

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 16.

Published in final edited form as:

*Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2010 August ; 19(8): 2023–2034. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-1097.

# Use of folic acid-containing supplements after a diagnosis of colorectal cancer in the Colon Cancer Family Registry

Rebecca S. Holmes<sup>1</sup>, Yingye Zheng<sup>1</sup>, John A. Baron<sup>2</sup>, Lin Li<sup>1</sup>, Gail McKeown-Eyssen<sup>3</sup>, Polly A. Newcomb<sup>1</sup>, Mariana C. Stern<sup>4</sup>, Robert W. Haile<sup>4</sup>, William M. Grady<sup>1</sup>, John D. Potter<sup>1</sup>, Loic Le Marchand<sup>5</sup>, Peter T. Campbell<sup>6</sup>, Jane C. Figueiredo<sup>4</sup>, Paul J. Limburg<sup>7</sup>, Mark A. Jenkins<sup>8</sup>, John L. Hopper<sup>8</sup>, and Cornelia M. Ulrich<sup>1,9</sup> for the Colon Cancer Family Registry

<sup>1</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA

<sup>2</sup>Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH

<sup>3</sup>University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

<sup>5</sup>University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI

<sup>6</sup>American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA

<sup>7</sup>Mayo Clinic and Foundation, Rochester, MN

<sup>8</sup>University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>9</sup>National Center for Tumor Diseases and German Cancer Research Centre (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

# Abstract

**Background**—Supplement use among cancer patients is high, and folic acid intake in particular may adversely affect the progression of colorectal cancer. Few studies have evaluated the use of folic acid-containing supplements (FAS) and its predictors in colorectal cancer patients.

**Objective**—To assess the use of FAS, change in use, and its predictors after colorectal cancer diagnosis.

**Design**—We used logistic regression models to investigate predictors of FAS use and its initiation after colorectal cancer diagnosis in 1,092 patients recruited through the Colon Cancer Family Registry (C-CFR).

**Results**—The prevalence of FAS use was 35.4% before and 55.1% after colorectal cancer diagnosis (p=0.004). Women were more likely than men to use FAS after diagnosis (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.14-1.89), as were those consuming more fruit ( $p_{trend} < 0.0001$ ) or vegetables ( $p_{trend}=0.001$ ), and US residents (p<0.0001). Less likely to use FAS after diagnosis were non-white patients (OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.45-0.97), current smokers (OR 0.67, 95% CI 0.46-0.96), and those with higher meat intake ( $p_{trend}=0.03$ ). Predictors of FAS initiation after diagnosis were generally similar to those of FAS use after diagnosis, though associations with race and vegetable intake were weaker and those with exercise stronger.

Corresponding author and to whom requests for reprints should be made: Cornelia Ulrich, PhD Director, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Heidelberg Head and Full Professor, Division of Preventive Oncology German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Im Neuenheimer Feld 581 69120 Heidelberg, Germany Phone: +49-6221-42-1660 Fax: +49-6221-42-1669 neli.ulrich@nct-heidelberg.de.

**Conclusions**—Our analysis showed substantial increases in the use of folic acid-containing supplements after diagnosis with colorectal cancer, with use or initiation more likely among women, Caucasians, U.S. residents, and those with a health-promoting lifestyle.

**Impact**—Studies of cancer prognosis that rely on pre-diagnostic exposure information may result in substantial misclassification.

### Introduction

The B vitamin folate may affect both the development and progression of colorectal cancer, yet information about folate intake among colorectal cancer patients is lacking. Folate mediates the transfer of one-carbon moieties both in the synthesis of nucleotides necessary for DNA synthesis, replication, and repair and in DNA-methylation reactions; these functions may play a role in cancer prevention (1, 2). Folic acid is the oxidized synthetic form of folate used in supplements and fortified foods, with greater stability and bioavailability than naturally-occurring folate (3). Overall, epidemiologic evidence supports an inverse association between high folate intake (from diet and supplements) and risk of colorectal adenomas and colorectal cancer (3-6). Rodent models support the anti-neoplastic effect of folate on colorectal tissue before lesions develop, with modest doses of supplemental folic acid suppressing the development of colorectal cancer (3-7).

However, recent evidence suggests that folic acid may in fact accelerate the progression of existing colorectal cancer precursors. First, in rodents folic acid supplementation promotes the progression of colorectal cancer after early lesions, aberrant crypt foci, are present (3). Second, a clinical trial in patients with a recent history of colorectal adenoma, the Aspirin/ Folate Polyp Prevention Study (AFPPS), found no evidence that folic acid prevented development of new colorectal polyps. Instead its use was associated with multiple adenomas and there was a suggestive positive association with advanced adenomas after longer-term treatment and follow-up (6 to 8 years) (8). In this high-risk group of patients, early lesions may have been present but missed or undetectable at baseline colonoscopy, and it has been hypothesized that folic acid could have accelerated their growth (3, 5). Two other trials have recently been completed. A randomized trial of folic acid supplementation among members of the Health Professionals' Follow-Up Study and the Nurses' Health Study with history of colorectal adenoma showed no significant associations between folic acid and recurrent adenomas (any, advanced, or multiple) (9). Similarly, the UK Colorectal Adenoma Prevention trial (ukCAP) found no effect of folic acid supplementation on the occurrence of any, advanced, or multiple new adenomas (10). However, the duration of follow-up and folic acid supplementation for both trials was shorter than for AFPPS, and for ukCAP the folic acid dose was lower and no population-wide fortification program was in place. Because the elevated risk in the AFPPS did not occur until 6-8 years post-diagnosis, additional follow-up in the recent trials is needed to evaluate whether the results are inconsistent.

Both normal and neoplastic colorectal tissues are rapidly dividing with high rates of DNA replication; the provision of nucleotides for DNA synthesis is the most likely mechanism through which folate may both protect normal tissue from DNA damage and carcinogenesis, and accelerate progression of established neoplasia (3, 4, 6, 7). Of concern is that a cancerpromoting effect may also be present for other tissues, as suggested by an increased risk for prostate cancer in the folic acid arm of the Aspirin/Folate Polyp Prevention Study trial (11). A recent analysis of data from a trial in Norway showed an increase in overall cancer incidence and mortality with folic acid supplementation (12). The study's statistical power was inadequate to evaluate individual cancer sites, though hazard ratios for colorectal cancer were close to 1.0. Consistent with high folate intake promoting growth of established colorectal tumors, antifolate agents inhibit their growth, an effect exploited in the conventional treatment of colorectal cancer. The chemotherapeutic agent 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), which is widely used in colorectal cancer treatment, inhibits the folate-metabolizing enzyme thymidylate synthase (TS), blocking pyrimidine synthesis, inducing DNA damage, and slowing tumor growth (3, 6, 13, 14). The impact of chronic use of folic acid supplements on 5-FU treatment efficacy is currently unknown.

Nutritional supplement use is high in the U.S. population (3, 15, 16) and higher still among cancer patients (3, 5, 17-19). In the limited number of studies reporting change in supplement use with diagnosis, all showed increases in use. Cancer patients report that they use supplements and other alternative therapies to feel better, gain a sense of control, reduce stress, support their immune systems, and improve chances of a cure (17, 20-22). Interest in research on health behaviors and cancer prognosis is growing (23-26), and thus it is critical to evaluate and quantify lifestyle after a cancer diagnosis in order to design appropriate prognostic studies. Many epidemiologic studies evaluate associations between pre-diagnostic health behaviors and disease outcomes, an approach that may lead to substantial exposure misclassification. Limited information is available on the use of supplements, FAS intake in particular, and determinants of FAS intake among colorectal cancer patients (18, 19, 27). To date, only one study has addressed changes in FAS and other supplement use after colorectal cancer diagnosis in a large international cohort (n>1000) of colorectal cancer patients, and evaluate a comprehensive set of factors associated with FAS use.

