

# ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'É.N.S.

JYOICHI KANEKO

***q-Selberg integrals and Macdonald polynomials***

*Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 4<sup>e</sup> série, tome 29, n° 5 (1996), p. 583-637*

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS\\_1996\\_4\\_29\\_5\\_583\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1996_4_29_5_583_0)>

© Gauthier-Villars (Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier), 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ansens>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

# *q*-SELBERG INTEGRALS AND MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS

BY JYOICHI KANEKO

ABSTRACT. — We consider a Jackson integral with special integrand (*q*-Selberg integral) and give an explicit formula of a system of *q*-difference equations satisfied by it. We also define a kind of hypergeometric function having series expansions in terms of Macdonald polynomials and show that this function satisfies a *q*-difference equation formed by summing up equations of the *q*-difference system above after multiplying each by a suitable factor. We can thus conclude the *q*-Selberg integral to be the hypergeometric function in our sense. This implies, in particular, the *q*-integration formula of Macdonald polynomials due to Kadell [Kad2]. These results reproduce our previous ones [Kan2] if we put  $q = t^\alpha$  and let  $t \rightarrow 1$ .

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give *q*-analogues of our previous results in [Kan2]. Fix  $q$  with  $0 < q < 1$  and set  $(x)_\infty = (x; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^i)$  and  $(x)_a = (x; q)_a = (x)_\infty / (xq^a)_\infty$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  put

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{\alpha+(j-1)(1-2\gamma)} \frac{(qt_j)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_j)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} f(x, t)$$

$$(1.2) \quad f(x, t) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{(x_i t_j)_\infty}{(q^\mu x_i t_j)_\infty}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_0(t) = \Phi(t) D(t)$$

where  $D(t) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - t_j)$ .

For  $\xi \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  we put  $[0, \xi_\infty]_q = \{(q^{s_1} \xi_1, \dots, q^{s_n} \xi_n) \mid (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ . The Jackson integral of a function  $f$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  over  $[0, \xi_\infty]_q$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \tilde{\omega} &= (1-q)^n \sum_{s_i \in \mathbb{Z}} f(\xi_1 q^{s_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{s_n}) \\ \tilde{\omega} &= \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} \end{aligned}$$

provided it exists. Similarly, the integral over  $[0, \xi] = [0, \xi_1] \times \cdots \times [0, \xi_n]$  is defined by

$$\int_{[0, \xi]} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \tilde{\omega} = (1-q)^n \sum_{s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} f(\xi_1 q^{s_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{s_n}).$$

We consider the integral

$$(1.4) \quad {}_q S_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x_1, \dots, x_m; \xi) \quad ({}_q S_{n,m}(x) \text{ for short}) = \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi_0(t) \tilde{\omega}.$$

Write  $q^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{q^k; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We assume the following condition which assures that  $\Phi(t)$  has no poles on  $[0, \xi \infty]_q$ .

$$(C_1) \quad \begin{cases} q^{\gamma} \xi_j / \xi_i \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \text{ or } 2\gamma - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}; \\ q^{\beta} \xi_j \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ or } \beta - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}; \\ q^{\mu} x_i \xi_j \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

For convergence of the integral we assume also

$$(C_2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} \alpha + n - 1 > 4(n-1)\max\{\operatorname{Re} \gamma, 0\}, \\ \operatorname{Re} \alpha + n - 1 + \operatorname{Re} \beta - 1 + m\operatorname{Re} \mu < -2(n-1)|\operatorname{Re} \gamma|. \end{cases}$$

For the proof of convergence under the conditions  $(C_1), (C_2)$ , see the Appendix A.

One of our main results (Theorem 4.11) states that if  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$ , then  ${}_q S_{n,m}(x)$  has an explicit series expansion in terms of  $A$ -type Macdonald polynomials [Ma2] (in the case  $\mu = -\gamma$ , we need to choose  $\xi = \xi_F := (1, q^{\gamma}, \dots, q^{(n-1)\gamma})$ ). This precisely corresponds to our previous result that  $S_{n,m}(x) := \lim_{q=t^{\alpha}, t \rightarrow 1} {}_q S_{n,m}(x)$  has an explicit series expansion in terms of Jack polynomials [Kan2, Theorem 5, p. 1106] (see also [Ko]).

In the case  $f(x, t) \equiv 1 (m = 0)$ ,  ${}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi)$  has been evaluated by K. Aomoto in [Ao2] (cf. also [Ao3]):

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi) \\ &= q^{\frac{n(n-1)^2}{2}\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j^{\alpha-2(j-1)\gamma} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_j q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-1)\gamma}) \vartheta(q^{\beta+(j-1)\gamma}) \vartheta(q^{j\gamma})}{\vartheta(q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-j)\gamma}) \vartheta(\xi_j q^{\beta}) \vartheta(q^{\gamma})} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_j / \xi_i)}{\vartheta(q^{\gamma} \xi_j / \xi_i)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + n - 1 - (n+j-2)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\beta + (j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n - 1 - (n-j)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\vartheta(x)$  denotes the Jacobi elliptic theta function  $(x)_{\infty}(q/x)_{\infty}(q)_{\infty}$  and  $\Gamma_q(x)$  denotes the  $q$ -gamma function  $(1-q)^{1-x}(q)_{\infty}/(q^x)_{\infty}$ . We notice that when  $n = 1$ , this integral is

nothing but the Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  sum [As1]. In Appendix B we shall give a self-contained proof of (1.5) based on  $q$ -difference equation (the  $m = 1$  case of Theorem 4.11). If  $\xi = \xi_F$ , one can simplify the formula (1.5) to get

$$(1.6) \quad {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F) \\ = q^{A_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + n - 1 - (n + j - 2)\gamma)\Gamma_q(\beta + (j - 1)\gamma)\Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n - 1 - (n - j)\gamma)\Gamma_q(\gamma)},$$

where  $A_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha - 2(j - 1)\gamma + n - 1)(j - 1)\gamma$ . If  $\gamma$  is equal to a positive integer  $k$ , (1.6) reduces to the Askey-Habsieger-Kadell's formula [As, H, Kad1] (see Proposition 5.2) :

$$\int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^x \frac{(qt_j)_\infty}{(q^y t_j)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_i^{2k} \left( q^{1-k} \frac{t_j}{t_i} \right)_{2k} \tilde{\omega} \\ = q^{kx(\frac{n}{2}) + 2k^2(\frac{n}{3})} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(x + (n - j)k)\Gamma_q(y + (n - j)k)\Gamma_q(1 + jk)}{\Gamma_q(x + y + (2n - j - 1)k)\Gamma_q(1 + k)},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are identified with  $x + (n - 1)(2k - 1)$  and  $y$  respectively.

In Section 2 we show that, when  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$ ,  ${}_qS_{n,m}(x)$  satisfies a system of  $q$ -difference equations (Theorem 2.3, (2.26)). This system tends to the holonomic system of differential equations in [Kan2, (9), p. 1088] when  $q \rightarrow 1$ . In Section 3 we define a kind of  $q$ -hypergeometric function  ${}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  using  $A$ -type Macdonald polynomials. By setting  $q = t^\alpha$  and  $t \rightarrow 1$ ,  ${}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(x)$  reduces to the hypergeometric function  ${}_rF_s^{(\alpha)}(x)$  defined by using Jack polynomials [Kan2, Ko]. We notice that  ${}_2F_1^{(\alpha)}$  is a special case of  $BC$ -type hypergeometric function of Heckman-Opdam [BO]. In Section 4 we prove that  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x)$  satisfies a  $q$ -difference equation formed by summing up equations of (2.26) multiplied each by a suitable factor. Therefore the uniqueness properties of solutions of this summed-up equation assures us that  ${}_qS_{n,m}(x)$  with  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$  is nothing but  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x)$  if we adjust  $(q, t)$  and  $a, b, c$  suitably (Theorem 4.11). As a consequence we obtain an  $q$ -integration formula of Macdonald polynomials (Theorem 5.1). In the special case that  $\xi = \xi_F$  and  $\gamma = k$ , a positive integer, this was conjectured and proved by Kadell [Kad2] in a different way from ours (though some details of the proof have been omitted in our copy of [Kad2]). This integration formula in turn gives explicit formulae of the values of  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x)$  at special points (Proposition 5.4). In a separate paper [Kan3] we shall show that Theorem 4.11 implies the constant term identities due to Forrester, Zeilberger and Cooper [F, Z, C].

In a recent preprint [BC], Barsky and Carpentier have given a different proof of our previous result [Kan2, Theorem 5] by employing a new method of G. Anderson. It would be interesting to know whether their argument has  $q$ -analogous counterpart.

Part of the results of this paper were announced in [Kan1]. The author thanks Prof. K. Aomoto for inspiring discussions and the referee for helpful remarks and suggestions.

## 2. $q$ -difference system

2.1. Let  $T_{q,t_i} = T_i$  denote the  $q$ -shift operator on the  $i$ -th coordinate:  $T_i\varphi(t) = \varphi(t_1, \dots, qt_i, \dots, t_n)$  and set

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial_q t_i} = \frac{(T_i - 1)\varphi}{(q - 1)t_i}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi\psi)}{\partial_q t_i} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial_q t_i}\psi + T_i\varphi\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial_q t_i}$$

which will be of frequent use. Put  $b_i(t) = T_i\Phi(t)/\Phi(t)$ . In particular

$$b_1(t) = q^\alpha \frac{1 - q^\beta t_1}{1 - qt_1} \prod_{j=2}^n \frac{t_1 - q^{-\gamma} t_j}{t_1 - q^{\gamma-1} t_j} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1 - q^\mu x_k t_1}{1 - x_k t_1}.$$

Define the covariant  $q$ -difference operator  $\nabla_i$  by

$$\nabla_i\varphi(t) = \varphi(t) - b_i(t)T_i\varphi(t).$$

Clearly

$$\int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi(t)\varphi(t)\tilde{\omega} = \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} T_i(\Phi(t)\varphi(t))\tilde{\omega},$$

provided the integral is convergent. Hence we have

$$\int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi(t)\nabla_i\varphi(t)\tilde{\omega} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group of degree  $n$  and for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  define

$$(\sigma\varphi)(t) = \varphi(t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Put

$$U_\sigma(t) = \sigma\Phi(t)/\Phi(t).$$

Then we have

$$U_\sigma(t) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \sigma^{-1}(i) > \sigma^{-1}(j)}} \left(\frac{t_j}{t_i}\right)^{2\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)}{\vartheta(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)}.$$

By using  $\vartheta(qx) = -1/x \vartheta(x)$  one can easily verify that  $T_i U_\sigma(t) = U_\sigma(t)$  for every  $i$ . We assert that

$$\int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi(t)\sigma(\nabla_1\varphi(t))\tilde{\omega} = 0$$

provided the integral is convergent. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \sigma(\Phi(t)) \sigma(\nabla_1 \varphi(t)) \tilde{\omega} \\ &= U_\sigma(\xi) \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi(t) \sigma(\nabla_1 \varphi(t)) \tilde{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for the alternation  $\mathcal{A}\varphi = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \cdot (\sigma \varphi)$ , we obtain the fundamental

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi(t) \mathcal{A}(\nabla_1 \varphi(t)) \tilde{\omega} = 0.$$

For complex  $Q$  we shall denote by  ${}_Q D_n(t) = {}_Q D(t)$  the product  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - Qt_j)$ . The following lemma ([Kad1, (4.10), p. 976], cf. also [Ma1, chapter 3, (1.3)]) is crucial to our calculations.

LEMMA 2.1. – *Let  $M \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}\left(\prod_{j \in M} t_j {}_Q D(t)\right) = Q^{e(M)} \frac{(Q; Q)_{|M|} (Q; Q)_{n-|M|}}{(1-Q)^n} e_{|M|}(t) D(t)$$

where  $e(M) = |\{(i, j) | 1 \leq i < j \leq n, i \notin M, j \in M\}|$  and  $e_r(t)$  denotes the elementary symmetric function of degree  $r$ .

This lemma implies

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{M \in \{1, \dots, n\}, |M|=r} Q^{e(M)} = \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(Q; Q)_r (Q; Q)_{n-r}}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{M \in \{2, \dots, n\}, |M|=r} Q^{e(M)} = Q^r \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(Q; Q)_r (Q; Q)_{n-r-1}}.$$

LEMMA 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad &\mathcal{A}({}_Q D(t) \prod_{k=2}^n (1 - xt_k)) \\ &= D(t) \left\{ \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^{n-1}} (-x) \frac{d(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - xt_k))}{d_Q x} + \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1-Q)^n} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - xt_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}\left(\prod_{k=2}^n(t_1 - Q^{-1}t_k) \prod_{2 \leq h < k \leq n} (t_h - Qt_k) \prod_{k=2}^n(1 - xt_k)\right) \\ & = Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q;Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} D(t) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n(1 - xt_k) - \prod_{k=1}^n(Q - xt_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – From (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$\mathcal{A}(QD(t) \sum_{M \in \{2, \dots, n\}, |M|=r} \prod_{j \in M} t_j) = Q^r (1 - Q^{n-r}) \frac{(Q;Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} e_r(t) D(t),$$

from which follows (2.5). For the proof of (2.6), observe that

$$\text{LHS of (2.6)} = Q^{-(n-1)} \mathcal{A}(QD(t) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - xt_k)).$$

Then (2.6) follows at once since (2.2) and (2.3) imply

$$\mathcal{A}(QD(t) \sum_{M \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, |M|=r} \prod_{j \in M} t_j) = (1 - Q^{n-r}) \frac{(Q;Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} e_r(t) D(t).$$

Put

$$A_i(x_1, \dots, x_m; t) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}.$$

Expansion in partial fractions gives

$$(2.7) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j - tz}{x_j - z} = (1-t) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j A_j(x; t)}{x_j - z} + t^m.$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $1/z$  and  $m$  by  $m-1$ , we have also

$$(2.8) \quad \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - x_j z/t}{1 - x_j z} = (t-1)t^{1-m} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{A_j(x; t)(x_j - x_i)}{(1 - x_j z)(tx_j - x_i)} + t^{1-m}.$$

Specializing  $z$  suitably in (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(x; t) = \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - t}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m A_j(x; t) \frac{x_j - x_i}{tx_j - x_i} = \frac{1 - t^{m-1}}{1 - t}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m A_j(x; t) \frac{x_j}{tx_j - x_i} = \frac{A_i(x; t) - t^{m-1}}{1 - t}$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m A_j(x; t) \frac{x_i}{tx_j - x_i} = \frac{A_i(x; t) - 1}{1 - t}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.8) by  $(1 - x_i z)^{-1}$  and using

$$\frac{x_j - x_i}{(1 - x_i z)(1 - x_j z)} = \frac{x_j}{1 - x_j z} - \frac{x_i}{1 - x_i z}$$

and (2.12), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - x_i z} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - x_j z/t}{1 - x_j z} &= (t - 1)t^{1-m} \\ &\times \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{A_j(x; t)x_j}{(1 - x_j z)(tx_j - x_i)} + t^{1-m} \frac{A_i(x; t)}{1 - x_i z}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows  $Q$  stands for  $q^\gamma$ .

