Multiple Regulation of STE2, a Mating-Type-Specific Gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae

ANDREAS HARTIG,¹⁺ JULIE HOLLY,² GENA SAARI,² and VIVIAN L. MACKAY^{1,2*}

Waksman Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854,¹ and ZymoGenetics, Inc., 2121 N. 35th St., Seattle, Washington 98103²

Received 1 October 1985/Accepted 14 March 1986

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae STE2 gene, which is required for pheromone response and conjugation specifically in mating-type a cells, was cloned by complementation of the *ste2* mutation. Transcription of STE2 is repressed by the MAT α 2 gene product, so that the 1.4-kilobase STE2 RNA is detected only in a or mat α 2 strains, not in α or a/ α cells. However, STE2 RNA levels are also increased by the mating pheromone α -factor and decreased in strains bearing mutations in the nonspecific STE4 gene. Regulation of STE2 expression in a cells is therefore achieved by several mechanisms.

Mating-type expression in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is an important model for development and regulation in eucaryotic cells (reviewed in references 14, 38, and 49). Two alleles, MATa and MAT α , determine the mating type of cells as a or α , respectively. Mating between a and α haploid cells results in a third cell type, the a/ α diploid, which is unable to mate but can be induced to undergo meiosis and sporulation. To establish the mating type of a haploid cell, certain a- or α -specific characteristics must be expressed. For example, α cells secrete the mating pheromone α -factor and can respond to the pheromone a-factor, whereas a cells produce a-factor, are sensitive to α -factor, and secrete Barrier activity, a protein that reverses the effects of α -factor on a cells (reviewed in references 14, 49, and 58).

MacKay and Manney (30) proposed that the MAT alleles code for regulatory proteins, which control the expression of genes (or their products) that are unlinked to the MAT locus and that are necessary for establishing cell type and for conjugation. These unlinked genes (denoted STE for the sterile mutant phenotype) were identified by the isolation and subsequent genetic analysis of various nonmating mutants (13, 29, 30, 50; L. C. Blair, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oregon, Eugene, 1979; J. R. Rine, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oregon, Eugene, 1979). Some of the STE gene products, i.e., STE4, STE5, STE7, STE11, and STE12, were shown to be necessary for mating in both cell types. Others, however, are cell type specific: a cells require the STE2, STE6, and STE14 gene products for mating, whereas mutations in the STE3, STE13, KEX2, and TUP1 genes block mating only in α cells. The last two have pleiotropic phenotypes that extend beyond their mating defects (26, 27) and therefore are not considered conjugation-specific genes.

A hypothesis for the regulation of the mating-type-specific functions has been proposed (53) in which $MAT\alpha$ codes for two regulatory proteins, αI and $\alpha 2$, in α cells. The αI protein acts as a positive regulator for the expression of α -specific genes, and $\alpha 2$ is a negative regulator that blocks the expression of **a**-specific genes. In **a** cells, MATa codes for only one known functional product, **a***I*, which has no influence on mating but is required for sporulation in **a**/ α diploids. The $\alpha I \cdot \alpha 2$ hypothesis predicts that the expression of at least some mating-type-specific genes should be controlled by the *MAT* locus. Although this regulation could be transcriptional or posttranscriptional, the structural genes for α -factor and **a**-factor have been cloned and demonstrated to be transcribed only in α and **a** cells, respectively (4, 25, 47). In contrast, the *STE13* gene product, which is required for mating only in α cells, is produced in all three cell types; *STE13* apparently encodes a diaminopeptidase that is involved in processing mature α -factor (22) and is not a mating-type-specific function.

In this paper we describe the cloning of a putative **a**-specific gene, *STE2*, which has been proposed to encode the α -factor receptor on the surface of **a** cells (13, 18, 30). We have demonstrated that *STE2* is transcribed only in **a** cells and that its transcription is repressed by the *MAT* α 2 product, activated by the *STE4* gene product, and stimulated by exogenous α -factor.

(Part of this work was reported at the 11th International Conference on Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology, Montpelier, France, 1982.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and genetic methods. The S. cerevisiae strains used are listed in Table 1. Genetic methods and media used were described previously (29, 36): YEPD is a rich growth medium; MV is a chemically defined, minimal glucose medium; SC is MV with nutritional supplements to satisfy the growth requirements of the auxotrophic strains listed in Table 1; SC-leu lacks only leucine; SC-leu was supplemented with 1 M sorbitol. Temperature-sensitive mating mutants were grown at either 25°C (the permissive temperature) or 35°C (the restrictive temperature); all other strains were incubated at 30°C unless noted.

For mating tests, strains were replica plated to YEPD (or to SC-leu for transformants) and cross-streaked with mating tester strains JJ-1A and JJ-1C. After the strains had grown for approximately 24 h, the plates were replica plated to MV which would support growth only of diploids formed by mating. After another 24 h of incubation, growth of diploids indicated the mating type, as well as the ability or inability to mate, of the strains tested. For the screening of transformants for those bearing the *STE2* gene, transformant colonies from regeneration agar were resuspended and plated on SC-leu at approximately 1,000 cells per plate. The resulting

^{*} Corresponding author.

[†] Present address: Institut für Allgemeine Biochemie der Universität Wien und Ludwig Boltzmann-Forshungsstelle für Biochemie, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

