The Pto kinase conferring resistance to tomato bacterial speck disease interacts with proteins that bind a *cis*-element of pathogenesis-related genes

Jianmin Zhou, Xiaoyan Tang and Gregory B.Martin¹

Department of Agronomy, Lilly Hall of Life Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1150, USA

¹Corresponding author e-mail: gmartin@dept.agry.purdue.edu

In tomato, the Pto kinase confers resistance to bacterial speck disease by recognizing the expression of a corresponding avirulence gene, avrPto, in the pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato. Using the yeast twohybrid system, we have identified three genes, Pti4, Pti5 and Pti6, that encode proteins that physically interact with the Pto kinase. Pti4/5/6 each encode a protein with characteristics that are typical of transcription factors and are similar to the tobacco ethylene-responsive element-binding proteins (EREBPs). Using a gel mobility-shift assay, we demonstrate that, similarly to EREBPs, Pti4/5/6 specifically recognize and bind to a DNA sequence that is present in the promoter region of a large number of genes encoding 'pathogenesis-related' (PR) proteins. Expression of several PR genes and a tobacco EREBP gene is specifically enhanced upon Pto-avrPto recognition in tobacco. These observations establish a direct connection between a disease resistance gene and the specific activation of plant defense genes.

Keywords: disease resistance/pathogenesis-related protein/protein_protein interactions/tomato/transcription factor

Introduction

It has long been recognized that transcriptional activation of a battery of plant defense-related genes is associated with pathogen invasion (reviewed by Lamb et al., 1989; Bowles, 1990; Dixon and Lamb, 1990). Well documented defense genes include those encoding pathogenesis-related proteins (PRs) (reviewed by Linthorst, 1991; Cutt and Klessig, 1992), hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins, and enzymes for phytoalexin biosynthesis such as phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) and chalcone synthase (Showalter et al., 1985; Bell et al., 1986). Although the role of these proteins in plant disease resistance has yet to be established, their enzymatic functions indicate that they are well suited for defense against pathogens. For example, two classes of PR proteins, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase, are lytic enzymes capable of degrading polysaccharides found in the cell wall of many fungi and have antifungal activities when assayed in vitro (Mauch et al., 1988; Sela-Buurlage et al., 1993; Melchers et al., 1994; Niderman et al., 1995). Coordinated expression of nine classes of PR gene mRNAs is associated with the onset of systemic acquired resistance (SAR), a general resistance response induced by necrotizing pathogens (Ward *et al.*, 1991). Overexpression of a bean chitinase in tobacco increased resistance to the soil-borne pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* (Broglie *et al.*, 1991), and overexpression of tobacco PR-1 in tobacco enhanced tolerance to *Peronospora tabacina* and *Phytophthora parasitica* (Alexander *et al.*, 1993). Similarly, overexpression of a tobacco basic PR-5 in potato delayed the disease symptom produced by *Phytophthora infestans* (Liu *et al.*, 1994). These results have spurred extensive investigations into the biological function of defense genes and the mechanisms by which they are activated.

Much effort has been focused on the characterization of cis-acting elements involved in elicitor- and pathogeninduced defense gene expression (bean chs, Choudhary et al., 1990; parsley PAL, Lois et al., 1989; tobacco PR-1a, Ohshima et al., 1990; van de Rhee and Bol, 1993; tobacco PR-2, Hennig et al., 1993; and Nicotiana plumbaginifolia gln1, Alonso et al., 1995). Using these DNA elements as probes, a few putative transcription factors involved in defense responses have been identified. For example, KAP-1 and KAP-2 bind to the H box of the bean chalcone synthase gene chs15 (Yu et al., 1993), parsley BPF1 binds to box P of the PAL gene (da Costa e Silva et al., 1993), parsley and Arabidopsis homeodomain proteins bind to the parsley pr2 gene promoter (Korfhage et al., 1994), and a tobacco 40 kDa DNA-binding protein is thought to be involved in salicylic acid-regulated PR gene expression (Goldsbrough et al., 1993).

Many defense-related genes are induced in both compatible (susceptible) and incompatible (resistant) plant-pathogen interactions. However, the expression of many defense genes is more rapid and pronounced in a resistant plant challenged with an avirulent pathogen. In particular, incompatible interactions involving a plant resistance (R)gene and a corresponding pathogen avirulence (avr) gene (Flor, 1971) lead to accelerated plant defense gene expression. For example, inoculation of Arabidopsis carrying RPS2 with an avirulent Pseudomonas strain containing avrRpt2 rapidly induced PAL mRNA accumulation to a higher level compared with inoculation with a strain lacking the avr gene (Dong et al., 1991). Early induction of ELI3 (elicitor-activated gene) in Arabidopsis depends specifically on the expression of the RPM1 and avrB genes in the plant and pathogen, respectively (Kiedrowski et al., 1992). Similarly, AIG1 and AIG2 (avrRpt2-induced gene) mRNAs are induced in Arabidopsis carrying the RPS2 resistance gene 6 h after infection with Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola containing the corresponding avirulence gene avrRpt2 but are not induced until 12 h when either RPS2 or avrRpt2 is absent (Reuber and Ausubel, 1996). In addition, injection of an intercellular fluid containing the Avr9 and Avr2 peptides into tomato plants harboring *Cf-9* or *Cf-2* or of purified Avr9 peptide into *Cf-9* plants induced the expression of two β -1,3-glucanase genes, but not in the plants lacking these *Cf* genes (Ashfield *et al.*, 1994; Wubben *et al.*, 1996).

Many cloned *R* genes encode proteins that are likely to be involved either in the recognition of signals determined by *avr* genes or in the early steps of signal transduction (Martin *et al.*, 1995). However, a direct link between any *R* gene and defense gene activation has yet to be established. The identification of signaling components leading to defense gene activation after *R* gene–*avr* gene recognition would be an important advance. Towards this goal, several *Arabidopsis* mutants have been identified that are either deficient in PR gene expression upon pathogen attack (*npr1*, Cao *et al.*, 1994; *nim1*, Delaney *et al.*, 1995), or constitutively express PR genes (*cpr1*, Bowling *et al.*, 1994). Cloning of these gene and elucidation of the biochemical functions of these gene products will greatly advance our knowledge of plant defense.

In tomato, resistance of plants carrying the Pto locus to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato strains expressing the avirulence gene avrPto (Ronald et al., 1992; Martin, 1995) is a model system to study signal transduction pathways mediated by a specific R gene. This system constitutes the only example of an R gene-mediated resistance pathway in which genes for multiple components have been cloned (Martin et al., 1993; Zhou et al., 1995; Salmeron et al., 1996). Currently, three components are known to be involved in the signaling pathway mediated by Pto: the serine/threonine protein kinase Pto, a second serine/threonine kinase Pti1 and the leucine-rich repeat type protein Prf. The Pto gene was discovered originally in Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium, a wild tomato species, and isolated by map-based cloning (Martin et al., 1993). Mutagenesis of a bacterial speck-resistant tomato line revealed a second gene, Prf (Salmeron et al., 1994), that is required for both Pto-mediated resistance and fenthion sensitivity, a related phenotype mediated by the Fen gene (Martin et al., 1994). Recently, we and others have demonstrated that the AvrPto protein, presumably delivered into the plant cell by a type III secretion system of the bacterium, functions directly inside the plant cell (Scofield et al., 1996; Tang et al., 1996). The AvrPto and Pto proteins physically interact and this recognition event initiates the disease resistance response (Scofield et al., 1996; Tang et al., 1996). Using the yeast two-hybrid system with Pto as a bait, we had previously identified another protein kinase Pti1 that appears to act downstream of Pto and is involved in the hypersensitive response (HR; Zhou et al., 1995). Here we report the characterization of three additional Pto-interacting proteins, Pti4, Pti5 and Pti6, hereafter referred to as Pti4/5/6, that belong to a large family of plant transcription factors. These proteins bind to a *cis*-element that is widely conserved among PR genes and are implicated in the regulation of these genes during incompatible plant-pathogen interactions.

Results

Interaction of Pto with Pti4/5/6

Using the yeast two-hybrid system with Pto as a bait, we previously had screened a tomato cDNA library and



Fig. 1. Interaction of Pto with Pti4/5/6. EGY48 yeast cells containing a prey of Pti4, Pti5 or Pti6 (in pJG4-5), and a bait of Pto, pto or Bicoid (in pEG202) were grown on galactose Ura⁻ His⁻ Trp⁻ X-Gal medium. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days and photographed. Four independent, representative colonies are shown for each bait–prey combination. All bait proteins were expressed equally in yeast as determined by Western blots (Y.-T.Loh and G.B.Martin, unpublished results).

isolated 149 clones encoding putative Pti proteins (Zhou et al., 1995). These cDNA clones belonged to 10 distinct classes, as indicated by cross-hybridization experiments. The cDNA clones Pti4, Pti5 and Pti6 represent three of the 10 distinct classes, and were characterized further. Independent clones varying in length that represent identical genes have been identified for each cDNA during the two-hybrid screening (12 for Pti4, nine for Pti5 and one for Pti6). Figure 1 shows the specific interaction of Pti4, Pti5 and Pti6 with Pto in yeast. Yeast strains carrying the Pto bait and a prey of Pti4, Pti5 or Pti6 grew in the absence of leucine, indicative of the LEU2 reporter gene activation (data not shown). When grown on X-Gal plates, these yeast cells were blue as a result of the *lacZ* reporter gene activation. As determined by the intensity of blue color, the strength of interaction of Pto with these three preys is in the order Pti6>Pti4>Pti5. In contrast, control yeast strains expressing the arbitrary bait Bicoid and any one of the three preys did not activate the LEU2 or the lacZ reporter genes. Previously, we have cloned the recessive pto allele from a tomato cultivar that is susceptible to P.s.tomato carrying avrPto (Jia et al., 1997). The amino acid sequence of pto is 87% identical to Pto. However, the pto bait did not interact with Pti4, Pti5 or Pti6 in yeast as shown by the lack of lacZ activation (Figure 1). The slight blue color of colonies expressing pto and Pti6 developed only after prolonged incubation and probably does not represent significant physical interaction of these proteins. In addition, other kinases such as Pti1 and Fen as baits did not interact with Pti4/5/6 (data not shown). These results indicate that the interactions of these Pti proteins with Pto were highly specific.