2.2. CASE OF  $\mu = 1$ . – In this case we see

$$f(x, t) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n} (1 - x_j t_k).$$

Put

$$\varphi_i(x, t) = \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - x_i t_1} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} Q D(t),$$

so that

$$b_1(t) T_1 \varphi_i(x, t) = q^{\alpha+n-1} \frac{1 - q^\beta t_1}{1 - x_i t_1} \prod_{k=2}^n (t_1 - Q^{-1} t_k) \prod_{2 \leq h < k \leq n} (t_h - Qt_k).$$

We want to calculate  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_i)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i)$ . Since

$$1 - t_1 = \frac{1 - x_i t_1}{x_i} + 1 - \frac{1}{x_i}$$

we see

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) &= \frac{1}{x_i} \mathcal{A} \left( \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} {}_Q D(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x_i} \right) \mathcal{A} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x_i t_1} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} {}_Q \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $t = q$  and  $z = t_1$  in (2.8) and (2.13) gives

$$(2.15) \quad \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} = (q-1)q^{1-m} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{A_j(x; q)(x_j - x_i)}{(1 - x_j t_1)(qx_j - x_i)} + q^{1-m}$$

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - x_i t_1} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} &= (q-1)q^{1-m} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{A_j(x; q)x_j}{(1 - x_j t_1)(qx_j - x_i)} \\ &\quad + q^{1-m} \frac{A_i(x; q)}{1 - x_i t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.15) and (2.16) into (2.14) and applying (2.5), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) &= \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1 - Q)^n} f(x, t) \\ &\quad + q^{1-m}(1 - q) \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1 - Q)^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j(x_j - 1)}{qx_j - x_i} A_j(x; q) \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial_Q x_j} \\ &\quad + q^{1-m} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1 - Q)^{n-1}} (1 - x_i) A_i(x; q) \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial_Q x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{Q, x_i} \left( \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) \right) &= \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1 - Q)^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{(1 - Q^n)}{1 - Q} \left( f(x, t) + (Q - 1)x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + q^{1-m}(1 - q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j(x_j - 1)}{qx_j - Qx_i} T_{Q, x_i}(A_j(x; q)) \\ &\quad \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_j} + (Q - 1)x_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial_Q x_i \partial_Q x_j} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + q^{1-m}(1 - Qx_i) T_{Q, x_i}(A_i(x; q)) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} + (Q - 1)x_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial_Q x_i^2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate  $\mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i)$ . Since

$$1 - q^\beta t_1 = q^\beta \frac{1 - x_i t_1}{x_i} + 1 - \frac{q^\beta}{x_i},$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) &= q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1} \frac{1}{x_i} \mathcal{A} \left( \prod_{k=2}^n (t_1 - Q^{-1} t_k) \prod_{2 \leq h < k \leq n} (t_h - t_k) \right) \\ &\quad + q^{\alpha+n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{q^\beta}{x_i} \right) \mathcal{A} \left( (1 - x_i t_1)^{-1} \prod_{k=2}^n (t_1 - Q^{-1} t_k) \prod_{2 \leq h < k \leq n} (t_h - t_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) &= q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1-Q)^n} \frac{f(x, t)}{x_i} \\ &\quad + q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^{n-1}} \\ &\quad \times \left( 1 - \frac{q^\beta}{x_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} (1 - x_j t_k) \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - x_i t_k) - \prod_{k=1}^n (Q - x_i t_k) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (2.18) \quad &T_{Q, x_i} \left( \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) \right) \\ &= q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1} Q^{-n} \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1-Q)^n} \frac{1}{x_i} \left( f(x, t) + (Q-1)x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} \right) \\ &\quad + q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^{n-1}} \left( 1 - \frac{q^\beta}{Q x_i} \right) \\ &\quad \left( (Q-1)x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} + (1-Q^n)f(x, t) \right) \\ &= q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1-Q^n}{1-Q} f(x, t) + (q^\beta Q^{n-1} - x_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from (2.1) that

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} T_{Q, x_i} (\Phi(t) \mathcal{A}(\nabla_1 \varphi(t))) \tilde{\omega} = 0.$$

Substituting (2.17) and (2.18) into (2.19), we arrive at ( $S = {}_q S_{n,m}(x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad 0 &= q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{-1} x_i (1 - Q x_i) T_{Q,x_i}(A_i(x; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q x_i^2} \\
 &\quad + q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} (1 - q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{Q^{-1} x_i x_j (1 - x_j)}{Q x_i - q x_j} T_{Q,x_i}(A_j(x; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q x_i \partial_Q x_j} \\
 &\quad + \left\{ \frac{q^{m-1} - c}{1 - Q} + \frac{1}{1 - Q} ((1 - a)(1 - b) q^{m-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (q^{m-1} - abQ)) q^{-\beta} Q x_i \right\} q^\beta Q^{-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_i} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - q}{1 - Q} \left\{ \frac{1 - T_{Q,x_i}(A_i(x; q))}{1 - q} (c - abQ q^{-\beta} Q x_i) q^\beta Q^{-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_i} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{-1} x_j (1 - x_j)}{Q x_i - q x_j} T_{Q,x_i}(A_j(x; q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_j} \right\} \\
 &\quad - \frac{(1 - a)(1 - b) q^{m-1}}{(1 - Q)^2} S
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = Q^{-n}, b = q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{n-1}, c = q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}.$$

Now we change the variables:

$$x_i = q^\beta Q^{-1} y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that

$$T_Q, x_i = T_Q, y_i, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial_Q x_i} = q^{-\beta} Q \frac{\partial}{\partial_Q y_i},$$

and

$$A_i(x; q) = A_i(y; q).$$

(2.20) is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad &y_i (c - abQ y_i) T_{Q,y_i}(A_i(y; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q y_i^2} + (1 - q) \\
 &\times \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{y_i y_j (c - aby_j)}{Q y_i - q y_j} T_{Q,y_i}(A_j(y; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q y_i \partial_Q y_j} \\
 &+ \left\{ \frac{q^{m-1} - c}{1 - Q} + \frac{1}{1 - Q} ((1 - a)(1 - b) q^{m-1} - (q^{m-1} - abQ)) y_i \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q y_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1-q}{1-Q} \left\{ \frac{1-T_{Q,y_i}(A_i(y; q))}{1-q} (c - abQy_i) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q y_i} \right. \\
 & - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{y_j(c - aby_j)}{Qy_i - qy_j} T_{Q,y_i}(A_j(y; q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q y_j} \Big\} \\
 & - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)q^{m-1}}{(1-Q)^2} S = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

2.3. CASE OF  $\mu = -\gamma$ . – In this case we have

$$f(x, t) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(x_j t_k)_\infty}{(Q^{-1} x_j t_k)_\infty}.$$

Put

$$\varphi_i(x, t) = \frac{1-t_1}{1-(qQ)^{-1}x_i t_1} {}_Q D(t),$$

so that

$$b_1(t) T_1 \varphi_i(x, t) = q^{\alpha+n-1} \frac{1-q^\beta t_1}{1-Q^{-1}x_i t_1} \prod_{k=2}^n \frac{t_1 - q^{-\gamma} t_k}{t_1 - q^\gamma t_k} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1 - Q^{-1} x_j t_1}{1 - x_j t_1} {}_Q D(t).$$

Then one can proceed in a similar way as in the case of  $\mu = 1$ . We have (we omit the details of calculation)

$$\frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) = \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1-Q)^n} \frac{qQ}{x_i} f(x, t) + \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} \left(1 - \frac{qQ}{x_i}\right) \left(T_{q^{-1}, x_i} f(x, t) - Q^n f(x, t)\right),$$

so that

$$(2.22) \quad T_{q, x_i} \left( \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) \right) = \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} \left\{ (1 - Q^n) f(x, t) + (q-1)Q(1 - Q^{n-1}x_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_i} \right\}.$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) \\
 & = q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{2-m-n} \left\{ Q^{m-1} \frac{(Q; Q)_n}{(1-Q)^n} f(x, t) \right. \\
 & - (1-q)Q^n \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} (q^\beta - x_i) A_i(x; Q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_i} \\
 & \left. - (1-q)Q^n \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j(q^\beta - x_j)}{x_i - Qx_j} A_j(x; Q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_j} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad & T_{q,x_i} \left( \frac{f(x,t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) \right) \\
 &= q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{2-m-n} \frac{(Q;Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} \left\{ (1-Q^n) Q^{m-1} \left( f(x,t) + (q-1)x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_i} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad - q(1-q) Q^n (q^{\beta-1} - x_i) T_{q,x_i}(A_i(x;Q)) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_i} + (q-1)x_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial_q x_i^2} \right) \\
 &\quad - (1-q) Q^n (1-Q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j (q^\beta - x_j)}{qx_i - Qx_j} T_{q,x_i}(A_j(x;Q)) \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_j} + (q-1)x_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.22) and (2.23) into (2.19) gives the equation corresponding to (2.20):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.24) \quad 0 = & q^{\alpha+n} Q x_i (q^{\beta-1} - x_i) T_{q,x_i}(A_i(x;Q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i^2} \\
 & + q^{\alpha+n-1} (1-Q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{Q x_i x_j (q^\beta - x_j)}{qx_i - Qx_j} T_{q,x_i}(A_j(x;Q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} \\
 & + \left\{ \frac{Q^m}{1-q} + \frac{Q^{m-1}}{1-q} (-Q^n - q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} + q^{\alpha+n-1} Q) x_i \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\
 & - \frac{q^{\alpha+n} Q}{1-q} (q^{\beta-1} - x_i) T_{q,x_i}(A_i(x;Q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\
 & - \frac{1-Q}{1-q} q^{\alpha+n-1} Q \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j (q^\beta - x_j)}{qx_i - Qx_j} T_{q,x_i}(A_j(x;Q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_j} \\
 & - \frac{(1-Q^n)(1-q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)}) Q^{m-1}}{(1-q)^2} S.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence changing variables as

$$x_i = Qy_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.25) \quad & y_i(c - abqy_i)) T_{q,y_i}(A_i(y;Q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q y_i^2} \\
 & + (1-Q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{y_i y_j (c - aby_j)}{qy_i - Qy_j} T_{q,y_i}(A_j(y;Q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q y_i \partial_q y_j}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\{ \frac{Q^{m-1} - c}{1-q} + \frac{1}{1-q} ((1-a)(1-b)Q^{m-1} - (Q^{m-1} - abq))y_i \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q y_i} \\
 & + \frac{1-Q}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{1 - T_{q,y_i}(A_i(y; Q))}{1-Q} (c - abqy_i) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q y_i} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{y_j(c - aby_j)}{qy_i - Qy_j} T_{q,y_i}(A_j(y; Q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q y_j} \right\} \\
 & - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)Q^{m-1}}{(1-q)^2} S = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = Q^n, \quad b = q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)}, \quad c = q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}.$$

We have thus proved

**THEOREM 2.3.** – Assume  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$ . Then  ${}_qS_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x; \xi)$  satisfies the following system of *q*-difference equations ( $T_i = T_{q,x_i}$ ).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.26) \quad & x_i(c - abqx_i)T_i(A_i(x; t)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i^2} \\
 & + (1-q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i x_j (c - abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} T_i(A_j(x; t)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} \\
 & + \left\{ \frac{t^{m-1} - c}{1-q} + \frac{1}{1-q} ((1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1} - (t^{m-1} - abq))x_i \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\
 & + \frac{1-t}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{1 - T_i(A_i(x; t))}{1-t} (c - abqx_i) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j(c - abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} T_i(A_j(x; t)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_j} \right\} \\
 & - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1}}{(1-q)^2} S = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,
 \end{aligned}$$

where if  $\mu = 1$ , then put  $(q, t) = (Q, q)$ ,  $a = Q^{-n}$ ,  $b = q^{-(\alpha+n-1)}Q^{n-1}$ ,  $c = q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $q^{-\beta}Qx_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $\mu = -\gamma$ , then put  $(q, t) = (q, Q)$ ,  $a = Q^n$ ,  $b = q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)}$ ,  $c = q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $Q^{-1}x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

*Remark.* – In the theorem above the change of variables means that we change only the variables of the *q*-difference equations. We do not change the variables of the unknown function  $S$ . The same remark applies also to the following Theorem 2.4 and 2.5.

2.4. VARIANTS. – One can calculate a system of  $q$ -difference equations satisfied by the integral  ${}_qS_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \mu; x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}; \xi)$  provided  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$  in the same way as in the case of Theorem 2.3. If  $\mu = 1$ , then put

$$\varphi_i(x, t) = \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - t_1/x_i} Q D(t).$$

We have

$$\frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) = \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1 - Q)^n} \left\{ (1 - Q^n)x_i f(x, t) + (1 - x_i)(T_{Q^{-1}, x_i} f(x, t) - Q^n f(x, t)) \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) \\ &= q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{1-n} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1 - Q)^n} \left\{ (1 - Q^n)f(x, t) + (1 - Q)Q^n x_i (1 - q^\beta x_i) A_i(x; q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - q)(1 - Q)Q^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i x_j (1 - q^\beta x_j)}{x_i - q x_j} A_j(x; q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_Q x_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.27) \quad 0 &= q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^2 x_i^2 (1 - q^\beta Q x_i) T_{Q, x_i} (A_i(x; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q x_i^2} \\ &+ q^{\alpha+n-1} (1 - q) Q^2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i^2 x_j (1 - q^\beta x_j)}{Q x_i - q x_j} T_{Q, x_i} (A_j(x; q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_Q x_i \partial_Q x_j} \\ &+ \frac{x_i}{1 - Q} \left\{ Q(Q^{n-1} - x_i) + q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} (1 - Q^n) \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_i} \\ &- \frac{q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^2}{1 - Q} x_i (1 - q^\beta Q x_i) T_{Q, x_i} (A_i(x; q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_i} \\ &- \frac{(1 - q)q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^2}{1 - Q} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i x_j (1 - q^\beta x_j)}{Q x_i - q x_j} T_{Q, x_i} (A_j(x; q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_Q x_j} \\ &+ \frac{(1 - Q^n)(1 - q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)})}{(1 - Q)^2} S. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu = -\gamma$ , then put

$$\varphi_i(x, t) = \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - (qQ)^{-1}t_1/x_i} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{1 - q^{-1}t_1/x_j}{1 - (qQ)^{-1}t_1/x_j} Q D(t).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(\varphi_i) &= \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} \left\{ (1-Q^n)f(x, t) - (1-q)x_i(1-qQx_i)A_i(x; Q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1-q)(1-Q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i x_j (1-qQx_j)}{x_i - Qx_j} A_j(x; Q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial_q x_j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x, t)}{D(t)} \mathcal{A}(b_1 T_1 \varphi_i) &= q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} \frac{(Q; Q)_{n-1}}{(1-Q)^n} \left\{ (1-Q^n) q^\beta x_i f(x, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-q^\beta x_i) \frac{f(x, t)}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-t_k/x_i)} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n (1-t_k/x_i) - \prod_{k=1}^n (Q-t_k/x_i) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into (2.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= qx_i^2 (1-q^2 Qx_i) T_{q, x_i} (A_i(x; Q)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i^2} \\ &\quad + (1-Q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{qx_i^2 x_j (1-qQx_j)}{qx_i - Qx_j} T_{q, x_i} (A_j(x; Q)) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} + \frac{x_i}{1-q} \left\{ q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)} (1-q^{\beta+1} Q^n x_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1-Q^n + (1-q^2 Qx_i)q) \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\ &\quad + \frac{qx_i (1-q^2 Qx_i)}{1-q} (1 - T_{q, x_i} (A_i(x; Q))) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\ &\quad - \frac{1-Q}{1-q} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{qx_i x_j (1-qQx_j)}{qx_i - Qx_j} T_{q, x_i} (A_j(x; Q)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_j} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-Q^n)(1-q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)})}{(1-q)^2} S. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.27) and (2.28) we can conclude

**THEOREM 2.4.** – Assume  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$ . Then  ${}_q S_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}; \xi)$  satisfies the following system of  $q$ -difference equations.

$$x_i(c-abqx_i)T_i(A_i(x; t)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i^2} + (1-q) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_i x_j (c-abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} T_i(A_j(x; t)) \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\{ \frac{at^{m-1} - c/q + ac/q - c}{1-q} + \frac{1}{1-q}(abq - a^2t^{m-1})x_i \right\} \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} \\
& + \frac{1-t}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{1-T_i(A_i(x;t))}{1-t}(c - abqx_i) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_i} - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{x_j(c - abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} T_i(A_j(x;t)) \frac{\partial S}{\partial_q x_j} \right\} \\
& - \frac{(1-a)(at^{m-1} - c/q)}{(1-q)^2} \frac{1}{x_i} S = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,
\end{aligned}$$

where if  $\mu = 1$ , then put  $(q, t) = (Q, q)$ ,  $a = Q^{-n}$ ,  $b = q^{-(\alpha+n-1)}Q^{n-1}$ ,  $c = q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $q^{-\beta}Qx_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $\mu = -\gamma$ , then put  $(q, t) = (q, Q)$ ,  $a = Q^n$ ,  $b = q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)}$ ,  $c = q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $Q^{-1}x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

From this theorem one can derive the following theorem by straightforward calculation.

