Bonding and Fusion of Meniscus Fibrocartilage Using a Novel Chondroitin Sulfate Bone Marrow Tissue Adhesive

Jacob A. Simson, PhD,^{1,2} Iossif A. Strehin, PhD,^{1,2} Brian W. Allen,^{1,2} and Jennifer H. Elisseeff, PhD^{1,2}

The weak intrinsic meniscus healing response and technical challenges associated with meniscus repair contribute to a high rate of repair failures and meniscectomies. Given this limited healing response, the development of biologically active adjuncts to meniscal repair may hold the key to improving meniscal repair success rates. This study demonstrates the development of a bone marrow (BM) adhesive that binds, stabilizes, and stimulates fusion at the interface of meniscus tissues. Hydrogels containing several chondroitin sulfate (CS) adhesive levels (30, 50, and 70 mg/mL) and BM levels (30%, 50%, and 70%) were formed to investigate the effects of these components on hydrogel mechanics, bovine meniscal fibrochondrocyte viability, proliferation, matrix production, and migration ability *in vitro*. The BM content positively and significantly affected fibrochondrocyte viability, proliferation, and migration, while the CS content positively and significantly affected adhesive strength (ranged from 60 ± 17 kPa to 335 ± 88 kPa) and matrix production. Selected material formulations were translated to a subcutaneous model of meniscal fusion using adhered bovine meniscus explants implanted in athymic rats and evaluated over a 3-month time course. Fusion of adhered meniscus occurred in only the material containing the highest BM content. The technology can serve to mechanically stabilize the tissue repair interface and stimulate tissue regeneration across the injury site.

Introduction

 ${f F}$ IBROCARTILAGINOUS MENISCI LIE between articular cartilage surfaces in the knee joint, absorbing shock, increasing the surface area for articulation, and distributing loads across the joint surface, effectively reducing the stress applied to cartilage and underlying bone.1-3 Meniscus is a dense connective tissue with low cell density and a limited vascular network constrained to the peripheral region. The dearth of healing factors in combination with a thick, hypoxic extracellular matrix (ECM) results in a low, locationdependent intrinsic healing potential for injured meniscus. Tears on the well vascularized outer rim have access to nutrients, progenitor cells, and growth factors, and tend to heal better than those in the avascular middle and inner zones.⁴ Peripheral tears are often repaired using sutures to reapproximate the torn surfaces so that they may heal together. However, the nature of these technologies is such that gap formation occurs between the anchors,⁵ resulting in contact healing limited to the point of fixation. The vertical mattress suture is the gold standard for meniscus repair,⁶ and it performs the best at preventing gap formation,⁷ suggesting that the contact healing modality contributes to repair failure, which occurs in up to one-third of meniscus repairs.^{8,9} Failure to restore functional meniscus tissue ultimately results in total or partial removal of the tissue, increasing the likelihood of developing progressive joint degeneration and early onset osteoarthritis.^{10–12} Successful meniscus repair and reconstitution requires new tissue containing viable meniscal cells to bridge the defect.

Application of autologous intraoperative biologics (IOB) such as bone marrow (BM) aspirates or platelet-rich plasma may be an effective way to enhance the slow and inconsistent physiologic intrinsic meniscal healing response. BM has long been used to repair the musculoskeletal tissue due to its ability to facilitate fibrocartilage tissue repair,¹³ and exogenous fibrin clots have been shown to enhance meniscal healing.14 These biologics are rich in progenitor cells and platelet-derived growth factors¹⁵ that have been shown to stimulate meniscal healing.¹⁶ In particular, some of the known platelet-derived growth factors stimulate mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) migration,^{17,18} proliferation,^{19,20} and chondrogenic differentiation,²¹ as well as fibrochondrocyte migration,^{22,23} proliferation,^{23,24} and tissue production.^{25,26} IOB also contain fibrinogen, fibronectin,²⁷ and vitronectin,²⁸ which can facilitate adhesion and migration²⁹ of MSCs and fibrochondrocytes. Together, these attributes make IOB a promising option to improve success rates of meniscal repair.

Adhesives play a vital role in immobilizing tissues in many clinical applications,³⁰ but none have been developed

¹Translational Tissue Engineering Center, Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland. ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

with suitable attributes to be applied to meniscal repair. In general, synthetic adhesives such as cyanoacrylates, which are very strong, are not biodegradable and do not support tissue growth or repair.³¹ On the other hand, biological adhesives such as fibrin glue are highly biocompatible, but degrade quickly and are very weak.³² To be effective, a meniscal adhesive must balance adequate binding strength and biomaterial implant residence time with the ability to support tissue repair to facilitate tissue fusion. We have developed an innovative, chemically modified chondroitin sulfate (CS) tissue adhesive suitable for use in orthopedic applications.^{33,34} CS is an appealing material due to its ability to promote new cartilage development by musculoskeletal progenitor cells.³⁵ Previously, we combined the adhesive technology with IOB to form hydrogels capable of binding soft tissues with mechanical strength exceeding that of fibrin glue and of supporting cell viability and tissue production over an extended duration.³⁶ Here, we combined the adhesive with BM aspirate and identified a biomaterial adhesive formulation to enable bonding and subsequent fusion of meniscus fibrocartilage with the potential to reduce meniscectomy rates.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of chondroitin sulfate succinimidyl succinate

