



Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection

ISSN: 0323-5408 (Print) 1477-2906 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gapp20

Evaluation of *Streptomyces* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. for biocontrol of Fusarium wilt in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)

B. G. Anusha, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. K. Naik & M. Sharma

To cite this article: B. G. Anusha, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. K. Naik & M. Sharma (2019): Evaluation of Streptomyces spp. and Bacillus spp. for biocontrol of Fusarium wilt in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, DOI: 10.1080/03235408.2019.1635302

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2019.1635302



Published online: 08 Jul 2019.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗

Article views: 3



則 View Crossmark data 🗹



Check for updates

Evaluation of *Streptomyces* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. for biocontrol of Fusarium wilt in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

B. G. Anusha^{a,b,c}, S. Gopalakrishnan^{b,c}, M. K. Naik^{a,d} and M. Sharma^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India; ^bBiocontrol, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Telangana, India; ^cPathology, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Telangana, India; ^dDepartment of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

A study was carried out to test direct and indirect antagonistic effect against Fusarium wilt, caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri (FOC), and plant growth-promoting (PGP) traits of bacteria isolated from rhizosphere soils of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). A total of 40 bacterial isolates were tested for their antagonistic activity against FOC and of which 10 were found to have strong antagonistic potential. These were found to be *Streptomyces* spp. (five isolates) and Bacillus spp. (five isolates) in the morphological and biochemical characterisation and 16S rDNA analysis. Under both greenhouse and wilt sick field conditions, the selected Streptomyces and Bacillus isolates reduced disease incidence and delayed expression of symptoms of disease, over the non-inoculated control. The PGP ability of the isolates such as nodule number, nodule weight, shoot weight, root weight, grain yield and stover yield were also demonstrated under greenhouse and field conditions over the non-inoculated control. Among the ten isolates, Streptomyces sp. AC-19 and Bacillus sp. BS-20 were found to have more potential for biocontrol of FOC and PGP in chickpea. This investigation indicates that the selected Streptomyces and Bacillus isolates have the potential to control Fusarium wilt disease and to promote plant growth in chickpea.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 18 September 2018 Revised 22 March 2019 Accepted 7 June 2019 Published online 2 July 2019

KEYWORDS

Antagonistic bacteria; biocontrol; chickpea; Fusarium wilt; *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceri; Streptomyces spp.; Bacillus spp

Introduction

Fusarium wilt caused by *F. oxysporum* Schl. emend. Snyd. and Hans. f. sp. *ciceri* (Padwick; *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceri (FOC)) is the third most important disease in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) throughout the world. It is one of the main yield-limiting factors in all chickpea-growing

© 2019 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

areas of the world (Dubey et al. 2007). General symptoms of Fusarium wilt in chickpea include drooping, yellowing, drying of the leaves and discolouration of vascular system. The pathogen infects the roots of susceptible host, colonises the vascular system of plants and produces toxin(s) that kills plants by blocking xylem vessels and restricts water transport (Anjaiah et al. 2003; Gopalakrishnan et al. 2005). FOC can survive saprophytically in the soil or crop debris for more than six years (Trapero-Casas and Jimenez-Diaz 1985). Therefore, it is not possible to control by normal crop rotation. The use of resistant cultivar is the most efficient control measure but the effectiveness of resistance is restricted by the occurrence of eight pathogenic races in FOC (Jimenez-Gasco et al. 2002). Application of fungicides does not always prove economical against soil-borne pathogens and it has also led to environmental pollution, pathogen resistance, increased risk to human and animal health and creates an imbalance in the microbial community in soil (Li et al. 2012; On et al. 2015).

The use of microbial biocontrol agents is an alternative to fungicides for the management of plant diseases because it is one of the most environmentally viable and health-friendly approaches for replacing fungicides (Jiménez-Fernández et al. 2015). Numerous biocontrol agents have been reported to control Fusarium wilt of chickpea, such as Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus subtilis, Trichoderma spp. and Streptomyces spp. (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2011; Moradi et al. 2012). Different mechanisms have been implicated in the suppression of fungal root diseases by biocontrol agents such as competition for nutrients and production of microbial metabolites such as extracellular antibiotics, siderophores, lytic enzymes and hydrogen cyanide (Das et al. 2008; Naureen et al. 2009; Erdogan and Benlioglu 2010). Such plant growth-promoting (PGP) microbes facilitate plant growth either directly by nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilisation, iron chelation and phytohormone production (Cakmakci et al. 2006; Vivas et al. 2006; Hanane et al. 2008) or indirectly by inhibiting phytopathogens, and thus promoting plant growth and development. Biocontrol agents are known to be found commonly in forest soil, pasture soil, rhizosphere soil and compost/vermicompost.

Actinobacteria are Gram-positive bacteria with high GC content in their genome and resemble fungi morphologically. Among actinobacteria, *Streptomyces* is the predominant genus followed by *Actinomadura*, *Actinoplanes*, *Frankia*, *Microbispora*, *Micromonospora*, *Nocardia*, *Mycobacterium*, *Nonomurea*, *Saccharopolyspora* and *Verrucosispora* and they found commonly in soil (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2016). Actinobacteria are also known for production of secondary metabolites and of which the genus, *Streptomyces*, is the major producer (39%) of secondary metabolites, including antibiotics, anticancer agents, antiparasitic drugs, antifungals, antivirals, immune suppressants, insecticides, antioxidants, enzyme inhibitors and herbicides (Berdy 2012). *Bacillus* is another important PGP bacteria that has been found naturally in the rhizosphere soils and reported widely in promoting not only plant growth and yield but also antagonistic against phytopathogens (Sreevidya and Gopalakrishnan 2017). The main objective of the present study was to isolate *Streptomyces* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. from rhizosphere soils of chickpea and to evaluate further for their antagonistic potential against Fusarium wilt disease of chickpea under *in vitro*, greenhouse and field conditions.

Material and methods

Isolation of actinomycetes and Bacillus spp.

Rhizosphere soil samples were collected, at the depth of 0-15 cm, with the help of soil core, randomly in the chickpea fields of International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India. Ten grams of rhizosphere soil was added in a conical flask containing 90 mL of physiological saline (0.85% NaCl; in order to provide osmotic protection for bacterial cells in the sample) and kept for shaking on an orbital shaker (at 100 rpm) at 28 ± 2 °C for 1 h. At the end of shaking, the samples were serially diluted up to 10^6 dilutions. Dilutions $10^4 - 10^6$ dilutions were spread plated (0.1 mL) on actinomycetes isolation agar (AIA; HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) for isolating actinomycetes and Bacillus differential agar (BDA; composition: yeast autolysate - 0.2 g, mannitol - 5.0 g, monohydrogen ammonium phosphate - 1.0 g, potassium chloride - 0.2 g, magnesium sulphate - 0.2 g, bromocresol purple -0.0075 g, agar 15 g, final pH 7.2 \pm 0.2) for isolating *Bacillus* spp. The plates were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 7 days for actinomycetes and 2 days for Bacillus spp. Prominent colonies were isolated, purified (by picking isolated colonies) and stored on AIA or BDA slants at 4°C for further studies.

In vitro antagonistic activity against FOC

The prominent actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates were screened for their antagonistic activity against FOC (acquired from legume pathology, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India) by dual-culture assay on glucose cassamino acid yeast extract (GCY) agar as per the protocols of Gopalakrishnan et al. (2011). In brief, a disc of FOC (6 mm dia.) was placed on one edge (1 cm from the corner) of the GCY agar plate and actinomycetes/*Bacillus* spp. was streaked on the other edge of the plate (1 cm from the corner).

The plates were incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 5 days. Inhibition of the FOC was recorded as positive and the inhibition zone measured.

Enzymatic activities and secondary metabolite production by the selected actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates

The selected isolates were evaluated for their production of siderophore, cellulase, protease, lipase, hydrocyanic acid (HCN), indole acetic acid (IAA) and β -1, 3-glucanase. Siderophore production was estimated as per the protocol of Schwyn and Neilands (1987). Production of cellulase and protease and lipase was detected by using standard protocols of Hendricks et al. (1995) and Bhattacharya et al. (2009), respectively. HCN was qualitatively assessed by the method described by Lorck (1948). Estimation of IAA and β -1,3-glucanase was done as per the protocols of Patten and Glick (1996) and Singh et al. (1999), respectively. The rating scales for cellulase, lipase and protease were as follows: 0 = no halo zone; 1 = halo zone of 11–10 mm; 2 = halo zone of 11–20 mm; 3 = halo zone of 21–30 mm; 4 = halo zone of 31–40 mm; and 5 = 41–50 mm. For HCN production, the following rating scale was used: 0 = no colour change, 1 = light reddish brown, 2 = medium reddish brown and 3 = dark reddish brown.