**THEOREM 2.5.** – Assume  $\mu = 1$ , or  $-\gamma$ . Then  $(x_1 \cdots x_m)^{\mu n} {}_q S_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}; \xi)$  satisfies the system (2.26) in which if  $\mu = 1$ , then put  $(q, t) = (Q, q)$ ,  $a = Q^{-n}$ ,  $b = q^{-(\alpha+n-1)}Q^{n-1}$ ,  $c = q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $q^{-\beta}Qx_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $\mu = -\gamma$ , then put  $(q, t) = (q, Q)$ ,  $a = Q^n$ ,  $b = q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)}$ ,  $c = q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}$  and change  $x_i$  with  $Q^{-1}x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

### 3. $q$ -Hypergeometric functions

**3.1. MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS.** – We first recall the definition of Macdonald polynomials [Ma2]. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$  be a partition and  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$  the conjugate partition. The number  $\lambda'_1$  of parts of  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\ell(\lambda)$ , called the length of  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda$  has  $m_1$  parts equal to 1,  $m_2$  parts equal to 2, and so on, we write  $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$  and denote  $\prod_{r \geq 1} (r^{m_r} m_r!) = z_\lambda$ . We write  $|\lambda| = \sum \lambda_i$ . If  $\mu$  is another partition, then write  $\mu \leq \lambda$  when  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$  and  $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i$  for all  $i$ . Given a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  of length  $\leq m$ , the monomial symmetric polynomial  $m_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is defined by

$$m_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_m^{\lambda_m},$$

where the sum is over all distinct monomials obtainable from  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_m^{\lambda_m}$  by permutations of the  $x$ 's. In particular when  $\lambda = (r)$  we have the  $r$ -th power sum:

$$m_{(r)} = p_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^r.$$

For each partition  $\lambda$ , we set  $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots$ .

Let  $q, t$  be independent indeterminates and  $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$  be the field of rational functions in  $q$  and  $t$ . We have the fundamental ([Ma2], (2.8)).

**THEOREM 3.1.** – For each partition  $\lambda$  of length  $\leq m$  there exists a unique symmetric polynomial  $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m; q, t)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$  satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad P_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$$

where  $u_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$  and  $u_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$ ; and

$$(3.2) \quad D_1^{(q,t)} P_\lambda = e_\lambda P_\lambda$$

where  $D_1^{(q,t)}$  and  $e_\lambda(q, t)$  are defined by

$$(3.3) \quad D_1^{(q,t)} = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(x; t) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i}, \quad e_\lambda(q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}{1 - q} t^{m-i}.$$

We understand that  $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$  if  $\ell(\lambda) \geq m + 1$ . One can readily verify that  $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0) = P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ .

Denote the ring of symmetric polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  over the field  $F = \mathbb{Q}(q, t)$  by  $\Lambda_{m,F}$ . Define a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\Lambda_{m,F}$  by

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z_\lambda(q, t)$$

where

$$z_\lambda(q, t) = z_\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}{1 - t^{\lambda_i}}.$$

Note that  $D_1^{(q,t)}$  has the following properties ([Ma2, (2.7.1)-(2.7.3)]):

$$D_1^{(q,t)} m_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} c_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$$

for each partition  $\lambda$  of length  $\leq m$ ;

$$\langle D_1^{(q,t)} f, g \rangle = \langle g, D_1^{(q,t)} f \rangle$$

for all  $f, g \in \Lambda_{m,F}$ ;

$$\lambda \neq \mu \Rightarrow c_{\lambda\lambda} \neq c_{\mu\mu}.$$

From these properties one can deduce that the condition (3.2) in the Theorem 3.1 can be replaced by

$$\langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

We shall need a kind of specialization formula of  $P_\lambda$ . Let  $u$  be a new indeterminate and define a homomorphism

$$\epsilon_{u,t} : \Lambda_{m,F} \rightarrow F[u]$$

by

$$(3.4) \quad \epsilon_{u,t}(p_r) = \frac{1-u^r}{1-t^r}$$

for each  $r \geq 1$ . Then we see

$$\epsilon_{t^m,t}(f) = f(1, t, \dots, t^{m-1}).$$

Consider the *diagram* of  $\lambda$  in which the rows and columns are arranged as in a matrix, with the  $i$ th row consisting of  $\lambda_i$  boxes. For each square  $s = (i, j)$  in the diagram of  $\lambda$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} a(s) &= \lambda_i - j, & a'(s) &= j - 1, \\ l(s) &= \lambda'_j - i, & l'(s) &= i - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$h_\lambda(q, t) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)} t^{l(s)+1}), \quad h'_\lambda(q, t) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{l(s)})$$

and

$$b_\lambda = b_\lambda(q, t) = \frac{h_\lambda(q, t)}{h'_\lambda(q, t)}.$$

One has different expressions of  $h_\lambda$  and  $h'_\lambda$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. – Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of length  $\leq n$ . Then

$$(3.5) \quad h'_\lambda(q, t) = (q)_\infty^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{\lambda_i+1} t^{n-i})_\infty \right)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1} t^{j-i})_\infty}{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1} t^{j-i-1})_\infty}.$$

$$(3.6) \quad h_\lambda(q, t) = (t)_\infty^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{\lambda_i} t^{n-i+1})_\infty \right)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} t^{j-i+1})_\infty}{(q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} t^{j-i})_\infty}.$$

*Proof.* – We prove (3.5). One can prove (3.6) in the same way. Put

$$C = \{i \mid \lambda_{i+1} < \lambda_i\},$$

so that

$$\prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{l(s)}) = \prod_{i \in C} \prod_{r=0}^{i-1} \prod_{j=\lambda_{i+1}+1}^{\lambda_i} (1 - q^{\lambda_{i-r}-j+1} t^r).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + 1} t^{j-i})_\infty &= \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=r+1}^n (q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_i + 1} t^r)_\infty \\ &= (q)_\infty^{-n} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=r+1}^n (q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_i + 1} t^r)_\infty, \\ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + 1} t^{j-i-1})_\infty &= \prod_{r=0}^{n-2} \prod_{i=r+1}^{n-1} (q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_{i+1} + 1} t^r)_\infty \\ &= \left( \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (q^{\lambda_{n-r} + 1} t^r)_\infty \right)^{-1} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=r+1}^n (q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_{i+1} + 1} t^r)_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (3.5)} &= \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=r+1}^n \frac{(q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_i + 1} t^r)_\infty}{(q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_{i+1} + 1} t^r)_\infty} \\ &= \prod_{i \in C} \prod_{r=0}^{i-1} \frac{(q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_i + 1} t^r)_\infty}{(q^{\lambda_{i-r} - \lambda_{i+1} + 1} t^r)_\infty} \\ &= \prod_{i \in C} \prod_{r=0}^{i-1} \prod_{j=\lambda_{i+1}+1}^{\lambda_i} (1 - q^{\lambda_{i-r} - j + 1} t^r), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

We define the *generalized factorial*  $(a)_\lambda^{(q,t)}$  by

$$(a)_\lambda^{(q,t)} = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (t^{l'(s)} - q^{a'(s)} a).$$

The following explicit formula ([Ma2, (5.3)]) is essential:

**THEOREM 3.3.** – We have

$$\epsilon_{u,t}(P_\lambda(q, t)) = \frac{(u)_\lambda^{(q,t)}}{h_\lambda(q, t)}.$$

*Remark.* – This formula is equivalent to the *q*-binomial theorem for the *q*-hypergeometric functions defined in the next subsection (see Theorem 3.5). We shall treat this problem in a separate paper.

We shall also need ([Ma2, (3.11)]):

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda}(y; q, t) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_i y_j; q)_\infty}{(x_i y_j; q)_\infty},$$

or its dual [Ma2, (3.12)]

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda'}(y; t, q) = \prod_{i,j} (1 + x_i y_j).$$

This is a consequence of [Ma2, Sect. 5, p. 159]:

$$(3.9) \quad \langle P_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda} \rangle = b_{\lambda}(q, t)^{-1}.$$

3.2. *q*-HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION. – For a partition  $\lambda$ , denote  $b(\lambda) = \sum (i-1) \lambda_i = \sum \lambda'_i (\lambda'_i - 1)/2$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. – Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  be complex numbers such that  $(b_j)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq m$  for any partition of length  $\leq m$ . The *q*-hypergeometric function  ${}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is defined by

(3.10)

$${}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} \left\{ (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{b(\lambda')} \right\}^{s+1-r}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (b_j)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} h'_{\lambda}(q, t)} P_{\lambda}(x; q, t).$$

As a consequence of the factor  $\left\{ (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{b(\lambda')} \right\}^{s+1-r}$ , it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} {}_{r+1}\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r, a; b_1, \dots, b_s; x_1/a, \dots, x_m/a) \\ &= {}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x). \end{aligned}$$

When  $m = 1$ ,  ${}_r\Phi_s^{(q,t)}(x)$  reduces to the ordinary *q*-hypergeometric function  ${}_r\phi_s(x)$  (cf. [An, GR]), being independent of  $t$ .

THEOREM 3.5. – We have

$$(3.12) \quad {}_1\Phi_0^{(q,t)}(a; -; x_1, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(ax_i; q)_{\infty}}{(x_i; q)_{\infty}}.$$

*Proof.* – Note first that [Ma2, (2.6)]:

$$(3.13) \quad \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_i y_j; q)_{\infty}}{(x_i y_j; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}(q, t)^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y).$$

In particular we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(ax_i; q)_{\infty}}{(x_i; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}(q, a)^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x).$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.13) that

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda}(y; q, t) = \sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}(q, t)^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)$$

so

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{|\lambda| \leq l} b_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda}(y; q, t) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq l} z_{\lambda}(q, t)^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x) p_{\lambda}(y)$$

for arbitrary  $l$ . In view of Theorem 3.3 and

$$\epsilon_{a,t}(p_{\lambda}(y)) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1 - a^{\lambda_i}}{1 - t^{\lambda_i}},$$

applying  $\epsilon_{a,t}$  to both sides of (3.15) considered as polynomials in  $y$  yields

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{|\lambda| \leq l} \frac{(a)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)}}{h'_{\lambda}(q, t)} P_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq l} z_{\lambda}(q, a)^{-1} p_{\lambda}(x).$$

Since  $l$  is arbitrary, this clearly gives (3.12).

### COROLLARY 3.6

$$(3.17) \quad {}_0\Phi_0^{(q,t)}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_{\infty}.$$

*Proof.* – This follows at once from (3.11) because

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i; q)_{\infty}}{(x_i/a; q)_{\infty}} = \prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_{\infty}.$$

Next we consider the convergence of the series. We assume  $0 < t < 1$ .

LEMMA 3.7. – Let  $\|x\| = \max \{|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|\}$ . There exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $q, t$  and  $m$  such that

$$|P_{\lambda}(x; q, t)| \leq C(h_{\lambda}^{-1} h'_{\lambda})^{1/2} (m \|x\|)^{|\lambda|}.$$

*Proof.* – Put  $|\lambda| = d$  and write

$$P_{\lambda} = \sum_{|\mu|=d} a_{\mu} p_{\mu}$$

so that

$$\langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{|\mu|=d} a_\mu^2 z_\mu(q, t).$$

By Cauchy's inequality we have

$$|P_\lambda|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{|\mu|=d} a_\mu^2 z_\mu(q, t) \right) \left( \sum_{|\mu|=d} \frac{|p_\mu|^2}{z_\mu(q, t)} \right).$$

It follows from (3.9) that

$$\sum_{|\mu|=d} a_\mu^2 z_\mu(q, t) = h_\lambda^{-1} h'_\lambda.$$

Put

$$C_1 = \max_{\ell(\lambda) \leq m} \frac{1 - t^{\lambda_i}}{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}$$

so that

$$\sum_{|\mu|=d} \frac{|p_\mu|^2}{z_\mu(q, t)} \leq C_1 \sum_{|\mu|=d} z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu^2(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|).$$

Since  $\sum_{|\mu|=d} z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu = \sum_{|\mu|=d} m_\mu$  ([Ma2, p. 17]), we obtain

$$\sum_{|\mu|=d} \frac{|p_\mu|^2}{z_\mu(q, t)} \leq C_1 m^d \binom{m+d-1}{d} \|x\|^{2d}.$$

Note that

$$\binom{m+d-1}{d} = \frac{(d+1)(d+2) \cdots (d+m-1)}{(m-1)!} \leq d^{m-1} \frac{m!}{(m-1)!}.$$

Put  $C_2 = \max_d m^{-d} d^{m-1}$ . This gives

$$\sum_{|\mu|=d} \frac{|p_\mu|^2}{z_\mu(q, t)} \leq C_1 C_2 m^{2d+1} \|x\|^{2d}.$$

Hence by setting  $C = (m C_1 C_2)^{1/2}$ , we arrive at the desired inequality.

**THEOREM 3.8.** — We have

- (1) If  $r \leq s$ , then the series (3.10) converges absolutely for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ .
- (2) If  $r = s + 1$ , then the series (3.10) converges absolutely for  $\|x\| < m^{-1}$ .
- (3) If  $r > s + 1$ , then the series (3.10) does not converge absolutely for  $x \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  unless it terminates.

*Proof.* – We compare the series (3.10) with the series

$${}_r\phi_s(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r (a_k; q)_d \left\{ (-1)^d q^{d(d-1)/2} \right\}^{s+1-r}}{\prod_{l=1}^s (b_l; q)_d} \frac{z^d}{(q; q)_d}$$

which is known to have radius of convergence  $\rho = \infty$  if  $r \leq s$ ,  $\rho = 1$  if  $r = s + 1$ ,  $\rho = 0$  if  $r > s + 1$  unless it terminates [GR, p. 5]. Put

$$a_{ki} = a_k t^{-(i-1)}, \quad b_{li} = b_l t^{-(i-1)}.$$

Note first that

$$(h_\lambda h'_\lambda)^{-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i} \right)^2 \leq (1-t)^{-m}$$

and

$$t^{(r-s)b(\lambda)} \leq R^{|\lambda|}$$

where we put  $R = 1$  if  $r \geq s$ , and  $R = t^{(r-s)m}$  if  $r < s$ . Then by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda} \left| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_\lambda^{(q,t)} \left\{ (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{b(\lambda')} \right\}^{s+1-r}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (b_j)_\lambda^{(q,t)} h'_\lambda(q, t)} P_\lambda \right| \\ & \leq (1-t)^{-m/2} \sum_{\lambda} q^{(s+1-r)b(\lambda')} t^{(r-s)b(\lambda)} \left| \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^m (a_{ki}; q)_{\lambda_i} \left( \frac{h_\lambda}{h'_\lambda} \right)^{1/2}}{\prod_{l=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m (b_{li}; q)_{\lambda_i}} \frac{P_\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i}} \right| \\ & \leq (1-t)^{-m/2} C \sum_{\lambda} t^{(r-s)b(\lambda)} \left| \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^m (a_{ki}; q)_{\lambda_i} q^{(s+1-r)b(\lambda')}}{\prod_{l=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m (b_{li}; q)_{\lambda_i}} \frac{(m||x||)^{|\lambda|}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i}} \right| \\ & \leq (1-t)^{-m/2} C \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\lambda_i=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r (a_{ki}; q)_{\lambda_i} q^{(s+1-r)\lambda_i(\lambda_i-1)/2}}{\prod_{l=1}^s (b_{li}; q)_{\lambda_i}} \frac{(Rm||x||)^{\lambda_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the assertions (1) and (2).

