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#### Abstract

One of the chromosomal regions of Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae encoding pathogenicity factors had been mapped into a 3.9 -kilobase-pair fragment in previous studies. Promoter probe analysis indicated the existence of a promoter near one end of the fragment. DNA sequencing of this fragment revealed the existence of a consensus promoter sequence in the region of the promoter activity and two open reading frames (ORFs) downstream. These ORFs, ORF1 and ORF2, encoded putative polypeptides of 40 and 83 kilodaltons, respectively. All ORF1::Tn5 as well as ORF2::Tn5 mutant strains were nonpathogenic on susceptible host bean plants and were unable to elicit hypersensitive reactions on nonhost tobacco plants. The deduced amino acid sequence of the 83 -kilodalton polypeptide contained features characteristic of known integral membrane proteins. Fusion of the lacZ gene to ORF2 led to the expression of a hybrid protein inducible in Escherichia coli. The functions of the putative proteins encoded by ORF1 and ORF2 are unknown at present.


Genetic studies of determinants of pathogenicity in phytopathogenic bacteria have been undertaken for a wide variety of organisms (23, 32) including Pseudomonas (2, 5, 8, $20,39,41$ ). Isolation of mutants affected in their behavior on plants led to the identification of different types of genes involved in plant-pathogen interactions. The genes required for both the expression of disease symptoms on host plants and the development of the hypersensitive reaction on nonhost plants (16) have been designated hrp genes, whereas the name dsg has been attributed to genes responsible only for disease development (20). The hypersensitive reaction is considered a generalized expression of resistance by the plants to pathogens and is associated with only limited multiplication and spread of the pathogen surrounding the infected area (15). On the other hand, pathogenicity toward the susceptible host is considered to be the ability of the pathogen to establish itself in the plant, resulting in rapid multiplication and widespread invasion by the organism. Hence, characterization of these hrp genes should reveal important aspects of the plant-pathogen interactions.
The Pseudomonas syringae group of phytopathogenic bacteria contains various pathogens that cause diseases on the foliage of plants (12). Among these pathogens is an ecotype of Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae, which is the causal agent of brown spot disease of Phaseolus vulgaris L., the common bean. Several regions of the bacterial genome that are involved in the pathogenicity of $P$. syringae pv . syringae R32 were identified in our laboratory by Tn5 mutagenesis (2). One of these mutants, PS9021, failed to incite disease symptoms on bean and to cause a hypersensitive reaction on nonhost plants such as tobacco. The mutant did not grow in planta (4) but grew on minimal agar medium, and, unlike the parental strain, which has firm and smooth colonies, it exhibited mucoidal colony morphology. Hence, the mutant appeared to be affected in one or more $h r p$ genes. In this paper we describe the complete DNA sequence of a $h r p$ locus affected in the mutant, transcriptional analysis of the pathogenicity region in the cosmid

[^0]pOSU3105 (30), and construction of a fusion protein for raising antibodies against a pathogenicity determinant of this hrp locus.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.
Media and antibiotics. P. syringae pv. syringae strains were grown at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in MaNY medium as previously described (2); Escherichia coli strains were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in LB medium. The media were supplemented with the following antibiotics (obtained from Sigma Chemical Co.) as required: kanamycin, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; penicillin, $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; tetracycline, $15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; and chloramphenicol, $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. MacConkey agar medium was used for detecting galactokinase expression and was obtained from Difco Laboratories. L-agar plates were poured with $40 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the chromogenic substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) per ml and 200 mM isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to allow visualization of $\beta$-galactosidase expression.

DNA manipulations. Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, and exonuclease III were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories, Inc., and used as specified by the manufacturer. T4 DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs, Inc.) was used to fill in protruding $3^{\prime}$ termini (19) of DNA. The Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (International Biotechnologies, Inc.) and [ ${ }^{35}$ S]dATP (Du Pont, NEN Research Products) were used for DNA sequencing reactions. Mung bean nuclease and synthetic NcoI linkers were obtained from Pharmacia, Inc.

DNA sequencing. Portions of the hrp locus identified by the mutation in PS9021 were subcloned (see Results) into the bacteriophage vector M13mp18 (42) in both orientations to allow sequencing of each strand of DNA. Sequential overlapping deletions of DNA in each clone were generated by the exonuclease III-mung bean nuclease method of Henikoff (13). Each of these new clones was sequenced by the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (36). Problems arising from compressions in the gels were overcome by substituting 7 -deazaguanosine-5'-triphosphate for dGTP (26). The DNA sequence and deduced amino acid sequences