Molecular identification of actinomycetes and Bacillus isolates

Pure cultures of the selected antagonistic actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates were sent to Macrogen Inc. Seoul, Korea for identification based on their 16S rDNA analysis. Macrogen used universal bacterial primers 27 F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492 R (5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') for amplification of the 16S rDNA gene (Bazzicalupo and Fani 1995). The obtained sequences were compared with similar sequences retrieved from GenBank (using the BLAST program and aligned using the Clustal W software) and the dendrogram was constructed by the neighbour-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987; Alschul et al. 1990; Thompson et al. 1997). Bootstrap analysis was performed using the MEGA version 4 program to estimate the statistical stability of the branches in cluster with 1000 replications. The sequences were submitted to NCBI and accession numbers obtained.

Antagonistic and PGP potentials of the selected actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates under greenhouse conditions

The five most potential antagonistic actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates against FOC, from the *in vitro* studies, were evaluated individually for

their antagonistic potential in pots in the greenhouse. Pot mixture was prepared by mixing Vertisol, sand and farm vard manure at 3:2:1 (w/w) and was filled (1 kg) in 8 in. plastic pots (Hosco Horticultural Supplies Co, Mumbai, India) followed by inoculation with FOC inoculum (20% of pot weight, 200 g pot^{-1} ; two weeks before sowing). FOC inoculum was mass-multiplied on chickpea grains (variety JG62; highly susceptible to Fusarium wilt, acquired from the Legumes Pathology Division, ICRISAT) as per the methodology of Gopalakrishnan et al. (2011). Inoculum was thoroughly mixed with the pot mixture and the pots were covered with polythene sheets, in order to maintain the moisture in the soil so that inoculum will be developed. The whole setup was incubated at 26 ± 2 °C for 15 days to have Fusarium wilt disease conditions. Two weeks later, the seeds of chickpea variety JG62 were surface-sterilised (with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution in water for 5 min and rinsed 8 times with sterilised double distilled water) and treated with respective actinomycetes/Bacillus. Each actinomycetes/Bacillus isolates were inoculated by three different methods, namely M1 = inoculation of the seeds by soaking in the respective actinomycetes/Bacillus culture for 1 h; M2 = inoculation of the potting mixture with actinomycetes/Bacillus culture at the time of sowing (10 ml of well-grown culture $[10^8 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}]$ applied on the seed and covered with soil; CFU was obtained by spread plating the actinomycetes/Bacillus culture on AIA/BDA plates) and M3 = M1 + M2. Six inoculated seeds were sown (at 2-3 cm depth) in each pots and one week later thinned to retain three seedlings. The experiment had six replications. Plants were irrigated once every two days with 20 ml of sterilised distilled water. Incidence of Fusarium wilt disease (number of plants showing wilt symptoms to the total number of plants in a pot) was recorded on 5, 10, 15, 20 and 29 days after sowing (DAS). Disease incidence was calculated using the method reported by Cao et al. (2011) with the following formula:

Disease incidence (%) = Number of diseased plants/Total number of plants \times 100

The selected five *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates were also evaluated for their PGP traits under greenhouse conditions. Soil mixture containing Vertisol, sand and farm yard manure (3:2:1) was prepared and filled in plastic pots (8"). A total of 13 treatments (five *Streptomyces* isolates + their consortia, five *Bacillus* isolates + their consortia and one uninoculated control) with three replications were maintained. The seeds of chickpea variety JG11 were surface-sterilised and treated with respective *Streptomyces/Bacillus* isolates as described earlier. Six seeds were sown (at 2–3 cm depth) in each pots and one week later thinned to retain three seedlings. The experiment had six replications. At the seven days

interval, a booster (additional) dose of *Streptomyces/Bacillus* was added. At 30 DAS, PGP traits including plant height, branches number, pod number, nodule number, nodule weight, leaf area, leaf weight, root weight, stem weight, total plant weight, surface area, root length and root volume were recorded. At 45 DAS, observation recorded including plant height, branches number, nodule number, nodule weight, flower number, pod number, root weight, stem weight, leaf weight and total plant weight and at harvesting, pod number, pod weight, shoot weight, seed number and seed weight were recorded.

Field studies

Field trials were performed in 2016 and 2017 post-rainy seasons at ICRISAT, Patancheru ($17^{\circ}30.861'$ N; $78^{\circ}16.080'$ E; altitude = 549 m) in the Telangana State of India. The experimental field soils are classified as 51% clay, 22% silt and 26% sand with an organic carbon content of 0.4 - 0.5% and an alkaline pH of 7.5 - 8.1. The mineral content of the experimental field rhizosphere soil (top 15 cm) includes 24 mg kg⁻¹ soil of available nitrogen, 8 mg kg⁻¹ soil of available phosphorous and 294 mg kg⁻¹ soil of available potassium. The experimental field was kept fallow except for post-rainy season. The maximum and minimum temperatures recorded during the cropping season were 29.01–32.71 and 12.05–14.43, respectively. The experimental plots were laid out of 4 m × 3 m ridges (rows) arranged in a randomised complete block design with three replications.

Three genotypes of chickpea (JG62 and K850 – susceptible to Fusarium wilt, early and later wilter, respectively; JG11- popular cultivar) were surface-sterilised, treated with respective *Streptomyces/Bacillus* isolates, as described earlier, and sown by hand at 5 cm depth. A booster doses of *Streptomyces/Bacillus* peat-based formulation $(10^8 \text{ cfu ml}^{-1})$ were applied to soil at an interval of 15 DAS until flowering stage. Control plots were maintained without the application of *Streptomyces/Bacillus*. Weeding was performed as and when required. No serious insect pest or phytopathogens (except FOC) were observed during the cropping period. During the cropping season, a maximum temperature range of 27.1–31.0 °C and a minimum temperature range of 7.0–19.2 °C were recorded. Incidence of Fusarium wilt (number of plants showing wilt symptoms to total number of plants in a plot) was recorded in JG62 on 18, 22 and 26 DAS and in K850 on 45, 58 and 75 DAS till the susceptible check showed close to 100% mortality.

In JG11 cultivar, at 35 DAS, PGP traits, including plant height, nodule number, nodule weight, leaf area, leaf weight and stem weight were

recorded. At 60 DAS, plant height, nodule number, nodule weight, shoot weight, pod number and pod weight and while at final harvest, pod number, pod weight, seed number, stover weight and grain weight were recorded. The roots of chickpea were tested for colonisation by *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* spp. by SEM analysis (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2015). The samples were examined with a scanning electron microscope (JOEL-JSM 5600) as per the standardised procedure at RUSKA lab, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India. Observations of the presence of *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* spores/cell on root surfaces were recorded.

Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) (GenStat 10.1 version 2007, Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamsted Experimental Station) to evaluate the efficiency of biocontrol agent's application in both the greenhouse and field studies. Significance of differences between the treatment means was tested at p = 0.01 and 0.05.

Results

Selection of antagonistic actinomycete and *Bacillus* isolates against FOC

A total of 20 actinomycete and 20 *Bacillus* isolates, the most prominent ones which were found abundantly and inhibited the adjacent colonies, were isolated from AIA and BDA, respectively, and further screened for their antagonistic potential against FOC by dual-culture assay. The five most potential FOC antagonistic actinomycetes (AC-5, AC-6, AC-10, AC-18 and AC-19) and *Bacillus* (BS-10, BS-15, BS-17, BS-19 and BS-20) isolates were selected for further evaluation. Of the selected 10 FOC antagonistic isolates, BS-20 inhibited the most (inhibition zone 29 mm) followed by AC-5 (27 mm) and AC-10 (25 mm) (Figure 1).

Enzymatic activities and secondary metabolite production by the selected actinomycetes and *Bacillus* isolates

All the selected actinomycete and *Bacillus* isolates were found to produce cellulase (except AC-5), protease (except AC-5, AC-10 and BS-10), lipase (except AC-5), HCN (except AC-18 and BS-10), IAA and β -1,3-gluca-nase. Siderophore was produced only by four isolates (AC-10, AC-18, BS-15 and BS-20). Of the selected 10 FOC antagonistic isolates, only BS-20 was found to produce all the traits (Table 1).



Figure 1. In vitro antagonistic activity of actinomycetes and Bacillus isolates against FOC.

