# Generation of Hydrogen Peroxide, Superoxide and Hydroxyl Radicals during the Oxidation of Dihydroxyfumaric Acid by Peroxidase

By BARRY HALLIWELL

Department of Biochemistry, University of London King's College, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.

(Received 21 October 1976)

1. Dihydroxyfumarate slowly autoxidizes at pH6. This reaction is inhibited by superoxide dismutase but not by EDTA. Mn<sup>2+</sup> catalyses dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by reacting with  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  to form Mn<sup>3+</sup>, which seems to oxidize dihydroxyfumarate rapidly. Cu<sup>2+</sup> also catalyses dihydroxyfumarate oxidation, but by a mechanism that does not involve  $O_2^{-*}$ . 2. Peroxidase catalyses oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate at pH6; addition of  $H_2O_2$  does not increase the rate. Experiments with superoxide dismutase and catalase suggest that there are two types of oxidation taking place: an enzymic, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-dependent oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase, and a non-enzymic reaction involving oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by  $O_2^{-}$ . The latter accounts for most of the observed oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate. 3. During dihydroxyfumarate oxidation, most peroxidase is present as compound III, and the enzymic oxidation may be limited by the low rate of breakdown of this compound. 4. Addition of p-coumaric acid to the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system increases the rate of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation, which is now stimulated by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and is more sensitive to inhibition by catalase but less sensitive to superoxide dismutase. Compound III is decomposed in the presence of p-coumaric acid. p-Hydroxybenzoate has similar, but much smaller, effects on dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. However, salicylate affects neither the rate nor the mechanism of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. 5. p-Hydroxybenzoate, salicylate and p-coumarate are hydroxylated by the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system. Experiments using scavengers of hydroxyl radicals shown that OH<sup>•</sup> is required. Ability to increase dihydroxyfumarate oxidation is not necessary for hydroxylation to occur.

The enzyme horseradish peroxidase  $(donor-H_2O_2)$ oxidoreductase, EC 1.11.1.7) readily catalyses the oxidation of dihydroxyfumaric acid under aerobic conditions, 1 mol of O<sub>2</sub> being taken up per mol of dihydroxyfumarate oxidized (Swedin & Theorell, 1940; Chance, 1952). Studies of this reaction by various workers (Yamazaki, 1957, 1974; Yamazaki & Piette, 1963) have led to the proposal that aerobic oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase is initially due to a  $H_2O_2$ -dependent oxidation of this compound by the enzyme: this interpretation was largely based on studies of inhibition by catalase preparations. However, later stages of the oxidation were suggested to involve the superoxide radical, O2-. Indeed, Nilsson et al. (1969) obtained e.s.r.\* evidence for generation of  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  by a mixture of peroxidase and dihydroxyfumarate at pH10.8. Also, Yamazaki & Yamazaki (1973) showed that oxidation of 210 µm-dihydroxyfumarate by 0.42 µm-peroxidase in the presence of ethanol is transiently inhibited on addition of superoxide dismutase.

When oxidizing dihydroxyfumarate, peroxidase

becomes largely converted into compound III, which appears to be an oxygenated ferroperoxidase (Wittenberg *et al.*, 1967) and may be formed by a direct reaction between  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  and the ferri-enzyme (Sawada & Yamazaki, 1973). Compound III breaks down slowly to give ferri-enzyme,  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  and  $H_2O_2$  (Rotilio *et al.*, 1975).

Unfortunately, the results obtained in the early studies of inhibition of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by catalase are ambiguous, as it is likely that the catalase samples used were heavily contaminated with superoxide dismutase (Halliwell, 1973; Trotta *et al.*, 1974). Studies of the oxidation of indol-3-ylacetic acid by peroxidase suggest that  $H_2O_2$  is not required to initiate the reaction (e.g. Ricard & Job, 1974).