Chondroitin sulfate succinimidyl succinate (CS-NHS) was synthesized as reported previously.³⁴ Briefly, a phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) solution containing 7% (w/v) CS (25 KDA, New Zealand Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Palmerston North, New Zealand), 10% (w/v) 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC), and 3.8% (w/v) n-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) was reacted at 37°C for 10 min. The resulting CS-NHS was frozen, precipitated, and washed with -20° C ethanol and dried under argon. The product was placed under high vacuum overnight, and then stored at -20° C in argon. For use in all experiments, CS-NHS was dissolved in PBS to an application-specific concentration.

Preparation of CS-BM hydrogels

Dissolved CS-NHS and a freeze–thawed BM aspirate (Thomas D. Morris, Inc., Reisterstown, MD) were mixed at room temperature and reacted to form hydrogels. Gels containing 30, 50, or 70 mg/mL of CS-NHS and 30%, 50%, or 70% volume fractions of BM were formed. Hydrogel formulation is noted as follows: CXBY, where X is the CS-NHS loading concentration (mg/mL) and Y is the BM loading volume fraction (%).

Meniscal fibrochondrocyte encapsulation and culture

Meniscal fibrochondrocyte (MFC) cells were isolated from entire medial and lateral juvenile bovine menisci (Research 87, Inc., Boylston, MA) using a previously described collagenase digest.³⁷ The MFCs were suspended in bovine marrow before mixing with the CS-NHS solution resulting in 100 μ L hydrogels containing 2×10⁷ cells/mL. Material formulations investigated were C30B70, C50B50, and C70B30. Constructs were cultured in a previously described meniscus medium³⁸ consisting of 50:50 DMEM:F12 with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, and 25 µg/mL ascorbic acid. After 10 min, the hydrogels were transferred into the meniscus medium. The cell culture medium was changed 3 times per week. Constructs were harvested at 1 and 3 weeks for viability, biochemical, and immunohistochemical analysis. CS-poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) was used as a control. The CS-PEG hydrogels were formed at 10% w/v with final concentrations of 50 mg/mL CS-NHS and 50 mg/ mL PEG-amine (15 kDa; Sunbio, Orinda, CA). Three samples were used per material per time point for biochemical analysis.

Analysis of MFC viability

Cells were stained according to the manufacturer instructions using a LIVE/DEAD[®] Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit for mammalian cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). A thin slice of hydrogel was incubated for 30 min in a medium containing 1 μ m calcein-AM and 4 μ m ethidium homodimer-1 at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The hydrogels were imaged using a fluorescent microscope equipped with a 485±10-nm optical filter for calcein AM and a 530±12.5-nm optical filter for ethidium homodimer-1. The images were merged and percent of cell viability was quantified using ImageJ (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Mechanical testing

The effect of CS-NHS and BM content on adhesive strength and Young's modulus was evaluated using shear testing on adhered bovine meniscus and compressive testing on CS-BM gels. A full factorial design was used to test formulations containing 30, 50, and 70 mg/mL CS, and 30%, 50%, and 70% BM. Full thickness cores from the peripheral region were obtained using a 6-mm biopsy punch, and then frozen and sectioned into 200-µm-thick sections on a cryostat. Meniscus sections were blotted dry, and 10 µL of CS-BM adhesive was applied between two sections-the top section was moved around in a circular motion to ensure the entire interface was glued, and to remove excess adhesive. Adhesive was allowed to set, and then rehydrated in PBS before testing. Samples were mounted to metal fixtures using cyanoacrylate adhesive (See Fig. 2A), and loaded at a speed of 3 mm/min in a Bose Enduratec ELF 3200 mechanical tester. Adhesive strength was calculated as the ratio of maximum load to contact area (n = 10). For compressive testing, $100 \,\mu\text{L}$ cylindrical hydrogels were placed between plates and strained from 0% to 10% strain in 1% increments. Hydrogels were allowed to equilibrate for 20s after each displacement, as indicated by load relaxation reaching a plateau. The Young's modulus was determined to be the slope of equilibrium stresses versus strain.