Vol. 6, 1986

Strain	Genotype	Source or reference
X2180-1A	a gal2	YGSC ^a
JJ-1A	a arg1 thr1	M. Jagadish
JJ-1C	a argl thrl	M. Jagadish
XG41-14B	α ade2 adeX his4 leu2 ura3 gal2	15
G2	a leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 trp1-289 ura3-52	G. McKnight
AB20	a his6 leu1 met1 trp5 can1 gal2	41
XP635-10C	a leu2-3,112 gal2 bar1	T. R. Manney
XV627	\mathbf{a} ade2 his2 lys1 trp5 can1 gal2 + + + +	This work
	α + + + trp5 can l gal2 his6 leul met l	
XT1177-S47c	a ade2 his2 lys1 trp5 can1 gal2	29
VZ4	a ste4-1 derived from XT1177-S47c	30
VAC1	a ste5-1 derived from XT1177-S47c	30
VN33	matal ade6 his6 leul met1 trp5 can1 gal2	30
XCL632-2-2	$mat\alpha 2$ -34 leu2-3,112 cry1-7 lys1 his6 gal2 thr4 + +	32
	mata2-34 leu2-3,112 cry1-7 lys1 his6 gal2 thr4 his4-519 can1	
23α75	$mat\alpha 2(\alpha x75)$ leu2 trp1 his4-519 ura3 can1-101	56
17-16	matal ade2 ura3 trp1 can1 cyh2 leu1 lysX	23
XL4-S47	a ste4-4 SUP4-3 his2 or his4 arg1 or both	This work
XL4-S118	a ste4-4 SUP4-3 ade2 his2 or his4 thr1 or both	This work
381G-79A	a ste7-2 SUP4-3 cry1 his4-580 trp1 ade2 tyr1 lys2	13
381G-41A	a stell-1, same genotype as 381G-79A	13
381G-59C	a stel2-1, same genotype as 381G-79A	13
XH6-10B	a ste2-2 adeX leu2-3,112 lys1-1 can1	This work
XH9-5C4	a ste2-1 ade2-1 his3 leu2-3,112 can1	This work

TABLE 1. Yeast strains used

^a YGSC, Yeast Genetics Stock Center, Berkeley, Calif.

colonies were replica plated to prewarmed lawns of strain JJ-1C on SC-leu, and the mating plates were incubated for 24 h before being replica plated to MV (selective for diploids).

Plasmids. Yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vector YEp13 is derived from pBR322 (3) and carries the *S. cerevisiae* chromosomal *LEU2* gene and the origin of replication from the *S. cerevisiae* 2μ m plasmid (5). The shuttle vector pZUC12 was obtained from M. Hansen, Novo Industri A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark; it contains these same yeast sequences in *E. coli* plasmid pUC12 (provided by J. Messing, University of Minnesota). Plasmid pLH3 (provided by L. Bell, ZymoGenetics) was constructed by isolating a 3kilobase (kb) *Bgl*II fragment that contains the *S. cerevisiae LEU2* gene from plasmid YEp13. The *Bgl*II ends were blunt ended with DNA polymerase I (Klenow fragment), ligated with *Hin*dIII linkers, digested with *Hin*dIII, and inserted into pUC12.

Transformation. E. coli RR1 (3) and JM83 (33) cells were transformed as described by Bolivar et al. (3). Yeast strains were transformed by a modification (28) of the method of Beggs (2). Integrants were obtained by transformation with linearized plasmid DNA (42) digested at a unique site within the cloned fragment or with a linear chromosomal fragment.

Preparation of DNA and RNA. Plasmid DNA from *E. coli* was isolated by the method of Ish-Horowicz and Burke (17). Plasmid DNA from yeast for transformation into *E. coli* was usually isolated as described by Nasmyth and Reed (40), although *E. coli* transformants were not obtained from all preparations that were demonstrated to contain plasmid. In these cases, the method of Agaas was used to prepare plasmid from yeast (M. Cortelyou and V. L. MacKay, unpublished data); a 10-ml SC-leu culture was chilled on ice for 30 min and centrifuged, and the cells were washed in cold distilled water and suspended in 0.2 ml of SCE (1 M sorbitol, 0.1 M sodium citrate [pH 5.8], 10 mM EDTA) containing 0.8 mg of Zymolyase 5000 (Kirin Brewery, Japan) and 1% (vol/vol) β -mercaptoethanol. Incubation for 30 min at 37°C was followed by addition of 0.15 ml of STE (10% sodium

dodecyl sulfate, 0.1 M Tris hydrochloride [pH 9.0], 10 mM EDTA), rapid mixing, and immediate boiling for 3 min. The lysis mixture was cooled on ice, 0.15 ml of 5 M potassium acetate (pH 5.6) was added, and the mixture was left on ice for 30 to 60 min before centrifugation for 5 min. For precipitation of DNA, 0.5 ml of 5 M ammonium acetate and 1 ml of isopropanol were added to the supernatant, which was then kept at -20° C for 15 min or longer. After centrifugation for 3 min, the precipitate was washed with 70% ethanol, dried in vacuo, and dissolved in 50 to 100 µl of TE (10 mM Tris hydrochloride [pH 8], 1 mM EDTA). For further purification, the DNA solution was extracted three times with phenol-chloroform (1:1), precipitated with ethanol, and resuspended in 50 µl of TE. This yeast plasmid preparation resulted in efficient E. coli transformation in all cases.

Yeast RNA was isolated as described by Richter et al. (43) from yeast cells grown in YEPD to a density of 2×10^7 to 3×10^7 cells per ml; poly(A)-enriched RNA samples were prepared by chromatography on oligo(dT)-cellulose (1). Incubation of **a** cells with α -factor was achieved by the addition of either approximately 4 U of the pheromone per ml, prepared as described previously (54), or an equivalent volume of 95% ethanol; the treated cultures were then incubated for the time indicated or for approximately one generation time before isolation of RNA.

Electrophoresis, transfers, and hybridizations. Electrophoresis of DNA fragments through agarose gels, subsequent transfer of denatured DNA fragments to nitrocellulose filters, and hybridization to nick-translated probes were done as described previously (35). Electrophoresis of RNA samples, transfers to nitrocellulose, and hybridizations were done as described by Thomas (57), except that dextran sulfate was omitted from the hybridization solutions. Nick translations were done essentially as described by Rigby et al. (44). Radiolabeled single-stranded probes in bacteriophage M13 were prepared by the method of Hu and Messing (16).



1 Kb

FIG. 1. Restriction map of the *STE2* plasmids pAH2 through pAH6. Only the inserts of the plasmids are shown. The orientation of each insert relative to the YEp13 vector is indicated by the open boxes on one side, which represent the *HindIII-EcoRI-HindIII* region of YEp13 (5). This portion of the vector has been deleted in pAH5. Subcloning the insert of pAH2 in pBR322 (yielding pAH1) was accomplished by digestion of pAH2 with *EcoRI*, dilution, and self-ligation of the pBR322-containing fragment. The region common to all plasmids is indicated by the closed bar. Symbols: B, *Bam*HI; E, *EcoRI*; H, *HindIII*; P, *PstI*; Pv, *PvuII*; S, *SaII*; X, *XbaI*.

