Multichromosomal Genome Structure and Confirmation of Diazotrophy in Novel Plant-Associated Burkholderia Species[⊽]

Lourdes Martínez-Aguilar,¹ Rafael Díaz,¹ Juan José Peña-Cabriales,² Paulina Estrada-de los Santos,¹ Michael F. Dunn,¹ and Jesús Caballero-Mellado¹*

Centro de Ciencias Genómicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ap. Postal No. 565-A, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México,¹ and Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados, Km 9.6 Libramiento Norte Carr. Irapuato-León, Irapuato, Guanajuato, México²

Received 22 January 2008/Accepted 13 May 2008

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and 16S rRNA hybridization experiments showed that multichromosome genome structures and very large genome sizes (6.46 to 8.73 Mb) are prevalent in novel plant-associated *Burkholderia* species. ¹⁵N₂ isotope dilution assays revealed unambiguous diazotrophy in these novel species. *nifH* gene sequence analysis, often used to determine phylogenetic relatedness among diazotrophs, showed tight clusters of *Burkholderia* species, which were clearly distinct from those of other diazotrophs.

Multireplicon genomes occur in members of the alpha, beta, and gamma subdivisions of Proteobacteria, but most species in each subdivision contain only a single chromosome (4). Genome structure studies of Betaproteobacteria of the Burkholderia genus have been directed mainly to species included in the Burkholderia cepacia complex, opportunistic human pathogens having large genomes (6 to 9 Mb) consisting usually of two or three chromosomes (17). The more than 40 bacterial species presently classified as Burkholderia are ubiquitous in nature (10). Until recently, among Burkholderia species, only B. vietnamiensis, a member of the B. cepacia complex (10) that exists in the rhizospheres of several plants and inside of maize (11) and sugarcane (15), was recognized to participate in N₂ fixation (13). Recently, B. kururiensis (33) was identified as a diazotroph (11), and two N₂-fixing legume-nodulating strains (18) were formally classified as B. phymatum and B. tuberum (31). Novel diazotrophic Burkholderia species found among rhizospheric and endophytic isolates associated with important crop plants, including B. unamae (5), B. xenovorans (14), B. tropica (26), and B. silvatlantica (23), were recently described (5, 6, 14, 23, 26, 27). More recently, B. mimosarum and B. nodosa were formally described as legume-nodulating species (8, 9). All of the presumptively diazotrophic Burkholderia species, excluding B. vietnamiensis, comprise a group of closely related species that are phylogenetically distant from the B. cepacia complex (5, 6, 14, 23, 26), but their genomic organizations, genome sizes, and *nifH* gene sequences are unknown.

In this study, the aim was to determine if the novel plantassociated, presumptively N₂-fixing *Burkholderia* species contain large multireplicon genomes like those in *B. cepacia* complex species and to evaluate unambiguously their abilities to fix nitrogen using ¹⁵N₂ incorporation assays. In addition, the

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Centro de Ciencias Genómicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ap. Postal 565-A, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México. Phone: (52 777) 329-17-03. Fax: (52 777) 317-55-81. E-mail: jesuscab@ccg.unam.mx. genomic localization patterns of *recA* and *nif* genes were resolved; *nifH* gene sequences were determined for many of the strains examined and used for phylogenetic analyses.

The strains of Burkholderia species analyzed are shown in Table 1. Growth conditions were as described by Perin et al. (23). For pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), genomic DNA was prepared (19) and electrophoresis was performed with a CHEF DRIII system (Bio-Rad) using $1 \times$ Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer at 13.5°C. Replicons were separated using two sets of conditions: an 800-s pulse, an angle of 106°, and a voltage of 110 V for 72 h in 0.8% agarose for the separation of replicons of 0.9 to 3.5 Mb and an 1,800-s pulse, an angle of 106°, and a voltage of 110 V for 76 h in 0.7% agarose for replicons larger than 3.5 Mb. Replicons separated by PFGE were transferred (28) onto Hybond-N membranes (Amersham), ³²P-labeled probes were prepared using the Rediprime II random prime labeling system (Amersham), and the membranes were hybridized with a PCR product amplified with primers specific for each gene as described below. The 16S rRNA genes of most Burkholderia strains were localized by hybridizing membranes with a PCR product amplified with primers fD1 and rD1 (32) as described previously (11). 16S rRNA gene probes (ca. 1.5 kb) were PCR products from B. unamae MTI-641^T. PFGE profiles of representative Burkholderia species are shown in Fig. 1, and replicon numbers and sizes for all species analyzed are summarized in Table 1. The genomes of three strains each of B. unamae and B. silvatlantica contained four replicons, with average sizes \pm standard deviations of 3.31 \pm 0.06 Mb for the largest replicon and 1.11 \pm 0.14 Mb for the smallest. The three strains of *B. tropica* each contained five replicons, and the replicons ranged in size from 3.24 ± 0.06 Mb for the largest to 0.53 ± 0.08 for the smallest. According to sequence data, B. xenovorans strains $LB400^{T}$ and CAC-124 (7) have genomes containing three and two replicons, respectively, with total genome sizes larger than $(LB400^{T})$ or similar to (CAC-124) those of the *B. unamae*, *B.* tropica, and B. silvatlantica strains described above. Single strains of B. vietnamiensis and B. sacchari each displayed three