Pti4/5/6 are members of a multigene family

The longest *Pti4/5/6* cDNAs isolated from the two-hybrid screen were 1.0, 0.8 and 0.8 kb, respectively. Each of these cDNAs was probed onto genomic blots containing DNA from tomato cultivar Rio Grande-PtoR (Figure 2A). Numerous fragments were detected by both *Pti4* and *Pti5* cDNA probes, while 2–3 fragments were detected by the *Pti6* cDNA probe. The distinct restriction fragments detected by these probes indicated that the sequences of *Pti4/5/6* are not identical. However, a cross-hybridization experiment indicated weak homology among the three



в

Pti4		
1	A ATACCTCATABA TCACTAGAAAAAAAAACTAAAATTCAAAGCGAAATCGATCAACAGTTACCACCGGACGAACTTCCCGGGTGGATTTTCC	1 5
91	N D Q Q L P P T N F P V D F P GGTGTINTCGCCGGAATTCAAGCTICAGTCGTCTAATTCCCTGTTTAACTGAAAAATGGGGAGATTTAACCACTAAAAGTCGACGATTCCGA	10
181	V Y R R N S S F S R L I P C L T <u>E K W G D L P L K V D D S E</u> AGATATEGETANTITACIONICTATTAAAAGACSCTCTAAGOGTCGGATGGTCGCCGITTAATTTCACCGCCGGGAAGTAAAATCGGAGCC	45
101	<u>DMVIYGLLKDALSVGWSPFN'FTAGEVKSEP</u>	75
271	GAGAGAMANTGAATGCCCCCCGAATTTCCCCCTCCCCGCGGGGAACCACGGCGGCGGCGCCCCGGCGCCCCGAAAAGAAG	10
361	TTATAGAGGGGTTAGACAGGGTCGTGGGGGAAATTTGCGCCCCCAGATTAGAGATCCGGGGAAGAACGGAGCTAGGGTTTGGCTTGGCAC	13
451	TROGAMACAGCTGAAGAAGCTGCATTGCTTATGATAAAGCTGCTTATGAATGA	
541	Y E T A E E A A I A Y D K A A Y R M R G S K A H L N F P H K	10
241	IGLNEPEPFEL RRKGR AIQGPASSSGNGSM	19
631	GAMACGGAGAAAAAGCCGTTCAGAAATGTGATGGAGAAATGCCGAGTAGATGAGIGICATGCAAGTGGAGAGATGGAGAATTGAACAATT KRRRKAVOKCOTCAGAAATGCCGAGAAATGCCGAGTAGATGAGIGICATGCAAGTGGAGAGATGCAAATTGAACAATT	22
721	GACAGGIGICCATCAACTATIGGICATTIAAAAGCCGAATATICICCGAACGCAAAATACTATATITITICCAAATTIATIGIAAAT T G V H O L L V I *	23
811	ACGTAATACTCTATGATAACGGAGAAAATAGAAAGTTGAATGCAAAAATATTGTGATAGGGTTAATCCAAAGTTGTAAAAAGTTTCAAT	
901	TICKTTAATATAATTIACSIAATGATGAAACTITATICATTITTIACGAATATAAACAAATTIACCAASSISTITTA	
Pti5		
1	"AAATTCCCTCTAAT"AAAGC'ATCCTTCCAACTCCTCAAAGTGATTACCTCTTAATGAGAATGACACTCACAAGAGATGGT^TTATATAIGA	

				М	V	Ρ	т	Р	Q	s	<u>D</u>	L.	Р	L	N	Е	N	D	S	0	E	Μ	V	. Ь.	Y	E	23
91	AGTTCTTAAT	SAAGCI	DTAATCO	TCT	AAAT	PAT	ICC.	PTA.	mr.	100	CAJ	\CG.	AAA	TCA.	ATT	ACT	ccc	TAG	AAA	TAA	TAT	ICI	TCG	TCC	ATT	ACA	
	V L N	ΕA	N A	L	Ν	I	Р	¥	L	Р	Q	R	Ν	Q	L	L	Ρ	R	Ν	N	Ι	L	R	Ρ	L	Q	53
181	GTGCATAGGC	AAGAAA	TACAG	AGG	AGTZ	۱CG	ACG	ICG.	rcci	FIG	GGG	SAA.	ATA	CGC	IGC	GGA	ллт	TCG	CGA	TTC	GGC	FAG	ACA	TGG	TGC	GAG	
	CIG	к к	Y R	G	V	R	R	R	Р	W	G	К	Y	Α	A	Ε	I	R	D	S	А	R	Н	G	Α	R	83
271	AGTATGGCTA	GGTACO	TTOG	AAC	TGC	IGA.	AGA	AGC	rgev	3PP)	AGCI	PTA	FGA	TAG	AGC	GGC	TTT	TAG	AAT	GCG	AGG	IGC	TAA	GGC	ACI	ACT	
	VWL	GТ	FE	т	А	Е	Ε	Α	А	L	А	Y	D	R	А	А	F	R	Μ	R	G	А	К	А	L	L	113
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	nomas a	A MA CO	~	000	-	TCODE		COM:	NC3/	10.07	veren.	220	TYTYD	ner o	ono	222	TAC	PP2	020	TAO	22.4	ma a	ממדי	THY:	aca	

361	TAA	TTT	TCC	ATC	TGA	PAA	AGI	GAP	CGC	CIU	TG1	TR	'AG'I	PIGA	CAA	ATI	NAG	1.1.1	GIG	CIU	:AAA	TAG	(PTA	CAC	TAC	7266	1.1.11	TWW	1.1C	non	
	N	F	Ρ	S	Е	I	v	N	A	s	V	S	v	D	К	\mathbf{L}	S	L	С	s	Ν	s	Y	т	т	Ν	N	Ν	S	D	143
451	TTC	AAG	TTT	AAA	TGA	AGI	TTC	AAC	TGG	AAC	TAA	TGP	TGI	ITA	TGA	ATC	AAG	ATG	TTA	AAA	CAG	AGC	TGI	GCA	TGG	AGA	ATI	TCT	TOG	CAC	
	S	s	L	N	Е	v	S	s	G	т	N	D	v	F	Е	S	R	С	*												161

	227 4 5 4 2 2 2 2 1 4 5 4 5 5 4 6
541	TCTAAGCGAATAATGTGTGGACACGTAGAAAATATTTCTATTTATGTAAGAATCAACTGAACTATTAAAATTTCGTTGTATTTATAT
631	TATGTGCTTGCCTCTTCTTTATTTCCTTATGGAATTGTTTGCAGGGACGCAGGCTATAATCTCATGTAAAAAGATTGCTTAGGATACT
721	TTAGTAGTATGTTTATAAGTTGTAATATACACCTTCTATTTTCTAAAAAAAA

Pti6

	THE OWNER AS A DECK AS A D	
1	THOSE PERFORMANCE AND A CONTRACT AND	
91	TETTTGTTCACTCATCAACIFIGTTTCTCAAATCATFIGTATCACIGCAACIFIFTFCCACACIFIAAAAACFFFFFMMMCAAHAATTGGT	
181	CACTATTCACTCACTTCAACCAGTTCTTGATTGTTTTAGTACICCTTTTTGAGCTTATGATGATGATTTTTTTTGTGCTCTTTGAAAAAAAA	
271	ATCTTTTAAATCGAACTGTAACTTTAAGTTTTTCGTATACCATGACGGAAAATTCAGTTCGGTGATTAAATTCACTCAACACATAGTAA	
	M T E N S V P V I K F T O H I V T	17
261	CTA CA A COARCEMENTUM TO TO A COARCE A SA A TO CA A TO CA A TO CA CATA CA A A GAOTTO TO A GAOTTA CA CATA CATA CA CATA CATA CATA CAT	
201		47
	TNKHVFS <u>EARERSNSEDURVVRIDIDADA</u>	
451	CTACAGATTCTTCCGATGATGA ^A GGCCCGGAATACTGTACGGAGAGTGAAGAGGCACGTGACGGAGATCAACCTTATGCCGTCAACCAAAT	
	T D S S D D E G R N T V R R V K R H V T E I N L M P S T K S	77
E 4 1	COMPOSITION OF A A A COMPOSITION OF A COMPOSITION OF A CONTRACT OF A A A A COMPACE OF A CONTRACT OF	
341		1.05
	I G D R K R R S V S P D S D V T R R K K <u>F R G V R G K F W G</u>	107
631	GTCGTTGGGCTGCAGAGATTCGGGACCCCGCGGGAAAACGGGTGTGGGTACTTATGACACCCCAGAAGAAGCAGCTGTGTTT	
	RWAAEIRDPTRGKRVWLGTYDTPEEAAVVY	137
721	ACCATAAACCTCCACACTCCACACCCCCTTACCATTTTTCCCGTATCAACCGCCGCGCGCG	1.00
	<u>D K A A V K L K G P D A V T N F P</u> V S T T A <u>E V T V T V T E</u>	TO
811	AAACCGAAACCGAGTCTXTTUCCGACGGTGGAGATAAAAGGGAAAACGATGTCGCTTGTCACCCACC	
	TETERVADCODESENDUALSPTSVLCDNDF	197
901	TIGGEGUPPIGACAATCTAGGUTTCTGCGAAGTGGATGGATGGATTCACTTTCCGGC TGCCGGATTTTCCTACTACTTCCGGCGAGTGGATGGATGG	0.05
	<u>A P F D N L G F C E V D A F G F D V D S L F R L P D F A B T</u>	221
991	CGGAGAAATACTACGGCGATGAATTCGCGAATTCGACTTTGACGATTTTGCCCTTGAAGCTCGATAGTGTACGAGGGGCTATTTCGTCC	
	EKYYGDEFGEFDFDD FALEAR*	248
1001	AMMUNICOL & A DECOMPLY OF CONCRETE A CONCRETE A CONCRETE A CONCRETE A CONCRETE A DECAMPLY A CONCRETE A DECAMPLY A CONCRETE A DECAMPLY A CONCRETE A DECAMPLY A DECAMPL	
1081	ATTITICARA IGGITICACIONI PATTORCIA IGROPIA DA CONTRA A TANDA A ANTINA CONTRA A ANTICACIONA DA CONTRA A ANTICACIONA DA CONTRA A ANTICACIONA DA CONTRA A ANTICACIONA DA CONTRA A ANTICACIÓN DA CONTRA A A A ANTICACIÓN DA CONTRA A A A ANTICACIÓN DA CONTRA A	
11/1	ACGANGACTITIGTIGAGAGATITITIGTITITIATITITICIGTIANTIGTIGGIGAATATIGTIGAGAGAATITIGTIGAGAGAATITIGTI	
1261	ATTAATTAACGATGAAGATAAGGAGAGIGAAGGGGGGAIGIGTGT#TTTATGATTGACGTGTGTTTTTGGGATGCACGAAAAAATTAATT	
1351	TTATTTACGTTGGAAATATAAAGTCAAAATTCTATTGAAAAAAAA	

