), /^{RP}tʃupa/ ‘sixth lunar month’ (WT *drug pa*), /^{RP}tʃapjɛ/ ‘monk’ (WT *grwa ba*). In a very few cases (e.g. before the syllabic consonant /ɹ/), retroflex affricates contrast with postalveolar affricates, e.g. /^Htʃ^hɹ/ [ʰtʃ^hʒ] ‘to sell’ vs. /^Htʃ^hɹ/ [ʰtʃ^hʒ] ‘dollar’ (WT *khrid*).

Xumi fricatives are pronounced at six different places of articulation: alveolar, postalveolar, alveopalatal, velar, uvular, and glottal. Most fricatives contrast in voicing (namely, /s/ vs. /z/, /ʃ/ vs. /ʒ/, /ç/ vs. /ʒ/, /x/ vs. /ɣ/, /h/ vs. /ɦ/), whereas the uvular voiced fricative /ʁ/ does not have a voiceless counterpart. Alveolar and alveopalatal fricatives (/s z ç ʒ/) have the broadest distribution, co-occurring with most vowels, e.g. /^Hse/ ‘breath’, /^Htɛ/ ^Hçɛ/ ‘to spend a night (there)’, /^Hsje/ ‘blood’ vs. /^Hçɛ/ ‘louse’, /^{EP}soje/ ‘saw’ (WT *sog le*) vs. /^Hço/ ‘meat’. /ʃ/ vs. /ʒ/ have a more restricted distribution, as they are essentially attested with central vowels and the syllabic consonant /ɹ/, e.g. /^{RP}ʃu-ʃu/ ‘paper’ (WT *shog shog*), /^Hʒu/ ‘to stamp’, /^Hʃɹ/ ‘tongue’, /^{RP}ʁɛ-ʒɹ/ ‘to sleep’. The voiced glottal fricative /ɦ/ has the most restricted distribution of all fricatives, co-occurring only with /ō/ and /ā/, e.g. /^Hhō/ ‘silver’, /^Hhā/ ‘pigeon’.

There is a complex relationship between velar and glottal voiceless fricatives and nasalization. Xumi essentially follows the areal tendency to nasalize glottal-initial words, as is the case in a number of Ngwi (Yi) and Na languages (e.g. Matisoff 1973: 20–21, 1975; Bradley 1989), and in some neighboring Qiangic languages (e.g. Lizu, see Chirkova & Chen 2013). Words beginning with /h-/ or a zero-initial (preceded by a non-phonemic glottal stop) mostly co-occur with nasal vowels and tend to be nasalized throughout. Examples include: /^Hhā/ ‘deep’, /^Hhā/ ‘pigeon’, /^Hā/ [ʰʔā] ‘self’. Conversely, words beginning with /x-/ and /ɣ-/ (as well as some words with a zero-initial) co-occur with oral vowels, e.g. /^Hxu/ ‘rain’, /^Hɣu/ ‘lake’, /^{RP}p^{hi}-u/ [ʰp^{hi}-ʔu] ‘patch’. Overall, velar fricatives are infrequent in the word-initial position, where they mostly co-occur with the vowel /u/ (see the examples above).

Xumi nasals are pronounced at four places of articulation: bilabial, alveolar, alveopalatal, and velar. /m/ has the broadest distribution, and /ŋ/ has the most restricted distribution of all nasals. /ŋ/ only occurs in a few words and before low vowels (/ɛ a/), e.g. /^Hŋɛ/ ‘I’, /^{RP}ŋapa/ ‘fifth lunar month’ (WT *lŋa pa*). /n/ and /ɲ/ contrast before /ɛ ā/, e.g. /^Rŋɛ/ ‘fire’, /^Rnɛ/ ‘brain’ (loanword from the local dialect of Pumi, [nɛ¹³] ‘brain’, see Lu 2001: 382–383), /^{RP}dʒɛ/ nā/ ‘inside (water)’, /^{RP}bu-ɲā/ [ʰbɛ-ɲā] ‘to push (outward)’.⁵ The contrast is not found before /i ɛ ʊ/ (in which cases, we use symbols for alveopalatal nasals in our transcriptions), as in /^Hɲi/ ‘you, thou’, /^Hɲɛ/ ‘milk’, /^{LP}ɲu-ɲu/ ‘breast’. Finally, before /e/, only /n/ is found (e.g. /^Hne/ ‘snivel, snot’).

All Xumi nasals show the contrast of voiced vs. voiceless nasals, as the (near) minimal pairs show: /^Hmɛ/ ‘today’, /^Hmɛ/ ‘medicine’; /^{RP}dʒɛ/ nā/ ‘inside (water)’, /^Rŋā/ ‘fur, hair’; /^Hɲɛ/ ‘milk’, /^{EP}ʃɛɲɛ/ ‘clean’; /^{RP}ŋapa/ ‘fifth lunar month’, /^{EP}ŋamō/ ‘camel’ (WT *rŋa mo*). For voiceless nasals, there is a sustainable amount of nasal airflow, due to velum lowering before the oral release, resulting in a sequential timing relationship between oral and velic articulations. We therefore transcribe them as voiceless nasals. Voiceless nasals are infrequent and mostly restricted to loanwords from Tibetan, where the original initial was a cluster beginning with *s-* or *r-*, e.g. /^Hŋm̥/ (WT *sman*) ‘medicine’, /^{EP}ŋōku/ ‘pen’ (WT *smyug gu*), /^{EP}ŋamō/ ‘camel’ (WT *rŋa mo*). /ŋ̥/ and /ɲ̥/ are also attested in the native vocabulary, e.g. /^{EP}ɹum̥/ ‘lower part of the body’, /^{RP}ŋjɛtsō/ ‘tail’, /^Rŋā/ ‘fur, hair’.