^v Published ahead of print on 23 May 2008.

Strain	Estimated size (Mbp) of replicon:					C	Replicon location(s) of:				A	
	1	2	3	4	5	(Mbp)	16S rRNA	recA	nifH	% Excess of ¹⁵ N	N fixed	
MTI-641 ^T	3.34	1.81	1.39	1.15		7.69	1, 2, 3, 4	1	4	0.079	461 ± 4^{b}	
SCCu-23	3.36	1.91	1.44	1.23		7.94	1, 2, 3, 4	1	4	0.307	$1,187 \pm 50^{b}$	
MCo-762	3.39	1.82	1.36	0.81		7.38	1, 2, 3, 4	1	4	0.289	52 ± 0^c	
Ppe8 ^T	3.21	1.86	1.64	1.37	0.650	8.73	1, 2, 4	1	5	0.319	742 ± 16^{b}	
М То-672	3.33	1.77	1.52	1.34	0.450	8.41	1, 2, 4	1	5	0.289	11 ± 0^{c}	
MOc-725	3.19	1.75	1.50	1.33	0.500	8.27	1, 2, 4	1	5	0.032	299 ± 21^b	
SRMrh-20 ^T	3.27	1.87	1.55	1.22		7.91	1, 2, 3, 4	1	1	0.301	9 ± 0^c	
SRCL-318	3.27	1.84	1.61	1.10		7.82	1, 2, 3, 4	1	1	0.288	30 ± 0^{c}	
PPCR-2	3.22	2.06	1.58	1.16		8.02	1, 2, 3, 4	1	1	0.286	24 ± 0^c	
$LB400^{T}$	4.90 4 47	3.36 3.36*	1.47			9.73* 7.83*	1, 2* 1 2*	1* 1	2*	0.284	39 ± 0^c	
CAC-124	4.39	3.32				7.71	1, 2	1	2	0.396	$1,362 \pm 123^{b}$	
$TVV75^{T}$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.267	77 ± 0^{c}	
MMi-302	3.33	2.35	1.22			6.90	1, 2, 3	1	3	0.471	$3,186 \pm 342^{b}$	
KP23 ^T	3.67	2.79				6.46	1.2	1	2	0.291	24 ± 0^{c}	
M130	3.67	2.97				6.64	1, 2	1	2	0.127	$1,232 \pm 43^{b}$	
LMG 19450 ^T	3.09	2.30	1.14			6.53	1, 2, 3	1	Absent	ND	ND	
	Strain MTI-641 ^T SCCu-23 MCo-762 Ppe8 ^T MTo-672 MOc-725 SRMrh-20 ^T SRCL-318 PPCR-2 LB400 ^T CAC-124 TVV75 ^T MMi-302 KP23 ^T M130 LMG 19450 ^T	Strain Est MTI-641 ^T 3.34 SCCu-23 3.36 MCo-762 3.39 Ppe8 ^T 3.21 MTo-672 3.33 MOc-725 3.19 SRMrh-20 ^T 3.27 SRCL-318 3.27 PPCR-2 3.22 LB400 ^T 4.90 CAC-124 4.47 CAC-124 4.39 TVV75 ^T ND MMi-302 3.33 KP23 ^T 3.67 LMG 19450 ^T 3.09	Estimated si Strain I 2 MTI-641 ^T 3.34 1.81 SCCu-23 3.36 1.91 MCo-762 3.39 1.82 Ppe8 ^T 3.21 1.86 MT0-672 3.33 1.77 MOc-725 3.19 1.75 SRMrh-20 ^T 3.27 1.87 SRCL-318 3.27 1.84 PPCR-2 3.22 2.06 LB400 ^T 4.90 3.36 CAC-124 4.47 3.36* CAC-124 4.39 3.32 TVV75 ^T ND ND MMi-302 3.33 2.35 KP23 ^T 3.67 2.97 LMG 19450 ^T 3.09 2.30	Estimated size (Mbp Strain 1 2 3 MTI-641 ^T 3.34 1.81 1.39 SCCu-23 3.36 1.91 1.44 MCo-762 3.39 1.82 1.36 Ppe8 ^T 3.21 1.86 1.64 MTo-672 3.31 1.77 1.52 MOc-725 3.19 1.75 1.50 SRMrh-20 ^T 3.27 1.87 1.55 SRCL-318 3.27 1.84 1.61 PPCR-2 2.06 1.58 1.47 LB400 ^T 4.90 3.36 1.47 CAC-124 4.47 3.36* 1.47 MMi-302 3.33 2.35 1.22 KP23 ^T 3.67 2.79 1.22 LMG 19450 ^T 3.09 2.30 1.14	Estimated size (Mbp) of replStrain1234MTI-641T SCCu-23 MCo-762 3.34 1.81 3.36 1.39 1.44 1.23 1.36 Ppe8T MTo-672 MCo-725 3.21 3.39 1.82 1.36 1.36 0.81 Ppe8T MTo-672 MCo-725 3.21 3.19 1.86 1.75 1.64 1.37 1.52 1.34 1.50 SRMrh-20T SRCL-318 PPCR-2 3.27 	Estimated size (Mbp) of replicon:Strain12345MTI-641T SCCu-23 