Fig. 2. Pti4/5/6 belong to a large gene family. (A) DNA gel blot analysis of tomato genomic DNA. Genomic DNA (5 μ g/lane) from Rio Grande-PtoR plants was digested with the indicated restriction enzymes, and the DNA blot was hybridized to the *Pti4/5/6* cDNA probes. (B) Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of Pti4/5/6. Superscript letters denote the 5' ends of cDNA clones recovered from the two-hybrid library screening. Amino acids with a single underline are acidic regions, whereas those with a double underline represent the conserved central basic region. Putative nuclear localization sequences are shown in bold. Nucleotide sequence data have been deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank (Pti4, accession No. U89255; Pti5, U89256; Pti6, U89257). classes of cDNAs (data not shown). Subsequent DNA sequence analysis confirmed this homology among Pti4/ 5/6 proteins (see below). Thus *Pti4/5/6* appear to be members of a large gene family.

We sequenced the inserts of Pti4/5/6 and found that each contained a single open reading frame that was fused in-frame to the activation domain of the prey plasmid (Figure 2B). To isolate full-length cDNA clones, we screened another tomato cDNA library with the Pti4/5/6cDNA probes. This screen resulted in the isolation of longer cDNA clones for Pti6 but not for Pti4 and Pti5. The longest Pti6 cDNA clone is 1.4 kb and corresponds to the transcript size detected by a Northern blot, indicating that this Pti6 clone is probably full-length (data not shown). The original Pti4 and Pti5 clones are also likely to be full-length as they both contain a putative start codon at their 5' ends (see below).

The putative proteins encoded by Pti4/5/6 contain 234, 161 and 248 amino acid residues, respectively (Figure 2B). The Pti6 protein expressed from the original prey plasmid lacked the first 48 amino acid residues, indicating that these residues are not essential for interaction with Pto. Several features that are typical of transcription factors are present in the Pti4/5/6 proteins. Most strikingly, a central domain of 58 amino acids rich in basic residues is shared by all three proteins (62-81% identical), and is reminiscent of the DNA-binding domain of many transcription factors (Latchman, 1995). Pti4 and Pti6 contain short clusters of basic residues similar to known nuclear localization sequences (NLS; reviewed by Dingwall and Laskey, 1991). In addition, Pti4 and Pti5 each contain an acidic region N-terminal to the central region, and Pti6 contains acidic regions both N- and C-terminal to the central domain. Many transcription factors, such as the yeast GAL4 protein, the mammalian glucocorticoid receptor and the maize Viviporous-1 protein, contain acidic regions that function in transcriptional activation (McCarty et al., 1991; Latchman, 1995). When Pti5 and Pti6 were expressed independently as baits in the yeast strain EGY48, they showed strong autoactivation of the reporter genes in the absence of any prey (data not shown). This suggests that at least Pti5 and Pti6 contain functional transactivation domains.

Pti4/5/6 bind the PR box that is conserved among many pathogenesis-related genes

Using the BLAST program, we searched the DDBJ/ EMBL/GenBank database (version 86.0) for proteins with similarity to Pti4/5/6. All protein sequences retrieved from the database shared significant homology with the basic, central domain of Pti4/5/6. These proteins include, in the order of similarity to Pti4/5/6, the tobacco ethyleneresponsive element-binding proteins (EREBPs; Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995), and the Arabidopsis proteins TINY (Wilson et al., 1996), AP2 (Jofuku et al., 1994) and ANT (Elliott et al., 1996; Klucher et al., 1996). A number of expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences of unknown function from both Arabidopsis and rice also contain this central domain. EREBPs contain a single central domain that has been demonstrated recently to bind a cis-acting element conferring the ethylene responsiveness of the β -1,3-glucanase gene gln2 in tobacco (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995). This ethylene-



Fig. 3. Similarity of Pti4/5/6 to EREBP/AP2 transcription factors. (**A**) Alignment of Pti4/5/6 amino acid sequence with EREBPs from tobacco. The Pretty Box program (GCG package, version 7.0) was used to create the best alignment. Amino acids identical in at least four of the sequences are shaded in black and conservative substitutions are shaded in gray. (**B**) Phylogenetic tree of transcription factors in the EREBP/AP2 family. The putative DNA-binding region was used to create the best alignment with the Pileup program (GCG package, version 7.0), and the phylogram was created using the Neighbor-Joining method of the Growtree program (GCG package, version 7.0). The length of branches indicates the relative evolutionary distances. Sequences used in this analysis include the single DNA-binding region of Pti4/5/6, four tobacco EREBPs (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995), two *Arabidopsis* EST clones (accession Nos R87001 and H37693), two rice EST clones (accession Nos D39914 and D47296), the two DNA-binding regions in *Arabidopsis* proteins AP2 (Jofuku *et al.*, 1994) and ANT (Elliott *et al.*, 1996; Klucher *et al.*, 1996), the maize protein Zmmhcf1 (accession No. Z47554) and the second DNA-binding region of a rice EST clone (truncated at its first DNA-binding domain, accession No. D15799).

responsive element contains the core sequence GCCGCC. Since this sequence has been found in the promoters of many PR genes (see below), we refer to it as the PR box. *TINY* is an *Arabidopsis* gene encoding a protein with a single central domain and is involved in determining plant height, hypocotyl elongation and fertility (Wilson *et al.*, 1996). AP2 and ANT are involved in flower development, and both contain two central domains that are also believed to bind DNA (Jofuku *et al.*, 1994; Elliott *et al.*, 1996; Klucher *et al.*, 1996).

Figure 3A shows an alignment of the amino acid sequences of Pti4/5/6 and the EREBPs. The homology among these sequences resides primarily in the DNA-binding domain (at least 67% identical). However, Pti4,

EREBP-1 and EREBP-2 are highly similar (71–78% identity) to each other throughout their entire open reading frames. The N-terminus of Pti5 also shares significant homology with Pti4, EREBP-1 and EREBP-2, and the entire Pti5 protein is 43–48% identical to these three proteins. In contrast, Pti6, EREBP-3 and EREBP-4 are more distantly related, and their sequences outside the DNA-binding domain share little homology with any known proteins.

To explore further the relationships of the proteins containing the EREBP DNA-binding domain, evolutionary distances among these sequences were calculated and a phylogenetic tree was constructed (Figure 3B). The sequences clearly divided into two major groups, with



Fig. 4. Pti5 and Pti6 bind the PR box. Fifty ng of purified GST fusion proteins were mixed with the wild-type PR box probe (GCC) or the mutated PR box probe (mGCC), and the gel mobility-shift assay was performed as described in Materials and methods.

one consisting of proteins with a single DNA-binding domain, and the other of proteins with two DNA-binding domains. Pti4/5/6, EREBPs and several other EST sequences belong to the first group, whereas the proteins involved in flower development are in the second group. Thus, Pti4/5/6 are most closely related to EREBPs and are more distantly related to floral development regulators such as AP2 and ANT.

It has been shown previously that the central, basic domain of the EREBPs binds the wild-type PR box of gln2 but not a mutated PR box harboring two $G \rightarrow T$ substitutions in the GCCGCC core sequence (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995). The similarity of the Pti4/5/6 proteins and the EREBPs led us to hypothesize that Pti4/ 5/6 also bind to the PR box. To test this possibility, we expressed the full-length Pti6 and the C-terminal 141 amino acids of Pti5 as GST fusion proteins. For a positive control, we expressed the tobacco EREBP-2 protein as a GST fusion. The ability of GST-Pti5 and GST-Pti6 to bind the wild-type or the mutated gln2 PR boxes was tested using a mobility-shift gel assay. As shown in Figure 4, both GST-Pti5 and GST-Pti6 bound the wild-type PR box similarly to GST-EREBP-2. No binding was detected when the mutated PR box was used in the assay, indicating that binding of GST-Pti5 and GST-Pti6 to the PR box was highly specific. In contrast to GST-Pti5 and GST-Pti6, neither GST-Pti1 nor GST itself bound to the PR box. These results further confirmed the specificity of binding of Pti5 and Pti6 to the gln2 PR box. Thus the DNA-binding domain of both Pti5 and Pti6 is functionally homologous to that of EREBPs. We have been unable to express Pti4 in Escherichia coli and were therefore unable to test its activity in this assay. However, given the high degree of homology between Pti4 and EREBP-2, Pti4 is also likely to bind to the PR box.

Interaction of Pto with tobacco EREBP-2

The sequence similarity and PR box-binding properties of Pti/4/5/6 and the tobacco EREBPs strongly suggest that these proteins are functionally homologous. Introduction of the tomato *Pto* gene into tobacco is known to enhance defense responses specifically upon inoculation with *P.s.tabaci* expressing *avrPto* (Thilmony *et al.*, 1995). In addition, tobacco cultivar W-38 contains a functional, endogenous *Pto* gene that also specifically recognizes the expression of *avrPto* in *P.s.tabaci* (Thilmony *et al.*, 1995).