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids

| Strain or plasmid | Genotype or phenotype | Source or reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. coli |  |  |
| HB101 | proA2 leuB6 thi-1 lacY1 hsdR hsdM recA13 supE44 rpsL20 galK2 arg-14 | 21 |
| N100 | galK2 recAl3 | 22 |
| JM105 | thi rpsL endA sbcB15 hspR4 $\Delta\left(\right.$ lac-proAB) $\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\right.$ traD36 proAB lacI $\left.{ }^{9} \mathrm{Z} \Delta \mathrm{M} 15\right)$ | 42 |
| P. syringae pv. syringae |  |  |
| R32 | Wild-type pathogenic isolate from bean | 2 |
| PS9020 | Spontaneous Sm ${ }^{\text {r }}$ derivative of R32 | 2 |
| PS9021 | Nonpathogenic mutant of PS9020 obtained by Tn5 mutagenesis | 2, 30 |
| PS3150 to PS3162 | Derivatives of PS9020 with different Tn5 insertions on the chromosome | 24, 25 |
| Plasmids |  |  |
| pKO4 | pBR322 derivative with promoterless galK gene | 22 |
| pKO6 | Same as pKO4, but with polylinker site reversed | 22 |
| pIJ3100 | RSF1010 derivative with promoterless cat gene | 31 |
| pOSU3105 | Broad-host-range cosmid pVK102 with $P$. syringae pv. syringae DNA encompassing the hrp locus | 30 |
| pOSU3125 | pBR322 derivative with left HindIII fragment cloned between promoter for lac operon and galK gene | 25 |
| pOSU3126 | Same as pOSU3125, but with the HindIII fragment in reverse orientation | 25 |
| pOSU4101 | pBR322 derivative with tac promoter, consensus RBS, and truncated hrpM locus with 8-bp NcoI linker | This study |
| pOSU4102 | Same as pOSU4101, but with 10-bp NcoI linker | This study |
| pOSU4103 | Same as pOSU4101, but with 12-bp NcoI linker | This study |
| pMLB1034 | pBR322 derivative with polylinker site at codon 8 of lacZ gene | 38 |
| pOSU4104 | pMLB1034 with the $P$. syringae pv. syringae DNA insert from pOSU4101 | This study |
| pOSU4105 | pMLB1034 with the $P$. syringae pv. syringae DNA insert from pOSU4102 | This study |
| pOSU4106 | pMLB1034 with the $P$. syringae pv. syringae DNA insert from pOSU4103 | This study |

were analyzed by using computer programs described by Mount and Conrad (7, 27).

Immunoblot analysis. Overnight cultures of the strains to be analyzed were diluted 100 -fold in L broth with penicillin and grown to an $A_{600}$ of 0.5 to 0.6. At this time, 2 mM IPTG was added to each culture, and the cultures were grown for an additional 1 h . A $1-\mathrm{ml}$ sample of each culture was microcentrifuged for 1 min , and the pellet was suspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the sample buffer ( 0.625 M Tris [pH 6.8], $2 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate, $10 \%$ glycerol, $2 \% \beta$-mercaptoethanol) and boiled for 3 min . A $10-\mathrm{ml}$ sample of each lysate was subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-7\% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis as described by Laemmli (18). After separation, the protein bands were transferred to nitrocellulose filters (pore size, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Schleicher \& Schuell, Inc.) in a high-field electroblotting apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories) as previously described (9). Prestained molecular size standards (Bethesda Research Laboratories) were used to mark migrations of proteins on the blot. The transblotted filter was probed with a $1: 10,000$ dilution of mouse anti- $\beta$-galactosidase antibody (Promega Biotec, Inc.). Immunochemical staining of the bands was performed with an alkaline phos-phate-conjugated protoblot system (Promega Biotec) designed for use with mouse antiserum. At present, the hybrid protein is being purified in preparative amounts.

## RESULTS

The pathogenicity locus affected in PS9021 is of interest for two principal reasons. First, the mutant is prototrophic and is able to utilize the same range of sugars and nitrogen sources as the wild type (data not shown), but is unable to grow in planta. Second, the mutant is altered in colony morphology, indicating that the gene(s) mutated may be involved in the synthesis of surface-associated or extracel-
lular products, which, in turn, may have a role in the recognition essential for bacterial pathogenicity. Previous results (30) revealed a DNA sequence from a cosmid library of $P$. syringae pv. syringae R32 that complemented all of the altered phenotypes of PS9021. The approximate extent of this hrp locus was determined by site-directed mutagenesis with Tn 5 in $E$. coli (24), followed by marker exchange of these mutations into the chromosome of PS9020, a strain isogenic to R32. Among the resulting strains was a group of six Hrp ${ }^{-}$mutants, PS3151 to PS3156 (Table 1; Fig. 1), that mapped within a 3.9 -kilobase-pair (kb) HindIII fragment. Since the Tn5 insertions in PS9021 and PS3153 mapped to approximately the same site $(24,30)$, this $h r p$ locus was thought to be affected in the original mutant PS9021. Two proteins of approximately 37 and 85 kilodaltons (kDa) (25) (Fig. 1D) have been expressed from this locus in one direction in E. coli maxicells.

Detection of promoter activity in the locus. Various derivatives of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment (Fig. 1B) encompassing the $h r p$ locus were generated by using SalI and BglII sites present in the fragment. These smaller fragments were cloned in both orientations in the appropriate polylinker sites upstream of a promoterless galactokinase gene (galK) in the promoter probe plasmids, pKO4 and pKO6 (22). These clones were transformed into the indicator strain, E. coli N100, and tested on MacConkey plates with galactose as the sole carbon source. The clones with promoter activity formed red colonies. Promoter activity was detected in the HindIII-Sall and HindIII-BglII (Fig. 1B) fragments from the right end of the locus, and the direction of transcription was inward (Fig. 1C). To verify that the promoter activity detected in $E$. coli is truly representative of that in $P$. syringae pv. syringae, the two HindIII-BgIII fragments (Fig. 1B) generated from the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment and various deriva-


