## **Supplementary Material**

## **Methods and Methods**

## Pharmacological growth inhibition assays.

Cultured cells were seeded into 96-well plates  $(1-2E^3 \text{ cells per well})$  and twenty-four hours after seeding, serial dilutions of each inhibitor prepared in media were added to cells. Cells were incubated for 72h following addition of drug and cell viability was determined as previously described (1).

## ATM shRNA constructs

<u>The ATM shRNA sequences correspond to nucleotides 5101-5119 (NM\_000051.3) and were</u> <u>cloned into the *pSIH-H1-EF1-copGFP* lentiviral vector, which co-expresses copGFP (System BioSciences, MountainView, CA, USA). The non-silencing negative control shRNA did not show complete homology to any known human transcript and had the following sequence: 5' -TTAGAGGCGAGCAAGACTA-3.</u>

### Whole genome exome sequencing

Exome sequencing was performed on patient-matched Pre and Prog melanoma tissues. Exonic sequences were enriched using the Illumina TrueSeq technology, targeting 62Mb of sequence encompassing exons. Sequencing was performed with the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform, the read pairs were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using BWA and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and small insertion/deletions (INDELS) were detected by SAMTOOLS (AXEQ Technologies). To generate a list of somatic variants specific for the Prog-tumor, we removed low coverage variants (SNP Quality <20, read <10) and eliminated variants annotated as common polymorphisms or in the 1000Genomes Project (at least 3%). We used Ingenuity Variant Analysis (http://www.ingenuity.com) to detect Prog-specific cancer driver variants, which included

the amino-acid conservation p-value using PhyloP score.

## **Figure Legends**

## Figure S1 Representative Sanger sequencing traces

Traces showing alternate *BRAF* splice junctions and the exon 15 Val600 codon (underlined) in Progs with *BRAF* splice variants. Sequences showing mutations affecting *AKT1*, *MEK1*, *MEK2* and *N-RAS* in Prog biopsies and matched pre-treatment tumors.

## Figure S2 Level of V600E BRAF allele relative to the wild type BRAF allele.

The relative amount of the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> allele (relative to the wild type BRAF allele) is shown for Prog tumors derived from patient 14 and 29. Graph represents mean  $\pm$  SD from two independent amplification experiments.

## Figure S3 Linear models of MEK1 and MEK2 showing functional domains

Locations of identified *MEK* mutations. NES, nuclear export signal; NRR, negative regulatory region; Pro-rich, Proline-rich region.

## Figure S4 ATM<sup>R337C</sup> does not confer resistance to dabrafenib

- A. <u>Sequence trace showing ATM codon 337 in Pre and Prog melanoma tumors derived from</u> <u>Patient 18</u>
- B. <u>SKMel28 and A375 melanoma cells were stably transduced with the indicated constructs.</u>
   <u>Cell lysates were analyzed for the indicated proteins 4h after incubation with dabrafenib at the indicated concentrations.</u>
- C. <u>Transduced SKMel28 and A375 cells were seeded at low density and 24h after seeding were</u> <u>treated with the indicated concentrations of dabrafenib every 72-96h.</u> Colonies were stained with crystal violet 12 days post transduction.

# Figure S5 Transcript expression analyses of additional BRAF inhibitor resistance mechanisms

The log2 fold change (Prog biopsy relative to matched pre-treatment tumor) in expression of the indicated gene transcripts is represented as colored boxes. Grey boxes indicate low signal expression values (detection p value <0.05). A 32-fold increase (relative to the pre-treatment tumor) was observed in the BRAF mRNA transcript of the Prog sample showing *BRAF* copy number gains (Patient 14).

## Figure S6 Expression of PDGFRB in melanoma biopsy samples from patient 3

PDGFRß shows increased stromal expression, rather than tumoral expression in Prog tumors compared with the matched pre-treatment tumor.

## Figure S7 Differential expression of pAKT in two Prog tumors derived from patient 10 (Prog 1 MAPK-inhibited and Prog 2 MAPK re-activated)

A matched pre-treatment melanoma biopsy was not available for p-AKT staining.

# Figure S8 Melanoma cells expressing *BRAF* splice variants are resistant to dabrafenib, but retain sensitivity to trametinib

(A) Sequencing analyses of the *BRAF* RT-PCR product amplified from the SKMel28-derived BR4 subline with acquired resistance to dabrafenib (1) Traces show the alternate exon 3 BRAF splice junction (BRAF exon 4-10 $\Delta$ ) and the Val600Glu substitution in exon 15.

