# Mapping of the functional determinants of the integrin $\beta_1$ cytoplasmic domain by site-directed mutagenesis

Eugene E. Marcantonio\*†, Jun-Lin Guan\*, Jane E. Trevithick\*, and Richard O. Hynes\*‡§ \*Center for Cancer Research and ‡Howard Hughes Medical Institute Department of Biology Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

We describe here the expression of deletion mutants of the cytoplasmic domain of the avian integrin  $\beta_1$  subunit. These mutants, which contain termination codons at positions 767, 776, 791, and 800, were transfected into mouse 3T3 cells to determine which sequences were essential for localization of integrins into focal contact sites. In all cases, highlevel expression of the truncated avian integrins was obtained. Heterodimers were formed between the exogenous truncated avian  $\beta_1$  subunits and endogenous mouse  $\alpha$  subunits, and these heterodimers were efficiently exported to the cell surface. The longest truncated  $\beta_1$  subunit tested, which is only four amino acids shorter than the wild type, does localize to focal contacts. In contrast,  $\beta_1$  subunits with moderately long truncations of the cytoplasmic domain failed to localize to focal contacts, including one which contains the consensus sequence for tyrosine phosphorylation. Surprisingly, a mutant subunit in which the bulk of the cytoplasmic domain was missing (but the segment nearest the membrane including the dibasic residues (RR) remained) did localize weakly to focal contacts. These results implicate the peptide segment nearest to the transmembrane region in focal contact localization. In addition, mutant subunits that included this segment together with a larger portion of the cytoplasmic domain did not localize as well as the shorter form, suggesting that these cytoplasmic domain segments are defective, presumably because of abnormal folding.

#### Introduction

Cellular interactions with the extracellular matrix (ECM) play an important role in many biological systems. ECM proteins provide important cues in many migratory pathways in development and in other processes such as normal wound healing, tumor cell metastasis, and invasion. For cells to utilize the cues provided by the ECM for migration and targetting, there must be receptors that recognize signals from the ECM. Among the receptors that bind ECM proteins are the integrin receptors, a family of transmembrane glycoproteins that interact with a wide variety of ligands, including extracellular matrix glycoproteins, complement, and membrane proteins (Hynes, 1987; Buck and Horwitz, 1987; Ruoslahti and Pierschbacher, 1987; Ruoslahti, 1988; Hemler, 1990). These receptors participate in cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion in a large number of physiologically important processes, including embryologic development and morphogenesis, hemostasis and thrombosis, wound healing, malignant transformation, and leukocyte helper and killer functions.

These receptors are transmembrane, noncovalently linked heterodimers with distinct  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  subunits. Integrins are found concentrated in focal contacts on the ventral cell surfaces of adherent cells, colocalizing with ECM proteins and with the cytoskeleton (Chen *et al.*, 1985; Damsky *et al.*, 1985; Dejanna *et al.*, 1988; Singer *et al.*, 1988). Integrins bind both to ECM molecules such as fibronectin (FN), laminin, vitronectin, and collagen (see reviews above) and to cytoskeletal proteins such as talin (Horwitz *et al.*, 1986; Tapley *et al.*, 1989). Intact heterodimers are required for binding to both ECM and cytoskeletal molecules (Buck *et al.*, 1986).

There are at least 5 homologous integrin  $\beta$  subunits and 11 different  $\alpha$  subunits. All classes of  $\beta$  subunits have a large extracellular domain, and most have a total of 56 conserved cysteine residues, including 4 cysteine-rich repeats. There are single transmembrane segments in all integrin subunits and generally a short cytoplasmic domain. Within the  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic

<sup>†</sup> Present address of E.E. Marcantonio: Department of Pathology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, 630 W. 168th St., New York, New York 10032.

<sup>§</sup> Corresponding author.

domain, there is a site for tyrosine phosphorylation (Hirst *et al.*, 1986; Tamkun *et al.*, 1986); we have shown that this domain is highly conserved in both vertebrates and invertebrates (DeSimone and Hynes, 1988; Marcantonio and Hynes, 1988). The cytoplasmic domains of integrins are involved in their interactions with the cytoskeleton, because deletion of the  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain blocks the ability of integrins to organize into focal contacts (Solowska *et al.*, 1989). This domain has been shown to interact with the protein fibulin, which also colocalizes in focal contact sites (Argraves *et al.*, 1989).