3.34 1.81 1.39 1.15 1.44 1.23 MCo-762 3.36 1.91 1.44 1.23 0.650 MTo-672 MCo-725 3.21 1.86 1.64 1.37 0.650 MTo-672 MOc-725 3.21 1.86 1.64 1.37 0.650 SRMrh-20T PPCR-2 3.27 1.87 1.55 1.22 SRCL-318 PPCR-2 3.27 1.87 1.55 1.22 SRCL-124 CAC-124 4.90 3.36 1.47 1.16 LB400T CAC-124 4.47 3.36^* 1.47 1.47 TVV75T MMi-302ND 3.33 ND 2.35 ND 1.22 ND NDKP23T M130 3.67 2.79 1.14 LMG 19450T 3.09 2.30 1.14	Estimated size (Mbp) of replicon:Genome size (Mbp)MTI-641T3.341.811.391.157.69SCCu-233.361.911.441.237.94MCo-7623.391.821.360.817.38Ppe8T3.211.861.641.370.6508.73MTo-6723.331.771.521.340.4508.41MOc-7253.191.751.501.330.5008.27SRMrh-20T3.271.871.551.227.91SRCL-3183.271.841.611.107.82PPCR-23.222.061.581.168.02LB400T4.473.36*1.479.73*CAC-1244.473.36*1.22NDNDMMi-3023.332.351.22NDNDKP23T3.672.795.646.64LMG 19450T3.092.301.146.53	Estimated size (Mbp) of replicon:Genome size (Mbp)RepliconMTI-641T3.341.811.391.157.691, 2, 3, 4SCCu-233.361.911.441.237.941, 2, 3, 4MCo-7623.391.821.360.817.381, 2, 4Ppe8T3.211.861.641.370.6508.731, 2, 4MCo-7253.191.751.501.330.5008.271, 2, 3, 4MOc-7253.191.751.551.227.911, 2, 3, 4SRMrh-20T3.271.871.551.227.911, 2, 3, 4SRCL-3183.271.841.611.107.821, 2, 3, 4PPCR-23.222.061.581.168.021, 2, 3, 4LB400T4.473.36*1.479.73*1, 2*CAC-1244.473.36*1.227.711, 2TVV75TNDNDNDNDNDMMi-3023.332.351.226.461, 2M1303.672.976.461, 2LMG 19450T3.092.301.146.531, 2, 3	Estimated size (Mbp) of replicon:Genome size (Mbp)Replicon locatioMTI-641T3.341.811.391.157.691, 2, 3, 41SCCu-233.361.911.441.237.941, 2, 3, 41MCo-7623.391.821.360.817.381, 2, 3, 41Ppe8T3.211.861.641.370.6508.731, 2, 41MOc-7253.191.751.501.330.5008.271, 2, 3, 41SRMrh-20T3.271.871.551.227.911, 2, 3, 41SRCL-3183.271.841.611.107.821, 2, 3, 41PPCR-23.222.061.581.168.021, 2, 3, 41LB400T4.473.36*1.479.73*1, 2*1*CAC-1244.393.32NDNDNDNDMMi-3023.332.351.226.461, 21MMi-3023.672.976.461, 211LMG 19450T3.092.301.146.531, 2, 31	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	StrainEstimated size (Mbp) of replicon: 1Genome size (Mbp)Replicon location(s) of: $\frac{16S}{rRNA}$ recAnifHMTI-641T SCCu-233.341.811.391.15 1.447.69 1.231, 2, 3, 4140.079 0.307MCo-7623.391.821.360.817.69 0.811, 2, 3, 4140.037 0.307Ppe8T MCo-7623.211.861.641.37 0.500.650 0.818.73 8.411, 2, 4150.319 0.289Ppe8T MCo-7253.191.751.501.330.5008.73 8.271, 2, 4150.319 0.289MOc-7253.191.751.501.330.5008.73 8.271, 2, 4110.301 0.289SRMrh-20T SRCL-3183.271.87 3.271.551.22 1.587.91 1.161, 2, 3, 4110.301 0.288BPCR-23.222.061.581.168.021, 2, 3, 4110.286LB400T CAC-1244.47 4.393.32NDNDNDNDNDNDNDMMi-3023.332.351.22MDNDNDNDNDNDND0.267 0.900.47120.291 10.336KP23T M130NDNDNDNDNDNDNDNDNDNDNDMMi-302 <t< td=""></t<>	

