



# The Seed Repair Response during Germination: Disclosing Correlations between DNA Repair, Antioxidant Response, and Chromatin Remodeling in *Medicago truncatula*

Andrea Pagano<sup>1</sup>, Susana de Sousa Araújo<sup>2</sup>, Anca Macovei<sup>1</sup>, Paola Leonetti<sup>3</sup> and Alma Balestrazzi<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology and Biotechnology 'Lazzaro Spallanzani', University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Plant Cell Biotechnology, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica António Xavier (ITQB-NOVA), Oeiras, Portugal, <sup>3</sup> Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, National Research Council (CNR), Bari, Italy

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Diego Rubiales, Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible (CSIC), Spain

### Reviewed by:

Nikos Tzortzakis, Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus Andreas Savvides, Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus Vasileios Fotopoulos, Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus

> \*Correspondence: Alma Balestrazzi alma.balestrazzi@unipv.it

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 20 July 2017 Accepted: 01 November 2017 Published: 14 November 2017

### Citation:

Pagano A, Araújo SdS, Macovei A, Leonetti P and Balestrazzi A (2017) The Seed Repair Response during Germination: Disclosing Correlations between DNA Repair, Antioxidant Response, and Chromatin Remodeling in Medicago truncatula. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1972. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01972

This work provides novel insights into the effects caused by the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) during Medicago truncatula seed germination, with emphasis on the seed repair response. Seeds treated with  $H_2O$  and TSA (10 and 20  $\mu$ M) were collected during imbibition (8h) and at the radicle protrusion phase. Biometric data showed delayed germination and impaired seedling growth in TSA-treated samples. Comet assay, performed on radicles at the protrusion phase and 4-days old M. truncatula seedlings, revealed accumulation of DNA strand breaks upon exposure to TSA. Activation of DNA repair toward TSA-mediated genotoxic damage was evidenced by the up-regulation of MtOGG1(8-OXOGUANINE GLYCOSYLASE/LYASE) gene involved in the removal of oxidative DNA lesions, MtLIGIV(LIGASE IV) gene, a key determinant of seed quality, required for the rejoining of DNA double strand breaks and TDP(TYROSYL-DNA PHOSPHODIESTERASE) genes encoding the multipurpose DNA repair enzymes tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterases. Since radical scavenging can prevent DNA damage, the specific antioxidant activity (SAA) was measured by DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) and Folin-Ciocalteu reagent assays. Fluctuations of SAA were observed in TSA-treated seeds/seedlings concomitant with the up-regulation of antioxidant genes MtSOD(SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE, MtAPX(ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE) and MtMT2(TYPE 2 METALLOTHIONEIN). Chromatin remodeling, required to facilitate the access of DNA repair enzymes at the damaged sites, is also part of the multifaceted seed repair response. To address this aspect, still poorly explored in plants, the MtTRRAP(TRANSFORMATION/TRANSACTIVATION DOMAIN-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN) gene was analyzed. TRRAP is a transcriptional adaptor, so far characterized only in human cells where it is needed for the recruitment of histone acetyltransferase complexes to chromatin during DNA repair. The MtTRRAP gene and the predicted interacting partners MtHAM2 (HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE OF THE MYST FAMILY) and MtADA2A (TRANSCRIPTIONAL ADAPTOR) showed tissue- and dose-dependent fluctuations in transcript levels. PCA (Principal Component Analysis) and correlation

analyses suggest for a new putative link between DNA repair and chromatin remodeling that involves *MtOGG1* and *MtTRRAP* genes, in the context of seed germination. Interesting correlations also connect DNA repair and chromatin remodeling with antioxidant players and proliferation markers.

Keywords: antioxidant response, chromatin remodeling, comet assay, DNA repair, *Medicago truncatula*, seed germination, trichostatin A

## INTRODUCTION

Fast and uniform seed germination and successful seedling establishment represent nowadays a priority for gaining high crop yields. Within this context, the availability of molecular hallmarks of seed vigor is expected to positively impact seed technology, providing innovative tools to overcome the pitfalls of conventional priming protocols (Paparella et al., 2015; Araújo et al., 2016a; Macovei et al., 2016). The seed repair response includes the early activation of antioxidant mechanisms and DNA repair pathways that significantly contribute to define the final seed/seedling value in terms of germination rate and robustness. Indeed, seed imbibition triggers the pre-germinative metabolism characterized by intense DNA repair, essential premise to de novo DNA synthesis in embryo cells (Ashraf and Bray, 1993). Up-regulation of DNA repair genes during early seed imbibition has been documented in Arabidopsis (Waterworth et al., 2009, 2010) and in Medicago truncatula (Macovei et al., 2010, 2011; Balestrazzi et al., 2011) while the crucial role of ATM (Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated) kinase in maintaing genome stability in seeds has been recently demonstrated (Waterworth et al., 2016). It is also known that major transcriptional changes and chromatin rearrangements mark the developmental transition during seed germination (Tanaka et al., 2008; Boychev et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). Key players in chromatin remodeling are histone deacetylases (HDACs) that remove acetyl groups from histones, facilitating chromatin condensation and consequently gene silencing (Grandperret et al., 2014) while histone acetyltransferases (HATs) carry out the transfer of acetyl groups to the lysine residues at the N-terminal region of histones and interact with transcription factors, triggering gene expression (Boychev et al., 2014). The involvement of specific HDACs in the molecular networks underlying seed germination and early seedling development has been reported as in the case of HDA19/HD1 which participates in the transcriptional repression of the AtABI3 (ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE) gene promoter during early seedling development in Arabidopsis. As a consequence, the ABA (abscisic acid) signaling pathway is suppressed, allowing the establishment of young seedlings (Ryu et al., 2014).

Chromatin remodeling is one of the highly conserved pathways that contribute to efficient DNA repair in eukaryotes (Gursoy-Yuzugullu et al., 2016). Chromatin remodelers disrupt DNA-histone interactions, allowing the access of the DNA repair machinery at the damaged site and controlling the temporal and spatial steps of the process (Menoni et al., 2016). The role of chromatin remodeling in the DNA damage response (DDR) is currently an hot issue in plants (Donà and Mittelsten Scheid,

2015). In a recent work performed on Arabidopsis cell suspension cultures, Gonzalez-Arzola et al. (2017) reported that the Arabidopsis histone chaperone NIRP1 (NAP(NUCLEOSOME ASSEMBLY PROTEIN)-RELATED PROTEIN) binds chromatin following DNA breaks accumulation, facilitating nucleosome disassembling and the interaction of repair enzymes with DNA lesions. Despite the expanding knowledge on the interplay between DDR and chromatin remodeling in plants, several aspects remain still uncovered, such as the role played by the transcriptional activator TRRAP (TRANSFORMATION/TRANSACTIVATION DOMAIN-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN), found in the HAT complexes (SPT-ADA-GCN5 acetyltransferase/TBP-SAGA/TFTC free-TAF-complex) and TFTC/STAGA (SPT3-TAF9-GCN5 acetyltransferase) of Drosophila melanogaster and human cells (Brown et al., 2000). TRRAP allows the recruitment of HAT complexes to chromatin during transcription, replication, and DNA repair (Murr et al., 2007), with a peculiar role in double strand breaks (DSBs) repair. It has been hypothesized that DDR components might preferentially recruit the TRRAPcontaining HAT complexes at the DSBs sites. It is also possible that DSBs-induced DDR networks result in chromatin alterations, such as the presentation of methylated lysine 79 of histone H3, thus facilitating the binding of TRRAPcontaining HAT complexes at the damaged site (Huyen et al., 2004).

HDAC inhibitors, such as trichostatin A (TSA), induce ROS (reactive oxygen species) accumulation and cause DNA damage, providing the opportunity of investigating the biological significance of chromatin rearrangements in a genotoxic stress context (Robert et al., 2016). The molecular events that characterize early seed germination represent an intriguing model for exploring the link between chromatin remodeling and DNA repair in plants. In the present work, we show novel insights into the effects caused by TSA in germinating seeds of the model legume *M. truncatula*, focusing on genotoxic injury and exploring the multifaceted seed repair response in terms of expression profiles of DDR, antioxidant, and chromatin remodeling genes.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Plant Materials and Treatments**

*M. truncatula* seeds (commercial genotype, kindly provided by Dr. Ana Barradas, Fertiprado L.d.a., Vaiamonte-Monforte, Portugal) were transferred to Petri dishes containing two filter papers moistened with 2.5 ml of  $dH_2O$  (control, CTRL), sealed

and kept in a growth chamber at 22°C under light conditions with photon flux density of 150  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, photoperiod of 16/8 h and 70-80% relative humidity. Similarly, for treatments with TSA (TSA, Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy), seeds were sown over filter paper imbibed with 2.5 ml of  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$  and  $20 \,\mu\text{M}$  TSA solutions, and hereby referred to as TSA10 and TSA20 samples. TSA-treated and untreated seeds were germinated in parallel, under the above mentioned conditions. Seeds were mantained moistered by addition of water whenever needed during the study. Seeds with protrusion of the primary radicle were considered germinated and counted 8-days after imbibition. The peak value was calculated as the highest mean daily germination (MDG) reached at any time during the germination test (Ranal and Garcia de Santana, 2006). Germination parameters were analyzed in three independent replicates (with 20 seeds each) for each treatment. M. truncatula seeds and seedlings were harvested at the indicated time points, the fresh weight was measured and samples were stored in liquid N<sub>2</sub> for molecular analyses.