Quantitation of autoradiograms. The autoradiograms were scanned lane by lane in a Beckman DU8 spectrophotometer, and relative peak areas were normalized by comparison with one lane of each autoradiogram set arbitrarily as one. Since the intensity of some of the autoradiogram bands is beyond the linear range, the values given should be considered only semiquantitative.

DNA sequencing. Restriction fragments were subcloned into derivatives of *E. coli* bacteriophage M13, and single-stranded template was prepared after transfection of *E. coli* JM101 or JM103 (33). Sequencing was done by the dideoxy method of Sanger et al. (46).

Enzymes. All restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. DNase I and pancreatic RNase were from Worthington Diagnostics. DNA polymerase I was from Boehringer-Mannheim Biochemicals. Enzymes were generally used as recommended by the suppliers.

RESULTS

Isolation of DNA fragments that complement the *ste2* mutation. Strains XH6-10B and XH9-5C4 cannot mate because of the *ste2* mutation and were used as recipients for transformation with a clone bank of total yeast genomic fragments in vector YEp13 (41). Leu⁺ transformants were screened for the ability to mate, as described in Materials and Methods. Approximately 15,000 original transformant colonies were screened, and six colonies were identified that had acquired the ability to mate. Altogether, these harbored five different plasmids that complemented the *ste2* mutation. The common region, presumably containing the *STE2* gene, is 2.6 kb long (Fig. 1); this localization was subsequently confirmed by the ability of a *PstI-Bam*HI fragment from pAH1 subcloned into pZUC12 (plasmid pMT411) to complement the *ste2* mutation.

Complementation alone is not sufficient proof that the cloned gene is actually STE2, since overexpression of one gene might complement a mutation in another gene (28, 48). Therefore, plasmids pAH2 and pAH4 were integrated into the genome of XH6-10B (a *ste2*) by transformation with a linearized form (42) after digestion with *Bam*HI at the unique site in each plasmid (Fig. 1). Southern hybridization with *Eco*RI-digested genomic DNA from wild-type strains

showed only one band, at approximately 10 kb, that hybridized to a fragment containing the 2.6-kb common region. Integration of pAH2 and pAH4 into the chromosome split the 10-kb genomic EcoRI fragment into two bands: 12.5 and 7 kb for integration of pAH2 and 9.5 and 4.1 kb for integration of pAH4 (data not shown). The appearance of the two new bands demonstrated that the integration occurred at the chromosomal location of the cloned gene. Tetrad analysis of these integrants proved that the cloned gene is STE2. The integrants were crossed with strain XG41-14B (α), and the resulting diploids were sporulated and subjected to tetrad analysis. In the 76 tetrads analyzed, no nonmating spores were found, indicating that the integration occurred within 0.65 centimorgan of the ste2 mutation in XH6-10B. Although LEU2 is normally linked to and between HIS4 and MAT, in the integrants LEU2 was unlinked to both MAT and HIS4 (data not shown). Additional mapping (9) has assigned the STE2 gene to chromosome VI (data not shown).

Identification of the STE2 transcript. When the PstI-BamHI fragment from pAH1 was used to probe RNA samples from wild-type a strains, only a single transcript, of approximately 1.4 kb, was visible on the autoradiogram even after prolonged exposure (see, e.g., Fig. 2B, lane 1). However, in other experiments with the PstI-HindIII or PvuII-PvuII fragment of pAH1 as probe, a minor transcript (approximately 1 kb) was occasionally seen (see Fig. 3 and 4). The 1.4-kb RNA was identified as the STE2 transcript by gene disruption experiments (42, 45). A DNA fragment containing the yeast LEU2 gene was inserted at several sites into the PstI-BamHI fragment of pAH1 subcloned into pUC12. LEU2 was inserted at the SalI site (pZV66) and in both orientations at the rightward HindIII site in the fragment (pZV64 and pZV65) (Fig. 2A). LEU2 was also inserted between the two HindIII sites, replacing the approximately 0.4-kb HindIII-HindIII fragment of the STE2 fragment (pZV63). The resulting plasmids were then digested with PstI and BamHI and used to transform yeast strain XP635-10C (a barl) to leucine prototrophy; a majority of the transformants were expected to have the disrupted STE2 fragment integrated in place of the homologous chromosomal STE2 segment (42, 45). Because the STE2 gene product is required for the a cell response to α -factor (13, 29, 30), disruption of the STE2 gene and loss of the STE2 product would confer insensitivity to α -factor. Sensitivity or



FIG. 2. Identification of the STE2 transcript. (A) Plasmid pZV37 was derived by subcloning the PstI-BamHI fragment from pAH1 (Fig. 1) into pUC12. To construct plasmids pZV63 through pZV65, pZV37 was partially digested with HindIII and full-length linear fragments were isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis. These were ligated with a 3-kb HindIII fragment containing the yeast LEU2 gene isolated from plasmid pLH3 and transformed into E. coli RR1 cells. The transformants were plated onto minimal medium containing ampicillin and lacking leucine. Transformants were screened for those containing the LEU2 fragment within the STE2 insert of pZV37. Plasmid pZV63 has the LEU2 fragment inserted between the two HindIII sites, in place of the endogenous HindIII fragment; plasmids pZV64 and pZV65 have LEU2 inserted at the rightward HindIII site in opposite orientations. Plasmid pZV66 was constructed by digesting pZV37 completely with SalI and inserting the XhoI-Sall LEU2 fragment from YEp13. Since the orientation of the LEU2 insert and therefore the location of the XhoI/Sall junction were not determined, both junctions are denoted S*. All five plasmids shown were digested with PstI and BamHI, and the STE2-containing fragment was isolated after agarose gel electrophoresis. These fragments were used to transform yeast strain XP635-10C to leucine prototrophy. (B) Poly(A)-enriched RNA (10 µg) from each a strain was fractionated by electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with nick-translated pZV37 (see Materials and Methods). Lane 1, X2180-1A; lane 2, XP635-10C::pZV63; lane 3, XP635-10C::pZV65; lane 4, XP635-10C::pZV66; lane 5, XP635-10C bearing the autonomous plasmid pMT411 (PstI-BamHI fragment of pAH1 subcloned into vector pZUC12). RNA blots from XP635-10C::pZV37 are identical to lane 1 (data not shown).