### **Subjects and Methods**

#### Study Population, Recruitment and Follow-up

The Colon Cancer Family Registry (C-CFR) is an international study of colorectal cancer cases, their family members, and controls recruited by investigators at six sites: Seattle, Washington; the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota; a consortium led by the University of Southern California (USC) in Los Angeles; the University of Hawaii; the University of Melbourne in Australia; and Cancer Care Ontario in Toronto. Study protocols were reviewed and approved by institutional review boards at each participating site. Eligibility criteria and sampling schemes differed somewhat by site and have been previously described (28). Briefly, Phase I of recruitment was conducted between 1998 and 2002 and included cases identified both from cancer registries (population-based recruitment) and from clinics serving families with early-onset or multiple colorectal cancer cases (clinic-based recruitment). Response rates varied by study site due to differences in approach protocols. Of those eligible at baseline, between 35% and 78% agreed to participate across the six C-CFR sites. Active follow-up of phase I participants occurred about 5 years after initial enrollment; 22% of cases died before this follow-up, and of the survivors 70-95% completed the follow-up questionnaire.

#### Questionnaires

Lifestyle and risk factor questionnaires were administered at enrollment, asking about the period before diagnosis, and at follow-up about 5 years later. Data collected included participants' use of supplements and medications, race, ethnicity, and other demographic information, physical activity, height, weight, smoking history, alcohol consumption, and some information on diet. Questions on use of folic acid and multivitamins at enrollment asked if participants had ever taken the supplements regularly (at least twice a week for more than a month), and if they had taken them regularly about two years ago (=use prior to diagnosis). At follow-up, participants were asked if they had taken folic acid or

multivitamins regularly in the interval between baseline and follow-up interviews (=use after diagnosis). Three of the six C-CFR sites, the USC Consortium, Ontario, and Hawaii, also administered a detailed food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) to participants at baseline (29), allowing quantitative assessment of pre-diagnostic folic acid intake for a subset of participants.

The present analysis uses an initial dataset available in 2008 and includes 1,092 colorectal cancer cases recruited during Phase I (28). The subset of 1,092 cases represents all those with data available from questionnaires administered both at enrollment and follow-up, and with data available on covariates such as education, exercise and diet. Compared to Phase I C-CFR cases not included in this subset, cases included had generally similar demographic characteristics and health behaviors. Supplement use was similar between the two groups. Thirty-four percent of those included used multivitamins before diagnosis, compared to 30% of those not included; about 3% of each group used single-supplement folic acid. Those included in our analysis were somewhat younger and more educated, differences likely related to the required survival to follow-up and completion of the follow-up questionnaire.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Nearly all commonly used multivitamins include folic acid, and therefore we included both multivitamins and single-supplement folic acid in our definition of FAS. We first studied the outcome of "FAS use after diagnosis with colorectal cancer", by comparing all patients who used FAS after diagnosis (n=602) to those who did not (n=490). Second, we analyzed "FAS initiation" after colorectal cancer diagnosis by comparing cases who did not use FAS regularly in the two years prior to diagnosis and used FAS after diagnosis ("new use," n=307) to those who used FAS neither immediately before nor after diagnosis ("no use," n=399).

To investigate predictors of FAS use or initiation in colorectal cancer cases, we assessed characteristics that had been associated with supplement use in previous studies (15, 17, 30-32), including age, sex, race (white versus non-white), family history (one or more versus no first-degree relatives with colorectal cancer), study site, education, income, smoking, alcohol intake, diet including intake of fruit, vegetables, and red meat, lifetime exercise, and body mass index (BMI). We chose lifetime rather than recent exercise as better reflecting attitudes towards health rather than current physical capabilities.

We used multivariate logistic regression to evaluate associations between patient characteristics and FAS use or initiation, adjusting each for age, sex, and site as appropriate. For patient characteristics described by multiple ordinal categories, we used Wald trend tests to evaluate any trends apparent in odds ratios across categories. To identify the most important predictors of FAS use or initiation overall, we used forward stepwise regression analyses which evaluated concurrently the covariates listed above, using a significance level of 0.1 for both entering and remaining in the model. To evaluate robustness, we also derived models using the entry and stay criteria of 0.05 and 0.1, respectively. Income and family history were excluded from the forward stepwise analyses because of incomplete data.

# Results

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the 1,092 colorectal cancer cases. Most were younger than age 60 at diagnosis, and more than a third had a family history of colorectal cancer, reflecting the focus of the C-CFR cohort on recruiting cases more likely to have familial colorectal cancer, including those diagnosed at a young age (28, 33). Nearly a third of cases were from outside the United States. More than a third of cases took a multivitamin at baseline (prior to diagnosis), and 30 (3%) used single-supplement folic acid. Dietary

intake data were available for 424 participants included in this analysis (39%), and showed mean folic acid intake from diet (post-fortification) and supplements of 448 mcg for non-FAS users and 883 mcg for FAS users. Thus, FAS use is a major source of inter-individual variability in folate intakes. For 11%, intake exceeded 1 mg folic acid, the tolerable upper intake level established by the Institute of Medicine to avoid masking vitamin B12 deficiency (34).

The proportion of cases using FAS, including multivitamins, increased substantially after colorectal cancer diagnosis (Table 2). A total of 35.4% of cases reported FAS use prior to colorectal cancer diagnosis, whereas after diagnosis 55.1% reported FAS use (p=0.004). Of those who did not use FAS before diagnosis, 43.5% initiated use after diagnosis (N=307) and 56.5% did not (N=399) (these are the two groups of cases compared in our analysis of predictors of FAS initiation). Former users were more likely to initiate use after a cancer diagnosis than were those who had never used FAS (58.4% versus 36.7%, p<0.0001). Of those cases who were already using FAS before diagnosis, 76.4% maintained use after diagnosis. FAS use was substantially higher, both before and after diagnosis, at U.S. compared to non-U.S. sites (p<0.0001 at both time points), though use increased after diagnosis in all countries studied.

Table 3 shows that women were more likely than men to <u>use FAS after colorectal cancer</u> <u>diagnosis</u> (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.14-1.89), and non-white cases were less likely than Caucasians to use FAS (OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.45-0.97). Subjects at the Ontario and Australian sites were much less likely to use FAS than were those from U.S sites (ORs of 0.40 [95% CI 0.26-0.61] and 0.16 [95% CI 0.10-0.26] respectively compared to Seattle). Current smokers were less likely to use FAS than were those who had never smoked (OR = 0.67, 95% CI 0.46-0.96). There were positive associations between both higher fruit (p<sub>trend</sub> < 0.0001) and vegetable intake (p<sub>trend</sub> = 0.001) and FAS use, while those who consumed more red meat were less likely to use FAS (p<sub>trend</sub> = 0.03). No strong associations between FAS use and age, family history, education, income, alcohol use, exercise, or BMI were observed. In forward stepwise analysis sex, race, study site, and meat and fruit intake emerged as significant predictors of FAS use after diagnosis (Table 4). Using the more stringent criteria of penter<0.05 and p<sub>stay</sub><0.1 resulted in a model in which study site and intake of meat and fruit, but not sex and race, predicted FAS use (data not shown).

The analysis of predictors of <u>FAS</u> initiation after diagnosis (Table 5) gave similar results, with sex, site, smoking, and consumption of meat and fruit showing statistically significant associations. The associations of race and vegetable intake with FAS initiation were weaker than those with FAS use. There was an association between lifetime exercise and FAS initiation that had not been observed for FAS use (OR = 1.48; 95% CI 1.01-2.16 for active versus inactive cases). Forward stepwise analysis showed sex, study site, meat intake, and exercise to be predictors of FAS initiation (Table 6), though exercise did not appear as a predictor in a model using penter<0.05 (data not shown).

# Discussion

We observed a substantial increase in the proportion of patients using FAS after colorectal cancer diagnosis compared to use before diagnosis. Those more likely to use FAS included women, Caucasians, U.S. residents, those consuming less meat and more fruit, and possibly nonsmokers and those consuming more vegetables. Predictors of FAS initiation after diagnosis were similar to those of any FAS use, though associations with race and consumption of fruits and vegetables were weaker, and that with exercise somewhat stronger.

