

# The potential effect of gender in combination with common genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes on the risk of developing acute leukemia

Pascual Bolufer, Maria Collado, Eva Barragán, José Cervera, María-José Calasanz, Dolors Colomer, José Roman-Gómez, Miguel A. Sanz

# ABSTRACT

#### From the Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Department of Medical Biopathology, Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, Spain (PB, MC, EB); Cytogenetics, Service of Hematology, Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, Spain (JC); Department of Genetics, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain (M-JC); Hematology, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain (DC); Service of Hematology, Hospital Reina Sofia, Córdoba, Spain (JR-G); Clinical Hematology, Service of Hematology, Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, Spain (MAS)

Funding: this study was performed with the financial support of the Spanish Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI 02/0180).

Manuscript received September 11, 2006. Manuscript accepted January 16, 2007.

# Correspondence:

Pascual Bolufer Gilabert, Laboratorio de Biología Molecular, Escuela de Enfermería 7ª. Hospital Universitario la Fe, Avda. Campanar 21, 46009 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: bolufer\_pas@gva.es

# Background and Objectives

We examined common polymorphisms in the genes for glutathione S-transferase (GST), cytochrome P450 (CYP), quinone oxoreductase (NQO1), methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*), and thymidylate synthetase (*TYMS*) and the role of gender associated with the susceptibility to *de novo* acute leukemia (AL).

# **Design and Methods**

We conducted a case-control study analyzing the prevalence of the polymorphisms CYP1A1\*2A, CYP2E1\*5B, CYP3A4\*1B, del{GSTT1}, del{GSTM1}, NQ01\*2, MTHFR C6777, and TYMS 2R/3R in 443 patients with AL [302 with acute myeloblastic leukemia (AML) and 141 with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)] and 454 control volunteers, using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based methods.

#### Results

We found a higher incidence of *del*[*GSTT1*] in patients with AML than among controls (25.6% vs. 13.7%, OR=2.2, p<0.001) and a higher incidence of *NQ01\*2* homozygosity (*NQ01\*2nom*) in males with the M3 FAB subtype than in control males (8.6% vs. 2.2%, OR=4.9, p=0.02). The *del*[*GSTT1*] and *NQ01\*2nom* polymorphisms increased the risk of ALL (OR=2.2 and 3.0, p=0.001 and 0.003, respectively). The higher risk conferred by *NQ01\*2nom* and *del*[*GSTT1*] mainly affected males (OR=6.1 and 2.4; p=0.002 and 0.005, respectively).

# **Interpretation and Conclusions**

Males harboring  $NQO1*2_{hom.}$  and  $del{GSTT1}$  polymorphisms showed a higher risk than females of developing AL. Thus, gender might influence the risk of AL associated with these genetic polymorphisms.

Key words: polymorphisms, gender, risk, drug-metabolizing enzymes, acute leukemia.

Haematologica 2007; 92:308-314

©2007 Ferrata Storti Foundation

cute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) are the most common acute leukemias (AL) in adults<sup>1</sup> and children.<sup>23</sup> On the whole AL is more common in males of all age groups,<sup>4</sup> a fact that remains unexplained. Although the clinical and biological aspects of leukemia are well documented, little is known about the factors that condition an individual's susceptibility to *de novo* leukemia. Normal polymorphic variations in several genes, together with dietary effects, environmental exposure to carcinogens, and individual immune system characteristics are likely to be factors that predispose individuals to develop AL.<sup>5</sup> Polymorphisms could also explain the different incidence of AL observed between the genders.

Genetic polymorphisms in the drug-metabolizing enzymes are extremely common and may contribute to the risk of developing cancers. These polymorphisms could explain differences in the way in which individuals metabolize chemical agents.6 Studies have included polymorphisms of genes coding for P450 cytochromes (CYP), which are involved in phase I of metabolism (oxidation/activation). Most polymorphisms described for these genes, such as T6235C of CYP1A1 (CYP1A1\*2A), C-1019T of CYP2E1 (CYP2E1\*5B), and -A290G of CYP3A4 (CYP3A4\*1B), are believed to cause an increase in enzymatic activity. They have been implicated in the bioactivation of several chemical carcinogens and the conversion of polyaromatic hydrocarbons from tobacco smoke into intermediate reactive metabolites, some of which can damage DNA.7 Glutathione Smethyl transferases (GST) are implicated in phase II of metabolism (conjugation/detoxification). Two widespread genetic polymorphisms that involve deletions in the GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes, (del{GSTT1} and del{GSTM1}), have been reported to lead to abrogation of enzyme activity.