FIG. 1. Genetic structure of the $8.5-\mathrm{kb}$ DNA fragment of $P$. syringae pv. syringae R32 present on the cosmid pOSU3105. The terminal HindIII fragments are 3.9 kb each and are separated by a $0.7-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment. (A) Sites of Tn 5 insertions following marker exchange into the chromosome of $P$. syringae pv. syringae R32 and corresponding phenotypes on plants. Symbols: + , $\mathrm{Hrp}^{+}$; -, $\mathrm{Hrp}^{-} ; \pm$, retarded disease symptoms on bean, cultivar Red Mexican; 3150 to 3162, designations for the sites of Tn 5 insertions. (B) Restriction map of the $8.5-\mathrm{kb}$ DNA fragment. (C) Transcriptional analysis of the $8.5-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment in $E$. coli with a promoterless galK gene. Arrows indicate directions of transcription, and the box indicates the location of the galK gene in each case. (D) Expression of proteins from various subclones of the $8.5-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment. Arrows indicate the directions of expression, and the estimated sizes of these proteins are shown above the respective clones. (E) ORFs present in the left half of the $8.5-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment. The direction of transcription is shown by the arrow. The sizes of putative polypeptides encoded by these ORFs are indicated below the respective ORFs.
tives of these fragments were individually cloned into a polylinker site upstream of a promoterless chloramphenicol transacetylase gene in the broad-host-range plasmid pIJ3100 (31). The clones obtained were transformed (28) into $P$. syringae pv. syringae R32 to allow selection for the Smr marker present on pIJ 3100 , and individual colonies were then tested for the chloramphenicol resistance phenotype to detect any promoter activity present in the cloned fragment. The clones that carried a functional promoter in E. coli also conferred resistance to 15 to $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of chloramphenicol per ml in $P$. syringae pv. syringae. These results suggest that promoter activity, functional in both $E$. coli and $P$. syringae pv . syringae, resides near the right end of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment.
DNA sequence analysis. The plasmid pOSU3126 (Table 1), used in maxicell studies, contains the 3.9-kb HindIII fragment (Fig. 1) encompassing the hrp locus cloned into a polylinker site. An internal BgIII site is located 2.1 and 1.8 kb away, respectively, from the HindIII sites at the right and left ends of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment (Fig. 1B). A DNA fragment in pOSU3126 that extends from the BamHI site in the
polylinker to the internal BglII site 2.1 kb downstream was subcloned in both orientations at the BamHI site of M13mp18. Similarly, a $1.8-\mathrm{kb}$ BamHI- Bg III fragment that extends from the BamHI site in the polylinker through the rest of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment was subcloned from pOSU3125 (Table 1) into M13mp18 in both orientations. A 2.7-kb SalI fragment (Fig. 1B) within the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment was also cloned in both orientations in M13mp18 to verify the DNA sequences around the BgIII site that was used as an endpoint in previous clones. Unidirectional deletions of the 2.1-, 1.8-, and $2.7-\mathrm{kb}$ fragments were generated from either end of each fragment by the exonuclease III deletion method (see Materials and Methods). Sequential overlapping clones with endpoints separated by ca. 150 base pairs (bp) were used to perform the DNA sequencing of each strand of the fragments. The DNA sequence of the strand containing the promoter activity (sense strand) and the deduced amino acid sequences are shown in Fig. 2.

The sense strand contains two open reading frames (ORFs), ORF1 and ORF2, that code for putative polypeptides of 40 and 83 kDa , respectively (Fig. 1E). These data are in good agreement with the sizes of the polypeptides (ca. 37 and ca. 85 kDa ) expressed in $E$. coli maxicells in earlier studies (25). An E. coli consensus promoter sequence (34) is present upstream of both ORFs (Fig. 2), where promoter activity was detected. However, the -10 region of this promoter overlaps with the first translational initiation codon, ATG, of ORF1, and no promoter activity was detected in the $0.7-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment present immediately upstream. Initiation of translation at the following in-frame ATG of ORF1 present at nucleotide 405 (Fig. 2) will yield a putative $28-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide (see Discussion). The translational initiation codon ATG of ORF2 is located 205 nucleotides downstream of the translational stop codon of ORF1. This ATG is flanked by a $9-b p$ inverted repeat, and a consensus ribosome-binding site (RBS), GGAGGA (37), is located immediately upstream (Fig. 2). Two DNA sequences identical to 7 and 6 bp of the left repeat sequence are located further upstream between ORF1 and ORF2. A segment of the DNA sequence located 175 nucleotides downstream of the translational termination codon of ORF2 contains all the features of a transcriptional terminator. Specifically, there is an 11-bp inverted repeat that can form a putative stem by base pairing of the mRNA, leaving a 5 -base loop with six $T$ nucleotides immediately downstream of the stem-and-loop structure. Hence, the DNA sequence of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment contains the features of a polycistronic operon.

Features of the putative polypeptides encoded by the ORFs. To determine whether the polypeptides encoded by the ORFs share any features of the proteins with known functions, we performed a computer search for amino acid sequence homology with proteins in the GenBank and European Molecular Biology Laboratory data bases. The amino acid sequence deduced from ORF2 did not show homology with any known protein. To further investigate

FIG. 2. (See following page.) Nucleotide sequence of the 3,854-bp HindIII fragment encompassing the hrp locus and the deduced amino acid sequences of putative polypeptides encoded on the sense strand. The nucleotides are numbered beginning at the HindIII site upstream of ORF1. The putative translational initiation site for each ORF is indicated by a solid arrow above the sequence, whereas both the start and stop sites are shown in capital letters in the amino acid sequences. A consensus sequence for a putative RBS upstream of ORF2 is indicated by smaller arrows above the sequence. Conserved regions of a consensus promoter sequence upstream of ORF1 are underlined. A 9-bp inverted repeat sequence flanking the putative initiation codon of ORF2 is indicated by arrows pointing inward. Part of this repeat (GCCGAG), which is reiterated in direct order upstream of ORF2, is identified by a shorter arrow below the sequence. Dotted lines below the sequence downstream of ORF2 indicate the stem of a putative stem-and-loop structure that may act as a transcriptional terminator. Vertical arrows above the sequence indicate the extent of ORF2 used in protein fusion experiments.