Biochemical assays

Constructs were massed, frozen, and lyophilized for 48 h before dry weights were recorded. Samples were homogenized, and then solubilized in a papain solution for 16 h at 60°C. The Hoechst 33258 dye (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was used to determine the DNA content. The collagen content was determined using hydroxyproline assay as previously described.³⁹ Samples were hydrolyzed in 6N hydrochloric acid at 115°C for 16 h. Samples were titrated to neutral pH and reacted with *p*-dimethylamino benzaldehyde

and chloramine-T hydrate. Absorbance was measured at 562 nm with a trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline (Sigma-Aldrich) standard.

In vitro MFC migration

Juvenile bovine meniscus was isolated aseptically and cut cross sectionally into 2-mm-thick tissue explants (See Fig. 4A). Tissue along the perimeter was excised to remove any nonmeniscus tissue and cells. A 4-mm full-thickness, circular volume defect was created and filled with CS adhesive (C30B70, C50B50, C70B30, or 10% CS-PEG) or left empty. Tissue adhesive composites were cultured in the meniscus medium, and then analyzed at 2 or 4 weeks using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and type-I immunohistochemistry to assess cell migration and matrix production.

Subcutaneous meniscus fusion model

Juvenile bovine meniscus was isolated aseptically, and full-thickness cores from the peripheral region were obtained using an 8-mm biopsy punch. The cores were cut into discs ~1mm in thickness. Meniscus discs were adhered with 20 µL of C30B70, C50B50, or C70B30, and then implanted subcutaneously in athymic rats. Animals were anesthetized and maintained with isoflurane. The standard sterile technique was utilized. A 1.5-cm incision was made dorsally, followed by loosening of the subcutaneous fascia to provide a pocket for implantation. The meniscal composites were placed into the pocket, and the skin was sutured closed. There were six implants per animal, two of each material formulation. Animals were euthanized and samples harvested at 4, 8, and 12 weeks postoperatively. At 4 weeks, two samples were analyzed for each material, while four samples were analyzed for each material at 8 and 12 weeks. Successful fusion was defined as meniscus disks fused with type-I collagen, as analyzed using H&E and type-I collagen immunohistochemistry. All procedures were performed with prior approval from the Institute Animal Care and Use Committee.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Hydrogels were fixed in 10% formalin, dehydrated using a series of ethanol solutions, cleared with xylene, paraffin embedded, and sectioned. Immunohistochemical staining was performed with a sequence of treatments. The sections were exposed to rabbit polyclonal antibodies against type-I collagen, biotinylated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA), and then the streptavidin–peroxidase enzyme link. Samples were then exposed to AEC chromagen for 10 min to develop the slides. Hematoxylin was applied for visualization of cell nuclei.

Statistical analysis

Values are presented as mean and standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using two-way analysis of variance with SPSS software (version 20; SPSS, Chicago, IL). For experiments involving mechanical analysis, we investigated the effects of changing both the CS and BM content. For biochemical experiments, we investigated the effects of time and material formulation. *Post hoc* Tukey tests with an adopted significance level of p = 0.05 were applied to determine the statistical significance.

Results

Hydrogel gelation and hMSC viability

BM aspirate and CS-NHS combined to form fully biologic hydrogels. Primary amines on the surface of soluble and nonsoluble proteins in BM reacted with activated carboxylic acid groups on CS to form a hydrogel mesh crosslinked by amide bonds (Fig. 1A). Compared with CS-PEG control in which no MFCs survived, CS-BM greatly improved the viability of encapsulated MFCs (Fig. 1B). Cell viability was maintained up to 3 weeks postencapsulation, although at this late time point, most viable cells were arranged in dense clumps.

Evaluation of hydrogel mechanics

The CS and BM content affected the tensile and compressive mechanics of the adhesive. Surface amines on the meniscus fibrocartilage reacted with the adhesive polymer, binding the tissues together (Fig. 2A). The bond strength of CS-BM to meniscus depended on the CS and BM content (Fig. 2B). With increasing CS content, adhesive strength increased steadily, whereas increasing the BM content decreased the adhesive strength, with the most dramatic decrease occurring between 50% and 70% BM levels. The adhesive strength ranged from 60 ± 17 kPa (C30B70) to 335 ± 88 kPa (C70B30). Compressive testing indicated that the CS and BM content also affect the elastic moduli of the bulk hydrogel. Compressive moduli varied from 3.9 ± 0.9 kPa (C30B30) to 21.1 ± 4.1 kPa (C50B50) (Fig. 2C).

Characterization MFC encapsulated hydrogels

MFCs remained viable in CS-BM and material formulation impacted cell proliferation and matrix production. The DNA content fell to nearly zero for the CS-PEG control between 1 and 3 weeks, whereas the DNA content increased in C70B30 and C50B50 (Fig. 3A). The DNA content also increased with increasing BM and decreasing CS. The total collagen content, normalized both to dry weight and to cell number, increased significantly between weeks 1 and 3 (Fig. 3B, C). Additionally, in contrast with the DNA content, the total collagen content increased with the increasing CS and decreasing BM content. There were no detectable accumulations of collagen at either time point from MFCs encapsulated in CS-PEG hydrogels (data not included in figure). Immunohistochemical staining for type-I collagen, the primary matrix protein in meniscus fibrocartilage, confirmed the production of the primary meniscus ECM protein by MFCs encapsulated in CS-BM hydrogels over the 3-week time course (Fig. 3D).