Quinone oxoreductase (NQO1) is a detoxification enzyme that acts in limiting free radical oxidative stress. Two polymorphisms, the C609T (NQO1\*2) and C465T (NQO1\*3) substitutions, have been described in the NQO1 gene; they cause complete loss or reduction of enzyme activity, respectively.<sup>8</sup>

Variations in the activity of genes involved in folic acid metabolism, such as methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) and thymidylate synthetase (*TYMS*), affect dUMP availability and hence DNA repair machinery. In particular, the *MTHFR C677T* polymorphism decreases the activity of the MTHFR enzyme and the double (2R) or triple (3R) 28 base pair repeat polymorphism in the 5'- untranslated region of *TYMS* (2*R/3R TYMS*) modifies the rate of enzyme transcription. Several studies have tried to relate these polymorphisms to the risk of *de novo* leukemia, particularly in patients with ALL. There are only a few reports on AML.<sup>9</sup> For both situations, the results obtained are controversial and require further investigation to confirm or clarify the data obtained. Furthermore, as far as we know, there have been no studies on the possible relationship of these polymorphisms with gender. Such an interaction could explain the unequal risk of AL observed between the sexes.

To clarify these issues we conducted a case-control study to analyze the influence of the genetic polymorphisms *CYP1A1\*2A*, *CYP2E1\*5B*, *CYP3A4\*1B*, *del{GSTT1}*, *del{GSTM1}*, *NQO1\*2*, *MTHFR C677T*, and *TYMS 2R/3R* on susceptibility to *de novo* leukemia and to analyze their possible relationships with gender.

# **Design and Methods**

#### **Patients and subjects**

We performed a case-control study including 443 patients with AL (302 with AML and 141 with ALL) and a control group composed of 454 individuals without leukemia. The AL samples were from the La Fe hospital and from other Spanish hospitals. The samples from La Fe hospital were collected sequentially between 1992 and 2005 from patients at the time of diagnosis. Samples from the other Spanish hospitals were not sequential (stored DNA or cellular samples kept frozen). The diagnosis of AML was made in accordance with morphological and cytochemical criteria of the French-American-British (FAB) classification.<sup>10</sup> The diagnosis of ALL was based on immunophenotypic criteria. The main characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Here we studied AML and ALL separately, but grouped together all cases of infant, childhood, and adult AL because we did not find any statistical differences in the incidence of any the polymorphisms between patients aged 16 years or younger (infant and childhood AL) and those older than 16 (adult AL).

The control group consisted of volunteers who had attended the hospital for blood sampling for biochemistry and/or hematologic analyses and who were willing to participate in the study. Subjects with any hematologic or other malignancy were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and controls in accordance with the recommendations of the Declaration of Human Rights, at the Conference of Helsinki, and also in compliance with institutional regulations (the hospital ethics committee).

# Samples and DNA extraction

Venous blood samples were collected from control subjects, or from patients at diagnosis or in complete hematologic remission, into vacuum tubes containing EDTA.K3. The DNA was extracted directly from 500  $\mu$ L aliquots of whole blood using large volume MagNA Pure LC DNA Isolation kits (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) automatically in the MagNA Pure LC System (Roche).

# **Cytogenetic analysis**

Karyotype analysis was performed using unstimulated short-term cultures and described in accordance with the recommendations of the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN, 1995)." Whenever possible, at least 20 metaphases were evaluated. Cytogenetic risk groups were defined by karyotype as follows: *high risk*, -5/del(5q), -7/del(7q), abn 3q, complex aberrations ( $\geq$ 3 independent aberrations), t(9;22), and t(6;9); *low risk*, t(8;21), t(15;17), and inv(16); *intermediate risk*, all other karyotypic aberrations or a normal karyotype.