Xumi has three central approximants and four lateral approximants: /w j ɹ/, and /l ɭ ʎ ʟ/. The approximant /j/ occurs before front and central oral vowels (/i ɛ ɛ ʊ/) as well as before the nasal vowels /i ɛ ā/ (as in /^Rji/ ‘wart’, /^Rje/ [ʰji] ‘to lick’, /^Rje/ ‘vegetable oil’, /^Hj̥=ji/ ‘to

⁵ Note that in prefixes (which are prosodically unstressed), such as the directional prefix /bu-/ ‘outward’, /u/ is reduced to a schwa, as in this example.

plough', ^{/EP}kãjã/ 'oil lamp' (WT *kong?*), ^{/Hj}õ/ 'to bark'. Before /i/, /j/ contrasts with a zero initial, e.g. ^{/R}ji/ 'wart', ^{/RP}ine/ 'in the past'.

/w/ and /ɹ/ have a broad distribution, occurring before most oral vowels (/i e ɐ ʊ o a/). For example, ^{/LP}owe/ 'downstairs shed', ^{/RP}bɐ-wɐ/ 'to go out', ^{/RP}diwu/ 'bullet' (WT *mde'u*), ^{/EP}t^huwa/ 'hammer' (WT *tho ba*). Before /ɐ/, /w/ is realized as [v], as in ^{/H}wɐ/ [Hvɐ?] 'tooth'. Examples with /ɹ/ include: ^{/H}ii/ 'now', ^{/H}ie/ 'cloth', ^{/H}ɪɐ/ 'to walk', ^{/RP}bɛio/ 'snake', ^{/R}ɪɐ/ 'good'. We note that in word-initial position, /ɹ/ is realized as preceded by a schwa (e.g. ^{/H}ii/ [Həii] 'now'). In the transcribed text, a similar addition of schwa is also observed in the words ^{/H}t^hi/ [Hət^hi] 'that' and ^{/H}tɐ/ [Hətɐ] 'there'. No such effect is observed in word-medial position, where /ɹ/ is realized as [ɹ]. In addition to being an initial, /ɹ/ is also found as a rhyme, i.e. /ɹ/ (see below).

Xumi laterals are pronounced at two places of articulation: alveolar and alveolopalatal. They contrast before /ɐ o/, as in ^{/Hl}ɐ/ 'wolf' vs. ^{/R}lo ^{/H}ɬɐ/ 'come again'. No contrast is found before /i e ʊ/ (in which cases we use symbols for alveolopalatal laterals in our transcriptions), e.g. ^{/LP}negu ^{/RP}bɛ-ɬi/ 'to dry clothes near fire', ^{/R}ɬɛ/ 'predestined affinity', ^{/RP}dzi-ɬɐ/ 'one item of clothing'. Before /e/, we only observe /l/, as in ^{/R}le/ 'hand'.

All laterals show the correlation voiced–voiceless (/l/ vs. /l̥/, /ɬ/ vs. /ɬ̥/), which is similar to that of Xumi nasals. Examples of (near) minimal pairs include: ^{/H}l̥a/ 'tiger', ^{/RP}gɪ̥a/ 'mountain spirit' (WT *dge? lha*); ^{/RP}lo-lo/ 'to wrap', ^{/RP}ɬu-ɬo/ 'head'; ^{/RP}dzi-lu/ 'one time', ^{/RP}ɬuβi/ 'timber'; ^{/R}le/ 'hand', ^{/H}le/ 'wind'; ^{/R}ɬo/ 'musk deer', ^{/H}ɬo/ 'spirit, soul'.

Syllabic consonant

As a syllabic consonant, /ɹ/ may occur with a zero-initial (e.g. the topic marker /ɹ/, see the transcribed text). We take the position that this syllabic consonant is phonologically the same as the fricative vowel after alveolar sibilants and retroflexes (/s z ts ts^h dz ʃ ʒ tʃ^h tʃ^h/). In the latter case, /ɹ/ is realized as homorganic to the preceding consonant onset. Consider the following examples: ^{/H}tsɹ/ [H^htsz] 'leopard, panther', ^{/H}dziɹ/ [H^hdzz] 'wheat', ^{/H}ts^hɹ/ [H^hts^hz] 'goat', ^{/H}ʃɹ/ [H^hʃz] 'tongue', ^{/RP}ɬɛ-ʒɹ/ [RP^hɬɛ-ʒz] 'to sleep', ^{/H}tʃ^hɹ/ [H^htʃ^hz] 'to sell', ^{/H}ts^hɹ/ [H^hts^hz] 'dollar'. Fricative vowels are found in many languages of Southwest China. For example, they are attested in Northern Ngwi (Nuosu) (Bradley 1979: 70; Li & Ma 1983: 36), Lisu (Bradley 2003: 224), Naxi and Mosuo (Michaud 2008). In these languages, syllabic fricatives are often analyzed as allophones of high vowels (of /i/ in Chinese, of /i/ or /y/ in Nuosu and Lisu, and of /u/ in Naxi and Mosuo; see references above). This option, however, does not appear possible for Xumi, where the syllabic consonant /ɹ/ contrasts with the entire range of high vowels (/i ɐ u/), as in ^{/H}tsi/ 'lock', ^{/H}tsɹ/ 'leopard, panther', ^{/RP}ɬɛ-tsɐ/ 'to filter tea', ^{/R}tsu/ 'to be in debt'. We therefore analyze it as a separate phoneme in this language.

Consonant clusters

The approximants /w/ and /j/ occur in the second position in consonant clusters, where they may be realized as secondary labialization or palatalization of the first position consonant.

/j/ occurs after bilabials, alveolars, alveolopalatals, velars, nasals, and laterals. In most cases it is restricted in co-occurrence with the vowel /e/ (see 'Vowels' section below). In addition, it marginally co-occurs in clusters with alveolars, followed by the vowels /õ ã/, as in ^{/RP}mje-tjõ/ 'to add' (compare ^{/R}dõ/ 'wild cat'), ^{/R}tjã/ 'to add up' (compare ^{/EP}tã-tã/ 'to chat').

