POTENTIAL CASE OF GYNECOMASTIA IN MUMMIFIED REMAINS OF AN EARLY MODERN PERIOD NORTHERN FINNISH VICAR

Tiina Väre^{1,2}, Francesco M. Galassi³, Jaakko Niinimäki⁴, Juho-Antti Junno^{1,2}

¹ Archeology, Faculty of Humanities, POB 1000, FI-90014 University of Oulu.

² Medical Research Center of University of Oulu and Oulu University hospital, POB 5000, 90014

OYS, Finland

³ Institute of Evolutionary Medicine, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

⁴Center for Medical Imaging, Physics and Technology Research of University of Oulu and Oulu University hospital, POB 50, FI-90029, OYS, Finland

RUNNING TITLE: POTENTIAL GYNECOMASTIA IN MUMMIFIED REMAINS

*Correspondence to: Dr. Tiina Väre, Archeology, Faculty of Humanities, POB 1000, FI-90014

University of Oulu. E-Mail: tiina.vare@oulu.fi

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article', doi: 10.1002/ca.23187

Glimpse of our Past

Gynecomastia in a Mummified Northern Finnish Vicar

Abstract

We report possibly the oldest evidence of gynecomastia in mummified human remains. Computed tomography was performed on the mummified remains of an early 17th century Northern Finnish vicar. The examination of the scans revealed large bilateral subareolar irregular masses resembling female mammary glands. The nearly septuagenarian vicar appears to have had gynecomastia, as it is a common condition in elderly men, and is sometimes associated with obesity. Gynecomastia is the most likely explanation for these findings.

KEY WORDS: Mummified remains, Gynecomastia, Radiology, Northern Finland, Early Modernity, paleontology, anatomy, archaeology

Background

Gynecomastia is a particularly common condition among elderly men and is often connected to a decrease in serum testosterone levels, although sometimes its etiology is less benign (e.g., Johnson and Murad 2009). First accounts of the condition date to antiquity, but to our knowledge gynecomastia has never been identified in mummified remains (Dawson, 1999; Aegineta 1847; Aufderheide, 2010). This paper describes possibly the oldest evidence of the condition in mummified remains.

Gross Findings

Following his death in 1629, the body of Nikolaus Rungius (b. ca. 1560) (Fig. 1), the 7th Vicar of the Lutheran Rite of Kemi Parish (Finnish Lapland), was buried beneath the old church of

Keminmaa, which caused his remains to mummify (Väre, 2017). Previous analyses of his remains suggested that he was overweight. This conclusion is supported by not only the appearance of the mummy, but also the finding of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) in the thoracic spine (Väre, 2017) (Fig. 2). This condition mostly affects elderly, overweight men, perhaps suffering from metabolic conditions such as diabetes (e.g., Kiss et al., 2002; Manaster et al., 2013). Two minimal non-contiguous calcifications were detected in the femoral arteries while the preserved parts of the aorta were free of calcification suggesting that the vicar did not suffer from severe atherosclerosis.

As a clergyman, it is probable that Vicar Rungius would have had privileged access to foods of his choice and would not have been expected to perform physically demanding tasks, but rather would have led a rather inactive life (Virrankoski 1973, 682–687). His poor health probably decreased his ability to be physically active, and it is plausible that he had Pott's disease. (Väre et al., 2016; Väre 2017) (Fig. 2.)

Radiological Findings

The CT scan of the remains was performed in 2011 at the Oulu University Hospital using a clinical 64-slice CT scanner (Discovery 690, General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). A radiologist utilized clinical workstations to analyze the images. Paleopathological co-analysis of the images allowed a full paleoradiological research approach to be implemented.

The CT scan revealed bilateral subareolar irregular masses resembling female breasts, for which gynecomastia appears to be the best explanation, although they were initially interpreted as possible signs of tuberculous mastitis, which could have caused the tissue to calcify, but is extremely rare in modern populations (Shi et al., 2005; Väre et al., 2016) (Fig. 3.) While gynecomastia-induced

changes do not calcify, without intervention they develop into dense fibrosis – a type of tissue preserving well in mummified remains (Zimmerman, 1979; Ventura et al., 2014). Lastly, a calcified mass was detected inside the Vicar's scrotum.