Isolate	Cellulase	Protease	Lipase	Siderophore (% units)	HCN	IAA (μgml ⁻¹)	β-1,3-glucanase unitsª
AC-5	0	0	0	0.0	1	2.4	0.28
AC-6	1	1	3	0.0	1	10.1	2.08
AC-10	2	0	2	51.5	2	31.6	0.77
AC-18	2	2	3	54.0	0	9.8	2.08
AC-19	2	2	3	0.0	2	12.2	2.15
BS-10	3	0	2	0.0	0	14.1	0.18
BS-15	3	2	2	16.5	1	12.5	1.29
BS-17	3	1	1	0.0	2	10.8	1.01
BS-19	3	1	1	0.0	2	23.0	1.34
BS-20	3	2	3	23.5	2	25.0	1.72
SE±	0.39	0.30	0.27	0.31	0.14	1.57	0.30
LSD (1%)	1.29	0.97	0.89	0.97	0.44	5.11	0.97

Table 1. In vitro evaluation of actinomycetes and Bacillus isolates for different PGP traits.

^aOne units of β -1,3-glucanase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that liberated 1 µg ml of glucose hour⁻¹ at defined conditions.

HCN: Hydrocyanic acid; IAA: Indole acetic acid.

The rating scales for cellulase, lipase and protease were as follows: 0 = no halo zone; 1 = halo zone of 1-10 mm; 2 = halo zone of 11-20 mm; 3 = halo zone of 21-30 mm; 4 = halo zone of 31-40 mm; and 5 = 41-50 mm. For HCN production, the following rating scale was used: 0 = no colour change, 1 = light reddish brown, 2 = medium reddish brown and 3 = dark reddish brown.

Molecular identification of the selected actinomycete and *Bacillus* isolates

When the sequences of the selected actinomycetes (AC-5, AC-6, AC-10, AC-18 and AC-19) and *Bacillus* (BS-10, BS-15, BS-17, BS-19 and BS-20) isolates were analysed, the results revealed that all actinomycetes matched (100%) with *Streptomyces* but different species (such as *S. warrensis*, *S. phaeopurpureus*, *S. atrovirens*, *S. griseorubens* and *S. parvus*, respectively) while the *Bacillus* isolates matched (100%) with *Bacillus* but different species (such as

ARCHIVES OF PHYTOPATHOLOGY AND PLANT PROTECTION 😔 9



H 0.001 10 🛞 B. G. ANUSHA ET AL.

B. xiamenensis, *B. safensis*, *B. subtilis*, *B. altitudinis* and *B. altitudinis*, respectively) (Figure 2(a,b)). The sequences of 16S rDNA of AC-5 (1467 bp), AC-6 (1476 bp), AC-10 (1008 bp), AC-18 (1483 bp), AC-19 (1481 bp), BS-10 (1502 bp), BS-15 (1502 bp), BS-17 (1509 bp), BS-19 (1499 bp) and BS-20 (1488 bp) were submitted to GenBank and accession numbers, MF361862, MF359563, MF359746, MF359734, MF359745, MF359733, MF359735, MF359737, MF370070 and MF370069, respectively, were obtained.

Antagonistic and PGP potentials of the selected *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates under greenhouse conditions

Antagonistic potentials

Under greenhouse conditions, when the selected *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates were evaluated for their antagonistic potential against FOC, up to 78% and 89% reduction of Fusarium wilt disease incidence, respectively, was observed at 30 DAS over the positive (FOC inoculated) control. In the positive control, 100% disease incidence was noticed within 20 DAS itself. Of the three method of inoculations, such as seed treatment, soil application and seed treatment + soil application, reduction in Fusarium wilt incidence of up to 44%, 78% and 56%, respectively, for *Streptomyces* and up to 88%, 89% and 84%, respectively, for *Bacillus* isolates were observed. Of the five selected *Streptomyces* isolates, reduction of disease incidence was found maximum in AC-19 followed by AC-5 and AC-10 whereas for *Bacillus* isolates, BS-20 followed by BS-19 and BS-15 over the positive control. Both *Streptomyces* and 40–55%, respectively, over the positive control (Figure 3(a,b)).

PGP potentials

Under greenhouse conditions, at 30 DAS, the selected five *Streptomyces* and five *Bacillus* isolates, significantly enhanced plant height (up to 34% and 27%, respectively), branches number (47% and 47%, respectively), pod number (up to 100% and 100%, respectively), nodule number (64% and 32%, respectively), nodule weight (71% and 81%, respectively), leaf

Figure 2. (a) Phylogenetic relationship between the five FOC antagonistic *Streptomyces* isolates and representative species based on full length 16S rDNA sequences constructed using the neighbour-joining method. The number at each branch is the percentages of times the group of strains in that branch occurred, based on 1000 cycles in bootstrap analysis. (b) Phylogenetic relationship between the five FOC antagonistic *Bacillus* isolates and representative species based on full length 16S rDNA sequences constructed using the neighbour-joining method. The number at each branch is the percentages of times the group of strains in that branch occurred, based on 1000 cycles in bootstrap analysis.



Figure 3. (a) Evaluation of *Streptomyces* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick pots in the greenhouse conditions – at 30 days after sowing. (b) Evaluation of *Bacillus* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick pots in the greenhouse conditions – at 30 days after sowing.

area (41% and 60%, respectively), leaf weight (58% and 60%, respectively), root weight (56% and 69%, respectively), stem weight (37% and 46%, respectively), total plant weight (50% and 54%, respectively), surface area (48% and 52%, respectively), root length (42% and 48%, respectively) and root volume (54% and 56%, respectively) over the un-inoculated control. The selected *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates, at 45 DAS, significantly enhanced plant height (23% and 23%, respectively), branches number (42% and 53%, respectively), nodule number (50% and 47%, respectively), nodule weight (47% and 54%, respectively), flower

12 🛞 B. G. ANUSHA ET AL.

number (100%, 100%, respectively), pod number (100% and 100%, respectively), root weight (36% and 33%, respectively), stem weight (51% and 46%, respectively), leaf weight (30% and 38%, respectively) and total plant weight (31% and 36%) and while at final harvest, pod number (29% and 100%, respectively), shoot weight (37% and 100%, respectively), plant dry weight (27% and 100%, respectively) seed number (29% and 100%, respectively) and seed weight (33% and 100%, respectively) over the un-inoculated control. Of the five selected *Streptomyces* isolates, enhanced PGP traits were found maximum in AC-19 followed by AC-5 and AC-10 whereas for *Bacillus* isolates, BS-20 followed by BS-19 and BS-15 over the un-inoculated control. Both *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* consortium also significantly enhanced all the observed PGP traits at 30 DAS, 45 DAS and final harvest (Tables 2–4).

Antagonistic and PGP potentials of selected *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates under field conditions

Antagonistic potentials

Under wilt sick field conditions, when the chickpea cultivar JG62 (early wilter) was used, a reduction of wilt incidence of up to 32% and 31% (for *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates, respectively) was observed at 22 DAS over the control (*Streptomyces/Bacillus* un-inoculated control). When the chickpea cultivar K850 (later wilter) was used, a reduction of wilt incidence of up to 45% and 32% (for *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates, respectively) was observed at 45 DAS over the control (*Streptomyces/Bacillus* un-inoculated control). Reduction of disease incidence was found maximum with AC-19 followed by AC-5 and AC-10 for *Streptomyces* and BS-19 followed by BS-20 and BS-15 for *Bacillus* isolates while the other four isolates (AC-6, AC-18, BS-10 and BS-17) showed lower levels of reduction of wilt disease incidence in both JG62 and K850 cultivars over the control (Figure 4(a–d)).