TABLE 1.	Results from	analyses of	f strains o	f <i>Burkholderia</i>	species to	determine	genome	structures;	locations o	f 16S	rRNA,	recA,	and nifH
genes; and nitrogen-fixing abilities ^a													

^a ND, not detected or not determined; *, genome size data and data on the presence of genes are from reference 7.

^b Result (mean \pm standard deviation) obtained after incubation for 21 days.

^c Result (mean \pm standard deviation) obtained after incubation for 13 days.

replicons, while two strains of *B. kururiensis* each contained only two. The similarity in replicon size and number between *B. kururiensis* strains KP23^T and M130 strengthens our contention that the not yet officially described species "*B. brasilensis*" strain M130 mentioned in the literature (3) is instead a strain of the species *B. kururiensis* (6). Total genome sizes (6.46 to 6.90 Mb) for *B. vietnamiensis*, *B. kururiensis*, and *B. sacchari* were somewhat smaller than those for the *Burkholderia* species discussed above, and the percentages of the total genome size corresponding to the different replicons were essentially identical for the strains having the same numbers of replicons. For sequenced *Burkholderia* genomes that contain megaplasmids, these replicons accounted for less than 5% of the total genome size. In all of the sequenced *Burkholderia* genomes, the smallest chromosome accounts for a minimum of 10% of the genome. For the genomes analyzed in this study (Table 1), only



FIG. 1. PFGE analysis of undigested whole-genome DNA. (A) Results obtained using an 1,800-s pulse for 76 h in 0.7% agarose. Lanes: 1, *Hansenula wingei* (DNA marker); 2, *B. sacchari* LMG 19450^T; and 3, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302. (B) Results obtained using an 800-s for 72 h in 0.8% agarose. Lanes: 1, *B. unamae* MTI-641^T; 2, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T; 3, *H. wingei* (DNA marker); 4, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T; 5, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302; and 6, *B. sacchari* LMG 19450^T.



FIG. 2. Autoradiograms of a Southern blot of undigested whole-genome DNA hybridized with a 16S rRNA gene probe (replicons resolved by PFGE with an 1,800-s pulse for 72 h in 0.8% agarose) (A and B) and a *recA* gene probe (replicons resolved by PFGE with an 1,800-s pulse for 76 h in 0.7% agarose) (C). (A) Lanes: 1, *B. unamae* MTI-641^T; 2, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T; and 3, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T. (B) Lanes: 1, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302; 2, *B. xenovorans* CAC-124; 3, *B. unamae* MCo-762; and 4, *B. silvatlantica* SRCL-318. (C) Lanes: 1, *B. xenovorans* CAC-124; 2, *B. kururiensis* KP23^T; 3, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302; 4, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T; 5, *B. sacchari* LMG 19450^T; 6, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (DNA marker); 7, *B. unamae* MTI-641^T; 8, *B. kururiensis* M130; and 9, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T.

the smallest replicon encountered in the *B. tropica* strains accounted for less than 10% of the total genome size. Based on size, all of the replicons resolved in this study, except for the smallest from *B. tropica*, were probably chromosomes. The presence of 16S RNA genes is indicative of a replicon's being a chromosome rather than a megaplasmid (4). Southern hybridizations with 16S rRNA as a probe showed that the gene was present on all of the replicons from each species except for replicons 3 and 5 from *B. tropica* (Fig. 2A and B) and replicon 3 from *B. xenovorans* LB400^T (7).