Few studies have addressed supplement use among colorectal cancer patients (19, 27); only one has addressed post-diagnostic initiation of FAS use and none has assessed predictors of FAS initiation in these patients. A relatively small study of colon cancer patients (N=278), diagnosed between 1996 and 2000 in North Carolina, assessed change in supplement use after diagnosis (18). It showed that 31% of patients used multivitamins before diagnosis and 1.5% used single-supplement folic acid; two years after diagnosis, the proportions increased to 43% for multivitamins and 7% for folic acid. Our results suggest higher use both before and after diagnosis than did the North Carolina study, particularly at U.S. sites, possibly reflecting geographic differences and/or a time trend; nutritional surveys such as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey have generally shown increases in the use of dietary supplements over time (15). In the North Carolina study, factors associated with use of any new supplement after diagnosis included age, female sex, and white race, though predictors of change in FAS use were not reported.

The results of our analyses are in part consistent with earlier analyses of predictors of supplement use in general populations and in cancer patients, which have shown positive associations with female sex, white race, and fruit and vegetable consumption, and inverse associations with smoking and meat consumption (15, 17, 18, 30-32). The results of our multivariate analysis suggest that meat and fruit intake (and geographic location) are more important predictors of FAS use than sex and race. Our results for education contrast with earlier studies of supplement use in both cancer patients and general populations, which showed consistent positive associations; our analysis did suggest that those with more education were more likely to use FAS, but differences were not statistically significant. In a separate analysis of supplement use before diagnosis in our colorectal cancer cases (data not shown), we found generally similar, slightly stronger, associations of FAS use with education and other indicators of socioeconomic status or health-promoting behaviors, consistent with reports from studies of individuals without cancer (15, 30-32).

Our analysis suggests that the use of folic acid-containing supplements among colorectal cancer patients is widespread, with post-diagnostic use substantially higher than prediagnostic use. The effect of supplement use and nutrient status on prognosis in cancer patients is an important new area of epidemiologic research (23-26); however, many studies have measured supplement use or nutrient status before cancer diagnosis, rather than during cancer treatment and follow-up. Our results suggest that studies using pre-diagnostic supplement use as a proxy for post-diagnostic use may suffer from substantial exposure misclassification.

FAS use may adversely impact disease course. Results from animal studies and preliminary clinical data indicate that high folic acid intake may accelerate progression of established colorectal adenomas and cancer (3, 8). Effects on disease recurrence and metastasis are unknown. Experimental evidence suggests that folic acid may also alter the efficacy of 5-FU treatment, with effects depending on the timing of its use. The drug leucovorin is a reduced form of folic acid given immediately before 5-FU administration that stabilizes binding of 5-FU to TS and improves response rates (14, 35-37). However, chronic use of folic acid may have the opposite effect. Experimental results in human cancer cell lines and in mouse tumor models suggest that folate deficiency, in culture medium or diet, improves response to 5-FU especially when given with leucovorin (38-40). Mechanisms identified include downregulation of TS expression and activity with low folate status, enhancing inhibition by 5-FU and drug efficacy (39). These results suggest that continuing use of folic acid supplements among colorectal cancer patients receiving 5-FU could reduce treatment efficacy; however, this question has not been studied in a clinical setting (4, 5).

Strengths of our analysis include data from a large, prospective, international study of colorectal cancer cases, with detailed information available on use of specific supplements and on covariates likely associated with this use. The diverse populations from which participants were recruited are a strength of the C-CFR and of our study; however, those included in our analysis still may not fully represent the larger population of colorectal cancer patients. In addition, FAS use among colorectal cancer patients in 2010 may differ from that measured in C-CFR participants between 1998 and 2002, because of media coverage of concerns about the use of folic acid in cancer patients.

Another limitation of the analysis is that data on baseline diet and supplement use were collected at enrollment, asking about intake two years previously, before participants' cancer diagnoses. However, validation studies comparing intake of food and supplements elicited by questionnaire to those obtained by diet record or 24-hour diet recall show comparable correlations for studies of current diet or supplements (41-43), and diet 3-4 years (44) or 10 years (45) in the past. A nested case-control study of long-term dietary recall (46) showed generally similar results for cancer cases and controls, though recall was somewhat poorer for cases with colorectal cancer compared to non-cases.

A further limitation of our data is that FFQs were administered at only three C-CFR sites, and only for the pre-diagnostic time period. Our analysis therefore focuses on qualitative rather than quantitative measures of change in folic acid intake after diagnosis, and on intake from supplements but not from food. However, supplement use is a major source of variation in folate intakes, especially among cancer patients. A pilot study of 176 Ontario CFR participants did collect FFQ data both before and after colorectal cancer diagnosis, and found little change in dietary folate intake after diagnosis, though the subset of participants was not randomly selected and results may not apply to C-CFR participants overall (Gail McKeown-Eyssen, personal communication). Our analysis of 424 CFR participants completing baseline FFQs at three sites showed that pre-diagnostic folic acid intake among FAS users was twice that of non-users, and that intake exceeded 1 mg folic acid for one in ten participants. The data for these subsets of C-CFR participants thus suggest that folic acid from supplements contributes substantially to overall intake, and especially to change in intake after colorectal cancer diagnosis. Intakes were substantial before diagnosis, and though quantitative data on post-diagnostic intake are not available, the results of our qualitative analysis of FAS use suggest that these would be higher still.

The cases in our analysis can only include those surviving five years to follow-up. If FAS use accelerated progression of and death from disease, then post-diagnostic use in all colorectal cancer patients would be higher than our estimate of 55.1%. Some participants were also lost to follow-up or refused to complete the follow-up questionnaire; however, the response rate among survivors was high (82% across all sites). Analyzing the effects of FAS use on survival would address these questions, and such an analysis will be feasible once data on stage at diagnosis, clinical treatment, and mortality are available from all C-CFR sites. A further limitation associated with follow-up five years after enrollment is that our analysis could not assess changes in FAS use directly after colorectal cancer diagnosis, perhaps the most critical time period because of potential interactions with treatment; another is that we cannot exclude secular trends in supplement use as one cause of the increase in FAS use observed.

We here report that FAS use is prevalent among colorectal cancer cases, and identify characteristics of those likely to be using FAS. These findings are important given evidence that folic acid may accelerate progression of colorectal cancer, and the unknown, but plausibly detrimental, effects that FAS intake may have on the efficacy of cancer treatment and on survival. The factors associated with FAS use in our analysis suggest that those who

choose to use supplements may be receptive to information on health-promoting behaviors. However, many cancer patients do not discuss supplement use with their physicians (17). Our research may help clinicians identify patients likely to use supplements after colorectal cancer diagnosis, and allow them to discuss both the benefit and harm that may result. Finally, our study highlights the need to measure supplement use and other critical exposures at time points after diagnosis, to avoid substantial misclassification in studies of cancer prognosis.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health under RFA # CA-95-011 and through cooperative agreements with members of the Colon Cancer Family Registry (C-CFR) and Principal Investigators. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the CFRs, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government or the CFR. CFR centers providing data for the analysis include: Australasian Colorectal Cancer Family Registry (U01 CA097735); Familial Colorectal Neoplasia Collaborative Group (U01 CA074799); Mayo Clinic Cooperative Family Registry for Colon Cancer Studies (U01 CA074800); Ontario Registry for Studies of Familial Colorectal Cancer (U01 CA074783); Seattle Colorectal Cancer Family Registry (U01 CA074794); University of Hawaii Colorectal Cancer Family Registry (U01 CA074806); and University of California, Irvine Informatics Center (U01 CA078296). Rebecca Holmes and Cornelia Ulrich were responsible for designing the study and drafting this manuscript. Yingye Zheng, John Baron, Lin Li, Gail McKeown-Eyssen, Polly Newcomb, Mariana Stern, Robert Haile, William Grady, John Potter, Loic Le Marchand, Peter Campbell, Jane Figueiredo, Paul Limburg, Mark Jenkins, and John Hopper all critically revised the manuscript. Yingye Zheng, John Baron, Gail McKeown-Eyssen, Polly Newcomb, Robert Haile, John Potter, Loic Le Marchand, Peter Campbell, Jane Figueiredo, Paul Limburg, Mark Jenkins, and John Hopper provided the data upon which this work was based. Rebecca Holmes, Yingye Zheng, Lin Li, and Peter Campbell conducted analyses. John Baron declared a conflict of interest in that Wyeth, manufacturers of Centrum® multivitamins and other dietary supplements, provided study agents for a trial on folic acid that he conducted. Paul Limburg reported an ongoing consulting agreement with Genomic Health, Inc. No other authors reported a conflict of interest. We thank Allyson Templeton for her generous support of this study, Liren Xiao for dataset assistance, and Shannon Rush for manuscript support.