(B) Viability curves of the parental SKMel28 and the dabrafenib-acquired resistant BR4 subline treated with the indicated dabrafenib and trametinib doses for 72 hours (relative to DMSO-treated controls; mean  $\pm$ SD; n=2).

Detient	Tumor	Mean read depth of	% coverage of target	
Patient	Tumor	target regions	regions (>10x)	
Dationt 1	Pre	52.9	90.0	
Patient I	Prog	54.0	89.0	
Detient 17	Pre	63.7	91.8	
Patient 17	Prog	67.7	91.9	
D-4:	Pre	64.9	91.4	
Patient 18	Prog 1	76.4	91.8	
Detient 24	Pre	66.6	91.2	
Patient 24	Prog	60.7	91.0	

Table S1 Exome sequencing data summary

## Table S2 Candidate resistant variants identified in Prog melanomas

## Patient1

Chr	Position	Ref	Var	Gene Symbol	Transcript ID	Protein Variant	SIFT	Conservation	dbSNP ID
				Symeer		vuriunt		p vulue	
7	50459558	С	Т	IKZF1	NM_001220771.1	p.L58F	Tolerated	4.94E-04	
7	116395524	G	А	MET	NM_001127500.1	p.G606E	Damaging	3.39E-05	
7	157997956	С	Т	PTPRN2	NM_002847.3	p.W96ter		3.30E-04	
11	2181175	С	G	INS-IGF2	NM_000207.2	p.L80F	Tolerated		
15	66774131	G	А	MAP2K1	NM_002755.3	p.E203K	Damaging	9.77E-07	
17	3631311	С	Т	ITGAE	NM_002208.4	p.E996K	Tolerated	2.66E-04	
17	45214527	Т	С	CDC27	NM_001114091.1	p.Y641C	Damaging	1.68E-05	62075618
19	42753012	G	А	ERF	NM_006494.2	p.P418S	Activating		

## Patient 17

				Gene		Protein		Conservation	
Chr	Position	Ref	Var	Symbol	Transcript ID	Variant	SIFT	p-value	dbSNP ID
12	120241121	G	А	CIT	NM_001206999.1	p.S395L	Tolerated	1.61E-03	
17	16068377	С	G	NCOR1	NM_001190440.1	p.K69N	Damaging		200020868
19	6702171	С	Т	C3	NM_000064.2	p.E803K	Damaging	1.85E-04	
10	89717636	А	Т	PTEN*	NM_000314.4	p.K221ter		1.73E-05	
9	21971120	G	А	CDKN2A*	NM_001195132.1	p.R80ter	Damaging		121913388

## Patient18 – Prog 1

		Gene				Protein Conserv			
Chr	Position	Ref	Var	Symbol	Transcript ID	Variant	SIFT	p-value	dbSNP ID

-	1	32745298	С	Т	LCK	NM_005356.3	p.P331S	Tolerated		
	3	12458560	G	А	PPARG	NM_015869.4	p.E365K	Tolerated	4.426E-07	
	3	133473462	С	Т	TF	NM_001063.3	p.P150L	Damaging	0.0004477	
	5	110819804	G	Т	CAMK4	NM_001744.4	p.K354N	Tolerated		
	5	148904676	G	А	CSNK1A1	NM_001025105.2	p.L97F	Damaging	5.333E-07	
	5	159854838	С	Т	PTTG1	NM_004219.2	p.P163S	Tolerated		
	7	44444152	G	А	NUDCD3	NM_015332.3	p.R225C	Tolerated	0.005781	
	7	50467675	G	А	IKZF1	NM_001220771.1	p.E161K	Tolerated	0.00006295	
	8	93026809	С	G	RUNX1T1	NM_001198628.1	p.V215L	Tolerated	0.000000507	
	10	95930979	С	Т	PLCE1	NM_016341.3	p.P512L	Damaging	0.00008472	
	11	71946969	С	Т	INPPL1	NM_001567.3	p.P940S	Tolerated	0.004375	
l	11	108117798	С	Т	ATM	NM_000051.3	p.R337C	Damaging	0.000113	138398778
j	11	111782391	G	А	CRYAB	NM_001885.1	p.P20S	Tolerated		
	11	118353176	С	Т	KMT2A	NM_001197104.1	p.P1351L		0.0052	
	12	53682943	С	Т	ESPL1	NM_012291.4	p.P1593L	Damaging	0.00003532	
	12	70946681	G	А	PTPRB	NM_001109754.2	p.P1447S	Tolerated	0.000002104	
	12	101581271	С	Т	SLC5A8	NM_145913.3	p.G286R	Damaging	9.661E-07	
	12	131359245	А	Т	RAN	NM_006325.3	p.K134N	Damaging		
	15	88679765	С	Т	NTRK3	NM_001007156.2	p.G233D	Tolerated	0.00007638	
	15	91308624	С	Т	BLM	NM_000057.2	p.Q725ter		0.000002523	
	17	39739598	С	Т	KRT14	NM_000526.4	p.R388H	Damaging	0.0009727	58645163
	18	61170677	С	Т	SERPINB5	NM_002639.4	p.P284S	Damaging		
	20	6759353	G	А	BMP2	NM_001200.2	p.D270N	Damaging	4.966E-07	
	20	9561273	С	Т	PAK7	NM_177990.2	p.G170E	Damaging	0.000002388	
	22	37524624	С	Т	IL2RB	NM_000878.3	p.E390K	Damaging		
-										