 Ser Ser Gly leu Ser Ite gln Ala Ala Asn gly glu trp leu Trp Arg Pro leu Asn Asn Pro Lys his leu Ser ile Ser Ser Phe Ser

GTC GAG AAC CCG CGT GGT TTC GGT CTG CTG CAA CGT GGC CGC gac tic agC cag tac gai gac ctg gat gac cge tac gac aag cgt cca 270 Val Glu Asn Pro Arg Gly Phe Gly Leu Leu Gln Arg Gly Arg Asp Phe Ser Gln Tyr glu Asp Leu Asp Asp Arg Tyr Asp Lys Arg Pro
agt gcc tge atc gag ccg ang gac gat tgg get aat gge act gic gag ctg gic gan att ccg act gcc gac gag acc aac gac aac atc 360 Ser Ala trp lle glu Pro Lys gly Asp Trp gly lys gly Thr Val glu leu Val glu lle Pro Thr Ala Asp glu thr Asn Asp Asn Ile
gTa Val Ala Tyr trp lys Pro glu thr leu ala glu Pro gly Gln glu met ala Phe Asp tyr arg leu his trp thr met gln glu Asn Ser
att cac tcg ccg gat cte gac tge gic ang cag act can cgc tcc atc gat gac gig cgt cag tcc aac cta atc cat cag ccg gac gac 540 lle His Ser Pro Asp Leu Gly Trp Val Lys Gln Thr Gln Arg Ser lle Gly Asp Val Arg Gln Ser Asn Leu lle Arg Gln Pro Asp Gly
agC ctt gcc ttc ctg gic gac tic gig gac ccg gig ctg gcc gca ctg ccg gai gac ang acc att cge agc cag gtg acc act gac gac gen Ser leu Ala Phe leu val Asp Phe Val gly Pro Val leu Ala Ala leu Pro Glu Asp lys thr lle Arg Ser gln val Thr thr Asp Asp
 Asn Val Glu leu Val Glu Asn Asn Leu Arg Tyr Asn Pro Val Thr Lys Gly Tyr arg leu thr Leu Arg Val Lys Val lys asp Ser Ser

AAG CCG ACC GAA ATG CGC GCC TAC CTG TTG CGT GAA ATC CCT GCC GAA GCG GGC AAG GAA CCT GCG CTG CTC GTG GCT GAC AAA GCC GAA 810 Lys Pro Thr glu met arg ala tyr leu Leu Arg glu lle Pro Ala glu Pro gly Lys glu Pro Ala leu Leu Val Ala Asp lys Ala glu
 Glu lys lys Ala Ala Ala lys glu Ala Ala lys Pro Ala Val Ser Lys Glu Ser Ala Asn Asp Gln Val glu ile Ala lys Ala Asp Ala
 Pro lys Pro Glu Ala Ala Lys Pro Glu Thr Ala Lys Ser Glu Ala Gly lys Ala Asp Ala Ala Lys Gly Lys Gly Glu Val Ala lys Ala

GAT GCA GGC AAA GCC GAC GCA TCC AAG GCT GAA GCA GCC AAG GAT AAG GAC GGT AAG GAA ATt CAG CAG CCT GAA aCC gag gCA gCA CCC 1080 Asp Ala Gly lys Ala Asp Ala Ser Lys Ala Glu Ala Ala Lys Asp Lys Asp Gly lys Glu Ile Gln Gln Pro Glu thr Glu Ala Ala Pro
acc cat ccg gat ccg gcc ang acg tig cat gic atg acc gag acc tgg agc tat cag ttg ccg agc gat gag taa tic tct acc gat gcc 1170 Thr His Pro Glu Pro Ala lys Thr Leu Gln Val MEt thr Glu Thr Trp Ser Tyr Gln Leu Pro Ser Asp Glu End

AATGTCTCTGAACGAGTACCTGGCGCATTTACCGATGAGCGACGAGCAGCGGGCAGAACTTGCCGGCTGCACGACCTT CGCCGAG TTGCATIGAGCGACTGTCCGCGCAGCCGGTC ACTGAECC TGCCGAG GCCGCTCAGGCTTCGGTGGGTCGCCGTCTG

ACG TGA CCA CGA GAT CAG CTG GAG GAC GCC GAG ATG CTC GGC GTC GAT GCC AGC GGT CGC CTG TGC CTG AAG GCT ACA CCA CCG ATt CGC 1420 MET Leu Gly Val Asp Ala Ser Gly Arg leu Cys leu lys Ala thr Pro Pro Ile Arg

CGG ACC AAG GTC GTG CCA GAG CCA tGG CGC ACC AAC ATC CTG GTG CGC GGC TGG CGT CGC CTG ACC GGC AAG GGC AAC CCG CCC AAG CCC 1510 Arg Thr Lys Val Val Pro Glu Pro Trp Arg Thr Asn lle Leu Val Arg Gly Trp Arg Arg Leu Thr Gly Lys Gly Asn Pro Pro Lys Pro