PGP potentials

Under field conditions, when JG11 was used, at 35 DAS, the selected five *Streptomyces* and five *Bacillus* isolates, significantly enhanced plant height (up to 16% and 4%, respectively), nodule number (53% and 54%, respectively), nodule weight (43% and 63%, respectively), leaf area (40% and 37%, respectively), leaf weight (52% and 51%, respectively) and stem weight (48% and 37%, respectively), over the un-inoculated control. The selected *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates, at 60 DAS, significantly enhanced plant height (18% and 15%, respectively), nodule number (36% and 33%, respectively), nodule weight (61% and 38%, respectively), shoot weight (32% and 22%, respectively), pod number (49% and 24%,

Table 2. Ef	fect of S	treptomyc	ces and B	<i>acillus</i> isol	ates on PGF	traits of chi	ckpea under	greenhous	e condition	s – at 30 d	ays after sow	ing (DAS).	
	Plant	Branch	Pod	Nodule	Nodule		Leaf		Stem	Total plant			
lsolate	height (cm)	number (plant ^{_1})	number (plant ⁻¹)	number (plant ^{_1})	weight (ma plant ⁻¹)	Leaf area (cm ² plant ⁻¹)	weight (a plant ⁻¹)	Root weight (a plant ⁻¹)	weight (a plant ⁻¹)	weight (a)	Surface area (cm ² plant ⁻¹)	Root length (cm plant ⁻¹)	Root volume (cm ³ plant ⁻¹)
AC-5	27.2	5.7	0.8	56	35	41.5	0.58	0.36	0.37	0.96	483	2855	6.51
AC-6	24.2	4.5	0.0	80	78	36.5	0.56	0.28	0.38	0.94	493	2805	6.91
AC-10	28.2	4.0	0.7	56	102	40.9	0.62	0.32	0.43	1.05	476	2605	6.94
AC-18	32.2	4.7	0.7	47	93	52.9	0.75	0.37	0.50	1.25	581	2856	9.43
AC-19	33.8	4.7	1.2	89	85	46.0	0.48	0.28	0.44	0.92	353	2214	4.48
AC-consor@	28.7	5.0	0.0	59	125	33.8	0.45	0.30	0.44	0.89	373	2266	4.88
BS-10	25.2	3.7	0.0	47	18	44.6	0.55	0.42	0.34	0.89	626	3181	9.85
BS-15	30.3	4.7	1.7	41	158	78.6	0.80	0.39	0.58	1.38	495	2161	9.37
BS-17	27.8	5.7	2.0	35	50	45.4	0.56	0.32	0.42	0.97	373	2340	4.76
BS-19	28.2	4.5	0.0	34	58	35.7	0.44	0.28	0.41	0.84	326	2132	4.64
BS-20	26.3	3.5	0.0	36	57	36.2	0.45	0.52	0.36	0.81	501	2726	7.36
BS-consor ^a	31.7	5.2	0.2	53	83	65.6	0.65	0.43	0.59	1.24	483	2507	7.45
Control	22.2	3.0	0.0	32	30	31.4	0.32	0.16	0.31	0.63	300	1645	4.35
Mean	28.1	4.5	0.6	51	75	45.3	0.56	0.34	0.43	0.98	451	2484	6.69
SE±	1.66**	0.36***	0.42*	10.2**	15.3***	6.45***	0.056***	0.044***	0.058^{*}	0.112**	39.7***	166.7***	0.907***
LSD (5%)	4.8	1.05	1.23	29.6	44.6	18.8	0.163	0.127	0.168	0.326	115.8	486.7	2.647
CV%	10	14	133	32	35	25	17	22	23	20	15	12	24
^a Consortium. *Statistically : **Statistically ***Statistically	ignificant significan significan	at 0.05. t at 0.01. 1t at 0.001.											

		,
(DAS		,
'ing		,
SOW		
after		
days		
: 45 c		
- at		
tions		
ondit		
se ci		
nhou	Pod	
gree		
ıder		
a ur	lower	,
ickpe	ш	
of ch		,
aits c		
iP tra		
n PG		
tes o	dule	,
solat	Ň	
illus i		
Bacı	çh	,
and	Brar	
yces		
pton		
Stre		
ct of		
Effe		
З.		

Table 3. Effec	t of Streptomyce	s and Bacillus	isolates on I	OF traits of chic	kpea under g	reenhouse co	nditions – at 4	45 days after so	wing (DAS).	
		Branch	Nodule		Flower	Pod				
Isolate	Plant heidht (cm)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	Nodule weight (ma plant ⁻¹)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	Root weight (a plant ⁻¹)	Stem weight (a plant ⁻¹)	Leaf weight (a plant ⁻¹)	Total plant weight (g)
NC-F	32.0	4 7 A	08	377	(piun,) 1.2	0.0	() Mbr	0.51	1.01	157
		r c	5 5		<u>,</u>	0.0	10.0		10.1	101
AC-6	5.55	0.0	76	428	0.0	0.0	0.84	0.89	0.93	1.8.1
AC-10	34.3	4.8	77	363	0.8	0.7	0.69	0.59	1.18	1.77
AC-18	37.2	5.0	87	330	2.5	0.7	0.63	0.50	1.04	1.53
AC-19	35.3	0.9	101	513	2.3	1.2	0.56	0.84	0.92	1.75
AC-consor ^a	35.8	5.3	55	477	0.8	0.0	1.02	0.65	0.86	1.50
BS-10	30.8	5.3	57	588	0.0	0.0	0.81	0.67	1.33	2.00
BS-15	32.0	6.5	96	423	2.2	1.7	0.65	0.81	1.13	1.94
BS-17	31.5	6.8	61	298	0.8	1.0	0.67	0.51	1.17	1.68
BS-19	32.8	5.8	55	275	2.5	1.0	0.59	0.65	1.09	1.74
BS-20	35.7	7.5	73	368	2.0	0.0	0.55	0.77	0.97	1.75
BS-consor ^a	35.7	7.2	55	300	3.3	0.2	0.60	1.01	1.11	2.12
Control	27.3	3.5	51	270	0.0	0.0	0.54	0.43	0.82	1.25
Mean	33.7	5.7	74	385	1.4	0.6	0.68	0.68	1.04	1.72
SE±	1.60**	0.56**	6.9***	39.7***	1.32 ^{NS}	0.59 ^{NS}	0.087*	0.103**	0.096^{*}	0.118**
LSD (5%)	4.7	1.63	20.2	115.8	3.86	1.75	0.255	0.301	0.282	0.345
CV%	8	17	16	18	159	188	22	26	16	12
^a Consortium. * Statictically cian	ificant at 0.05									
***Statistically sig	nificant at 0.01.									
*** Statistically sig	gnificant at 0.001.									
NS = Not signific	ant.									

Isolate	Pod number (plant ⁻¹)	Shoot weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Pod dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Seed number (plant ⁻¹)	Seed weight (g plant ⁻¹)
AC-5	19.7	5.25	4.81	20	3.60
AC-6	18.0	5.24	4.56	19	3.91
AC-10	20.7	4.97	5.17	21	4.06
AC-18	16.0	4.55	4.20	17	3.20
AC-19	19.3	4.57	4.76	20	3.81
AC Consortium	18.3	3.88	4.76	18	3.75
BS-10	19.7	5.02	5.87	21	4.68
BS-15	18.7	7.13	5.25	19	3.60
BS-17	19.7	6.22	5.16	20	3.66
BS-19	18.3	6.09	5.26	18	3.89
BS-20	16.7	6.77	4.97	17	3.74
BS Consortium	26.0	4.44	5.35	26	4.45
Control	14.7	3.29	3.77	15	2.74
Mean	18.9	5.19	4.91	19	3.77
SE±	1.83*	0.459***	0.323*	1.8*	0.299*
LSD (5%)	5.4	1.34	0.942	5.2	0.873
CV%	17	15	11	16	14

Table 4. Effect of *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates on PGP traits of chickpea under greenhouse conditions – at crop maturity.

*Statistically significant at 0.05.

***Statistically significant at 0.001.

respectively) and pod weight (64% and 45%, respectively) and while at final harvest, pod number (41% and 36%, respectively), pod weight (42% and 34%, respectively), seed number (41% and 34%, respectively), stover weight (37% and 29%, respectively) and grain yield (34% and 28%, respectively) over the un-inoculated control. Of the five selected *Streptomyces* isolates, enhanced PGP traits were found maximum in AC-19 followed by AC-5 and AC-10 whereas for *Bacillus* isolates, BS-19 followed by BS-20 and BS-15 over the un-inoculated control. Both *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* consortium also significantly enhanced all the observed PGP traits at 35 DAS, 60 DAS and final harvest (Tables 5–7). The colonisation of *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates on the roots of chickpea was demonstrated by SEM. Extensive colonisation was observed on the roots of chickpea by all the isolates (Figure 5).

Discussion

Actinobacteria, *Streptomyces* in particular, and *Bacillus* are reported widely for their antagonistic and PGP properties in various crops (Moradi et al. 2012). However, we did not have good biocontrol agents for controlling Fusarium wilt of chickpea. Hence, the present investigation was aimed to isolate these two biocontrol agents from rhizosphere soils of chickpea and to evaluate for their antagonistic potential against FOC and PGP potentials in chickpea. Of the 40 actinomycete and *Bacillus* isolates tested for their antagonistic potential against FOC by dual-culture assay, only 10 of them (25%; 5 each of actinomycetes and

16 🕒 B. G. ANUSHA ET AL.



Bacillus) were found to have good antagonistic potential against FOC, of which BS 20 and AC-5 were found to inhibit FOC the most.