## Patient 24

				Gene		Protein		Conservation	
Chr	Position	Ref	Var	Symbol	Transcript ID	Variant	SIFT	p-value	dbSNP ID
1	115258745	С	G	NRAS	NM_002524.4	p.G13R	Damaging	8.17E-07	121434595
1	120572547	Т	С	NOTCH2	NM_024408.3	p.N46S	Tolerated	3.03E-03	141882865
2	113539369	Т	G	IL1A	NM_000575.3	p.H44P	Tolerated		
3	30715656	Т	А	TGFBR2	NM_001024847.2	p.N438K	Tolerated		
8	68864705	Т	G	PREX2	NM_025170.4	p.C26G	Tolerated	2.63E-05	
9	139417464	Т	G	NOTCH1	NM_017617.3	p.T194P	Tolerated		
10	123846999	С	Т	TACC2	NM_206862.2	p.P1662S	Tolerated		
13	32905075	С	Т	BRCA2	NM_000059.3	p.S234F	Damaging		
17	16068377	С	G	NCOR1	NM_001190440.1	p.K69N	Damaging		200020868
17	37565448	G	А	MED1	NM_004774.3	p.P1009L	Tolerated	4.47E-04	
17	37565449	G	А	MED1	NM_004774.3	p.P1009S	Tolerated	3.46E-04	
17	45214527	Т	С	CDC27	NM_001114091.1	p.Y641C	Damaging	1.68E-05	62075618
17	45214558	G	А	CDC27	NM_001114091.1	p.R631ter			77685276
17	45234417	А	G	CDC27	NM_001114091.1	p.I235T	Tolerated	6.12E-05	193061947
20	8626821	G	А	PLCB1	NM_182734.2	p.E153K	Tolerated	1.19E-05	

\*\**PTEN* and *CDKN2A* variants were identified in Patient 17 Pre and Prog melanoma tumors

Abbreviations SIFT, SIFT Functional prediction; Conservation p-value, Conservation phyloP p-value

 Table S3 Median MAPK activity scores of Pre-treatment versus Prog melanoma metastases

and associated P-value

MAPK activation gene	Pre-	<b>MAPK-reactivated</b>	MAPK-inhibited
signature	treatment	Prog	Prog
Gene set 1			
No.	21	23	6
Median	9.4	9.4	8.7
(LQ,UQ)	(9.2, 9.7)	(9.0, 9.7)	(8.5, 8.8)
P-value*		0.96	< 0.001
Gene set 2			
No.	21	23	6
Median	9.6	9.4	8.7
(LQ, UQ)	(9.3, 9.9)	(9.1, 9.7)	(8.3, 8.8)
P-value*		0.28	< 0.001

Abbreviations: LQ, lower quartile; UQ, upper quartile

\*P-values were determined using Mann-Whitney test by comparing Prog with pre-treatment metastases

MAPK activation gene set 1 derived from (2) and gene set 2 from (3).