resistance to α -factor was easily scored in the XP635-10C transformants, since this strain is supersensitive to α -factor (7). Eight independent Leu⁺ transformants from each plas-



FIG. 3. Mating-type regulation of STE2 transcription. Poly(A)enriched RNA samples from various strains grown at the indicated temperature were isolated, fractionated by electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with nick-translated fragments isolated from pAH1 (either the 1.3-kb PstI-HindIII fragment or the 1.1-kb PvuII-PvuII fragment) (see Materials and Methods). After hybridization and autoradiography, the blots were cleaned and rehybridized with nick-translated pY9T6 which contains the ADH1 gene (kindly donated by E. T. Young, University of Washington, Seattle). The ADH control is shown for some of the lanes. (A) Lane 1, XT1177-S47c (a, 30°C); lane 2, AB20 (α , 30°C); lane 3, XV627 (a/ α , 30°C); lane 4, 17-16 (mata1, 30°C); lane 5, VN33 (mata1, 30°C). (B) Lane 1, XT1177-S47c (a, 23°C); lane 2, XT1177-S47c (a, 36°C); lane 3, XCL632-2-2 (mata2-34, 36°C); lane 4, XCL632-2-2 (mata2-34, 23°C); lane 5, 23 α 75 (mata2, 23°C); lane 6, 23 α 75 (mata2, 36°C).

mid digest or from plasmid pMT411 were assayed for sensitivity (i.e., morphological response) to α -factor. None of those transformed with pMT411 or with the pZV64, pZV65, or pZV66 digests were resistant to α -factor, whereas six of eight transformed with the pZV63 digest had become resistant. These six are presumed to have integrated the pZV63 linear fragment in place of the chromosomal *STE2* gene, thereby abolishing *STE2* function because of the *LEU2* insertion in the gene.

Poly(A)-enriched RNA from the transformants was probed with the intact *PstI-Bam*HI fragment to determine whether the 1.4-kb transcript was affected by any of the gene disruptions. The 1.4-kb RNA is absent only in the pZV63 transformant (Fig. 2B, lanes 2 through 4). Similar results were obtained when total RNA from two transformants of each plasmid type was analyzed (data not shown). Since the absence of the 1.4-kb transcript corresponds to the loss of the *STE2* gene function by gene disruption, this RNA is encoded by *STE2*.

Regulation of STE2 transcription. Analysis of poly(A)enriched RNA from a variety of yeast strains showed that transcription of the STE2 gene is regulated by the matingtype locus (Fig. 3). The 1.4-kb STE2 RNA was found in a, matal, and mata2 strains, but not in α , matal, or a/α cells. These results support the $\alpha 1 - \alpha 2$ hypothesis (53) that **a**specific genes are negatively regulated by the $MAT\alpha 2$ gene product. To confirm $MAT\alpha 2$ regulation of STE2 at the transcriptional level, we examined RNA samples from four different mata2 mutants grown at 23 and 36°C. Three of these are nonmaters at both temperatures, and all had the 1.4-kb band (see, e.g., Fig. 3B, lanes 5 and 6). The fourth $mat\alpha 2$ mutant is temperature sensitive (32); at 23°C it mates as an α , and the 1.4-kb transcript was not detected (Fig. 3B, lane 4). However, at 36°C, mating is very poor (<0.1% of mating at 23°C), and a low level of the STE2 transcript was found (approximately 20% of the wild-type a at 36°C; Fig. 3B, lane 3; Table 2). Thus, the $MAT\alpha 2$ gene product directly or indirectly represses transcription of the STE2 gene.



FIG. 4. STE2 RNA levels in wild-type and temperature-sensitive ste mutant strains. Poly(A)-enriched RNA samples from various ste mutants and their parent strains grown at the indicated temperature were isolated, fractionated by electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with nick-translated fragments from pAH1 (either the 1.3-kb PstI-HindIII fragment or the 1.1-kb PvuII-PvuII fragment) (see Materials and Methods). After hybridization and autoradiography, the blots were cleaned and rehybridized with nick-translated pY976 which contains the ADH1 gene. (A) Lane 1, XT1177-S47c (a, 36°C); lane 2, XT1177-S47c (a, 23°C); lane 3, VZ4 (a ste4-1, 36°C); lane 6, VAC1 (a ste5-1, 23°C); lane 7, 381G-79A (a ste7-2, 23°C); lane 8, 381G-41A (a ste11-1, 36°C); lane 10, 381G-41A (a ste11-1, 23°C); lane 11, 381G-59C (a ste12-1, 36°C); lane 12, 381G-59C (a ste12-1, 36°C); lane 12, 381G-59C (a ste12-1, 36°C); lane 12, 381G-59C (a ste12-1, 36°C); lane 13, 381G-59C (a ste12-1, 36°C); lane 14, ste11-1, 36°C); lane 34, ste11-14, ste11-14, ste11-14, ste11-14, ste11

Poly(A)-enriched RNA samples from the nonspecific ste mutants were also probed for the STE2 transcript (Fig. 4); for all temperature-sensitive mutants, RNA was isolated after growth at 23 and at 36°C. Most of the temperaturesensitive nonspecific ste mutations had little if any effect on STE2 mRNA levels (however, see Discussion); the threefold less RNA was found in most of the mutants at 36°C relative to 23°C, as compared to a twofold level in the wild-type a parent at 36°C (Fig. 4; Table 2). This reduction might reflect a general temperature effect on transcription of conjugationspecific genes. In contrast, in the ste4-1 mutant the STE2 transcript level was at least eightfold lower at 36 than at 23°C (Fig. 4, lanes 3 and 4). This result was confirmed by analysis of poly(A)-enriched RNA isolated from other ste4 mutants, specifically from strains carrying a nonsense mutation or gene disruption in STE4 (Fig. 5). In all cases, STE2 RNA was detected at approximately 10% of the level seen in the wild-type parent. The STE4 gene product is therefore not absolutely required for STE2 transcription but is necessary for the synthesis or maintenance of normal levels of the RNA. In contrast, gene disruptions in the STE5 gene had very little effect on STE2 RNA levels (Fig. 5, lane 4), indicating that this gene product may have little or no role in STE2 expression.