Fig. 5. Interaction of EREBP-2 with Pto. EGY48 yeast cells containing the EREBP-2 prey and a bait of either Pto, pto or Bicoid (in pEG202) were grown on galactose Ura⁻His⁻ Trp⁻ X-Gal medium. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days and photographed. Eight independent, representative colonies are shown for each bait–prey combination.

Together these observations imply that the *Pto*-mediated signaling pathway is conserved in both tomato and tobacco and that the tomato Pto protein is compatible with other signaling components from tobacco. We thus predicted that at least some of the tobacco EREBPs would interact with the tomato Pto protein.

The high degree of homology shared between EREBP-2 and Pti4 suggests that EREBP-2 is a functional homolog of Pti4. We therefore tested the ability of EREBP-2 to interact with Pto. Expression of EREBP-2 as a prey in yeast strain EGY48 carrying the tomato Pto bait strongly activated both the *lacZ* and the *LEU2* reporter genes, indicating interaction of Pto with EREBP-2 (Figure 5; J.Zhou and G.B.Martin, unpublished results). This interaction is highly specific, as the pto bait and the Bicoid bait failed to interact with EREBP-2. This experiment thus supports our hypothesis that the tobacco EREBP-2 protein is a functional homolog of Pti4/5/6.

Activation of PR gene expression upon Pto-avrPto recognition

Our results suggest that Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs are transcription factors involved in the regulation of gene expression by binding to the PR box. A search for plant promoter sequences containing the PR box core sequence (GCCGCC) uncovered a number of predominantly basic PR genes from bean, tobacco, potato, *Arabidopsis* and tomato (Table I). It is thus plausible that Pto regulates PR gene expression in tomato via interaction with PR boxbinding proteins such as Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs.

Next we examined whether there was a correlation between *avrPto–Pto* recognition and induction of PR genes containing the PR box. We chose tobacco to address this question because PR gene expression is easily monitored in this species, and a number of PR gene promoters have been analyzed in detail in tobacco. In addition, the Pto-mediated signal transduction pathway is conserved between tomato and tobacco (Rommens *et al.*, 1995; Thilmony *et al.*, 1995).

Tobacco leaves (W-38) either with or without the tomato *Pto* transgene were injected with *P.s.tabaci* strains with or without *avrPto* (at a level of 10^6 c.f.u./ml). We examined the mRNA levels of three PR genes that contain PR boxes in their promoter: the *PRP1* gene encoding a basic PR-1 protein (Payne *et al.*, 1989), the basic chitinase gene *CHN50* (Fukuda *et al.*, 1991) and the *Osmotin* gene

Plant	Gene name	Protein encoded	Reference
N.plumbaginifolia	gn1	β-1, 3-glucanase	Castresana et al. (1990)
N.plumbaginifolia	gn2	basic β -1,3-glucanase	Gheysen et al. (1990)
N.tabacum	CHN50	basic chitinase	Fukuda et al. (1991)
N.tabacum	CHN17	basic chitinase	Shinshi et al. (1990)
N.tabacum	CHN14	basic chitinase	van Buuren et al. (1992)
N.tabacum	GLA (gln2)	basic β -1,3-glucanase	Sperisen et al. (1991) and Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi (1990)
N.tabacum	GLB	basic β -1,3-glucanase	Sperisen et al. (1991)
N.tabacum	PRP1	basic PR-1	Payne et al. (1989)
N.tabacum	prb-1b	basic PR-1	Meller et al. (1993)
N.tabacum	Osmotin	basic PR-5	Liu et al. (1995)
N.tabacum	OPL	neutral PR-5	Sato et al. (1996)
N.tabacum	chi-v	class V chitinase	Melchers et al. (1994)
S.tuberosum	WIN2	wound inducible (PR-4-like)	Stanford et al. (1988)
S.tuberosum	STPRINPSG	protease inhibitor	Y.Choi et al. (DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank Z12824)
S.commersonii	pOSML13	basic PR-5	Zhu et al. (1995)
S.commersonii	pOSML81	basic PR-5	Zhu et al. (1995)
L.esculentum	CHN	basic chitinase	cited by Hart et al. (1993)
P.vulgaris	CH5B	basic chitinase	Broglie et al. (1989)
A.thaliana	CHA2	basic chitinase	Samac et al. (1990)
A.thaliana	PAL3	phenylalanine ammonia-lyase	Wanner et al. (1995)
B.napus	Bp10	ascorbate oxidase	Albani et al. (1992)

Table I. Occurrence of PR-box in plant defense genes

encoding a basic PR-5 protein (Liu et al., 1995). Figure 6 shows that transcripts of the three genes accumulated after inoculation with Pseudomonas bacteria. In the nontransgenic plants, inoculation of P.s.tabaci containing avrPto induced the three transcripts at 18 h. In contrast, injection of *P.s.tabaci* without the *avrPto* gene in the same plants delayed transcript accumulation. The early induction of PR genes by avirulent bacteria in the non-transgenic plants is likely to be a result of the recognition of *avrPto* by the endogenous Pto homolog in this particular tobacco cultivar (Thilmony et al., 1995). In the Pto transgenic plants, this early induction by *P.s.tabaci* carrying *avrPto* was accelerated further, with the earliest expression observed at 9 h after inoculation. Surprisingly, the induction of the PR genes in the Pto transgenic plants by virulent bacteria (P.s.tabaci without avrPto) was also accelerated and occurred at 18 h after infection. One possible explanation for this induction is that an unknown avr gene is present in the P.s.tabaci strain and is recognized by the tomato Pto gene. Alternatively, overexpression of the tomato Pto gene in tobacco may potentiate PR gene induction by Pseudomonas bacteria. Together, these results support a role for Pto in rapid expression of PR genes containing the PR box.

To test further the functional relevance of EREBPs in resistance responses, we examined mRNA accumulation of EREBP-1 and EREBP-2, which have the highest homology to *Pti4* and *Pti5*. We were unable to detect reliably the expression of EREBP-2 mRNA. However, we found that EREBP-1 mRNA was induced in the Pto transgenic tobacco plants at a high level 9 h after infection with *P.s.tabaci* expressing *avrPto* (at an inoculum level of 10⁶ c.f.u./ml; Figure 7A). In contrast, EREBP-1 mRNA did not accumulate until 18 h after inoculation with P.s.tabaci lacking avrPto. Similar results were observed with nontransgenic tobacco plants, suggesting that the endogenous Pto homolog is sufficient for the EREBP-1 activation. These experiments indicated that EREBP-1 mRNA accumulated earlier than that of the PR mRNAs during incompatible interactions. To investigate this observation



Fig. 6. Induction of tobacco PR gene expression upon *Pto–avrPto* recognition. Tobacco leaves, either non-transgenic (W-38) or with the *Pto* transgene (*35S::Pto*), were injected with *P.s.tabaci* at 10⁶ c.f.u./ml. Leaf tissue was harvested at the indicated times post-inoculation, and RNA was extracted. Duplicates of RNA gel blots were hybridized to tobacco *PRP1*, *CHN50*, *Osmotin* or to rDNA probes. The experiment was repeated three times with the same results.

further, we inoculated the Pto transgenic tobacco leaves with high inocula (10⁸ c.f.u./ml) of *P.s.tabaci* with or without avrPto and examined the expression of CHN50, Osmotin and the EREBP-1 mRNAs. Figure 7B shows that the presence of avrPto in P.s.tabaci and the use of high inocula further accelerated the expression of these genes. The avirulent bacteria (with avrPto) induced the expression of CHN50 and the basic PR-5 at 6 h post inoculation, and that of EREBP-1 within 3 h after inoculation. The virulent bacteria (no avrPto) at high inoculum (108 c.f.u./ml) did not induce EREBP-1 mRNA accumulation within the 9 h time frame of the experiment. However, the virulent bacteria (108 c.f.u./ml) induced CHN50 and Osmotin at 9 h post-inoculation, indicating that EREBP-1 expression is not required for the induction of these PR genes by virulent bacteria. Thus, the early induction of EREBP-1 mRNA correlates with the subsequent PR gene induction only in the incompatible interactions but not in the compatible interactions. It is conceivable that the avirulent



Fig. 7. Induction of tobacco *EREBP-1* expression upon *Pto–avrPto* recognition. Tobacco leaves, either non-transgenic (W-38) or with the *Pto* transgene (355::Pto), were injected with *P.s.tabaci* at 10^6 c.f.u./ml (**A**) or at 10^8 c.f.u./ml (**B**). Leaf tissue was harvested at the indicated times post-inoculation, and RNA was extracted. Duplicates of RNA gel blots were hybridized to the tobacco *CHN50*, *Osmotin* or *EREBP-1* probes.

and virulent bacteria activate defense gene expression through different signal transduction pathways (Dong *et al.*, 1991).

Discussion

Interaction of the Pto kinase with transcription factors

Using the yeast two-hybrid system, we identified three distinct genes encoding putative transcription factors that interact specifically with the Pto kinase. We assessed the significance of this finding first by testing the specificity of the interactions. While Pto interacted with Pti4/5/6, interactions were not observed with any other tested bait proteins. In particular, the lack of interaction of pto with Pti4/5/6 is consistent with the fact that tomato plants carrying pto are susceptible to P.s.tomato (avrPto). Pti4/ 5/6 all contain a highly conserved DNA-binding domain, and this domain is also involved in the interaction with Pto (data not shown). Arabidopsis transcription factors such as MADS proteins also require the DNA-binding domain for certain protein-protein interactions (Mizukami et al., 1996). Secondly, we demonstrated that Pti4/5/6 are functionally similar to EREBPs in both structure and DNAbinding properties, suggesting that Pti4/5/6 are involved in defense gene regulation. This possibility is strengthened further by the strong interaction between EREBP-2 and Pto. The relevance of a PR box-binding factor to R genedetermined defense responses is also supported by the fact that EREBP-1 is induced early upon Pto-avrPto recognition, and the induction of EREBP-1 precedes that of basic PR-5 and PRP1 in the incompatible interactions. This is similar to the induction of the parsley PAL gene in response to an elicitor derived from Phytophthora megasperma f. sp. glycinea (da Costa e Silva et al., 1993). Accumulation of BPF-1 mRNA that encodes a putative transcription factor precedes PAL mRNA expression. Taken together, these findings suggest that Pti4/5/6 and at least some of the EREBPs are probable components linking an R gene product (Pto) to the expression of specific defense-related genes.