During the oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase, aromatic compounds added to the reaction mixture become hydroxylated (Buhler & Mason, 1961). The concentration of dihydroxyfumarate (30 mM) used in this system is far higher than that used in previous studies of the oxidation reaction (Chance, 1952; Yamazaki & Yamazaki, 1973). Hydroxylation of *p*-coumaric acid (4-hydroxycinnamic acid) to caffeic acid (3,4-dihydroxycinnamic

<sup>\*</sup> Abbreviation: e.s.r., electron spin resonance.

acid) by the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system is inhibited by superoxide dismutase and by free Cu<sup>2+</sup>, free Mn<sup>2+</sup> or Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelated to EDTA at concentrations which scavenge  $O_2^{-*}$  (Halliwell, 1975; Halliwell & Ahluwalia, 1976). Since this hydroxylation is also inhibited by scavengers of the hydroxyl radical, OH<sup>\*</sup>, Halliwell & Ahluwalia (1976) proposed that  $O_2^{-*}$  and  $H_2O_2$ , formed during the oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate, combine together by the reaction first postulated by Haber & Weiss (1934) and shown in eqn. (1):

$$H_2O_2 + O_2^{-\bullet} \rightarrow OH^{\bullet} + OH^{-} + O_2 \qquad (1)$$

Although the Haber–Weiss reaction has been invoked to explain many aspects of the interactions of  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  with biochemical systems (Bors *et al.*, 1974; Fridovich, 1975), McClune & Fee (1976) and Halliwell (1976) have been unable to demonstrate this reaction.

In the present paper, I report studies on the mechanism of oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase, carried out with the concentrations of enzyme and substrate required for hydroxylation. The objects of this work were both to check the proposed role of  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2^{-1}$  in the oxidation, in view of the recent results with indolvlacetic acid, and to gain more information about the chemical species that might be available for hydroxylation, especially OH<sup>•</sup>. The oxidation reaction has been followed in two ways: by the loss of dihydroxyfumarate, measured as  $\Delta A_{300}$  (Goscin & Fridovich, 1972), and by uptake of O<sub>2</sub>, measured with an O<sub>2</sub> electrode. Because of the limited solubility of  $O_2$  in the reaction mixture, the latter technique only provides information about the first few minutes of the oxidation reaction, but it is extremely useful for following the initial stages.

# Experimental

#### Materials

Dihydroxyfumaric acid was purchased from Koch-Light Laboratories, Colnbrook, Bucks., U.K. Catalase was obtained from Boehringer Corp., London W.5, U.K.: it was completely free from superoxide dismutase activity (Halliwell, 1973). Erythrocuprein was prepared as described by McCord & Fridovich (1969). The manganese-containing superoxide dismutase from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* (Bridgen *et al.*, 1975) was obtained from M.R.E., Porton, Salisbury, Wilts., U.K. Neither enzyme contained catalase activity. Where indicated, erythrocuprein was denatured by heating at 100°C for 30min and cooling before use. Potassium superoxide was obtained from ICN Pharmaceuticals, Plainview, NY, U.S.A.

*p*-Coumaric acid and horseradish peroxidase (type VI) were purchased from Sigma (London) Chemical Co., Kingston-upon-Thames, Surrey, U.K. The peroxidase preparation was dissolved in potassium phosphate buffer (8.3 mM, pH6) and its concentration calculated from  $A_{403}(\varepsilon_{403} = 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$ (Sawada & Yamazaki, 1973). The  $A_{403}/A_{278}$  ratio was 2.90.

#### Methods

Enzyme assays. Superoxide dismutase  $(O_2^{-*}-O_2^{-*})$ oxidoreductase, EC 1.15.1.1) was assayed by the cytochrome c method; 1 unit inhibits the reduction of cytochrome c by 50% under the assay conditions of McCord & Fridovich (1969). Catalase  $(H_2O_2-H_2O_2)$ oxidoreductase, EC 1.11.1.6) was assayed by the fall in  $A_{240}$  as  $H_2O_2$  was destroyed; the assay conditions were those of Luck (1963), except that 8.3 mm-KH\_2PO\_4, adjusted to pH6 with KOH, was the buffer used in the reaction mixture; 1 unit of catalase is that amount which catalyses the breakdown of 1  $\mu$ mol of  $H_2O_2/min$  under these conditions.

Oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate. This was followed by the fall in  $A_{300}$ . Reaction mixtures contained, in a total volume of 3 ml, the following reagents at the final concentrations stated: dihydroxyfumarate (30 mM), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (8.3 mM), peroxidase (26 nM) and sufficient KOH to adjust the pH to 6. Where indicated, *p*-coumaric acid (2.5 mM), or other phenol (2.5 mM), was also added. Reaction mixtures were incubated, with shaking, at 25°C. At intervals, samples (20  $\mu$ l) were removed from each reaction mixture, added to 10 ml of water and  $A_{300}$  was recorded at once (Halliwell & Ahluwalia, 1976).

 $O_2$  uptake by the above reaction mixtures was followed in a final volume of 3 ml at 25°C by using a Rank  $O_2$  electrode (Rank Bros., Cambridge, U.K.) connected to a mV recorder. The solubility of  $O_2$  in the reaction mixtures was measured by the method of Chappell (1964).

*Hydroxylation of aromatic compounds*. Hydroxylation of these added to the above reaction mixtures was followed as described by Halliwell & Ahluwalia (1976).

# Results

Where concentrations of reagents are specified, they refer to the final concentrations in the appropriate reaction mixtures, unless otherwise stated.

#### Autoxidation of dihydroxyfumarate

Solutions of dihydroxyfumarate were found to autoxidize slowly at pH6. Fig. 1 shows that the uptake of O<sub>2</sub> is almost completely inhibited by addition of 10 units of superoxide dismutase, but the heatdenatured enzyme has no effect. Addition of Cu<sup>2+</sup>  $(0.1 \mu mol)$  greatly increases the rate of oxidation (e.g. in one experiment 0.1  $\mu$ mol of CuSO<sub>4</sub> caused the breakdown of 9.2 $\mu$ mol of dihydroxyfumarate in



Fig. 1. O<sub>2</sub> uptake by a solution of dihydroxyfumarate Reaction mixtures contained, in a total volume of dihydroxyfumarate  $(90 \,\mu \text{mol})$ , 3 ml, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>  $(25\,\mu mol)$  and sufficient KOH to adjust the pH to 6.  $O_2$  uptake was followed by using the  $O_2$  electrode (see the Experimental section). (A), No addition; (B), +10 units of erythrocuprein; (C), as (A), but 10 units of erythrocuprein injected at the arrow; (D),  $+MnCl_2$  (0.1  $\mu$ mol); (E)  $+MnCl_2$  (0.1  $\mu$ mol) and 10 units of erythrocuprein; (F), as (D) but 10 units erythrocuprein added at arrow; (G), as (B), but CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.1  $\mu$ mol) added at the arrow. Erythrocuprein had no effect on O2 uptake in the presence of CuSO<sub>4</sub>. Units of erythrocuprein are as defined by the cytochrome c assay (see the Experimental section). The results with  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  were confirmed by using the assay based on  $\Delta A_{300}$  (see under 'Methods').

30min at 25°C, as followed by  $\Delta A_{300}$ , but this increased rate is not affected by superoxide dismutase. Mn<sup>2+</sup> also catalyses dihydroxyfumarate oxidation, but this is completely prevented by the presence of superoxidase dismutase (Fig. 1).

EDTA at a final concentration of 1 mM completely prevents the stimulation of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by CuSO<sub>4</sub> (0.1  $\mu$ mol) or MnCl<sub>2</sub> (0.1  $\mu$ mol). However, the autoxidation of dihydroxyfumarate in the absence of added Mn<sup>2+</sup> or Cu<sup>2+</sup> is not due to contamination by traces of these metal ions, as EDTA (1–2mM) does not decrease the uptake of O<sub>2</sub>.