For the proof of (3), suppose  $x_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq a$ , and  $x_i = 0$  otherwise. Note that

$$h'_\lambda^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i} \geq ((1-q)(1-qt^m)^{-1})^{|\lambda|}.$$

Put  $\theta = (1 - q)(1 - qt^m)^{-1}$ . Picking up the terms with  $\lambda = (d, d, \dots, d) = (d^a)$  (so that  $P_\lambda = x_1 \cdots x_a$  by (3.1)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda} \left| \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} \left\{ (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{b(\lambda')} \right\}^{s+1-r}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (b_j)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} h'_{\lambda}(q, t)} P_{\lambda} \right| \\ & \geq \sum_{\lambda} t^{(r-s)b(\lambda)} \left| \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^m (a_{ki}; q)_{\lambda_i} q^{(s+1-r)b(\lambda')}}{\prod_{l=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m (b_{li}; q)_{\lambda_i}} \frac{\theta^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (q; q)_{\lambda_i}} \right| \\ & \geq \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^a (a_{ki}; q)_d q^{a(s+1-r)d(d-1)/2}}{\prod_{l=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^a (b_{li}; q)_d} \frac{|t^{(r-s)a(a-1)/2} \theta^a x_1 \cdots x_a|^d}{((q; q)_d)^a} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This last series is easily shown to be divergent, thereby completing the proof of (3).

#### 4. $q$ -Difference system of $q$ -hypergeometric function

4.1. SUMMED-UP EQUATION. – As in the case of  $q = 1$  [Kan2], we shall consider the  $q$ -difference equation formed by summing the  $q$ -difference equations, multiplied by  $A_i(x; t)$  each, in the system (2.26). First we introduce auxiliary operators:

$$D_2^{(q,t)} = \frac{1-q}{1-t} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} A_{ij}(x; t) x_i x_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} - \frac{1}{1-t} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} A_{ij}(x; t) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i}$$

where

$$A_{ij}(x; t) = t \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{(tx_i - x_k)(tx_j - x_k)}{(x_i - x_k)(x_j - x_k)},$$

and

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_m = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(x; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i}.$$

It is known that [Ma3]

$$(4.1) \quad D_2^{(q,t)} P_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-t)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} t^{2m-i-j} (q^{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} - 1) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left\{ \frac{(1 - t^{m-i} q^{\lambda_i})(1 - t^{m-j} q^{\lambda_j})}{(1-q)(1-t)} - \frac{(1 - t^{m-i})(1 - t^{m-j})}{(1-q)(1-t)} \right\} + \frac{1-m}{1-t} e_{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\Lambda_m^r$  the vector space of symmetric homogeneous polynomials of degree  $r$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ .

LEMMA 4.1. –  $\varepsilon$  defines a linear mapping from  $\Lambda_m^r$  to  $\Lambda_m^{r-1}$  for every  $r$ .

*Proof.* – Since the  $p_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)'$ s with  $|\lambda| = r$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$  form a basis of  $\Lambda_m^r$ , it suffices to show  $\varepsilon p_\lambda \in \Lambda_m^{r-1}$ . But this easily boils down to prove the case of  $\lambda = (r)$ . We see

$$\varepsilon p_r = \frac{1 - q^r}{1 - q} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^{r-1} = \frac{1 - q^r}{1 - q^{r-1}} D_1 p_{r-1}.$$

Hence the lemma follows immediately from (3.2) and the fact that the  $P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)'$ s with  $|\lambda| = r - 1$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$  form a basis of  $\Lambda_m^{r-1}$ .

Let us denote by  $L_m = L_m^{(q,t)}$  the  $q$ -difference operator formed by summing the  $q$ -difference operators, multiplied by  $A_i = A_i(x; t)$  each, in the system (2.26):

$$(4.2) \quad L_m = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i (c - abqx_i) A_i T_i(A_i) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i^2} + (1-t) \times \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} \frac{x_i x_j (c - abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} A_i T_i(A_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{t^{m-1} - c}{1-q} + \frac{1}{1-q} ((1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1} - (t^{m-1} - abq)) x_i \right\} A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} + \frac{1-t}{1-q} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1 - T_i(A_i)}{1-t} (c - abqx_i) A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} \frac{x_j (c - abx_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} A_i T_i(A_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_j} \right\} - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1}}{(1-q)^2} \frac{1-t^m}{1-t}.$$

Now we can state the following crucial lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. – We have

$$(4.3) \quad L_m = \frac{c}{1-q} (D_1 \varepsilon - \varepsilon D_1) - ab \left( D_1^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} D_2 \right) + \frac{t^{m-1}}{1-q} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{2ab(1-t^m)}{1-t} - (a+b)t^{m-1} \right\} D_1 - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1}}{(1-q)^2} \frac{1-t^m}{1-t}.$$

*Proof.* – We show that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-q}(D_1\varepsilon - \varepsilon D_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i T_i(A_i) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i^2} + (1-t) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} x_i x_j \left\{ \frac{A_i T_i(A_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} + \frac{A_j T_j(A_i)}{qx_j - tx_i} \right\} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i T_i(A_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} - \frac{1-t}{1-q} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} \frac{x_j}{qx_i - tx_j} A_i T_i(A_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_j} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & D_1^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} D_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m qx_i^2 A_i T_i(A_i) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i^2} \\ & \quad + (1-t) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} x_i x_j \left\{ \frac{x_j A_i T_i(A_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} + \frac{x_i A_j T_j(A_i)}{qx_j - tx_i} \right\} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ -qx_i A_i T_i(A_i) - (1-t) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} \frac{x_i^2}{qx_j - tx_i} A_j T_j(A_i) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2 - (t^{m-1} + t^m)}{1-t} x_i A_i \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check (4.3) without difficulty assuming (4.4) and (4.5). It is clear that the coefficient of  $\partial^2/\partial_q x_i^2$  in  $D_1\varepsilon - \varepsilon D_1$  is  $(1-q)x_i A_i T_i(A_i)$ . For the coefficient of  $\partial^2/\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j$ , it suffices to observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the coefficient of } \partial^2/\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j \text{ in } D_1\varepsilon - \varepsilon D_1 \\ &= x_i A_i T_i(A_j) + x_j A_j T_j(A_i) - x_j A_i T_i(A_j) - x_i A_j T_j(A_i) \\ &= (x_i - x_j)(A_i T_i(A_j) - A_j T_j(A_i)) \\ &= (x_i - x_j) \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \left\{ \frac{(tx_i - x_j)(tx_j - qx_i)}{(x_i - x_j)(x_j - qx_i)} - \frac{(tx_j - x_i)(tx_i - qx_j)}{(x_j - x_i)(x_i - qx_j)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \frac{(1-q)(1-t)(q-t)x_i x_j(x_i + x_j)}{(qx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A_i T_i(A_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} + \frac{A_j T_j(A_i)}{qx_j - tx_i} \\ &= \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \left\{ \frac{tx_i - x_j}{(x_i - x_j)(qx_i - x_j)} + \frac{tx_j - x_i}{(x_j - x_i)(qx_j - x_i)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \frac{(q-t)(x_i + x_j)}{(qx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the coefficient of } \partial/\partial_q x_i \text{ in } D_1\varepsilon - \varepsilon D_1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x_j \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial_q x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^m A_j \frac{\partial(A_i x_i)}{\partial_q x_j} \\ &= -A_i T_i(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} (x_i - x_j) A_j \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial_q x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x_i - x_j) \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial_q x_j} &= \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j} \frac{tx_i - x_k}{x_i - x_k} \left( \frac{tx_i - qx_j}{x_i - qx_j} - \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) \frac{x_i - x_j}{(q-1)x_j} \\ &= \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j} \frac{tx_i - x_k}{x_i - x_k} \frac{(1-t)x_i}{qx_j - x_i} \\ &= \frac{(1-t)x_i}{qx_j - tx_i} T_j(A_i), \end{aligned}$$

the proof of (4.4) is complete.

The coefficient of  $\partial^2/\partial_q x_i^2$  in  $D_1^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} D_2$  is clearly as in (4.5). For the coefficient of  $\partial^2/\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j$ , note that

$$x_i x_j (A_i T_i(A_j) + A_j T_j(A_i)) = \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \frac{(qx_i - tx_j)(tx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i) - (qx_j - tx_i)(tx_j - x_i)(qx_i - x_j)}{(qx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (qx_i - tx_j)(tx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i) - (qx_j - tx_i)(tx_j - x_i)(qx_i - x_j) \\ &= (qx_i - x_j + (1-t)x_j)(tx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i) - (qx_j - x_i + (1-t)x_i)(tx_j - x_i)(qx_i - x_j) \\ &= (1+t)(x_i - x_j)(qx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i) \\ &+ (1-t)\{x_j(qx_j - x_i)(tx_i - x_j) - x_i(qx_i - x_j)(tx_j - x_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the coefficient of } \partial^2/\partial_q x_i \partial_q x_j \text{ in } D_1^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} D_2 \\ &= (1-t) \frac{A_{ij}}{t} \frac{x_i x_j \{x_j(qx_j - x_i)(tx_i - x_j) - x_i(qx_i - x_j)(tx_j - x_i)\}}{(x_i - x_j)(qx_i - x_j)(qx_j - x_i)} \\ &= (1-t)x_i x_j \left\{ \frac{x_j A_i T_i(A_j)}{qx_i - tx_j} + \frac{x_i A_j T_j(A_i)}{qx_j - tx_i} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the coefficient of } \partial/\partial_q x_i \text{ in } D_1^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} D_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} x_i A_i - q x_i A_i T_i(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} x_i A_j T_j(A_i) + \frac{1+t}{t} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} x_i A_{ij} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude the proof of (4.5) from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} \left\{ A_j T_j(A_i) - \frac{1+t}{t} A_{ij} - (1-t) \frac{x_i}{qx_j - tx_i} A_j T_j(A_i) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} A_j \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{tx_i - x_k}{x_i - x_k} \left\{ \frac{tx_i - qx_j}{x_i - qx_j} - (1+t) \frac{x_j - x_i}{tx_j - x_i} - (1-t) \frac{x_i}{qx_j - x_i} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} A_j \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{tx_i - x_k}{x_i - x_k} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{tx_j - x_i} \\ &= -A_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i} A_j \frac{x_j - x_i}{tx_j - x_i} \\ &= -\frac{1-t^{m-1}}{1-t} A_i \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.10).

#### 4.2. GENERALIZED BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS.

**DEFINITION 4.3.** – For any partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of length  $\leq m$ , the generalized binomial coefficient  $(\lambda)_m$  is defined by

$$\varepsilon \left( \frac{t^{b(\lambda)}}{\epsilon_{t^m, t}(P_\lambda)} P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right) = \sum_{\mu} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_m \frac{t^{b(\mu)}}{\epsilon_{t^m, t}(P_\mu)} P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

*Remark.* – If we put  $q = t^\alpha$  and let  $t \rightarrow 1$ , then it is readily seen that  $(\lambda)_m$  reduces to the generalized binomial coefficient defined by using Jack polynomials [Kan2, p. 1096]. In this case the following theorem has been announced by [L] and proved by [Kan2].

Denote  $\mu \subset \lambda$  if  $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$  for every  $i$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** – (1)  $(\lambda)_m \neq 0$  if and only if  $\mu \subset \lambda$  and  $|\mu| = |\lambda| - 1$ .

(2) the  $(\lambda)_m$ 's are independent of the dimension  $m$  in the sense that  $(\lambda)_r = (\lambda)_s$  provided  $r, s \leq \ell(\lambda)$ .

We leave the proof to Section 6. We write  $(\lambda)_m$  dropping the subscript  $m$ . For each partition  $\lambda$ , we put  $\lambda_{(i)} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots)$  and  $\lambda^{(i)} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i - 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots)$  and call them admissible if the parts are in nonincreasing order. We shall write

$\lambda_{(i,j)} = (\lambda_{(i)})_{(j)}$ ,  $\lambda^{(i,j)} = (\lambda^{(i)})^{(j)}$ . By Theorem 4.4 (1),  $\binom{\lambda}{\mu} = 0$  unless  $\lambda = \mu_{(i)}$  (or  $\mu = \lambda^{(i)}$ ) for some  $i$ . Hence, in view of  $b(\lambda) - b(\lambda^{(i)}) = i - 1$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \varepsilon P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = c_\lambda h'_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{\lambda}{\lambda^{(i)}} \frac{t^{1-i}}{c_{\lambda^{(i)}} h'_{\lambda^{(i)}}} P_{\lambda^{(i)}}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

where we have put

$$c_\lambda = c_\lambda(q, t, m) = \frac{\epsilon_{t^m, t}(P_\lambda)}{h'_\lambda} = \frac{(t^m)_\lambda^{(q,t)}}{h_\lambda} h'_\lambda.$$

The summation in (4.6) is over all  $i$  such that  $\lambda^{(i)}$  is admissible. This convention will be used in all future summations involving  $\lambda_{(i)}$  or  $\lambda^{(i)}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.5. – *The formal series*

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda} \frac{P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{h'_{\lambda}}$$

satisfies the summed-up equation  $L_m(S) = 0$  if and only if the coefficients  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  satisfy the following recurrence relations

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1-q)c_{\lambda}} \sum_{i=1}^m c_{\lambda^{(i)}} \binom{\lambda^{(i)}}{\lambda} t^{m+1-2i} (t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} c) \gamma_{\lambda^{(i)}} \\ & + \left\{ -ab \left( e_{\lambda}^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} f_{\lambda} \right) + \left( \frac{2ab(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)} - \frac{(a+b)t^{m-1}}{1-q} \right) e_{\lambda} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1}(1-t^m)}{(1-q)^2(1-t)} \right\} \gamma_{\lambda} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – One can easily verify that, using (3.2), (4.1) and (4.6), the left-hand side of (4.7) is the coefficient of  $P_{\lambda}/h'_{\lambda}$  in  $L_m(S)$ .

Note that for  $r \leq m$  we have

$$(4.8) \quad S(x_1, \dots, x_r) := S(x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0) = \sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda} \frac{P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{h'_{\lambda}}.$$

The recurrence relation (4.7) implies the following uniqueness property.