**Sources of financial support:** National Institutes of Health grants: R01C105437, R25CA94880 and 5T32DK007742

### References

- 1. Mason JB. Folate, cancer risk, and the Greek god, Proteus: a tale of two chameleons. Nutr Rev. 2009; 67:206–12. [PubMed: 19335714]
- Choi SW, Mason JB. Folate status: effects on pathways of colorectal carcinogenesis. J Nutr. 2002; 132:2413S–2418S. [PubMed: 12163703]
- 3. Kim YI. Folate and colorectal cancer: an evidence-based critical review. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2007; 51:267–92. [PubMed: 17295418]
- 4. Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Folate supplementation: too much of a good thing? Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006; 15:189–93. [PubMed: 16492904]
- Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Folate and cancer--timing is everything. Jama. 2007; 297:2408–9. [PubMed: 17551134]
- Kim YI. Folic acid supplementation and cancer risk: Point. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008; 17:2220–25. [PubMed: 18768486]
- Ulrich CM. Folate and cancer prevention--where to next? Counterpoint. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008; 17:2226–30. [PubMed: 18768487]
- Cole BF, Baron JA, Sandler RS, Haile RW, Ahnen DJ, Bresalier RS, McKeown-Eyssen G, Summers RW, Rothstein RI, Burke CA, Snover DC, Church TR, Allen JI, Robertson DJ, Beck GJ, Bond JH, Byers T, Mandel JS, Mott LA, Pearson LH, Barry EL, Rees JR, Marcon N, Saibil F, Ueland PM, Greenberg ER. Folic acid for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: a randomized clinical trial. Jama. 2007; 297:2351–9. [PubMed: 17551129]

Holmes et al.

- Wu K, Platz EA, Willett WC, Fuchs CS, Selhub J, Rosner BA, Hunter DJ, Giovannucci E. A randomized trial on folic acid supplementation and risk of recurrent colorectal adenoma. Am J Clin Nutr. 90:1623–31. Epub 2009 Oct 28., 2009. [PubMed: 19864409]
- Logan RF, Grainge MJ, Shepherd VC, Armitage NC, Muir KR. Aspirin and folic acid for the prevention of recurrent colorectal adenomas. Gastroenterology. 2008; 134:29–38. [PubMed: 18022173]
- Figueiredo JC, Grau MV, Haile RW, Sandler RS, Summers RW, Bresalier RS, Burke CA, McKeown-Eyssen GE, Baron JA. Folic acid and risk of prostate cancer: results from a randomized clinical trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2009; 101:432–5. [see comment]. [PubMed: 19276452]
- Ebbing M, Bonaa KH, Nygard O, Arnesen E, Ueland PM, Nordrehaug JE, Rasmussen K, Njolstad I, Refsum H, Nilsen DW, Tverdal A, Meyer K, Vollset SE. Cancer incidence and mortality after treatment with folic acid and vitamin B12. Jama. 2009; 302:2119–26. [PubMed: 19920236]
- Ulrich CM, Robien K, McLeod HL. Cancer pharmacogenetics: polymorphisms, pathways and beyond. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003; 3:912–20. [PubMed: 14740638]
- Grogan L, Sotos GA, Allegra CJ. Leucovorin modulation of fluorouracil. Oncology (Williston Park). 7:63–72. discussion 75-6, 1993. [PubMed: 8398636]
- Rock CL. Multivitamin-multimineral supplements: who uses them? Am J Clin Nutr. 2007; 85:277S–279S. [PubMed: 17209209]
- Park SY, Murphy SP, Martin CL, Kolonel LN. Nutrient intake from multivitamin/mineral supplements is similar among users from five ethnic groups: the Multiethnic Cohort Study. J Am Diet Assoc. 2008; 108:529–33. [PubMed: 18313435]
- Velicer CM, Ulrich CM. Vitamin and mineral supplement use among US adults after cancer diagnosis: a systematic review. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:665–73. [PubMed: 18235127]
- Satia JA, Campbell MK, Galanko JA, James A, Carr C, Sandler RS. Longitudinal changes in lifestyle behaviors and health status in colon cancer survivors. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004; 13:1022–31. [PubMed: 15184259]
- Greenlee H, White E, Patterson RE, Kristal AR. Supplement use among cancer survivors in the Vitamins and Lifestyle (VITAL) study cohort. J Altern Complement Med. 2004; 10:660–6. [PubMed: 15353022]
- Hall JD, Bissonette EA, Boyd JC, Theodorescu D. Motivations and influences on the use of complementary medicine in patients with localized prostate cancer treated with curative intent: results of a pilot study. BJU Int. 2003; 91:603–7. [PubMed: 12699468]
- Gupta D, Lis CG, Birdsall TC, Grutsch JF. The use of dietary supplements in a community hospital comprehensive cancer center: implications for conventional cancer care. Support Care Cancer. 2005; 13:912–9. [PubMed: 15856334]
- Sparber A, Bauer L, Curt G, Eisenberg D, Levin T, Parks S, Steinberg SM, Wootton J. Use of complementary medicine by adult patients participating in cancer clinical trials. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2000; 27:623–30. [PubMed: 10833691]
- Ulrich CM, Holmes RS. Shedding light on colorectal cancer prognosis: vitamin d and beyond. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:2937–9. [PubMed: 18565879]
- 24. Ambrosone CB, Rebbeck TR, Morgan GJ, Albain KS, Calle EE, Evans WE, Hayes DF, Kushi LH, McLeod HL, Rowland JH, Ulrich CM. New developments in the epidemiology of cancer prognosis: traditional and molecular predictors of treatment response and survival. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006; 15:2042–6. [PubMed: 17119026]
- Kushi LH, Kwan ML, Lee MM, Ambrosone CB. Lifestyle factors and survival in women with breast cancer. J Nutr. 2007; 137:236S–242S. [PubMed: 17182833]
- 26. Ulrich C, Ambrosone C. Rebbeck T, Ambrosone C, Shields P. Molecular epidemiological designs for prognosis. Molecular Epidemiology in Cancer. 2008
- Sandler RS, Halabi S, Kaplan EB, Baron JA, Paskett E, Petrelli NJ. Use of vitamins, minerals, and nutritional supplements by participants in a chemoprevention trial. Cancer. 2001; 91:1040–5. [PubMed: 11251957]
- 28. Newcomb PA, Baron J, Cotterchio M, Gallinger S, Grove J, Haile R, Hall D, Hopper JL, Jass J, Le Marchand L, Limburg P, Lindor N, Potter JD, Templeton AS, Thibodeau S, Seminara D. Colon

Cancer Family Registry: an international resource for studies of the genetic epidemiology of colon cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2007; 16:2331–43. [PubMed: 17982118]