Incubation of dihydroxyfumarate (30 mM) with  $H_2O_2$  (10–180 mM) at 25°C for 30 min does not increase the  $\Delta A_{300}$ , and so there will be no nonenzymic reaction between dihydroxyfumarate and any  $H_2O_2$  formed during incubations.

Addition of *p*-coumaric acid or other phenol (2.5 mM) does not increase the rate of autoxidation of dihydroxyfumarate.

### Oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase

This reaction was followed at the pH and concentrations of enzyme and dihydroxyfumarate which give optimal rates of hydroxylation of added aromatic compounds (Buhler & Mason, 1961). Fig. 2(a) shows that oxidation, followed by  $\Delta A_{300}$ , is almost completely stopped by addition of 10 units of super-



Fig. 2. Oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase

Reaction mixtures were as described in the Experimental section. (a) Fall in  $A_{300}$ . Reactions were initiated by adding peroxidase. The results presented have been corrected for the small non-enzymic breakdown of dihydroxyfumarate. (A), Complete; (B), +10 units of erythrocuprein; (C), as (A), but 10 units of erythrocuprein added at the arrow; (D), +4400 units of catalase; (E), +22000 units of catalase; (F), +44000 units of catalase; (G), as (A), 44000 units of catalase; (G), as (A), 44000 units of catalase added at the arrow. (b) Uptake of O<sub>2</sub>. The non-enzymic rate of oxidation was followed for 30s in each case and the reaction initiated by injecting peroxidase at the point X. (A), Complete; (B), +10 units of erythrocuprein; (C), +50 units of erythrocuprein; (D), as (A), but 50 units of erythrocuprein added at the arrow; (E), +4400 units of catalase; (F), +9000 units of catalase; (G), +44000 units of catalase.

oxide dismutase (erythrocuprein and the manganesecontaining superoxide dismutase are equally effective), but heat-denatured enzyme has no effect. Inhibition also occurs if superoxide dismutase is added after the reaction has started. Similar results are obtained when the initial stages of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation are examined with the  $O_2$  electrode (Fig. 2b).

Addition of 4400 units of catalase (completely free of superoxide dismutase; see Halliwell, 1973) decreases the O<sub>2</sub> uptake by the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system (Fig. 2b) but does not inhibit oxidation as followed by  $\Delta A_{300}$  (Fig. 2a). This suggests that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is formed in the reaction mixture and the catalase is breaking it down to release  $O_2$ , but that this H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is not required for dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. However, when the amount of catalase added is increased to 44000 units, the rate of O<sub>2</sub> uptake is further diminished and inhibition of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation does occur (Fig. 2a). This large amount of catalase itself slightly increases the slow breakdown of dihydroxyfumarate in the absence of peroxidase: the results presented in Fig. 2 have been corrected for this. When 44000 units of catalase are added after the peroxidase has begun oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate, maximal inhibition only occurs after a period of 5-10min (Fig. 2a).

Bovine serum albumin (2mg) has no effect on the oxidation reaction, nor does addition of  $H_2O_2$ , tested up to a final concentration of 7.5 mM.

The effects of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{2+}$  on the peroxidasecatalysed oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate were also examined. The rate in the presence of  $CuSO_4$ (0.1  $\mu$ mol) is only slightly greater than the nonenzymic rate caused by  $Cu^{2+}$ , suggesting that  $Cu^{2+}$ inhibits the peroxidase-catalysed reaction. This is consistent with its ability to catalyse the dismutation of  $O_2^{--}$  (Rabani *et al.*, 1973).  $Cu^{2+}$  (0.1  $\mu$ mol) has no inhibitory effect on peroxidase as measured by the guaiacol assay (Chance & Maehly, 1955), since guaiacol oxidation does not involve  $O_2^{--}$ .