Discussion

The main factor in the etiology of gynecomastia is hormonal imbalance, which may sometimes be attributable to testicular tumors. However, of the one third and one half of men (age-group dependent) present with gynecomastia, concurrent testicular tumors are rarely the cause. (Braunstein, 1993; Daniels and Layer, 2003; Johnson and Murad, 2009.) In addition, considering the Vicar's age, testicular cancer is unlikely; this condition generally appears in younger men. With modern treatment options the prognosis is typically good, but if left untreated malignant and ultimately fatal metastases may form. (e.g., Hayes-Lattin and Nichols, 2009). Scrotal calcifications can be caused by a variety of other conditions. Although even genital tuberculosis cannot be ruled out, they generally occur as a result of more benign causes, including various types of traumas (Gorse and Belshe, 1985; Artas and Orhan, 2007).

In addition to the tuberculous mastitis, the calcified bilateral subareolar breast masses could also be explained by certain other conditions besides gynecomastia, including breast cancer. While this condition certainly can affect men, particularly the elderly, it rarely occurs bilaterally. Other possible diagnoses for calcified breast lesions could include hemangiomas, or heterotopic ossification caused by trauma-related fat necrosis. While certain parasitic infections could also cause the calcification, the subarctic region makes this a highly unlikely explanation. (e.g., Shi et al., 2005; Johnson and Murad, 2009).

4

In the absence of previous findings in comparable mummified remains, we are inclined to interpret that gynecomastia was less common in the past, although one explanation for the lack of reports could simply be that the changes in mummified remains with gynecomastia did not preserve well, or that they have been ignored due to their perceived insignificance. Nevertheless, a number of studies seeking to identify similar cases of gynecomastia and related conditions in the past have been largely unsuccessful. For example, art depicting the royal members of the 18th Dynasty in Egypt implies feminized features including gynecomastia in bodies of certain male rulers, but examinations of their physical remains have not supported its presence (Aufderheide, 2010).

While reflecting on reasons for this possible change and its contemporary prevalence, one recurring factor is the increased influence of endocrine disruptors, particularly xenoestrogens, on hormonal balance. Some of these compounds directly cause not only gynecomastia but also cancer. What makes these products, some of which are drugs, containing such compounds particularly insidious, is that they may have an endocrinal effect even on individuals that are not in direct contact with them. Once secreted with urine, they end up in water environments and may have a broader adverse effect on the population as a whole, potentially impacting childhood development or male fertility (e.g., Meeker, 2012.) Additionally, in the modern Western world, longer average lifespans and an increase in gynecomastia-causing obesity may make this a more prevalent medical issue than in the past. Interestingly, obesity is also partially related to the increased load of various endocrine disruptors (Darbre, 2017).

Conclusions

We believe this to be the first report of mummified remains of an individual with gynecomastia.

Appendix

Scan protocol parameters: slice collimation 0.625 mm, X-ray tube rotation time 0.5 s, X-ray tube voltage 120 kV, X -ray tube current 400 mA, standard reconstruction kernel with a field of view of 665 mm and an image matrix of 512×512 , resulting in image voxels the size of 1.3 mm \times 1.3 mm \times 0.6 mm. Clinical workstations: Advantage Windows 4.6, General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA, and OsiriX Imaging Software for clinical use.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Doc. Markku Niskanen, Prof. em. Milton Núñez, and PhD Sirpa Niinimäki who were involved in the arrangements of the CT scanning of the mummified remains in 2011. We also are grateful to MA Erika Ruhl for her assistance with the language. The research was part of *Church, space and memory* project funded by Emil Aaltonen Foundation, Finland, and led by PhD Titta Kallio-Seppä. It was carried through in cooperation with the *New perspectives on childhood in Finland (1600–1900) – Funerary attire as an indicator of status of children* project led by PhD Sanna Lipkin. Francesco M. Galassi, MD, thanks to the Mäxi Foundation (Switzerland) for supporting his research.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: The left arm once crossed over Vicar Rungius's midsection had dried as a result of mummification, while the abdominal tissues below have collapsed.