#### Genotyping of polymorphisms

We studied the genetic polymorphisms CYP1A1\*2A, CYP2E1\*5B, CYP3A4\*1B, del{GSTT1}, del{GSTM1}, NQO1\*2, MTHFR C677T, and TYMS 2R/3R. Most detection methods used were based on real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performed in a LightCycler (Roche) using primers and fluorescence-labeled hybridization probes, as described below. Genotyping was based on different melting temperatures achieved by the hybridization probes for wild-type and polymorphic alleles. Thus, CYP1A1\*2A was detected as described by Harth et al.,<sup>12</sup> CYP3A4\*1B as described by Von Ahsen et al.,13 CYP2E1\*5B as described by Choi et al.,<sup>14</sup> and NQO1\*2 as described by Harth et al.<sup>15</sup> For the detection of MTHFR C677T we followed the method recommended by Roche (Applied Science), using the primers MTHFR\_s (cgaagcaggagctttgaggctg) and MTHFR\_as (aggacggtgcggtgagagtg) and the hybridization probes MTHFR\_LC labeled with Red640 at the 5' end (LC Red640-cgggagccgatttcatcat-ph) and MTHFR 3FL (tgacctgaagcacttgaaggagaaggtgtc X) labeled with fluorescein. The *del[GSTT1]* and *del{GSTM1}* polymorphisms were detected following the conventional PCR method of Naoe et al.,<sup>16</sup> comprising a multiplex PCR that co-amplifies the target genes simultaneously with the  $\beta$ -globin gene used as a reference control gene. The TYMS 2R/3R polymorphism was detected using the conventional PCR method described by Villafranca et al.17

# **Statistical procedures**

 $\chi^2$  analysis with two-way contingency tables was used to test the association of polymorphisms with other qualitative variables or to check for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for each polymorphism in the control group. The influence of each polymorphism on the risk of AL was estimated by applying univariate or multivariate binary logistic regression, including gender and the genotypes of cases (AML or ALL) and controls and estimating the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the parameters included in the model. Errors generated by multiple testing were corrected using a sharpened step-up Hochberg's procedure to control the experimental error

| Table 1. Characteristics of the study groups.                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                    |                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                                                                                                            | Cas                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                    |                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variables                                                                                                  | AML<br>(n=302)<br>n (%)                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | ALL<br>(n=141)<br>n (%)                            | Control Group<br>(n=454)<br>n (%)                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age*<br>n;median (range)                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                    |                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq$ 1 year<br>>1 year and $\leq$ 16 years<br>>16 years and $\leq$ 60 years<br>> 60 years                | $\begin{array}{ccccc} 3; \ 3(0.8\text{-}1) & 2; \ 2(1\text{-}1) \\ 32; \ 9(1.1\text{-}16) & 46; \ 7.5(2\text{-}16) \\ \text{s} & 187; \ 42(17\text{-}60) & 76; \ 33(17\text{-}59) \\ 80; \ 67(61\text{-}84) & 17; \ 66(61\text{-}82) \end{array}$ |                                                    | 3; 1(1-1)<br>48; 9(2-16)<br>336; 37(17-60)<br>67; 68(61-85) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender<br>Men<br>Women                                                                                     | 166 (54.9)<br>136 (45.0)                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 87 (61.7)<br>54 (38.2)                             | 223 (49.1)<br>231 (50.8)                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAB type                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Immunophenotype                                    |                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undiferentiated<br>Mixed<br>Biphenotypic<br>M0<br>M1<br>M2<br>M3<br>M4<br>M5<br>M6<br>M7<br>Not classified | $\begin{array}{c} 3 \ (0.95) \\ 1 \ (0.33) \\ 1 \ (0.33) \\ 11 \ (3.7) \\ 48 \ (15.9) \\ 48 \ (15.9) \\ 48 \ (15.9) \\ 107 \ (35.4) \\ 37 \ (12.3) \\ 29 \ (9.6) \\ 10 \ (3.3) \\ 4 \ (1.3) \\ 3 \ (1.0) \end{array}$                             | B-ALL<br>T-ALL<br>PreB-ALL<br>Ph <sup>*</sup> -ALL | 92 (65.2)<br>23 (16.3)<br>18 (12.7)<br>8 (5.6)              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cytogenetic risk<br>high<br>intermediate<br>low<br>not available                                           | 19 (6.3)<br>119 (39.4)<br>67 (22.2)<br>97 (32.1)                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 9 (6.3)<br>56 (39.7)<br>76 (53.9)                  |                                                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML: acute myeloblastic leukemia; \*at diagnosis of AML or ALL. B-ALL and T-ALL: patients with ALL who showed B antigens or T antigens, respectively. PreB-ALL: patients with ALL who showed B antigens and  $\mu$  chains. Pb'-ALL: patients with ALL who harbored the Philadelphia chromosome.

rate,<sup>18</sup> which fixed the limit of significance for two-sided *p*-values at 0.038. To eliminate the effects of empty cells, we computed the OR adding 0.5 to each cell according to Cox *et al.*<sup>19</sup> All analyses were performed using the software package Statistical Program for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 12.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

# Results

#### Polymorphisms and demographic characteristics

We verified that the genotypic frequencies of polymorphisms in the control group complied with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. We did not find any statistical differences in the incidence of genotypes among defined age groups (<18, 18–40, 41–50, 51–60 and >60 years). We did not find any statistical differences in the genotypic frequencies when stratified by gender for the three study groups (controls, ALL, and AML).