### **Comet Assay**

Nuclei were extracted from M. truncatula tissues (radicles isolated at the protrusion phase and 4-days old seedlings, respectively) as described by Gichner et al. (2000). The suspension containing the purified nuclei and a solution containing 1% low melting point agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 37°C were mixed in equal volume. Two drops of the resulting suspension were then pipetted onto agarose pre-coated slides and solidified on ice. Slides were incubated for 20 min at r.t. in high salt lysis buffer (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM EDTA) to disrupt the nuclear membrane. For alkaline comet assay, nuclei were denatured in alkaline buffer (1 mM Na2EDTA, 300 mM NaOH, pH > 13) for 30 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C and then electrophoresed in the same buffer for 25 min at  $0.72 \,\mathrm{V} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in a cold chamber. After electrophoresis, slides were washed twice in 0.4 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5 for 5 min, rinsed once in 70% ethanol (v/v) for 12 min at 4°C and dried at r.t. overnight. Exposure to alkaline conditions causes DNA unwinding and visualization of single strand breaks. Subsequently, slides were stained with 20  $\mu$ L DAPI (4'-6-Diamidine-2'-phenylindole dihydrochloride; 1  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich). For each slide, one hundred nucleoids were scored, using a fluorescence microscope with an excitation filter of 340-380 nm and a barrier filter of 400 nm. Nucleoids were classified and results were expressed in arbitrary units (a.u.) according to Collins (2004).

### DPPH (1, 1-Diphenyl-2-Picrylhydrazyl) Test and Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent Assay

Seed and seedling extracts were prepared as described by Li et al. (2008). Treated and control samples (100 mg each) were homogenized to fine powder in 1 ml 80% acetone. Samples were incubated overnight at 23°C under gentle shaking, then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until use. The free radical-scavenging activity or antioxidant potential of extracts was determined by DPPH test which exploits the reactivity of the DPPH radical with

antioxidant compounds (Braca et al., 2001). Aliquots (0.1 ml each) of diluted extract (1:5 and 1:10 in 80% acetone) were added to 3 ml of a solution containing 100 mM DPPH (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in methanol. The reaction was carried out in the dark at r.t. for 30 min. A standard curve was built, using 0.1 ml ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich; concentrations in the 0.125-2.000 mM range). Three biological replicates consisting of a pool of seeds/seedlings (approximately of 100 mg) were used in this study. Two technical replicates were made. DPPH reduction was measured by the decrease in absorbance at  $\lambda = 517$  nm, using a V-530 spectrophotometer (Jasco Inc. Mary's Ct, Easton, MD, U.S.A.). DPPH radical scavenging activity was calculated from the absorption according to the following equation: DPPH radical scavenging activity % = [(A<sub>control</sub> - A<sub>sample</sub>/A<sub>control</sub>)] × 100. The antioxidant potential was expressed as ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE)  $mg_{drvweight}^{-1}$ . Total phenolic compounds were measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Spanos and Wrolstad, 1990) and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE)  $mg_{dryweight}^{-1}$  by reference to a standard curve. Aliquots (20  $\mu$ l each) of diluted extract (1:5 and 1:10 in 80% acetone) were mixed with distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (dH<sub>2</sub>O, 1.58 ml) and with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (0.1 ml; Sigma-Aldrich) under vigorous shaking. After incubation (8 min), the reaction was neutralized with 0.3 ml of 7.5% (w/v) Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich) and samples were incubated for 120 min at r.t. in the dark. A calibration curve was built with gallic acid concentrations in the 50–500 mg  $l^{-1}$  range. Two technical replicates were used per reaction. The absorption of the resulting blue color was measured at  $\lambda = 765$  nm, using a V-530 spectrophotometer (Jasco Inc.). The specific antioxidant activity (SAA) defined as the ratio between the antioxidant potential and the phenolic content was calculated and expressed as µg AAE  $mg^{-1}$  GAE.

### RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

RNA isolation was carried out as described by Oñate-Sanchez and Vicente-Carbajosa (2008). RNAs were extracted from three biological replicates consisting of a pool of seeds/seedlings (aproximately of 100 mg) each. cDNAs were obtained using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermofisher Scientific, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer's suggestions. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed with the Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (2X) (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to supplier's indications, using a Rotor-Gene 6000 PCR apparatus (Corbett Robotics Ptv Ltd., Brisbane, Queensland Australia). Amplification conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, and 45 cycles of 95°C for 15s and 60°C for 60 s. Oligonucleotide primers were designed using the Real-Time PCR Primer Design program from GenScript (https://www.genscript.com/ssl-bin/app/primer) and further validated through the online software Oligo Analyzer (https:// eu.idtdna.com/calc/analyzer) (Table 1). The following genes were tested: MtADA2A(TRANSCRIPTIONAL ADAPTOR) (Medtr3g082790), MtAPX(ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE)

TABLE 1	List of	oligonucleotide	primers	used for	aRT-PCR	analyses
		ongon laoiootiao	printiolo	4004 101	91111 011	anayooo

Gene	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	Efficiency		
MtADA2A	5'-CCTCATAAAAGCAATCATCCGTATC-3'	5'-CAACATCATTCCCAATTCCCAAATCC-3'	1.75		
MtAPX	5'-AGCTCAGAGGTTTCATCGCT-3'	5'-CGAAAGGACCACCAGTCTTT-3'	1.76		
MtH4	5'-TGCGCGATAACATCCAGGGAATC-3'	5'- ATACGCTTCACACCACCACGTC-3'	1.64		
MtHAM2	5'-TGATGGCAAGAAGAACAAGG-3'	5'-AACCATGTGGCATCCTCTTT-3'	1.73		
MtHDA19/HD1	5'-GCTCGGTGTTGGTGCTAT-3'	5'-TATTCATAATACTCGTGCTCTGGC-3'	1.72		
MtLIGIV	5'-TCACAACCACGAGACTGA-3'	5'-GCCCGATTCCCTTGTTTTGT-3'	1.75		
MtMT2	5'-CATGTCAAGCTCATGCGGCAAC-3'	5'-TGCCGTAGTTGTTTCCCTTCCC-3'	1.72		
MtOGG1	5'-AAACACCGCACCTTCTCAAT-3'	5'-TGTGGAGATGTTTGAGGGAA-3'	1.73		
MtSOD	5'-CCTGAGGATGAGACTCGACA-3'	5'-GAACAACAACAGCCCTTCCT-3'	1.79		
MtTDP1α	5'-ACGAGTTGGGAGTGCTCTTT-3'	5'-GGGATTTATCCTTCGATTGTTT-3'	1.63		
<i>MtTDP1</i> β	5'-TGCCGGTTACAATTGCATGTCAG-3'	5'-AGTTTCAGGAAATGGAGGATGCAC-3'	1.72		
MtTDP2α	5'-CAGATGTTCAGCAAGGAACG-3'	5'-CCCGTCTTGCAAAGGATATT-3'	1.74		
MtTOP2	5'-AGGATCCGTGGGATTGTAAGGC-3'	5'-ACAACAGAGAGGCCAGCCATAG-3'	1.78		
MtTOR	5'-TGATGTTACCGTACGCCACT-3'	5'-TAAAGCGGCAAATACTGCAC-3'	1.81		
MtTRRAP	5'-GCGACTTTTGGCTGTGGTTA-3'	5'-AGAGACTGGGGAACTTCTGC-3'	1.73		
MtUBI	5'-GCAGATAGACACGCTGGGA-3'	5'-AACTCTTGGGCAGGCAATAA-3'	1.81		
MtPDF2	5'-GTGTTTTGCTTCCGCCGTT-3'	5'-CCAAATCTTGCTCCCTCATCTG-3'	1.78		

For each oligonucleotide set, PCR efficiency is reported.

(Medtr4g061140), MtH4(HISTONE H4) (Medtr4g128150), MtHAM2(HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE OF THE MYST FAMILY) (Medtr3g007710), MtHDA19/HD1(HISTONE DEACETYLASE) (Medtr3g118535), MtLIGIV(LIGASE IV) (Medtr2g038030), *MtMT2*(*TYPE 2 METALLOTHIONEIN*) (Medtr8g060850), MtOGG1(8-OXOGUANINE GLYCOSYLASE/ LYASE) (Medtr3g088510), *MtSOD(SUPEROXIDE* DIS MUTASE) *Mt*TDP1α (TYROSYL-(Medtr7g114240), (Medtr7g050860), DNA PHOSPHODIESTERASE)  $MtTDP1\beta$  (Medtr8g095490),  $MtTDP2\alpha$ (Medtr4g132300), MtTOP2(DNA TOPOISOMERASE 2) (Medtr3g085840), MtTOR(TARGET OFRAPAMYCIN) (Medtr5g005380), MtTRRAP(TRANSFORMATION/TRANSACTIVATION and DOMAIN-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN) (Medtr5g022000.1). Sequences were retrieved using the NCBI gene database (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). For each oligonucleotide set, a notemplate water control was used. Quantification was carried out using the MtUBI(UBIQUITIN-LIKE) (Medtr3g091400) and MtPDF2(PROTODERMAL FACTOR 2) (Medtr6g084690) as reference genes for the experimental conditions (treated vs. untreated) used in this work. The raw, background-subtracted fluorescence data provided by the Rotor-Gene 6000 Series Software 1.7 (Corbett Robotics) was used to estimate PCR efficiency (E) and threshold cycle number (Ct) for each transcript quantification. The Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001) was used for relative quantification of transcript accumulation and statistical analysis was performed with REST2009 Software V2.0.13 (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Heatmaps representing the Log 2 fold changes (Log2 FC) of mean transcript expression levels between TSA treatments were plotted using the data visualization tools available in the MultiExperiment Viewer (MeV) software (Howe et al., 2011).