To study the structure-function relationships of various domains within the avian  $\beta_1$  subunit, we expressed this subunit in mouse 3T3 cells under the control of an SV40 early promoter (Solowska et al., 1989). This exogenous subunit was efficiently and stably expressed and formed heterodimers with the endogenous mouse  $\alpha$ subunits. Furthermore, these heterodimers are exported to the cell surface where they bind FN and localize to focal contact sites where both the extracellular matrix and the cytoskeleton associate with the plasma membrane. Thus, the exogenous avian  $\beta_1$  subunit appears to function together with the endogenous murine  $\alpha$  subunits, consistent with the high degree of sequence homology between murine and avian  $\beta_1$ subunits. Next we produced and expressed a mutant form of the avian  $\beta_1$  subunit lacking the entire cytoplasmic domain ( $\Delta 1$ ; Figure 1 below). This truncated  $\beta_1$  subunit formed heterodimers with endogenous  $\alpha$  subunits and was efficiently exported to the cell surface. These heterodimers bound to the cell-binding fragment of FN in an RGD-dependent manner, but did not localize to focal contact sites when the cells were plated on FN (Solowska et al., 1989). The results show that interaction with the extracellular matrix is not sufficient to maintain integrin in focal contact sites, but that cytoskeletal interaction, which is dependent on the  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain, is required. This is not in conflict with earlier data from other laboratories, which show that the precise nature of the ECM proteins to which cells attach determines which integrins enter focal contact sites (Singer et al., 1988; Dejanna et al., 1988). Rather, our data showed that the cytoplasmic domain and, presumably, cytoskeletal interactions are also necessary. Using site-directed mutagenesis, we now extend those studies with further mapping of the site necessary for focal contact localization within the integrin  $\beta_1$  subunit. A recently published paper used a similar approach (Havashi et al., 1990), but our data lead us to significantly different conclusions.

# Results

# **Expression of avian integrin** $\beta_1$ **mutants**

We decided to map the functional site within the integrin  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain by oligonucleotide-mediated site-directed mutagenesis. Mutants with termination codons placed at various sites within the  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain (Figure 1) were generated by the use of standard techniques (see Materials and methods). These mutants included deletions from residues 767



Figure 1. Deletions of the cytoplasmic domain of integrin  $\beta_1$ . Portions of the amino acid sequence of the transmembrane region and the cytoplasmic domain are shown. The amino acid position of the termination codon (\*) is shown in parentheses.

#### CELL REGULATION

 $(\Delta 2)$ , 776  $(\Delta 3)$ , 791  $(\Delta 4)$ , and 800  $(\Delta 5)$  and were isolated and subcloned into the expression vector pLEN as described in Materials and methods. The resulting plasmids were cotransfected with pSV2neo (Southern and Berg, 1982) into mouse NIH 3T3 cells; clones resistant to G418 were isolated and analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence with the use of a chickenspecific antiserum as described previously (Solowska et al., 1989). Positive clones were subcloned, and usually one subclone expressing a high level of avian  $\beta_1$  integrin, as determined by immunofluorescence, was expanded and further analyzed. In the case of mutant  $\Delta 2$ , multiple transfections were carried out and multiple positive subclones were analyzed.

The expression of the mutant avian integrins was further analyzed by surface labeling of transfected cells with <sup>125</sup>I. Detergent extracts were immunoprecipitated with several different antibodies (Figures 2 and 3). Antiserum raised against an integrin  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain peptide (Marcantonio and Hynes, 1988) reacts with the cytoplasmic domain of  $\beta_1$  subunits regard-



