



Title	Hydrogen-assisted stabilization of Ni nanowires in solution
Author(s)	Kiguchi, Manabu; Konishi, Tatsuya; Murakoshi, Kei
Citation	APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS, 87, 043104 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2001142
Issue Date	2005-07
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/5913
Rights	Copyright © 2005 American Institute of Physics
Type	article
File Information	APL87-4.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Hydrogen-assisted stabilization of Ni nanowires in solution

Manabu Kiguchi, Tatsuya Konishi, and Kei Murakoshi^{a)}

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

(Received 8 March 2005; accepted 7 June 2005; published online 19 July 2005)

We have studied conductance characteristics of mechanically fabricated Ni nanoconstrictions under controlling electrochemical potential and *pH* of the electrolyte. The conductance histogram showed a clear feature peaked at 1–1.5 G_0 ($G_0=2e^2/h$) when the potential of the constriction was kept at more negative potential than –900 mV versus Ag/AgCl at *pH*=3.7. A comparable feature also appeared at a more positive potential when a lower *pH* solution was used. We have revealed that Ni monoatomic contact or monoatomic wire can be stabilized in solution at room temperature under the hydrogen evolution. © 2005 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2001142]

Construction of metal nanowires is the central issue of the current technology, because the techniques may be applied to fabricate ultimate electrical circuits in an atomic scale. Electrical conductance through a metal nanowire in an atomic scale is expressed by $G=2e^2/h\sum T_i$; where T_i is the transmission probability of the *i*th conductance channel, *e* is the electron charge, and *h* is Planck's constant. Conductance quantization depends not only on the atomic structure of the nanoconstriction but also on inherent properties of the metal.¹ Conductance at monoatomic point contact of transition metals with partially occupied *d* orbitals is expected to be 1.5–3 G_0 based on the theoretical calculation.¹ Recently, quantized conductance of Ni nanoconstrictions has attracted much attention because of the expectation that a ferromagnetic nanowire may show the conductance quantization in units of 0.5 G_0 , since there is no spin degeneracy. The up- and down-spin electrons contribute independently to the electric transport. As well as Ni, other ferromagnetic metals, Fe and Co, have been extensively studied to confirm spin-dependent conductance quantization. However, previously documented data of experiments were less consistent with each other. Various conductance values, such as 1.6 G_0 ,² 1 G_0 ,³ and 0.5 G_0 , have been reported.⁴ In addition, a histogram of the conductance becomes featureless at room temperature.⁵ The poor agreement between former reported results may originate from the chemical instability of the nanoconstrictions of ferromagnetic metals. A novel experimental approach, under controlled chemical reactivity of ferromagnetic metals, is required to study the characteristics of the conductance quantization of ferromagnetic metals.

An electrochemical method has been recognized to also be another powerful approach to study the conductance quantization.^{6,7} Electrochemical potential determines the potential energy of electrons of the nanoconstriction, resulting in the control of the bonding strength between the metal atoms, and the interaction of the metals with molecules in a surrounding medium. The characteristics of the system lead to the successful fabrication of very stable metal nanostructures showing the conductance quantization which cannot be observed in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV). For example, we reported that clear conductance quantization for Pd nanoconstrictions can be observed by the careful control of Pd depo-

sition and dissolution.⁷ It is noteworthy that conductance quantization was not observed in an UHV at room temperature.⁸ In this study, we have mechanically fabricated Ni nanoconstrictions in solution, and studied their conductance of the nanoconstrictions under controlled electrochemical potential and *pH* of the electrolyte. We discussed the effect of hydrogen on the stabilization of the Ni nanostructures, and the possibility of preparation of the Ni monoatomic wire in solution at room temperature.