Preliminary experiments suggested that STE2 transcript levels were higher in a cells that had been incubated for 2 h

 TABLE 2. Quantitation of autoradiograms in Fig. 3B and 4 by scanning densitometry^a

	STE2/ADH ratio at:		
Strain	36°C	23°C	36°C/23°C
XT1177-S47c (a)	0.51	1.00	0.51
XCL632-2-2 (mata2-34/mata2-34)	0.10	0	
VZ4 (a ste4-1)	0.20	1.60	0.125
VACI (a ste5-1)	0.31	1.00	0.31
381G-79A (a ste7-2)	1.00	3.09	0.32
381G-41A (a stell-1)	1.72	4.65	0.37
381G-59C (a stel2-1)	1.22	5.53	0.22

^{*a*} The autoradiograms were scanned as described in Materials and Methods. The calculated areas of the *STE2* and ADH bands were normalized for each autoradiogram and used to determine the *STE2*/ADH ratio. with α -factor (data not shown). This possibility was more directly examined by analysis of RNA samples isolated from a cells incubated with α -factor for increasing periods (Fig. 6). After only 15 min of incubation with α -factor, *STE2* RNA levels increased about three- to fourfold relative to those of the *ADH1* control; in this experiment, longer incubations with α -factor did not seem to lead to greater stimulation of *STE2* RNA accumulation.

Sequence of the 5' regulatory region. Transcriptional regulation of STE2 appears to be identical to that of BAR1 (V. L. MacKay and T. R. Manney, manuscript in preparation), and detailed analysis of the latter gene has demonstrated that all



FIG. 5. STE4 and STE5 influence on levels of STE2 RNA. Poly(A)-enriched RNA samples were obtained from wild-type **a** strains X2180-1A and G2, from derivatives of G2 in which either the chromosomal STE4 or STE5 gene has been disrupted with LEU2 or URA3 (strains kindly provided by L. Bell, ZymoGenetics), or from strains bearing nonsense mutations in the STE4 gene (see Materials and Methods). The RNA was subjected to electrophoresis, transferred, and hybridized with radiolabeled mp11-ZV36 (the PstI-BamHI fragment from pAH1 subcloned into M13mp11). Lane 1, G2; lane 2, G2 ste4 Δ 1; lane 3, G2; lane 4, G2 ste5 Δ 5; lane 5, X2180-1A (**a**); lane 6, XL4-S47 (**a** ste4-4); lane 7, XL4-S118 (**a** ste4-4). Similar results were obtained with RNA isolated from a G2 derivative bearing a different STE4 gene disruption (data not shown).



FIG. 6. Time course of α -factor stimulation of *STE2* transcription. Log-phase cultures of X2180-1A (a) were incubated in YEPD medium with α -factor for the time indicated. Poly(A)-enriched RNA samples were fractionated by electrophoresis, transferred, and hybridized with nick-translated pZV37 (top) and pY976 (bottom) (see Materials and Methods). Lane 1, 0 min; lane 2, 15 min; lane 3, 30 min; lane 4, 60 min; lane 5, 90 min.

the sequence elements needed for its transcription and regulation are located within 500 base pairs 5' to the translational start (J. Kronstad and V. L. MacKay, unpublished data). A short fragment of the 5' noncoding sequence of STE2 was sequenced previously and shown to have a 33-base-pair sequence that has been implicated as a $MAT\alpha 2$ binding site (21, 34), although the precise location of this sequence relative to the translational start site was not established. We have therefore sequenced approximately 1.8 kb of DNA encompassing the STE2 gene. The only long open reading frame extends at least 1,107 base pairs (Fig. 7A), a size that is consistent with that predicted for STE2 from the RNA blots. This probable STE2 coding sequence is immediately adjacent to the HindIII fragment that was deleted in the nonfunctional LEU2 insertion (pZV63; Fig. 2). The DNA sequence of 540 base pairs of the 5' noncoding region that presumably contains the promoter and regulatory sequences is shown in Fig. 7B. The $MAT\alpha 2$ binding site is located 200 to 230 base pairs 5' to the translational start; deletion and mutational studies are necessary to prove the function of this sequence, including possible position effects, and other regions of the 5' sequence. (It should be noted that our sequence is in agreement with sequences recently published by other groups [6, 37].)

DISCUSSION

Although the mating type of a yeast cell segregates as a single gene, the phenotypic differences among \mathbf{a} , $\dot{\mathbf{a}}$, and \mathbf{a}/α cells indicate that selective expression of several different genes is required to establish the manifested cell type. Our results have demonstrated multiple ways in which the expression of a single gene required for conjugation is regulated.

Transcriptional regulation of STE2. $MAT\alpha 2$ regulation of genes expressed specifically in a cells was predicted in the $\alpha 1 - \alpha 2$ hypothesis and is confirmed for the specific cases of STE6 (59), BAR1 (31; MacKay and Manney, in preparation), and STE2 (this work). For all of these genes, there was no detectable homologous RNA in cells that contained a functional MAT α 2 gene. Although MAT α 2 regulation of aspecific genes could occur by rapid turnover of the encoded RNAs, it is more likely that the $MAT\alpha 2$ protein acts by blocking transcription of these genes. Recent work from other groups has identified a consensus sequence in STE6, BAR1, STE2, and the a-factor structural gene MFa1 that is responsible for $MAT\alpha 2$ repression (21, 34). In all of these genes, the consensus sequence is approximately 200 to 300 base pairs 5' to the translational start and probably is part of a binding site for the $MAT\alpha 2$ protein in the nucleus (12). That the $MAT\alpha 2$ product is sufficient for the repression at least of STE2 was indicated by the insensitivity to α -factor of matal mutants transformed with a plasmid in which the $MAT\alpha 2$ coding sequence had been joined to the constitutive ADH1 promoter (G. Ammerer, personal communication).