- 29. Figueiredo JC, Levine AJ, Lee WH, Conti DV, Poynter JN, Campbell PT, Duggan D, Lewinger JP, Martinez ME, Ulrich CM, Newcomb P, Potter J, Limburg PJ, Hopper J, Jenkins MA, Le Marchand L, Baron JA, Haile RW. Genes involved with folate uptake and distribution and their association with colorectal cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control. 2009; 27:27.
- Reinert A, Rohrmann S, Becker N, Linseisen J. Lifestyle and diet in people using dietary supplements: a German cohort study. Eur J Nutr. 2007; 46:165–73. [PubMed: 17377829]
- Touvier M, Kesse E, Volatier JL, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC. Dietary and cancerrelated behaviors of vitamin/mineral dietary supplement users in a large cohort of French women. Eur J Nutr. 2006; 45:205–14. [PubMed: 16382374]
- 32. Harrison RA, Holt D, Pattison DJ, Elton PJ. Are those in need taking dietary supplements? A survey of 21 923 adults. Br J Nutr. 2004; 91:617–23. [PubMed: 15035689]
- Brandt A, Bermejo JL, Sundquist J, Hemminki K. Age of onset in familial cancer. Ann Oncol. 2008; 19:2084–8. [PubMed: 18653701]
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes: Thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, folate, vitamin B12, pantothenic acid, biotin, and choline. National Academy Press; Washington, DC: 1998.
- Machover D. A comprehensive review of 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. Cancer. 1997; 80:1179–87. [PubMed: 9317168]
- Grem JL. Systemic treatment options in advanced colorectal cancer: perspectives on combination 5-fluorouracil plus leucovorin. Semin Oncol. 1997; 24:S18-8–S18-18. [PubMed: 9420016]
- Goyle S, Maraveyas A. Chemotherapy for colorectal cancer. Dig Surg. 2005; 22:401–14. [PubMed: 16479107]
- Raghunathan K, Schmitz JC, Priest DG. Impact of schedule on leucovorin potentiation of fluorouracil antitumor activity in dietary folic acid deplete mice. Biochem Pharmacol. 1997; 53:1197–202. [PubMed: 9175725]
- 39. Backus HH, Pinedo HM, Wouters D, Padron JM, Molders N, van Der Wilt CL, van Groeningen CJ, Jansen G, Peters GJ. Folate depletion increases sensitivity of solid tumor cell lines to 5fluorouracil and antifolates. Int J Cancer. 2000; 87:771–8. [PubMed: 10956384]
- 40. Tucker JM, Davis C, Kitchens ME, Bunni MA, Priest DG, Spencer HT, Berger FG. Response to 5fluorouracil chemotherapy is modified by dietary folic acid deficiency in Apc(Min/+) mice. Cancer Lett. 2002; 187:153–62. [PubMed: 12359363]
- 41. Satia JA, Watters JL, Galanko JA. Validation of an antioxidant nutrient questionnaire in whites and African Americans. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009; 109:502–8. 508.e1–6. [PubMed: 19248870]
- 42. Stram DO, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Monroe KR, Park S, Henderson BE, Nomura AM, Earle ME, Nagamine FS, Kolonel LN. Calibration of the dietary questionnaire for a multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles. Am J Epidemiol. 2000; 151:358–70. [PubMed: 10695594]
- 43. Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Foote JA, Monroe KR, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN. Comparison of two instruments for quantifying intake of vitamin and mineral supplements: a brief questionnaire versus three 24-hour recalls. Am J Epidemiol. 2002; 156:669–75. [PubMed: 12244036]
- Willett WC, Sampson L, Browne ML, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE. The use of a self-administered questionnaire to assess diet four years in the past. Am J Epidemiol. 1988; 127:188–99. [PubMed: 3337073]
- Ambrosini GL, van Roosbroeck SA, Mackerras D, Fritschi L, de Klerk NH, Musk AW. The reliability of ten-year dietary recall: implications for cancer research. J Nutr. 2003; 133:2663–8. [PubMed: 12888655]
- 46. Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Yoshizawa CN, Kolonel LN, Lee J. Comparison of long-term dietary recall between cancer cases and noncases. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 136:825–35. [PubMed: 1442748]

#### Table 1

Demographic, behavioral and physical characteristics of selected Colorectal Cancer Family Registry study participants at baseline interview, 1998-2002 (N=1,092<sup>a</sup>)

6042338.7SexMale51747.3Female57552.7RaceWhite93085.2Non-white16214.8Family history bNo58261.4Family history bNo58261.4Family history bNo58261.4Family history bNo58261.4Family history bNo58261.4Family history bNo58261.4Study siteSeattle19017.4Mayo Foundation27325.0USC C Consortium24722.6Ontario18516.9Australia16314.9Hawaii343.1Education< High school			n	%
Sex         Male         517         47.3           Female         575         52.7           Race         White         930         85.2           Non-white         162         14.8           Family history b         No         582         61.4           Yes         366         38.6           Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school	Age (years)	<60	669	61.3
Female57552.7RaceWhite93085.2Non-white16214.8Family history bNo58261.4Yes36638.6Study siteSeattle19017.4Mayo Foundation27325.0USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium24722.6Ontario18516.5Australia16314.9Hawaii343.1Education< High school		60	423	38.7
Race         White         930         85.2           Non-white         162         14.8           Family history b         No         582         61.4           Yes         366         38.6           Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>C</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         169           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school	Sex	Male	517	47.3
Non-white         162         14.8           Family history b         No         582         61.4           Yes         366         38.6           Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0         USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9         Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1         163         14.9         Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school		Female	575	52.7
Family history b         No         582         61.4           Yes         366         38.6           Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school	Race	White	930	85.2
Yes         366         38.6           Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>C</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school		Non-white	162	14.8
Study site         Seattle         190         17.4           Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>C</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school         156         14.6           High school graduate         269         25.2         Some voc./college         331         31.0           Bachelor's degree         313         29.3         31.3         29.3           Income d         < \$30,000         198         24.1           \$30,000 to 44,999         212         25.8           \$45,000 to 69,999         209         25.5           \$70,000 or greater         202         24.6           Smoking         Never         466         44.3           Former         399         38.0           Current         186         17.7           Alcohol         No         500         47.4           Kurrent         186         17.7           Multivitamin only         351         32.6           Folic acid only         8 </td <td>Family history <sup>b</sup></td> <td>No</td> <td>582</td> <td>61.4</td>	Family history <sup>b</sup>	No	582	61.4
Mayo Foundation         273         25.0           USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.5           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school		Yes	366	38.6
USC <sup>c</sup> Consortium         247         22.6           Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school	Study site	Seattle	190	17.4
Ontario         185         16.9           Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school         156         14.6           High school graduate         269         25.2           Some voc./college         331         31.0           Bachelor's degree         313         29.3           Income d         <\$30,000         198         24.1           \$30,000 to 44,999         212         25.5           \$45,000 to 69,999         209         25.5           \$70,000 or greater         202         24.6           Smoking         Never         466         44.3           Former         399         38.0           Current         186         17.7           Alcohol         No         500         47.4           (Current use)         Yes         556         52.6           Supplement use         Multivitamin only         351         32.6           Folic acid only         8         0.7           Multivitamin nor folic acid         22         2.0           Neither multivitamin nor folic         695         64.6		Mayo Foundation	273	25.0
Australia         163         14.9           Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school		USC <sup>C</sup> Consortium	247	22.6
Hawaii         34         3.1           Education         < High school		Ontario	185	16.9
Education         < High school         156         14.6           High school graduate         269         25.2           Some voc./college         331         31.0           Bachelor's degree         313         29.3           Income d         < \$30,000         198         24.1           \$30,000 to 44,999         212         25.8           \$45,000 to 69,999         209         25.5           \$70,000 or greater         202         24.6           Smoking         Never         466         44.3           Former         399         38.0           Qurrent         186         17.7           Alcohol         No         500         47.4           Gurrent         186         17.7           Alcohol         No         500         47.4           Multivitamin only         351         32.6           Folic acid only         8         0.7           Multivitamin and folic acid         22         2.0           Neither multivitamin nor folic         695         64.6		Australia	163	14.9
High school graduate       269       25.2         Some voc./college       331       31.0         Bachelor's degree       313       29.3         Income d       < \$30,000		Hawaii	34	3.1
Some voc./college         331         31.0           Bachelor's degree         313         29.3           Income d         <\$30,000	Education	< High school	156	14.6
Bachelor's degree         313         29.3           Income d         <\$30,000		High school graduate	269	25.2
Income d       <\$30,000		Some voc./college	331	31.0
\$30,000 to 44,999       212       25.8         \$45,000 to 69,999       209       25.5         \$70,000 or greater       202       24.6         Smoking       Never       466       44.3         Former       399       38.0         Current       186       17.7         Alcohol       No       500       47.4         (Current use)       Yes       556       52.6         Supplement use       Multivitamin only       351       32.6         Folic acid only       8       0.7         Multivitamin and folic acid       22       2.0         Neither multivitamin nor folic acid       695       64.6		Bachelor's degree	313	29.3
\$45,000 to 69,999       209       25.5         \$70,000 or greater       202       24.6         Smoking       Never       466       44.3         Former       399       38.0         Current       186       17.7         Alcohol       No       500       47.4         (Current use)       Yes       556       52.6         Supplement use       Multivitamin only       351       32.6         Folic acid only       8       0.7         Multivitamin and folic acid       22       2.0         Neither multivitamin nor folic acid       695       64.6	Income <sup>d</sup>	< \$30,000	198	24.1
\$70,000 or greater20224.6SmokingNever46644.3Former39938.0Current18617.7AlcoholNo50047.4(Current use)Yes55652.6Supplement useMultivitamin only35132.6Folic acid only80.7Multivitamin and folic acid222.0Neither multivitamin nor folic69564.6		\$30,000 to 44,999	212	25.8
Smoking     Never     466     44.3       Former     399     38.0       Current     186     17.7       Alcohol     No     500     47.4       (Current use)     Yes     556     52.6       Supplement use     Multivitamin only     351     32.6       Folic acid only     8     0.7       Multivitamin and folic acid     22     2.0       Neither multivitamin nor folic acid     695     64.6		\$45,000 to 69,999	209	25.5
Former39938.0Current18617.7AlcoholNo50047.4(Current use)Yes55652.6Supplement useMultivitamin only35132.6Folic acid only80.7Multivitamin and folic acid222.0Neither multivitamin nor folic69564.6		\$70,000 or greater	202	24.6
Current18617.7AlcoholNo50047.4(Current use)Yes55652.6Supplement useMultivitamin only35132.6Folic acid only80.7Multivitamin and folic acid222.0Neither multivitamin nor folic69564.6	Smoking	Never	466	44.3
Alcohol       No       500       47.4         (Current use)       Yes       556       52.6         Supplement use       Multivitamin only       351       32.6         Folic acid only       8       0.7         Multivitamin and folic acid       22       2.0         Neither multivitamin nor folic acid       695       64.6		Former	399	38.0
(Current use)Yes55652.6Supplement useMultivitamin only35132.6Folic acid only80.7Multivitamin and folic acid222.0Neither multivitamin nor folic acid69564.6		Current	186	17.7
Supplement use       Multivitamin only       351       32.6         Folic acid only       8       0.7         Multivitamin and folic acid       22       2.0         Neither multivitamin nor folic acid       695       64.6         acid       695       64.6	Alcohol	No	500	47.4
Folic acid only 8 0.7 Multivitamin and folic acid 22 2.0 Neither multivitamin nor folic 695 64.6 acid	(Current use)	Yes	556	52.6
Multivitamin and folic acid222.0Neither multivitamin nor folic69564.6acid	Supplement use	Multivitamin only	351	32.6
Neither multivitamin nor folic 695 64.6 acid		Folic acid only	8	0.7
acid		Multivitamin and folic acid	22	2.0
Red meat intake         0 to 2         127         12.0			695	64.6
	Red meat intake	0 to 2	127	12.0