Figure 2. Immunoprecipitation of mouse and chicken integrins. Extracts of [125]-surface-labeled  $\Delta 2$  cells (left panel) and  $\Delta 3$  cells (right panel) were incubated with broad-spectrum anti- $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic peptide serum (a and f), antichicken  $\beta_1$  serum (b and g), or monoclonal anti-chicken  $\beta_1$ (CSAT) Sepharose (c and h). Immunoprecipitates were recovered either directly (CSAT) or indirectly by the use of protein A-Sepharose. In addition, a nondenatured extract of [125]-surface-labeled  $\Delta 2$  cells (d and e) was immunoprecipitated with CSAT monoclonal anti-chicken  $\beta_1$ -Sepharose. The recovered complexes were denatured in SDS, after which an excess of Triton X-100 was added. The denatured integrins were then incubated with anti- $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic peptide serum (d) or anti-chicken  $\beta_1$  serum (e). The samples were immunoprecipitated with the use of protein A-Sepharose and analyzed with the use of SDS-PAGE. Thus, the mutant integrins efficiently form heterodimers with endogenous mouse  $\alpha$  subunits and are expressed on the cell surface.



Figure 3. Immunoprecipitation of mouse and chicken integrins. Extracts of [<sup>125</sup>I]-surface-labeled  $\Delta 4$  cells (left panel) and  $\Delta 5$  cells (right panel) were incubated with broad-spectrum anti- $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic peptide serum (a and f), antichicken  $\beta_1$  serum (b and g), or monoclonal anti-chicken  $\beta_1$ (CSAT) Sepharose (c and h). Immunoprecipitates were recovered either directly (CSAT) or indirectly with the use of protein A-Sepharose. In addition, nondenatured extracts of <sup>125</sup>I]-surface-labeled  $\Delta 4$  cells (d and e) or  $\Delta 5$  cells (i and j) were immunoprecipitated with the use of CSAT monoclonal anti-chicken  $\beta_1$ -Sepharose. The recovered complexes were denatured in SDS, after which an excess of Triton X-100 was added. The denatured integrins were then incubated with anti- $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic peptide serum (d and i) or antichicken  $\beta_1$  serum (e and j). The samples were immunoprecipitated with protein A-Sepharose and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Thus, the mutant integrins efficiently form heterodimers with endogenous mouse  $\alpha$  subunits and are expressed on the cell surface.

less of species. Figures 2, a and f, and 3, a and f, show that this antibody precipitates  $\beta_1$  subunits together with  $\alpha$  subunits from all clones expressing mutant proteins. These  $\beta$  subunits represent the endogenous mouse subunits, because the avian subunits lack most of the cytoplasmic domain (see below). Immunoprecipitation with either a chicken-specific polyclonal antiserum (Figures 2, b and g, and 3, b and g) or with the chicken-specific monoclonal antibody CSAT (Figures 2, c and h, and 3, c and h) results in the precipitation of mutant avian  $\beta_1$ subunits with associated mouse  $\alpha$  subunits. The ratios of  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  subunits in the hybrid heterodimers involving chicken  $\beta_1$  were similar to those involving murine  $\beta_1$  (Figures 2 and 3). Thus, in all cases, heterodimers were formed between the exogenous mutant avian  $\beta_1$  subunits and endogenous  $\alpha$  subunits, and these hybrid heterodimers were efficiently exported to the cell surface. In addition, sequential immunoprecipitations were performed to prove that the mutant proteins were truly lacking cytoplasmic domain sequences. Cells expressing the deletion mutants  $\Delta 2$ ,  $\Delta 4$ , and  $\Delta 5$  were tested by

immunoprecipitation of detergent extracts with CSAT. The resulting precipitates were dissolved in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and reprecipitated with either anti-cytoplasmic peptide serum (Figures 2d and 3, d and i) or with chicken-specific polyclonal antibody prepared against the *entire*  $\beta_1$  subunit (Figures 2e and 3, e and j). Only deletion  $\Delta 5$ , which lacks only the C-terminal four amino acids, showed cross-reacting material with the cytoplasmic peptide antibody, whereas all subunits reacted with the antiserum against the entire subunit.