# Polymorphisms and characteristics of the AML group

Stratification of the AML group by age at diagnosis, gender, FAB subtype, or cytogenetic risk revealed no differences in genotype frequencies. Females with the M2 FAB subtype showed twice the incidence of the  $del\{GSTM1\}$  polymorphism compared with males with the same FAB subtype (59.1% and 21.7%, respectively,  $\chi^2=6.5$ , p=0.01). We also observed that the *CYP2E1\*5B* polymorphism was significantly more frequent in patients with the M3 FAB subtype than in those with non-M3 FAB subtypes (11.2% vs. 2.8%,  $\chi^2=9.0$ , p=0.01).

#### Polymorphisms and risk of AML

The del{*GSTT1*} polymorphism was more prevalent in patients with AML than in the control group (25.6% vs. 13.7%, OR=2.2, 95% CI=1.5–3.2, *p*<0.001; Table 2).

We did not find any statistical difference in the incidence of the  $NQO1^{*2}$  polymorphism between AML patients and the control group. However, we found a higher incidence of the homozygous (hom.) genotype in males with the M3 FAB subtype than in males of the control group [8.6% (5/58) vs. 2.2% (5/219), ORhom.=4.9, 95% CI=1.3-18.1, p=0.02; Figure 1]. Conversely, there was no significant statistical difference in the incidence of the  $NQO1^{*2}$  polymorphism for the M3 FAB subtype among females. This difference in the pattern of inci-



Figure 1. The NQ01\*2 polymorphism in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) subtype M3 of the French-American-British (FAB) classification and the control group (CG). Blank area, homozygous genotypes; dashed area, heterozygous genotypes; dotted area, negative. ORhom. shows the odds ratio for homozygous genotypes; CI: confidence interval; n.s.: not significant.

dence of the *NQO1\*2* polymorphism between the sexes showed statistically significant interactions 95% CI=1.2-8.2.  $(OR_{het.*male}=3.1.$ p = 0.02and ORhom.\*male=8.8, 95% CI=1.2-65.7, p=0.03). When all statistically significant parameters detected in the univariate logistic regression (del{GSTT1}, NQO1\*2, sex and  $NQO1^{*2*}_{sex}$ ) were included in the multivariate logistic regression model for the entire group of patients with AML (n=274) and the control group (n=438), the *del{GSTT1}* (OR=1.9, 95% CI=1.3–2.9, *p*=0.001) and the

Table 2. Genotype frequencies of the polymorphisms and odds ratio for acute myeloblastic and lymphoblastic leukemia.