The experiments were performed in an electrochemical cell mounted in a chamber that was filled with high-purity N₂ gas (>99.999%) to avoid the effect of oxygen in air. The tip was made of a Au wire (diameter ~0.25 mm, >99.9%) coated with wax to reduce Faradic current between the tip and a counter electrode. The substrate was Au(111) prepared by flame annealing and quenching method. The electrochemical potential (Φ_0) of the Au substrate and tip was controlled using potentiostat (Pico-Stat, Molecular Imaging Co.), referring to a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The potential difference between the tip and substrate (bias voltage) was kept at 20 mV for the conductance measurements. A Pt wire was used as a counterelectrode. The electrolyte was 10 mM NiSO₄, 10 mM H₃BO₃, and H₂SO₄. The solution *pH* was adjusted by changing the concentration of H₂SO₄.

Nanoconstrictions of Ni were prepared in the following manner. First, Ni was electrochemically deposited both on a Au tip and a substrate by the polarization to negative potential than $\Phi_0=-650$ mV where Ni bulk deposition proceeded.⁹ After the confirmation of the bulk deposition of Ni on the tip and a substrate, the tip was pressed into the substrate and then pulling out of contact at a typical rate of 50 nm/s in the electrochemical cell. During the contact breaking, a Ni nanoconstriction was formed between the tip and the substrate as schematically shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 2(a) shows the conductance histogram observed at $\Phi_0=-800$ mV in the electrolyte solution with a *pH*=3.7. There was no clear feature in the conductance histogram, suggesting that any certain atomic configurations were not preferentially formed between Ni-deposited tip and a substrate. When the electrochemical potential of the nanoconstriction was kept more negative ($\Phi_0=-900$ mV), a clear feature appeared near 1–1.5 G_0 [see Fig. 2(b)]. Further polarization to the negative potential of a tip and a substrate did not change of the conductance histograms. The clear feature in the conductance histogram showing 1–1.5 G_0 also ap-

^{a)}Also at: PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan; electronic mail: kei@sci.hokudai.ac.jp




FIG. 1. Schematic presentation of a Ni nanoconstriction prepared under electrochemical-potential control. Ni is deposited on a Au single crystal substrate and a Au tip. RE, WE, and CE indicate reference electrode, working electrode, and counter electrode, respectively.

peared when the solution pH became lower than 2.7. Figure 2(c) shows the conductance histogram at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH = 2.3$. A comparable feature to that of at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV and a $pH = 3.7$ was clearly observed in the histogram. A further decrease in pH did not change the conductance histogram. The potential, where a clear feature at $1-1.5 G_0$ was observed, corresponds to the hydrogen evolution region at the Ni electrode.¹⁰ Thus, the present result shows that the chemical reaction of hydrogen evolution significantly affects the conductance of Ni nanoconstriction in solution.

At the hydrogen evolution potential, the surface of Ni electrode is covered by the dissociated atomic hydrogen.¹⁰ The coverage of adsorbed hydrogen was evaluated by *in situ* infrared-reflection spectroscopy and the qualitative analysis of current-potential curves for hydrogen evolution.^{10,11} The coverage of adsorbed hydrogen gradually increases as the electrochemical potential becomes negative. Based on the results at the Ni polycrystalline electrode, the coverage of adsorbed hydrogen was estimated to be 0.20 and 0.40 at $\Phi_0 = -800$ and -900 mV at a $pH = 3.7$, respectively. In more acidic solution with a $pH = 2.3$, comparable coverage can be achieved at a more positive potential by 100 mV. A comparable feature in the conductance histograms at a $pH = 3.7$ at -900 mV and that at a $pH = 2.3$ at -800 mV proves that the dissociated atomic hydrogen play an important role to define the geometrical and/or the electronic structure of the ferromagnetic metal nanoconstriction in solution.

It is noteworthy that the observed conductance histogram [Fig. 2(b)] was similar to that observed in UHV at an ultralow temperature. In an UHV, the clear $1-1.5 G_0$ feature appears in the conductance histogram at an ultralow temperature,¹² while the histogram becomes entirely featureless at room temperature.⁵ Thermal fluctuation of atoms at

room temperature may prevent the long-term stability of the nanocontact, resulting in the broad feature in the histogram. At a low temperature in an UHV, thermal fluctuation is small. Thus, the nanostructure can have thermally stable structures showing specific values of the conductance. Although the fluctuation of atoms in solution at room temperature should be much larger than that in air and an UHV, the specific feature of the Ni nanoconstriction was found to be kept during the hydrogen evolution reaction even at room temperature. The results prove that the control of the electrochemical potential and solution pH leads to successful preparation of the Ni nanoconstrictions showing clear conductance quantization at room temperature.