Swedin & Theorell (1940) and Chance (1952) suggest that  $Mn^{2+}$  stimulates dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by peroxidase. However, I found that when allowance is made for the non-enzymic breakdown induced by  $Mn^{2+}$  (0.1 or  $0.2\mu$ mol), no stimulation of the peroxidase-catalysed reaction is evident under the reaction conditions used in the present paper.

The effect of scavengers of OH<sup>•</sup> on the oxidation by peroxidase was also examined: 0.1 M-ethanol, 50 mM-sodium formate, 10 mM-thiourea and 0.1 Mmannitol each inhibit dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by 11–18%, suggesting that OH<sup>•</sup> may play a small role in the oxidation.

# Effect of phenols on the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system

Addition of p-coumarate (2.5 mM) greatly increases the rate of oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by per-



Fig. 3. Effect of p-coumarate and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase
Reaction conditions were as described in the Experimental section. Reactions were initiated by adding peroxidase. (A), No phenol; (B), +p-coumarate (2.5mM); (C) +p-coumarate (2.5mM) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3.7mM); (D), +p-coumarate (2.5mM) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (7.4mM). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at either concentration did not increase the rate of oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate in the absence of p-coumarate.

oxidase (Fig. 3). More than 95% of the p-coumarate added to these reaction mixtures remains unchanged, but a small amount is hydroxylated to give caffeate (Halliwell & Ahluwalia, 1976). Caffeate also increased the rate of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation by peroxidase. However, addition of the amount of caffeate that would be formed during 30min incubation of peroxidase and dihydroxyfumarate with p-coumarate only stimulated oxidation by 100%, whereas *p*-coumarate stimulates it to a much greater extent (Fig. 3). Studies using the  $O_2$  electrode showed that the increased rate of oxidation in the presence of *p*-coumarate is visible within the first few seconds of the reaction, when no caffeate has been formed. Further, a concentration of superoxide dismutase which completely blocks formation of caffeate only slightly inhibits the increased rate of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation in the presence of p-coumarate (Halliwell & Ahluwalia, 1976). It is therefore concluded that the stimulation of oxidation is largely, if not completely, due to p-coumarate itself.

Oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase in the presence of *p*-coumarate is much more sensitive Table 1. Effect of monophenols on the mechanism and rate of oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase The reaction conditions were as described in the Experimental section. Phenols were present at a final concentration of 2.5 mM. Where indicated, erythrocuprein, catalase or MnCl<sub>2</sub> were added in the amounts stated. Preliminary experiments showed that the decreased inhibition by dismutase in the presence of *p*-coumarate is not due to inhibition of this enzyme by the phenol. The initial rate of oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate, designated as 1.0, was  $\Delta A_{300} = 0.003/\text{min}$ , and the time course was similar to that shown in Fig. 2(*a*).

	Phenol added				
Parameter of reaction	None	p-Coumarate	Salicylate	p-Hydroxybenzoate	
Relative initial rate of oxidation of dihydroxy- fumarate	1.0	2.4	1.0	1.1	
Inhibition of oxidation by superoxide dismutase (?	<b>%</b> )				
10 units	<b>90</b>	18	90	30	
50 units	95	53	98		
100 units	99	62			
Inhibition of oxidation by catalase $(\%)$		~			
4400 units	0	72	0	30	
9000 units	0	76		-	
22000 units	54	100	<u> </u>		
Stimulation by MnCl <sub>2</sub> *					
$(0.2 \mu \text{mol})$	No	Yes	No	No	
* Rates corrected for the breakdown induced	by Mn <sup>2+</sup> in th	e absence of perovid	ase (see the text	)	

\* Rates corrected for the breakdown induced by  $Mn^{2+}$  in the absence of peroxidase (see the text)

to catalase and less sensitive to superoxide dismutase (equal activities of erythrocuprein and the manganesecontaining enzyme give exactly the same degree of inhibition) than is oxidation in the absence of phenol (Table 1). However, higher concentrations of dismutase do inhibit the oxidation partially; heatdenatured enzyme does not.