Sequence analysis of the *recA* housekeeping gene, present in only one copy in the sequenced genomes, provides an important method for distinguishing between *B. cepacia* complex species (17). The *recA* gene (ca. 869 bp) of *B. tropica* $Ppe8^T$ was

amplified using the BUR1/BUR2 primers (21), and the PCR product was used as a probe to localize the *recA* genes of the *Burkholderia* strains. Consistent with genome sequence data for different *Burkholderia* species (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/lproks.cgi), in which *recA* is always carried on a chromosome (usually the largest one), we found *recA* present only on the largest replicon of each species analyzed in this study (Table 1; Fig. 2C). On this basis, *recA* genes may also be useful for differentiating between the novel *Burkholderia* species analyzed in this work, in addition to 16S rRNA sequences and other features used in their taxonomic identification.

The *nifH* genes of *Burkholderia* strains were PCR amplified with primers IGK (24) and NDR-1 (30) by using mixtures and conditions similar to those described previously (30). *nif* genes



FIG. 3. (A) Autoradiogram of a Southern blot of undigested whole-genome DNA (replicons resolved by PFGE with an 800-s pulse for 72 h in 0.8% agarose) hybridized with a *nifH* gene probe. Lanes: 1, *B. kururiensis* KP23^T; 2, *B. sacchari* LMG 19450^T; 3, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T; 4, *B. unamae* MTI-641^T; 5, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T; 6, *B. silvatlantica* SRCL-318; 7, *B. tropica* MTo-672; and 8, *B. xenovorans* CAC-124. (B and C) Autoradiograms of a Southern blot of the total EcoRI DNA fingerprints hybridized with a *nifH* gene probe (B) and with a *recA* gene probe (C). (B) Lanes: 1, DNA marker 1 kb Plus; 2, *B. sacchari* IPT-101^T; 3 and 4, *B. unamae* SCCu-23 and MTI-641^T; 5, 6, and 7, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T, MOc-725, and MTo-672; 8 and 9, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T and SRCL-318; 10, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302; 11 and 12, *B. kururiensis* KP23^T and M130; and 13 and 14, *B. xenovorans* CAC-124 and LB400^T. (C) Lanes: 1, *B. sacchari* LMG 19450^T; 2 and 3, *B. unamae* SCCu-23 and MTI-641^T; 4, 5, and 6, *B. tropica* Ppe8^T, MOc-725, 7 and 8, *B. silvatlantica* SRMrh-20^T and SRCL-318; 9, *B. vietnamiensis* MMi-302; 10 and 11, *B. kururiensis* KP23^T and M130; 12 and 13, *B. xenovorans* CAC-124 and LB400^T; and LB400^T; and 14, DNA marker 1 kb Plus.



FIG. 4. Phylogenetic inference for nitrogen-fixing *Burkholderia* species and other diazotrophic bacteria, based on NifH amino acid sequences. The analysis included 205 sites. A bootstrapping analysis was used to test the statistical reliability of tree branch points; 1,000 bootstrap samplings were performed. The NCBI GenBank accession numbers for the *nifH* gene sequences are indicated in parentheses.

were localized by hybridizing membranes with nifH gene probes (1:1 mixtures of PCR products) from B. unamae MTI-641^T and *B. xenovorans* LB400^T. For analysis, total DNA was digested with EcoRI, electrophoresed, blotted, and hybridized under the conditions described previously (11). The conditions for the cloning and sequence analysis of nifH genes were the same as those described previously (30). A new primer pair to amplify the second complementary strand of the nif genes was designed; the forward primer nifH-IF (5'-GGCSATGTACGC GGCSAAC-3') and the reverse primer nifH-IR (5'-GTTSGC CGCGTACATSGCC-3') corresponded to B. unamae MTI- 641^{T} at positions 442 and 460, respectively. PCR products (1.2) kb) amplified with primers IGK and NDR-1 were used as templates in PCR assays using the primer sets NDR-1/nifH-IF and IGK/nifH-IR under the PCR conditions described above. The products amplified with primers IGK/nifH-IR (450 bp) and NDR-1/nifH-IF (750 bp) were cloned into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen) and sequenced. For the phylogenetic analysis, NifH protein sequences were retrieved from the NCBI database. Deduced amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal W (29), and neighbor-joining phylogenies were constructed with MEGA 3.1 (16) by using pairwise deletion of gaps and missing data. For all of the B. unamae and B. tropica strains, nifH hybridized only with the smallest replicon, while for all of the B. silvatlantica strains, it hybridized only with the largest (Table 1; Fig. 3A). For *B. xenovorans* strains, *nifH* hybridized only with the second largest replicon. Southern blots of total EcoRIdigested DNA hybridized with either a *nifH* or *recA* probe showed a single hybridization band for all of the Burkholderia species analyzed, with the exception of the B. xenovorans strains, which contain an EcoRI site in their nifH gene sequences (Fig. 3B), and B. vietnamiensis MMi-302, which apparently contains an EcoRI site in its recA sequence (Fig. 3C), as occurs in the recA gene on chromosome 1 of B. vietnamiensis G4 (GenBank accession no. NC 009256). These results confirm that *nifH* and *recA* genes are present in only one copy in these genomes.