		n	%
(Servings/week)	2 to 3	328	31.0
	3 to 5	257	24.3
	> 5	345	32.6
Fruit intake	0 to 6	308	29.3
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	282	26.8
	7 to 14	278	26.4
	> 14	185	17.0
Vegetable intake	0 to 6	180	16.8
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	315	29.3
	7 to 14	301	28.0
	> 14	278	25.9
Physical activity	Inactive	241	23.1
(Lifetime) <sup>e</sup>	Less active	311	29.8
	Active	258	24.7
	Very Active	234	22.4
Body mass index	15 to 25	406	38.8
(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25 to 30	416	39.3
	30	225	21.5

<sup>a</sup>The subset of C-CFR participants with data currently available from questionnaires administered both at enrollment and follow-up, and data available on epidemiologic covariates analyzed.

 $b_{\text{First-degree relatives with colorectal cancer: 0 vs.}$  1. Data were missing for 144 participants (13%).

<sup>c</sup>University of Southern California

<sup>d</sup>Data missing for 271 participants (25%).

<sup>e</sup>In average weekly MET hours, where three MET hours = walking at 2 to 3 miles per hour for 1 hour. Inactive = 0 to 6, Less active = 6.1 to 20, Active = 20.1 to 44, and Very active is > 44.

#### Table 2

Use of FAS <sup>a</sup> before and after a diagnosis of colorectal cancer

			Use after diag	gnosis: <sup>b</sup>
Study sites:	Use before diagnosis: <sup>c</sup>	Total $\% \frac{d}{n}$	Yes % <sup>e</sup> (n)	No % <sup>e</sup> (n)
All	Total	100% (1092)	<b>55.1%</b> (602)	44.9% (490)
	Yes	<b>35.4%</b> (386)	76.4% (295)	23.6% (91)
	No	64.7% (706)	43.5% (307)	56.5% (399)
	Former	20.2% (221)	58.4% (129)	41.6% (92)
	Never	44.4% (485)	36.7% (178)	63.3% (307)
U.S.	Total	100% (744)	<b>63.6%</b> (473)	36.4% (271)
	Yes	<b>41.0%</b> (305)	79.0% (241)	21.0% (64)
	No	59.0% (439)	52.8% (232)	47.2% (207)
	Former	21.9% (163)	65.0% (106)	35.0% (57)
	Never	37.1% (276)	45.7% (126)	54.4% (150)
Non-U.S.	Total	100% (348)	<b>37.1%</b> (129)	62.9% (219)
	Yes	<b>23.3%</b> (81)	66.7% (54)	33.3% (27)
	No	76.7% (267)	28.1% (75)	71.9% (192)
	Former	16.7% (58)	39.7% (23)	60.3% (35)
	Never	60.1% (209)	24.9% (52)	75.1% (157)

<sup>a</sup>FAS: folic acid-containing supplements

 $b_{\rm Use}$  between baseline (1998-2002) and follow-up (2003-2007) interviews

<sup>c</sup>Use two years before baseline interview, conducted between 1998 and 2002.

dColumn percent, or the number of subjects in this category of pre-diagnostic use as a percent of all subjects

 $e^{R}$  Row percent, or the number of subjects in this category of post-diagnostic use as a percent of this category of pre-diagnostic use

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Demographic, behavioral and physical characteristics associated with FAS<sup>a</sup> use after colorectal cancer diagnosis

		FAS	FAS use after diagnosis	er dia	gnosis		
		Ś	${ m Yes}^{ m Nes}_{ m (N=602)}$	S)	No <sup>C</sup> (N=490)		
		u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
Age (years)	<60	348	57.8	321	65.5	Ref.	
	09	254	42.2	169	34.5	1.13	0.86-1.48
Sex	Male	264	43.9	253	51.6	Ref.	
	Female	338	56.2	237	48.4	1.47*	1.14-1.89
Race	White	519	86.2	411	83.9	Ref.	
	Non-white	83	13.8	79	16.1	0.66*	0.45-0.97
Family history <sup>e</sup>	No	320	61.2	262	61.7	Ref.	
	Yes	203	38.8	163	38.4	0.98	0.73-1.31
Study site	Seattle	130	21.6	60	12.2	Ref.	
	Mayo Foundation	162	26.9	111	22.7	0.68	0.46-1.00
	$\mathrm{USC}^f\mathrm{Consortium}$	164	27.2	83	16.9	0.91	0.61-1.36
	Ontario	88	14.6	97	19.8	0.40*	0.26-0.61
	Australia	41	6.8	122	24.9	$0.16^{*}$	0.10-0.26
	Hawaii	17	2.8	17	3.5	0.44*	0.21-0.92
Education	< High school	69	11.7	87	18.1	Ref.	
	High school graduate	152	25.9	117	24.3	1.22	0.79-1.86
	Some voc./college	184	31.3	147	30.6	1.16	0.76-1.75
	Bachelor's degree	183	31.1	130	27.0	1.44	0.95-2.20
							$p_{tr}=0.11$
Income	< \$30,000	121	24.1	LL	24.1	Ref.	
	\$30,000 to 44,999	126	25.1	86	27.0	1.00	0.67-1.51
	\$45,000 to 69,999	131	26.1	78	24.5	1.13	0.74-1.70

Holmes et al.