GAG CAC GAT GAT CTG CCG CGG GAT CTG CCG AAG GCG CGC TGG CGT ACC GTC GGT TCG ATC CGT CGC TAC ATC CTG CTG ATC CTC ATG CTG 1600 Glu His Asp Asp Leu Pro Arg Asp Leu Pro Lys Ala Arg Trp Arg Thr Val Gly Ser Ile Arg Arg Tyr Ile Leu Leu lle Leu Met Leu
ggt cag acg atc gtg gct gge tge tac atg ana gac att ctg ccg tat cag gac tge tcg ctg git tcg ctc gac gat atc acc cgt cag 1690 Gly Gln thr ile Val Ala Gly trp Tyr MET Lys Gly Ite Leu Pro Tyr Gln Gly Trp Ser Leu Val Ser Leu Asp Glu lle Thr Arg Gln
acc tit gig cag acc gcc tig cag gic atg cct tat gcc ttg cag acc agt att ctg tig ctg tic gge att ctg tic tge tge gia tcg 1780 Thr Phe Val Gln Thr Ala leu Gln Val MET Pro tyr Ala Leu Gln Thr Ser lle leu Leu Leu Phe Gly lle Leu Phe Cys Trp Val Ser
 Ala gly Phe Trp thr Ala leu met gly Phe leu glu leu leu Thr Gly arg Asp lys Tyr Arg ile Ser gly Ala Ser Ala gly Asn glu

CCG ATC GAA AAG GGC GCA CGT ACT GCG CTG GTC ATG CCG ATC TGC AAC GAA GAC GTG CCT CGG GTT TTC GCC GGT CTG CGC GCT ACG TTC 1960 Pro lle Glu lys Gly Ala Arg thr Ala Leu Val met Pro lle Cys Asn glu Asp Val Pro Arg Val Phe Ala gly Leu Arg Ala thr Phe

GAA TCG GTA GCG GCC ACG GGT GAC CTG GAT CGT TİC GAT TTC TTC GTG CITC AGT GAC ACC AAC GAA ACC GAC ATC GCC GTT GCC GAG CAA 2050 Glu Ser Val Ala Ala Thr Gly Asp Leu Asp Arg Phe Asp Phe Phe Val Leu Ser Asp Thr Asn glu Thr Asp lle Ala Val Ala glu Gln

CAG GCG TGG CTG GAC GTG TGC CGC GAG ACC AMA GGC TTC GGC AAG ATC TTC TAC CGT CGC CGT CGC CGT CGC GTA AMA CGC AMA AGC GGC 2140 Gln Ala Trp Leu Asp Val Cys Arg Glu thr Lys Gly Phe Gly Lys lle Phe Tyr Arg Arg Arg Arg Arg Arg Vat Lys Arg Lys Ser gly

AAC CTC GAC GAC TTC TGC CGG CGC TGG GGC GGT GAC TAC CGC TAC ATG GTC GTG CTG GAC GCC GAC AGC GTC ATG AGC GGT GAG TGT CTG 2230 Asn Leu Asp Asp Phe Cys Arg Arg Trp Gly Gly Asp Tyr Arg Tyr met Val Val leu Asp Ala Asp Ser Val met Ser gly glu Cys leu
acc agt ctg git cgC ctg atg gan gcc acg ccg gac gcc ggt atc atc cag acc gcg cca cgt gcg tcg ggc atg gac acg ctg tat gca 2320 Thr Ser leu Val Arg leu met glu Ala Thr Pro Asp Ala gly lle lle gln Thr Ala Pro Arg Ala Ser gly met Asp Thr Leu Tyr Ala
cGC atg cag cag tic gcc acc cgg gtc tat gat ccg ctg tic act gcc gat ctg cac tic tgg cag ctg gat gan tcc cac tat tge geg 2410 Arg MET GIn Gln Phe Ala Thr Arg Val Tyr Gly Pro Leu Phe Thr Ala gly Leu His Phe Trp Gln Leu gly Glu Ser His Tyr Trp Gly
 His Asn Ala lle lle Arg met lys Pro Phe lle Glu His Cys Ala Leu Ala Pro Leu Pro Gly Lys Gly Ala Phe Ala Gly Ala lle Leu
tCC CAC GAC TTC GTC GAA GCT GCG CTG ATG CGC CGT GCC GGC TGG GGC GTG TGG ATt GCC TAC GAC CTG CCA GGC AGT TAC GAA GAG TTG 2590 Ser His Asp Phe Val glu Ala Ala Leu met Arg Arg Ala gly Trp Gly Val Trp Ile Ala Tyr Asp Leu Pro gly Ser Tyr Glu Glu Leu

CCG CCT AAC CTG CTG GAC GAA CTC AAG CGT GAC CGT CGC TGG TGC CAC GGC AAC CTG ATG MAC TTC AGG CTG TTC CTG GTC AAG GGC ATG 2680 Pro Pro Asn Leu Leu Asp Glu Leu Lys Arg Asp Arg Arg, Trp Cys His Gly Asn Leu MEt Asn Phe Arg Leu Phe Leu Val Lys Gly MET

CAC CCG GTt CAC CGT GCG GTG TTC CTG ACC GGT GTG ATG TCT TAC CTG TCG GCA CCG TTG TGG TTC TTC TTC CTC GTG CTG TCC ACG GCT 2770 His Pro Val his Arg Ala Val Phe Leu thr gly Val met Ser tyr Leu Ser Ala Pro Leu Trp Phe Phe Phe Leu Val Leu Ser thr Ala TTG CTG GCG GTG AAC ACG CTG atg gag ccg acc tac tic cit gan ccg cgt cag ctg tac ccg ctg tgg cca can tgg cac ccg gan aní 2860 Leu leu Ala Val Asn Thr Leu met glu Pro Thr Tyr Phe Leu Glu Pro Arg Gln Leu Tyr Pro Leu Trp Pro Gin Trp His Pro glu Lys

GCC GTT GCG TTG TTC TCG ACC ACC ATC GTC CTG CTG TTC CTG CCT AMA CTG CTC AGC GTC ATT CTG ATC TGG GEC AAG GGC GCG AAA GGC 2950 Ala Val Ala Leu Phe Ser Thr Thr lle Val Leu Leu Phe Leu Pro Lys Leu Leu Ser Val lle Leu lle Trp Ala Lys Gly Ala Lys gly