In the present study, the selected 10 actinomycete and Bacillus isolates were found to produce IAA, β -1,3-glucanase, cellulase (except AC-5), protease (except AC-5, AC-10 and BS-10), lipase (except AC-5), HCN (except AC-18 and BS-10) and siderophore (only by four isolates; AC-10, AC-18, BS-15 and BS-20). Of the 10 FOC antagonistic isolates studied, only BS-20 was found to produce all the PGP and biocontrol traits. Microbes producing IAA are known to stimulate seed germination, root formation and increase root surface area and length, thereby providing the host plant greater access to water and soil nutrients, fruit formation and abscission control (Khamna et al. 2009). The ability of microbes to produce extra cellular enzymes such as β -1,3-glucanase, cellulase, protease and lipase helps in controlling the plant pathogens by acting on their cell walls, thereby indirectly functions as PGP (Chet and Inbar 1994; Lima et al. 1998; Ellis et al. 2000; Lynd et al. 2002; Haas and Defago 2005). HCN production by microbes is reported widely to play a role in disease suppression (Haas et al. 1991; Wei et al. 1991; Siddigui 2006). Siderophores function as solubilising agents for iron from minerals under conditions of iron limitation and helps to inhibit the growth of plant pathogens (Tokala et al. 2002). Siderophores producing microbes bind Fe³⁺ from the environment and make it available for its own growth as well as make it available for plants (Wang et al. 2014). In the present study, the selected actinomycetes and Bacillus isolates produced one or more extra cellular enzymes and growth-promoting hormones and hence it can be concluded that these have good biocontrol and PGP potentials (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rDNA sequences of the selected five FOC antagonistic actinomycetes showed that all isolates matched 100% with *Streptomyces* but different species while the selected five *Bacillus* isolates matched 100% with *Bacillus* but different species (Figure 2(a,b)). The sequences of the 10 FOC antagonistic bacteria were submitted to GenBank and the cultures to ICRISAT microbial collection bank.

In the present investigation, the five *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates were demonstrated for their antagonistic potentials against FOC under both greenhouse as well as wilt sick field conditions. The germination

Figure 4. (a) Evaluation of *Streptomyces* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick field conditions – on chickpea cultivar JG62. (b) Evaluation of *Bacillus* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick field conditions – on chickpea cultivar JG62. (c) Evaluation of *Streptomyces* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick field conditions – on chickpea cultivar S50. (d) Evaluation of *Bacillus* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick field conditions – on chickpea cultivar K850. (d) Evaluation of *Bacillus* isolates for their antagonistic activity against FOC in wilt sick field conditions – on chickpea cultivar K850.

A
Ц
ving
r sov
aftei
days
35
- at
Š
u
Ξ
p
õ
-
fielc
<u> </u>
ğ
u
ga
ğ
÷
Ŀ,
of (
S.
rait
t
PGF
uo
es
lat
sol
is i
ilu
g
B
pu
a
vces
Ĕ
žõ
le'
St
of
t
Effe
5.

Year 2016/2017 Year 2016/2018 Plant Nodule Leaf weight Year 2017/2018 Plant Nodule Nodule Nodule Nodule Nodule Nodule Nodule Leaf weight Star Nodule Nodule Leaf weight Star Nodule Nodule<	e 5. Ef	fect o	f Streptor	<i>myces</i> and <i>Ba</i>	<i>cillus</i> isolates	on PGP trait	s of chickpea	under field c	onditions –	at 35 days aft	er sowing (D	AS).	
$ \begin{array}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $				Ye	ar 2016/2017					Year 201	7/2018		
height number weight verget Plant number weight verget Stem weight verget Number verget <t< td=""><td></td><td>Plant</td><td>Nodule</td><td>Nodule</td><td>Leaf area</td><td></td><td></td><td>i</td><td>Nodule</td><td>Nodule</td><td>Leaf area</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Plant	Nodule	Nodule	Leaf area			i	Nodule	Nodule	Leaf area		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u> </u>	eight (cm)	number (plant ⁻¹)	weight (mg plant ^{—1})	(cm ⁻¹) plant ⁻¹)	Leaf weight (g plant $^{-1}$)	Stem weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Plant height (cm)	number (plant ^{_1})	weight (mg plant ⁻¹)	(cm ⁻¹) plant ⁻¹)	Leaf weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Stem weight (g plant ⁻¹)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	4.8	35	104	96	863	480	22.5	24	45	38	589	296
	7	0.6	31	89	66	677	469	25.9	26	49	56	853	486
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	5.1	31	73	101	879	533	26.1	40	74	55	785	391
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	1.1	25	68	102	708	493	22.7	22	46	37	602	290
	7	1.4	30	64	82	566	479	24.8	22	45	39	560	262
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	sor ^a 2	3.5	31	82	98	742	508	20.8	28	45	37	659	311
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	1.8	30	159	93	633	495	24.9	31	71	52	630	446
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	0.1	26	65	86	563	470	24.7	28	80	53	757	402
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	1.1	23	69	98	676	467	21.8	21	47	36	483	268
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	0.7	31	70	83	781	445	22.8	22	44	36	539	256
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	2.3	45	104	106	748	499	24.9	28	56	50	827	384
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	sor ^a 2	2.2	35	66	80	614	595	22.9	26	44	39	420	254
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	1.1	21	59	80	533	441	22.5	19	43	34	409	252
0.99* 3.3** 15.2** 13.8 ^{NS} 133.0 ^{NS} 25.7* 0.78*** 3.1** 7.1** 6.5 ^{NS} 65.9*** 25.2*** 6) 2.90 9.7 44.3 40.2 388.3 74.9 2.28 9.1 20.8 18.9 192.4 73.7 8 19 31 26 33 9 6 21 23 26 18 13	7	2.0	30	85	93	691	490	23.6	26	53	43	624	331
6) 2.90 9.7 44.3 40.2 388.3 74.9 2.28 9.1 20.8 18.9 192.4 73.7 8 19 31 26 33 9 6 21 23 26 18 13		0.99*	3.3**	15.2**	13.8 ^{NS}	133.0 ^{NS}	25.7*	0.78***	3.1**	7.1**	6.5 ^{NS}	65.9***	25.2***
8 19 31 26 33 9 6 21 23 26 18 13	(%	2.90	9.7	44.3	40.2	388.3	74.9	2.28	9.1	20.8	18.9	192.4	73.7
		8	19	31	26	33	9	6	21	23	26	18	13

			Year 20	016/2017					Year 201	7/2018		
I		Nodule	Nodule		Pod			Nodule	Nodule		Pod	
Isolate	Plant heicht (cm)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	weight (mg plant ⁻¹)	Shoot weight (a plant ⁻¹)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	Pod weight (n nlant ⁻¹)	Plant heicht (cm)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	weight (mg plant ⁻¹)	Shoot weight (n nlant ⁻¹)	number (nlant ⁻¹)	Pod weight (a nlant ⁻¹)
AC-5	38.3	15	80	(11.75	10.3	() () () () () () () () () () () () ()	36.0	13		517 S	75.0	1 283
AC-6	31.0	14	66 66	11.50	14.7	587	38.3	20	100	7.49	46.0	2.113
AC-10	37.0	15	88	11.15	9.3	228	40.0	17	64	6.54	28.3	1.653
AC-18	35.0	16	82	13.26	13.7	352	36.7	13	52	5.40	21.7	1.035
AC-19	35.0	17	80	10.00	9.7	214	35.3	14	45	6.46	31.3	2.061
AC-consor ^a	36.3	17	94	9.97	15.3	395	34.0	14	58	5.85	22.7	0.655
BS-10	37.0	16	65	10.22	11.7	307	36.0	15	52	5.35	21.0	1.243
BS-15	33.3	14	73	10.11	12.7	252	35.0	14	41	5.59	26.3	1.581
BS-17	38.7	16	67	11.43	16.3	531	35.3	15	56	5.84	29.7	1.976
BS-19	36.3	19	104	12.66	12.3	312	34.3	15	41	5.56	36.0	1.650
BS-20	36.0	17	102	11.75	16.7	301	31.3	14	43	5.90	23.7	1.108
BS-consor ^a	42.0	19	72	14.53	15.7	532	34.3	13	40	6.73	22.3	1.093
Control	38.7	13	64	9.92	12.7	365	33.7	13	39	5.12	23.7	1.231
Mean	36.5	16	80	11.40	13.9	386	35.4	15	52	5.92	27.5	1.437
SE±	1.83*	1.9 ^{NS}	20.9 ^{NS}	0.84**	3.65 ^{NS}	178.5 ^{NS}	1.35*	1.3*	9.1**	0.445*	2.72***	0.266*
LSD (5%)	5.34	5.8	61.0	2.45	10.65	520.9	3.94	3.8	26.5	1.300	7.95	0.777
CV%	6	22	45	13	46	80	7	15	30	13	17	32
^a Consortium *Statistically	significant at (0.05.										