		FAS	FAS use after diagnosis	er diag	gnosis		
		Ż	${ m Yes}^{ m Ves} b$	Ś	No <sup>c</sup> (N=490)		
		u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
	\$70,000 or greater	124	24.7	78	24.5	1.01	0.66-1.55
Smoking	Never	267	46.3	199	42.0	Ref.	
	Former	221	38.3	178	37.6	<b>0.99</b>	0.74-1.33
	Current	89	15.4	97	20.5	0.67*	0.46-0.96
							$p_{tr}=0.06$
Alcohol	No	299	51.8	201	42.0	Ref.	
(Current use)	Yes	278	48.2	278	58.0	0.94	0.72-1.23
Red meat intake	0 to 2	89	15.4	38	7.9	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	2 to 3	185	32.0	143	29.9	0.55*	0.35-0.87
	3 to 5	125	21.6	132	27.6	0.42*	0.26-0.68
	× 5	179	31.0	166	34.7	0.53*	0.33-0.84
							$p_{tr}=0.03$
Fruit intake	0 to 6	150	25.7	158	33.6	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	165	28.3	117	24.9	1.43*	1.02-2.02
	7 to 14	166	28.5	112	23.8	$1.80^{\circ}$	1.26-2.58
	> 14	102	17.5	83	17.7	2.11*	1.38-3.23
							$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{tr}}\!\!<\!\!0.0001$
Vegetable intake	0 to 6	93	15.7	87	18.1	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	180	30.4	135	28.0	1.20	0.82-1.75
	7 to 14	176	29.7	125	25.9	1.50*	1.01-2.23
	> 14	143	24.2	135	28.0	2.00*	1.28-3.13
							$p_{tr}$ =0.001
Physical activity $^{\mathcal{G}}$	Inactive	122	21.3	119	25.2	Ref.	
(Lifetime)	Less active	181	31.6	130	27.5	1.35	0.94-1.92

Page 15

Holmes et al.

		FAS	FAS use after diagnosis	er diag	nosis		
		Ż	${\mathop{\rm Yes}}^{{\mathop{\rm Yes}} b}$	Ä	N0 <sup>C</sup> (N=490)		
		u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
	Active	142	24.8	116	24.6	1.27	0.87-1.83
	Very Active	127	22.2	107	22.7	1.33	0.91-1.9
							$p_{tr}=0.21$
Physical activity $h$	Inactive	122	21.3	119	25.2	Ref.	
(Lifetime)	Active	450	78.7	353	74.8	1.32	0.97-1.78
Body mass index	15 to 25	233	40.3	173	36.9	Ref.	
(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25 to 30	214	37.0	202	43.1	0.80	0.60-1.09
	30	131	22.7	94	20.0	0.95	0.67-1.34
<sup>a</sup> FAS: folic acid-containing supplements	ining supplements						
$b_{ m FAS}$ use between base	$b_{\rm FAS}$ use between baseline and follow-up, about 5 years after enrollment	5 years	after ei	nrollme	nt		
$^{\mathcal{C}}$ No FAS use between baseline and follow-up	baseline and follow-up						
$d^{}_{Adjusted for age, sex, and site as appropriate}$	and site as appropriate						
$e_{\rm First-degree}$ relatives v	$e^{First-degree relatives with colorectal cancer: 0 vs.$	-					
$f_{\rm University}$ of Southern California	ı California						

 $g_{\rm I}$ n average weekly MET hours, where three MET hours = walking at 2 to 3 miles per hour for 1 hour. Inactive = 0 to 6, Less active = 6.1 to 20, Active = 20.1 to 44, and Very active is > 44.

6.1

 $h_{\text{Inactive}} = 0$  to 6 MET hours, Active is

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

# Table 4

Independent predictors of FAS<sup>a</sup> use after colorectal cancer diagnosis: results of forward stepwise regression analysis<sup>b</sup>

		Coefficient	SE	Adjusted OR <sup>C</sup>	95% CI
Intercept		0.127	0.108	I	
Sex	Male	I	I	Ref.	I
(p=0.070)	Female	0.134	0.074	1.31	0.98-1.75
Race	White	I		Ref.	
(p=0.060)	Non-white	-0.213	0.113	0.65	0.42-1.02
Study site	Seattle			Ref.	
(p<0.0001)	<b>Mayo Foundation</b>	0.451	0.152	0.86	0.53-1.41
	USC <sup>d</sup> Consortium	0.428	0.154	0.84	0.52-1.37
	Ontario	-0.359	0.163	0.38	0.24-0.62
	Australia	-1.408	0.196	0.13	0.08-0.23
	Hawaii	0.290	0.350	0.74	0.30-1.79
Red meat intake	0 to 2			Ref.	
(Servings/week)	2 to 3	-0.060	0.122	0.50	0.31-0.83
(p=0.002)	3 to 5	-0.401	0.131	0.36	0.21-0.61
	<ul> <li>√5</li> </ul>	-0.165	0.125	0.45	0.27-0.76
Fruit intake	0 to 6	I		Ref.	
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	-0.077	0.125	1.34	0.92-1.96
(p=0.016)	7 to 14	0.159	0.126	1.70	1.14-2.52
	> 14	0.289	0.149	1.93	1.23-3.05

AS: folic acid-containing suppleme

b penter < 0.1, pstay < 0.1

<sup>c</sup>Compares subjects with regular FAS use between baseline and follow-up (N=602), about 5 years after enrollment, to those with no FAS use between baseline and follow-up (N=490)  $d_{\rm University}$  of Southern California

Holmes et al.

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Demographic, behavioral and physical characteristics associated with FAS<sup>a</sup> initiation after colorectal cancer diagnosis

		New (N	New use <sup>b</sup> (N=307)	ŽŻ	No use <sup>c</sup> (N=399)		
		u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
Age (years)	<60	191	62.2	260	65.2	Ref.	
	60	116	37.8	139	34.8	0.96	0.69-1.35
Sex	Male	130	42.4	213	53.4	Ref.	
	Female	177	57.7	186	46.6	$1.60^{\circ}$	1.17-2.19
Race	White	249	81.1	337	84.5	Ref.	
	Non-white	58	18.9	62	15.5	0.88	0.55-1.42
Family history $^{e}$	No	160	60.6	217	61.6	Ref.	
	Yes	104	39.4	135	38.4	0.97	0.67-1.39
Study site	Seattle	52	16.9	49	12.3	Ref.	
	Mayo Foundation	98	31.9	71	17.8	1.30	0.79-2.15
	$\mathrm{USC}^f\mathrm{Consortium}$	70	22.8	74	18.6	0.92	0.55-1.54
	Ontario	51	16.6	84	21.1	0.57*	0.33-0.96
	Australia	24	7.8	108	27.1	$0.21^{*}$	0.11-0.38
	Hawaii	12	3.9	13	3.3	06.0	0.37-2.19
Education	< High school	38	12.6	80	20.5	Ref.	
	High school graduate	89	29.6	95	24.4	1.51	0.90-2.52
	Some voc./college	87	28.9	114	29.2	1.23	0.74-2.04
	Bachelor's degree	87	28.9	101	25.9	1.47	0.88-2.45
Income	< \$30,000	59	23.8	66	26.4	Ref.	
	\$30,000 to 44,999	70	28.2	70	28.0	1.27	0.77-2.10
	\$45,000 to 69,999	62	25.0	62	24.8	1.23	0.73-2.05
	\$70,000 or greater	57	23.0	52	20.8	1.23	0.71-2.11