### **Statistical Analysis**

For each phenological stage studied (dry seed, 8 h imbibition, radicle protrusion and 4-days old seedling) and variables (gene expression, biochemical parameters, comet assay) significant differences between TSA concentrations were determined with One-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) using the statistical software Statistica, version 6 (Statsoft). For each treatment, three biological replicates were considered. Means were then compared using the Tukey's HSD (Honest Significant Difference) test. Means with a significance value lower than 0.05 (Pvalue < 0.05) were considered statistically different. Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed on molecular and biochemical variables quantified across the study. Variables included are content of total phenolic compounds (GAE), radical-scavenging activity (AAE), SAA, and the expression levels of genes involved in antioxidant response (MtSOD, MtAPX and MtMT2), proliferation and development (MtH4, MtTOP2, MtHDA19/HD1, and MtTOR), chromatin remodeling (MtTRAPP, MtHAM2, and MtADA2A) and DNA repair (*MtOGG1*, *MtLIGIV*, *MtTDP1* $\alpha$ , *MtTDP1* $\beta$ , and *MtTDP2* $\alpha$ ). Data were collected from CTRL, TSA10 and TSA20 samples that included dry seeds, imbibed seeds (8 h of imbibition), seeds collected at the radicle protrusion phase, and 4-days old seedlings. The standardized variables were subjected to PCA allowing the extraction of the rotated orthogonal components, as well as their relative scores and potential correlations among variables. Only principal components (PCs) with an eigenvalue >1 were considered for discussion. Additionally, regression analysis was conducted to extract significant correlations between studied variables. Correlations with a P-value  $\leq 0.05$ and r = Pearson correlation above 0.70 were considered for discussion. Multivariate and product-moment correlation analyses were conducted using the software Statistica, version 6 (Statsoft).

## RESULTS

# TSA Impairs Seed Germination and Seedling Development in *M. truncatula*

A preliminary screening carried out with increasing TSA concentrations (0, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 40  $\mu$ M) allowed to select the most suitable range of concentrations. For TSA doses higher than 20  $\mu$ M, seed germination was inhibited. The 5  $\mu$ M TSA dose did not reveal significant differences in germination, compared to CTRL (data not shown). Based on this evidence, the 10 and 20  $\mu$ M TSA concentrations were selected for further investigations. During imbibition, no significant differences relative to the gain in seed fresh weight were detected in the TSA-treated samples, compared to CTRL (**Figure 1A**). Germination percentage of CTRL was 66.66 ± 19.29% at

48 h and no significant changes were observed until the end of the experiment. Germination was affected in TSA10 and TSA20 (Figure 1B). As for TSA10, the estimated percentage of germinated seeds reached  $43.33 \pm 10.27\%$  at 48 h whereas TSA20 resulted in a similar germination percentage (43.33  $\pm$  4.71%) only at 4-days. In TSA20, a further enhancement (up to  $60.00 \pm 4.08\%$ ) occurred from five to 8-days (Figure 1B). The reduced germination capacity of TSA10 and TSA20, compared to CTRL, has been expressed as peak values (Table 2). M. truncatula dry seeds, imbibed seed (8 h) and seeds at the radicle protrusion phase are shown in Figure 1C. When radicle protrusion is observed, seed germination has been completed and seedling growth has started. Treatments of M. truncatula seeds with TSA significantly delayed radicle emergence. CTRL showed radicle protrusion at 18h following imbibition while a 24 h-delay was observed in TSA-treated seeds. In this case, radicle emergence took place at 42 h following imbibition. An abnormal phenotype was observed in TSA10 and TSA20



independent replications with 20 seeds for each replication. CTRL, control; TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10 µM TSA; TSA20, 20 µM TSA.

<b>TABLE 2</b>   Results of phenotyping analyses performed on <i>M</i> .	truncatula
seeds/seedling exposed to TSA treatments.	

CTRL	$10\mu$ M TSA	$20\mu M$ TSA
6.66 ± 1.93 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 ± 1.03 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.23 \pm 0.21^{b}$
$19.33 \pm 0.94^{a}$	$18.33 \pm 2.49^{a}$	$10.00\pm2.16^{\text{b}}$
$23.32 \pm 0.66^{a}$	$16.79 \pm 0.74^{b}$	$12.27 \pm 0.60^{\circ}$
$2.56\pm0.10^{\text{a}}$	$2.16\pm0.07^{ab}$	$1.61 \pm 0.31^{b}$
	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CTRL} \\ \hline 6.66 \pm 1.93^{a} \\ 19.33 \pm 0.94^{a} \\ 23.32 \pm 0.66^{a} \\ 2.56 \pm 0.10^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{CTRL} & \mbox{10}\mu\mbox{M}\mbox{TSA} \\ \hline 6.66 \pm 1.93^a & 4.33 \pm 1.03^{ab} \\ 19.33 \pm 0.94^a & 18.33 \pm 2.49^a \\ 23.32 \pm 0.66^a & 16.79 \pm 0.74^b \\ 2.56 \pm 0.10^a & 2.16 \pm 0.07^{ab} \end{array}$

The peak value was calculated as the highest mean daily germination (MDG) reached at any time during the germination test. Radicle length, fresh weight and dry weight were measured in 4 days-old seedlings. CTRL, untreated control. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent replications with 20 seeds for each replication. Means in a row without a common superscript letter (a,b,c) differ (P  $\leq$  0.05), as analyzed by one-way ANOVA.

seedlings (**Figure 1D**). Biometric data (radicle length, seedling fresh weight and dry weight) are reported in **Table 2**. Fourdays old seedlings developed from CTRL seeds showed a normal phenotype. The average radicle length was significantly reduced only in TSA20, however an overall decrease in fresh weight (27.99 and 47.40%) was observed in both TSA10 and TSA20 seedlings compared to CTRL.

### Genotoxic Effects of TSA and DNA Repair Response in *M. truncatula* Seeds/Seedlings

The genotoxic effects of TSA were assessed by performing alkaline comet assay. Total DNA strand breaks were measured in radicles isolated from CTRL and TSA-treated seeds collected at the radicle protrusion phase as well as in 4-days old seedlings. Results are shown in **Figure 2A**. The estimated DNA damage in CTRL radicles was 159.72  $\pm$  21.27 a.u. (arbitrary units) while a significant increase was observed in TSA10 and TSA20 radicles (274.79  $\pm$  21.51 a.u. and 320.60  $\pm$  17.11 a.u., respectively). Similarly, 4-days old seedlings revealed enhanced DNA damage in response to TSA (223.83  $\pm$  13.00 a.u. and 244.85  $\pm$  26.86 a.u., for TSA10 and TSA20, respectively), compared to CTRL (131.82  $\pm$  5.02 a.u.) (**Figure 2A**).

To verify whether TSA-induced DNA damage was able to trigger repair, the expression profiles of MtOGG1 gene, encoding the 8-oxoguanine glycosylase/lyase enzyme, were tested. OGG1 is a key component of the BER (base excision repair) pathway involved in the removal of oxidative DNA lesions. As shown in Figure 2B, significant up-regulation in *MtOGG1* gene expression was observed at 8 h of imbibition in TSA10 (Log2 FC = 2.0) and TSA20 (Log2 FC = 2.8), compared to CTRL. In both radicles and seedlings, significant up-regulation occurred only in TSA20 (Log2 FC = 0.8) (Figure 2B). DNA ligase IV is another crucial DDR player, with specific roles in the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway responsible for DSBs repair. Significant up-regulation of MtLIGIV gene was observed for TSA20 at 8 h of imbibition (Log2 FC = 0.4, compared to CTRL) and in all TSA-treated radicles (Log2 FC = 3.7 and 6.4 for TSA10 and TSA20, respectively, compared to CTRL). Increased levels of the MtLIGIV mRNA were also observed in TSA20 seedlings (Log2 FC = 1.2, compared to CTRL) (**Figure 2B**).