The majority of the available *nifH* gene sequences of diazotrophic *Burkholderia* species were obtained in this study. *nifH* gene phylogenies are often used to determine relatedness among diazotrophic bacteria (25). All of the *Burkholderia* species analyzed (Table 1) formed a tight cluster with other plant-

associated *Burkholderia* species and *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* LMG 19424^T (Fig. 4). This cluster was well separated from others containing symbiotic or free-living diazotrophs from the alpha, gamma, and delta subdivisions of the *Proteobacteria*, as well as members of the *Archaea* and *Firmicutes*. However, it is noteworthy that the legume-nodulating *Burkholderia* species, except *B. tuberum*, formed a single cluster that included *Cupriavidus taiwanensis*, a diazotroph nodulating *Mimosa* species that is closely related to the genus *Burkholderia* and whose *nifH* gene may be derived from a *Burkholderia* ancestor (1).

Previous analyses of the nitrogen-fixing abilities of Burkholderia species have been based on acetylene reduction activity and/or the presence of nifH genes (5, 11, 13, 14, 23, 26). Since relating acetylene reduction activity to the actual quantity of nitrogen fixed is prone to error (12) and the presence of *nifH*, encoding nitrogenase reductase (a key enzyme in the process of nitrogen fixation), only implies the ability of an organism to fix nitrogen, in this study ¹⁵N₂ isotopic dilution experiments were developed to unequivocally confirm diazotrophy. For these experiments, cells grown in BAz liquid medium (11), lacking azelaic acid and containing succinic acid (5 g/liter) and yeast extract (0.5 g/liter), were harvested by centrifugation and washed three times with sterile deionized water. Inocula were standardized using the Bradford method. Each suspension was combined with ¹⁵N₂ (Isotec; 99% ¹⁵N₂ atom excess) and sterile air in a Vacutainer tube to give an isotopic dilution equivalent to a 74.25% $^{15}N_2$ atom excess. The cultures were incubated for 13 or 21 days at 28°C. The percentage of ¹⁵N atom excess was determined by emission spectrometry (2) using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (model NOI-6E; Fischer Analysen Instrumente, Leipzig, Germany). This is the first time that diazotrophy in Burkholderia has been demonstrated using $^{15}N_2$, quantitatively confirming the N₂-fixing abilities of all of the Burkholderia strains analyzed (Table 1), as well as that of the legume-nodulating *B. tuberum* STM678^T (data not shown). No assay detected nitrogen fixation in B. sacchari, which lacks nifH.

We have shown here that novel N₂-fixing, plant-associated Burkholderia species, as well as the closely related nondiazotrophic species B. sacchari, possess two to five large replicons, usually chromosomes. This finding extends the observation that large (usually >7.0-Mb) multichromosomal genomes are a characteristic feature of the genus Burkholderia. Although the selective advantage conferred by multireplicons is not clear, it has been proposed previously that in B. cepacia complex and Vibrio species the presence of multichromosomal genomes is essential and advantageous for the fitness of the species in particular environments (17, 20). The large genomes and chromosomal multiplicity in the novel diazotrophic Burkholderia species analyzed in this study may explain their great abilities to colonize the rhizospheres and endophytic environments of a wide range of host plants (5, 6, 13, 22, 23, 26), which along with their metabolic versatility in using volatile compounds (6, 33), as well as their ability to fix nitrogen, produce siderophores, and solubilize insoluble phosphates (6), are probably significant for the survival and success of Burkholderia species in different environments.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The *nifH* gene sequences determined in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EF158799 through EF158811.

This research was partially funded by grant DGAPA-UNAM IN229005.