The significance of these findings are 2-fold. Transcriptional regulation of defense genes is thought to be important for the establishment of plant disease resistance (Lamb et al., 1989). Several plant transcription factors are thought to play a role in defense gene regulation (da Costa e Silva et al., 1993; Yu et al., 1993; Korfhage et al., 1994). However, none of them have been shown to be associated with an R gene-specified resistance pathway. The identification of Pti4/5/6 as putative transcription factors linking PR gene expression to an R gene product therefore promises new insight into how defense gene expression, one of the best known defense responses, is activated after R gene-avr gene recognition. Secondly, although regulation of transcription factors by protein kinases is a common mechanism in eukaryotes (Hunter and Karin, 1992), relatively little is known of this mechanism in plants. Phosphorylation of the Arabidopsis transcription factor GBF1 by casein kinase II was shown to enhance the binding of GBF1 to the G box cis-element (Klimczak et al., 1992, 1995), whereas dephosphorylation by a phosphatase reduced the binding (Harter et al., 1994). Likewise, phosphorylation of the potato transcription factor PBF-1 is believed to play a role in *PR-10a* expression (Despres et al., 1995). However, specific protein kinases that are involved in regulation of these transcription factors have yet to be identified. Further investigation of the interaction of the Pti4/5/6 with the Pto kinase should help to elucidate the potential role of protein phosphorylation in the transcriptional regulation of plant defense genes.

Regulation of PR gene expression in disease resistance

PR gene expression is known to be activated by a number of biotic or abiotic stresses including infection by viral, bacterial or fungal pathogens, treatment with plant hormones and elicitors such as ethylene, salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid, H₂O₂ and UV light (reviewed by Bol et al., 1990; Linthorst, 1991), and necrotic lesions induced by either mutations or ectopic expression of foreign genes (Dietrich et al., 1994; Greenberg et al., 1994; Mittler et al., 1995; Herbers et al., 1996). Whereas expression of PR genes is generally induced by pathogen infection, their expression is not always enhanced by R gene-avr gene recognition (Danhash et al., 1993). Here we demonstrated that expression of PRP1, CHN50, Osmotin and EREBP-1 in tobacco are enhanced specifically by the recognition of Pto-avrPto genes. These findings are consistent with the proposed role of EREBPs in the Pto-mediated signaling pathway.

It is not clear whether Pti4/5/6 are functionally redundant. The sequence divergence outside the DNA-binding domains suggests a functional difference among Pti4/5/6. One possibility is that Pti4/5/6 each has a preference for a distinct PR gene promoter. For example, the context surrounding the GCCGCC core sequence in various PR genes may influence binding affinities of the Pti4/5/6 proteins. Indeed, we have observed that EREBP-2, Pti5 and Pti6 bind to the PR box of *gln2* better than to that of the basic *PR-5* gene (J.Zhou and G.B.Martin, unpublished results). The possibility that different defense genes are regulated by these transcription factors is consistent with the observation that a diverse array of defense genes are activated in the resistance response. Further examination of the role of each of these transcription factors in the Pto signaling pathway will enable us to address this question.

Although PR gene expression is known to inhibit fungal growth in plants, whether PR proteins have antibacterial activity remains uncertain. However, existing evidence indicates that PR proteins are also likely to be involved in the bacterial disease resistance. For example, the *Arabidopsis* mutant *npr1* is unable to express PR genes and fails to restrict the growth of the bacterial pathogen *P.s.maculicola* (Cao *et al.*, 1994). Conversely, the *Arabidopsis* mutant *cpr1*, that constitutively expresses PR genes, shows heightened resistance not only to the fungal pathogen *Peronospora parasitica* but also to the bacterial pathogen *P.s.maculicola* (Bowling *et al.*, 1994). Thus, the increased PR gene expression upon *Pto–avrPto* recognition may contribute to the overall resistance to the *Pseudomonas* bacteria.

One strategy to improve disease resistance in crop plants is by overexpressing antimicrobial proteins such as PR proteins. However, manipulation of individual PR genes has only led to a partial tolerance to several fungal pathogens (Broglie et al., 1991; Alexander et al., 1993; Liu et al., 1994). Recent studies by Zhu et al. (1994) and Jach et al. (1995) demonstrated that co-expression of chitinase and glucanase genes in tobacco resulted in a synergistic enhancement of resistance to Cercospora nicotianae and Rhizoctonia solani, respectively. Similarly, simultaneous overexpression of the class I chitinase and the class I glucanase genes in tomato synergistically enhanced resistance against the Fusarium wilt disease (Jongedijk et al., 1995). In systemic acquired resistance, a large number of PR genes are coordinately expressed, and this type of resistance is known to be effective against a wide range of pathogens (Ward et al., 1991). A more effective approach to engineer crop plants with enhanced protection against pathogens might be to coordinately express a large combination of PR proteins in the plant. However, transferring multiple genes into a plant is technically difficult. The discovery of Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs as PR box-binding factors may provide a new approach to improving crop plants with enhanced PR gene expression and disease resistance.

Cross-talk between the ethylene and disease resistance pathways

It has long been known that overlapping sets of genes are induced by both ethylene and pathogen infection (Boller and Vogeli, 1984; Ecker and Davis, 1987; Mauch and Staehelin, 1989). This has led to the hypothesis that ethylene may be a component in the signaling pathway of plant defense responses (Raz and Fluhr, 1992). However, genetic studies with ethylene-insensitive mutants clearly indicate that ethylene action is not required for disease resistance responses in *Arabidopsis* (Bent *et al.*, 1992; Lawton *et al.*, 1994). Although ethylene is unlikely to be a component in the signaling chain leading to disease resistance, it is conceivable that the disease resistance pathways and the ethylene pathway converge at transcription factors such as Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs. In addition to serving as an ethylene-responsive element (Hart *et al.*,



Fig. 8. Proposed model for Pto-mediated signal transduction.

1993; Sessa *et al.*, 1995; Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995), the PR box may also be involved in the pathogen-activated transcription of PR genes (Alonso *et al.*, 1995). It is a common observation that certain transcription factors serve as targets for different signaling pathways. For instance, the extensively studied animal transcription factor CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) is phosphorylated and activated by protein kinases from a number of different signaling pathways (reviewed by Hill and Treisman, 1995). It will be interesting to determine whether Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs are regulated both by protein kinases, such as Pto, that function specifically in disease resistance and by a MAP kinase that functions downstream of the CTR kinase in the ethylene pathway (Kieber *et al.*, 1993).

A model for the Pto signaling pathway

Two of the major outcomes of R gene-mediated signaling pathways are the hypersensitive response (HR) and defense gene expression. Several reports have suggested that the HR and defense gene expression result from distinct pathways. For example, Pseudomonas harboring mutations in *hrp* genes (required for the hypersensitive response in non-host and pathogenicity in host plants) fail to elicit an HR but still induce defense gene expression in bean (Jakobek and Lindgren, 1993). The rps2-201 mutant is unable to develop an HR in response to P.s.tomato carrying avrRpt2 but still develops systemic acquired resistance (Cameron et al., 1994). Furthermore, the Arabidopsis mutant *npr1*, in which SA- and pathogen-induced PR gene induction are abolished, has an intact HR in response to P.s.maculicola (avrRpt2) (Cao et al., 1994). These observations are consistent with the notion that the signal perceived by an R gene product is transduced via two separate pathways, namely an HR pathway and a defense gene activation pathway, although cross-talk may exist between the two pathways.

During *Pto*-mediated resistance responses, the pathways leading to HR and defense gene activation are likely to bifurcate after Pto. Figure 8 depicts our current model for the Pto signaling pathway. In this model, the AvrPto protein is secreted by *Pseudomonas* directly into plant cells and physically interacts with the cytoplasmic kinase Pto (Scofield *et al.*, 1996; Tang *et al.*, 1996). The physical interaction of Pto and AvrPto determines the specific recognition between plants with the *Pto* gene and *Pseudomonas* bacteria carrying *avrPto*. This recognition event, which may also involve Prf, activates the Pto kinase which in turn phosphorylates and activates diverse downstream target proteins, each with a unique role in the resistance responses. We have shown previously that Pti1 is involved in the HR mediated by Pto-avrPto recognition. The Pti4/5/6 proteins described here may represent components involved in a separate pathway governing defense gene expression. The occurrence of transcription factors directly downstream of the R gene product Pto was unexpected; however, it is not unprecedented. A similar situation occurs in the JAKs/STATs pathway governing mammalian cytokine-activated gene expression (reviewed by Ihle, 1996). JAKs are cytokine receptorassociated tyrosine kinases that phosphorylate STAT transcription factors upon cytokine activation. The phosphorylated STATs are then translocated into the nucleus where they activate interferon-responsive genes. Interestingly, in common with the two separate pathways that occur downstream of Pto, JAKs are also responsible for the activation of the distinct ERK/MAPK pathway by cytokines (reviewed by Briscoe et al., 1996; Winston and Hunter, 1996).

Transcription factors are often regulated by phosphorylation. For example, activation of the mammalian transcription factor c-Jun by UV light and several other stress conditions requires the phosphorylation of two serine residues in the c-Jun transactivation domain by the JNK protein kinase (Hibi et al., 1993). This phosphorylation is mediated by the direct interaction of c-Jun and JNK. Binding of Pti4/5/6 to the PR box does not appear to require phosphorylation, as shown by the DNA-binding activity of the E.coli-expressed proteins. However, phosphorylation of specific residues may affect nuclear localization, transcriptional activation or the interaction of Pti4/5/6 with other proteins (Hill and Treisman, 1995). The interaction of the Pto kinase with Pti4/5/6 and EREBP-2 suggests that phosphorylation of Pti4/5/6 and EREBPs may be required for their in vivo activity. It is noteworthy that a serine residue conserved in the putative activation domain and two threonine residues in the DNAbinding domain are conserved among Pti4/5/6 and the EREBPs. Whether these residues are phosphorylated by Pto and contribute to the activity of these transcription factors remains to be determined.