FIGURE 2 | Genotoxic effects of TSA and the DNA repair response in M. truncatula seeds/seedlings. (A) Alkaline comet assay was used to measure accumulation of DNA strand breaks in seeds at the radicle protrusion phase and in 4-days old seedlings. a.u., arbitrary units. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent replications with 20 seeds for each replication. Letters indicate statistically significant differences determined using One-way ANOVA (P < 0.05). (B) Heatmaps representing expression changes of DDR genes (MtOGG1, MtLIGIV, MtTDP1 $\alpha$ , MtTDP1 $\beta$ , and MtTDP2 $\alpha$ ) at different phenological stages (imbibition 8 h; radicle; seedling) in CTRL and TSA-treated samples. Values are Log2 ratios (fold changes-FC) of transcript levels monitored by gRT-PCR where 1 = TSA10/CTRL, 2 = TSA20/CTRL, 3 = TSA20/TSA10. Green tones represent genes down regulated (Log2 FC < 0), while red tones represent genes up-regulated (Log2 FC  $\ge$  0), at the specific comparison stated. Expression mean values are available in Supplemental Data (Supplemental Tables S1–S4). TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10 µM TSA; TSA20, 20 µM TSA.

 $MtTDP1\alpha$  and  $MtTDP1\beta$  genes encoding different isoforms of the multipurpose DNA repair enzyme Tdp1 involved in the resolution of 3'-end blocking DNA lesions (including the cytotoxic topoisomerase I/DNA covalent complexes) apparently displayed minor sensitivity to the inhibitor.  $MtTDP1\alpha$  gene showed up-regulation (Log2 FC = 2.1, compared to CTRL) only in TSA20 seedlings (**Figure 2B**). *MtTDP1* $\beta$  gene did not show significant up-regulation in response to TSA, however a drop in transcript levels occurred in TSA10 imbibed seeds and radicles (**Figure 2B**). In the case of *MtTDP2* $\alpha$  gene coding for TDP2, a key player in the processing of 5'-end blocking DNA lesions (including the stabilized topoisomerase II/DNA cleavage complex), up-regulation (Log2 FC = 1.2, compared to CTRL) was observed in TSA20 seedlings (**Figure 2B**). The reported data reveal the genotoxic effects resulting from TSA treatments as well as DNA repair enhancement during germination.

### Exposure to TSA Increases the Antioxidant Response in *M. truncatula* Seeds/Seedlings

Changes in phenolic compounds content occurring during seed germination have been associated with fluctuations of the seed antioxidant profile. In order to verify whether phenolics might specifically contribute to the seed antioxidant response triggered by TSA, the total content in phenolic compounds as well as radical scavenging activity was assessed in M. truncatula seeds/seedlings using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and DPPH assays, respectively. Results from the DPPH test and Folin-Ciocalteau reagent method were combined to calculate the SAA expressed as µg AAE per mg GAE (Figure 3A). At 8h of imbibition, no significant changes in the seed SAA were observed. In TSA10 and TSA20 radicles there was a significant increase in SAA, compared to CTRL whereas in seedlings a significant SAA enhancement occurred only in TSA20. The increase in SAA recorded was not associated with changes in the amount of total phenolics (data not shown). According to the reported data, the seed response to TSA did not account for phenolics-mediated antioxidant activity.

A parallel investigation was performed to assess the effects of TSA on the expression of genes with roles in the seed antioxidant response, namely MtSOD encoding the cytosolic isoform of superoxide dismutase, MtAPX coding for ascorbate peroxidase, and MtMT2 encoding a type 2 metallothionein. In TSA10, a drop in MtSOD mRNA levels was observed in imbibed seeds (Log2 FC = -1.4, compared to CTRL), radicles (Log2 FC = -1.4, compared to CTRL) and seedlings (Log2 FC = -0.09, compared to CTRL) (Figure 3B). As for TSA20, a significant up-regulation was detected only in imbibed seeds (Log2 FC = 0.9, compared to CTRL) (Figure 3B). *MtAPX* gene was significantly up-regulated in TSA20 seeds (Log2 FC = 0.2, compared to CTRL) radicles and seedlings (Log2 FC = 1.1and 1.2, compared to CTRL) (Figure 3B). MtMT2 gene showed up-regulation in imbibed seeds (Log2 FC = 0.3 and 0.7 in TSA10 and TSA20, respectively) whereas in radicles the MtMT2 transcript accumulated at higher levels (Log2 FC = 2.0 and 2.7in TSA10 and TSA20, respectively). In seedlings, the level of *MtMT2* mRNA dropped in TSA20 (Log2 FC = -0.2, compared to CTRL) (Figure 3B). The emerging picture highlights the activation of antioxidant defense in response to TSA during germination.



FIGURE 3 | Exposure to TSA enhances the antioxidant response in *M. truncatula* seeds/seedlings. (A) The specific antioxidant activity of imbibed seeds (8 h), seeds at the radicle protrusion phase and 4-days old seedlings was calculated as the ratio between the antioxidant potential and the phenolic content. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent replications with 20 seeds for each replication. Letters indicate statistically significant differences determined using One-way ANOVA (P < 0.05). (B) Heatmaps representing expression changes of antioxidant genes (MtSOD, MtAPX, and MtMT2 at different phenological stages (imbibition 8 h; radicle; seedling) in CTRL and TSA-treated samples. Values are Log2 ratios (fold changes-FC) of transcript levels monitored by qRT-PCR where 1 = TSA10/CTRL, 2 = TSA20/CTRL, 3 = TSA20/TSA10. Green tones represent genes down regulated (Log2 FC  $\leq$  0), while red tones represent genes up-regulated (Log2 FC  $\geq$  0), at the specific comparison stated. Expression mean values are available in Supplemental Data (Supplemental Tables S1-S4). TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10 µM TSA; TSA20, 20 µM TSA.

# Influence of TSA on the Expression Profiles of *MtTRRAP* Gene and Predicted Interacting Partners

As shown in **Figure 4**, a drop in *MtTRRAP* gene expression occurred in TSA10 at 8h of imbibition (Log2 FC = -1.0, compared to CTRL) while transcript accumulation was observed in TSA20 (Log2 FC = 1.5, compared to CTRL). A similar profile was observed in TSA-treated radicles and seedlings. Two predicted co-expressed proteins retrieved through the *Arabidopsis* TRRAP interactome (data not shown) were also

tested. These include HAM2 required for maintaining high gene expression levels at euchromatin and ADA2A, a transcriptional adaptor found in several HAT complexes. In the case of MtHAM2 gene, a drop in the expression occurred in all the tested tissues (Log2 FC = -1.3, imbibition 8 h; Log2 FC = -0.7, radicles; Log2 FC = -1.4, seedlings) in response to the lowest TSA dose. Downregulation was also detected in TSA20 seedlings (Log2 FC = -0.5, compared to CTRL) (Figure 4). MtADA2A gene expression showed significant upregulation at 8h of imbibition in TSA10 and TSA20 (Log2 FC = 0.9 and 0.2, respectively, compared to CTRL). A drop in transcript levels occurred in TSA20 radicles (Log2 FC = -1.4, compared to CTRL) (Figure 4). A significant enhancement in MtADA2A mRNA was noticed in TSA10 and TSA20 seedlings (Log2 FC = 1.2 and 3.4, respectively, compared to CTRL) (Figure 4). The reported data provide insights on the response of chromatin remodeler genes with roles in DNA repair so far poorly investigated in the context of seed germination.

### TSA Triggers Up-Regulation of Proliferation Marker Genes and Master Regulators of Embryo-to-Seedling Transition

*MtH4* and *MtTOP2* genes were used as proliferation markers. As for *MtH4* gene, significant up-regulation was observed in TSA20 imbibed seeds (Log2 FC = 1.2, compared to CTRL), radicles (Log2 FC = 4.6, compared to CTRL), and seedlings

(Log2 FC = 1.6, compared to CTRL) (Figure 5). As for MtTOP2, significant transcript accumulation occurred in TSA20 seeds (Log2 FC = 1.2, compared to CTRL) as well as in TSA10 and TSA20 radicles (Log2 FC = 1.6 and 2.3, respectively, compared to CTRL) (Figure 5). The TOR (TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN) protein, member of the PIKK (phosphatidylinositol kinaserelated kinases) family, participates in the highly conserved signaling transduction pathways controlling embryogenesis, meristem activation, root and leaf growth. In imbibed seeds, MtTOR gene expression dropped (Log2 FC = -0.7 and -0.2 for TSA10 and TSA20, compared to CTRL). In TSA10 radicles a drop occurred (Log2 FC = -0.5, compared to CTRL) whereas an increase was observed TSA20 radicles (Log2 FC = 0.1, compared to CTRL) (Figure 5). As for seedlings, up-regulation was detected in both TSA10 and TSA20 (Log2 FC = 0.1 and 1.2, respectively, compared to CTRL) (Figure 5). The MtHDA19/HD1 gene encodes a RDP3type HDAC with a key role in the control of embryo-toseedling transition during germination. As for imbibed seeds, the MtHDA19/HD1 gene was significantly downregulated in TSA10 (Log2 FC = -1.5, compared to CTRL) and upregulated in TSA20 (Log2 FC = 0.3, compared to CTRL). Up-regulation occurred also in radicles (Log2 FC = 0.2 and 0.3 for TSA10 and TSA20, compared to CTRL). Finally, downregulation of MtHDA19/HD1 gene was detected in TSA10 seedlings (Log2 FC = -0.8, compared to CTRL) whereas



**FIGURE 4** | Influence of TSA on the expression of *MtTRRAP* gene and predicted interacting partners in *M. truncatula* seeds/seedlings. Heatmaps representing expression changes of chromatin remodeling genes (*MtTRRAP*, *MtADA2A*, and *MtHAM2* at different phenological stages (imbibition 8 h; radicle; seedling) in CTRL and TSA-treated samples. Values are Log2 ratios (fold changes-FC) of transcript levels monitored by *q*RT-PCR where 1 = TSA10/CTRL, 2 = TSA20/CTRL, 3 = TSA20/TSA10. Green tones represent genes down regulated (Log2 FC  $\leq$  0), while red tones represent genes up-regulated (Log2 FC  $\geq$  0), at the specific comparison stated. Expression mean values are available in Supplemental Data (Supplemental Tables S1–S4). TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10 µM TSA; TSA20, 20 µM TSA.