# Cellular localization of deletion mutants

We next examined the localization of mutant avian integrins by indirect immunofluorescence. Cells were grown on fibronectin-coated coverslips, and stained in double-label immunofluorescence with anti-vinculin to visualize focal contacts and a chicken-specific polyclonal antiserum to mark the location of hybrid integrins. Figure 4 shows the results of these experiments. Mutant proteins  $\Delta 3$  (c, c') and  $\Delta 4$  (d, d'), with moderately long truncations of the cytoplasmic domain, did not localize to focal contacts in 3T3 cells. The  $\Delta 4$  mutant protein includes the tyrosine, which can be phosphorylated in transformed cells, yet does not localize to focal contacts. The longest truncated form,  $\Delta 5$  (e, e'), which is only four amino acids shorter than the wild type, does localize to focal contacts but less well than the wild-type chicken  $\beta_1$  (a, a'). Interestingly, the mutant protein  $\Delta 2$ (b, b')---which lacks the bulk of the cytoplasmic domain but retains the segment nearest the membrane, including the dibasic residues (RR)-did localize to focal contacts, but also not as well as the wild-type (a, a'). Wild-type chicken  $\beta_1$  and both mutant forms ( $\Delta 2$  and  $\Delta 5$ ) localize both in focal contacts and along stress fibers as previously reported for chicken integrins. The pattern of localization of the  $\Delta 2$  mutant protein was a consistent finding seen with multiple primary clones isolated from the initial transfection. This integrin is indeed truncated because it does not react with antiserum against a cytoplasmic peptide (Figure 2). Furthermore, the mutagenized region of the plasmid pCINT  $\Delta 2$ was sequenced several times, and the position of the termination codon at residue 767 was confirmed. Last, we have repeated the transfection with this plasmid and have again isolated cells in which the  $\Delta 2$  integrin is weakly localized in focal contacts.

# Discussion

The experiments described here were designed to map the sequences within the avian integrin



Figure 4. Double-label immunofluorescence of cells expressing full-length avian integrin  $\beta_1$  subunit (a and a') and of cells expressing truncated avian  $\beta_1$  subunits  $\Delta 2$  (b and b'),  $\Delta 3$  (c and c'),  $\Delta 4$  (d and d'), and  $\Delta 5$  (e and e'). Cells were stained with a mixture of mouse antivinculin antibody (a'-e') and rabbit anti-chicken  $\beta_1$  serum (a-e) followed by visualization by the use of rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG. Note that  $\Delta 2$  and  $\Delta 5$  show weak focal contact localization of avian integrin, whereas  $\Delta 3$  and  $\Delta 4$  do not.

 $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain that are essential for the inclusion of this subunit in focal contacts. The aim was to determine the sequences that include the binding sites for cytoskeletal proteins within the focal contact, such as talin, which have been shown to interact with integrin in vitro

(Horwitz et al., 1986). Previously, we have characterized the heterologous expression in mouse 3T3 cells of both the wild-type avian integrin  $\beta_1$ subunit and a truncated avian integrin  $\beta_1$  with a termination codon at position 763, which lacked the entire cytoplasmic domain ( $\Delta 1$ ; Figure 1; Solowska et al., 1989). These exogenous subunits were efficiently expressed, formed heterodimers with endogenous mouse  $\alpha$  subunits, and were exported to the cell surface. Although both hybrid integrins bound to the cell-binding fragment of FN in an RGD-dependent manner, only the wild-type avian integrin  $\beta_1$  localized to focal contact sites where both the extracellular matrix and the cvtoskeleton associate with the plasma membrane; the truncated form did not. In the current study, we have transfected mouse 3T3 cells with integrin cDNAs containing deletion mutants of the cytoplasmic domain. These mutants contain termination codons at positions 767, 776, 791, and 800 (Figure 1). In all cases, high-level expression of the truncated avian integrins was obtained. Furthermore, heterodimers were formed between the exogenous truncated avian  $\beta_1$  subunits and endogenous mouse  $\alpha$  subunits, and these heterodimers were efficiently exported to the cell surface (Figures 2 and 3).

The cellular localizations of the truncated integrins (Figure 4) show an interesting but somewhat confusing finding. The longest mutated  $\beta_1$  subunit,  $\Delta 5$ , which is only four amino acids shorter than the wild type, does localize to focal contacts, albeit not as well as the intact form. Mutants  $\Delta 3$  and  $\Delta 4$ , with moderately long truncations of the cytoplasmic domain, did not localize to focal contacts; these results were not unexpected. However, the finding that the truncated mutant protein encoded by  $\Delta 2$ , in which the bulk of the cytoplasmic domain is missing but the segment nearest the membrane, including the RR, remains, did localize to focal contacts was guite surprising. The fact that the truncated mutant protein  $\Delta 1$  does not appear in focal contacts and  $\Delta 2$  does suggests that the peptide segment nearest to the transmembrane region is important in proper integrin-cytoskeletal interaction.