COROLLARY 4.6. – *Assume that  $(c)_{\lambda}^{(q,t)} \neq 0$  for any partition  $\lambda$  of length  $\leq m$ . If the formal series (4.8) satisfies  $L_r(S(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = 0$  for every  $r \leq m$ , and  $S(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , then  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m) \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* – Note that the coefficient of  $\gamma_{(i)}$  of (4.7) is not zero because of the assumption  $(c)_\lambda^{(q,t)} \neq 0$ . We prove  $S(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$  by induction on  $r$ , the case  $r = 1$  being immediate from (4.7). Clearly it suffices to show  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$  assuming  $S(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$  for  $r \leq m-1$ , i.e.  $\gamma_\lambda = 0$  if  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m-1$ . For  $\gamma_\kappa$  with  $\kappa_m = 1$ , put  $\lambda = \kappa^{(m)}$  or  $\lambda_{(m)} = \kappa$ . Substituting this  $\lambda$  into (4.7) immediately shows that  $\gamma_{\lambda_{(m)}}$  is a linear combination of  $\gamma_\lambda$  and  $\gamma_{\lambda_{(i)}}$ ,  $i < m$ . Thus we find  $\gamma_{\lambda_{(m)}} = 0$ . The general case follows by induction on  $\kappa_m$ . Let us denote by  $(2.26)_m$  the system (2.26) to express its dimensional dependence.

LEMMA 4.7. – If  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a solution of  $(2.26)_m$ , then  $S(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq m$ , is a solution of  $(2.26)_r$ .

*Proof.* – Clearly it suffices to prove the case of  $r = m-1$ . Substitute  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  into  $(2.26)_m$  with  $i \neq m$  and put  $x_m = 0$ . Then one finds that  $S(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  satisfies that the system  $(2.26)_{m-1}$  multiplied by  $t$ .

This lemma implies that if  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a solution of  $(2.26)_m$ , then  $L_r(S(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = 0$  for  $r \leq m$ . Hence by Corollary 4.6 we obtain

LEMMA 4.8. – Assume that  $(c)_\lambda^{(q,t)} \neq 0$  for any partition  $\lambda$  of length  $\leq m$ . If  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a solution of  $(2.26)_m$  and  $S(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , then  $S(x_1, \dots, x_m) \equiv 0$ .

We next provide some formulas for the  $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}$ 's.

LEMMA 4.9. – We have

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m c_{\lambda_{(i)}} \binom{\lambda_{(i)}}{\lambda} = \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} c_\lambda$$

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m q^{\lambda_i} t^{m-i} c_{\lambda_{(i)}} \binom{\lambda_{(i)}}{\lambda} = \left\{ \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - e_\lambda \right\} t^{m-1} c_\lambda$$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (q_i^\lambda t^{m-i})^2 c_{\lambda_{(i)}} \binom{\lambda_{(i)}}{\lambda} &= \left\{ \frac{t^{2m-2}(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)} - \frac{2t^{m-1}(1-t^m)}{1-te_\lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-q)t^{m-1}e_\lambda^2 + (t-1)(t^{m-1} + t^{m-2})f_\lambda \right\} c_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – We first show

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i = t^{m-1} m_1$$

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^2 = t^{m-1} m_{(2)} + t^{m-2}(t-1)m_{(1,1)}$$

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} A_{ij}(x; t)x_i = \frac{t^{m-1}(1 - t^{m-1})}{1 - t} m_1$$

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} A_{ij}(x; t)x_i x_j = t^{2m-3} m_{(1,1)}$$

where  $m_1 = m_{(1)} = x_1 + \dots + x_m$ . Replacing  $z$  with  $1/z$  in (2.7) yields

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{t - zx_i}{1 - zx_i} = (t - 1) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{zx_i A_i(x; t)}{1 - zx_i} + t^m.$$

Differentiating both sides once (resp. twice) with respect to  $z$  and then setting  $z = 0$  gives (4.12) (resp. (4.13)). By (2.10) and (2.7) with  $z = tx_i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{tx_j - x_k}{x_j - x_k} \frac{x_i - x_j}{tx_i - x_j} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{tx_j - x_k}{x_j - x_k} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{t-1}{t} \frac{x_j}{x_j - tx_i} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \frac{1 - t^{m-1}}{1 - t} + \frac{t-1}{t} \frac{1}{1-t} \left\{ -t^{m-1} + \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \frac{x_k - t^2 x_i}{x_k - tx_i} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - t} - \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \frac{x_k - t^2 x_i}{x_k - tx_i} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} A_{ij}(x; t)x_i \\ &= t \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i \left\{ \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^m \frac{tx_j - x_k}{x_j - x_k} \frac{x_i - x_j}{tx_i - x_j} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - t} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(x; t^2) x_i \\ &= \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - t} t^{m-1} m_1 - t^{2m-2} m_1 \\ &= \frac{t^{m-1}(1 - t^{m-1})}{1 - t} m_1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (4.15) is similar to that of (4.14) and we omit it.

Setting  $a = 0$  in (3.12) yields

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_{\infty}}.$$

It follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty} \right) &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence applying  $\varepsilon$  to both sides of (4.16), we have

$$\frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{\lambda}{\lambda^{(i)}} \frac{t^{b(\lambda^{(i)})}}{c_{\lambda^{(i)}} h'_{\lambda^{(i)}}} P_{\lambda^{(i)}} \right).$$

Equating coefficients of  $P_{\lambda}$  in both sides yields (4.9).

For the proof of (4.10), note that by (4.12) and (2.9) we see

$$\begin{aligned}D_1 \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty} \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{m-1}}{1-q} \frac{m_1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \left( \frac{m_1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m A_i + \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{i=1}^m T_i(m_1) A_i \right\} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} + \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - t^{m-1} \right) m_1 \right\} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty},\end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain

$$\varepsilon D_1 \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) = \frac{t^{m-1}}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} + \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - t^{m-1} \right) m_1 \right\} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty}.$$

Hence applying  $\varepsilon D_1$  to both sides of (4.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)} e_{\lambda}}{h'_{\lambda}} \varepsilon P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) &= \frac{t^{m-1}(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - t^{m-1} \right) \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)} e_{\lambda}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.6) in the left-hand side and equating coefficients of  $P_{\lambda}$  of both sides gives (4.10) (use  $e_{\lambda^{(i)}} = e_{\lambda} + t^{m-i} q^{\lambda_i}$  and then (4.9)).

By virtue of (4.14) and (4.15) we can derive

$$D_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty} \right) = \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1-q)(1-t)} \left\{ t^{m-2} m_{(1,1)} - \frac{1-t^{m-1}}{1-t} m_1 \right\} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty}.$$

Also by (4.12) and (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \left( \frac{m_{(1,1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) &= \left\{ \frac{1-t^{m-1}}{1-t} m_1 + \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - (t^{m-1} + t^{m-2}) \right) m_{(1,1)} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x_i; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying  $\varepsilon D_2$  to both sides of (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)} f_{\lambda}}{h'_{\lambda}} \varepsilon P_{\lambda}(x) \\ &= \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1-q)(1-t)} \left\{ t^{m-2} \varepsilon \left( \frac{m_{(1,1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) - \frac{1-t^{m-1}}{1-t} \varepsilon \left( \frac{m_1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1-q)(1-t)} \left\{ t^{m-2} \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - (t^{m-1} + t^{m-2}) \right) \frac{m_{(1,1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-t^{m-1}}{1-t} \left( t^{m-2} + t^{m-1} - \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} \right) \frac{m_1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(1-t^{m-1})(1-t^m)}{(1-t)^2} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m (x_i; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ &= \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - (t^{m-1} + t^{m-2}) \right) \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)} f_{\lambda}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{m-1}(1-t^{m-1})(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)^3} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{t^{b(\lambda)} f_{\lambda}}{h'_{\lambda}} P_{\lambda}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.6) into the left-hand side and equating coefficients of  $P_{\lambda}$  of both sides yields

$$\sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda_{(i)}} c_{\lambda_{(i)}} \binom{\lambda_{(i)}}{\lambda} = \left\{ \left( \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q)(1-t)} - (t^{m-1} + t^{m-2}) \right) f_{\lambda} - \frac{t^{m-1}(1-t^{m-1})(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)^3} \right\} c_{\lambda}.$$

Since

$$f_{\lambda_{(i)}} = f_{\lambda} + \left\{ (1-q)e_{\lambda} - \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} \right\} \frac{t^{m-i} q^{\lambda_i}}{1-t} + \frac{(t^{m-i} q^{\lambda_i})^2}{1-t},$$

one can simplify the left-hand side by using (4.9) and (4.10) and this completes the proof of (4.11).

4.3. *q*-DIFFERENCE SYSTEM. – We now state one of the main results of this paper.

**THEOREM 4.10.** – *The hypergeometric function  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is the unique solution of the summed-up equation  $L_m(S) = 0$  subject to the following condition:*

- (a)  *$S(x)$  is a symmetric function in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .*
- (b)  *$S(x)$  is analytic at the origin with  $S(0) = 1$ .*
- (c)  *$S(x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0)$  is a solution of  $L_r(S) = 0$  for every  $r \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* – The uniqueness is immediate from Corollary 4.6. Put  $\gamma_\lambda = (a)_\lambda^{(q,t)}(b)_\lambda^{(q,t)} / (c)_\lambda^{(q,t)}$ . Then we see

$$\gamma_{\lambda(i)} = \gamma_\lambda(t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} a)(t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} b)(t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} c)^{-1}.$$

By virtue of (4.7), the proof that  $L_m({}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}) = 0$  (and also (c)) boils down to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1-q)c_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^m c_{\lambda(i)} \binom{\lambda(i)}{\lambda} t^{m+1-2i} (t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} a)(t^{i-1} - q^{\lambda_i} b) - ab \left( e_\lambda^2 - \frac{1-t^2}{t(1-q)} f_\lambda \right) \\ & + \left( \frac{2ab(1-t^m)}{(1-q)(1-t)} - \frac{(a+b)t^{m-1}}{1-q} \right) e_\lambda - \frac{(1-a)(1-b)t^{m-1}(1-t^m)}{(1-q)^2(1-t)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.9.

Next we compare the hypergeometric series  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  with the *q*-Selberg integral  ${}_qS_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x; \xi)$  with  $\mu = 1$  or  $-\gamma$ . If  $\mu = 1$ , then  ${}_qS_{n,m}(x)$ , being a polynomial, is analytic at the origin. But if  $\mu = -\gamma$ , then in general  ${}_qS_{n,m}(x)$  has poles at  $x_i = \xi_j^{-1} q^{\gamma+k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that the origin is an essential singularity. In this case we choose  $\xi = \xi_F = (1, Q, \dots, Q^{n-1})$ . Then the integral  ${}_qS_{n,m}(x; \xi_F)$  over  $[0, \xi_F]_q$  is analytic at the origin because the integral is done only over the set  $\langle \xi_F \rangle$  consisting of the points such that  $t_1 = q^{\nu_1}, t_2/t_1 = q^{\gamma+\nu_2}, \dots, t_n/t_{n-1} = q^{\gamma+\nu_n}$  for each  $\nu_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (this is the so called “ $\alpha$ -stable cycle” in [Ao1]). Combining Theorem 2.3, Lemma 4.8 and Theorem 4.10, we now obtain

**THEOREM 4.11.** – *We have  $(q^\beta Q^{-1}x = (q^\beta Q^{-1}x_1, \dots, q^\beta Q^{-1}x_m)$  etc.)*

$$(4.17) \quad {}_qS_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, 1; q^\beta Q^{-1}x; \xi) = C \cdot {}_2\Phi_1^{(Q,q)}(Q^{-n}, q^{-(\alpha+n-1)}Q^{n-1}; q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}; x)$$

$$(4.18) \quad {}_qS_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, -\gamma; Qx; \xi_F) = C_F \cdot {}_2\Phi_1^{(q,Q)}(Q^n, q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)}; q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}; x)$$

where  $C = {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi)$ ,  $C_F = {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)$ .

The condition that  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  satisfies the system (2.26) is equivalent to an infinite system of *polynomial equations* in  $q, t, a, b, c$ . The formula (4.18) implies that these equations hold when  $t = q^\gamma, a = q^{n\gamma}, b = q^{\alpha+n-1-(n-1)\gamma}, c = q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1}$ . Since  $n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are arbitrary, these equations hold for any  $q, t, a, b, c$ . Thus we arrive at

**THEOREM 4.12.** – *The hypergeometric series  ${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,t)}(a, b; c; x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is the unique solution of the system (2.26) subject to the following conditions:*

- (a)  *$S(x)$  is a symmetric function in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .*
- (b)  *$S(x)$  is analytic at the origin with  $S(0) = 1$ .*

## 5. Consequences

### 5.1. INTEGRATION FORMULA OF MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS.

Put

$${}_q D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; t) = \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{\alpha+(j-1)(1-2\gamma)} \frac{(qt_j)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_j)_\infty} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} D(t).$$

Theorem 4.11 implies the following integration formula.

**THEOREM 5.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} P_\lambda(t; q, Q) {}_q D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; t) \tilde{\omega} \\ &= {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi) \frac{(Q^n)_\lambda^{(q,Q)} (q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)})_\lambda^{(q,Q)}}{h_\lambda(q, Q) (q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1})_\lambda^{(q,Q)}} \\ &= {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi) P_\lambda(1, Q, \dots, Q^{n-1}; q, Q) \frac{(q^{\alpha+n-1} Q^{-(n-1)})_\lambda^{(q,Q)}}{(q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1})_\lambda^{(q,Q)}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – Replacing  $x$  by  $-q^\beta Q^{-1}x$ ,  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda'$  and setting  $y = t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $(q, t) = (Q, q)$  in (3.8), we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n} (1 - q^\beta Q^{-1} x_i t_j) = \sum_{\lambda} (-q^\beta Q^{-1})^{|\lambda'|} P_{\lambda'}(x; Q, q) P_\lambda(t; q, Q).$$

Substituting this into (4.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & \sum_{\lambda} (-q^\beta Q^{-1})^{|\lambda'|} P_{\lambda'}(x; Q, q) \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} P_\lambda(t; q, Q) {}_q D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; t) \tilde{\omega} \\ &= C \cdot {}_2\Phi_1^{(Q,q)}(Q^{-n}, q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{n-1}; q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}; x) \\ &= C \sum_{\lambda'} \frac{(Q^{-n})_{\lambda'}^{(Q,q)} (q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{n-1})_{\lambda'}^{(Q,q)}}{(q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)})_{\lambda'}^{(Q,q)} h'_{\lambda'}(Q, q)} P_{\lambda'}(x; Q, q). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in general we have

$$(a)_\lambda^{(q,t)} = (-a)^{|\lambda|} (a^{-1})_{\lambda'}^{(t,q)}, \quad h'_\lambda(q, t) = h_{\lambda'}(t, q).$$

Hence equating the coefficients of  $P_{\lambda'}(x; Q, q)$  in (5.1) immediately gives the desired first equality. The second equality is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3.