**FIGURE 5** | TSA modulates the expression of proliferation markers and master regulators of embryo-to-seedling transition. Heatmaps representing expression changes of proliferation marker genes (*MtH4*, *MtTOP2*) and master regulators of embryo-to-seedling transition (*MtTOR*, *MtHDA19/HD1*) at different phenological stages (imbibition 8 h; radicle; seedling) in CTRL and TSA-treated samples. Values are Log2 ratios (fold changes-FC) of transcript levels monitored by *q*RT-PCR where 1 = TSA10/CTRL, 2 = TSA20/CTRL, 3 = TSA20/TSA10. Green tones represent genes down regulated (Log2 FC  $\leq$  0), while red tones represent genes up-regulated (Log2 FC  $\geq$  0), at the specific comparison stated. Expression mean values are available in Supplemental Data (Supplemental Tables S1–S4). TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10  $\mu$ M TSA; TSA20, 20  $\mu$ M TSA.

up-regulation was triggered in TSA20 seedlings (Log2 FC = 1.0, compared to CTRL) (**Figure 5**). Taken together, the reported data reveal some interesting molecular aspects related to proliferation and embryo-to-seedling transition under TSA treatments.

### PCA and Correlation Analyses Disclose Putative Links between Antioxidant Players, Cell Proliferation Markers, Chromatin Remodeling and DNA Repair

PCA was used to investigate how one sample is different from another one, which variables contribute most to this difference, and whether those variables are correlated to each other. Three main factors/components were extracted, which accounted for a 73% of the variance. Factor one accounted for 45.57% of the total variance. Variables APX (-0.89), TOR (-0.90), HDA19/HD1 (-0.88), TRAPP (-0.87) and SOD (-0.83) are strongly correlated with this component, while variables OGG1 (-0.77) and H4 (-0.78) are correlated to a lesser extent. Approximately 17.33% of the variation was assigned to factor 2, which showed correlations with TDP2 (-0.80), GAE (-0.70) and in a lesser extend MT2 (-0.60). Factor 3 accounted for only 10.9% of the variation, being correlated mainly with SAA (0.72) and TDP1B (0.65). The data were plotted according to PC1 and PC2 (Figures 6A,B), which allowed a clear separation of the majority of the samples according with phenological stage and treatment imposed. Two exceptions were noticed for the non-treated radicle samples (CR) and TSA10 at 8h of imbibition (10T8) and TSA10 radicle (10TR) samples clustered together. The analysis of the PCA scatter plot (Figure 6A) highlights the dose dependent effect of TSA. Indeed, only the 20 µM TSA dose triggered strong effects on biochemical and gene expression profiles of treated seeds, as seen by the clear separation of the TSA20 samples from the control and 10 µM TSA. The PCA carried out using biochemical and expression data collected for the studied samples showed that correlation among variables exists. This aspect was further extended in correlation analysis (Table 3). Focusing in the most relevant cases, AAE is significantly correlated with GAE (0.83) and TDP1 $\alpha$  (0.75), while SOD is significantly correlated with APX (0.86), TOR (0.74), HDA19/HD1 (0.83) and OGG1 (0.79). APX is correlated with TOR (0.85), HDA19/HD1 (0.88) and OGG1 (0.76). TRAPP is correlated with OGG1 (0.77) and H4 (0.83). Besides the correlation with AAE, TDP1a is also correlated with HDA19/HD1 (0.80). Overall, results suggest for new putative links between antioxidant stress responses, cell proliferation, chromatin remodeling and DNA repair.

### DISCUSSION

The multifaceted seed repair response preserves genome integrity and seed vigor. A myriad of non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidant components provide seeds with effective free radical scavenging activities, allowing to withstand oxidative injury during imbibition (Wojtyla et al., 2016). When the antioxidant



**FIGURE 6** | Principal component analysis of gene expression and biochemical profiles of *M. truncatula* seeds germinated in presence/absence of TSA. Data were collected on dry seeds (DS), 8 h of imbibition (8), radicle protrusion (R) and seedlings (SL) samples. **(A)** PCA scatterplot, in which the two first components explain 62.9% of the variance. **(B)** Position of the variables projected in the plane as determined by the first two principal components. Samples: DS (non-treated dry seeds); C8 (TSA10, 8 h imbibition); CR (CTRL, radicle protrusion); CSL (CTRL, seedling); 10T8 (TSA10, 8 h imbibition); 10TR (TSA10, radicle protrusion); 10TSL (TSA10, seedling); 20T8 (TSA20, 8 h imbibition); 20TR (TSA20, radicle protrusion); 20TSL (TSA20, seedling). Variables: content of total phenolic compounds (GAE); radical-scavenging activity (AAE); specific antioxidant activity (SAA); expression levels of *MtAPX*, *MtMT2*, *MttH4*, *MttD19/HD1*, *MtTOP1*, *MtTOP2*, and *MtLGIV* genes. CTRL, control; TSA, trichostatin A; TSA10, 10 µM TSA; TSA20, 20 µM TSA.

response is properly enhanced under oxidative stress conditions, accumulation of oxidative DNA damage can be limited. The DDR network, that positively influences seed vigor (Waterworth et al., 2009, 2010, 2016; Macovei et al., 2010, 2011; Balestrazzi et al., 2011), has been so far dissected *in planta*, revealing multiple DNA damage sensing/transduction pathways that trigger lesion-specific repair (Manova and Gruszka, 2015; Hu et al., 2016; Spampinato, 2017). Another key player in this context

**TABLE 3** | Matrix of Pearson correlation coefficients (r) of all biochemical and gene expression variables used in this study (N = 36).

Variable	AAE	GAE	SAA	SOD	ΑΡΧ	MT2	TRAPP	HAM2	ADA2A	TOR	H4	HDA19/ HD1	TOP2	OGG1	TDP1α	TDP1β	TDP2α	LIGIV
AAE	1.00																	
GAE	0.83***	1.00																
SAA	0.44**	-0.07	1.00															
SOD	0.44**	0.46**	-0.03	1.00														
APX	0.43**	0.24	0.26	0.86***	1.00													
MT2	0.67***	0.70***	-0.03	0.55***	0.51**	1.00												
TRAPP	0.43**	0.25	0.44**	0.68***	0.66***	0.19	1.00											
HAM2	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.43**	0.41*	0.17	0.68***	1.00										
ADA2A	-0.09	-0.25	0.30	-0.02	0.27	-0.20	0.06	0.23	1.00									
TOR	0.61***	0.41*	0.40*	0.74***	0.85***	0.48**	0.66***	0.57*	0.34*	1.00								
H4	0.25	0.02	0.43*	0.65***	0.71***	0.23	0.83***	0.42*	-0.06	0.56***	1.00							
HD1	0.59***	0.45**	0.29	0.83***	0.88***	0.52***	0.66***	0.49**	0.26	0.86***	0.52***	1.00						
TOP2	0.00	-0.10	0.29	0.34*	0.50**	0.18	0.51***	0.64***	0.35*	0.44**	0.56***	0.34*	1.00					
OGG1	0.23	0.17	0.09	0.79***	0.76***	0.28	0.77***	0.47**	0.10	0.60***	0.78***	0.58***	0.60***	1.00				
TDP1α	0.75***	0.49**	0.46**	0.61***	0.65***	0.39*	0.54***	0.13	0.07	0.69***	0.41*	0.79***	-0.07	0.33	1.00			
TDP1β	0.32	0.10	0.50**	0.10	0.18	-0.19	0.34*	0.40**	0.10	0.43**	0.20	0.25	0.05	0.11	0.37*	1.00		
TDP2α	-0.05	-0.22	0.43**	0.17	0.39*	-0.18	0.52***	0.70***	0.53***	0.46**	0.42**	0.25	0.71***	0.47**	-0.07	0.45**	1.00	
LIGIV	0.59***	0.27	0.63***	0.50**	0.52***	0.23	0.71***	0.18	-0.17	0.48**	0.76***	0.51**	0.18	0.46**	0.73***	0.36*	0.08	1.00

 $^{*}P \le 0.05; ^{**}P \le 0.01; ^{***}P \le 0.001.$ 

is chromatin remodeling which significantly influences DNA repair (Donà and Mittelsten Scheid, 2015). Notwithstand the expanding knowledge, several aspects of DDR in the context of seed germination still need to be elucidated.