The data do not distinguish among several possible roles for the membrane-proximal segment. It could be necessary as a stop transfer signal ensuring proper insertion of the subunit in the membrane, for efficient  $\alpha\beta$  dimerization, or for interactions with other, perhaps cytoskeletal components. It is, in fact, not certain exactly where the chain emerges from the lipid bylayer.

Recently Havashi et al. (1990) described a similar study of the expression of deletion mutants of the avian integrin  $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain. Virtually all of their truncated integrins failed to localize to focal contact sites, with the exception of a truncation of the C-terminal five amino acids. These authors tentatively concluded that sequences between residues 788 and 798 (YKSAVTTVVNP) are required for focal contact localization and presumably for talin binding. Because in vitro binding studies have shown inhibition of talin binding to integrin by a decamer between residues 780 and 789 (WDTGENPIYK) (Taplev et al., 1989), they suggested that the YK and surrounding sequences are crucial, because a truncation at residue 788 (WDTGENPI) did not localize to focal contacts (Hayashi et al., 1990). Our results lead to quite different conclusions. The  $\Delta 4$  truncated protein terminates at residue 791 and includes the entire sequence of the decapeptide plus one additional amino acid (WDTGENPIYKS), yet does not localize to focal contacts. This sequence includes the consensus sequence for tyrosine phosphorylation (Tamkun et al., 1986), and indeed this tyrosine is phosphorylated in transformed cells (Hirst et al., 1986; Tapley et al., 1989). If the primary sequence were the main consideration, the data shown here would imply that the tyrosine and the surrounding region are not sufficient for proper focal contact localization, despite the fact that the  $\Delta 4$  mutant protein includes the putative talin binding site.

Table 1 summarizes our data and those of Hayashi et al. (1990). Taking each set of data in isolation, one comes to different conclusions. Hayashi et al. (1990) concluded from their data that the crucial segment lies between 788 and 798 based largely on the comparison of 788t and 799t. Similarly, based on a comparison between  $\Delta 4$  and  $\Delta 5$ , one might conclude that the crucial segment lies between 791 and 799, i.e., not including the tyrosine residue that is phosphorylated. However, a comparison between the results for  $\Delta 1$  or 765t and those for  $\Delta 2$  leads to the conclusion that the dibasic sequence RR (residues 765 and 766) is crucial and indeed is sufficient for some localization in focal contacts. How then can one explain the observation that the truncated integrins  $\Delta 3$  and  $\Delta 4$ , with longer cytoplasmic domains than  $\Delta 2$ , fail to localize to focal contacts? One possible explanation is that partial cytoplasmic domains (such as those of  $\Delta$ 3 and  $\Delta$ 4) are defective, presumably because of abnormal conformations, and interfere with the localization of the avian integrin in focal contacts. This domain becomes functional (or

$\beta_1$ Subunit	Termination codon	Heterodimer formation	Focal contact localization	Conclusion
Δ1*	763	+	_	Cytoplasmic domain is necessary
765t†	765	+	_	
Δ2	767	++	+	RR is necessary
$\Delta 3$	776	++	-	Partial cytoplasmic domains interfere
779t†	779	ND	-	
788t†	788	ND	_	
Δ <b>4</b>	791	++	_	
799t†	799	ND	+	Last 4–5 residues not essential but do
$\Delta 5$	800	++	+	enhance localization
Y-F‡	804	++	++	Y hydroxyl not necessary
WT*	804	++	++	

\* Data from Solowska et al. (1989).

† Data from Hayashi et al. (1990).

‡ Data from Hayashi et al. (1990) and our unpublished data.

+, partial; -, not detectable; ++, normal; RR, dibasic residues; ND, not done.

noninterfering) only when all but the last four or five amino acids are included (799t and  $\Delta$ 5 truncations). This explanation of these results also leads to the conclusion that one cannot rule out a role for the sequences between 767 and 791. because one would predict that the  $\Delta 3$  and  $\Delta 4$ truncated integrins should localize as well as  $\Delta 2$  if primary sequence were the only consideration.