TTC GGT GGC AAG TTC AAG GTC ACC GTT TCG ATG CTG CTG GAN ATG CTC TTC TCG GTG CTG CTG GCT CCG GTG CGC ATG CTG TTC CAC ACA 3040 Phe Gly Gly lys Phe lys Val Thr Val Ser met leu Leu glu met leu Phe Ser Val leu leu Ala Pro Val Arg MET Leu Phe His thr

CGC TTC GTA CTG GCC GCT TTC CTG GGC TGG GCC GCG ACC TGG AAC TCG CCG CAG CGC GAC GAT GAT TCC ACG CCG TGG atC GAA GCG GTG 3130 Arg Phe Val leu Ala Ala Phe Leu Gly Trp Ala Ala Thr Trp Asn Ser Pro Gln Arg Asp Asp Asp Ser Thr Pro Trp lle Glu Ala Val

AAG CGT CAT GGT CCG CAA ACC CTG CTG GGC GCG TGC TGG GCC TTG CTG GTG TTC TGG TTG AAC CCG AGC TTC CTG TGG TGG CTT GCG CCG 3220 Lys Arg His Gly Pro Gln Thr Leu Leu Gly Ala Cys Trp Ala Leu Leu Val Phe Trp Leu Asn Pro Ser Phe Leu Trp Trp Leu Ala Pro
atc gig gig tcg tig atg ctg tcg att ccg gig tcg gig att tcc agc cgi acc ant ctg gec gic ang geg cgt gac gag ang tic tic 3310 lle Val Val Ser Leu met leu Ser lle Pro Val Ser Val lle Ser Ser Arg Thr Asn Leu Gly Val Lys Ala Arg Asp Glu Lys Phe Phe

CTG att cct gat gag tic gag ccg ccg cal gag ctg atc tcg acg gat cge tac acc tac gag anc cgc tgg cat gcg ctg ang cag gac 3400 Leu Ile pro glu glu phe glu Pro pro gln glu leu lle Ser Thr Asp Arg Tyr Thr Tyr glu Asn Arg Trp His Ala Leu Lys Gln gly

TTC ATC CGC GCT GTG GTC GAC CCG CGC CAG AAC GCC CTG GCC TGC GCC CTG GCG ACG TCG CGT CAC GTC AGG CTC AGC CGA TTG AAG TGG 3490 Phe lle Arg Ala Val Val Asp Pro Arg Gln Asn Ala Leu Ala Cys Ala Leu Ala Thr Ser Arg His Val Arg Leu Ser Arg Leu Lys Trp
 Cys Val Trp Ser Val Ser lle Arg His Ser Arg Ser Val Arg Gln Asn Ser Ala lle Arg Asn Ala End

CCCTTGGCCGCTTGCACGAGCGCGTCTGGAGCGAAGGTCACGAAGAGTGGCTGGCCGCGTGGAGAGCTTCCATCGAAGCCGATCCACATGCGCCTCTGCTGCCTTTGCAGCCTGAAGGTA 3700 AAGCATCGGAGCCGGTTCCGGTCTAMACCGCCCGGCTACGAMAGA GCCCCTGACGC T T A G C GCGTCGGGGGC TTTTY ATTGGGCTITGTGGCAAAGACCCACGCAAG 3810 CGAGGGGGAGAGGCGAACTTGTTCGCGGCATGTTCGGAMAGCTT 3854


FIG. 3. Comparison of hydrophobicity profiles of the putative $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide and another integral membrane protein, rhodopsin. The plots were generated by using a window of 19 amino acids to identify nonpolar transbilayer helices in the amino acid sequences (9). Such putative membrane-spanning domains are indicated by peaks rising above the base line of the plot. Positive values on the ordinate represent hydrophobic regions, whereas negative values indicate hydrophilic regions of the protein. (A) Bovine rhodopsin. (B) 83-kDa polypeptide.
any feature of the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ protein shared with known proteins, we plotted the distribution of its hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids by using several different computer programs (17). The hydrophobicity plot, which depicts membrane-spanning helices of a protein ( 10,11 ), revealed an interesting feature of this protein. The hydrophobicity plots of the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide and a transmembrane protein,
rhodopsin (29), are presented in Fig. 3. The existence of nonpolar transbilayer helices in the amino acid sequence of rhodopsin is shown by hydrophobic peaks (Fig. 3A). The presence of similar membrane-spanning helices in the plot of the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide suggested that it might be a transmembrane protein (Fig. 3B). This plot also indicated that the most hydrophilic region, consisting of six arginine residues


FIG. 4. Fine-structure map of the Tn 5 insertions in the chromosome of $P$. syringae pv . syringae R 32 with respect to the positions of the ORFs on both sense and antisense strands of the hrp locus. The arrows indicate the directions of translation. (A) Fine-structure map of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment, with the restriction sites indicated below. (B) Positions of ORFs on the antisense strand with respect to the sites of Tn 5 insertions and consequent phenotypes. The sizes of the putative polypeptides encoded by these ORFs are indicated within the boxes. (C) Same as panel B, but ORFs are on the sense strand. (D) Scheme for construction of a hrpM'-lacZ' fusion gene. The sites of the synthetic linkers ("ATG") and lacZ' gene (---) are indicated. Symbol: , the strongest antigenic determinant in hrpM predicted by computer analysis (see text). The position and direction of the tac promoter are shown by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tac}}$ and an arrowhead, respectively.
located 2,104 nucleotides downstream of the left HindIII site, was apparently the strongest antigenic determinant of the polypeptide, as projected by Hopp and Woods analysis (14) of various antigenic determinants in known proteins.