Other factors also influence *STE2* expression at the transcriptional level, although our data cannot discriminate between transcription initiation and RNA stabilization. The three- to fourfold stimulation of stable *STE2* RNA levels is similar to the α -factor enhancement observed for both secreted Barrier activity (31) and *BAR1* RNA (MacKay and Manney, in preparation) and for secreted **a**-factor activity (55). (It should be noted that none of these genes appears to be represented among the hormone-responsive genes described by Stetler and Thorner [52].) Similarly, the abundance of the α -specific RNA encoded by *STE3* (proposed to be the **a**-factor receptor gene) has also recently been reported to be increased approximately fourfold by incubation of α cells with **a**-factor (11).

A third level of STE2 regulation involves the products of at least some of the nonspecific STE genes. Using temperaturesensitive ste mutations, we could detect the effect of the ste4 (and possibly the stel2) mutation on STE2 RNA levels, and the role of the STE4 gene product was subsequently confirmed with both a ste4 nonsense mutation and gene disruptions in STE4. Although the STE4 protein is not absolutely required for the synthesis or accumulation of STE2 RNA, its deficiency effects a 10-fold reduction in STE2 transcript levels. Relative to a wild-type control, the temperaturesensitive stel2 mutation led to a two- to threefold decrease in STE2 RNA at the restrictive temperature (Table 2); however, using tighter stel2 mutations, Fields and Herskowitz have recently shown that the STE12 gene product is also required for normal levels of STE2 RNA (10). Since gene disruptions in the STE7 and STE11 genes have likewise been shown to cause decreased levels of STE3 RNA in α cells (D. Chaleff and G. Sprague, personal communication), it is possible that a similar role for these gene products in the accumulation of STE2 RNA can be demonstrated with the use of the gene disruption strains. The slight effect of a disruption in the STE5 gene on STE2 RNA levels was somewhat surprising, since high levels of STE5 gene product can suppress the mating defect of strains carrying the temperature-sensitive ste4-1 allele used in the experiments shown in Fig. 4 (28; L. Bell, K. Nasmyth, and V. L. MacKay. manuscript in preparation). Perhaps the expression of other genes required for conjugation is affected by both the STE4 and STE5 gene products. From the data accumulated in all of these studies, it is tempting to hypothesize that the products of the nonspecific STE genes may



10 20 30 40 50 AAGCTTTTTA ATACACCAAA GATTCAAGAT AAGAGCATAG AACGAACTGT AGAATAGTCC 100 70 110 120 80 90 GGATATGTTA TCCAATGCCT GCCAAAATGC ATTGTCACAC GCTGTAGTGC TCGAATAGGT 130 140 150 160 170 180 GTTGCAATCC GTCAATATAC GTCTTGCTCT GTGGGTAAAT GTCTCGTGCA TTAAGACAGG 190 200 210 220 230 240 CTAGTATAAA CGAGAAGAAG TATCCTGCTT TGCAATGAAA CAATAGTATC CGCTAAGAAT 250 260 270 280 290 300 TTAAGCAGGC CAACGTCCAT ACTGCTTAGG ACCTGTGCCT GGCAAGTCGC AGATTGAAGT 310 320 330 340 350 360 TTTTTCAACC ATGTA CCTAATTGGG TAAGTACATG ATGAAACACA TATGAAGAAA TTT 370 380 390 400 410 420 AAAGCTTTCC TACATATTCA AGATTTTTTT CTGTGGGTGG AATACTATTT AAGGAGTGCT 430 440 450 460 470 480 ATTAGTATCT TATTTGACTT CAAAGCAATA CGATACCTTT TCTTTTCACC TGCTCTGGCT 490 500 510 520 530 540 ATAATTATAA TTGGITACTT AAAAATGCAC CGTTAAGAAC CATATCCAAG AATCAAAAATG MET

form a transcription complex that is specifically required for high-level expression of the mating-type-specific genes. Alternatively, although mutants with defects in any one of the nonspecific *STE* genes (*STE4*, *STE5*, *STE7*, *STE11*, or *STE12*) have as yet identical cellular phenotypes, the gene products could play quite dissimilar roles in the conjugation process.

Multiple levels of transcriptional regulation have also been described for the S. cerevisiae HO gene, which codes for an endonuclease that initiates mating-type switching in homothallic cells (24, 39). HO transcription is repressed by the combined action of the MATal/MAT α 2 products, is limited to the G1 phase of the cell cycle, occurs in mother but not daughter cells, and is dependent upon the products of at least five unlinked SWI genes (20, 39, 51). Thus, the products of the nonspecific STE genes and the SWI genes may serve analogous functions in the expression of two distinct classes of genes that serve specialized functions in yeast cells.

Function of the STE2 gene product. The phenotype of ste2 mutants originally suggested that this gene might encode all or part of a surface receptor on a cells for α -factor (13, 29; 30); analogously, STE3 was proposed to code for the α specific receptor for a-factor (11, 29, 30, 48). This role for the STE2 gene product has been supported by more recent biochemical studies (18, 19), which also demonstrated that the α -factor binding sites exist in approximately 8,000 copies per cell (19; revised from the earlier estimate in reference 18). The 48,000-kilodalton STE2 product predicted from the DNA sequence (Fig. 7) (6, 37) would therefore account for approximately 0.016% of total cellular protein if the protein was active as a monomer (calculated as in reference 18). Our FIG. 7. Sequence of the 5' noncoding region of STE2. Top panel: diagram of the 5' flanking region and portion of the coding region sequenced; arrows indicate the strand sequenced. Note that the orientation of the DNA is opposite to that shown in Fig. 1. Bottom panel: nucleotide sequence.