Figure 2: A manifestation of DISH affected the Vicar's thoracic spine along with possible Pott's disease.

Figure 3: Radiological qualities of the bilateral subareolar findings imply calcification (-20 HU, versus humeral head - 470 HU).

Aegineta P. 1847. The Seven Books of Paulus Aegineta (translated), 46, Vol 2, Book 4. Sydenham Society, London.

Artas H and Orhan I. 2007. Scrotal calculi. J Ultrasound Med 26:1775–1779.

Aufderheide AC. 2010. The scientific study of mummies. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Braunstein GD. 1993. Gynecomastia. N Engl J Med 328:490-495.

Daniels IR, Layer GT. 2003. Testicular tumours presenting as gynaecomastia. Eur J Surg Oncol 29:437-439.

Darbre PD. 2017. Endocrine Disruptors and Obesity. Curr Obes Rep 6:18-27.

Dawson PJ. 1999. A history of cancer of the male breast. Adv Oncobiol 2:229-243.

Gorse GJ, Belshe RB. 1985. Male genital tuberculosis: a review of the literature with instructive case reports. Rev Infect Dis 7:511–524.

Hayes-Lattin B, Nichols CR. 2009. Testicular Cancer: A Prototypic Tumor of Young Adults. Semin Oncol 36:432–438. Johnson RE, Murad MH. 2009. Gynecomastia: Pathophysiology, Evaluation, and Management. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 84:1010–1015.

Kiss C, Szilági M, Paksy A, Poor G. 2002. Risk Factors for Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis: A Case-control Study. Rheumatology 41:27–30.

Manaster BJ, May DA, Disler DG. 2013. Musculoskeletal Imaging: The Requisites. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia.

Meeker JD. 2012. Exposure to Environmental Endocrine Disruptors and Child Development. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 166:952–958.

Shi AA, Georgian-Smith D, Cornell LD, Rafferty EA, Staffa M, Hughes K, Kopans DB. 2005. Radiological Reasoning: Male Breast Mass with Calcifications. Am J Roentgenol 185:S205– S210.

Väre T. 2017. Osteobiography of Vicar Rungius: Analyses of the Bones and Tissues of the Mummy of an Early 17th-century Northern Finnish Clergyman Using Radiology and Stable Isotopes. PhD Thesis. Acta Universitatis Ouluensis. B 150, Humaniora. Oulun yliopisto, Oulu. http://urn.fi/urn:isbn:9789526215259

Väre T, Niinimäki J, Junno JA, Núñez M, Niinimäki S, Niskanen M. 2016. Suspected Tuberculosis in an Early 17th-century Northern Finnish Mummy – A Computed Tomography Case Study. Int J Paleopathol 14:69–73.

Ventura L, Gaeta R, Giuffra V, Mercurio C, Pistoia ML, Ciccozzi A, Castagna M, Fornaciari, G. 2014. Breast pathology in ancient human remains. An approach to mummified mammary gland by modern investigation methods. Pathologica 106:216–218.

Virrankoski P. 1973. Pohjois-Pohjanmaan ja Lapin historia III: Pohjois-Pohjanmaa ja Lappi 1600–luvulla. Oulu, Pohjois-Pohjanmaan, Kainuun ja Lapin maakuntaliittojen yhteinen historiatoimikunta.

Zimmerman MR. 1979. Paleopathologic Diagnosis Based on Experimental Mummification. Am J Phys Anthropol 51:235–254.

Accepted

Page Clinical 3Anatomy

Ichn Wiley and Son in protected by copyright A