Materials and methods

Yeast two-hybrid interaction, cDNA library screening, DNA blot analysis, sequencing analysis

These experiments were performed as described previously (Zhou et al., 1995).

Isolation of EREBP-1 and EREBP-2 from tobacco

The *EREBP-1* and *EREBP-2* cDNAs were amplified from tobacco W-38 using RT–PCR. An upstream primer 5'-AACCCGGGCAAAATGAAT-CAACCA-3' and a downstream primer 5'-ACTCTCGAGCTCAAGAG-TACCAA-3' were used to amplify *EREBP-1*. The upstream primer 5'-CTGAATTCATGTATCAACCAATTTCGACC-3' and the downstream primer 5'-ACTCTCGAGCAAAGTGGAGTAGTT-3' were used to amplify *EREBP-2*. Total RNA was isolated from leaves that had been injected with 10⁸ c.f.u./ml of *P.s.tabaci* (*avrPto*) 6 h post-inoculation. Five µg of total RNA were first transcribed *in vitro* using the downstream primers, and the cDNA was amplified subsequently by a standard PCR using both upstream and downstream primers. The PCR product of *EREBP-1* cDNA was digested with *Smal* and *Xhol*, and ligated into the corresponding sites of pBluescript SK(–) (Stratagene), whereas the PCR

product of *EREBP-2* cDNA was digested with *Eco*RI and *Xho*I, and ligated into the corresponding sites of pBluescript SK(–). The nucleotide sequences of *EREBP-1* and *EREBP-2* cDNA clones were verified by DNA sequencing analysis.

Expression of GST fusion proteins in E.coli

The Ptil cDNA was removed from the GST-Ptil fusion plasmid (Zhou et al., 1995) with EcoRI and XhoI and replaced with cDNA inserts of Pti4/5/6 or EREBP-2 to create GST-Pti4/5/6 or GST-EREBP-2 fusion constructs. Pti4 cDNAs (nucleotides 13-993) and Pti5 cDNA (nucleotides 82-782) were excised from pJG4-5 with EcoRI and XhoI before ligation into the pGEX vector. The full-length Pti6 insert was PCR amplified using the full-length Pti6 cDNA clone in pBluescript SK (-) (Stratagene) as a template and the upstream primer 5'-GAGAATTCATGACGG-AAAATTCAG-3' and the T7 primer 5'-AATACGACTCACTATAG-3'. The PCR product was first partially digested with EcoRI and then digested completely with XhoI before being inserted into the GST expression vector. The PCR-amplified EREBP-2 cDNA (see above) was digested with EcoRI and XhoI and inserted into the same sites of the GST expression vector. The resulting constructs were introduced into E.coli strain PR745 (lon- New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), and GST fusion proteins were expressed and purified as described (Guan and Dixon, 1991).

Gel mobility-shift assay

The wild-type gln2 PR box 2×(CATAAGAGCCGCCACTAAAAT-AAGACCGATCAAATAAGAGCC GCCAT) and mutated PR box 2×(CATAAGATCCTCCACTAAAATAAGACCGATCAAATAAGATC-CTCCAT) (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995) were end labeled by ³²P as described (Ausubel *et al.*, 1994). Four fmol of probe were mixed with each of the purified GST fusion proteins in a buffer containing 2 μ g of poly(dA–dT)·(dA–dT), 25 mM HEPES (pH7.5), 40 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and 1 mM dithiothreitol, incubated at room temperature for 15 min, and electrophoresed on a 4% polyacrylamide gel in 0.25× TBE buffer (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995). The gel subsequently was dried and exposed to X-ray film.

Interaction of Pto with EREBP-2

EREBP-2 cDNA was digested with *Eco*RI and *Xho*I and inserted into the same sites on the prey vector pJG4-5. The resulting construct was introduced into EGY48 cells containing the various baits to test the activation of the *lacZ* and *LEU2* genes (Golemis *et al.*, 1994).

Plant inoculation and RNA blot analysis

Leaves of 7-week-old tobacco plants were injected with *P.s.tabaci* strain 11528R race 0 or the same strain carrying pPTE6 (Ronald *et al.*, 1992) at 10^6 or 10^8 c.f.u./ml, harvested at various time points following inoculation, and total RNA was extracted. Ten µg of RNA per sample were separated on 1% formaldehyde agarose gel, and duplicate RNA blots were hybridized to the following probes as described (Zhou *et al.*, 1995): *PRP1* (Payne *et al.*, 1989), *CHN50* (Fukuda *et al.*, 1991) and *Osmotin* (Singh *et al.*, 1989).

Acknowledgements

We thank Roger Brent for the two-hybrid system, Russ Finley for helpful advice, and Ray Bressan for *Osmotin* and *CHN50* cDNAs. For critical reading of the manuscript we thank Ray Bressan, Reid Frederick, Stan Gelvin, Peter Goldsbrough and Charles Woloshuk. This research was supported by National Science Foundation grant MCB-96-30635 (G.B.M.) and a David and Lucile Packard Foundation Fellowship (G.B.M.).

References

- Albani, D., Sardana, R., Robert, L.S., Altosaar, I., Arnison, P.G. and Fabijanski, S.F. (1992) A *Brassica napus* gene family which shows sequence similarity to ascorbate oxidase is expressed in developing pollen: molecular characterization and analysis of promoter activity in transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant J.*, 2, 331–342.
- Alexander, D., Goodman, R.M., Gut-Rella, M., Glascock, C., Weymann, K., Friedrich, L., Maddox, D., Ahl-Goy, P., Luntz, T., Ward, E. and Ryals, J. (1993) Increased tolerance to two oomycete pathogens in transgenic tobacco expressing pathogenesis-related protein 1a. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **90**, 7327–7331.

- Alonso,E., Niebel,F.d.C., Obregon,P., Gheysen,G., Inze,D., Van Montagu,M. and Castresana,C. (1995) Differential *in vitro* DNA binding activity to a promoter element of the *gn1* β-1,3-glucanase gene in hypersensitively reacting tobacco plants. *Plant J.*, **7**, 309–320.
- Ashfield,M., Hammond-Kosack,K.E., Harrison,K. and Jones,J.D.G. (1994) *Cf* gene-dependent induction of a β -1,3-glucanase promoter in tomato plants infected with *Cladosporium fulvum*. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interactions*, **7**, 645–657.
- Ausubel,F.M., Brent,R., Kingston,R.E., Moore,D.D., Seidmen,J.G., Smith,J.A. and Struhl,K. (1994) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. Greene Publish Associates/John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Bell,J.N., Ryder,T.B., Wingate,V.P.M., Bailey,J.A. and Lamb,C.J. (1986) Differential accumulation of plant defense gene transcripts in a compatible and incompatible plant-pathogen interaction. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 6, 1615–1623.
- Bent,A.F., Innes,R.W., Ecker,J.R. and Staskawicz,B.J. (1992) Disease development in ethylene-insensitive *Arabidopsis thaliana* infected with virulent and avirulent *Pseudomonas* and *Xanthomonas* pathogens. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interactions*, **5**, 372–378.
- Bol,J.F., Linthorst,H.J.M. and Cornelissen,B.J.C. (1990) Plant pathogenesis-related proteins induced by virus infection. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, **28**, 113–138.
- Boller, T. and Vogeli, U. (1984) Vacuolar localization of ethylene-induced chitinase in bean leaves. *Plant Physiol.*, **74**, 442–444.
- Bowles, D.J. (1990) Defense-related proteins in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Biochem., 59, 873–907.
- Bowling,S.A., Guo,A., Cao,H., Gordon,A.S., Klessig,D.F. and Dong,X. (1994) A mutation in *Arabidopsis* that leads to constitutive expression of systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell*, 6, 1845–1857.
- Briscoe, J., Kohlhuber, F. and Muller, M. (1996) JAKs and STATs branch out. *Trends Cell Biol.*, **6**, 336–340.
- Broglie,K.E., Biddle,P., Cressman,R. and Broglie,R. (1989) Functional analysis of DNA sequences responsible for ethylene regulation of a bean chitinase gene in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Cell*, 1, 599–607.
- Broglie,K., Chet,I., Holliday,M., Cressman,R., Biddle,P., Knowlton,S., Mauvais,C.J. and Broglie,R. (1991) Transgenic plants with enhanced resistance to the fungal pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Science*, 254, 1194–1197.
- Cameron, R.K., Dixon, R.A. and Lamb, C.J. (1994) Biologically induced systemic acquired resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.*, 5, 715–725.
- Cao, H., Bowling, S.A., Gordon, A.S. and Dong, X. (1994) Characterization of an *Arabidopsis* mutant that is nonresponsive to inducers of systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell*, 6, 1583–1592.
- Castresana,C., de Carvalho,F., Gheysen,G., Habets,M., Inze,D. and Van Montagu,M. (1990) Tissue-specific and pathogen-induced regulation of a *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* β-1,3-glucanase gene. *Plant Cell*, 2, 1131–1143.
- Choudhary,A.D., Lamb,C.J. and Dixon,R.A. (1990) Stress responses in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) VI. Differential responsiveness of chalcone synthase induction to fungal elicitor or glutathione in electroporated protoplasts. *Plant Physiol.*, **94**, 1802–1807.
- Cutt, J.R. and Klessig, D.F. (1992) Pathogenesis-related proteins. In Boller, T. and Meins, F. (eds), *Genes Involved in Plant Defense*. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 209–243.
- da Costa e Silva,O., Klein,L., Schmelzer,E., Trezzini,G.F. and Hahlbrock,K. (1993) BPF-1, a pathogen-induced DNA-binding protein involved in the plant defense response. *Plant J.*, 4, 125–135.
- Danhash, N., Wagemakers, C.A.M., van Kan, J.A.L. and de Wit, P.J.G.M. (1993) Molecular characterization of four chitinase cDNAs obtained from *Cladosporium fulvum*-infected tomato. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 22, 1017–1029.
- Delaney, T.P., Friedrich, L. and Ryals, J.A. (1995) *Arabidopsis* signal transduction mutant defective in chemically and biologically induced disease resistance. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **92**, 6602–6606.
- Despres, C., Subramaniam, R., Matton, D.P. and Brisson, N. (1995) The activation of the potato PR-10a gene requires phosphorylation of the nuclear factor PBF-1. *Plant Cell*, 7, 589–598.
- Dietrich,R.A., Delaney,T.P., Uknes,S.J., Ward,E.R., Ryals,J.A. and Dangl,J.L. (1994) *Arabidopsis* mutants simulating disease resistance response. *Cell*, **77**, 565–577.
- Dingwall,C. and Laskey,R.A. (1991) Nuclear targeting sequences—a consensus? *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 16, 478–481.
- Dixon,R.A. and Lamb,C.J. (1990) Molecular communication in interactions between plants and microbial pathogens. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 41, 339–367.