The initial rate of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation in the presence of peroxidase and *p*-coumarate is stimulated by  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 3). Also,  $Mn^{2+}$  ( $0.2 \mu$ mol) increases the rate of oxidation by 100% (after correction for non-enzymic breakdown). It is noteworthy that dihydroxyfumarate oxidation in the presence of  $Mn^{2+}$  ( $0.2 \mu$ mol) and *p*-coumarate is now completely unaffected by superoxide dismutase (100 units) or  $Cu^{2+}$  ( $0.1 \mu$ mol) but is still completely inhibited by 44000 units of catalase.

Table 1 shows the effect of two other monophenols, both substrates for hydroxylation by the peroxidase/ dihydroxyfumarate system, on the rate of oxidation. Salicylate has no effect on the rate of oxidation, nor on its sensitivity to superoxide dismutase, catalase or  $Mn^{2+}$ . *p*-Hydroxybenzoate stimulates oxidation only slightly, but this increased rate is more sensitive to catalase and less sensitive to superoxide dismutase. However,  $Mn^{2+}$  (0.1–0.2 $\mu$ mol) does not stimulate further. The rate of hydroxylation of *p*-coumarate is about twice that of the other two phenols, when assayed as described by Halliwell & Ahluwalia (1976).

# Formation of peroxidase compound III

In confirmation of previous results (Chance, 1952; Yamazaki, 1957), it was found that the absorption



Fig. 4. Effect of p-coumarate on peroxidase compound III Formation of compound III when peroxidase was added to a solution of dihydroxyfumarate was followed by  $\Delta A_{418}$ , as described by Yokota & Yamazaki (1965). (A), Complete; (B), as (A), but 1 $\mu$ mol of p-coumarate added at the arrow; (C), pcoumarate (2.5 mM) present in the reaction mixture before peroxidase was added.

spectrum of peroxidase rapidly shifts to that of compound III when dihydroxyfumarate is added. The effect of phenols on formation of compound III was studied; it was, of course, necessary to use much higher concentrations of enzyme  $(1 \,\mu\text{M})$  than those used in studies of oxidation (26 nM), in order to follow

Т	able 2. Effect of hydroxyl scavengers on the rate of hydroxylation of p-cournarate by the peroxidase/dihydroxyfumarate system
	Hydroxylation and dihydroxyfumarate oxidation were assayed as described in the Experimental section. The reason
	why thiourea stimulates dihydroxyfumarate oxidation is unknown, but it shows that inhibition of hydroxylation by this
	compound is not due to an inhibition of peroxidase. (Thiourea did not induce any oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate in the
	absence of peroxidase.) The radical rate constants were taken from the compilation by Anbar & Neta (1967).

Compound tested	Concentration (тм)	Rate constant for reaction with OH• $(M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})$	Inhibition of <i>p</i> -coumarate hydroxylation (%)	Inhibition of dihydroxy- fumarate oxidation (%)
Mannitol	50 100	1.0×10 <sup>9</sup>	23 40	0 0
Ethanol	50 100	1.2×10 <sup>9</sup>	30 47	0 0
Formate	20 50	2.7×10 <sup>9</sup>	52 92	0 24
Thiourea	10 50	4.7×10 <sup>9</sup>	80 100	None (50% stimulation)
Urea	10	<7.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	0	0
KCl	100	<10 <sup>3</sup>	0	0

the spectral changes. Fig. 4 shows that when *p*-coumarate (2.5 mM) is present in the reaction mixture, the rise in  $A_{418}$ , which can be used to measure compound-III formation (Chance, 1952; Yokota & Yamazaki, 1965) is much less marked. Further, addition of *p*-coumarate to a reaction mixture containing dihydroxyfumarate and peroxidase results in an immediate loss of compound III. No such effects were observed with *p*-hydroxybenzoate or salicylate: Yokota & Yamazaki (1965) also observed that salicylate does not interact with compound III.