Table S4 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis of MAPK-inhibited Prog tumors (n=6) vs. MAPK-re-

#### **TOP 10 UPREGULATED C2 CURATED GENE SETS** NES FDR q FWER p JAEGER METASTASIS DN 3.07 0 0 WINNEPENNINCKX MELANOMA METASTASIS DN 0 0 2.63 SANA\_RESPONSE\_TO\_IFNG\_UP 2.62 0 0 LIEN\_BREAST\_CARCINOMA\_METAPLASTIC\_VS\_DUCTAL\_DN 2.54 0 0 FARMER\_BREAST\_CANCER\_CLUSTER\_1 2.53 0 0 SENGUPTA EBNA1 ANTICORRELATED 2.52 0 0 SMID BREAST CANCER NORMAL LIKE UP 2.47 0 0 CHARAFE BREAST CANCER LUMINAL VS MESENCHYMAL UP 2.46 0 0 KEGG ALLOGRAFT REJECTION 2.39 0 0 CHARAFE\_BREAST\_CANCER\_BASAL\_VS\_MESENCHYMAL\_UP 2.35 0 0 **TOP 10 DOWNREGULATED C2 CURATED GENE SETS** NES FDR q FWER p KOBAYASHI EGFR SIGNALING 24HR DN -3.39 0 0 WINNEPENNINCKX MELANOMA METASTASIS UP 0 0 -3.35 0 FOURNIER ACINAR DEVELOPMENT LATE 2 -3.25 0 CROONQUIST IL6 DEPRIVATION DN 0 -3.14 0 0 BENPORATH PROLIFERATION -3.09 0 BIDUS METASTASIS UP 0 -3.08 0 MISSIAGLIA REGULATED BY METHYLATION DN -3.01 0 0 ZHANG TLX TARGETS 60HR DN -2.99 0 0 0 SOTIRIOU BREAST CANCER GRADE 1 VS 3 UP -2.99 0 ZHOU CELL CYCLE GENES IN IR RESPONSE 24HR -2.96 0 0 **TOP 10 UPREGULATED C6 ONCOGENIC SIGNATURES** NES FDR q FWER p KRAS.600 UP.V1 DN 2.14 0 0 0 KRAS.300 UP.V1 DN 2.13 0 0 KRAS.50 UP.V1 DN 2.02 0 0.01 KRAS.LUNG UP.V1 DN 1.97 0.003 KRAS.600.LUNG.BREAST\_UP.V1\_DN 1.95 0.002 0.01 PTEN\_DN.V1\_UP 1.86 0.005 0.03

## activated Prog tumors (n=15)

SINGH_KRAS_DEPENDENCY_SIGNATURE	1.86	0.005	0.03
KRAS.BREAST_UP.V1_DN	1.86	0.004	0.03
IL21_UP.V1_UP	1.80	0.004	0.03
CSR_LATE_UP.V1_DN	1.77	0.005	0.04
TOP 10 DOWNREGULATED C6 ONCOGENIC SIGNATURES	NES	FDR q	FWER p
MAPK ACTIVATION GENE SET 1*	-2.86	0	0
MAPK ACTIVATION GENE SET 2*	-2.62	0	0
VEGF_A_UP.V1_DN	-2.33	0	0
CSR_LATE_UP.V1_UP	-2.28	0	0
GCNP_SHH_UP_EARLY.V1_UP	-2.12	0.001	0.01
GCNP_SHH_UP_LATE.V1_UP	-2.06	0.002	0.01
PDGF_UP.V1_UP	-2.01	0.001	0.01
CSR_EARLY_UP.V1_UP	-1.92	0.001	0.01
E2F1_UP.V1_UP	-1.87	0.002	0.02
MOTIF2_UP*	-1.87	0.002	0.02
	1	1	

Abbreviations: NES, normalized enrichment score; FDR q, false discovery rate; FWER p,

Familywise-error rate

\*MAPK ACTIVATION GENE SET 1 (2), MAPK ACTIVATION GENE SET 2 (3), MOTIF2\_UP

(4)

Patient	Prog No.	Time from BRAF inhibitor start to biopsy (weeks)	Interval between biopsies (weeks)	Site	Type of Prog lesion	Resistance mechanism	MAPK activity#
3	1	46.9		SQ	new	unknown	+
	2	76.9	30.0	SQ	new	unknown	+
8	1	55.0		SQ	existing - RES	unknown	+
	2	78.0	23.0	SQ	existing - RES	unknown	+
10	1	33.6		SQ	new	IGF-1R	-
	2	52.7	19.1	SQ	new	$BRAF$ exon4-8 $\Delta$	+
11	1	19.0		SQ	na	unknown	-
	2	49.3	30.3	brain	new	AKT1 <sup>Q79K</sup>	+
18	1	16.3		bowel	existing - RES	unknown	-
	2	26.3	10.0	SQ	new*	MEK1 <sup>K57E</sup>	+
28	1	25.1		SQ	existing - RES	$BRAF$ exon2-8 $\Delta$	+
	2	25.1	0	SQ	existing - RES	$BRAF$ exon4-8 $\Delta$	+
	3	25.1	0	SQ	existing - RES	$BRAF$ exon2-8 $\Delta$	+