We next show Theorem 5.1 implies the integration formula of Kadell [Kad2]. Assume  $\gamma = k$ , a positive integer. Put  $x = \alpha + (n - 1)(1 - 2k), y = \beta$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** – Assume  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0, y \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad & \int_{[0,1]^n} P_{\lambda}(t; q, q^k) \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^x \frac{(qt_j)_{\infty}}{(q^y t_j)_{\infty}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_i)_{2k} \tilde{\omega} \\
 &= q^{kx(\frac{n}{2})+2k^2(\frac{n}{3})} \frac{(q^{nk})_{\lambda}^{(q,q^k)}}{h_{\lambda}(q, q^k)} \\
 &\times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(ik+1)\Gamma_q(x+(n-i)k+\lambda_i)\Gamma_q(y+(n-i)k)}{\Gamma_q(k+1)\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k+\lambda_i)} \\
 &= q^{kx(\frac{n}{2})+2k^2(\frac{n}{3})} P_{\lambda}(1, q^k, \dots, q^{(n-1)k}; q, q^k) \\
 &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(ik+1)\Gamma_q(x+(n-i)k+\lambda_i)\Gamma_q(y+(n-i)k)}{\Gamma_q(k+1)\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k+\lambda_i)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – Observe that  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_i^{2k-1} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_i)_{2k-1}$  is antisymmetric. Using Lemma 2.1, (4.17) and Theorem 5.1, we have

LHS of (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{<\xi_F>} P_{\lambda}(t; q, q^k) \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^x \frac{(qt_j)_{\infty}}{(q^y t_j)_{\infty}} \mathcal{A}\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - q^k t_j)\right) t_i^{2k-1} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_i)_{2k-1} \tilde{\omega} \\
 &= \frac{(q^k; q^k)_n}{(1 - q^k)^n} \int_{<\xi_F>} P_{\lambda}(t; q, q^k) D(x + (n - 1)(2k - 1), y, k; t) \tilde{\omega} \\
 &= \frac{(q^k; q^k)_n}{(1 - q^k)^n} q^{A_n} \frac{(q^{nk})_{\lambda}}{h_{\lambda}(q, q^k)} \frac{\prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - q^{x+(n-i)k+j-1})}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - q^{x+y+(2n-i-1)k+j-1})} \\
 &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(ik)\Gamma_q(x+(n-i)k)\Gamma_q(y+(n-i)k)}{\Gamma_q(k)\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.2) is immediate from the formulas

$$\Gamma_q(x+1) = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q} \Gamma_q(x)$$

and

$$A_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha - 2(j-1)k + n - 1)(j-1)k = kx \binom{n}{2} + 2k^2 \binom{n}{3}.$$

Kadell [Kad2] has given a different proof of Proposition 5.2 in a slightly different expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (5.2)} &= q^{B_n} \frac{(q^k; q^k)_n}{(1 - q^k)^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + (j - i)k)_k}{(1 - q)^k} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(x + (n - i)k + \lambda_i) \Gamma_q(y + (n - i)k)}{\Gamma_q(x + y + (2n - i - 1)k + \lambda_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_n = k \sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1)\lambda_i + kx \binom{n}{2} + 2k^2 \binom{n}{3}$ . This is checked by utilizing (3.6):

$$h_\lambda(q, q^k) = (q^k)_\infty^n \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{\lambda_i + (n - i + 1)k})_\infty^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + (j - i)k})_k^{-1}$$

and observing

$$(q^{nk})_\lambda^{(q, q^k)} (q^{\lambda_i + (n - i + 1)k})_\infty = q^k \sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1)\lambda_i (q^k)_\infty^n.$$

### 5.2. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF ${}_r\Phi_s^{(q, t)}(a, b; c; x)$ .

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  be such that  $(b_j)_\lambda^{(q, t)} \neq 0$  for any  $j$  and any partition  $\lambda$  of length  $\leq m$ . Assume  $m \leq n$ , and put

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad {}_r\Phi_s^{(q, t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_\lambda^{(q, t)} \left\{ (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{b(\lambda')} \right\}^{s+1-r}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (b_j)_\lambda^{(q, t)} h'_\lambda(q, t) P_\lambda(1, t, \dots, t^{n-1})} P_\lambda(x; q, t) P_\lambda(y; q, t). \end{aligned}$$

This series converges in a neighborhood of origin only if  $r \leq s + 1$  and its proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.8.

PROPOSITION 5.3. – Let  $a_{r+1} = q^\varepsilon$  and  $b_{s+1} = q^\eta$  and put  $\alpha = \varepsilon + (n - 1)(\gamma - 1)$ ,  $\beta = \eta - \varepsilon - (n - 1)\gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad & {}_{r+1}\Phi_{s+1}^{(q, t)}(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{s+1}; x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_{[0, \xi_F \infty]_q} {}_r\Phi_s^{(q, t)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &\quad \times {}_q D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; y) \tilde{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

provided the right-hand side is convergent.

*Proof.* – This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 because

$$\frac{(q^{\alpha+n-1}Q^{-(n-1)})_{\lambda}^{(q,Q)}}{(q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1})_{\lambda}^{(q,Q)}} = \frac{(q^{\varepsilon})_{\lambda}^{(q,Q)}}{(q^{\eta})_{\lambda}^{(q,Q)}}.$$

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** – Let  $a = q^{\delta}$ ,  $b = q^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $c = q^{\eta}$  and  $\alpha = \varepsilon + (n-1)(\gamma-1)$ ,  $\beta = \eta - \varepsilon - (n-1)\gamma$ . We have

(5.5)

$${}_2\Phi_1^{(q,Q)}(q^{-N}, b; c; q, qQ, \dots, qQ^{n-1}) = q^{Nn(\alpha+(n-1)(1-\gamma))} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q^{\beta+(i-1)\gamma})_N}{(q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1-(i-1)\gamma})_N},$$

where  $\delta = -N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and

$$(5.6) \quad {}_2\Phi_1^{(q,Q)}(a, b; c; c/ab, cQ/ab, \dots, cQ^{n-1}/ab) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta - \delta + (i-1)\gamma)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n - 1 - (i-1)\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + (i-1)\gamma)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta - \delta + n - 1 - (i-1)\gamma)}$$

provided the left-hand side is convergent.

*Proof.* – By Theorem 3.5 we have

$$(5.7) \quad {}_1\Phi_0^{(q,Q)}(q^{-N}; q, qQ, \dots, qQ^{n-1}; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n (q^{-N+1}y_j)_N,$$

$$(5.8) \quad {}_1\Phi_0^{(q,Q)}(a; c/ab, cQ/ab, \dots, cQ^{n-1}/ab; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(q^{\beta}y_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{\beta-\gamma}y_j)_{\infty}}.$$

Substituting (5.7) (resp. (5.8)) in the right-hand side of (5.4) with  $m = n, r = 1, s = 0$  and  $a_1 = q^{-N}$  (resp.  $a_1 = a$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\Phi_1^{(q,Q)}(q^{-N}, b; c; q, qQ, \dots, qQ^{n-1}) &= q^{Nn(\alpha+(n-1)(1-\gamma))} \frac{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta + N, \gamma; q^{-N}\xi_F)}{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)} \\ &= q^{Nn(\alpha+(n-1)(1-\gamma))} \frac{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta + N, \gamma; \xi_F)}{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)}, \\ {}_2\Phi_1^{(q,Q)}(a, b; c; c/ab, cQ/ab, \dots, cQ^{n-1}/ab) &= \frac{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta - \delta, \gamma; \xi_F)}{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof follows from the explicit formula (4.19).

## 6. Proof of Theorem 4.4

6.1. SKEW MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS. – For any partition  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  define rational functions  $f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(q, t)$  by

$$f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(q, t) = \frac{< P_{\lambda}, P_{\mu}P_{\nu} >}{< P_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda} >}.$$

Equivalently,

$$(6.1) \quad P_{\mu}P_{\nu} = \sum_{\lambda} f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(q, t)P_{\lambda}.$$

Clearly  $f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = 0$  unless  $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ . Moreover it holds that  $f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = 0$  unless  $\lambda \supset \mu$  and  $\lambda \supset \nu$  [Ma2, (4.2)].

If  $\lambda, \mu$  are partitions, define *skew Macdonald polynomials*  $P_{\lambda/\mu}$  by

$$(6.2) \quad P_{\lambda/\mu} = b_{\lambda}^{-1}b_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} b_{\nu} f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(q, t)P_{\nu}.$$

Hence  $P_{\lambda/\mu} = 0$  unless  $\lambda \supset \mu$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  be two sequences of independent indeterminates. Then we have [Ma2, (4.5)]:

$$(6.3) \quad P_{\lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{\mu} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x)P_{\mu}(y).$$

Put

$$\widetilde{f}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \frac{b_{\mu}b_{\nu}}{b_{\lambda}} f_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}.$$

Setting  $x = x_m, y = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  in (6.3), we get

$$(6.4) \quad P_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{\mu} \left( \sum_r \widetilde{f}_{\mu(r)}^{\lambda} \right) x_m^r P_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}).$$

If  $\lambda \supset \mu$  then the skew shape  $\lambda/\mu$  (regarded as a difference  $\lambda - \mu$  of diagrams) is called a *horizontal r-strip* (resp. *vertical r-strip*) if  $|\lambda/\mu| = r$  and no two distinct squares of  $\lambda/\mu$  lie in the same column (resp. row). Then  $f_{\mu(r)}^{\lambda} \neq 0$  (resp.  $f_{\mu(1^r)}^{\lambda} \neq 0$ ) if and only if  $\lambda \supset \mu$  and  $\lambda/\mu$  is a horizontal (resp. vertical) *r-strip* [Ma2, (4.8)]. Moreover they can be explicitly evaluated as follows. For each square  $s$  and each partition  $\lambda$ , define

$$(6.5) \quad b_{\lambda}(s) = b_{\lambda}(s; q, t) = \frac{1 - q^{a(s)}t^{l(s)+1}}{1 - q^{a(s)+1}t^{l(s)}}$$

if  $s \in \lambda$ , and  $b_\lambda(s) = 1$  if  $s \notin \lambda$ . If  $S$  is any set of squares (contained in the diagram of  $\lambda$  or not), put

$$(6.6) \quad b_\lambda(S) = \prod_{s \in S} b_\lambda(s).$$

Now let  $\lambda, \mu$  be partitions such that  $\lambda \supset \mu$  and  $\lambda/\mu$  is a horizontal  $r$ -strip. Let  $C_{\lambda/\mu}$  (resp.  $R_{\lambda/\mu}$ ) denote the union of the columns (resp. rows) that contain squares of  $\lambda/\mu$ . Then [Ma2, (5.12)]:

$$(6.7) \quad f_{\mu(r)}^\lambda = b_{(r)}^{-1} b_\lambda(C_{\lambda/\mu}) / b_\mu(C_{\lambda/\mu}).$$

Observe that

$$(6.8) \quad \widetilde{f_{\mu(1)}^\lambda} = b_{(1)} \frac{b_\mu(R_{\lambda/\mu})}{b_\lambda(R_{\lambda/\mu})} = \frac{1-t}{1-q} \frac{b_\mu(R_{\lambda/\mu})}{b_\lambda(R_{\lambda/\mu})}.$$

If  $\lambda, \mu$  be partitions such that  $\lambda \supset \mu$  and  $\lambda/\mu$  is a vertical  $r$ -strip, then applying the duality theorem [Ma2, (3.5)] (cf. [Ma3, Chap.6, (7.9)]) to (6.7), we obtain

$$(6.9) \quad f_{\mu(1^r)}^\lambda = b_\lambda(\bar{R}_{\lambda/\mu}) / b_\mu(\bar{R}_{\lambda/\mu}),$$

where  $\bar{R}_{\lambda/\mu}$  denotes the union of rows that do not contain squares of  $\lambda/\mu$ .

## 6.2. LEMMAS. — Put

$$(6.10) \quad \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - q^{a'(s)} t^{m-l'(s)}) \left( \prod_{s \in \mu} (1 - q^{a'(s)} t^{m-l'(s)}) \right)^{-1} h_\mu h_\lambda^{-1} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_m,$$

so that from Definition 4.3 we have

$$(6.11) \quad \varepsilon P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{|\mu|=|\lambda|-1} \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

LEMMA 6.1. — Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be partitions of  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$  and  $\ell(\mu) \leq m-1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m &= t \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_{m-1} + \widetilde{f_{\mu(1)}^\lambda}, \text{ if } \ell(\lambda) \leq m-1, \\ \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m &= \widetilde{f_{\mu(1)}^\lambda}, \text{ if } \ell(\lambda) = m. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – Setting  $x_m = 0$  in (6.11) yields

$$t\varepsilon P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) + \frac{\partial P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial_q x_m} \Big|_{x_m=0} = \sum_{\mu} \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}),$$

in which we see by (6.4) that

$$\frac{\partial P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial_q x_m} \Big|_{x_m=0} = \sum_{|\mu|=|\lambda|-1} \widetilde{f_{\mu(1)}} P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}).$$

Hence equating the coefficients of  $P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  in both sides gives the desired formulas.

For each partition  $\lambda$ , define  $\lambda_* = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} - 1)$ . One can readily derive from Theorem 3.1 that if  $\ell(\lambda) = m$ , then

$$(6.12) \quad P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right) P_{\lambda_*}(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

LEMMA 6.2. – *For partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of length  $= m$ , we have*

$$\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = q \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_*}{\mu_*}}_m + \widetilde{f_{\lambda_*(1^{m-1})}}.$$

*Proof.* – It follows from (6.12) that

$$(6.13) \quad \varepsilon P_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right) P_{\lambda_*}(x_1, \dots, x_m) + q \left( \prod_{i=1}^m x_i \right) \varepsilon P_{\lambda_*}(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

We assert in general that

$$(6.14) \quad \varepsilon e_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{1 - t^{m-r+1}}{1 - t} e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} e_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m A_i e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m A_i (e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) - x_i e_{r-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)) \\ &= \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - t} e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) - D_1 e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{1 - t^{m-r+1}}{1 - t} e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6.14) with  $r = m$  into (6.13) and equating the coefficients of  $P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  of both sides gives the desired formula.

LEMMA 6.3. – We have

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda, \mu} f_{\nu(1^r)}^\lambda \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \left( \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} + qe_\nu \right) e_{r-1} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ & \quad - D_1 \left( e_{r-1} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right) + e_r \varepsilon P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – The left-hand side is nothing but  $\varepsilon(e_r P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m))$ . On the other hand using (6.14) and that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q, x_i} e_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) &= e_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) + (q-1)x_i e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= e_r(x_1, \dots, x_m) + qx_i e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) - x_i T_{q, x_i} e_{r-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon(e_r P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m)) \\ &= (\varepsilon e_r) P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i T_{q, x_i}(e_r) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{1-t^{m-r+1}}{1-t} e_{r-1} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) + e_r \varepsilon P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) + q e_{r-1} D_1 P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i T_{q, x_i}(e_{r-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the formula (6.15) is immediate from

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(e_{r-1} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m)) &= \frac{t^{m-r+1} - t^m}{1-t} e_{r-1} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i T_{q, x_i}(e_{r-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial_q x_i} P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6.4.

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda, \mu} f_{\nu(2)}^\lambda \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m P_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-qt} \{(1+q)(1-t^m) - (1-q^2)e_\nu\} e_1 P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ & \quad + \frac{t(1-q^2)}{1-qt} D_1(e_1 P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m)) + P_{(2)} \varepsilon P_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* – One can readily deduce from Theorem 3.1 that

$$P_{(2)} = m_{(2)} + \frac{(1+q)(1-t)}{1-qt} m_{(1,1)},$$

so

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{\partial P_{(2)}}{\partial_q x_i} = \frac{t(1-q^2)}{1-qt} x_i + \frac{(1+q)(1-t)}{1-qt} e_1,$$

from which we get

$$(6.19) \quad \varepsilon P_{(2)} = \frac{(1+q)(1-qt^m)}{1-qt} e_1.$$

We have

$$(6.20) \quad \varepsilon(P_{(2)} P_\nu) = \varepsilon(P_{(2)}) P_\nu + P_{(2)} \varepsilon(P_\nu) + (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i \frac{\partial P_{(2)}}{\partial_q x_i} \frac{\partial P_\nu}{\partial_q x_i}$$

and by (6.16) with  $r = 2$  that

$$(6.21) \quad (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_i^2 \frac{\partial P_\nu}{\partial_q x_i} = D_1(e_1 P_\nu) - (t^{m-1} + e_\nu) e_1 P_\nu.$$

Substituting (6.18) and (6.19) into (6.20) and then applying (6.21) yields (6.17).