The present work provides for the first time the molecular profiling of the seed repair response triggered by TSA in M. truncatula, highlighting the effects in terms of DNA damage accumulation/ repair and antioxidant response while integrating information on chromatin remodelers. DNA lesions can be induced by HDACs inhibitors directly or indirectly, by promoting free radical accumulation (Feng et al., 2007). In animal cells, TSA can differently influence DDR, as reported in TSA-treated bladder cancer cells where the expression of DDR genes was decreased, impairing genome stability (Li et al., 2016). Up-regulation of MtOGG1 gene in M. truncatula seeds/seedlings exposed to TSA suggests for the activation of the BER pathway in response to the increased DNA damage accumulation. In animal cells, the TSA-dependent acetylation of OGG1 and other BER proteins has been reported (Muftuoglu et al., 2008) whereas additional studies should be performed to unravel the TSAmediated effects on the BER pathway in plant cells. TSA also triggered up-regulation of MtLIGIV gene. Distinct DNA ligases mediate the rejoining of SSBs and DSBs, an essential step in DNA repair, however DNA ligase IV is one of the major determinant of seed quality and longevity (Waterworth et al., 2010). Studies on mouse embryonic fibroblasts lacking specific NHEJ components, among which DNA ligase IV, showed increased sensitivity to TSA, thus indicating that this enzyme is required for cell survival upon exposure to the inhibitor (Yaneva et al., 2005). Most of the DDR players so far functionally characterized are highly conserved in plants and animals, however some intriguing differences have been observed in plants, compared to animals (Yoshiyama et al., 2013). As for investigations performed with HDACs inhibitors in plant cells, it should be expected that the conserved features of DDR might facilitate a better understanding of the complex molecular networks touched by these compounds or even expand the current knowledge, paving the way to interdisciplinary research (Nikitaki et al., 2017).

DDR relies on the multipurpose TDP enzymes with a specific role in the repair of topoisomerase (topo)-mediated DNA lesions as well as in the removal of a range of other 3'end and 5'-end blocking lesions (Pommier et al., 2014, 2016). TDPs have been characterized as components of abiotic stress responses in planta (Macovei et al., 2010; Balestrazzi et al., 2011, 2015; Confalonieri et al., 2013; Donà et al., 2013a; Faè et al., 2014; Araujo et al., 2016b) and for their role during seed imbibition (Macovei et al., 2010; Balestrazzi et al., 2011). The observed fluctuations in the expression of TDP genes reflect the dose- and tissue-dependent effects of TSA and deserve further investigation, also in view of recent works in animal cells. Information concerning the effects of HDACs inhibitors on the TDP function is still scanty in animals. Duffy et al. (2017) showed that human cells overexpressing the TDP1 gene were more sensitive to TSA whereas Meisenberg et al. (2017) suggested that TSA influences the repair of DSBs resulting from topo I/DNA cleavage complexes through a TDP1/TDP2independent mechanism. The study by Pang et al. (2016) showed that TSA induces DSBs in human cancer cells, triggering at the same time the RAD9(RADIATION SENSITIVE) gene expression through promoter hyperacetylation. RAD9 is part of the 9-1-1 complex, early sensor of genotoxic stress, able to control G1/S transition. Robert et al. (2016) reported that TSA mediates acetylation of Ku70/Ku80 and polyADP-ribose polymerase-1 (PARP1), decreasing NHEJ-mediated DSBs repair. As for plants, knowledge is still limited and results hereby presented provide an interesting starting point to investigate the effects of HDACs inhibitors on different DDR pathways in the context of seed germination.

The *MtTOP2* gene was up-regulated in *M. truncatula* radicles. Zhang et al. (2016) showed that the HDAC inhibitor sodium butyrate causes the block of cell cycle at preprophase in maize root meristems, without affecting DNA integrity. Authors suggest that sodium butyrate might generate ROS acting as signaling molecules that trigger stress adaptation. Downregulation of *TOP1* and *TOP2* genes was also observed in maize root meristems. Zhang et al. (2016) hypothesized that inhibition of topoisomerases might be a critical step in stress adaptation.

HDACs inhibitors trigger ROS accumulation, leading to cell death (Robert and Rassool, 2012) and this has been reported for TSA delivered to plant cells (Jadko, 2015). The up-regulation of antioxidant genes might contribute to buffer the genotoxic effects of TSA, as demonstrated by Wang et al. (2015). Both MtAPX and MtSOD genes, required for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and superoxide radical scavenging, were previously found to be up-regulated in M. truncatula seeds during imbibition under physiological conditions and in response to osmotic stress (Balestrazzi et al., 2011; Macovei et al., 2011) while previous work showed that the MtMT2 gene is also involved in the seed antioxidant response (Donà et al., 2013b). Hou et al. (2015) reported that the SOD promoter was hyperacetylated in maize aleurone cells during germination, as a result of gibberellin influence while treatments with ABA and TSA caused reduced acetylation and impairment of germination. In animal cells, the antioxidant activity of metallothioneins is modulated at the gene promoter level following TSA treatment (Ghoshal et al., 2002).

The observed expression profiles of MtTRRAP gene and its predicted interactors MtHAM2 and MtADA2A might be the direct consequence of the global increase of chromatin acetylation triggered by the inhibitor or this might be part of the response to the stressful conditions caused by TSA (Chinnusamy and Zhu, 2009). No information is currently available on the TRRAP distribution within the main HAT complexes in plants. Similarly, knowledge concerning the role of TRRAP and other chromatin remodeling genes in the plant DDR is missing.

The expression profiles of the proliferation marker genes *MtH4* and *MtTOP2* observed in CTRL are in agreement with previous reports (Xie and Lam, 1994; Potokina et al., 2002). Both *MtH4* and *MtTOP2* genes were up-regulated in response to TSA, resembling animal cells where HDACs inhibitors were found to stimulate the expression of histone genes (Cuisset et al., 1998). Similarly, Chen et al. (2016) showed up-regulation of *TOP2* gene expression in human bone marrow mononuclear cells exposed to TSA. It is also known that HDACs associate *in vivo* with DNA topoisomerase II (Tsai et al., 2000) and topo II redistribution from heterochromatin was observed in TSA-treated mouse and human cell lines (Cowell et al., 2011).

Proliferation and development are strictly linked to each other and the transition from embryonic program to seedling vegetative growth is a crucial step under the control of HDA19/HD1. The latter acts sinergistically with HDA6 to activate the transcriptional switch required for vegetative growth (Tanaka et al., 2008). Fluctuations in MtHDA19/HD1 transcript were observed in both CTRL and TSA-treated samples. Moreover, the effects of TSA on the expression of HDACs genes can vary, as demonstrated by Hemmatazad et al. (2009) who found that a class I HDAC was significantly up-regulated in animal cells treated with the inhibitor. TSA-induced upregulation of MtTOR gene was evident in TSA20 seedlings. It has been previously reported that the Arabidopsis TOR gene is expressed throughout all the embryonic stages while in seedlings the TOR mRNA is accumulated in the primary meristems. The TOR-dependent phosphorylation signaling pathway has a central role in the control of germination, although several aspects of this molecular network still need to be elucidated (Deprost et al., 2007).

Finally, correlation analysis predicted a robust link between the *MtTRRAP* and *MtOGG1* functions (**Figure 7**) which appear to bridge DNA repair and chromatin remodeling in the context of seed germination. This is a novel clue to the elucidation of the seed repair response, evidenced by the TSA treatments, that might deserve further investigation. Notably, *MtOGG1* correlates also with the *MtAPX* and *MtSOD* (**Figure 7**), strengthening the link between DNA repair and antioxidant response, a crucial aspect of seed vigor.



**FIGURE 7** | Correlation analysis discloses novel putative links between DNA repair, chromatin remodeling, antioxidant response and proliferation markers. Schematic representation of the most relevant cases of correlation of biochemical and gene expression variables based on the Pearson correlation coefficients *r* listed in **Table 3**.