/w/ occurs after alveolars, postalveolars, alveolopalatals, velars, uvulars, laterals, and /ɹ/; most frequently before /i ɐ/, as well as before /e ɛ a ẽ ã/. If preceded by a palatal initial and/or followed by the front vowels /i e ɛ/, /w/ is palatalized and realized as [ɥ], e.g. ^{/R}dzwe/ [R^hdzɥɛ] 'fang' vs. ^{/R}dzwɐ/ [R^hdzɥɐ] 'snow', ^{/LP}butɥwɐ/ [LP^hbutɥɥɛ] 'whip' vs. ^{/H}tɥwɐ/ [H^htɥɥɛ] 'sweat', ^{/EP}gwɛla/ [EP^hgɥɛla] 'to hunt' vs. ^{/RP}ɐ-gwɐ/ [RP^hɐ-gwɥɛ] 'uncle', ^{/RP}dzi-tɥwɐ/ [RP^hdzi-tɥɥɛ] 'to hang', ^{/R}ɬwɐ/ [R^hɬɥɛ] 'heavy'; ^{/H}twi/ [H^htɥi] 'mule' (compare ^{/H}tɥwɐ/ 'to plant'), ^{/H}ts^hwi/ [H^hts^hɥi] 'paint, lacquer' (WT *ts/hos*) (compare ^{/R}ts^hwɐ/ 'fat').

Consonant lenition

Xumi has a set of productive lenition rules, which transform most voiced and voiceless aspirated stops and affricates into spirants. This happens when these initials appear intervocally – in compounds (most commonly in disyllabic numeral–classifier and verb–object collocations) as well as when the verb root is preceded either by a prefix or by a negator. Lenition appears to mostly operate within disyllabic domains. The outputs of the above rules are by and large allophones of the corresponding stops and affricates (such as [β φ χ]), but also independent phonemes that can occur word-initially; the following list illustrates these cases and includes examples involving the remaining phonemes:


CHANGE	EXAMPLES
b > β	^{/R} bjε/ ‘leaf’ > ^{/LP} sẽ-βjε/ [^{/LP} sẽ-ʉε] ‘tree leaves’
p ^h > φ	^{/H} p ^h i/ ‘to weave’ > ^{/RP} ku φi/ [^{/RP} ku φqi] ‘to plait hair’
dz > z	^{/H} dzjε/ ‘to ride’ > ^{/RP} rã-zjε/ ‘to ride a horse’
dʒ > ʒ	^{/H} dʒẽ/ [^{/H} dʒẽ] ‘to fly’ > ^{/R} be-ʒẽ/ [^{/R} be-ʒẽ] ‘to take off’
dʒ > ʒ	^{/R} dʒã/ ‘to have, to possess’ > ^{/LP} me=zã/ ‘not have’
tɕ ^h > ɕ	^{/R} tɕ ^h i/ ‘to drink’ > ^{/EP} je ɕi/ ‘to smoke tobacco’
g > ɣ	^{/H} gã/ ‘illness’ > ^{/LP} bũ-ɣã/ ‘smallpox’ (from ^{/R} bũ/ ‘fly’)
k ^h > x	^{/H} k ^h e/ ‘to pour’ > ^{/RP} mjε-xe/ ‘to pour down’
q ^h > χ	^{/H} q ^h o/ ‘bowl’ > ^{/RP} sẽ-χo/ ‘wooden bowl’ ⁶

Lenition in Xumi is regular and affects most stops and affricates (with the only exception of /t^h/ and /tʰ/), although the degree of contrast varies slightly. (In addition, we have no examples of the lenition of the voiced uvular stop, possibly, due to its low frequency.) For example, while bilabial and alveolar stops and affricates show a clear case of alternation between a stop or an affricate in the word-initial position and its corresponding lenited allophone in the word-medial position, the lenition in velar and uvular stops is less categorical. For example, in the compound ^{/RP}dzi-q^hũ/ ‘one year’, the initial /q^h/ of the words ^{/H}q^hũ/ ‘year’ does not undergo the otherwise pervasive change to [χ]. In a similar fashion, in the compound ^{/LP}sẽ k^hũ/ ‘root of a tree’, the initial /k^h/ of the word ^{/R}k^hũ/ ‘root’ does not undergo lenition to [x]. Overall, the stability of spirantization may be attributed to the frequency of the item in question (high stability in high frequency phrases and variable in low frequency phrases).

Vowels

The Xumi vowel system comprises eight oral vowels and six nasal vowels.

Oral vowels



⁶ The word [^{/LP}q^hε-χε] ‘to itch’ also possibly belongs to this category. It is likely to be formed through reduplication (i.e. ^{/LP}q^hε-q^hε/), similar to the reciprocal verb ^{/LP}qa-qa/ ‘to help (each other)’.

i	^H tʂi	‘lock’		
e	^{RP} tʂeme	‘flint stone’	^H tʂ ^h e	‘arm’
ɛ			^{RP} bɛ-tʂ ^h jɛ	‘lard’
ɐ	^{RP} k ^h ɐ-tʂɐ-tʂɐ	‘to bundle’	^H tʂ ^h ɐ	‘salt’
ʉ	^{RP} ʎɐ-tʂʉ	‘to filter tea’		
u	^R tʂsu	‘to be in debt’	^R tʂ ^h u	‘ghost’
o	^{RP} tʂōtso	‘bedroom’	^H tʂ ^h o	‘deer; lungs’
a	^H tʂa	‘to jump’		

Front mid vowels contrast two degrees of vowel height: /e/ vs. /ɛ/, as in the following examples (where these vowels are also contrasted with /i/): /^Rʂji/ ‘wart’, /^Rʂje/ ‘to lick’, /^Rʂje/ ‘vegetable oil’.

The front vowel /ɛ/ seems to be limited to co-occur only with [j] (with only one exception in our present corpus of *c.* 1,500 words, e.g. /^{RP}lɑɪɛ/ ‘turban’); for example, /^Hpjɛ/ ‘to climb’, /^Htjɛ/ ‘(large old-style) cutting board (for cutting bones)’, /^Rqjɛ/ ‘eggplant’, /^Hmjɛ/ ‘bamboo’. /ʉ/ co-occurs with bilabial, alveolar, velar and uvular stops, and with alveolar, postalveolar, and alveolopalatal affricates and fricatives. Consider some examples of the contrast between /u/ and /ʉ/ (also contrasted with /o/): /^Rbu/ ‘crops’, /^Rbʉ/ ‘fly’, /^{LP}boxo/ ‘gourd’; /^Hsu/ ‘to count’, /^Hsʉ/ ‘to wipe’, /^{EP}soje/ ‘saw’ (WT *sog le*); /^{LP}q^hula/ ‘crow’, /^Hq^hʉ/ ‘year’, /^Hq^ho/ ‘bowl’.