| Polymorphisms<br>Genotypes      | Control<br>group<br>n/total<br>(%) | AML<br>n/total<br>(%)    | OR<br>(95% CI)                       | p<br>value | ALL<br>n/total<br>(%)   | OR<br>(95% CI)                       | p<br>value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| CYP1A1*2A                       |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| Heterozygous                    | 84/403                             | 31/199                   | 0.69                                 | n.s.       | 13/92                   | 0.62                                 | n.s.       |
| Homozygous                      | (20.8)<br>2/403<br>(0.5)           | (15.6)<br>0/199<br>(0.0) | (0.43-1.09)<br>0.40*<br>(0.02-8.42)  | n.s.       | (14.1)<br>0/92<br>(0.0) | (0.32-1.17)<br>0.87*<br>(0.04-18.23) | n.s.       |
| CYP2F1*5B                       |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| Heterozygous                    | 23/390                             | 13/225                   | 0.96                                 | n.s.       | 8/118                   | 0.86                                 | n.s.       |
| Homozygous                      | (5.9)<br>0/390<br>(0.0)            | (5.8)<br>1/225<br>(0.4)  | (0.48–1.98)<br>5.21*<br>(0.21-128.5) | n.s.       | (6.8)<br>0/118<br>(0.0) | (0.37–1.98)<br>Undefined             |            |
| CYP3A4*1B                       |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| Heterozygous                    | 29/357                             | 14/222                   | 0.77                                 | n.s.       | 6/128                   | 0.56                                 | n.s.       |
| Цототибоно                      | (8.1)                              | (6.3)                    | (0.39-1.48)                          |            | (4.7)                   | (0.23-1.38)                          |            |
| nomozygous                      | (0.0)                              | (0.5)                    | (0.20-119.3)                         | 11.5.      | (0.8)                   | (0.34-207.68)                        | 11.S.<br>) |
| <i>del{GSTM1}</i><br>Homozygous | 232/451<br>(51.4)                  | 143/295<br>(48.5)        | 5 0.88<br>(0.66–1.191)               | n.s.       | 64/140<br>(45.7)        | 0.79<br>(0.54-1.16)                  | n.s.       |
| <i>del{GSTT1}</i><br>Homozygous | 61/455<br>(13.7)                   | 74/289<br>(25.6)         | 2.17<br>(1.48-3.16)                  | <0.001     | 36/141<br>(25.5)        | 2.15<br>(1.35-3.43)                  | 0.001      |
| NQ01*2                          |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| Heterozygous                    | 160/447                            | 94/273                   | 0.96                                 | n.s.       | 41/120                  | 1.05                                 | n.s.       |
| Homozvenus                      | (35.8)<br>19/447                   | (34.4)                   | (0.71-1.33)                          | ns         | (34.2)                  | (0.68-1.63)                          | 0.003      |
| 11011102/2000                   | (4.3)                              | (5.9)                    | (0.69–2.77)                          |            | (11.7)                  | (1.44-6.37)                          | 0.000      |
| MTHFR C677T                     |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| Heterozygous                    | 160/331                            | 80/163                   | 0.98                                 | n.s.       | 53/117                  | 0.72                                 | n.s.       |
| Homozydous                      | (48.3)<br>65/331                   | (49.1)<br>29/162         | (U.64-1.50)<br>0.87                  | nç         | (45.3)<br>15/117        | (0.45-1.13)<br>0 <i>1</i> 9          | 0 038      |
| nomozygous                      | (19.6)                             | (17.8)                   | (0.51-1.51)                          | 11.3.      | (12.8)                  | (0.26-0.96)                          | (n.s.)     |
| TYMS                            |                                    |                          |                                      |            |                         |                                      |            |
| 2R3R                            | 162/347                            | 94/169                   | 1.19                                 | n.s.       | 42/108                  | 0.77                                 | n.s.       |
| 2020                            | (46.7)                             | (55.6)                   | (0.73-1.94)                          | nc         | (38.9)                  | (0.43-1.37)                          | nc         |
| JUDI                            | (33.7)                             | (24.9)                   | (0.43-1.27)                          | 11.3.      | (39.8)                  | (0.60-1.95)                          | 11.3.      |

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML, acute myeloblastic leukemia; n.s.: not significant. (n.s.) limit of significance. \*The adjusted odds ratio (OR) was computed by adding 0.5 to each cell to eliminate empty cells. interaction  $NQO1^*2_{het^{male}}$  (OR=3.6, 95% CI=1.2–10.9, p=0.02) were the only independent parameters that conferred an increased risk of leukemia.

When we introduced polymorphisms stratified by gender into the multivariate logistic regression, we found that  $NQO1^{*2hom}$  (OR=3.4, 95% CI=1.1–10.2, p=0.03) was the only independent risk factor among males (control group=214 and AML=151), whereas del[GSTT1] (OR=2.3, 95% CI=1.3–3.9, p=0.005) was the only independent risk factor for females (control group=224 and AML=123).

#### Polymorphisms and susceptibility to ALL

Stratification of patients with ALL by age at diagnosis, gender, B- or T-cell lineage, or cytogenetic risk revealed no statistically significant differences in genotype frequencies. We found a higher incidence of the del{GSTT1} in patients with ALL than in the control group (25.5% vs. 13.7%, OR=2.2, p=0.001; Table 2). This difference was mainly because of males with ALL, who showed a 24.5% (24/87) incidence of the polymorphism compared with a 13.7% (30/218) incidence among the males in the control group (OR=2.4, p=0.005; Figure 2). Likewise, we observed a higher incidence of the NQO1\*2100. in patients with ALL than in the control group (11.7% vs. 4.3%, OR<sub>hom.</sub>=3.0, *p*=0.003; Table 2). This statistical difference was because 12.5% (9/72) of the males with ALL were homozygous for this polymorphism compared to only 2.2% (5/219) of the control group (OR<sub>hom.=6.1</sub>, p=0.002; Figure 3). This difference was not, however, observed in females.

For *MTHFR C677T*, we observed a lower incidence of homozygosity among the ALL patients than among the control group (12.8% vs. 19.6%, OR=0.5, p=0.038), although this difference only just reached statistical significance.