- Dong,X., Mindrinos,M., Davis,K.R. and Ausubel,F.M. (1991) Induction of *Arabidopsis* defense genes by virulent and avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae* strains and by a cloned avirulence gene. *Plant Cell*, **3**, 61–72.
- Ecker, J.R. and Davis, R.W. (1987) Plant defense genes are regulated by ethylene. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **84**, 5202–5206.
- Elliott,R.C., Betzner,A.S., Huttner,E., Oakes,M.P., Tucker,W.Q.J., Gerentes,D., Perez,P. and Smyth,D.R. (1996) AINTEGUMENTA, an APETALA2-like gene of Arabidopsis with pleiotropic roles in ovule development and floral organ growth. Plant Cell, 8, 155–168.
- Flor,H.H. (1971) Current status of gene-for-gene concept. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 9, 275–296.
- Fukuda, Y., Ohme, M. and Shinshi, H. (1991) Gene structure and expression of a tobacco endochitinase gene in suspension-cultured tobacco cells. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 16, 1–10.
- Gheysen,G., Inze,D., Soetaert,P., Van Montagu,M. and Castresana,C. (1990) Sequence of a *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* β(1,3)-glucanase gene encoding a vacuolar isoform. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **18**, 6685.
- Goldsbrough, A.P., Albrecht, H. and Stratford, R. (1993) Salicylic acidinducible binding of a tobacco nuclear protein to a 10 bp sequence which is highly conserved amongst stress-inducible genes. *Plant J.*, 3, 563–571.
- Golemis,E.A., Gyuris,J. and Brent,R. (1994) Interaction trap/two-hybrid system to identify interacting proteins. In Ausubel,F.M., Brent,R., Kingston,R.E., Moore,D.D., Seidman,J.G., Smith,J.A. and Struhl,K. (eds), *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. Greene Publishing Associates/John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 13.14.1–13.14.17.
- Greenberg,J.T., Guo,A., Klessig,D.F. and Ausubel,F.M. (1994) Programmed cell death in plants: a pathogen-triggered response activated coordinately with multiple defense functions. *Cell*, **77**, 551–563.
- Guan,K.-L. and Dixon,J.E. (1991) Eukaryotic proteins expressed in *Escherichia coli*: an improved thrombin cleavage and purification of fusion proteins with glutathione S-transferase. *Anal. Biochem.*, **192**, 262–267.
- Hart,C.M., Nagy,F. and Meins,F.,Jr (1993) A 61 bp enhancer element of the tobacco β-1,3-glucanase B gene interacts with one or more regulated nuclear proteins. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **21**, 121–131.
- Harter,K., Kircher,S., Frohnmeyer,H., Krenz,M., Nagy,F. and Schafer,E. (1994) Light-regulated modification and nuclear translocation of cytosolic G-box binding factors in parsley. *Plant Cell*, 6, 545–559.
- Hennig,J., Dewey,R.E., Cutt,J.R. and Klessig,D.F. (1993) Pathogen, salicylic acid and developmental dependent expression of a β -1,3-glucanase/GUS gene fusion in transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant J.*, **4**, 481–493.
- Herbers, K., Meuwly, P., Frommer, W.B., Metraux, J.-P. and Sonnewald, U. (1996) Systemic acquired resistance mediated by the ectopic expression of invertase: possible hexose sensing in the secretory pathway. *Plant Cell*, 8, 793–803.
- Hibi,M., Lin,A., Smeal,T., Minden,A. and Karin,M. (1993) Identification of an oncoprotein- and UV-responsive protein kinase that binds and potentiates the c-Jun activation domain. *Genes Dev.*, 7, 2135–2148.
- Hill,C.S. and Treisman,R. (1995) Transcriptional regulation by extracellular signals: mechanisms and specificity. *Cell*, 80, 199–211.
- Hunter, T. and Karin, M. (1992) The regulation of transcription by phosphorylation. *Cell*, **70**, 375–587.
- Ihle,J.N. (1996) STATs: signal transducers and activators of transcription. *Cell*, 84, 331–334.
- Jach,G., Gornhardt,B., Mundy,J., Logemann,J., Pinsdorf,E., Leah,R., Schell,J. and Maas,C. (1995) Enhanced quantitative resistance against fungal disease by combinatorial expression of different barley antifungal proteins in transgenic tobacco. *Plant J.*, 8, 97–109.
- Jakobek, J.L. and Lindgren, P.B. (1993) Generalized induction of defense responses in bean is not correlated with the induction of the hypersensitive reaction. *Plant Cell*, 5, 49–56.
- Jia,Y., Loh,Y.-T., Zhou,J. and Martin,G.B. (1997) Alleles of *Pto* and *Fen* occur in bacterial speck-susceptible and fenthion-insensitive tomato lines and encode functional protein kinases. *Plant Cell*, 9, 61–73.
- Jofuku,K.D., den Boer,B.G.W., Van Montagu,M. and Okamura,J.K. (1994) Control of Arabidopsis flower and seed development by the homeotic gene APETALA2. Plant Cell, 6, 1211–1225.
- Jongedijk,E., Tigelaar,H., van Roakel,J.S.C., Bres-Vloemans,S.A., Dekker,I., van den Elzen,P.J.M., Cornelissen,B.J.C. and Melchers,L.S. (1995) Synergistic activity of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase enhances fungal resistance in transgenic tomato plants. *Euphytica*, **85**, 173–180.

- Kieber,J.J., Rothenberg,M., Roman,G., Feldmann,K.A. and Ecker,J.R. (1993) *CTR1*, a negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway in *Arabidopsis*, encodes a member of the Raf family of protein kinases. *Cell*, **72**, 427–441.
- Kiedrowski,S., Kawalleck,P., Hahlbrock,K., Somssich,I.E. and Dangl,J.L. (1992) Rapid activation of a novel plant defense gene is strictly dependent on the *Arabidopsis RPM1* resistance locus. *EMBO J.*, **11**, 4677–4684.
- Klimczak,L.J., Schindler,U. and Cashmore,A.R. (1992) DNA-binding activity of the *Arabidopsis* G-box binding factor GBF1 is stimulated by phosphorylation by casein kinase II from broccoli. *Plant Cell*, 4, 87–98.
- Klimczak,L.J., Collinge,M.A., Farini,D., Giuliano,G., Walker,J.C. and Cashmore,A.R. (1995) Reconstitution of *Arabidopsis* casein kinase II from recombinant subunits and phosphorylation of transcription factor GBF1. *Plant Cell*, 7, 105–115.
- Klucher,K.M., Chow,H., Reiser,L. and Fischer,R.L. (1996) The *AINTEGUMENTA* gene of *Arabidopsis* required for ovule and female gametophyte development is related to the floral homeotic gene *APETALA2. Plant Cell*, **8**, 137–153.
- Korfhage,U., Trezzini,G.F., Meier,I., Hahlbrock,K. and Somssich,I.E. (1994) Plant homeodomain protein involved in transcriptional regulation of a pathogen defense-related gene. *Plant Cell*, 6, 695–708.
- Lamb,C.J., Lawton,M.A., Dron,M. and Dixon,R.A. (1989) Signals and transduction mechanisms for activation of plant defenses against microbial attack. *Cell*, 56, 215–224.
- Latchman, D.S. (1995) *Eukaryotic Transcription Factors*. 2nd edn. Academic Press/Hartcourt Brace and Company.
- Lawton,K.A., Potter,S.L., Uknes,S. and Ryals,J. (1994) Acquired resistance signal transduction in *Arabidopsis* is ethylene independent. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 581–588.
- Linthorst,H.J.M. (1991) Pathogenesis-related proteins of plants. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci., 10, 123–150.
- Liu, D., Raghothama, K.G., Hasegawa, P.M. and Bressan, R.A. (1994) Osmotin overexpression in potato delays development of disease symptoms. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **91**, 1888–1892.
- Liu, D., Narasimhan, M.L., Xu, Y., Raghothama, K.G., Hasegawa, P.M. and Bressan, R.A. (1995) Fine structure and function of the osmotin gene promoter. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 29, 1015–1026.
- Lois, R., Dietrich, A., Hahlbrock, K. and Schulz, W. (1989) A phenylalanine ammonia-lyase from parsley: structure, regulation and identification of elicitor and light responsive *cis*-acting elements. *EMBO J.*, **8**, 1641–1648.
- Martin,G.B. (1995) Molecular cloning of plant disease resistance genes. In Stacey,G. and Keen,N. (eds), *Plant–Microbe Interactions*. Chapman & Hall Publishers, New York, Vol. I, pp. 1–32.
- Martin,G.B., Brommonschenkel,S., Chunwongse,J., Frary,A., Ganal, M.W., Spivey,R., Wu,T., Earle,E.D. and Tanksley,S.D. (1993) Mapbased cloning of a protein kinase gene conferring disease resistance in tomato. *Science*, **262**, 1432–1436.
- Martin,G.B., Frary,A., Wu,T., Brommonschenkel,S., Chunwongse,J., Earle,E.D. and Tanksley,S.D. (1994) A member of the tomato *Pto* gene family confers sensitivity to fenthion resulting in rapid cell death. *Plant Cell*, 6, 1543–1552.
- Mauch,F. and Staehelin,L.A. (1989) Functional implications of the subcellular localization of ethylene-induced chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in bean leaves. *Plant Cell*, **1**, 447–457.
- Mauch,F., Mauch-Mani,B. and Boller,T. (1988) Antifungal hydrolases in pea tissue: II. Inhibition of fungal growth by combinations of chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase. *Plant Physiol.*, 88, 936–942.
- McCarty, D.R., Hattori, T., Carson, C.B., Vasil, V., Lazar, M. and Vasil, I.K. (1991) The *Viviparous-1* developmental gene of maize encodes a novel transcriptional activator. *Cell*, **66**, 895–905.
- Melchers,L.S., Apotheker-de Groot,M., van der Knaap,J.A., Ponstein,A.S., Sela-Buurlage,M.B., Bol,J.F., Cornelissen,B.J.C., van den Elzen,P.J.M. and Linthorst,H.J.M. (1994) A new class of tobacco chitinases homologous to bacterial exo-chitinases displays antifungal activity. *Plant J.*, 5, 469–480.
- Meller, Y., Sessa, G., Eyal, Y. and Fluhr, R. (1993) DNA-protein interaction on a *cis*-DNA element essential for ethylene regulation. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 23, 453–463.
- Mittler, R., Shulaev, V. and Lam, E. (1995) Coordinated activation of programmed cell death and defense mechanisms in transgenic tobacco plants expressing a bacterial proton pump. *Plant Cell*, 7, 29–42.
- Mizukami, Y., Huang, H., Tudor, M., Hu, Y. and Ma, H. (1996) Functional domains of the floral regulator AGAMOUS: characterization of the