Table 6. Effect of Streptomyces and Bacillus isolates on PGP traits of chickpea under field conditions – at 60 days after sowing (DAS).

*** Statistically significant at 0.01. **** Statistically significant at 0.001. NS = Not significant.

iaturity.	
– at crop m	
conditions	
under fielc	
^c hickpea	
P traits of	
s on PG	
s isolate	
d Bacillu	
<i>yces</i> an	
of Streptom	
. Effect c	
Table 7	

Pod er weight Fod fan weight Dod number Seed for weight Stover weight Grain weight ha^{-1}) (t ha^{-1}) (plant ⁻¹) (plant ⁻¹) (plant ⁻¹) (t ha^{-1}) 36 1.29 27 6.68 28 1.72 1.00 34 1.43 35 8.59 37 2.10 1.24 36 1.31 33 8.59 37 2.10 1.24 36 1.31 33 8.04 37 2.17 1.09 56 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.02 56 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.09 57 1.29 36 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 44 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.09 58 1.32 37 2.04 1.07 0.96 35 1.32 37 2.04 1.07 0.96	-			/ear 2016/2017			-		Year 2017/2018		
er weight Grain weight number Pod weight number Stover weight Grain weight frain weight frain weight Grain W	Pod Seed	Seed	Seed				Pod		Seed		
36 1.29 27 6.68 28 1.72 1.00 34 1.43 35 8.59 37 2.10 1.24 32 1.29 45 11.12 46 2.76 1.42 36 1.30 28 7.08 28 1.79 0.96 56 1.31 33 2.17 1.09 0.96 53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 37 9.54 39 2.04 1.07 41 1.1 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 1.08 48 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.04 1.07 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.04 1.06 58 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 59 1.34 37 2.33 1.19 3.3 1.16 58 1.34 <t< td=""><td>number Pod weight number S (plant⁻¹) (g plant⁻¹) (plant⁻¹)</td><td>od weight number S g_plant⁻¹) (plant⁻¹)</td><td>number 5 (plant⁻¹)</td><td>0</td><td>itover weight (t ha⁻¹)</td><td>Grain weight (t ha⁻¹)</td><td>number (plant^{_1})</td><td>Pod weight (g plant^{_1})</td><td>number (plant^{_1})</td><td>Stover weight (t ha⁻¹)</td><td>Grain weight (t ha⁻¹)</td></t<>	number Pod weight number S (plant ⁻¹) (g plant ⁻¹) (plant ⁻¹)	od weight number S g_plant ⁻¹) (plant ⁻¹)	number 5 (plant ⁻¹)	0	itover weight (t ha ⁻¹)	Grain weight (t ha ⁻¹)	number (plant ^{_1})	Pod weight (g plant ^{_1})	number (plant ^{_1})	Stover weight (t ha ⁻¹)	Grain weight (t ha ⁻¹)
34 143 35 8.59 37 2.10 1.24 32 129 45 11.12 46 2.76 1.42 36 130 28 7.08 28 1.79 0.96 56 131 33 2.17 1.09 0.96 53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 38 9.54 39 2.04 1.07 44 1.41 41 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.33 1.19 48 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.33 1.19 58 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.33 1.19 51 1.34 2.6 7.65 2.7 2.47 1.06 58 1.6	36 9.2 37	9.2 37	37		1.36	1.29	27	6.68	28	1.72	1.00
32 1.29 45 11.12 46 2.76 1.42 36 1.30 28 7.08 28 1.79 0.96 56 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.09 53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 38 9.54 39 2.06 1.31 44 1.41 41 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.32 9.74 37 2.30 1.20 1.20 35 1.34 2.6 7.65 27 2.30 1.20 36 1.52 2.7 9.99 2.7 2.47 1.06 44 1.52 2.7 9.200 1.24 1.08 1.24 37 2.38 1.46 2.7 2.47 1.06 1.06 <	43 10.8 44	10.8 44	44		1.34	1.43	35	8.59	37	2.10	1.24
36 1.30 28 7.08 28 1.79 0.96 56 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.09 53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 33 9.54 39 2.06 1.31 40 1.52 33 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 48 1.32 37 2.30 1.20 1.20 48 1.52 27 2.00 1.08 44 1.52 2.7 2.90 1.06 58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.38 1.24 41 1.52 2.7 2.00 1.08 0.94 58 1.66 2.7 2.14 1.16 0.94 42 1.38 3.4 2.14 1.16 0.94 42	38 9.5 40	9.5 40	40		1.32	1.29	45	11.12	46	2.76	1.42
56 1.31 33 8.04 33 2.17 1.09 53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 38 9.54 39 2.06 1.31 44 1.41 41 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.30 1.20 48 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.52 27 2.00 1.20 1.20 58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.38 1.24 58 1.66 27 2.77 2.00 1.06 58 1.66 27 2.77 2.14 1.16 58 1.66 27 2.77 2.14 1.16 58 1.66 27 2.77 2.14 1.16 42 1.38 3.4 2.14 1.16 6.95 0	35 9.4 37	9.4 37	37		1.36	1.30	28	7.08	28	1.79	0.96
53 1.29 30 7.47 31 2.04 1.07 40 1.52 38 9.54 39 2.06 1.31 44 1.41 41 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.32 37 9.57 41 2.33 1.19 48 1.32 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 48 1.52 27 9.98 27 2.47 1.06 58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.33 1.24 32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 43 33 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.14<	38 10.2 40	10.2 40	40		1.56	1.31	33	8.04	33	2.17	1.09
40 1.52 38 9.54 39 2.06 1.31 $A4$ 1.41 41 9.57 41 2.30 1.20 35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 $A8$ 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 $A8$ 1.32 26 7.65 27 2.00 1.08 $A4$ 1.52 27 9.98 27 2.47 1.06 58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.38 1.24 32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 32 1.28 1.45 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 $.059*$ 0.077* 3.2** 1.45 0.16 0.66** $.171$ 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 $.171$ 0.214 14 24 14 10 8 0.209	39 9.5 40	9.5 40	40		1.53	1.29	30	7.47	31	2.04	1.07
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43 12.2 43	12.2 43	43		1.40	1.52	38	9.54	39	2.06	1.31
.35 1.32 37 9.74 37 2.33 1.19 .48 1.34 2.6 7.65 27 2.00 1.08 .44 1.52 2.7 9.98 27 2.47 1.06 .58 1.66 44 9.29 27 2.38 1.24 .32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 .32 1.38 3.4 8.55 3.4 1.14 1.14 .059* 0.077* 3.2** 1.454 ^{NS} 3.3** 0.150** 0.068** .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209	41 11.5 42	11.5 42	42		1.44	1.41	41	9.57	41	2.30	1.20
48 1.34 26 7.65 27 2.00 1.08 44 1.52 27 9.98 27 2.47 1.06 58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.38 1.24 32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 42 1.38 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 42 1.38 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 42 1.38 3.2^{**} 1.454^{NS} 3.3^{**} 0.068^{**} $.059^{*}$ 0.077^{*} 3.2^{**} 1.454^{NS} 3.3^{**} 0.068^{**} $.171$ 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 $.171$ 0.224 10.0 14 14 10 8	38 9.4 39	9.4 39	39		1.35	1.32	37	9.74	37	2.33	1.19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38 9.3 39 1	9.3 39 1	39 1	-	.48	1.34	26	7.65	27	2.00	1.08
.58 1.66 44 9.29 45 2.38 1.24 .32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 .42 1.38 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 .059* 0.077* 3.2** 1.454 ^{NS} 3.3** 0.150** 0.068** .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209	41 11.2 43 1	11.2 43 1	43 1	-	44.	1.52	27	9.98	27	2.47	1.06
.32 1.26 26 6.46 27 1.74 0.94 .42 1.38 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 .059* $0.077*$ $3.2**$ 1.454^{NS} $3.3**$ 0.150^{**} 0.068^{**} .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 14 24 14 10 8	44 12.0 45	12.0 45	45		I.58	1.66	44	9.29	45	2.38	1.24
.42 1.38 34 8.55 34 2.14 1.14 .059* 0.077* 3.2** 1.454 ^{NS} 3.3** 0.150** 0.068** .171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 .171 0.224 10.0 14 24 14 10 8	34 9.0 36	9.0 36	36		1.32	1.26	26	6.46	27	1.74	0.94
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39 10.3 40	10.3 40	40		1.42	1.38	34	8.55	34	2.14	1.14
.171 0.224 10.0 4.479 10.1 0.462 0.209 . 10 14 24 14 10 8	1.9* 1.58 ^{NS} 2.0*	1.58 ^{NS} 2.0*	2.0*		0.059*	0.077*	3.2**	1.454 ^{NS}	3.3**	0.150**	0.068**
, 10 14 24 14 10 8	5.5 4.62 5.8	4.62 5.8	5.8		0.171	0.224	10.0	4.479	10.1	0.462	0.209
	8 27 9	27 9	6		7	10	14	24	14	10	8



Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy images of chickpea roots colonisation of *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates. Note: Arrows indicate chickpea roots colonised by BS-20, BS-19, AC-19 and AC-18.

percentage of the seeds under both greenhouse and field studies were more than 90%, 80% (mean of both JG-62 and K850), respectively, in both test organisms as well as non-inoculated control treatments. Hence, it can be concluded that the test organisms have no negative impact on the germination and growth of chickpea. Of the five selected Streptomyces isolates, reduction of disease incidence was found maximum in AC-19 followed by AC-5 and AC-10 whereas for Bacillus isolates, BS-19 followed by BS-20 and BS-15 over the positive control in both greenhouse as well as field conditions (Figure 3(a-d)). Streptomyces spp. have been reported widely to protect crop plants against plant pathogens such as Rhizoctonia solani (causes pea root rot) and F. oxysporum f. sp. cubense (causes wilt in banana) (Rothrock and Gottlieb 1984; Liu et al. 1996; Getha et al. 2005). Streptomyces spp. were also reported to control FOC in chickpea (Bashar and Rai 1994; Nonoh et al. 2010). Bacillus spp. have also been reported widely to protect crop plants against phytopathogens (Boulter et al. 2002; Johri et al. 2003; Saharan and Nehra 2011). The mechanisms involved in biocontrol of plant pathogens by antagonistic bacteria include either by competition (Elad and Chet 1987) or by metabolite production such as siderophore, HCN, antibiotics or extracellular enzymes such as cellulase, chitinase, protease, lipase and β-1,3-glucanase (Sang et al. 2006; El Hassni et al. 2007; Idris et al. 2007). In the

present investigation, the selected FOC antagonistic *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* isolates produced many of these extracellular enzymes and HCN, which could have played a role in suppression of FOC.

In the present study, the selected FOC antagonistic Streptomyces and Bacillus isolates were found to significantly enhance PGP traits including nodule number, nodule weight, shoot weight, root weight, pod number, pod weight, seed number, seed weight, gain yield and stover yield under both greenhouse as well as field conditions (Tables 2-7). Bacteria are reported to chemo-attract towards the root exudates, colonise in rhizosphere and played an important role in reducing pathogen population and enhancing plant nutrition (Bulluck et al. 2002; Lugtenberg and Kamilova 2009). Such bacteria, including Streptomyces and Bacillus, are reported widely to enhance not only nodulation and nitrogen fixation but also grain and stover yield in crops, including tomato, wheat, rice, sorghum, bean, pigeonpea and chickpea (Tokala et al. 2002; El-Tarabily et al. 2009; Choudhary and Johri 2009; Sadeghi et al. 2012; Gopalakrishnan et al. 2014, 2015, 2016; Sreevidya and Gopalakrishnan 2017). In the present study, the enhancement of yield and other PGP traits were probably contributed either by IAA or by other hydrolytic enzymes, produced by Streptomyces and Bacillus isolates.

In the present study, under both greenhouse and field conditions, Streptomyces sp. AC-19 and Bacillus sp. BS-20 significantly inhibited FOC and enhanced morphological and yield parameters of chickpea such as plant height, leaf area and weight, stem weight, root length, volume and weight, nodule number, nodule weight, stove yield and grain yield over the un-inoculated control. The mechanism by which the AC-19 and BS-20 inhibited FOC and enhanced the plant growth and yield parameters of chickpea could be attributed to their siderophore, IAA, lipase, protease, β-1,3-glucanase, HCN producing capabilities and/or to their ability to survive under harsh environments. Such broad spectrum PGP and biocontrol agents may offer potentially effective novel strategies not only for controlling multiple pathogens and insect pests but also help in conservation of the rapidly eroding agricultural lands. There is a need to do additional comprehensive research for identifying mode of action of AC-19 and BS-20 in controlling Fusarium wilt in chickpea and conduct multi-location trials.

Acknowledgments

This work has been undertaken as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals. ICRISAT is a member of CGIAR Consortium. We would also like to thank ICRISAT and all of the staff members of the biocontrol unit, including P.V.S. Prasad, V. Srinivas, A. Satya, A. Jabbar, A. Sandip and P. Mathur for their significant inputs in the laboratory and field experiments.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Alschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 21:403–410.
- Anjaiah V, Cornelis P, Koedam N. 2003. Effect of genotype and root colonization in biological control of Fusarium wilts in pigeonpea and chickpea by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PNA1. Can J Microbiol. 49(2):85–91.
- Bashar MA, Rai B. 1994. Antagonistic potential of root-region microflora of chickpea against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. Bangla J Bot. 23:13–19.
- Bazzicalupo M, Fani R. 1995. The use of RAPD for generating specific DNA probes for microorganisms. In: Clap JP, editor. Methods in molecular biology, species diagnostic protocols: PCR and other nucleic acid methods. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc.; p. 112–124.
- Berdy J. 2012. Thoughts and facts about antibiotics: where we are now and where we are heading. J Antibiot. 65:385–395.
- Bhattacharya A, Chandra S, Barik S. 2009. Lipase and protease producing microbes from the environment of sugar beet field. Ind J Agric Biochem. 22:26–30.
- Boulter JI, Trevors JT, Boland GJ. 2002. Microbial studies of compost: bacterial identification, and their potential for turf grass pathogen suppression. World J Microbiol Biotech. 18(7):661–671.
- Bulluck LR, Brosius M, Evanylo GK, Ristaino JB. 2002. Organic and synthetic fertility amendments influence soil microbial, physical and chemical properties on organic and conventional farms. Appl Soil Ecol. 19(2):147–160.
- Cakmakci N, Singh K, Simanth N. 2006. Chickpea with a newly developed quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay. Phytopathology. 1:250–262.
- Cao Y, Zhang Z, Ling N, Yuan Y, Zheng X, Shen B, Shen Q. 2011. *Bacillus subtilis* SQR 9 can control Fusarium wilt in cucumber by colonizing plant roots. Biol Fertil Soils. 47(5):495–506.
- Chet I, Inbar J. 1994. Biological control of fungal pathogens. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 48(1):37-43.
- Choudhary DK, Johri BN. 2009. Interactions of *Bacillus* sp. and plants with special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR). Microbiol Res. 64:493–513.
- Das IK, Indira S, Annapurna A, Prabhakar, Seetharama N. 2008. Biocontrol of charcoal rot in sorghum by fluorescent *Pseudomonads* associated with the rhizosphere. Crop Prot. 27:1407–1414.
- Dubey SC, Suresh M, Singh B. 2007. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* species against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* for integrated management of chickpea wilt. Biol Cont. 40(1):118–127.
- Elad Y, Chet I. 1987. Possible role of competition for nutrients in biocontrol of *Pythium* damping-off by bacteria. Phytopathology. 77(2):190–195.

24 👄 B. G. ANUSHA ET AL.