When polymorphisms found to have statistical significance by univariate logistic regression, (*del*[*GSTT1*] and *NQO1\*2*), were introduced into a multivariate logistic model, *NQO1\*2* was the only independent risk factor for ALL (*OR<sub>NQO1\*2hom</sub>*=3.2, 95% CI=1.5–6.7, p=0.003). When these polymorphisms were stratified by gender, the *NQO1\*2hom*. genotype was the only independent risk factor for ALL in males (72 ALL and 214 control group; OR=5.9, 95% CI=1.8–18.8, p=0.002). However, we could not find any polymorphism with statistical significance for risk among females (48 ALL and 224 control group).

#### Discussion

We found a higher incidence of the *del[GSTT1]* polymorphism among patients with AML than among controls, which is consistent with previous reports.<sup>2021</sup> In contrast to Smith *et al.*,<sup>22</sup> in the present study we found









no association of the  $NQO1^{*2}$  polymorphism with an increased risk of AML. However, males with the M3 FAB subtype who were homozygous for the  $NQO1^{*2}$  polymorphism showed an increased risk of AML, an effect not manifest in females. Although as far as we know this association has not been reported previously, there are supporting data. Reynolds *et al.*<sup>23</sup> reported that the myeloperoxidase (*MPO*) polymorphism *MPO\*2*, consisting of a G–642A variation in the promoter region of *MPO*, was overrepresented in patients with the AML-M3 and M4 FAB subtypes. Furthermore, the *MPO\*2* genotype enhances MPO expression and cooperates with  $NQO1^{*2}$  homozygosity, increasing susceptibility to benzene poisoning.<sup>24</sup>

The statistical results obtained here for patients with AML mainly represent the two thirds of patients who were under 30 or over 50 years of age. Cartwright *et al.*<sup>25</sup> reported a clear predominance of AML among males in these age ranges, whereas the disease was more prevalent among women aged between 30 and 50 years. Thus, the greater susceptibility to AML conferred by  $NQO1^{*2}$  in males and the interaction between genders for the incidence of  $NQO1^{*2}$  in M3 FAB that we found here may help explain the higher male-linked risk of leukemia.

In contrast with other reports, <sup>26-30</sup> we found here that the *del*{*GSTT1*} genotype was associated with an increased risk of ALL. This discrepancy could be explained in part by differences in the age of the study populations: the subjects in the present study were mostly adults whereas in the other studies they were mostly children. Moreover, the higher incidence of the del{GSTT1} polymorphism we found in our study was mainly among males with ALL. Our results for the NQO1\*2 polymorphism in patients with ALL were concordant with the data for patients with the M3 FAB subtype. Thus, the presence of the *NQO1\*2* polymorphism increased the risk of ALL only in males, but did not modify it in females. The increased risk of ALL conferred by NQO1\*2 homozygosity found in this study is in agreement with some studies carried out in children with ALL;<sup>31-33</sup> however, other reports did not support these results.<sup>34,35</sup>

The statistical results obtained here for patients with ALL are based mainly on subjects older than 10 years (100/141; 71%). For this age group, Cartwright *et al.*<sup>25</sup> reported a clear predominance of ALL among males, whereas the incidence of ALL in children was similar in

the two series. In this regard, our findings of the increased risk of ALL in males conferred by the del{GSTT1} polymorphism and, in particular, by the NQO1\*2 polymorphism, provides a genetic basis for the higher incidence of ALL reported in males. Because there is no current environmental hypothesis to explain the higher incidence of AL in males, it could be hypothesized that females are genetically better protected than males against environmental and toxic agents that cause AL. In this regard, a study carried out among Malaysians<sup>36</sup> suggested the presence of a gene located near the ABO locus on chromosome 9, which could protect women with a group O blood type against AL. Additionally, several results suggest a possible role of sex steroids in the control of the proliferation of leukemic cells. For example, it has been reported that the antiproliferative effect of  $17-\beta$  estradiol on the human monoblastic cell line U937 is more powerful than that of testosterone.<sup>37</sup> Furthermore, the distinct effects of the polymorphism in the sexes might also explain the poorer treatment response observed in boys with ALL than in girls with ALL.<sup>38</sup>

Our data also support the importance of NQO1\*2 homozygosity and the *del{GSTT1}* genotype in increased susceptibility to AL, which is particularly evident in males. This association suggests that gender might influence the risk of AL when associated with genetic polymorphisms in drug-metabolizing enzymes. Furthermore, the interaction of gender with such polymorphisms could contribute to a better understanding of the higher incidence of AL in males. However, the relevance of gender as a modifier of the risk of developing leukemia clearly requires further experimental study.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

PB was the principal investigator and takes primary responsibility for the paper. MC performed the laboratory assays. MAS and MJC contributed to the conception and design of the study, and to the statistical analysis. EB performed the statistical analysis and interpreted the results. PB and MAS wrote the manuscript. DC, JC and JRG contributed to the revision and scientific review of the manuscript. The order of the authorship was a joint decision by the authors. All authors approved the final version of this article. JC and MJC prepared Table 1, and MC prepared Table 2; the figures were prepared by EB.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors reported no potential conflicts of interest.