6.3. Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 4.4. We shall prove a stronger assertion: For any partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of length  $\leq m$ , we have

$$(6.22) \quad \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_m = t^{b(\lambda)-b(\mu)} \frac{h'_\lambda}{h'_\mu} f_{\mu(1)}^\lambda,$$

which is, in view of (6.8) and (6.10), equivalent to

$$(6.23) \quad \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^{\lambda_i-1}t^{m-i+1}}{1-q} \frac{b_\mu(R_{\lambda/\mu})}{b_\lambda(R_{\lambda/\mu})}, & \text{if } \mu = \lambda^{(i)}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mu \not\subset \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Here note that  $R_{\lambda/\mu}$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $\lambda$ . We shall denote the  $i$ -th row (resp.  $j$ -th column) of  $\lambda$  by  $R_{\lambda,i}$  (resp.  $C_{\lambda,j}$ ) and write  $b_\lambda(R_i)$  (resp.  $b_\lambda(C_i)$ ) for  $b_\lambda(R_{\lambda,i})$  (resp.  $b_\lambda(C_{\lambda,i})$ ). Note that  $b_\lambda(R_{\kappa,i}) = b_\lambda(R_i)$  provided  $\kappa \supset \lambda$ . (6.23) is rewritten as

$$(6.24) \quad \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^{\lambda_i-1}t^{m-i+1}}{1-q} \frac{b_\mu(R_i)}{b_\lambda(R_i)}, & \text{if } \mu = \lambda^{(i)}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mu \not\subset \lambda. \end{cases}$$

We prove (6.24) by induction on the dimension  $m$ . The case  $m = 1$  is easy to check: Put  $\lambda = (r), \mu = (r-1)$ . Then clearly  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = (1-q^r)/(1-q)$  holds. On the other hand we see

$$b_\lambda(R_1) = \frac{(t; q)_r}{(q; q)_r}, \quad b_\mu(R_1) = \frac{(t; q)_{r-1}}{(q; q)_{r-1}},$$

and therefore (6.24) follows at once. We assume that (6.24) holds in the dimensions  $\leq m-1$ . This implies that (6.24) holds in the case  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m-1$ . In fact by Lemma 6.1 we see that  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = 0$  unless  $\mu \subset \lambda$ . Moreover if  $\mu = \lambda^{(i)}$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m-1$ , then by means of (6.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m &= t \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i-1} t^{m-i}}{1 - q} \frac{b_\mu(R_i)}{b_\lambda(R_i)} + \frac{1 - t}{1 - q} \frac{b_\mu(R_i)}{b_\lambda(R_i)} \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i-1} t^{m-i+1}}{1 - q} \frac{b_\mu(R_i)}{b_\lambda(R_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\ell(\lambda) = m$ , then  $\mu = \lambda^{(m)}$  and  $\lambda_m = 1$ . Hence (6.24) is immediate from Lemma 6.1 also in this case.

Next suppose  $\ell(\underline{\mu}) = m$  and  $\ell(\lambda) = m$  and that (6.24) holds for  $\lambda_*$  and  $\mu_*$ . Then Lemma 6.2 implies that  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = 0$  unless  $\mu \subset \lambda$ . If  $\mu = \lambda^{(i)}$ , then by (6.9) we have

$$(6.25) \quad \widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = q \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i-2} t^{m-i+1}}{1 - q} \frac{b_{\mu_*}(R_i)}{b_{\lambda_*}(R_i)} + \frac{b_\mu(\bar{R}_{\mu/\lambda_*})}{b_{\lambda_*}(\bar{R}_{\mu/\lambda_*})}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\mu_*}(R_i) &= b_\mu(R_i) b_\mu((i, 1))^{-1} = \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i-1} t^{m-i}}{1 - q^{\lambda_i-2} t^{m-i+1}} b_\mu(R_i) \\ b_{\lambda_*}(R_i) &= b_\lambda(R_i) b_\lambda((i, 1))^{-1} = \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i} t^{m-i}}{1 - q^{\lambda_i-1} t^{m-i+1}} b_\lambda(R_i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_\mu(\bar{R}_{\mu/\lambda_*}) = b_\mu(R_i), \quad b_{\lambda_*}(\bar{R}_{\mu/\lambda_*}) = b_{\lambda_*}(R_i).$$

Substituting these into (6.25) gives (6.24). Iterating this argument, we see that the case  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu) = m$  reduces to the case  $\ell(\mu) \leq m-1$  (which we have just proved) or the case  $\ell(\mu) = m$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m-1$ .

It now remains to show that  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = 0$  when  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m-1$  and  $\ell(\mu) = m$ . We prove this by induction on  $\ell(\lambda)$  and for fixed  $\ell(\lambda)$  on  $\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$ , the case  $\lambda = (1)$  being obvious.

Note first that  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = 0$  for  $\lambda$  of  $\ell(\lambda) \leq p-1, p \leq m-1$  implies  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda}{\mu}}_m = 0$  for  $\lambda$  of  $\ell(\lambda) \leq p$  and  $\lambda_p = 1$ . This follows from (6.15) by setting  $r = 1, \nu = \lambda^{(p)}$  (so that  $\ell(\nu) = p-1$ ) and equating the coefficients of  $P_\mu$  of both sides. So we have reduced the proof to showing  $\widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m = 0$  for any partition  $\lambda$  of  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$  and  $\ell(\lambda) = p \leq m-1$  provided that  $\widetilde{\binom{\kappa}{\mu}}_m = 0$  when  $\ell(\kappa) \leq p-1$  or  $\ell(\kappa) = p$  and  $\kappa_p \leq \lambda_p$ .

We divide the proof into several parts treating different cases. First we assume  $p \leq m-2$  and derive necessary equalities from Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4. We have

$$(6.26) \quad \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m = 0$$

provided  $i \leq p-1$ . This follows immediately from (6.15) if we set  $r = 1, \nu = \lambda_{(i)}^{(p)}$  and compare the coefficients of  $P_\mu$  using induction hypothesis. Setting  $r = 1$  (resp.  $r = 2$ ) and  $\nu = \lambda$  or  $\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p)}$  (resp.  $\nu = \lambda^{(p)}$ ) in (6.15) and comparing coefficients of  $P_\mu$  using induction hypothesis and (6.26), we find that

$$(6.27) \quad f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m + f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p+1)}}{\mu}}_m \right) \right) = \sum_i f_{\lambda^{(i)}(1)}^\mu \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(i)}}{\lambda^{(i)}}}_m \right)$$

$$(6.28) \quad f_{\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p)}(1)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p+1)}}{\mu}}_m + f_{\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p)}(1)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m \right) \right) \\ + f_{\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p)}(1)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+1)}^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_{(p+1,p+1)}^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m \right) = \sum_i f_{\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p,i)}(1)}^\mu \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_{(p+1)}^{(p)}}{\lambda^{(p,i)(p+1)}}}_m \right)$$

$$(6.29) \quad f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p+1)}}{\mu}}_m + f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m \right) \right) \\ = \left( \frac{1-t^m}{1-t} + qe_{\lambda^{(p)}} - e_\mu \right) f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1)}^\mu + \sum_i f_{\lambda^{(p,i)}(1^2)}^\mu \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\lambda^{(p,i)}}}_m \right).$$

Similarly, setting  $\nu = \lambda^{(p)}$  in (6.17) gives

$$(6.30) \quad f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m + f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p+1)}}{\mu}}_m \right) + f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+1)}^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda_{(p+1,p+1)}^{(p)}}{\mu}}_m \right) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{1-qt} \left\{ (1-q^2)(te_\mu - e_{\lambda^{(p)}}) + (1+q)(1-t^m) \right\} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1)}^\mu \\ + \sum_i f_{\lambda^{(p,i)}(2)}^\mu \left( \widetilde{\binom{\lambda^{(p)}}{\lambda^{(p,i)}}}_m \right).$$

Here note that, because of induction hypothesis, the generalized binomial coefficients appearing in the right-hand sides of (6.27)–(6.30) are given by (6.24). So we regard these equalities as equations of unknowns  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p)}\right)_m$ ,  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1)}\right)_m$ ,  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1,p+1)}\right)_m$ , and  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1,p+2)}\right)_m$ .

*Remark.* – If  $\lambda_p = 1$  (resp.  $\lambda_p = 2$ ), we understand  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1,p+1)}\right)_m$ , and  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1,p+2)}\right)_m$  (resp.  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p+1,p+1)}\right)_m$ ) to be zero and the following argument should be modified accordingly. We leave this task to the reader and assume henceforth that  $\lambda_p \geq 3$ .

*Case 1.* –  $p \leq m - 2$  and  $\mu \notin \lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}$ . Observe that the right-hand sides of (6.27)–(6.30) are all vanishing. Hence, for the proof of  $\left(\lambda_{\mu}^{(p)}\right)_m = 0$ , it suffices to show that the determinant of coefficient matrix of equations is not identically zero. For this purpose, set  $t = 1$ , then we have in general

$$b_{\lambda}(s) = \frac{1 - q^{a(s)}}{1 - q^{a(s)+1}}.$$

Hence by (6.8) and (6.9) we find that the coefficients appearing in the equations (6.27)–(6.29) are all equal to one. Also by (6.7) we have

$$b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p)}} \Big|_{t=1} = b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,p+1)}} \Big|_{t=1} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \Big|_{t=1} &= (b_{(1)} b_{(2)})^{-1} \frac{b_{\lambda^{(p+1)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p+1)},1} \cup C_{\lambda^{(p)},\lambda_p})}{b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p+1)},1} \cup C_{\lambda^{(p)},\lambda_p})} \Big|_{t=1} \\ &= (b_{(1)} b_{(2)}^{-1}) \Big|_{t=1} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_p - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_p}} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_p - 1}}{1 - q^{\lambda_p - 2}} \\ &= ((1 - q)(1 - q^{\lambda_p})(1 - q^{\lambda_p - 2}))^{-1} (1 - q^2)(1 - q^{\lambda_p - 1})^2, \end{aligned}$$

which we denote by  $C(q)$ . Therefore the determinant of the coefficient matrix (we multiply the equation (6.30) by  $b_{(1)}^{-1}$ ) at  $t = 1$  is

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda^{(1)}}^{\lambda^{(p)}} & f_{\lambda^{(1)}}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_{\lambda^{(p+1)}(1)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} & f_{\lambda^{(p+1)}(1)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,p+1)}} & f_{\lambda^{(p+1)}(1)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,p+2)}} \\ 0 & f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} & 0 & f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,p+2)}} \\ b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p)}} & b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} & b_{(1)}^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,p+1)}} & 0 \end{vmatrix}_{t=1}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & C(q) & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = C(q) - 1 \not\equiv 0,$$

as desired.

*Case 2.* –  $p = m - 2$  and  $\mu \subset \lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}$ . It necessarily follows that  $\mu = \lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}$  for some  $r \leq p$ , and therefore the right-hand side of (6.27) is clearly zero. We see also

$$\text{RHS of (6.29)} = f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}} \left( \widetilde{\lambda^{(p)}} \right).$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$(6.31) \quad f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \right)_m = f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}} \left( \widetilde{\lambda^{(p,r)}} \right)_m.$$

By (6.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \widetilde{\lambda^{(p)}} \right)_m^{-1} \left( \widetilde{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \right)_m \\ &= b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(R_r)^{-1} b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}}(R_r) b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}(R_r)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(R_r) \\ &= b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}((r,1))^{-1} b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}}((r,1)) b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}((r,1))^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}((r,1)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by (6.9) we see also

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} &= b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p)},1})^{-1} b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p)},1}). \\ f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}} &= b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p,r)},1})^{-1} b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}}(C_{\lambda^{(p,r)},1}), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}} \right)^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}} \\ &= b_{\lambda^{(p)}}((r,1)) b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}((r,1))^{-1} b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p,r)}}((r,1)) b_{\lambda_{(p+1,p+2)}^{(p)}}((r,1))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (6.31).

*Case 3.* –  $p = m - 1$  and  $\mu \not\subset \lambda_{(p+1)}$ . In this case by (6.15) with  $r = 1$  (resp.  $r = 2$ ) and  $\nu = \lambda$  (resp.  $\nu = \lambda^{(p)}$ ) and induction hypothesis we have for some  $s < p$

$$(6.32) \quad f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda_{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\lambda_{(p)}} \right)_m + f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda_{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\lambda_{(p+1)}} \right)_m = 0$$

$$(6.33) \quad f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1)}} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p+1)} \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) + f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,s)}} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = \sum_i f_{\lambda^{(p,i)}(1^2)}^{\mu} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \lambda^{(p,i)} \end{pmatrix}}_m \right).$$

Observe that, as  $\mu \notin \lambda^{(p+1)}$  is assumed,  $f_{\lambda^{(p,i)}(1^2)}^{\mu}$  does not vanish only if  $\mu$  is of the form

$$(6.34) \quad \mu = \lambda^{(p,r)}_{(p+1,s)}, \quad r < p, \quad r \neq s,$$

and  $i = r$ . If not, then one can readily conclude from Lemma 6.2 and induction hypothesis that  $\left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p+1,s)} \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = 0$ . Hence  $\left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p+1)} \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = 0$  follows from (6.33), so that we obtain  $\left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = 0$  from (6.32).

We now assume (6.34). It clearly suffices to show

$$(6.35) \quad f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p)}} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \lambda^{(p+1,s)} \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p,r)}} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \lambda^{(p,r)} \end{pmatrix}}_m \right).$$

By (6.24) we have

$$(6.36) \quad \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \lambda^{(p,r)} \end{pmatrix}}_m \right)^{-1} \left( \widetilde{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(p)} \\ \lambda^{(p,r)} \end{pmatrix}}_m \right) = b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(R_r)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}_{(p+1,s)}}(R_r) b_{\lambda^{(p)}_{(p+1,s)}}(R_r)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(R_r) \\ = b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(S)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}_{(p+1,s)}}(S) b_{\lambda^{(p)}_{(p+1,s)}}(S)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(S),$$

where  $S = (r, 1) \cup (r, \lambda_s + 1)$  if  $r < s$  and  $= (r, 1)$  if  $r > s$ . On the other hand by (6.9) we have also

$$f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,s)}} = b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1})^{-1} b_{(1)}^{-2} b_{\lambda^{(p)}_{(p+1,s)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1}) \\ f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,s)}} = b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1})^{-1} b_{(1)}^{-2} b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}_{(p+1,s)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1}),$$

where  $C'_1 = C_1 \setminus (s, 1)$ . Observe that

$$b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1})^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1}) = b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(S)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(S) \\ b_{\lambda^{(p)}_{(p+1,s)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1})^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}_{(p+1,s)}}(C'_1 \cup C_{\lambda_s+1}) = b_{\lambda^{(p,r)}}(S)^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(p)}}(S),$$

to get

$$\left( f_{\lambda^{(p)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p+1,s)}} \right)^{-1} f_{\lambda^{(p,r)}(1^2)}^{\lambda^{(p,r)}} = \text{RHS of (6.36)}.$$

This completes the proof of (6.35).

*Case 4.* –  $p = m - 1$  and  $\mu \subset \lambda_{(p+1)}$ . So  $\mu = \lambda_{(p+1)}^{(r)}$  for some  $r < p + 1$  and  $\mu_m = 1$ . One can readily derive from (6.15) with  $r = 1$  and  $\nu = \lambda$  and induction hypothesis that

$$f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(p)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda(p)} \\ \mu \end{array} \right)_m + f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(p+1)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda(p+1)} \\ \mu \end{array} \right)_m = f_{\lambda(r)(1)}^{\mu} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda} \\ \lambda^{(r)} \end{array} \right)_m.$$

So it remains only to show

$$f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(p+1)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda(p+1)} \\ \lambda^{(r)} \end{array} \right)_m = f_{\lambda(r)(1)}^{\lambda^{(r)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda} \\ \lambda^{(r)} \end{array} \right)_m.$$

This is concluded, as in the previous cases, from (6.9) and (6.24): It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda} \\ \lambda^{(r)} \end{array} \right)_m^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\lambda(p+1)} \\ \lambda^{(r)} \end{array} \right)_m &= \left( f_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(p+1)} \right)^{-1} f_{\lambda(r)(1)}^{\lambda^{(r)}} \\ &= b_{\lambda(p+1)}((r, 1))^{-1} b_{\lambda}((r, 1)) b_{\lambda(r)}((r, 1))^{-1} b_{\lambda^{(r)}}((r, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 4.4.