Taking all the data together (Table 1) we come to the following conclusions.

1) The dibasic sequence R765 R766 is important for correct localization of integrins to focal contacts. It may also be important for efficient and/ or stable dimer formation because the  $\Delta 1$  truncated form produced a lower  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio than wildtype chicken  $\beta_1$  (Solowska *et al.*, 1989), whereas the  $\Delta 2$  truncation gave a normal ratio (Figure 2).

The hydroxyl residue of the tyrosine is not necessary for efficient dimer formation, export, or localization in focal contacts.

3) The last four or five amino acids do contribute somehow to focal contact localization, perhaps merely by promoting correct folding of the cytoplasmic domain.

4) Partially truncated forms ( $\Delta$ 3,  $\Delta$ 4, 779t, and 788t) are defective, presumably because of abnormal conformations of their partial cytoplasmic domains.

5) Therefore, one cannot definitively rule in or out other segments of the cytoplasmic domain as being important contributors to focal contact localization.

The difficulties in interpreting the properties of the various truncated forms are typical of deletion mutants and suggest that further analvses will require more subtle perturbations such as site-specific changes or generation of chimeric subunits.

#### Materials and methods

#### Site-directed mutagenesis and plasmid constructions

Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase, and polynucleotide kinase were from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). Standard recombinant DNA methods were used (Maniatis et al., 1982). Expression vectors encoding cytoplasmic domain deletion mutants of chicken integrin  $\beta_1$  were constructed as follows. A 3.15-kb EcoRI fragment containing the entire coding sequence for chicken integrin  $\beta_1$  was isolated from the cDNA clone 1D described previously (Tamkun et al., 1986). The ends were filled by the use of Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase and BamHI linkers were attached. This fragment was then digested with BamHI restriction enzyme and inserted into the expression vector pLEN (Neufeld et al., 1988) at the BamHI site. The 5' BamHI site was subsequently eliminated by partial digestion with BamHI, filling in of sticky ends, and religation of the linear form to generate plasmid pLEN XBCINT $\beta_1$ . This plasmid contains an internal BamHI site located 5' to the transmembrane domain and the 3' BamHI at the cloning site. It encodes a fully functional chicken integrin  $\beta_1$ , as tested in transfection experiments similar to those described previously for plasmid pCINT $\beta_1$  based on pESP-SVTEXP vector (Solowska et al., 1989).

pLEN XBCINT $\beta_1$  was used to generate deletion mutants in the cytoplasmic domain of the chicken integrin  $\beta_1$ . The 1.1-kb BamHI fragment was isolated from the plasmid pLENXBCINT B1 and inserted into M13 vector MP18 for mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by the method of Eckstein (Nakamaye and Eckstein, 1986) using an oligonucleotide-directed in vitro mutagenesis kit (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Oligonucleotides were purchased from Research Genetics (Birmingham, AL) and were purified with reverse-phase chromatography using OPC cartridges (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions. After mutagenesis,

the mutant DNA was confirmed by dideoxy sequencing (Sequenase; United States Biochemical, Cleveland, OH) using internal oligonucleotide primers. Termination codons were placed at residues 767 ( $\Delta$ 2), 776 ( $\Delta$ 3), 791 ( $\Delta$ 4), and 800 ( $\Delta$ 5). The mutagenized inserts were recovered by digestion with *Bam*HI followed by preparative gel electrophoresis and religated into pLENXBCINT $\beta_1$ , from which the corresponding *Bam*HI fragment had been removed, to reconstitute fullength cDNAs. The resulting plasmids, designated pCINT  $\Delta$ 2, pCINT  $\Delta$ 3, pCINT  $\Delta$ 4, and pCINT  $\Delta$ 5, were isolated by banding twice on CsCl gradients and were used for transfections.