DNA binding domain and analysis of dominant negative mutations. *Plant Cell*, **8**, 831–845.

- Niderman, T., Genetet, I., Bruyere, T., Gees, R., Stintzi, A., Legrand, M., Fritig, B. and Mosinger, E. (1995) Pathogenesis-related PR-1 proteins are antifungal: isolation and characterization of three 14-kilodalton proteins of tomato and of a basic PR-1 of tobacco with inhibitory activity against *Phytophthora infestans*. *Plant Physiol.*, **108**, 17–27.
- Ohme-Takagi, M. and Shinshi, H. (1990) Structure and expression of a tobacco β -1,3-glucanase gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **15**, 941–946.
- Ohme-Takagi, M. and Shinshi, H. (1995) Ethylene-inducible DNAbinding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. *Plant Cell*, **7**, 173–182.
- Ohshima, M., Itoh, H., Matsuoka, M., Murakami, T. and Ohashi, Y. (1990) Analysis of stress-induced or salicylic acid-induced expression of the pathogenesis-related 1a protein gene in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Cell*, 2, 95–106.
- Payne,G., Middlsteadt,W., Desai,N., Williams,S., Dincher,S., Carnes,M. and Ryals,J. (1989) Isolation and sequence of a genomic clone encoding the basic form of pathogenesis-related protein 1 from *Nicotiana tabacum. Plant Mol. Biol.*, **12**, 595–596.
- Raz, V. and Fluhr, R. (1992) Calcium requirement for ethylene-dependent responses. *Plant Cell*, 4, 1123–1130.
- Reuber, T.L. and Ausubel, F.M. (1996) Isolation of *Arabidopsis* genes that differentiate between resistance responses mediated by the *RPS2* and *RPM1* disease resistance genes. *Plant Cell*, **8**, 241–249.
- Rommens, C.M.T., Salmeron, J.M., Oldroyd, G.E.D. and Staskawicz, B.J. (1995) Interspecific transfer and functional expression of the tomato disease resistance gene Pto. *Plant Cell*, 7, 1537–1544.
- Ronald,P.C., Salmeron,J.M., Carland,F.M. and Staskawicz,B.J. (1992) The cloned avirulence gene *avrPto* induces disease resistance in tomato cultivars containing the *Pto* resistance gene. *J. Bacteriol.*, **174**, 1604–1611.
- Salmeron,J.M., Barker,S.J., Carland,F.M., Mehta,A.Y. and Staskawicz, B.J. (1994) Tomato mutants altered in bacterial disease resistance provide evidence for a new locus controlling pathogen recognition. *Plant Cell*, 6, 511–520.
- Salmeron, J.M., Oldroyd, G.E.D., Rommens, C.M.T., Scofield, S.R., Kim, H.-S., Lavelle, D.T., Dahlbeck, D. and Staskawicz, B. J. (1996) Tomato *Prf* is a member of the leucine-rich-repeat class of plant disease resistance genes and lies embedded within the *Pto* kinase gene cluster. *Cell*, 86, 123–133.
- Samac,D.A., Hironaka,C.M., Yallaly,R.E. and Shah,D.M. (1990) Isolation and characterization of the genes encoding basic and acidic chitinase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol.*, **93**, 907–914.
- Sato,F., Kitajima,S., Koyama,T. and Yamada,Y. (1996) Ethylene-induced gene expression of osmotin-like protein, a neutral isoform of tobacco PR-5, is mediated by the AGCCGCC *cis*-sequence. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 37, 249–255.
- Scofield,S.R., Tobias,C.M., Rathjen,J.P., Chang,J.H., Lavelle,D.T., Michelmore,R.W. and Staskawicz,B.J. (1996) Molecular basis of genefor-gene specificity in bacterial speck disease of tomato. *Science*, 274, 2063–2065.
- Sela-Buurlage,M., Ponstein,A.S., Bres-Vloemans,S.A., Melchers,L.S., van den Elzen,P.J.M. and Cornelissen,B.J.C. (1993) Only specific tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chitinases and β-1,3-glucanases exhibit antifungal activity. *Plant Physiol.*, **101**, 857–863.
- Sessa,G., Meller,Y. and Fluhr,R. (1995) A GCC element and a G-box motif participate in ethylene-induced expression of the *PRB-1b* gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 28, 145–153.
- Shinshi,H., Neuhaus,J.-M., Ryals,J. and Meins,F.,Jr (1990) Structure of a tobacco endochitinase gene: evidence that different chitinase genes can arise by transposition of sequences encoding a cysteine-rich domain. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 14, 357–368.
- Showalter,A.M., Bell,J.N., Cramer,C.L., Bailey,J.A., Varner,J.E. and Lamb,C.J. (1985) Accumulation of hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein mRNAs in response to fungal elicitor and infection. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 82, 6551–6555.
- Singh,N.K., Nelson,D.E., Kuhn,D., Hasegawa,P.M. and Bressan,R.A. (1989) Molecular cloning of osmotin and regulation of its expression by ABA and adaptation to low water potential. *Plant Physiol.*, **90**, 1096–1101.
- Sperisen,C., Ryals,J. and Meins,F. (1991) Comparison of cloned genes provides evidence for intergenomic exchange of DNA in the evolution of a tobacco glucan endo-1,3-β-glucosidase gene family. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **88**, 1820–1824.

- Stanford, A., Bevan, M. and Northcote, D. (1988) Differential expression within a family of novel wound-induced genes in potato. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 215, 200–208.
- Tang,X., Frederick,R.D., Zhou,J., Halterman,D.A., Jia,Y. and Martin,G.B. (1996) Initiation of plant disease resistance by physical interaction of AvrPto and the Pto kinase. *Science*, **274**, 2060–2063.
- Thilmony, R.L., Chen, Z., Bressan, R.A. and Martin, G.B. (1995) Expression of the tomato *Pto* gene in tobacco enhances resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tabaci* expressing *avrPto*. *Plant Cell*, **7**, 1529–1536.
- van Buuren, M., Neuhaus, J.-M., Shinshi, H., Ryals, J. and Meins, F., Jr (1992) The structure and regulation of homologous tobacco endochitinase genes of *Nicotiana sylvestris* and *N.tomentosiformis* origin. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **232**, 460–469.
- van de Rhee, M.D. and Bol, J.F. (1993) Induction of the tobacco *PR-1a* gene by virus infection and salicylate treatment involves an interaction between multiple regulatory elements. *Plant J.*, **3**, 71–82.
- Wanner, L.A., Li., G., Ware, D., Somssich, I.E. and Davis, K.R. (1995) The phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 27, 327–338.
- Ward, E.R., Uknes, S.J., Williams, S.C., Dincher, S.S., Wiederhold, D.L., Alexander, D.C., Ahl-Goy, P., Metraux, J.-P. and Ryals, J.A. (1991) Coordinate gene activity in response to agents that induce systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell*, **3**, 1085–1094.
- Wilson, K., Long, D., Swinburne, J. and Coupland, G. (1996) A *Dissociation* insertion causes a semidominant mutation that increases expression of *TINY*, an *Arabidopsis* gene related to *APETALA2*. *Plant Cell*, 8, 659–671.
- Winston,L.A. and Hunter,T. (1996) Intracellular signaling: putting JAKs on the kinase MAP. Curr. Biol., 6, 668–671.
- Wubben,J.P., Lawrence,C.B. and de Wit,P.J.G.M. (1996) Differential induction of chitinase and 1,3-β-glucanase gene expression in tomato by *Cladosporium fulvum* and its race-specific elicitors. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 48, 105–116.
- Yu,L.M., Lamb,C.J. and Dixon,R.A. (1993) Purification and biochemical characterization of proteins which bind to the H-box *cis*-element implicated in transcriptional activation of plant defense genes. *Plant J.*, **3**, 805–816.
- Zhou, J., Loh, Y.-T., Bressan, R.A. and Martin, G. (1995) The tomato gene *Pti1* encodes a serine/threonine kinase that is phosphorylated by Pto and is involved in the hypersensitive response. *Cell*, **83**, 925–935.
- Zhu,B., Chen,T.H.H. and Li,P.H. (1995) Activation of two osmotin-like protein genes by abiotic stimuli and fungal pathogen in transgenic potato plants. *Plant Physiol.*, **108**, 929–937.
- Zhu,Q., Maher,E.A., Masoud,S., Dixon,R.A. and Lamb,C.J. (1994) Enhanced protection against fungal attack by constitutive coexpression of chitinase and glucanase genes in transgenic tobacco. *Bio/Technology*, **12**, 807–812.

Received on December 5, 1996; revised on February 13, 1997