## Appendix A. Convergence of the integral

We show that the integral  ${}_q S_{n,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu; x_1, \dots, x_m; \xi)$  converges under the conditions  $(\mathcal{C}_1), (\mathcal{C}_2)$ . It is immediate that, if  $(aq^s)_\infty/(bq^s)_\infty$  has no pole at any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\left| \frac{(aq^s)_\infty}{(bq^s)_\infty} \right| \leq \begin{cases} M_1, & s \geq 0, \\ M_2 |a/b|^{-s}, & s < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $M_1 = \max_{s \geq 0} |(aq^s)_\infty/(bq^s)_\infty|$ ,  $M_2 = |(a)_\infty/(b)_\infty| \max_{s \geq 0} |(a^{-1})_s/(b^{-1})_s|$ . Using this, for  $t_j = \xi_j q^{s_j}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} (1 - t_j/t_i) \right| &\leq \begin{cases} Cte., & s_j - s_i \geq 0, \\ Cte. |q^{2\gamma}|^{s_j - s_i}, & s_j - s_i < 0, \end{cases} \\ \left| \frac{(qt_j)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_j)_\infty} \right| &\leq \begin{cases} Cte., & s_j \geq 0, \\ Cte. |q^{\beta-1}|^{s_j}, & s_j < 0, \end{cases} \\ \left| \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i t_j)_\infty}{(q^\mu x_i t_j)_\infty} \right| &\leq \begin{cases} Cte., & s_j \geq 0, \\ Cte. |q^{m\mu}|^{s_j}, & s_j < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we put

$$a_s = \begin{cases} 1, & s \geq 0, \\ q^{\beta-1+m\mu}, & s < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Case  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$ .* – So  $|q^\gamma| \leq 1$  and it follows from the inequality above that

$$\left| \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} (1 - t_j/t_i) \right| \leq Cte. |q^{2\gamma}|^{-|s_j|-|s_i|}.$$

Hence

$$|\Phi_0(\xi_1 q^{s_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{s_n})| \leq Cte. \prod_{j=1}^n |q^{(\alpha+n-1-2(j-1)\gamma)s_j - 2(n-1)\gamma|s_j|} a_{s_j}|.$$

The condition  $(\mathcal{C}_2)$  in the case  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |q^{(\alpha+n-1-4(n-1)\gamma)s}| + \sum_{s=-1}^{-\infty} |q^{(\alpha+n-1+\beta-1+m\mu+2(n-1)\gamma)s}| < \infty.$$

This clearly implies the convergence of the series

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} \Phi_0(t) \tilde{\omega} = (1-q)^n \sum_{s_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_0(\xi_1 q^{s_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{s_n}).$$

*Case  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma < 0$ .* — We see

$$\left| \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} (1 - t_j/t_i) \right| \leq Cte.,$$

so that

$$|\Phi_0(\xi_1 q^{s_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{s_n})| \leq Cte. \prod_{j=1}^n |q^{(\alpha+n-1-2(j-1)\gamma)s_j} a_{s_j}|.$$

The condition  $(\mathcal{C}_2)$  in the case  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma < 0$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |q^{(\alpha+n-1)s}| + \sum_{s=-1}^{-\infty} |q^{(\alpha+n-1+\beta-1+m\mu-2(n-1)\gamma)s}| < \infty.$$

This implies the convergence of the series (A.1).

When  $\xi = \xi_F$ , as the summation in (A.1) is only over  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n$ , one can relax the condition  $(\mathcal{C}_2)$  into

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha + n - 1 > \max\{2(n-1)\operatorname{Re} \gamma, 0\}.$$

Finally we note that, as  $|P_\lambda(x)| \leq Cte.(|x_1| + \dots + |x_m|)^{|\lambda|}$ , the integral  $\int_{[0, \xi \infty]_q} P_\lambda(t; q, Q)_q D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; t) \tilde{\omega}$  converges provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \alpha + n - 1 &> 4(n-1)\max\{\operatorname{Re} \gamma, 0\}, \\ \operatorname{Re} \alpha + n - 1 + \operatorname{Re} \beta - 1 + m\operatorname{Re} \mu + |\lambda| &< -2(n-1)|\operatorname{Re} \gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

**Appendix B. Evaluation of  ${}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi)$** 

We begin by showing that

$$(B.1) \quad {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma; \xi) = q^{n(n-1)\gamma/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{\alpha+n-1-(n+j-2)\gamma}}{1 - q^{\alpha+\beta+n-1-(n-j)\gamma}} {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi).$$

Indeed this is a consequence of the case  $m = 1$  of (4.17): Equating the coefficients of  $x^n$  of both sides gives

$$(-q^\beta Q^{-1})^n {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma; \xi) = \frac{(Q^{-n}; Q)_n}{(Q; Q)_n} \frac{(q^{-(\alpha+n-1)} Q^{n-1}; Q)_n}{(q^{-(\alpha+\beta+n-1)}; Q)_n} {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi),$$

and this leads to (B.1) immediately. We first prove (1.6) by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being nothing but the  $q$ -beta integral formula [As1], [GR, p. 19]. We proceed as in [Kad1]. Set

$$(B.2) \quad {}_qpr_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\alpha\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + n - 1 - (n + j - 2)\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n - 1 - (n - j)\gamma)}$$

$$(B.3) \quad {}_qQ_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{{}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)}{{}_qpr_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}.$$

By (B.1) and the equation  $\Gamma_q(\alpha + 1) = (1 - q^\alpha)/(1 - q)\Gamma_q(\alpha)$ , we see

$$(B.4) \quad {}_qQ_n(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma) = {}_qQ_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

We extend  ${}_qQ_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  to all  $\alpha$  by this equation.

We assume that  $\gamma$  is real and  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha + (n - 1)(1 - 2\gamma) > 0$ . We show that

$$(B.5) \quad {}_qQ_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = q^{C_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + (j - 1)\gamma)\Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)}$$

where  $C_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (-2(j - 1)\gamma + n - 1)(j - 1)\gamma$ . Rewriting  ${}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F)$  as iterated integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_qS_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F) &= \int_{[0, q^{(n-1)\gamma}]} t_n^{\alpha+(n-1)(1-2\gamma)} \frac{(qt_n)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_n)_\infty} \\ &\quad \left[ \int_{[0, (1, \dots, q^{(n-2)\gamma})]} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^{\alpha+(j-1)(1-2\gamma)} \frac{(qt_j)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_j)_\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(q^{1-\gamma} t_j/t_i)_\infty}{(q^\gamma t_j/t_i)_\infty} D(t) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d_q t_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} \right] \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\alpha_0 = (n - 1)(2\gamma - 1)$ . Observe that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha - \alpha_0}}{1 - q} \int_{[0, q^{(n-1)\gamma}]} t_n^{\alpha - \alpha_0} \frac{(qt_n)_\infty}{(q^\beta t_n)_\infty} \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} = 1.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (B.6) \quad & \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha - \alpha_0}}{1 - q} {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F) = {}_q S_{n-1,0}(\alpha_0 + 1, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F) \\ &= q^{A_{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n-j+1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\beta + (j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + (n+j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_0 - 2(j-1)\gamma + n-1)(j-1)\gamma = C_n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \alpha_0 \gamma.$$

On the other hand by (B.2) and (B.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B.7) \quad & \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha - \alpha_0}}{1 - q} {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha - \alpha_0}}{1 - q} {}_q pr_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) {}_q Q_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ &= q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \alpha_0 \gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\beta + (n-1)\gamma)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n-j)\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + (n+j-1)\gamma)} {}_q Q_n(\alpha_0, \beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Equating (B.6) and (B.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (B.8) \quad {}_q Q_n(\alpha_0, \beta, \gamma) &= q^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \alpha_0 \gamma + A_{n-1}} \Gamma_q(\beta + (n-1)\gamma) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + (n+j-1)\gamma)}{\Gamma_q((n-j)\gamma)} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n-j+1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\beta + (j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + (n+j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &= q^{C_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + (j-1)\gamma) \Gamma_q(j\gamma)}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (B.5) when  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . One can show that  ${}_q Q_n(\alpha_0, \beta, \gamma)$  is bounded in the strip  $\alpha_0 + 1 \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq \alpha_0 + 2$  in the exactly same way as [Kad1]. Hence it is bounded for all  $\alpha$  by (B.4), and thus (B.5) follows from Liouville's theorem that a

bounded entire function is constant. The restriction that  $\gamma$  is real and positive is easily removed by analytic continuation.

Now we turn to the proof of (1.5). Set

$$(B.9) \quad {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi) = c(\xi) {}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi_F).$$

By the definition of Jackson integral, we see for any  $j$  that

$$(B.10) \quad T_{q,\xi_j} c(\xi) = c(\xi).$$

Observe that  $c(\xi) \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j^{2(j-1)\gamma-\alpha}$  is meromorphic on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  with simple poles in  $\{\xi \mid \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_j / \xi_i) \prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta(q^\beta \xi_j) = 0\}$ . We assert that  $c(\xi)$  is vanishing on  $\{\xi \mid \xi_j = \xi_i q^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . By (B.10), it suffices to show that if  $\xi_i = \xi_j, i < j$ , then  ${}_q S_{n,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \xi) = 0$ . Let  $\sigma_{ij}$  be the transposition of  $i$  and  $j$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} \Phi_0(t) \tilde{\omega} &= \int_{[0, \sigma_{ij}(\xi)_\infty]_q} \Phi_0(t) \tilde{\omega} \\ &= \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} \sigma_{ij}(\Phi_0(t)) \tilde{\omega} \\ &= -U_{\sigma_{ij}}(\xi) \int_{[0, \xi_\infty]_q} \Phi_0(t) \tilde{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our assertion follows from (use  $\vartheta(q/x) = \vartheta(x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\sigma_{ij}}(\xi) &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ \sigma_{ij}(k) > \sigma_{ij}(l)}} \left( \frac{\xi_l}{\xi_k} \right)^{2\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_l / \xi_k)}{\vartheta(q^{1-\gamma} \xi_l / \xi_k)} \\ &= \frac{\vartheta(q^\gamma)}{\vartheta(q^{1-\gamma})} \prod_{i < k < j} \left( \frac{\xi_j}{\xi_k} \right)^{2\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_j / \xi_k)}{\vartheta(q^{1-\gamma} \xi_j / \xi_k)} \prod_{i < l < j} \left( \frac{\xi_l}{\xi_i} \right)^{2\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_l / \xi_i)}{\vartheta(q^{1-\gamma} \xi_l / \xi_i)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We are now able to write

$$c(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j^{\alpha-2(j-1)\gamma} \frac{1}{\vartheta(q^\beta \xi_j)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_j / \xi_i)}{\vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_j / \xi_i)} f(\xi)$$

where  $f(\xi)$  is holomorphic on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . One can derive from (B.10) that

$$T_{q,\xi_j} f(\xi) = -\frac{1}{q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-1)\gamma} \xi_j} f(\xi).$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$f(\xi) = Cte. \prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta(q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-1)\gamma} \xi_j).$$

Since  $c(\xi_F) = 1$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} c(\xi) &= q^{\sum_{j=1}^n (2(j-1)\gamma - \alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j^{\alpha-2(j-1)\gamma} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_j q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-1)\gamma}) \vartheta(q^{\beta+(j-1)\gamma}) \vartheta(q^{j\gamma})}{\vartheta(q^{\alpha+\beta-(n-j)\gamma}) \vartheta(\xi_j q^\beta) \vartheta(q^\gamma)} \\ &\quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_j / \xi_i)}{\vartheta(q^\gamma \xi_j / \xi_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (B.9) and (1.6) completes the proof of (1.5).

#### REFERENCES

- [An] G. E. ANDREWS, *q-Series, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math.* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986).
- [Ao1] K. AOMOTO, *On connection coefficients for q-difference systems of A-type Jackson integrals* (SIAM. J. Math. Anal., Vol. 25, 1994, pp. 256-273).
- [Ao2] K. AOMOTO, *On product formulae for Jackson integrals associated with root systems*, preprint, 1994.
- [Ao3] K. AOMOTO, *On a theta product formula for the symmetric A-type connection function* (Osaka J. Math., Vol. 32, 1995, pp. 35-39).
- [As1] R. ASKEY, *The q-gamma and q-beta functions* (Applicable Analysis, Vol. 8, 1978, pp. 125-141).
- [As2] R. ASKEY, *Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews* (SIAM. J. Math. Anal., Vol. 11, 1980, pp. 938-951).
- [BC] D. BARSKY and M. CARPENTIER, *Intégrales de Selberg généralisées*, preprint, 1994.
- [BO] R. J. BEERENDS and E. M. OPDAM, *Certain hypergeometric series related to the root system BC* (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 339, 1993, pp. 581-610).
- [C] S. COOPER, *Proof of a q-extension of a conjecture of Forrester*, preprint, 1994.
- [F] P. J. FORRESTER, *Some multidimensional integrals related to many body systems with the 1/r<sup>2</sup> potential* (J. Phys. A, Vol. 25, 1992, L607-L614).
- [GR] G. GASPER and M. RAHMAN, *Basic hypergeometric functions* (Cambridge University Press, London, 1990).
- [H] L. HABSIEGER, *Une q-intégrale de Selberg et Askey* (SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 19, 1988, pp. 1475-1489).
- [Kad1] K. KADEF, *A proof of Askey's conjectured q-analogue of Selberg's integral and a conjecture of Morris* (SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 19, 1988, pp. 969-986).
- [Kad2] K. KADEF, *The Selberg-Jack symmetric functions* (to appear in Adv. in Math.).
- [Kan1] J. KANEKO, *Selberg integrals and hypergeometric functions* (in Special Differential Equations, Proc. of the Taniguchi Workshops, Kyushu University, 1992, pp. 62-68).
- [Kan2] J. KANEKO, *Selberg integrals and hypergeometric functions associated with Jack polynomials* (SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 24, 1993, pp. 1086-1110).
- [Kan3] J. KANEKO, *Constant term identities of Forrester-Zeilberger-Cooper* (to appear in Discrete Math.).
- [Ko] A. KORÁNYI, *Hua-type integrals, hypergeometric functions and symmetric polynomials*, (in International symposium in memory of Hua Loo Keng, Vol. 2, Analysis, S. GONG et al., eds., Science Press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, pp. 169-180).

- [L] M. LASSALLE, *Une formule du binôme généralisée pour les polynômes de Jack* (*C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.*, Vol. 310, 1990, pp. 253-256).
- [Ma1] I. G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979).
- [Ma2] I. G. MACDONALD, *A new class of symmetric functions* (*Actes 20<sup>e</sup> Séminaire Lotharingien, Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg*, 1988, pp. 131-171).
- [Ma3] I. G. MACDONALD, manuscript of second edition of [Ma1].
- [Z] D. ZEILBERGER, *Proof of a *q*-analog of a constant term identity conjectured by Forrester* (*J. Comb. Theory Ser. A*, Vol. 66, 1994, pp. 311-312).

(Manuscript received May 5, 1995;  
revised December 8, 1995.)

Jyoichi KANEKO  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Ropponmatsu, Fukuoka 810  
Japon