In vitro MFC migration

MFC migration occurred in a material-dependent manner. No cells migrated from the tissue into or along the surface of CS-PEG controls and empty defects remained unfilled (not shown). By 4 weeks in culture, migration occurred in all CS-BM materials to varying degrees. Increasing the BM content increased the total number of migrating cells. In C70B30, cells migrated only across the surface of the hydrogel, and a few cells migrated into the C50B50 hydrogel. In contrast, cells migrated

FIG. 1. CS-bone marrow hydrogels and MFC viability. (A) Meniscal fibrochondrocytes encapsulated within CS-BM hydrogels. NHS activated CS reacts with primary amines on bone marrow proteins to form a covalently crosslinked network hydrogel. Fibrochondrocytes adhere to and spread out on crosslinked bone marrow proteins, thereby allowing them to survive. (B) Viability of meniscal fibrochondrocytes in CS hydrogels. A Live/Dead cell viability assay was used to measure viability after 1 and 3 weeks of encapsulation. By 1 week, all meniscal cells died in 10% CS-PEG hydrogels. Substituting bovine bone marrow for PEG results in greatly improved meniscal cell viability. The majority of cells are alive after 1 week in B30, B50, and B70. After 3 weeks of encapsulation, cells remain viable in B30, B50, and B70. Scale bar = $300 \,\mu m$. BM, bone marrow; CS, chondroitin sulfate; MFC, meniscal fibrochondrocyte; PEG, poly(ethylene glycol). Color images available online at www .liebertpub.com/tea



throughout the entire bulk of the C30B70 hydrogel materials (Fig. 4B–D). Type-I collagen immunohistochemistry confirmed that migrated MFCs maintained the ability to produce the primary matrix protein of their tissue of origin (Fig. 4E–G).

Subcutaneous meniscus adhesion model

The *in vivo* performance of the meniscus adhesive depended on material formulation. At the 4-week time point, the menisci remained adhered together by all CS-BM formulations, and cells infiltrated the material (Fig. 5A–C). Cell migration increased with the BM content, with extremely high density in C30B70 samples. At week 8, neo-tissue bound the C30B70 samples, whereas the meniscus implants bound by other formulations failed to fuse, although some adhesive remained (not pictured). By the twelfth week, no adhesive remained and the menisci fused in only the C30B70 group (Fig. 5D–F). Cells lined the interface, where the

FIG. 2. Mechanical analysis of CS-BM adhesive hydrogels. **(A)** Mechanical testing setup of CS-BM-adhered meniscus tissue. **(B)** Adhesive strength in tensile shear of CS-BM adhered to meniscus tissue. Adhesive strength depends directly on the CS content and indirectly on the bone marrow content (p = 0.042 between C30 and C50 groups, p < 0.001 for all other CS, BM comparisons). **(C)** Compressive strength of CSBM hydrogels.





FIG. 3. Biochemical assays and immunohistochemistry of encapsulated meniscal fibrochondrocytes in CSBM hydrogels. (A) Meniscal cell proliferative capacity is directly related to the bone marrow content. (B, C) Collagen production is dependent on hydrogel formulation and directly related to the CS content. Pericellular matrix production of the predominant meniscus extracellular matrix molecule, type-I collagen, by meniscal fibrochondrocytes in (D) C70B30, (E) C50B50, and (F) C30B70 hydrogels. Scale bar=50 μ m. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.005. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

meniscus tissue fused (Fig. 5G). Type-I collagen immunohistochemistry confirmed that migrated cells produced this matrix protein (Fig. 6A–C), and that the two meniscus pieces were fused together at a nearly indistinguishable interface by type-I collagen (Fig. 6D).