Contrast between /ɐ/ and /a/ can be illustrated with the following minimal pairs: /^Hpɐ/ ‘to speak’ vs. /^Hpa/ ‘to arrive’, /^Hlɐ/ ‘wolf’ vs. /^Hla/ ‘tiger’, /^{LP}ʎɐ-zɐ/ ‘have washed’ vs. /^{LP}ʎɐ-za/ ‘thin, skinny’, /^Hq^hwɐ/ ‘horn; to steal’ vs. /^Hq^hwa/ ‘far’.

Nasal vowels

The nasal vowels include /ĩ ẽ ẽ õ ã/, as in the following examples: /^{LP}mĩda/ ‘pitiful’, /^Hbẽ/ ‘measure cup for grain’, /^Hbẽ/ ‘millet’, /^Hbõ/ ‘thick’, /^Hbã/ ‘yak’; /^Hhĩ/ ‘man, person’, /^Hhẽ/ ‘to cut’, /^Hhõ/ ‘to blow’, /^Hhã/ ‘deep; vegetable’. /ĩ/ can be realized as [ĩ] or [ẽ], in free variation. Compare the two realizations of the word /^Hhĩ/ ‘man, person’: (i) [ĩ] in isolation (as above) and (ii) [hẽ] in the compound /^{EP}ʃʉ-hĩ/ [ʃʉ-hẽ] ‘Xumi people’. After postalveolar and alveolopalatal initials, /ẽ/ is realized as [ẽ] (as in /^Hdʒẽ/ [ʃʉ-dʒẽ] ‘to fly’). In addition, Xumi has one marginal nasal vowel, /õ/, which occurs in only one word in our corpus (e.g. /^{LP}mõda ^Rko/ ‘on the roof, upstairs’, compare with /^{LP}mĩda/ ‘pitiful’).

Syllable structure

The canonical Xumi syllable minimally consists of an initial consonant, a nucleus and a tone. It may also contain an optional element in the following linear structure: (C1)(C2)V, where C1 can be any consonant, and C2 can only be or -w- or -j-; V stands for vowel (or the syllable consonant /ɿ/), and parentheses indicate optional constituents. A non-phonemic glottal stop can be inserted at the left edge of a vowel-initial stressed syllable (e.g. /^Hõ/ [ʃʉ-õ] ‘collar’, /^Hẽ/ [ʃʉ-ẽ] ‘sheep’) and at the left edge of a vowel-initial root (e.g. /^{RP}mjɛ-õ/ [ʃʉ-mjɛ-õ] ‘to swallow’, /^{RP}ʎɐ-ẽ/ [ʃʉ-ʎɐ-ẽ] ‘to be drunk’).

- (1) V /^Hẽ/ ‘sheep’, /^Hã/ ‘self’
- (2) CV /^Hsɐ/ ‘to know’, /^{EP}tã-tã/ ‘to chat’
- (3) CCV /^Hswɐ/ ‘to whet (a knife)’, /^Rtjã/ ‘to add up’

Similar to its linguistic neighbors, Xumi is phonologically monosyllabic with a strong tendency towards disyllabicity in its lexicon. Disyllabic feet are domains for the phonological processes of lenition and vowel assimilation.

Polysyllabic words are mostly composite, e.g. ^{/EP}mã-tʃwɛ/ ‘butter tea’ (from ^{/H}mã/ ‘butter’, ^{/H}tʃwɛ/ ‘tea’), ^{/RP}lawu-dzʷɛ/ ‘peach’ (from the bound root /dzʷɛ/ ‘fruit’), ^{/LP}ɲemi bu-bu/ ‘sunflower’ (from ^{/LP}ɲemi/ ‘sun’, ^{/RP}bu-bu/ ‘flower’). Xumi also has a handful of di-, tri- and tetra-syllabic monomorphemic words (e.g. ^{/EP}ɿvβi/ ‘ear’, ^{/RP}ɲiɿge/ ‘morning’, ^{/LP}ɕãtsɿpapa/ ‘bat’, a loan from the local Pumi dialect, [ɕõ³⁵gue⁵⁵pA⁵⁵]; see Lu 2001: 375).

In a few cases in our corpus (mostly involving low vowels), we observe that some syllables end rather abruptly, as if followed by a glottal stop. The examples include ^{/H}dʷɛ/ [ʰdʷɛʔ] ‘water’, ^{/H}wɛ/ [ʰwɛʔ] ‘tooth’, ^{/H}la/ [ʰlaʔ] ‘tiger’, ^{/H}ɕ/ [ʰɕʔ] ‘sheep’. However, no contrastive pairs involving a glottal stop coda have been found. Furthermore, one and the same word may be realized with or without a glottal stop. For example, compare the two realizations of the word ^{/H}la/ ‘tiger’: [ʰlaʔ] and [ʰla]. In our corpus, these syllables typically associate with the high tone.

Prosodic organization

Xumi is a tone language. Xumi shares the areal prosodic type of languages of Southwestern Sichuan in having a sparse tone system (see Evans 2008, 2009 for an overview and discussion). In such a system, no more than one pitch contour is pronounced per word (or longer phonological unit). This is similar to its neighboring languages, such as Lizu (Chirkova & Chen 2013). Most Xumi syllables (roots) have etymological tones, whereas affixes are toneless and may carry all surface tones, depending on the tone of the root. Xumi function words and discourse particles (e.g. the genitive particle /ji/, the topic marker /ɿ/ in the transcribed text) are never pronounced in isolation. Their surface pitch contour depends on the tone of the preceding (host) lexical word (similar to tonal realization in compounds).