RNA blots indicate that *STE2* RNA is more abundant than expected for this level of expression, i.e., approximately 25% as abundant as RNA encoding alcohol dehydrogenase, which is reported to represent ca. 1 to 2% of total $poly(A)^+$ RNA and total soluble protein (8). RNA stability and protein turnover could account for this apparent discrepancy.

In general, our results are in agreement with the hypothesis that *STE2* specifies the α -factor receptor; for example, the increase of *STE2* transcription during incubation of **a** cells with α -factor is consistent with the increase of receptor on the surface of **a** cells (18). Jenness et al. (D. Jenness, personal communication) also found that there was a loss of α -factor binding in temperature-sensitive *ste4* mutants grown at the restrictive temperature, a result that is consistent with our interpretation that the *STE4* protein is involved in *STE2* RNA accumulation. The loss observed for receptor activity was, however, substantially less than the decrease in *STE2* RNA levels in *ste4* mutants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mark Cortelyou and Donna Saville for excellent technical assistance, Ben Hall for providing facilities (to A.H.), Leslie Bell, Mogens Hansen, and Gustav Ammerer for materials and valuable discussions, Sherri Osborne for photography, and Margo Rogers for preparation of the manuscript. We are grateful to George Sprague, Debbie Chaleff, Duane Jenness, Anne Burkholder, and Lee Hartwell for providing information prior to publication and for allowing citation of unpublished work.

This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant PCM8110083 and by the Charles and Johanna Busch Memorial Fund. A.H. was a Busch Postdoctoral Fellow of the Waksman Institute, Rutgers University.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Aviv, H., and P. Leder. 1972. Purification of the biologically active globin messenger RNA by chromatography on oligo-thymidylic acid-cellulose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69:1408-1412.
- 2. Beggs, J. D. 1978. Transformation of yeast by a replicating hybrid plasmid. Nature (London) 275:104-109.
- Bolivar, F., R. L. Rodriguez, P. J. Greene, M. C. Betlach, H. L. Heynecker, M. W. Boyer, J. H. Crosa, and S. Falkow. 1977. Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles. II. A multi-purpose cloning system. Gene 2:95–113.
- 4. Brake, A., C. Brenner, R. Najarian, P. Laybourn, and J. Merryweather. 1985. Structure of genes encoding precursors of the yeast peptide mating pheromone a-factor, p. 103–108. In Transport and secretion of proteins. Cold Spring Harbor Labo-

- Broach, J. R., J. N. Strathern, and J. B. Hicks. 1979. Transformation in yeast: development of a hybrid cloning vector and isolation of the CANI gene. Gene 8:121-133.
- Burkholder, A. C., and L. H. Hartwell. 1985. The yeast α-factor receptor: structural properties deduced from the sequence of the STE2 gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 13:8463–8475.
- 7. Chan, R. K., and C. A. Otte. 1982. Isolation and genetic analysis of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants supersensitive to G1 arrest by a-factor and α -factor pheromones. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2:11-20.
- 8. Denis, C. L., J. Ferguson, and E. T. Young. 1983. mRNA levels for the fermentative alcohol dehydrogenase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* decrease upon growth on a nonfermentable carbon source. J. Biol. Chem. 258:1165–1171.
- 9. Falco, S. C., Y. Li, J. R. Broach, and D. Botstein. 1982. Genetic properties of chromosomally integrated 2 μ plasmid DNA in yeast. Cell 29:573–584.
- 10. Fields, S., and I. Herskowitz. 1985. The yeast *STE12* product is required for expression of two sets of cell-type-specific genes. Cell 42:923-930.
- Hagen, D. C., and G. F. Sprague, Jr. 1984. Induction of the yeast α-specific STE3 gene by the peptide pheromone a-factor. J. Mol. Biol. 178:835-852.
- Hall, M. N., L. Hereford, and I. Herskowitz. 1984. Targeting of E. coli β-galactosidase to the nucleus in yeast. Cell 36: 1057-1065.
- 13. Hartwell, L. H. 1980. Mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* unresponsive to cell division control by polypeptide mating hormone. J. Cell Biol. 85:811-822.
- Herskowitz, I., and Y. Oshima. 1981. Control of cell type in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: mating-type and mating-type interconversion, p. 181–209. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces: life cycle and inheritance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Hopper, A. K., and V. L. MacKay. 1980. Control of sporulation in yeast: SADI—a mating-type specific, unstable alteration that uncouples sporulation from mating-type control. Mol. Gen. Genet. 180:301-314.
- Hu, N., and J. Messing. 1982. The making of strand-specific M13 probes. Gene 17:271–277.
- Ish-Horowicz, D., and J. F. Burke. 1981. Rapid and efficient cosmid cloning. Nucleic Acids Res. 9:2989–2998.
- Jenness, D. D., A. C. Burkholder, and L. H. Hartwell. 1983. Binding of α-factor pheromone to yeast a cells: chemical and genetic evidence for an α-factor receptor. Cell 35:521-529.
- Jenness, D. D., A. C. Burkholder, and L. H. Hartwell. 1986. Binding of α-factor pheromone to yeast a cells: dissociation constant and number of binding sites. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:318-320.
- Jensen, R., G. F. Sprague, Jr., and I. Herskowitz. 1983. Regulation of yeast mating type inter-conversion: feedback control of *HO* gene expression by the mating type locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:3035–3039.
- 21. Johnson, A. D., and I. Herskowitz. 1985. A repressor ($MAT\alpha 2$ product) and its operator control expression of a set of cell-type specific genes in yeast. Cell 42:237-247.
- 22. Julius, D., L. Blair, A. Brake, G. Sprague, and J. Thorner. 1983. Yeast α-factor is processed from a larger precursor polypeptide: the essential role of a membrane-bound dipeptidyl aminopeptidase. Cell 32:839–852.
- 23. Kassir, Y., and G. Simchen. 1976. Regulation of mating and meiosis in yeast by the mating-type region. Genetics 82: 187-202.
- Kostriken, R., J. N. Strathern, A. J. S. Klar, J. B. Hicks, and F. Heffron. 1983. A site-specific endonuclease essential for matingtype switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Cell 35:167–174.
- 25. Kurjan, J., and I. Herskowitz. 1982. Structure of a yeast pheromone gene: a putative α -factor precursor contains four tandem copies of mature α -factor. Cell **30**:933–943.
- Leibowitz, M. J., and R. B. Wickner. 1976. A chromosomal gene required for killer plasmid expression, mating, and spore matu-

ration in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 73:2061–2065.