At the present stage, it is not clear how the adsorbed atomic hydrogen stabilizes the certain atomic arrangement of the Ni nanoconstriction. The result proves that the effects of hydrogen on the conductance values in the present system are different from those in an UHV. In the previously documented result in an UHV, introducing hydrogen suppressed the characteristic conductance of Ni at $1-1.5 G_0$ by the replacement due to the evolution of a new value appearing near $1 G_0$ in the histogram.¹² The origin of the value is a single hydrogen molecule bridging between two electrodes.¹³ In the case of Pd, introducing hydrogen also suppresses the characteristic conductance of $1.8 G_0$, which gives new values appearing near 0.5 and $1 G_0$ in the histogram.¹⁴ These values of 0.5 and $1 G_0$ are attributable to a bridging single hydrogen molecule, and a Pd_2H_2 complex, respectively. On the other hand, in the present system in solution, the conductance histogram under the hydrogen evolution reaction was similar to that of a bare Ni metal in an UHV. The observation suggests that the hydrogen molecules did not bridge between the electrodes, despite the existence of the adsorbed hydrogen at the Ni surface.

Electrochemical potential control of the Ni nanoconstriction in solution leads to the control of the electronic density of the Ni atoms at the constriction. Characteristics of the electronic structure of the Ni nanoconstriction under the electrochemical potential control should be maintained the same as a bare Ni constriction in an UHV, even in the situation of the hydrogen adsorption on the surface. In addition to the effect of potential control of the system, the adsorbed hydrogen is known to alter the surface stress, because of modulation of the bonding strength between Ni atoms at the surface.¹⁵ In the present system, adsorbed hydrogen—as well as evolved hydrogen molecules in the vicinity of the Ni nanoconstriction—may help to stabilize the specific structure of the constriction mechanically via relatively weak physical and/or chemical interactions. These specific effects in the electrochemical system may lead to a comparable feature of the conductance histogram observed at the nanoconstriction of a bare Ni in an UHV at an ultralow temperature, even under the hydrogen evolution in solution at room temperature.

The conductance trace contains structural information on the Ni nanoconstriction in solution. Figure 3(a) shows the typical conductance trace at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH = 3.7$. As a general tendency, the conductance change occurred continuously, rather than in a stepwise fashion. Although conductance occasionally showed small steps and plateau-like structures, their appearance and conductance values were nonreproducible, resulting in the featureless conductance histograms [see Fig. 2(a)]. Figures 3(b) and 3(c) show the typi-




FIG. 2. Conductance histograms of Ni nanoconstrictions kept at (a) and (c) $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV, (b) $\Phi_0 = -900$ mV. Solution pH of the electrolytes was (a) and (b) 3.7 and (c) 2.3.




FIG. 3. Typical conductance traces of Ni nanoconstrictions observed at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH=3.7$ (a), and $pH=2.3$ (b) and (c). Arrows in (c) indicate a reversible transition between $1 G_0$ and $0.6\text{--}0.7 G_0$ plateaus.

cal conductance trace at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH=2.3$. Although conductance change still occurred in a continuous fashion as at $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH=3.7$, the conductance of $1\text{--}1.5 G_0$ was preferentially taken. It is the reason of an appearance of broad $1\text{--}1.5 G_0$ feature in the histogram shown in Fig. 2(c).