P. Bolufer et al.

#### References

- Löwenberg B, Downing JR, Burnet A. Acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2003;341:1051-61.
- Netter Hyerola leukenna. Nehgi J Med 2003;341:1051-61.
   Ross JA, Davies SM, Potter JD, Robison LL. Epidemiology of childhood leukemia, with focus on infants. Epidemiol Rev 1994; 16:243-72.
- 3. Pui CH. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children. Curr Opin Oncol 2000;12:3-12.
- Henderson ES. Acute leukemia: general considerations. In: Williams WJ, Beutler E, Erslev AJ, Lichtman MA, eds. Hematology, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1990. p. 237.
   Bowen DT, Frew ME, Rollinson S, Roddam PL, Dring A, Smith MT, et al. CVP1 A1\*2B (Vol) alda is our remerant.
- Bowen DT, Frew ME, Rollinson S, Roddam PL, Dring A, Smith MT, et al. CYP1A1\*2B (Val) allele is overrepresented in a subgroup of acute myeloid leukemia patients with poor-risk karyotype associated with NRAS mutation, but not associated with FLT3 internal tandem duplication. Blood 2003;101:2770-4.
- 6. Birch JM. Genes and cancer. Arch Dis Child 1999;80:1-6.
- 7. Ingelman-Sundberg M. Genetic susceptibility to adverse effects of drugs and environmental toxicants. The role of the CYP family of enzymes. Mutat Res 2001;482:11-9.
- Traver RD, Horikoshi T, Danenberg KD, Stadlbauer TH, Danenberg PV, Ross D, et al. NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase gene expression in human colon carcinoma cells: characterization of a mutation which modulates DT-diaphorase activity and mitomycin sensitivity. Cancer Res 1992;52:797-802.
- Bolufer P, Barragan E, Collado M, Cervera J, Lopez JA, Sanz MA. Influence of genetic polymorphisms on the risk of developing leukemia and on disease progression. Leuk Res 2006;30:1471-91.
- Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, et al. Proposed revised criteria for the classification of acute myeloid leukemia. A report of the French-American-British Cooperative group. Br J Haematol 1976;33:451-8.
- 11. Mitelman F, ed. ISCN 1995: An international System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature 1995. Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger. 1995.
- Harth V, Bruning T, Abel J, Koch B, Berg I, Sachinidis A, et al. Real-time genotyping of cytochrome P4501A1 A4889G and T6235C polymorphisms. Mol Cell Probes 2001; 15: 937.
- von Ahsen N, Richter M, Grupp C, Ringe B, Oellerich M, Armstrong VW. No influence of the MDR-1 C3435T polymorphism or a CYP3A4 promoter polymorphism (CYP3A4-V Allele) on dose-adjusted cyclosporin A trough concentrations or rejection incidence in stable renal transplant recipients. Clin Chem 2001; 47:1048-52.
- Choi JY, Abel J, Neuhaus T, Ko Y, Harth V, Hamajima N, et al. Role of alcohol and genetic polymorphisms of CYP2E1 and ALDH2 in breast can-

cer development. Pharmacogenetics 2003;13:67-72.