An intriguing feature of this hrp locus is the presence of two ORFs on the antisense strand that encode putative polypeptides of 81 and $>39 \mathrm{kDa}$ (Fig. 4B). The second ORF on this strand extends beyond the HindIII site at the right end of the hrp locus, and therefore its putative size was not determined. These ORFs consist mostly of codons complementary to those present in the respective ORFs in the sense strand. The genetic data suggested that the putative products of these ORFs, if expressed, were not involved in pathogenesis. Tn5 insertions designated 3155 and 3156 (Fig. 4A), which resulted in loss of pathogenicity, should not affect expression of the putative 81-kDa protein, and insertion 3157 in the following ORF ( $>39 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) did not affect pathogenicity. Moreover, expression of any polypeptide from these ORFs has not been established in maxicell studies (25); no detectable promoter activity is present upstream; and examination of codon usage in $E$. coli (1) reveals that products encoded by the antisense strand, unlike those encoded by the sense strand, will be poorly expressed (data not shown). Hence, the genes encoding the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide, designated $h r p M$, and possibly the $40-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide appeared to be the major determinants of this pathogenicity locus.

Construction of a chimeric gene from the hrpM locus. Since the original mutant PS9021 had Tn5 inserted in hrpM, we attempted to overexpress the gene in $E$. coli by cloning the entire locus downstream of the temperature-inducible $\lambda p_{\mathrm{L}}$ promoter and the IPTG-inducible tac promoter in expression vectors pCP3 (33) and pKK223-3 (Pharmacia, Inc.), respectively. No overexpression was observed in Coomassie bluestained gels under induced conditions. To circumvent any problem associated with the expression of the wild-type 83-kDa protein in E. coli, we constructed a chimeric gene by


FIG. 5. Plasmid constructions for synthesis of a hrpM' ${ }^{\prime}$ lacZ ${ }^{\prime}$ fusion protein. Constructs generated for the 12-bp NcoI linker are shown. An identical strategy was used to generate fusions with 8 and 10-bp NcoI linkers. Symbols:-, pKK233-2 sequences; pBR322 sequences; $=$, lac $Z^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{lac} Y^{\prime}$ sequences of $E$. coli; E月3 , P. syringae pv. syringae DNA (Fig. 4D). Positions of the tac promoter and a consensus RBS ( $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ ) upstream of the hrpM' $^{\prime}$ sequence are shown. Restriction sites present in the sequences are indicated below the sequences, and those used in the fusion are shown on appropriate sides of the vertical arrow. Fusions of two restriction sites are shown as slashes.
fusing the SacII-BalI fragment (Fig. 4D) of the hrpM gene to codon 8 of the $\operatorname{lac} Z$ gene in the appropriate reading frame.

Initially, a pBR322 derivative plasmid, pOSU3125, containing the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment (Fig. 4A) was digested with SacII, and the ends were filled in with T4 DNA polymerase. Synthetic linkers carrying the translational initiation codon ATG as part of a NcoI site (Pharmacia, Inc.) were then ligated to the blunt-ended SacII site to introduce translational start codons. These linkers, of 8,10 , and 12 bp , created ATG start sites in the three possible reading frames at the SacII site of the hrpM gene. The NcoI-HindIII digests of these clones were then ligated to plasmid pKK233-2 (Pharmacia), which was digested similarly. The resulting clones were screened for inserts that contain the BalI site of the $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ fragment, and clones which contained the $8-, 10-$, and 12-bp linkers were designated pOSU4101, pOSU4102, and pOSU4103, respectively. The NcoI site in plasmid pKK233-2 is situated at an optimum distance downstream of a consensus RBS, as well as the inducible tac promoter of $E$. coli (Fig. 5). Hence, the three clones contained translational start sites that should express truncated proteins upon induction from the three respective reading frames of the hrpM gene. However, if fusions were performed properly
and the DNA sequencing data were correct, the ATG in the 12-bp linker was the only initiation codon predicted to be properly aligned with $h r p M^{\prime}$ and lacZ'. An EcoRI site upstream of the tac promoter in pKK233-2 and a BalI site near the 3' end of hrpM (Fig. 5) were used to release an EcoRI-BalI fragment from each of the three clones that contained all the features of the $5^{\prime}$ end of a gene, namely, the promoter, the RBS, and the truncated ORF beginning with an ATG codon. Each of these fragments was separately ligated to the EcoRI-SmaI digest of pMLB1034 (Table 1; Fig. 5), and the resulting plasmids were designated pOSU4104, pOSU4105, and pOSU4106 (Fig. 5). The ligation of BalI and SmaI ends created a fusion of the 3' end of the truncated $h r p M$ gene to codon 8 of the lac $Z$ gene present in the plasmid pMLB1034.

Expression of the fusion protein encoded by the $\boldsymbol{h r p M}^{\prime}$-lacZ' hybrid gene in E. coli. Plasmids pOSU4104, pOSU4105, and pOSU4106 were transformed into $E$. coli JM105. Since JM105 contains an $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ episome containing a repressor for the tac promoter, induction of the tac promoter in this strain can be achieved by addition of IPTG. Strains JM105(pOSU 4104), JM105(pOSU4105) and JM105(pOSU4106) were grown to mid-log phase and induced with 2 mM IPTG for 1 h. Equal amounts of cell lysates (see Materials and Methods) from all these strains were subjected to Western immunoblot analysis with antibody raised against $\beta$-galactosidase. A putative polypeptide of 163 kDa was predicted to be encoded by the hybrid gene, and a protein of comparable size was detected only in the lysates of JM105(pOSU4106) (Fig. 6). Since the lacZ' gene was fused to the appropriate reading frame of $h r p M^{\prime}$ in pOSU4106, expression of the fusion protein in JM105(pOSU4106) lysates provided further verification of the DNA sequencing data. Expression of a truncated $\beta$-galactosidase protein (with 32 amino acids deleted) from the lacZ0M15 gene (19) present on the $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ episome in JM105 provided a convenient marker protein of 112 kDa , which was detected in each of the lysates in Western blot analysis. The amount of hybrid protein obtained in this induction assay was estimated to be $0.25 \%$ of the total protein present in the cell lysates (data not shown). At present, the fusion protein is being purified in preparative amounts for use in raising antibodies against the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide.