At $\Phi_0 = -800$ mV at a $pH=2.3$, the plateau was stretched as long as 0.8 nm [see Fig. 3(b)]. Reversible transitions between $1 G_0$ and $0.6\text{--}0.7 G_0$ were occasionally observed in the long plateau [see Fig. 3(c)]. The conductance of a monoatomic contact is evaluated to be around $1.5\text{--}2.5 G_0$ for transition metals.¹ Relatively long plateau and the conductance fluctuation at the plateau are the characteristics observed at the Ni nanoconstriction in solution. At the present stage, it is not clear whether the long plateau originated from the formation of the Ni monoatomic wire or it originated from deformation in a stem part of the nanoconstriction. This long plateau, however, implies the possibility of the formation of a monoatomic Ni wire in solution. The formation of a monoatomic wire may be supported by the reversible transition between $1 G_0$ and $0.6\text{--}0.7 G_0$ [Fig. 3(c)]. A similar reversible transition of conductance between $1 G_0$ and $0.6\text{--}0.7 G_0$ were reported for Au mononanoatomic wires in the presence of physically adsorbed hydrogen in an UHV at a low temperature ($10\text{--}30$ K).¹⁶ The reversible transitions are explained by the dynamical structure transition between a dimerized Au wire and an equal-spacing wire. This dimerization is a characteristic of a monoatomic wire.¹⁷ Further detailed analysis of conductance traces and additional experiments are undergoing to clarify the origin of these conductance characteristics of the Ni nanoconstrictions in solution.

In conclusion, the dependence of the electrochemical potential and the solution pH on the conductance value was studied for the electrochemical deposited Ni nanoconstrictions. Under the hydrogen evolution reaction, a clear $1\text{--}1.5 G_0$ feature appeared in the conductance histogram, suggesting that certain monoatomic arrangements were stabilized in solution. The analysis of the conductance trace showed the possibility of the formation of a one-dimensional Ni monoatomic wire in solution at room temperature. This hydrogen-assisted stabilization of metal nanostructure can be applied as an effective method to control the structure of the nanoconstriction in an atomic scale, and fabricate various interesting structures for the study of one-dimensional systems.

This work was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

- ¹N. Agrait, A. L. Yeyati, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, *Phys. Rep.* **377**, 81 (2003).
- ²C. Sirvent, J. G. Rodrigo, S. Vieira, L. Jurczyszyn, N. Mingo, and F. Flores, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 16086 (1996).
- ³Y. Ooka, T. Ono, and H. Miyajima, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **226**, 1848 (2001).
- ⁴F. Elhoussine, S. Matefi-Tempfli, A. Encinas, and L. Piraux, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 1681 (2002).
- ⁵J. L. Costa-Krämer, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, R4875 (1997).
- ⁶C. Shu, C. Z. Li, H. X. He, A. Bogozzi, J. S. Bunch, and N. J. Tao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5196 (2000).
- ⁷J. Li, T. Kanzaki, K. Murakoshi, and Y. Nakato, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 123 (2002).
- ⁸A. Enomoto, S. Kurokawa, and A. Sakai, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 125410 (2002).
- ⁹M. Zhou, N. Myung, X. Chen, and K. Rajeshwar, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **398**, 5 (1995).
- ¹⁰G. Kreysa, B. Hakansson, and P. Ekdunge, *Electrochim. Acta* **33**, 1351 (1988).
- ¹¹J. O. Bockris, J. L. Carbajal, B. R. Scharifker, and K. Chandrasekaran, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **134**, 1957 (1987).
- ¹²C. Untiedt, D. M. T. Dekker, D. Djukic, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 081401 (2004).
- ¹³R. H. M. Smit, Y. Noat, C. Untiedt, N. D. Lang, M. C. van Hemert, and J. M. van Ruitenbeek, *Nature (London)* **419**, 909 (2002).
- ¹⁴S. Csonka, A. Halbritter, and G. Miha'ly, O. I. Shklyarevskii, S. Speller, and H. van Kempen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 016802 (2004).
- ¹⁵S. Aramyanov and G. S. Sotirova-Chakarova, *Met. Finish.* **91**, 59 (2003).
- ¹⁶Sz. Csonka, A. Halbritter, G. Mihaly, E. Jurdik, O. I. Shklyarevskii, S. Speller, and H. van Kempen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 116802 (2003).
- ¹⁷M. Okamoto and K. Takayanagi, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 7808 (1999).