- Harth V, Donat S, Ko Y, Abel J, Vetter H, Bruning T. NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 codon 609 polymorphism and its association to colorectal cancer. Arch Toxicol 2000; 73: 528-31.
- 16. Naoe T, Takeyama K, Yokozawa T, Kiyoi H, Seto M, Uike N, et al. Analysis of genetic polymorphism in NQO1, GST-M1, GST-T1 and CYP3A4 in 469 Japanese patients with therapy-related leukemia/ myelodysplastic syndrome and de novo acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res 2000;6:4091-5.
- 17. Villafranca E, Okruzhnov Y, Dominguez MA, Garcia-Foncillas J, Azinovic I, Martinez E, et al. Polymorphisms of the repeated sequences in the enhancer region of the thymidylate synthase gene promoter may predict downstaging after preoperative chemoradiation in rectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 1779-86.
- Hochberg Y, Benjamini Y. More powerful procedures for multiple significance testing? Stat Med 1990; 9: 811-8.
- Cox DR, Snell EJ. Analysis of binary data. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition; London: Chapman and Hall. 1989.
- 20. Rollinson S, Roddam P, Kane E, Roman E, Cartwright R, Jack A, et al. Polymorphic variation within the glutathione S-transferase genes and risk of adult acute leukaemia. Carcinogenesis 2000;21:43-7.
- glutatinione S-ualisterase genes and risk of adult acute leukaemia. Carcinogenesis 2000;21:43-7.
  21. D'Alo F, Voso MT, Guidi F, Massini G, Scardocci A, Sica S, et al. Polymorphisms of CYP1A1 and glutathione S-transferase and susceptibility to adult acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica 2004; 89: 664-70.
- 22. Smith MT, Wang Y, Kane E, Rollinson S, Wiemels JL, Roman E, et al. Low NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 activity is associated with increased risk of acute leukemia in adults. Blood 2001;97:1422-6.
- Reynolds WF, Chang E, Douer D, Ball ED, Kanda V. An allelic association implicates myeloperoxidase in the etiology of acute promyelocytic leukemia. Blood 1997;90:2730-7.
- 24. Rothman N, Smith MT, Hayes RB, Traver RD, Hoener B, Campleman S, et al. Benzene poisoning, a risk factor for hematological malignancy, is associated with NQO1 609C→T variation and rapid functional excretion of chlorzoxazone. Cancer Res 1997;57:2839-42.
- 25. Cartwright RA, Gurney KA, Moorman AV. Sex ratios and the risks of haematological malignancies. Br J Haematol 2002;118:1071-7.
- Chen CL, Liu Q, Pui CH, Rivera GK, Sandlund JT, Ribeiro R, et al. Higher frequency of glutathione S-transferase deletions in black children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 1997;99:1701-7.
- Krajinović M, Labuda D, Richer C, Karimi S, Sinnett D. Susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Influence of CYP1A1, CYP2D6, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genet-

ic polymorphisms. Blood 1999; 93: 1496-501.

- 28. Balta G, Yuksek N, Ozyurek E, Ertem U, Hicsonmez G, Altay C, et al. Characterization of MTHFR, GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1 and CYP1A1 genotypes in childhood acute leukemia. Am J Hematol 2003; 73:154-60.
- Alves S, Amorim A, Ferreira F, Norton L, Prata MJ. The GSTM1 and GSTT1 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children from north Portugal. Leukemia 2002;16:1565-7.
- Davies SM, Bhatia S, Ross JA, Kiffmeyer WR, Gaynon PS, Radloff GA, et al. Glutathione S-transferase genotypes, genetic susceptibility and outcome of therapy in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 2002;100:67-71.
- 31. Smith MT, Wang Y, Skibola CF, Slater DJ, Lo Nigro L, Nowell PC, et al. Low NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase activity is associated with increased risk of leukemia with MLL translocations in infants and children. Blood 2002;100:4590-3.
- 32. Wiemels JL, Pagnamenta A, Taylor GM, Eden OB, Alexander FE, Greaves MF. A lack of a functional NAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase allele is selectively associated with pediatric leukemias that have MLL fusions. Cancer Res 1999;59:4095-9.
- 33. Lanciotti M, Dufour C, Corral L, Di Michele P, Pigullo S, De Rossi G, et al. Genetic polymorphism of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase is associated with an increased risk of infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia without MLL gene rearrangements. Leukemia 2005;19:214-6.
- Eguchi-Ishimae M, Eguchi M, Ishii E, Knight D, Sadakane Y, Isoyama K, et al. The association of a distinctive allele of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase with pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemias with MLL fusion genes in Japan. Haematologica 2005;90:1511-5.
   Kracht T, Schrappe M, Strehl S,
- 55. Kracht T, Schrappe M, Strehl S, Reiter A, Elsner H-A, Trka J, et al. NQO1 C609T polymorphism in distinct entities of pediatric hematologic neoplasms. Haematologica 2004; 89:1492-7.
- 36. Jackson N, Menon BS, Zarina W, Zawawi N, Naing NN. Why is acute leukemia more common in males? A possible sex-determined risk linked to the ABO blood group genes. Ann Hematol 1999;78:233-6.
- Mossuz P, Cousin F, Castinel A, Chauvet M, Sotto MF, Polack B, et al. Effects of two sex steroids (17 beta estradiol and testosterone) on proliferation and clonal growth of the human monoblastic leukemia cell line, U937. Leuk Res 1998; 22:1063-72.
- Shuster JJ, Wacker P, Pullen J, Humbert J, Land VJ, Mahoney DH, et al. Prognostic significance of sex in childhood B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:2854-63.