We thank Jaime Mora (CCG-UNAM) for access to the CHEF DRIII system and J. A. Vera-Núñez for assistance with ${}^{15}N_2$ analyses. We acknowledge Catherine Boivin-Masson (INRA-CNRS, France), who kindly provided *B. tuberum* strain STM678^T, and José M. Igual (CSIC, Spain) for supplying *B. ferrariae* strain FeGI01^T.

REFERENCES

- Andam, C. P., S. J. Mondo, and M. A. Parker. 2007. Monophyly of nodA and nifH genes across Texan and Costa Rican populations of *Cupriavidus* nodule symbionts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73:4686–4690.
- Axmann, H., and F. Zapata. 1990. Stable and radioactive isotopes, p. 9–23. In G. Hardarson (ed.), Training course series, no. 2. Use of nuclear techniques in studies of soil-plant relationship. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.
- Baldani, V. L. D., E. Oliveira, E. Balota, J. I. Baldani, G. Kirchhof, and J. Döbereiner. 1997. *Burkholderia brasilensis* sp. nov., uma nova espécie de bactéria diazotrófica endofitica. An. Acad. Bras. Ciénc. 69:116.
- Bentley, S. D., and J. Parkhill. 2004. Comparative genomic structure of prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Genet. 38:771–791.
- Caballero-Mellado, J., L. Martínez-Aguilar, G. Paredes-Valdez, and P. Estrada-de los Santos. 2004. Burkholderia unamae sp. nov., an N₂-fixing rhizospheric and endophytic species. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:1165– 1172.
- Caballero-Mellado, J., J. Onofre-Lemus, P. Estrada-de los Santos, and L. Martínez-Aguilar. 2007. The tomato rhizosphere, an environment rich in nitrogen-fixing *Burkholderia* species with capabilities of interest for agriculture and bioremediation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73:5308–5319.
 Chain, P. S. G., V. J. Denef, K. T. Konstantinidis, L. M. Vergez, L. Agulló,
- Chain, P. S. G., V. J. Denef, K. T. Konstantinidis, L. M. Vergez, L. Agulló, V. L. Reyes, L. Hauser, M. Córdova, L. Gómez, M. González, M. Land, V. Lao, F. Larimer, J. J. LiPuma, E. Mahenthiralingam, S. A. Malfatti, C. J. Marx, J. J. Parnell, A. Ramette, P. Richardson, M. Seeger, D. Smith, T. Spilker, W. J. Sul, T. V. Tsoi, L. E. Ulrich, I. B. Zhulin, and J. M. Tiedje. 2006. Burkholderia xenovorans LB400 harbors a multi-replicon, 9.73-Mbp genome shaped for versatility. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103:15280–15287.
- Chen, W.-M., S. M. de Faria, E. James, G. N. Elliott, K.-Y. Lin, J.-H. Chou, S.-Y. Sheu, M. Cnockaert, J. I. Sprent, and P. Vandamme. 2007. Burkholderia nodosa sp. nov., isolated from root nodules of the woody Brazilian legumes Mimosa binucronata and Mimosa scabrella. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57:1055–1059.
- Chen, W.-M., E. K. James, T. Coenye, J.-H. Chou, E. Barrios, S. M. de Faria, G. N. Elliott, S.-Y. Sheu, J. I. Sprent, and P. Vandamme. 2006. *Burkholderia mimosarum* sp. nov., isolated from root nodules of *Mimosa* spp. from Taiwan and South America. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56:1847–1851.
- Coenye, T., and P. Vandamme. 2003. Diversity and significance of *Burkhold-eria* species occupying diverse ecological niches. Environ. Microbiol. 5:719–729.
- Estrada-de los Santos, P., R. Bustillos-Cristales, and J. Caballero-Mellado. 2001. Burkholderia, a genus rich in plant-associated nitrogen fixers with wide environmental and geographic distribution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67: 2790–2798.
- Giller, K. E. 1987. Use and abuse of the acetylene reduction assay for measurement of "associative" nitrogen fixation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 19:783– 784.
- 13. Gillis, M., T. Van Van, R. Bardin, M. Goor, P. Hebbar, A. Willems, P. Segers, K. Kerster, T. Heulin, and M. P. Fernandez. 1995. Polyphasic taxonomy in the genus *Burkholderia* leading to an emended description of the genus and proposition of *Burkholderia vietnamiensis* sp. nov. for N₂-fixing isolates from rice in Vietnam. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 45:274–289.
- 14. Goris, J., P. De Vos, J. Caballero-Mellado, J.-H. Park, E. Falsen, J. F. Quensen III, J. M. Tiedje, and P. Vandamme. 2004. Classification of the PCB- and biphenyl-degrading strain LB400 and relatives as *Burkholderia xenovorans* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:1677–1681.
- Govindarajan, M., J. Balandreau, R. Muthukumarasamy, G. Ravathi, and C. Lakshminarasimhan. 2006. Improved yield of micropropagated sugarcane following inoculation by endophytic *Burkholderia vietnamiensis*. Plant Soil 280:239–252.
- Kumar, S., K. Tamura, I. B. Jakobsen, and M. Nei. 2001. MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software. Arizona State University, Tempe.
- Mahenthiralingam, E., T. A. Urban, and J. B. Goldberg. 2005. The multifarious, multireplicon *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:144–156.
- Moulin, L., A. Munive, B. Dreyfus, and C. Boivin-Masson. 2001. Nodulation of legumes by members of the β-subclass of Proteobacteria. Nature 411:948– 950.
- Murray, B. E., K. V. Singh, J. D. Heath, B. R. Sharma, and G. M. Weinstock. 1990. Comparison of genomic DNAs of different enterococcal isolates using restriction endonucleases with infrequent recognition sites. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:2059–2063.