# Transfection of 3T3 cells

NIH 3T3 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (GIBCO Laboratories, Grand Island, NY). Cells ( $5 \times 10^5$ ) plated the previous day were co-transfected with 20  $\mu$ g of chicken integrin plasmids and 2  $\mu$ g pSV2neo (Southern and Berg, 1982) as a calcium phosphate precipitate, as described previously (Solowska *et al.*, 1989). After 3 d, the cells were split 1:20 into DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 0.5 mg/ml G418 (Geneticin, GIBCO Laboratories). After 10–14 d, G418-resistant clones were isolated and screened by indirect immunofluorescence staining using chicken-specific anti- $\beta_1$  antiserum, as described previously (Solowska *et al.*, 1989). These positive clones were further subcloned on gelatin-coated dishes and analyzed by immunofluorescence as described (Solowska *et al.*, 1989).

## Antibodies

A polyclonal avian-specific anti-integrin antibody designated Chickie II was a gift of Clayton Buck (Wistar Institute) and has been described previously (Solowska *et al.*, 1989). A second chicken-specific rabbit anti- $\beta_1$  (366) serum was prepared by injection of SDS-gel purified chicken integrin complex and was kindly provided by Lisa Urry (Massachusetts Institute of Technology). CSAT monoclonal was prepared from hybridomas, and was coupled to protein A Sepharose (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) as described (Solowska *et al.*, 1989). Rabbit anti- $\beta_1$  cytoplasmic domain antibodies were prepared as described (Marcantonio and Hynes, 1988). Monoclonal anti-vinculin antibodies were purchased from Sigma Chemical.

#### Radiolabeling and immunoprecipitation

Cells were labeled with Na[<sup>125</sup>I] (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) and lactoperoxidase as a monolayer as described (Hynes, 1973). In each experiment,  $10^7$  cells and 1–2 mCi/ml were used. The cells were washed three times with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by extraction. Cells were extracted with 0.5% NP-40, and immunoprecipitation was performed as described (Marcantonio and Hynes, 1988).

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed by the method of Laemmli (1970). Separation gels were 7.0% acrylamide with a 3% stacking gel. Samples were prepared in sample buffer (5% SDS, 100 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris)-HCl, pH 6.8, 10 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, and bromphenol blue) and boiled for 3 min.

#### Immunofluorescence

Cells were plated in DMEM with 0.5% FCS overnight on coverslips previously coated with human plasma fibronectin (0.02 mg/ml). Cells were rinsed twice in PBS and fixed for

15 min in a freshly prepared 4% solution of paraformaldehyde (Fluka Chemical, Buchs, Switzerland) in PBS, rinsed and permeabilized with 0.5% NP-40 in PBS for 15 min. Cells were stained with primary antiserum in 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 30 min at 37°C. After three washes with PBS, the second antibody mixture (rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and fluorescein-conjugated goat antimouse IgG in 10% normal goat serum in PBS; Organon Teknika-Cappel, Malvern, PA) was added and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After three washes, coverslips were mounted in gelvatol and examined with an Axiophot microscope (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) and photographed (Tri-X film, Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

# Acknowledgments

We thank Lisa Urry and Clayton Buck for their respective polyclonal chicken-specific antisera and Colleen Mazzeo for manuscript preparation.

This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (RO1CA17007). Eugene E. Marcantonio was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the National Cancer Institute (F32CA08140), Jun-Lin Guan was supported by a fellowship from the Anna Fuller Fund, and Richard Hynes is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Received: April 16, 1990. Revised and accepted: June 7, 1990.

### References

Argraves, W.S., Dickerson, K., Burgess, W.H., and Ruoslahti, E. (1989). Fibulin, a novel protein that interacts with the fibronectin receptor  $\beta$  subunit cytoplasmic domain. Cell *58*, 623–629.

Buck, C.A., Shea, E., Duggan, K., and Horwitz, A.F. (1986). Integrin (the CSAT antigen): functionality requires oligomeric integrity. J. Cell Biol. *103*, 2421–2428.

Buck, C.A., and Horwitz, A.F. (1987). Cell surface receptors for extracellular matrix molecules. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. *3*, 179–205.

Chen, W.T., Hasegawa, T., Hasegawa, C., Weinstock, C., and Yamada, K.M. (1985). Development of cell surface linage complexes in cultivated fibroblasts. J. Cell Biol. *100*, 1103– 1114.

Damsky, C.M., Knudsen, K.A., Bradley, D., Buck, C.A., and Horwitz, A.F. (1985). Distribution of the CSAT cell-matrix antigen on myogenic and fibroblastic cells in culture. J. Cell Biol. *100*, 1528–1539.