Discussion

Repairing and preserving an injured meniscus is important to maintain overall homeostasis of the knee and integrity of the articular cartilage. The removal of all or a portion of the meniscus leads to joint destabilization and increased stresses in the joint environment, which can lead to the early onset of progressive joint degeneration and osteoarthritis. Surgeons will work to repair and reconstitute the meniscus whenever possible, but technically difficult repair techniques combined with the limited vascularization and marginal ability of the tissue to heal lead to meniscectomy being the most common procedure performed by orthopedic surgeons.⁴⁰ Although some damaged menisci are irreparable due to tissue degeneration and degradation, many clean tears must be removed due to either a failed repair attempt or their location in the avascular zone. Therefore, the primary aim of developing this adhesive technology is to reduce the meniscectomy rate of clean tears in two ways. First, applying this adhesive as a complement to suture repairs in the vascularized red zones of the meniscus could provide a more complete stabilization of the tissue interface and potentially reduce gap formation. Additionally, the adhesive would serve as a scaffold to fill gaps between the approximated tissue surfaces, thereby promoting tissue fusion across the entire length of the defect. Second, the delivery of a growth factor-rich IOB scaffold might extend the range of repairable meniscal tears into the avascular inner zone, where meniscectomy is currently the predominant surgical technique of choice. Extending the range of repairable injuries would reduce future costs associated with joint degeneration and osteoarthritis. The results of this work have demonstrated key attributes of the CS-BM adhesive that suggest it can be effective at stimulating meniscal healing: ability of MFCs to migrate into the material, survive, and produce the ECM, meniscus binding ability with robust mechanical properties, and extensive *in vivo* material residence time.

Through our investigation of hydrogel mechanics, we learned that the CS-BM has adhesive strength on the order of that necessary to withstand forces in a suture-repaired human meniscus. Studies investigating forces on sutures repairing lateral and medial meniscal tears in human cadaver models indicated that the mean distraction forces on sutures did not exceed 5 newtons in either meniscus^{41,42} when loaded with 300N (67 lbs) of force, which would correlate to a stress of 50 kPa for a meniscal tear measuring 1 cm².^{43,44} In nonweight bearing recovery, the tensile forces on the repair would be far lower, well below the failure strength of C30B70 (60 kPa). The adhesive may, therefore, stabilize the tissue interface without failing and prevent gap formation. By doing so, the material may promote tissue fusion across the entire injury interface, preventing postsurgical tears that may occur as a result of contact healing. Although decreasing CS and increasing BM contents weaken the material adhesiveness, we prefer the biological attributes of migration, proliferation, and tissue fusion associated with the C30B70 formulation.



FIG. 4. *In Vitro* migration of meniscal fibrochondrocytes. **(A)** Experimental setup. (i) Bovine meniscus was aseptically isolated from a juvenile joint and (ii) thinly sliced into cross-sectional meniscus explants. (iii) Full-thickness circular volume defects were created and (iv) filled with CS adhesive or left empty. (v) Meniscus adhesive constructs were cultured *in vitro* for 2 and 4 weeks before being evaluated histologically for cell migration and tissue production. Histological and immunohistochemical evaluation of C30B70 explant adhesive constructs after 4 weeks in culture. **(B–D)** Hematoxylin and Eosin staining showing meniscal fibrochondrocytes migrated into CS-BM adhesive. Cells migrated both **(B)** directly from tissue into hydrogel, and also **(C)** first along the surface, and then into the gel, and **(D)** cell migration extended to nearly the center of the hydrogel. **(E–G)** Type-I collagen immunostaining demonstrating tissue-producing capability of migrated meniscal fibrochondrocytes throughout the gel. Scale bar = 100 μ m. * Indicates meniscus, ‡ indicates hydrogel. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

The biological properties of this adhesive can be manipulated to provide flexibility in optimization for specific clinical and tissue applications.^{33,34} For the meniscus application, we require a material that binds to meniscus and supports MFC viability and migration, and prefer a material that promotes cell proliferation and ECM production. MFCs were able to survive, proliferate, and produce meniscus ECM when encapsulated in various CS-BM formulations, whereas they were not viable when encapsulated in CS-PEG (Fig. 1B). This finding suggests that MFCs are adhesion dependent for survival and cell processes. The fibrinogen, fibronectin, and vitronectin bound in the BM hydrogel network contain cell adhesion ligands on which the MFCs can bind and spread (Fig. 1A). Additionally, the presence of growth factors present in the BM may improve MFC viability. By modulating the CS and BM content, we were able to affect MFC behavior in the material. Changing the CS and BM content had competing effects on MFC unit cell processes: cells were directed to proliferate and migrate in the presence of high BM, whereas they were stimulated to produce the ECM in the presence of high CS content. In the subcutaneous model, results of high cell density at 4 weeks followed by fusion at 12 weeks were observed for only the C30B70 material (Fig. 6C, F, G). While the ECM is produced at a higher per-cell rate in the C70B30 material, these results suggest that successful fusion of meniscus may depend more on the ability of cells to migrate and proliferate within the material to a high density. Thereafter, while the cells will secrete tissue at a lower rate, the density of cells is high enough to replace the CS-BM adhesive with meniscus tissue.

A major limitation of *in vitro* studies is the difficulty of recapitulating biological cues and signals that occur *in vivo*. Therefore, *in vivo* application of the adhesive is critical for evaluating any tissue repair strategy. To evaluate bovine meniscal healing, we translated the CS-BM adhesive to a subcutaneous implantation model, one with which our laboratory has extensive experience^{45–48} and which has been used similarly in meniscal repair studies.^{49–51} While not in the joint space, this small animal model allows us to simply and economically simulate more closely a natural environment for meniscus fusion so that we may more accurately evaluate biomaterial function and meniscus repair over time.