Given the current state of our knowledge and the limited corpus that we have at our disposal, the present overview is not meant to be exhaustive in its description. Instead, it is limited to lexical tonal contrasts and tonal patterns in disyllabic compounds, leaving a broader range of morphosyntactic contexts and intonational patterns for more detailed follow-up work.

Tone and tonal melodies on lexical words

On monosyllabic roots, we observe a two-way tonal contrast: (i) rising (R), as in ^{/R}je/ ‘to lick’, ^{/R}wɛ/ ‘cow’, and (ii) high (H), as in ^{/H}je/ ‘tobacco’, ^{/H}wɛ/ [ʰwɛʔ] ‘tooth’. Of the two contrastive tones, the rising tone is more consistent in its rising pitch contour across lexical items. The high tone, on the other hand, is subject to considerable variation. Its pitch contour may be (a) rising (as in ^{/H}je/ [je²⁴] ‘tobacco’ and ^{/H}ʒu/ [ʒu²⁵] ‘to stamp’) or (b) falling (as in ^{/H}dʒo/ [dʒo²⁵²] ‘swan’). Note that perceptually, the high contour in the word ^{/H}je/ [je²⁴] ‘tobacco’ sounds like a high-level tone. This may be due to its early f₀ peak alignment, especially in comparison to the rising contour in the word ^{/R}je/ ‘to lick’. Here we label this tonal category as ‘high’ in order to highlight the difference from the rising tone category. The consistent difference between the rising tone and the high tone appears to be in the alignment of f₀ peak with regard to the segmental anchor, where the rising tone shows a later peak than the high tone. Conversely, the pitch level of the start of rising as well as the rising peak may vary. This is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3, where we observe a higher rising peak in the rising tone in the word ^{/R}je/ ‘to lick’ (in the minimal pair ^{/H}je/ ‘tobacco’ vs. ^{/R}je/ ‘to lick’), but a lower peak in the rising tone in the word ^{/R}wɛ/ ‘cow’ (in the minimal pair ^{/H}wɛ/ ‘tooth’ vs. ^{/R}wɛ/ ‘cow’). For both pairs, the early alignment of the f₀ peak seems to lead to the perception of a rather level tone, despite the fact that there is a clear rising pitch contour on both the high tone and the rising tone.

We note, furthermore, that the high tone may be realized with a continued falling after the alignment of the f₀ peak in the early part of the syllable, giving the perception of a falling tone. This is illustrated in Figure 4 with two instantiations of the high rising tone in the word ^{/H}dʒo/ ‘swan; goose’.




Figure 2 Pitch contours of the high (H) and rising (R) tones illustrated with the minimal pair /^Hje/ 'tobacco' and /^Rje/ 'to lick'.




Figure 3 Pitch contours of the high (H) and rising (R) tones illustrated with the minimal pair /^Hwɛ/ 'tooth' and /^Rwɛ/ 'cow'.




Figure 4 Pitch contours of the two instantiations of the word /^Hdzo/ 'swan; goose': A rising f₀ contour vs. a rising-falling f₀ contour.

Similar to Lizu, the rich latitude of tonal variation in Xumi may be due to the fact that there are only two tonal contrasts to be made in this language over monosyllabic words. Given this amount of variation, we refrain from using the five-point-scale pitch system developed by Yuen Ren Chao (Chao 1930), as it would overrepresent the actual amount of lexical tonal contrasts in Xumi.

In polysyllabic monomorphemic words, we observe a three-way contrast of tonal melodies. No minimal three-way contrast of the three tonal melodies has been attested in Xumi, whereas binary tonal contrasts are relatively common (see examples below). The pattern is broadly similar to that in the neighboring Lizu language (Chirkova & Chen 2013), but it also displays a number of differences, as detailed below. To give one example, while in Lizu, the three-way contrast of tonal melodies is correlated with relative durational differences between the syllables, Xumi appears to rely instead on the melodic difference between the three patterns, without the accompanying durational differences between the syllables.

In our analysis, such tonal melodic difference is reminiscent of the stress effect in polysyllabic words in stress languages. Similar, again, to Lizu, this suggests the existence of a hybrid prosodic system in Xumi, in which the pitch contour of the prosodic domain is correlated with the prominence pattern. In order to better reflect this correlation and to bring about, what appears to us, to be the crucial prosodic contrasts within polysyllabic domains in this language, we opt for the notation system that represents the prominent syllable(s) within the domain, which we originally developed for the Lizu language (Chirkova & Chen 2013). This hybrid analysis and the associated notation system are distinct from an alternative autosegmental analysis of the data (as in, for instance, Chirkova & Michaud 2009). In our opinion, the approach adopted here accounts better for the strong correlation between the prominence pattern and the pitch contour of the domain. Naturally, further investigation is in order to confirm these preliminary observations and to gain a better understanding of the prosodic organization of this language.

The three tonal melodies on polysyllabic words are as follows:

- (i) Equally-Prominent Contour (EP). There is no salient rise or fall over any of the syllables. Rather, it seems to be high-level pitch contours throughout the two syllables. This is different from Lizu, where we usually observe a slightly lowered level pitch contour over the second syllable (Chirkova & Chen 2013). This pattern is mostly attested in monomorphemic words and in loanwords. For example, ^{/EP}ɪ̯eβi/ ‘ear’, ^{/EP}poqa/ ‘shoe’, ^{/EP}ɟamō/ ‘camel’ (WT *nga mo*), ^{/EP}bəkɛ.ã/ ‘spider’.
- (ii) Left-Prominent Contour (LP). The high f₀ peak is realized before the end of the first syllable, where the pitch starts to fall already and it continues to fall in the second syllable. For example, ^{/LP}ɲɛqō/ ‘nose’, ^{/LP}bemi/ ‘axe’, ^{/LP}q^hv-χɛ/ ‘to itch’, ^{/LP}ɬwɛɰumi/ ‘lizard’.
- (iii) Right-Prominent Contour (RP). The high f₀ peak is realized over the last syllable of the word, which also sounds more prominent. The high peak can be realized earlier, which gives the perception of a high-level tone or later, which lends to the perception of a rising tone. No contrastive pairs for the two patterns have so far been found. We analyze them here as belonging to the same pattern. For example, ^{/RP}ɬu-ɪo/ ‘head’, ^{/RP}dʒãhō/ ‘house’, ^{/RP}bɛ-mi/ ‘sow’, ^{/RP}ɲi.ɟɛ/ ‘morning’. This is different from Lizu where the last prominent syllable typically shows a falling f₀ contour.