# CONCLUSIONS

The present work brings the attention to the entangled correlations that link DNA repair, antioxidant response, and chromatin remodeling in the specific context of seed germination, using TSA as stress agent to disclose sensitive targets. The emerging picture looks extremely complicated since TSA, acts in different ways at the cellular level. The inhibitor blocks cell cycle, induces ROS accumulation and DNA damage, and all these events touch directly or indirectly molecular processes that contribute to seed vigor. Results hereby shown are snapshots of the whole picture which is evidently much wider. To better understand this scenario, it is essential to integrate the discussion on plant data with the current knowledge available in animal systems where the role(s) of HDACs inhibitors in DDR, chromatin remodeling and ROS metabolism, is intensively investigated. Intriguing issues are raised by the study of the seed response to TSA in *M. truncatula*, all of them deserving future in-depth investigation. Does DDR in seeds account for repair pathways that are preferentially activated in response to chromatin perturbation? Is there any cross-talk involving the seed repair machinery and the master regulators of cell proliferation/seedling development? Once addressed, these questions will open concrete perspectives in basic and applied seed biology.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AB and SA conceived the work and wrote the manuscript; AP, AM, and PL contributed the experimental data;

### REFERENCES

- Araújo, S. S., Paparella, S., Dondi, D., Bentivoglio, A., Carbonera, D., and Balestrazzi, A. (2016a). Physical methods for seed invigoration: advantages and challenges in seed technology. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:646. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00646
- Araujo, S. S., Balestrazzi, A., Faè, M., Morano, M., Carbonera, D., and Macovei, A. (2016b). *MtTdp2α*-overexpression boosts the growth phase of *Medicago truncatula* cell suspension and increases the expression of key genes involved in the antioxidant response and genome stability. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 127, 675–680. doi: 10.1007/s11240-016-1075-5
- Ashraf, M., and Bray, C. M. (1993). DNA synthesis in osmoprimed leek (*Allium porrum* L.) seeds and evidence for repair and replication. *Seed Sci. Res.* 3, 15–23. doi: 10.1017/S0960258500001525
- Balestrazzi, A., Confalonieri, M., Macovei, A., and Carbonera, D. (2011). Seed imbibition in *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn.: expression profiles of DNA repair genes in relation to PEG-mediated stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* 168, 706–713. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2010.10.008
- Balestrazzi, A., Donà, M., Macovei, A., Sabatini, M. E., Pagano, A., and Carbonera, D. (2015). DNA repair and telomere maintenance during seed imbibition: correlation of transcriptional patterns. *Telomere Telomerase* 2:e496. doi: 10.14800/tt.495
- Boychev, I., Vassileva, V., and Iantcheva, A. (2014). Histone acetyltransferases in plant development and plasticity. *Curr. Genomics* 15, 28–37. doi: 10.2174/138920291501140306112742
- Braca, A., Tommasi, N. D., Bari, L. D., Pizza, C., Polliti, M., and Morelli, I. (2001). Antioxidant principles from *Branhinia terapotensis*. J. Nat. Prod. 64, 892–895. doi: 10.1021/np0100845

AP performed bioinformatic analyses; AB, SA, PL, AP, and AM contributed to the discussion of data. All authors read and agreed with the final version of the manuscript.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Regione Lombardia D.G. Attività Produttive Ricerca e Innovazione - Struttura Asse 1 POR FSE 2007-2013, Project ID 4344853 "Advanced Priming Technologies for the Lombardy Agro-Seed Industry-PRIMTECH." The financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Lisbon, Portugal) is acknowledged through research unit GREEN-it "Bioresources for Sustainability" (UID/Multi/04551/2013) and S.A. Post-Doctoral Grant (SFRH/BPD/108032/2015). AP has been awarded by a Ph.D Fellowship from IUSS-Scuola Universitaria Superiore Pavia. Sponsorship from COST Action FA1306: "The quest for tolerant varieties: phenotyping at plant and cell level" is gratefully acknowledged. This research has been partially carried out within the Project P.S.R. Puglia 2014-2020 Misura 10 - Sottomisura 10.2.1 Trascinamento Mis. 214 P.S.R. Puglia 2007-2013 Progetti Integrati per la Biodiversità - ATS Progetto SaVeGraINPuglia.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 01972/full#supplementary-material

- Brown, C. E., Lechner, T., Howe, L., and Workman, J. L. (2000). The many HATs of transcriptional coactivators. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 25, 15–19. doi: 10.1016/S0968-0004(99)01516-9
- Chen, J., Zheng, Z., Chen, Y., Li, J., Qian, S., Shi, Y., et al. (2016). Histone deacetylase inhibitors Trichostatin A and MCP30 relieve benzene-induced hematotoxicity via restoring topoisomerase IIα. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0153330. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153330
- Chinnusamy, V., and Zhu, J. K. (2009). Epigenetic regulation of stress responses in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 12, 133–139. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.12.006
- Collins, A. R. (2004). The comet assay for DNA damage and repair. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 26, 249–261. doi: 10.1385/MB:26:3:249
- Confalonieri, M., Faè, M., Balestrazzi, A., Donà, M., Macovei, A., Valassi, A., et al. (2013). Enhanced osmotic stress tolerance in *Medicago truncatula* plants overexpressing the DNA repair gene *MtTdp2α* (tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 2). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 116, 187–203. doi: 10.1007/s11240-013-0395-y
- Cowell, I. G., Papageorgiou, N., Padget, K., Watters, G. P., and Austin, C. A. (2011). Histone deacetylase inhibition redistributes topoisomerase IIβ from heterochromatin to euchromatin. *Nucleus* 2, 61–71. doi: 10.4161/nucl.14194
- Cuisset, L., Tichonicky, L., Jaffray, P., and Delpech, M. (1998). The effects of sodium butyrate on transcription are mediated through activation of a protein phosphatase. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 24148–24153. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.39.24148
- Deprost, D., Yao, L., Sormani, R., Moreau, M., Leterreux, G., Nicolai, M., et al. (2007). The Arabidopsis TOR kinase links plant growth, yield, stress resistance and mRNA translation. *EMBO Rep.* 8, 864–870. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7401043
- Donà, M., Confalonieri, M., Minio, A., Biggiogera, M., Buttafava, A., Raimondi, E., et al. (2013a). RNA-Seq analysis discloses early senescence and nucleolar dysfunction triggered by *Tdp1α* depletion in *Medicago truncatula*. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 1941–1951. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert063

- Donà, M., Balestrazzi, A., Mondoni, A., Rossi, G., Ventura, L., Buttafava, A., et al. (2013b). DNA profiling, telomere analysis and antioxidant properties as tools for monitoring *ex situ* seed longevity. *Ann. Bot.* 111, 987–998. doi: 10.1093/aob/mct058
- Donà, M., and Mittelsten Scheid, O. (2015). DNA damage repair in the context of plant chromatin. *Plant Physiol.* 168, 1206–1218. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00538
- Duffy, S., Fam, H. K., Wang, K. Y., Styles, E. B., Kim, J.-H., Anga, S., et al. (2017). Overexpression screens identify conserved dosage chromosome instability genes in yeast and human cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 9967–9976. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611839113
- Faè, M., Balestrazzi, A., Confalonieri, M., Donà, M., Macovei, A., Valassi, A., et al. (2014). Copper-mediated genotoxic stress is attenuated by the overexpression of the DNA repair gene *MtTdp2α* (tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 2α) in *Medicago truncatula* plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 1071–1080. doi: 10.1007/s00299-014-1595-6
- Feng, R., Oton, A., Mapara, M. Y., Anderson, G., Belani, C., and Lentzsch, S. (2007). The histone deacetylase inhibitor, PXD101, potentiates bortezomib-induced anti-multiple myeloma effect by induction of oxidative stress and DNA damage. *Br. J. Haematol.* 139, 385–397. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2007.06772.x
- Ghoshal, K., Datta, J., Majumder, S., Bai, S., Dong, X., Parthum, M., et al. (2002). Inhibitors of histone deacetylase and DNA methyltransferase synergistically activate the methylated metallothionein I promoter by activating the transcription factor MTF-1 and forming an open chromatin structure. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 22, 8302–8319. doi: 10.1128/MCB.22.23.8302-8319.2002
- Gichner, T., Ptacek, O., Stavreva, D. A., Wagner, E. D., and Plewa, M. J. (2000). A comparison of DNA repair using the comet assay in tobacco seedlings after exposure to alkylating agents or ionizing radiation. *Mutat. Res.* 470, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00081-4
- Gonzalez-Arzola, K., Diaz-Quintana, A., River-Rodriguez, F., Velazquez-Campoy, A., De la Rosa, M. A., and Diaz-Moreno, I. (2017). Histone chaperone activity of *Arabidopsis thaliana* NRP1 is blocked by cytochrome c. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 2150–2165. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1215
- Grandperret, V., Nicolas-Francés, V., Wendehenne, D., and Bourque, S. (2014). Type-II histone deacetylases: elusive plant nuclear signal transducers. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 1259–1269. doi: 10.1111/pce.12236
- Gursoy-Yuzugullu, O., House, N., and Price, B. D. (2016). Patching broken DNA: nucleosome dynamics and the repair of DNA breaks. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 1846–1860. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.021
- Hemmatazad, H., Rodrigues, H. M., Maurer, B., Brentano, F., Pileckyte, M., Distler, J. H. W., et al. (2009). Histone deacetylase 7, a potential target for the antifibrotic treatment of systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 60, 1519–1529. doi: 10.1002/art.24494
- Hou, H., Wang, P., Zhang, H., Wen, H., Gao, F., Ma, N., et al. (2015). Histone acetylation is involved in gibberellin-regulated *sodCp* gene expression in maize aleurone layers. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 2139–2149. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv126
- Howe, E. A., Sinha, R., Schlauch, D., and Quackenbush, J. (2011). RNA-Seq analysis in MeV. *Bioinformatics* 27, 3209–3210. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr490
- Hu, Z., Cools, T., and De Veylder, L. (2016). Mechanisms used by plants to cope with DNA damage. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 67, 439–462. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111902
- Huyen, Y., Zgheib, O., Ditullio, R. A. Jr., Gorgoulis, V. G., Zacharatos, P., Petty, T. J., et al. (2004). Methylated lysine 79 of histone H3 targets 53BP1 to DNA double-strand breaks. *Nature* 432, 406–411. doi: 10.1038/nature03114
- Jadko, S. I. (2015). Histone deacetylase activity and reactive oxygen species content in the tissue culture of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under normal conditions and development of acute osmotic stress. *Ukr. Biochem. J.* 87, 57–62. doi: 10.15407/ubj87.03.057
- Li, B. Y., Cheng, M., Gao, H. Q., Ma, Y. B., Xu, L., Li, X. H., et al. (2008). Back-regulation of six oxidative stress proteins with grape seed proanthocyanidin extracts in rat diabetic nephropathy. J. Cell. Biochem. 104, 668–679. doi: 10.1002/jcb.21658
- Li, Q. Q., Hao, J.-J., Zhang, Z., Hsu, I., Liu, Y., Tao, Z., et al. (2016). Histone deacetylase inhibitor-induced cell death in bladder cancer is associated with chromatin modification and modifying protein expression: a proteomic approach. *Int. J. Oncol.* 48, 2591–2607. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2016. 3478
- Macovei, A., Balestrazzi, A., Confalonieri, M., and Carbonera, D. (2010). The tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase gene family in *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn.: bioinformatic investigation and expression profiles in response to copper-

and PEG-mediated stress. Planta 232, 393-407. doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1179-9