FIG. 5. Hematoxylin and Eosin staining for subcutaneously implanted CS-BM meniscus explants. Four-week time point of subcutaneously implanted composites indicate extensive cell migration into (A) C70B30, (B) C50B50, and (C) C30B70 materials. At 12 weeks, fusion of meniscus tissue failed for (D) C70B30 and (E) C50B50 materials, but succeeded in (F, G) C30B70 implants. Scale bars: $A-C=100 \mu m$; $D-F=500 \mu m$; $G=50 \mu m$. * Indicates meniscus, ‡ indicates hydrogel. Arrows identify repair tissue. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

This subcutaneous meniscus repair model allows us to evaluate the repair potential of meniscus tissue without a blood supply, which is relevant for comparisons to the white zone of meniscus. The key finding from the subcutaneous meniscus repair model is that only the material containing 70% volume fraction of BM was capable of fusing the glued meniscus pieces. This result suggests that the high concentration of BM delivers critical factors (growth factors and cell-adhesion ligands) that are typically not available due to poor tissue vascularization and subsequent lack of clot formation. Evidence to support this conclusion was also obtained from *in vitro* MFC migration results, in which substantial cell infiltration and matrix production occurred only in the C30B70 material. While it may be preferable to stabilize the defect using an adhesive with the greatest mechanical strength (C70B30), we ultimately desire tissue repair across a defect and fusion of tissue to preserve a healthy joint environment.

When designing a technology for meniscus repair, consideration of technology cost and clinical ease of use is equally important as a successful biological repair. Meniscal repairs are outpatient procedures that are relatively short and require only the material cost of degradable sutures. To be accepted as a new meniscus repair technology by clinicians and patients, a new product has to be affordable, applied in a single surgery, simple to use, and not significantly extend the duration or change the approach of surgery. Ultimately, it must also be successful in improving clinical outcomes such as the successful repair rate or reduction in rehabilitation time and cost. We have developed a single



FIG. 6. Immunohistochemistry for subcutaneously implanted CS-BM meniscus explants. Type-1 collagen immunohistochemistry of subcutaneously implanted composites at 4-week time point indicates new matrix formation in (A) C70B30, (B) C50B50, and (C) C30B70 materials. (D) At 12 weeks, fusion of meniscus composites occurs at a nearly indiscernible interface. Scale bars = $50 \mu m$. * Indicates meniscus, ‡ indicates hydrogel. Arrows identify repair tissue. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

component biological adhesive, CS-NHS, which can be used in conjunction with autologous IOBs to enhance meniscal repair. With no dependence on exogenous cells or autologous cell expansion, this is an affordable technology and maintains the procedure as a single surgery. Additionally, the technology is an *in situ* setting, injectable polymer with polymerization on the order of minutes, allowing for the use of standard arthroscopic surgical procedures and not extensively prolonging surgical times. The combination of low cost with practicality of delivery and potential for improved clinical results makes this material promising as a new technology to enhance meniscal repair.

In sum, we have developed the first acellular bioadhesive to fuse the meniscus tissue, and the first approach involving BM.^{52,53} The CS-BM material leverages the stimulatory attributes of CS combined with autologous growth factors and progenitor cells to enhance the intrinsically weak healing response of meniscus repair. We have demonstrated the ability of the material to sustain meniscal cell viability and support cell migration. In a subcutaneous model to assess meniscus fusion, meniscus explants adhered by C30B70 fused together 12 weeks postimplantation. The next step for evaluating the potential of this meniscus repair technology will be to combine it with the current gold standard, vertical mattress sutures, applied in a physiologically and clinically relevant large animal model to assess the healing potential.

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Voloshin, A.S., and Wosk, J. Shock absorption of meniscectomized and painful knees: a comparative *in vivo* study. J Biomed Eng 5, 157, 1983.
- Ahmed, A.M., and Burke, D.L. *In-vitro* measurement of static pressure distribution in synovial joints—Part I: tibial surface of the knee. J Biomech Eng **105**, 216, 1983.
- Bourne, R.B., Finlay, J.B., Papadopoulos, P., *et al.* The effect of medial meniscectomy on strain distribution in the proximal part of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 66, 1431, 1984.
- 4. Krych, A.J., Mcintosh, A.L., Voll, A.E., *et al.* Arthroscopic repair of isolated meniscal tears in patients 18 years and younger. Am J Sports Med **36**, 1283, 2008.
- Mehta, V.M., and Terry, M.A. Cyclic testing of 3 all-inside meniscal repair devices: a biomechanical analysis. Am J Sports Med 37, 2435, 2009.
- Turman, K.A., and Diduch, D.R. Meniscal repair: indications and techniques. J Knee Surg 21, 154, 2008.
- 7. Bellemans, J., Vandenneucker, H., Labey, L., *et al.* Fixation strength of meniscal repair devices. Knee **9**, 11, 2002.
- Choi, N.H., Kim, T.H., and Victoroff, B.N. Comparison of arthroscopic medial meniscal suture repair techniques: inside-out versus all-inside repair. Am J Sports Med 37, 2144, 2009.
- Barber, F.A., and Click, S.D. Meniscus repair rehabilitation with concurrent anterior cruciate reconstruction. Arthroscopy 13, 433, 1997.
- Medlar, R.C., Mandiberg, J.J., and Lyne, E.D. Meniscectomies in children. Report of long-term results (mean, 8.3 years) of 26 children. Am J Sports Med 8, 87, 1980.