Dejanna, E., Colella, S., Conforti, G., Abbadini, M., Gaboli, M., and Marchisio, P.C. (1988). Fibronectin and vitronectin regulate the organization of their respective Arg-Gly-Asp adhesion receptors in cultured human endothelial cells. J. Cell Biol. *107*, 1215–1223.

DeSimone, D.W., and Hynes, R.O. (1988). *Xenopus laevis* integrins: structural conservation and evolutionary divergence of integrin  $\beta$  subunits. J. Biol. Chem. *263*, 5333–5340.

Hayashi, Y., Haimovich, B., Rezka, A., Boettiger, D., and Horwitz, A. (1990). Expression and function of chicken integrin  $\beta_1$  subunit and its cytoplasmic domain mutants in mouse NIH 3T3 cells. J. Cell Biol. *110*, 175–184.

Hemler, M. (1990). VLA proteins in the integrin family: structures, functions, and their role on leukocytes. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *8*, 365–400.

E.E. Marcantonio et al.

Hirst, R., Horwitz, A., Buck, C., and Rohrschneider, L. (1986). Phosphorylation of the fibronectin receptor complex in cells transformed by oncogenes that encode tyrosine kinases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *83*, 6470–6474.

Horwitz, A., Duggan, E., Buck, C., Beckerle, M.C., and Burridge, K. (1986). Interaction of plasma membrane fibronectin receptor with talin-a transmembrane linkage. Nature *320*, 531–533.

Hynes, R.O. (1973). Alteration of cell-surface proteins by viral transformation and by proteolysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *70*, 3170–3174.

Hynes, R.O. (1987). Integrins: a family of cell surface receptors. Cell 48, 549–554.

Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of the bacteriophage T4. Nature *227*, 680–685.

Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F., and Sambrook, J. (1982). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Marcantonio, E.E., and Hynes, R.O. (1988). Antibodies to the conserved cytoplasmic domain of the integrin  $\beta_1$  subunit react with proteins in vertebrates, invertebrates and fungi. J. Cell Biol. *106*, 1765–1772.

Nakamaye, K.L., and Eckstein, F. (1986). Inhibition of restriction endonuclease *Nci* I cleavage by phosphorothioate groups and its application to oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. Nucleic Acids Res. *14*, 9679–9698.

Neufeld, G., Mitchell, R., Ponte, P., and Gospodarowicz, D. (1988). Expression of human basic fibroblast growth factor

cDNA in baby hamster kidney derived cells results in autonomous cell growth. J. Cell Biol. *106*, 1385–1394.

Ruoslahti, E., and Pierschbacher, M.D. (1987). New perspectives in cell adhesion: RGD and integrins. Science *238*, 491–497.

Ruoslahti, E. (1988). Fibronectin and its receptors. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *57*, 375–413.

Singer, I.I., Scott, S., Kawka, D.W., Kazazis, D.M., Gailit, J., and Ruoslahti, E. (1988). Cell surface distribution of fibronectin and vitronectin receptors depends on substrate composition and extracellular matrix accumulation. J. Cell Biol. *106*, 2171–2182.

Solowska, J., Guan, J-L., Marcantonio, E.E., Trevithick, J.E., Buck, C.A., and Hynes, R.O. (1989). Expression of normal and mutant avian integrin subunits in rodent cells. J. Cell Biol. *109*, 853–861.

Southern, P.J., and Berg, P. (1982). Transformation of mammalian cells to antibiotic resistance with a bacterial gene under control of the SV40 early region promoter. J. Mol. Appl. Genet. *1*, 327–341.

Tamkun, J.W., DeSimone, D.W., Fonda, D., Patel, R.S., Buck, C., Horwitz, A.F., and Hynes, R.O. (1986). Structure of integrin, a glycoprotein involved in the transmembrane linkage between fibronectin and actin. Cell *42*, 271–282.

Tapley, P., Horwitz, A.F., Buck, C.A., Burridge, K., Duggan, K., Hirst, R., and Rohrschneider, L. (1989). Analysis of the avian fibronectin receptor (integrin) as direct substrate for pp60 v-src. Oncogene *4*, 325–333.