		New (N=	New use <sup>b</sup> (N=307)	ŽŽ	No use <sup>c</sup> (N=399)		
		u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
Smoking	Never	150	49.8	164	42.4	Ref.	
	Former	100	33.2	139	35.9	0.91	0.63-1.31
	Current	51	16.9	84	21.7	0.63*	0.40 - 0.97
							$p_{tr}=0.05$
Alcohol	No	150	51.2	152	38.9	Ref.	
(Current use)	Yes	143	48.8	239	61.1	0.85	0.61-1.19
Red meat intake	0 to 2	41	13.9	26	6.7	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	2 to 3	92	31.1	120	30.7	0.45*	0.25-0.80
	3 to 5	69	23.3	103	26.3	0.37*	0.20-0.69
	~ 5	94	31.8	142	36.3	0.38*	0.21-0.70
							$p_{tr}=0.01$
Fruit intake		90	30.3	132	34.7	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	LL	25.9	93	24.5	1.24	0.81-1.90
	7 to 14	75	25.3	91	24.0	1.39	0.89-2.17
	> 14	55	18.5	64	16.8	1.95*	1.16-3.30
							$p_{tr}=0.01$
Vegetable intake	0 to 6	56	18.6	71	18.2	Ref.	
(Servings/week)	6 to 7	86	28.6	111	28.4	1.01	0.63-1.60
	7 to 14	92	30.6	98	25.1	1.42	0.87-2.30
	> 14	67	22.3	111	28.4	1.55	0.89-2.70
							$p_{tr}=0.05$
Physical activity $^{\mathcal{G}}$	Inactive	61	21.3	103	26.9	Ref.	
(Lifetime)	Less active	96	33.5	105	27.4	1.59*	1.03-2.48
	Active	68	23.7	90	23.5	1.41	0.88-2.25
	Very Active	62	21.6	85	22.2	1.39	0.86-2.25
							$p_{tr}=0.27$

Holmes et al.

n         %         n         %         ORd         95% CI           Physical activity <i>h</i> Inactive         61         21.3         103         26.9         Ref.         -           Physical activity <i>h</i> Inactive         61         21.3         103         26.9         Ref.         -           Body mass index         15 to 25         112         38.2         138         35.8         Ref.         -           30         75         25.6         80         20.8         1.09         0.71-1.67	n         %         n         %         ORd           61         21.3         103         26.9         Ref.           226         78.8         280         73.1         1.48*         1           112         38.2         138         35.8         Ref.         1           112         36.2         167         43.4         0.84         0           75         25.6         80         20.8         1.09         0	n         %         n         % $ORd$ l activity $h$ Inactive         61         21.3         103         26.9         Ref.           time)         Active         226         78.8         280         73.1         1.48*         1           ass index         15 to 25         112         38.2         138         35.8         Ref.           ass index         15 to 25         106         36.2         167         43.4         0.84         0           ass index         30         75         25.6         80         20.8         1.09         0           c coid-containing supplements         active diagnosis, and used FAS before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis         Active diagnosis			New (N	New use <sup>b</sup> (N=307)	žŻ	No use <sup>c</sup> (N=399)		
Iactivity h         Inactive         61         21.3         103         26.9         Ref.           time)         Active         226         78.8         280         73.1         148*           ass index         15 to 25         112         38.2         167         43.4         0.84           ass index         15 to 25         1106         36.2         167         43.4         0.84           30         75         25.0         80         20.8         20.8         1.09	61       21.3       103       26.9       Ref.         226       78.8       280       73.1       1.48*         112       38.2       138       35.8       Ref.         106       36.2       167       43.4       0.84         75       25.6       80       20.8       1.09         S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	61       21.3       103       26.9       Ref.         226       78.8       280       73.1       1.48*         112       38.2       138       35.8       Ref.         106       36.2       167       43.4       0.84         75       25.6       80       20.8       1.09         Sbefore diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis			u	%	u	%	$OR^d$	95% CI
time)         Active         226         78.8         280         73.1         1.48*           ass index         15 to 25         112         38.2         138         35.8         Ref.           ass index         15 to 25         112         38.2         157         43.4         0.84           ass index         15 to 25         106         36.2         167         43.4         0.84           30         75         25.6         80         20.8         1.09	226     78.8     280     73.1 <b>1.48*</b> 112     38.2     138     35.8 <b>Ref.</b> 106     36.2     167     43.4 <b>0.84</b> 75     25.6     80     20.8 <b>1.09</b>	226     78.8     280     73.1     1.48*       112     38.2     138     35.8     Ref.       106     36.2     167     43.4     0.84       75     25.6     80     20.8     1.09       S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	Physical activity <i>h</i>	Inactive	61	21.3	103	26.9	Ref.	
ass index 15 to 25 112 38.2 138 35.8 Ref. 25 to 30 106 36.2 167 43.4 0.84 30 75 25.6 80 20.8 1.09	<ul> <li>112 38.2 138 35.8 Ref.</li> <li>106 36.2 167 43.4 0.84</li> <li>75 25.6 80 20.8 1.09</li> <li>S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis</li> </ul>	112         38.2         138         35.8         Ref.           106         36.2         167         43.4 <b>0.84</b> 75         25.6         80         20.8 <b>1.09</b> S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	(Lifetime)	Active	226	78.8	280	73.1	1.48*	1.01-2.16
<b>25 to 30</b> 106 36.2 167 43.4 <b>0.84</b> <b>30</b> 75 25.6 80 20.8 <b>1.09</b>	106         36.2         167         43.4 <b>0.84</b> 75         25.6         80         20.8 <b>1.09</b> S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	106         36.2         167         43.4 <b>0.84</b> 75         25.6         80         20.8 <b>1.09</b> S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	Body mass index	15 to 25	112	38.2	138	35.8	Ref.	
75 25.6 80 20.8 <b>1.09</b>	75 25.6 80 20.8 <b>1.09</b> S before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosi	75     25.6     80     20.8     1.09       Shefore diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosi	$(kg/m^2)$	25 to 30	106		167	43.4	0.84	0.58-1.23
	FAS: folic acid-containing supplements <sup>1</sup> Subject never used or formerly used FAS before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis	FAS: folic acid-containing supplements bubject never used or formerly used FAS before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis		30	75	25.6	80	20.8	1.09	0.71-1.67
			Subject never used or	formerly used FAS befo	re diagnc	sis, and	used F	'AS afte	r diagno	sis

Subject never used or formerly used FAS before diagnosis, and did not use FAS after diagnosis

 $d_{
m Adjusted}$  for age, sex, and site as appropriate

eFirst-degree relatives with colorectal cancer: 0 vs.

\_

 $f_{\rm University}$  of Southern California

 $^{g}$ In average weekly MET hours, where three MET hours = walking at 2 to 3 miles per hour for 1 hour. Inactive = 0 to 6, Less active = 6.1 to 20, Active = 20.1 to 44, and Very active is > 44.

 $h_{\text{Inactive}} = 0$  to 6 MET hours, Active is 6.1

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

# Table 6

Independent predictors of  $FAS^a$  initiation after colorectal cancer diagnosis: results of forward stepwise regression analysis<sup>b</sup>

		COEIIICIEIII	10	OR <sup>c</sup>	10 %.ck
Intercept		-0.281	0.127	I	
Sex N	Male	I		Ref.	
(p=0.017) F	Female	0.218	0.091	1.55	1.08-2.21
Study site S	Seattle			Ref.	
(p<0.0001) N	Mayo Foundation	0.794	0.191	2.01	1.07-3.77
L	USC <sup>d</sup> Consortium	0.266	0.189	1.18	0.63-2.21
U	Ontario	-0.216	0.189	0.73	0.40-1.35
V	Australia	-1.187	0.222	0.28	0.14-0.55
I	Hawaii	0.246	0.370	1.16	0.44-3.10
Red meat intake 0	0 to 2	I		Ref.	
(Servings/week) 2	2 to 3	-0.074	0.152	0.41	0.22-0.77
(p=0.0017) 3	3 to 5	-0.388	0.162	0.30	0.15-0.58
Λ	> 5	-0.364	0.155	0.30	0.16-0.58
Physical activity I	Inactive <sup>e</sup>			Ref.	I
(Lifetime) A	Active $f$	0.198	0.108	1.49	0.97-2.27
(p=0.067)					

<sup>C</sup>New use versus no use: compares subjects who never used or formerly used FAS before diagnosis, and used FAS after diagnosis (N=307), to those who never used or formerly used FAS before diagnosis, and did not use FAS after diagnosis (N=399)

 $d_{\text{University of Southern California}}$ 

 $e^{a}$  Average weekly MET hours = 0 to 6, where three MET hours = walking at 2 to 3 miles per hour for 1 hour

 $f_{\rm Average weekly MET hours of 6.1}$