- El Hassni M, El Hadrami A, Daayf F, Chérif M, Barka EA, El Hadrami I. 2007. Biological control of bayoud disease in date palm: selection of microorganisms inhibiting the causal agent and inducing defense reactions. Environ Exp Bot. 59(2): 224–234.
- Ellis RJ, Timms-Wilson TM, Bailey MJ. 2000. Identification of conserved traits in fluorescent *Pseudomonads* with antifungal activity. Environ Microbiol. 2(3):274–284.
- El-Tarabily KA, Nassar AH, Hardy GESJ, Sivasithamparam K. 2009. Plant growth promotion and biological control of *Pythium aphanidermatum*, a pathogen of cucumber, by endophytic actinomycetes. J Appl Microbiol. 106(1):13–26.
- Erdogan O, Benlioglu K. 2010. Biological control of *Verticillium wilt* on cotton by the use of Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* sp. under field conditions. Biol Cont. 53(1):39–45.
- Getha K, Vikineswary S, Wong WH, Seki T, Ward A, Goodfellow M. 2005. Evaluation of *Streptomyces* sp. strain G10 for suppression of Fusarium wilt and rhizosphere colonization in pot grown banana plantlet. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 32(1):24–32.
- Gopalakrishnan S, Beale MH, Ward JL, Strange RN. 2005. Chickpea wilt: identification and toxicity of 8-O-methyl-fusarubin from *Fusarium acutatum*. Photochemistry. 66(13):1536–1539.
- Gopalakrishnan S, Pande S, Sharma M, Humayun P, Kiran BK, Sandeep D, Vidya MS, Deepthi K, Rupela O. 2011. Evaluation of actinomycete isolates obtained from herbal vermicompost for biological control of Fusarium *wilt* of chickpea. Crop Prot. 30(8): 1070–1078. –
- Gopalakrishnan S, Sathya A, Vijayabharathi R. 2016. Plant growth-promoting actinobacteria. A new avenue for enhancing the productivity & soil fertility of grain Legumes. Singapore: Springer. ISBN 978-981-10-0705-7
- Gopalakrishnan S, Srinivas V, Alekhya G, Prakash B, Kudapa H, Varshney RK. 2015. Evaluation of *Streptomyces* spp. obtained from herbal vermicompost for broad spectrum of plant growth-promoting activities in chickpea. Org Agric. 5(2):123–133.
- Gopalakrishnan S, Vadlamudi S, Bandikinda P, Sathya A, Vijayabharathi R, Rupela O, Kudapa H, Katta K, Varshney RK. 2014. Evaluation of *Streptomyces* strains isolated from herbal vermicompost for their plant growth-promotion traits in rice. Microbiol Res. 169(1):40–48.
- Haas D, Defago G. 2005. Biological control of soil borne pathogens by fluorescent *Pseudomonads*. Nat Rev Microbiol. 3:307–319.
- Hendricks D, Keel C, Laville J, Maurhofer M, Oberhansli T, Schnider U, Défago G. 1995. Secondary metabolites of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHA0 involved in the suppression of root diseases. In: Hennecke H, Verma DPS, editors. Advances in molecular genetics of plant-microbe interactions. Amsterdam: Springer; p. 450–456.
- Haas D, Keel C, Laville J, Maurhofer M, Oberhansli T, Schnider U, Vosard C, Wuthrich B, Defago G. 1991. Secondary metabolites of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHAO involved in the suppression of root diseases. In: Hennecke IH, Verma DPS, editors. Advances in molecular genetics of plant-microbe interactions, vol. I. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; p. 450–456.
- Hanane H, Koriko M, Gado T, Hafidi M. 2008. Isolation and characterization of rock phosphate solubilizing actinobacteria from a Togolese phosphate mine. African J Biotechnol. 11:312–320.
- Idris HA, Labuschagne N, Korsten L. 2007. Screening rhizobacteria for biological control of *Fusarium* root and crown rot of sorghum in Ethiopia. Biol Cont. 40(1):97–106.
- Johri BN, Sharma A, Virdi JS. 2003. Rhizobacterial diversity in India and its influence on soil and plant health. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 84:49–89.

- Jiménez-Fernández D, Montes-Borrego M, Jiménez Díaz RM, Navas-Cortés JA, Landa BB. 2015. In planta and soil quantification of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* and evaluation of Fusarium wilt resistance in *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* in the soil through seed transmission. Plant Pathol. 23:3–6.
- Jimenez-Gasco MM, Milgroom MG, Jimenez-Diaz RM. 2002. Gene genealogies support *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* as a monophyletic group. Plant Pathol. 51:72–77.
- Khamna S, Yokota A, Lumyong S. 2009. Actinomycetes isolated from medicinal plant rhizosphere soils: diversity and screening of antifungal compounds indole-3-acetic acid and siderophore production. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 25(4):649–655.
- Lynd LR, Weimer PJ, Van ZWH, Pretorius IS. 2002. Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 66(3):506–577.
- Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F. 2009. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 63:541–556.
- Li Q, Ning P, Zheng L, Huang J, Li G, Hsiang T. 2012. Effects of volatile substances of *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1 on control of *Botrytis cinerea* on tomato fruit. Biol Cont. 61(2):113–120.
- Lorck H. 1948. Production of hydrocyanic acid by bacteria. Physiol Plant. 1(2):142-146.
- Lima LHC, Marco JL, Felix JR. 1998. Enzimashidroliticasenvolvidas no controlebiologicopormiciparasitisma. In: Melo IS, Azevedo JL, editors. Controlebiologico 11 Jaguraiuna: EMBRAPA-MeioAmbiente; p. 263–304.
- Liu L, Kloepper JW, Tuzun S. 1996. Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against Fusarium wilt by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology. 85(6):695–698.
- Moradi H, Bahramnejad B, Amini J, Siosemardeh A, Haji-Allahverdipoor K. 2012. Suppression of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Fusarium wilt by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Trichoderma harzianum*. Plant Omics J. 5:68–74.
- Naureen Z, Adam P, Fauzia YH, Robert MR. 2009. Identification of rice blast disease suppressing bacterial strains from the rhizospheres of rice grown in Pakistan. Crop Prot. 28(12):1052–1060.
- Nonoh JO, Wilber L, Daniel M, Rafi H, James K, Presnail Eric S, Matilda AO, Richard B, Paul M, Aggrey BN, et al. 2010. Isolation and characterization of *Streptomyces* species with antifungal activity from selected national parks in Kenya. African J Microbiol Res. 4:856–864.
- On A, Wong F, Ko Q, Tweddell RJ, Antoun H, Avis TJ. 2015. Antifungal effects of compost tea microorganisms on tomato pathogens. Biol Cont. 80:63–69.
- Patten CL, Glick BR. 1996. Bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. Can J Microbiol. 42(3):207–220.
- Rothrock CS, Gottlieb D. 1984. Role of antibiosis in antagonism of *Streptomyces hydroscopicus* var. *geldanus* to *Rhizoctonia solani* in soil. Can J Microbiol. 30(12): 1440-1447.
- Sadeghi A, Karimi E, Dahaji PA, Javid MG, Dalvand Y, Askari H. 2012. Plant growthpromoting activity of an auxin and siderophore producing isolate of *Streptomyces* under saline soil condition. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 28(4):1503–1509.
- Siddiqui ZA. 2006. PGPR: prospective biocontrol agents of plant pathogens. In: Siddiqui ZA, editor. PGPR: biocontrol and biofertilization. Dordrecht: Springer; p. 111–142.
- Sang M-K, Chiang M-H, Yi E-S, Park K-W, Kim K-D. 2006. Biocontrol of Korean ginseng root rot caused by *Phytophthora cactorum* using antagonistic bacterial strains ISE13 and KJ1R5. Plant Pathol J. 22(1):103–108.

- 26 🛞 B. G. ANUSHA ET AL.
- Saharan BS, Nehra V. 2011. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: a critical review. Life Sci Med Res. 2011(LSRM-21):1–30.
- Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol. 4:406–425.
- Schwyn B, Neilands J. 1987. Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal Biochem. 160(1):47-56.
- Singh PP, Shin YC, Park CS, Chung YR. 1999. Biological control of Fusarium wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathology. 89(1):92–99.
- Sreevidya M, Gopalakrishnan S. 2017. Direct and indirect plant growth-promoting abilities of *Bacillus* species on chickpea, isolated from compost and rhizosphere soils. Org Agric. 7(1):31-40.
- Trapero Casas A, Jimenez-Diaz RM. 1985. Fungal wilt and root rot disease of chickpea in Southern Spain. Phytopathology. 75(10):1146-1151.
- Tokala RK, Strap JL, Jung CM, Crawford DL, Salove MH, Deobald LA, Bailey JF, Morra MJ. 2002. Novel plant-microbe rhizosphere interaction involving *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC108 and the pea plant (*Pisum sativum*). Appl Environ Microbiol. 68(5): 2161–2171.
- Thompson JD, Gibsom TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. 1997. The clustal X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. J Physiol Res. 4:25–29.
- Vivas A, Biró B, Ruíz-Lozano JM, Barea JM, Azcón R. 2006. Two bacterial strains isolated from a Zn polluted soil enhance plant growth and mycorrhizal efficiency under Zn toxicity. Chemosphere. 62(9):1523–1533.
- Wei G, Kloepper JW, Sadik T. 1991. Induction of systemic resistance of cucumber to *Colletotrichum orbiculare* by selected strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology. 81(12):1508–1512.
- Wang W, Qiu Z, Tan H, Cao L. 2014. Siderophore production by actinobacteria. Biometals. 27(4):623–631.