Table	S5 Inter-tumora	al heterogeneity	of BRAF	' inhibitor re	esistance	mechanisms	and MAPK	activity
	Se inver vaniore	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

4	25.1	0	SQ	existing - RES	$BRAF \operatorname{exon4-8\Delta}$	+
---	------	---	----	----------------	-------------------------------------	---

Abbreviations: SQ, subcutaneous; RES, response; na, data not available

#MAPK activity was determined using GSEA of whole transcriptome data comparing matched pre-treatment (n=21) and Prog (n=29) biopsies. +

indicates re-activated, - indicates inhibited

\* local recurrence after resection of lesion 1

		PROG			Resistance	MAPK	Subsequent MAPK	Best RECIST
Patient	Drug	biopsy No.	Site	Type of lesion	mechanism	activity#	inhibitor	Response
1	dab	1	SQ	new	MEK1 <sup>E203K</sup>	+	LGX818+MEK162	SD
6	dab	1	LN	new	BRAF amplification	na	trametinib	SD
10	dab	1	SQ	new	IGF-1R	-	LGX818+MEK162	PD
		2	SQ	new	$BRAF$ exon 4-8 $\Delta$	+	LGX818+MEK162	PD
16	dab	1	SQ	existing - RES	unknown	na	dab+trametinib	PD
19	dab	1	LN	existing - RES	unknown	na	dab+trametinib	PD
24^	vem	1	SQ	existing - RES	<i>N-RAS</i> <sup>G13R</sup> *	+	trametinib	SD
							dab+trametinib	PD
25	vem	1	SQ	na	unknown	-	dab	SD

Table S6 BRAF inhibitor resistance mechanism and response to subsequent MAPK inhibitors.

Abbreviations: dab, dabrafenib; vem, vemurafenib; SQ, subcutaneous; LN, lymph node; RES, response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; LGX818=Novartis BRAF inhibitor; MEK162=Novartis MEK inhibitor, na, data not available

\* this lesion has previously been shown to display inter-tumoral heterogeneity with *N-RAS* mutant (G13R) and wild-type subpopulations.

^Patient 24 was treated with trametinib and subsequently with dabrafenib and trametinib combined

#MAPK activity was determined using GSEA of whole transcriptome data comparing matched pre-treatment (n=21) and Prog (n=29) biopsies. + indicates re-activated, - indicates inhibited.

Table 57 Amphileation and Sequencing Triner	Table	e S7	Am	plifica	tion	and	Seq	uencing	Prime
---	-------	------	----	---------	------	-----	-----	---------	-------

	Forward	Reverse
BRAF	GGCTCTCGGTTATAAGATGGC	ACAGGAAACGCACCATATCC
MEK1	CGTTACCCGGGTCCAAAATG	CTTTGTCACAGGTGAAATGC
	CATGGATGGAGGTTCTCTGG	AGGGCTTGACATCTCTGTGC
MEK2	CTCCCGGCCCGCCCCTATG	GTGGAGGCGCCAGCCTGTCC
	GTCAGCATCGCGGTTCTCC	TCACCCCGAAGTCACACAG
MEK1/2	TGCAGAAGAAGCTGGAGGAGC	
N-RAS	AGCTTGAGGTTCTTGCTGGT	TCAGGACCAGGGTGTCAGTG
AKT1	AGCGCCAGCCTGAGAGGA	TCTCCATCCCTCCAAGCTAT
		GACAGGTGGAAGAACAGCT

## References

1. Gowrishankar K, Snoyman S, Pupo GM, Becker TM, Kefford RF, Rizos H. Acquired Resistance to BRAF Inhibition Can Confer Cross-Resistance to Combined BRAF/MEK Inhibition. J Invest Dermatol. 2012;132:1850-9.

2. Pratilas CA, Taylor BS, Ye Q, Viale A, Sander C, Solit DB, et al. (V600E)BRAF is associated with disabled feedback inhibition of RAF-MEK signaling and elevated transcriptional output of the pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:4519-24.

3. Nazarian R, Shi H, Wang Q, Kong X, Koya RC, Lee H, et al. Melanomas acquire resistance to B-RAF(V600E) inhibition by RTK or N-RAS upregulation. Nature. 2010;468:973-7.

4. Hoek KS, Schlegel NC, Brafford P, Sucker A, Ugurel S, Kumar R, et al. Metastatic potential of melanomas defined by specific gene expression profiles with no BRAF signature. Pigment Cell Res. 2006;19:290-302.