Tone in compounds

Xumi generally conforms to the areal characteristics, in which the prosodic pattern of the leftmost root determines in many cases the tonal melody of the whole compound domain. The observed patterns are reminiscent of tonal spreading effect over post-lexical domains, as discussed in previous work on the neighboring languages (see Evans 2009 for an overview). Nonetheless, we refrain from analyzing such spreading effect as the decomposition of

the lexical tones and the reassociation of these individual tones to the following syllables sequentially. This is because our data suggest that the leftmost lexical tone is realized over the entire derived compound domain. This pattern is different from the prediction of the decomposition analysis.

If the tone of the leftmost monosyllabic root is high, the resulting compound has the left-prominent pattern. Conversely, if the tone of the leftmost monosyllabic root is rising, the resulting melody is right prominent (see examples below). Note that on the compound (i.e. postlexical) level, we adopt the same notation system that we use on the lexical level. However, at the current stage of research, it is unclear whether these two levels share the same level of prosodic organization. It is in fact plausible that the lexical-level prominence difference may be different from the post-lexical difference, an issue to be clarified in follow-up studies.

$/^Hs\tilde{e}/$ ‘wood, tree’ + $/^Hq^h o/$ ‘bowl’ = $/^{LP}s\tilde{e}-\chi o/$ ‘wooden bowl’

$/^Hs\tilde{e}/$ ‘wood, tree’ + $/^{RK}k^h\mathfrak{u}/$ ‘root’ = $/^{LP}s\tilde{e} k^h\mathfrak{u}/$ ‘root of a tree’

$/^Rf\tilde{o}/$ ‘silver’ + $/^Hq^h o/$ ‘bowl’ = $/^{RP}f\tilde{o}-\chi o/$ ‘silver bowl’

$/^Rl e/$ ‘hand’ + $/^Rb j\epsilon/$ ‘leaf’ = $/^{RP}l e-\beta j\epsilon/$ ‘palm of the hand’

Transcription of the recorded passage

The original recording (made with a solid-state recorder Fostex FR-2LE and a Beyerdynamic M88 N microphone) has been made available to the *JIPA* along with this analysis. In the transcription, only lexical items are marked for tone, whereas function words are not.

Semi-narrow phonetic transcription

North Wind and the Sun

$^{RP}t\epsilon^h ike$ $^{LP}\gamma ine$ ^{H_l}e ni | $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$ $^{RP}n\epsilon=ko$ | $^{RP}n\epsilon=ko$ | ^{H}ni χa $^{RP}t\varsigma a=ji$ |
 $^{LP}t\epsilon^h elo$ $^{H}p\epsilon=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{LP}t\epsilon^h elo$ $^{H}p\epsilon=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ $^{H}\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{H}t\epsilon$ | $^{H}h\tilde{i}$ $^R dz\tilde{i}$
 $^{H}t\epsilon$ $^{H}p a=t\epsilon^h \tilde{o}=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{H}h\tilde{i}$ $^{H}t^h i=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{H}h\tilde{i}$ | $^{RP}t^h v=no$ | ^{H}ni | ^{H}gu | $^{LP}negu$
 $^{RP}\lambda v-dzi-p^h\mathfrak{u}$ $^{RP}d\epsilon=\kappa o$ | $^{H}p\epsilon=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{LP}negu$ $^{RP}\lambda v-dzi-p^h\mathfrak{u}$ $^{RP}d\epsilon=\kappa o$
 $^{H}p\epsilon=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ $^{H}\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{H_l}e=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{H}iu=\beta v=se=\dot{\iota}$
 $^{H_l}e=^{H}p\epsilon=^{H}t\epsilon^h o=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{H}iu=\beta v=se$ ^{H_l}e $^{H}\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{H}t^h i$ $^{RP}\lambda v-p^h\mathfrak{u}$
 $^{LP}me=d\tilde{a}$ $\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{RP}\lambda v-p^h\mathfrak{u}$ $^{LP}me=d\tilde{a}$ $^{RP}\lambda v=xv=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ $\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ |
 $^{RP}dzi-dzo=\dot{\iota}\tilde{e}=n\tilde{a}=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$ $^{RP}dzi-\zeta\tilde{o}$ $xv=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ || $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$
 $^{H}t\epsilon^h \tilde{o}=\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$ $^{RP}q^h u-t\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{u}$ $b\mathfrak{u}=se=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{RP}b\tilde{a}-t\epsilon^h \dot{\iota}$ $p\epsilon=\lambda v=se$ $^{H}t\epsilon^h w\epsilon$
 $^{RP}b\tilde{a}-p\tilde{a}=\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{LP}negu$ | $^{LP}negu$ | $^{RP}\lambda v-p^h\mathfrak{u}$ $^{H}d\tilde{a}$ $^{H}xv=\lambda o=w\tilde{e}=ji$ ||
 $^{RP}t^h v=\lambda v=\dot{\iota}$ | $^{RP}dzi-la$ $^{RP}p\tilde{x}u\epsilon$ $^{LP}me=z\tilde{a}$ | $^{H}t^h o$ $^{LP}me=z\tilde{a}$ | $^{H}t^h i$ $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$ $^{H}\chi a$
 $^{H}t^h o$ $^R dz\tilde{a}$ | $i^2 s\dot{\iota}$ ⁵⁵ | $^{H}t^h i$ $^R w\tilde{e}=ji$ ||

Interlinear morphemic glossing

Abbreviations used in the gloss below follow the Leipzig Glossing Rules (LGR, <http://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php>). Non-standard abbreviations (those not included in the LGR) are: AGT = agentive, CONJ = conjunction.