## DISCUSSION

A pathogenicity locus of $P$. syringae pv. syringae PS9020 was shown to be contained within a $3.9-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII fragment. When the DNA sequence of this region was determined and analyzed, two ORFs appeared to be important for the Hrp ${ }^{+}$ phenotype of PS9020 on bean plants. The Tn5 insertions in ORF2 resulted in a loss of the pathogenicity, indicating that the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ protein is a pathogenicity factor. Although the Tn 5 insertion designated 3157 appeared to be within the putative promoter sequence on the basis of a fine-structure restriction map of the Tn5 insertions, this insertion did not affect the $\mathrm{Hrp}^{+}$phenotype of PS9020. The reason for such a phenotype is not clear at present, but it is possible that a promoter in Tn 5 is being used to express the downstream ORFs under these conditions (3). Although Tn5 insertions were not obtained downstream of $\operatorname{hrpM}$ to reveal the extent of this pathogenicity locus in that direction, a DNA sequence 88 bp downstream of $h r p M$ was characteristic of a transcription termination site, suggesting that $h r p M$ was the last gene in any possible operon structure. The common features of bacterial termination sequences (35) include an inverted


FIG. 6. Expression of the $h r p M^{\prime}-l a c Z^{\prime}$ fusion product in E. coli. Immunoblot analysis of postinduction cell lysates of $E$. coli JM105 containing the fusion gene in different reading frames was performed with antibodies to $\beta$-galactosidase (see text). Left lane, lysates of JM105(pOSU4106); middle lane, lysates of JM105(pOSU4105); right lane, lysates of JM105(pOSU4104). The position of the hybrid protein is indicated by the arrow. Numbers on the left represent molecular masses (in kilodaltons) of protein standards, whose positions in the blot are also shown.
repeat, a G +C -rich sequence of 3 to 11 contiguous bases, and a run of 4 to 8 U residues following the $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}$-rich region of the mRNA. A DNA sequence containing all these features was detected 175 bp downstream of the hrpM gene (Fig. 2). Moreover, in maxicell studies, expression of the galK gene was severely reduced when an insert containing this sequence was cloned upstream in the proper orientation (25). Thus, any other gene downstream of hrpM would probably belong to a separate genetic unit. Another interesting feature of the $83-\mathrm{kDa}$ polypeptide is a $9-\mathrm{bp}$ inverted repeat that flanks the putative ATG start codon. The left repeat separated the putative RBS from ATG (Fig. 2), suggesting that regulation of $\operatorname{hrp} M$ expression may occur at the translational level (43).

The role of the ORF1-encoded product in pathogenicity is as yet undetermined. The Tn5 insertions in ORF1 led to mutants with the same phenotypes (nonpathogenic and mucoid) as those resulting from insertions within the hrpM gene downstream. These results suggested that the expression of the hrpM product was inhibited by Tn 5 insertions in the upstream ORF. Such inhibition might be the result of the polar effect of Tn 5 insertions in distal genes (3), since no separate promoter was detected upstream of $\boldsymbol{h r p M}$. Alternatively, these Tn5 insertions could have inactivated the ORF1 product, which might act as a positive regulator of hrpM gene expression. The first possibility does not necessarily involve the ORF1 product in pathogenicity, whereas the
second one implicates ORF1 as a regulatory gene of the $h r p$ locus. A third possibility is that ORF1 is an independent hrp locus. Interestingly, computer analysis of amino acid homology with known proteins in the data bases revealed that the putative $40-\mathrm{kDa}$ protein encoded by ORF1 exhibited 30 to $35 \%$ homology over a range of 80 to 130 amino acids with various DNA-binding proteins (data not shown), such as the regulatory histones H 1 and H 5 (6). Since proteins involved in the regulation of gene expression are DNA-binding proteins in many cases, it is possible that the ORF1-encoded product is involved in regulation of $h r p M$ or other $h r p$ loci.
Some ambiguity exists in determining the size of the wild-type protein encoded by ORF1. A protein estimated to be 37 kDa was detected in maxicell studies when an external promoter from the lac operon of $E$. coli was present upstream (25). In such a case, translation could begin at the first ATG codon of ORF1. However, if the consensus promoter sequence detected upstream of ORF1 is the promoter transcribing both ORF1 and hrpM in the chromosome of $P$. syringae pv. syringae R32, then the first ATG of ORF1, at nucleotide 28 (Fig. 2), is precluded as a translational start site, since it is part of the -10 region of this promoter. The next ATG codon, located 372 bases downstream, could initiate synthesis of a $27-\mathrm{kDa}$ protein. A protein of that size could have gone undetected in $E$. coli maxicells, since it would be obscured in the sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel by a comigrating bla gene product (40). Purification of the ORF1-encoded product and physical mapping of the transcriptional unit will reveal the size of the ORF1 product and the location of the native promoter for this hrp locus.
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