PRIMALITY AND FACTORIZATION

H.W. Lenstra, Jr.*

This is a brief summary of two lectures on primality testing and factorization methods, with an annotated bibliography.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two fundamental problems from algorithmic number theory are the following:

A. given an integer n > 1, how to determine whether n is a prime number or not?

B. if n is not prime, how to find integers a, b > 1 such that n = ab?

The interest of these problems for cryptography stems from a scheme introduced by Rivest, Shamir and Adleman. For this scheme it is essential that problem A is *easy* and that B is *hard*. In these lectures we shall see to which extent this is actually the case.

2. PRIMALITY

All modern primality testing methods depend on generalizations of *Fermat's theorem*, which asserts that

$$n \text{ prime } \Rightarrow a^n \equiv a \bmod n \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The converse of this theorem is wrong; but even if one uses a version that does admit a converse the problem presents itself that not all integers a (mod n) can be tried. In *probabilistic* primality tests this problem is overcome by trying a random sample of values of a. Such tests are practically feasible for numbers n of thousands of decimal digits, and they suffice for cryptographic purposes; on the other hand, they yield no mathematical certainty. Mathematically *rigorous* tests depend on generalizations of Fermat's theorem to algebraic number fields. They can be used to provide rigorous primality proofs for arbitrary prime numbers of up to

*   Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit van Amsterdam,
    Roetersstraat 15, 1018 WB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

several hundreds of decimal digits.

3. FACTORIZATION

If n fails to pass a primality test, e.g. because an integer a
is found for which $a^n \neq a \bmod n$, then n is certainly not prime,
but we do not know a factorization n = ab of n. The best known
practical methods to factor n are of a probabilistic nature, but
in a way that is different from the probabilistic primality tests:
the lack of certainty concerns the running time of the algorithm,
not the final result.

At the moment the best performing factorization algorithms are the
continued fraction method of Morrison and Brillhart and the *quad-
ratic sieve method* of Pomerance. These methods can factor numbers
of up to 50 decimal digits approximately. Theoretically the *class
group method* of Shanks, in the version of Schnorr and Lenstra, is
better, but its practical merits are still unclear. The latter
method has several special features that may be relevant for cryp-
tography.

4. REFERENCES

4.1. *General*

[K]   KNUTH, D.E., *The art of computer programming*, vol. 2, Semi-
      numerical algorithms, Chapter 4, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp.,
      Reading, Mass., second edition, 1981.
      A standard reference on algorithmic number theory.
[LT] LENSTRA, JR., H.W. & TIJDEMAN, R., *Computational methods in
      number theory*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 154/155, Mathema-
      tisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1982.
      A collection of lectures on several subjects in algorithmic
      number theory.
[D]   DIXON, J.D., Factorization and primality tests, *Amer. Math.
      Monthly*, to appear.
      A survey article that includes recent work.

4.2. *Cryptography*

RIVEST, R.L., SHAMIR, A. & ADLEMAN, L., A method for obtaining
      digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems, *Comm. of the
      ACM*, 1978, 21, 120-126.
      The original paper about the RSA-scheme. See also [K], pp. 386

-389, and the contribution of P.J. HOOGENDOORN to [LT].

4.3. *Primality tests*

WILLIAMS, H.C., Primality testing on a computer, *Ars Combinatoria*,
   1978, 5, 127-185.
   An excellent survey of the older methods. See also [K], pp.
   374-380.
ADLEMAN, L.M., POMERANCE, C. & RUMELY, R.S., On distinguishing
   prime numbers from composite numbers, *Ann. Math.*, 1983, 117,
   173-206.
   This paper presents a new rigorous primality test.
LENSTRA, JR., H.W., Primality testing algorithms, *Séminaire Bourba-
   ki* 1980/81, exposé 576, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 901, 243-
   257, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
COHEN, H. & LENSTRA, JR., H.W., Primality testing and Jacobi sums,
   Math. Comp. , to appear.
   These two papers contain theoretical and practical simplifica-
   tions of the test of Adleman, Pomerance and Rumely. A forth-
   coming publication of H. COHEN and A.K. LENSTRA will discuss
   the implementation of the new test.
POMERANCE, C., The search for prime numbers, *Scientific American*,
   1982, 247, 6, 122-130.
POMERANCE, C., Recent developments in primality testing, *The Math-
   ematical Intelligencer*, 1981, 3, 97-105.
   Two more or less popular accounts. See also my paper in [LT].

4.4. *Factorization methods*

GUY, R.K., How to factor a number, *Proc. Fifth Manitoba Conf.
   Numer. Math.*, Utilitas, Winnipeg, 1975, 49-89.
MONIER, L., *Algorithmes de factorisation d'entiers*, Thèse de 3^{me}
   cycle, Orsay, 1980.
   These are surveys of older factoring methods; see also [K],
   section 4.5.4, and the contribution of M. VOORHOEVE to [LT].
   Precise analyses of many methods, as well as new techniques,
   are presented in C. POMERANCE's contribution to [LT]. A dis-
   cussion of class group methods can be found in R.J. SCHOOF's
   contribution to [LT], and a new variant in a forthcoming paper
   by C.P. SCHNORR and myself; extended abstracts of the latter
   paper are:
SCHNORR, C.P., Monte-Carlo factoring algorithm with finite storage,
   in: CREMERS, A.B. & KRIEGEL, H.P. (eds), *Theoretical computer
   science, 6th GI-conference, Dortmund* 1983, Lecture Notes in
   Computer Science 145, 19-33, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
SCHNORR, C.P., A Monte Carlo factoring algorithm with finite stor-
   age, *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres* 1981-1982, exposé 40,
   Université de Bordeaux I, Bordeaux, 1982.

Note. This bibliography is by no means complete. Further references,
in particular to the older literature, can be found in the papers
mentioned above.