- Okada, K., T. Iida, K. Kita-Tsukamato, and T. Honda. 2005. Vibrios commonly possess two chromosomes. J. Bacteriol. 187:752–757.
- Payne, G. W., P. Vandamme, S. H. Morgan, J. J. LiPuma, T. Coenye, A. J. Weightman, T. H. Jones, and E. Mahenthiralingam. 2005. Development of a *recA* gene-based identification approach for the entire *Burkholderia* genus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:3917–3927.
- Perin, L., L. Martínez-Aguilar, R. Castro-González, P. Estrada-de los Santos, T. Cabellos-Avelar, H. V. Guedes, V. M. Reis, and J. Caballero-Mellado. 2006. Diazotrophic *Burkholderia* species associated with field-grown maize and sugarcane. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **72**:3103–3110.
- 23. Perin, L., L. Martínez-Aguilar, G. Paredes-Valdez, J. I. Baldani, P. Estrada-de los Santos, V. M. Reis, and J. Caballero-Mellado. 2006. Burk-holderia silvatlantica sp. nov., a diazotrophic bacterium associated with sugar cane and maize. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56:1931–1937.
- Poly, F., L. J. Monrozier, and R. Bally. 2001. Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the diversity of *nifH* genes in communities of nitrogen fixers in soil. Res. Microbiol. 152:95–103.
- Raymond, J., J. L. Siefert, C. R. Staples, and R. E. Blankenship. 2004. The natural history of nitrogen fixation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:541–554.
- 26. Reis, V. M., P. Estrada-de los Santos, S. Tenorio-Salgado, J. Vogel, M. Stoffels, S. Guyon, P. Mavingui, V. L. D. Baldani, M. Schmid, J. I. Baldani, J. Balandreau, A. Hartmann, and J. Caballero-Mellado. 2004. *Burkholderia tropica* sp. nov., a novel nitrogen-fixing, plant-associated bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:2155–2162.

- Salles, J. F., E. Samyn, P. Vandamme, J. A. van Veen, and J. D. van Elsas. 2006. Changes in agricultural management drive the diversity of *Burkholderia* species isolated from soil on PCAT medium. Soil Biol. Biochem. 38:661–673.
- Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503–517.
- Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acid Res. 22:4673–4680.
- Valdés, V., N. O. Pérez, P. Estrada-de los Santos, J. Caballero-Mellado, J. J. Peña-Cabriales, P. Normand, and A. M. Hirsch. 2005. Non-Frankia actinomycetes isolated from surface-sterilized roots of *Casuarina equisetifolia* fix nitrogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:460–466.
- Vandamme, P., J. Goris, W. M. Chen, P. de Vos, and A. Willems. 2002. Burkholderia tuberum sp. nov. and Burkholderia phymatum sp. nov. nodulate the roots of tropical legumes. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 25:507–512.
- Weisburg, W. G., S. M. Barns, D. A. Pelletier, and D. J. Lane. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol. 173:697– 703.
- 33. Zhang, H., S. Hanada, T. Shigematsu, K. Shibuya, Y. Kamagata, T. Kanagawa, and R. Kurane. 2000. Burkholderia kururiensis sp. nov., a trichloroethylene (TCE)-degrading bacterium isolated from an aquifer polluted with TCE. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50:743–749.