$^{RP}t\epsilon^h ike$ $^{LP}\gamma ine$ ^{H_l}e ni | $^{LP}n\epsilon mi$ $^{RP}n\epsilon=ko$ | $^{RP}n\epsilon=ko$ |
 now in.the.past wind CONJ sun two=item two=item

^Hɲi χa ^{RP}tʂa=ji | ^{LP}ts^helo ^Hpɛ=λo=wẽ=ji ||
 who only strong=PROG compare speak=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG
^{LP}ts^helo ^Hpɛ=λo=wẽ=ji ^Hλɛ=ɹ | ^Htɛ | ^Hhĩ ^Rdʒĩ
 compare speak=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG complete=TOP there person one
^Htɛ ^Hpɑ=tɕ^hõ=λo=wẽ=ji || ^Hhĩ ^Ht^hi=ɹ | ^Hhĩ |
 there arrive=come=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG person that=TOP person
^{RP}t^hɛ=no | ^Hɲi | ^Hgu | ^{LP}negu ^{RP}λɛ-dʒi-p^hɰ ^{RP}dɛ=ɛo |
 that=on you clothes clothing PFV-one-take.off do=IRR
^Hpɛ=λo=wẽ=ji || ^{LP}negu ^{RP}λɛ-dʒi-p^hɰ ^{RP}dɛ=ɛo
 speak=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG clothing PFV-one-take.off do=IRR
^Hpɛ=λo=wẽ=ji ^Hλɛ=ɹ |
 speak=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG complete=TOP
^Hɭe=ɹ | ^Hɹu=βɛ=se=ɹ
 wind=TOP with.all.might=make=PRF=TOP
^Hɭe=^Hpɛ=^Htɕ^hõ=λo=wẽ=ji || ^Hɹu=βɛ=se
 wind.blow=IPFV=come=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG with.all.might=make=PRF
^Hɭe ^Hλɛ=ɹ | ^Ht^hi ^{RP}λɛ-p^hɰ ^{LP}me=dã
 wind.blow complete = TOP that PFV-take.off NEG=be.able
 λo=wẽ=ji || ^{RP}λɛ-p^hɰ ^{LP}me=dã
 NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG PFV-take.off NEG=be.able
^{RP}λɛ=xɛ=λo=wẽ=ji ^Hλɛ=ɹ |
 complete=go.PRF=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG complete=TOP
^{RP}dʒi-dʒo=iẽ=nã=ɹ | ^{LP}ɲemi ^{RP}dʒi-ɕõ
 one-instant = AGT = inside = TOP sun one-come
 xɛ=λo=wẽ=ji || ^{LP}ɲemi ^Htɕ^hõ=λɛ=ɹ | ^{LP}ɲemi
 go.PRF=NMLZ.PST=COP=PROG sun come=complete=TOP sun

RP q^hu-tʃɰ bɰ=se=ɿ | RP bə-ts^hɿ=pe=ɿə=se H tɕ^hwə
 inside-burning go=PRF=TOP outward-hot=IPFV=complete=PRF sweat
 RP bə-pā=ɿə=ɿ | LP negu | LP negu | RP ɿə-p^hɰ H dā
 outward-perspire=complete=TOP clothing clothing PFV-take.off be.able
 H xə=ɿo=wē=ji || RP t^hə=ɿə=ɿ | RP dzī=la RP pēxuə
 go.PRF=complete=COP=PROG there=complete=TOP one=also means
 LP me=zā | H t^ho LP me=zā | H t^hi LP jəmi H χa H t^ho R dzā |
 NEG=have means NEG=have that sun only means have
 RP i^sɿ H t^hi R wē=ji ||
 meaning that COP=PROG

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our principal language consultants, Mr. Waxia Danyou 瓦下旦友 and Mrs. Dazhen Lachu 打珍拉初, for their patience and assistance. We are grateful to Jonathan Evans and the anonymous reviewers of this journal for helpful comments and suggestions. Thanks are also due to Franz Huber (ETH Zürich) for creating the map in this paper. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (France) as part of the research project ‘What defines Qiang-ness? Towards a phylogenetic assessment of the Southern Qiangic languages of Muli’ (ANR-07-JCJC-0063) to Katia Chirkova. Yiya Chen is currently supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO-VIDI 016084338) and the European Research Council (ERC-Starting Independent Researcher Grant, 206198).

Appendix. C-V and CC-V combinations in Lower Xumi

C-V combinations

The table is based on a list of basic vocabulary of c. 1,500 words.

	i	e	ɛ	ɿ	ɐ	ɰ	u	o	ɑ	ĩ	ẽ	ẽ	õ	ã
Ø	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓				✓	✓	✓
p	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
p ^h	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
b	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
t			✓		✓		✓	✓	✓		✓			✓
t ^h	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			✓
d	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓
k		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			✓
k ^h	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
g	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓			✓				✓
q					✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓
q ^h					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓

Appendix. Continued

	i	e	ɛ	ɪ	ɐ	ʉ	u	o	ɑ	ĩ	ẽ	ẽ̃	õ	ã
G					✓			✓						
ts	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓
ts ^h	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓
dʒ	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓
tʂ	✓						✓		✓					✓
tʂ ^h				✓			✓	✓			✓			
dʒ̥	✓													
tʃ		✓		✓		✓					✓	✓	✓	
tʃ ^h		✓		✓	✓	✓								✓
dʒ̥		✓				✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	
tɕ	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	
tɕ ^h	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓	
dʒ̥	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓
m̥			✓								✓			✓
m	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
n̥									✓				✓	✓
n		✓			✓							✓	✓	✓
ɲ̥			✓										✓	
ɲ	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓						✓
ŋ̥									✓					
ŋ					✓				✓					
s		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
z	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
ʃ̥				✓		✓					✓	✓	✓	✓
ʒ̥				✓	✓	✓			✓		✓			✓
ɕ	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓				✓	✓	✓
ʒ̥	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓						
x	✓		✓		✓		✓	✓	✓					
ɣ̥							✓	✓						
ɣ		✓			✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓
h̥										✓		✓	✓	✓
ɦ													✓	✓
w	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓
ɹ	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
ɻ̥	✓	✓	✓		✓					✓			✓	✓
ɻ̥		✓			✓		✓	✓	✓					✓
l̥		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓
ʎ̥	✓		✓		✓			✓						
ʎ	✓		✓		✓			✓					✓	