- Lemontt, J. F., D. R. Fugit, and V. L. MacKay. 1980. Pleiotropic mutations at the *TUP1* locus that affect the expression of mating-type dependent functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 94:899–920.
- MacKay, V. L. 1983. Cloning of yeast STE genes in 2 μm vectors. Methods Enzymol. 101:325-343.
- MacKay, V. L., and T. R. Manney. 1974. Mutations affecting sexual conjugation and related processes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. I. Isolation and phenotypic characterization of nonmating mutants. Genetics 76:255–271.
- MacKay, V. L., and T. R. Manney. 1974. Mutations affecting sexual conjugation and related processes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. II. Genetic analysis of nonmating mutants. Genetics 76:273-288.
- 31. Manney, T. R. 1983. Expression of the *BAR1* gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: induction by the α mating pheromone of an activity associated with a secreted protein. J. Bacteriol. 155:291-301.
- Manney, T. R., J. Meade, and P. Jackson. 1982. Two temperature-sensitive mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with altered expression of mating functions. J. Cell Biol. 96:1592–1600.
- Messing, J. 1983. New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. 101:20-78.
- Miller, A. M., V. L. MacKay, and K. A. Nasmyth. 1985. Identification and comparison of two sequence elements that confer cell-type specific transcription in yeast. Nature (London) 314:598-603.
- 35. Montgomery, D. L., B. D. Hall, S. Gillam, and M. Smith. 1978. Identification and isolation of the yeast cytochrome *c* gene. Cell 14:673–680.
- Mortimer, R. K., and D. C. Hawthorne. 1969. Yeast genetics, p. 385–460. In A. H. Rose and J. S. Harrison (ed.), The yeasts, vol. 1. Academic Press, Inc. (London), Ltd., London.
- 37. Nakayama, N., A. Miyajima, and K. Akira. 1985. Nucleotide sequences of *STE2* and *STE3*, cell type-specific sterile genes from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 4:2643–2648.
- Nasmyth, K. A. 1982. Molecular genetics of yeast mating type. Annu. Rev. Genet. 16:439–500.
- Nasmyth, K. A. 1983. Molecular analysis of a cell lineage. Nature (London) 302:670–676.
- Nasmyth, K. A., and S. I. Reed. 1980. Isolation of genes by complementation in yeast: molecular cloning of a cell cycle gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:2119-2123.
- 41. Nasmyth, K. A., and K. Tatchell. 1980. The structure of transposable yeast mating-type loci. Cell 19:753-764.
- Orr-Weaver, T. L., J. W. Szostak, and R. J. Rothstein. 1981. Yeast transformation: a model system for the study of recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78:6354–6358.
- 43. Richter, K., G. Ammerer, E. Hartter, and H. Ruis. 1980. The effect of 5-amino levulinate on catalase T-messenger RNA levels in d-aminolevulinate synthase-defective mutants of *Saccharomyces cervisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 255:8019–8022.
- 44. Rigby, P. W. J., M. Dieckmann, C. Rhodes, and P. Berg. 1977. Labelling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity *in vitro* by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. J. Mol. Biol. 113:237-251.
- Rothstein, R. J. 1983. One-step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101:202-211.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 47. Singh, A., E. Y. Chen, J. M. Lugovoy, C. N. Chang, R. A. Hitzman, and P. H. Seeburg. 1983. Saccharomyces cerevisiae contains two discrete genes coding for the α-factor pheromone. Nucleic Acids Res. 11:4049–4063.
- 48. Sprague, G. F., R. Jensen, and I. Herskowitz. 1983. Control of yeast cell type by the mating type locus: positive regulation of the α -specific gene *STE3* by the *MAT* α *l* gene product. Cell 32:409-415.
- 49. Sprague, G. F., Jr., L. C. Blair, and J. Thorner. 1983. Cell interactions and regulation of cell type in the yeast Saccharo-

myces cerevisiae. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 37:623-660.

- 50. Sprague, G. F., Jr., J. Rine, and I. Herskowitz. 1981. Control of yeast cell type by the mating type locus. II. Genetic interactions between $MAT\alpha$ and unlinked α -specific *STE* genes. J. Mol. Biol. 153:323-335.
- 51. Stern, M., R. Jensen, and I. Herskowitz. 1984. Five SWI genes are required for expression of the HO gene in yeast. J. Mol. Biol. 178:853-868.
- 52. Stetler, G. L., and J. Thorner. 1984. Molecular cloning of hormone-responsive genes from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:1144-1148.
- 53. Strathern, J., J. Hicks, and I. Herskowitz. 1981. Control of cell type in yeast by the mating-type locus: the $\alpha 1-\alpha 2$ hypothesis. J. Mol. Biol. 147:357-372.
- Strazdis, J. R., and V. L. MacKay. 1982. Reproducible and rapid methods for the isolation and assay of a-factor, a yeast mating hormone. J. Bacteriol. 151:1153-1161.

- 55. Strazdis, J. R., and V. L. MacKay. 1983. Induction of yeast mating pheromone a-factor by α cells. Nature (London) 305:543-545.
- Tatchell, K., K. A. Nasmyth, B. D. Hall, C. Astell, and M. Smith. 1981. *In vitro* mutation analysis of the mating-type locus in yeast. Cell 27:25–35.
- Thomas, P. S. 1980. Hybridization of denatured RNA and small DNA fragments transferred to nitrocellulose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77:5201-5205.
- 58. Thorner, J. 1981. Pheromonal regulation of development in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, p. 143–180. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces: life cycle and inheritance. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Wilson, K., and I. Herskowitz. 1984. Negative regulation of STE6 gene expression by the α2 product of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:2420-2427.