- Jorgensen, U., Sonne-Holm, S., Lauridsen, F., et al. Longterm follow-up of meniscectomy in athletes. A prospective longitudinal study. J Bone Joint Surg Br 69, 80, 1987.
- Gelber, A.C., Hochberg, M.C., Mead, L.A., *et al.* Joint injury in young adults and risk for subsequent knee and hip osteoarthritis. Ann Intern Med **133**, 321, 2000.
- Williams, R.J., 3rd, and Harnly, H.W. Microfracture: indications, technique, and results. Instr Course Lect 56, 419, 2007.
- Arnoczky, S.P., Warren, R.F., and Spivak, J.M. Meniscal repair using an exogenous fibrin clot. An experimental study in dogs. J Bone Joint Surg Am 70, 1209, 1988.
- Alsousou, J., Thompson, M., Hulley, P., *et al.* The biology of platelet-rich plasma and its application in trauma and orthopaedic surgery: a review of the literature. J Bone Joint Surg Br **91**, 987, 2009.
- Ishida, K., Kuroda, R., Miwa, M., *et al.* The regenerative effects of platelet-rich plasma on meniscal cells *in vitro* and its *in vivo* application with biodegradable gelatin hydrogel. Tissue Eng 13, 1103, 2007.
- Ponte, A.L., Marais, E., Gallay, N., *et al.* The *in vitro* migration capacity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: comparison of chemokine and growth factor chemotactic activities. Stem Cells 25, 1737, 2007.
- Ozaki, Y., Nishimura, M., Sekiya, K., *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of chemotactic factors for bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Dev 16, 119, 2007.
- Doucet, C., Ernou, I., Zhang, Y., et al. Platelet lysates promote mesenchymal stem cell expansion: a safety substitute for animal serum in cell-based therapy applications. J Cell Physiol 205, 228, 2005.
- Schallmoser, K., Bartmann, C., Rohde, E., *et al.* Human platelet lysate can replace fetal bovine serum for clinicalscale expansion of functional mesenchymal stromal cells. Transfusion 47, 1436, 2007.
- Zhao, G., Yin, S., Liu, G., et al. In vitro engineering of fibrocartilage using CDMP1 induced dermal fibroblasts and polyglycolide. Biomaterials 30, 3241, 2009.
- Spindler, K.P., Mayes, C.E., Miller, R.R., *et al.* Regional mitogenic response of the meniscus to platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF-AB). J Orthop Res 13, 201, 1995.
- Bhargava, M.M., Attia, E.T., Murrell, G.A., *et al.* The effect of cytokines on the proliferation and migration of bovine meniscal cells. Am J Sports Med 27, 636, 1999.
- 24. Webber, R.J., Harris, M.G., and Hough, A.J., Jr. Cell culture of rabbit meniscal fibrochondrocytes: proliferative and synthetic response to growth factors and ascorbate. J Orthop Res **3**, 36, 1985.
- Tanaka, T., Fujii, K., and Kumagae, Y. Comparison of biochemical characteristics of cultured fibrochondrocytes isolated from the inner and outer regions of human meniscus. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 7, 75, 1999.
- Collier, S., and Ghosh, P. Effects of transforming growth factor beta on proteoglycan synthesis by cell and explant cultures derived from the knee joint meniscus. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 3, 127, 1995.
- Chow, T.W., Mcintire, L.V., and Peterson, D.M. Importance of plasma fibronectin in determining PFP and PRP clot mechanical properties. Thromb Res 29, 243, 1983.
- 28. Marx, R.E. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP): what is PRP and what is not PRP? Implant Dent **10**, 225, 2001.
- Ruoslahti, E., and Pierschbacher, M.D. New perspectives in cell adhesion: RGD and integrins. Science 238, 491, 1987.