CC-V combinations

	i	e	ɛ	ɐ	a	ẽ	ã
tw	✓			✓			
t ^h w				✓			
dw		✓		✓			
kw		✓		✓			
k ^h w				✓			
gw		✓	✓	✓			
qw						✓	
q ^h w				✓	✓		
tsw				✓			
ts ^h w	✓			✓			
dzw				✓			
tʃw							✓
tʃ ^h w						✓	
dʒw							
tʂw	✓						
tʂ ^h w						✓	
dʒw							
tɕw	✓	✓	✓	✓			
tɕ ^h w		✓		✓			
dʒw			✓	✓			
sw	✓			✓			
z							
ɕw	✓			✓			
ʒw			✓	✓		✓	
xw	✓	✓	✓			✓	
ɣw			✓				
ɸw							✓
ɺw				✓	✓		
ɻw		✓		✓	✓		

References

- Bradley, David. 1979. *Proto-Loloish* (Scandinavian Institute of Asian Studies, Monograph Series 39). London: Curzon Press.
- Bradley, David. 1989. Nasality as a prosody in Loloish. In David Bradley, Eugénie J. A. Henderson & Martine Mazaudon (eds.), *Prosodic analysis and Asian linguistics: To honour R. K. Sprigg*, 143–155. Canberra: Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific Studies, the Australian National University.
- Bradley, David. 1997. Tibeto-Burman languages and classification. In David Bradley (ed.), *Tibeto-Burman languages of the Himalayas* (Papers in Southeast Asian Linguistics 14), 1–71. Canberra: Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Bradley, David. 2003. Lisu. In Graham Thurgood & Randy LaPolla (eds.), *The Sino-Tibetan languages* (Routledge Language Family Series), 222–235. London & New York: Routledge.

- Büeler, Franziska. 2010. Ethnobotanical study among ethnic groups in the Shuiluo valley, Southwest China: Local knowledge of ritual plant use. MA dissertation, Department of Geography, University of Zürich.
- Chao, Yuen Ren. 1930. ə sɪstəm əv “toun-letəz”. *Le Maître Phonétique* 30, 24–27.
- Chirkova, Katia. 2009. Shixing, a Sino-Tibetan language of South-West China: A grammatical sketch with two appended texts. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 32(1), 1–90.
- Chirkova, Katia. 2012. The Qiangic subgroup from an areal perspective: A case study of languages of Muli. *Language and Linguistics* 13(1), 133–170.
- Chirkova, Katia & Yiya Chen. 2013. Lizu [Illustrations of the IPA]. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 43(1), 75–86.
- Chirkova, Katia, Yiya Chen & Tanja Kocjančič Antolík. 2013. Xumi (part 2): Upper Xumi, the variety of the upper reaches of the Shuiluo river. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 43(3), 381–396.
- Chirkova, Katia & Alexis Michaud. 2009. Approaching the prosodic system of Shixing. *Language and Linguistics* 10(3), 539–568.
- Evans, Jonathan. 2008. ‘African’ tone in the Sinosphere. *Language and Linguistics* 9(3), 463–490.
- Evans, Jonathan. 2009. Types of tone culminativity in languages of Sichuan and elsewhere. Ms., Institute of Linguistics, Academia Sinica, Taiwan. [<http://www.ling.sinica.edu.tw/eip/FILES/publish/2009.4.30.24511354.9165533.pdf>]
- Guo Dalie & He Zhiwu. 1994. *Naxizu shi* [History of the Naxi]. Chengdu: Sichuan Nationalities Press.
- Huang Bufan & Renzeng Wangmu. 1991. Shixingyu [The Shixing language]. In Dai Qingxia, Huang Bufan, Fu Ailan, Renzeng Wangmu & Liu Juhuang (eds.), *Zang-Mianyu shiwu zhong/Fifteen Tibeto-Burman languages*, 174–197. Beijing: Beijing Yanshan Chubanshe.
- Li Min & Ma Ming. 1983. *Liangshan yiyu yuyin gailun* [Introduction to the phonetics of the Yi language of Liangshan Prefecture]. Chengdu: Sichuan Nationalities Press.
- Lu Shaozun. 2001. *Pumiyu fangyan yanjiu* [A dialectal study of the Pumi language]. Beijing: Nationalities Press.
- Matisoff, James A. 1973. *A grammar of Lahu*. Berkeley & Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Matisoff, James A. 1975. Rhinoglottophilia: The mysterious connection between nasality and glottality. In Charles A. Ferguson, Larry M. Hyman & John J. Ohala (eds.), *Nasálfest: Symposium on nasals and nasalization*, 265–287. Stanford, CA: Language Universals Project.
- Michaud, Alexis. 2008. Phonemic and tonal analysis of Yongning Na. *Cahiers de linguistique – Asie Orientale* 37(2), 159–196.
- Muli Gazetteers Compilation Committee (Muli Zangzu Zizhixian Difang Zhi Bianzuan Weiyuanhui). 2010. *Muli Zangzu Zizhixian zhi* [Gazetteers of the Muli Tibetan Autonomous County (1991–2006)]. Beijing: Zhongguo Wenshi Chubanshe.
- Sun Hongkai. 1983. Liujiang liuyu de minzu yuyan ji qi xishu fenlei [Minority languages of the Six River Valley and their genetic classification]. *Minzu Xuebao* [Scholarly Journal of Nationalities] 3, 99–274.
- Sun Hongkai. 2001. Lun Zang-Mian yuzu zhong de Qiang yuzhi yuyan [On the Qiangic branch of the Tibeto-Burman language family]. *Language and Linguistics* 2(1), 157–181.
- Weckerle, Caroline S., Franz K. Huber, Yang Yongping & Sun Weibang. 2006. Plant knowledge of the Shuhi in the Hengduan Mountains, Southwest China. *Economic Botany* 60(1), 3–23.