- Macovei, A., Balestrazzi, A., Confalonieri, M., Faè, M., and Carbonera, D. (2011). New insights on the barrel medic *MtOGG1* and *MtFPG* functions in relation to oxidative stress response *in planta* and during seed imbibition. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 49, 1040–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.05.007
- Macovei, A., Pagano, A., Leonetti, P., Carbonera, D., Balestrazzi, A., and Araujo, S. S. (2016). Systems biology and genome-wide approaches to unveil the molecular players involved in the pre-germinative metabolism: implications on seed technology traits. *Plant Cell Rep.* 36, 669–688. doi: 10.1007/s00299-016-2060-5
- Manova, V., and Gruszka, D. (2015). DNA damage and repair in plants: from models to crops. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:885. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00885
- Meisenberg, C., Ashour, M. E., El-Shafie, L., Liao, C., Hodgson, A., Pilborough, A., et al. (2017). Epigenetic changes in histone acetylation underpin resistance to the topoisomerase I inhibitor irinotecan. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 1159–1176. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1026
- Menoni, H., Di Mascio, P., Cadet, J., Dimitrov, S., and Angelov, D. (2016). Chromatin associated mechanisms in base excision repair-nucleosome remodeling and DNA transcription, two key players. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 107, 159–169. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016
- Muftuoglu, M., Kusumoto, R., Speina, E., Beck, G., Cheng, W. H., and Bohr, V. A. (2008). Acetylation regulates WRN catalytic activities and affects base excision DNA repair. *PLoS ONE* 3:e1918. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001918
- Murr, R., Vaissiere, T., Sawan, C., Shukla, V., and Herceg, Z. (2007). Orchestration of chromatin-based processes: mind the TRRAP. Oncogene 26, 5358–5372. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210605
- Nikitaki, Z., Pavlopoulou, A., Holá, M., Donà, M., Michalopoulos, I., Balestrazzi, A., et al. (2017). Bridging plant and human radiation response and DNA repair through an *in silico* approach. *Cancers* 9:E65. doi: 10.3390/cancers9060065
- Oñate-Sanchez, L., and Vicente-Carbajosa, J. (2008). DNA-free RNA isolation protocols for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, including seeds and siliques. *BMC Res. Notes* 1:93. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-1-93
- Pang, X., He, G., Luo, C., Wang, Y., and Zhang, B. (2016). Knockdown of Rad9A enhanced DNA damage induced by trichostatin A in esophageal cancer cells. *Tumor Biol.* 37, 963–970. doi: 10.1007/s13277-015-3879-z
- Paparella, S., Araujo, S. S., Rossi, G., Wijayasinghe, M., Carbonera, D., and Balestrazzi, A. (2015). Seed priming: state of the art and new perspectives. *Plant Cell Rep.* 34, 1281–1293. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1784-y
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29:e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Pommier, Y., Huang, S.-Y. N., Gao, R., Das, B. B., Murai, J., and Marchand, C. (2014). Tyrosyl-DNA-phosphodiesterases (TDP1 and TDP2). DNA Repair 19, 114–129. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2014.03.020
- Pommier, Y., Sun, Y., Huang, S. N., and Nitiss, J. L. (2016). Roles of eukaryotic topoisomerases in transcription, replication and genome stability. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 703–721. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.111
- Potokina, E., Sreenivasulu, N., Altschmied, L., Michalek, W., and Graner, A. (2002). Differential gene expression during seed germination in barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*). *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 2, 28–39. doi: 10.1007/s10142-002-0050-x
- Ranal, M. A., and Garcia de Santana, D. (2006). How and why to measure the germination process? *Rev. Bras. Bot.* 29, 1–11. doi: 10.1590/S0100-84042006000100002
- Robert, C., and Rassool, F. V. (2012). HDAC inhibitors: roles of DNA damage and repair. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 116, 87–129. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394387-3.0 0003-3
- Robert, C., Nagaria, P. K., Pawar, N., Adewuyi, A., Gojo, I., Meyers, D. J., et al. (2016). Histone deacetylase inhibitors decrease NHEJ both by acetylation of repair factors and trapping of PARP at DNA double-strand breaks in chromatin. *Leukemia Res.* 45, 14–23. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2016.03.007
- Ryu, H., Cho, H., Bae, W., and Hwang, I. (2014). Control of early seedling development by BES1/TPL/HDA19-mediated epigenetic regulation of *ABI3*. *Nat. Commu.* 5, 1–11. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5138
- Spampinato, C. P. (2017). Protecting DNA from errors and damage: an overview of DNA repair mechanisms in plants compared to mammals. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 74, 1693–1709. doi: 10.1007/s00018-016-2436-2
- Spanos, G. A., and Wrolstad, R. E. (1990). Influence of processing and storage on the phenolic composition of Thompson seedless grape juice. J. Agr. Food Chem. 38, 1565–1571. doi: 10.1021/jf00097a030

- Tanaka, M., Kikuchi, A., and Kamada, H. (2008). The Arabidopsis histone deacetylases HDA6 and HDA19 contribute to the repression of embryonic properties after germination. Plant Physiol. 146, 149–161. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.111674
- Tsai, S. C., Valkov, N., Yang, W. M., Gump, J., Sullivan, D., and Seto, E. (2000). Histone deacetylase interacts directly with DNA topoisomerase II. *Nat. Genet.* 26, 349–353. doi: 10.1038/81671
- Wang, P., Zhao, L., Hou, H., Zhang, H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Epigenetic changes are associated with programmed cell death induced by heat stress in seedling leaves of *Zea mays. Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 965–976. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv023
- Wang, Z., Chen, F., Li, X., Cao, H., Ding, M., Zhang, C., et al. (2016). Arabidopsis seed germination speed is controlled by SNL histone deacetylasebinding factor-mediated regulation of AUX1. Nat. Commu. 7:13412. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13412
- Waterworth, W. M., Kozak, J., Provost, C. M., Bray, C. M., Angelis, K. J., and West, C. E. (2009). DNA ligase 1 deficient plants display severe growth defects and delayed repair of both DNA single and double strand breaks. *BMC Plant Biol.* 9:79. doi: 10.1186/1471-22 29-9-79
- Waterworth, W. M., Masnavi, G., Bhardwaj, R. M., Jiang, Q., Bray, C. M., and West, C. E. (2010). A plant DNA ligase is an important determinant of seed longevity. *Plant J.* 63, 848–860. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010. 04285.x
- Waterworth, W. M., Footitt, S., Bray, C. M., Finch-Savage, W. E., and West, C. E. (2016). DNA damage checkpoint kinase ATM regulates germination and maintains genome stability in seeds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 9647–9652. doi: 10.1073/pnas.16088 29113

- Wojtyla, L., Lechowska, K., Kubala, S., and Garnczarska, M. (2016). Different modes of hydrogen peroxide action during seed germination. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:66. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00066
- Xie, S., and Lam, E. (1994). Abundance of nuclear DNA topoisomerase II is correlated with proliferation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 22, 5729–5736. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.25.5729
- Yaneva, M., Li, H., Marple, T., and Hasty, P. (2005). Non-homologous end joining, but not homologous recombination, enables survival for cells exposed to a histone deacetylase inhibitor. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 33, 5320–5330. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki821
- Yoshiyama, K. O., Sakaguchi, K., and Kimura, S. (2013). DNA damage response in plants: conserved and variable response compared to animals. *Biology* 2, 1338–1356. doi: 10.3390/biology2041338
- Zhang, Q., Wang, P., Hou, H., Zhang, H., Tan, J., Huang, Y., et al. (2016). Histone acetylation and reactive oxygen species are involved in the preprophase arrest induced by sodium butyrate in maize roots. *Protoplasma* 254, 167–179. doi: 10.1007/s00709-015-0928-9

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Pagano, Araújo, Macovei, Leonetti and Balestrazzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.