MENISCUS FUSION USING BONE MARROW TISSUE ADHESIVE

- Gosain, A.K., and Lyon, V.B. The current status of tissue glues: part II. For adhesion of soft tissues. Plast Reconstr Surg 110, 1581, 2002.
- 31. Reece, T.B., Maxey, T.S., and Kron, I.L. A prospectus on tissue adhesives. Am J Surg 182, 40S, 2001.
- Azadani, A.N., Matthews, P.B., Ge, L., *et al.* Mechanical properties of surgical glues used in aortic root replacement. Ann Thorac Surg 87, 1154, 2009.
- Strehin, I., Nahas, Z., Arora, K., et al. A versatile pH sensitive chondroitin sulfate-PEG tissue adhesive and hydrogel. Biomaterials 31, 2788, 2010.
- Strehin, I., Ambrose, W.M., Schein, O., *et al.* Synthesis and characterization of a chondroitin sulfate-polyethylene glycol corneal adhesive. J Cataract Refract Surg 35, 567, 2009.
- Varghese, S., Hwang, N.S., Canver, A.C., *et al.* Chondroitin sulfate based niches for chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. Matrix Biol 27, 12, 2008.
- Simson, J., Crist, J., Strehin, I., *et al.* An orthopedic tissue adhesive for targeted delivery of intraoperative biologics. J Orthop Res **31**, 392, 2013.
- Kim, T.K., Sharma, B., Williams, C.G., *et al.* Experimental model for cartilage tissue engineering to regenerate the zonal organization of articular cartilage. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 11, 653, 2003.
- Gunja, N.J., and Athanasiou, K.A. Passage and reversal effects on gene expression of bovine meniscal fibrochondrocytes. Arthritis Res Ther 9, R93, 2007.
- Lee, H., Yu, C., Chansakul, T., *et al.* Enhanced chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells in collagen mimetic peptidemediated microenvironment. Tissue Eng Part A 14, 1843, 2008.
- Salata, M.J., Gibbs, A.E., and Sekiya, J.K. A systematic review of clinical outcomes in patients undergoing meniscectomy. Am J Sports Med 38, 1907, 2010.
- Staerke, C., Brettschneider, O., Grobel, K.H., *et al.* Tensile forces on sutures in the human lateral knee meniscus. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 17, 1354, 2009.
- Becker, R., Brettschneider, O., Grobel, K.H., *et al.* Distraction forces on repaired bucket-handle lesions in the medial meniscus. Am J Sports Med 34, 1941, 2006.
- Erbagci, H., Gumusburun, E., Bayram, M., et al. The normal menisci: *in vivo* MRI measurements. Surg Radiol Anat 26, 28, 2004.
- 44. Gill, S.S., and Diduch, D.R. Outcomes after meniscal repair using the meniscus arrow in knees undergoing concurrent

anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy 18, 569, 2002.

- 45. Sharma, B., Williams, C.G., Khan, M., et al. In vivo chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells in a photopolymerized hydrogel. Plast Reconstr Surg 119, 112, 2007.
- 46. Wang, D.A., Varghese, S., Sharma, B., *et al.* Multifunctional chondroitin sulphate for cartilage tissue-biomaterial integration. Nat Mater **6**, 385, 2007.
- Elisseeff, J., Anseth, K., Sims, D., et al. Transdermal photopolymerization of poly(ethylene oxide)-based injectable hydrogels for tissue-engineered cartilage. Plast Reconstr Surg 104, 1014, 1999.
- Hwang, N.S., Varghese, S., and Elisseeff, J. Derivation of chondrogenically-committed cells from human embryonic cells for cartilage tissue regeneration. PLoS One 3, e2498, 2008.
- Peretti, G.M., Scotti, C., Pozzi, A., Mangiavini, L., Vitari, F., Domeneghini, C., and Fraschini, G. Bonding of meniscal tissue: a nude mouse repair model. Sport Sci Health 3, 47, 2008.
- Peretti, G.M., Randolph, M.A., Caruso, E.M., *et al.* Bonding of cartilage matrices with cultured chondrocytes: an experimental model. J Orthop Res 16, 89, 1998.
- Scotti, C., Pozzi, A., Mangiavini, L., *et al.* Healing of meniscal tissue by cellular fibrin glue: an *in vivo* study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 17, 645, 2009.
- Malvankar, S.M., and Khan, W.S. An overview of the different approaches used in the development of meniscal tissue engineering. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 7, 157, 2012.
- Anz, A.W., and Rodkey, W.G. Biological enhancement of meniscus repair and replacement. Sports Med Arthrosc 20, 115, 2012.

Address correspondence to: Jennifer H. Elisseeff, PhD Department of Biomedical Engineering Johns Hopkins University Smith Building Rm. 5033 400 N. Broadway Baltimore, MD 21231

E-mail: jhe@jhu.edu

Received: September 24, 2012 Accepted: March 8, 2013 Online Publication Date: June 12, 2013