# Mechanism of the hydroxylation reaction

Halliwell & Ahluwalia (1976) proposed that OH. is required for hydroxylation by the peroxidase/ dihydroxyfumarate system, since the OH<sup>•</sup> scavengers mannitol, formate, ethanol and Tris inhibit hydroxylation at concentrations having little effect on dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. We have extended this work to include thiourea, a powerful scavenger on OH<sup>•</sup> (Anbar & Neta, 1967: Heikkila et al., 1976). Table 2 summarizes the results obtained using these scavengers. It may be seen that their ability to inhibit hydroxylation is correlated, at least qualitatively, with the rate constants for their reactions with OH. Inhibition of hydroxylation cannot be attributed to an effect on dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. Urea (10mm) and KCl (100mm) which do not react with OH<sup>•</sup>, do not inhibit hydroxylation. Thiourea also inhibits hydroxylation of salicylate and p-hydroxybenzoate.

#### Discussion

The effect of superoxide dismutase on autoxidation of dihydroxyfumarate suggests that this compound

reduces  $O_2$  to  $O_2^{-\bullet}$ , which then reacts with more dihydroxyfumarate (eqns. 2-4)

dihydroxyfumarate 
$$+ O_2 \rightarrow [X]^{\bullet} + O_2^{-\bullet}$$
 (2)

 $2H^+ + O_2^{-\bullet} + dihydroxyfumarate \rightarrow [X]^{\bullet} + H_2O_2$  (3)

 $[X]^{\bullet} + O_2 \rightarrow \text{dioxosuccinate} + O_2^{-\bullet}$  (4)

where  $[X]^{\bullet}$  is a free radical formed by loss of one electron from dihydroxyfumarate. Evidence consistent with these reactions is provided by the observation that the e.s.r. spectrum of  $[X]^{\bullet}$ , generated under anaerobic conditions, is immediately lost when  $O_2$  is admitted (Yamazaki & Piette, 1963). Hence a solution of dihydroxyfumarate at pH6 always contains some  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  and  $H_2O_2$ .  $Cu^{2+}$  catalyses breakdown of dihydroxyfumarate by a different mechanism. However, the stimulation by  $Mn^{2+}$  is abolished by superoxide dismutase. Since  $Mn^{2+}$  reacts with  $O_2^{-\bullet}$ to form the powerful oxidant  $Mn^{3+}$  (eqn. 5) (Halliwell & Ahluwalia, 1976; Kono *et al.*, 1976), the stimulation can be accounted for by reactions 5 and 6, where the rate of reaction 6 is greater than that of reaction 2:

$$O_2^{-\bullet} + Mn^{2+} + 2H^+ \to Mn^{3+} + H_2O_2$$
 (5)

 $Mn^{3+} + dihydroxyfumarate \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + [X]^{\bullet}$  (6)

When peroxidase is added, the rate of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation increases. This increased rate is still largely dependent on  $O_2^{-*}$ , as shown by the inhibition by superoxide dismutase and  $Cu^{2+}$ . However, high concentrations of catalase also inhibit the oxidation. Since catalase is known to be inefficient at breaking down low concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ (Halliwell, 1974), the large amount of enzyme required to inhibit suggests that a small quantity of  $H_2O_2$  in the reaction mixture is required for oxidation to proceed, but, as Fig. 2 shows, more  $H_2O_2$  than this is being generated, at least in the initial stages of the reaction. Hence there is no stimulation of oxidation by added  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 3). When catalase is added after the reaction has started, inhibition does not reach the maximum value for 5–10min, presumably until sufficient  $H_2O_2$  has been destroyed (Fig. 2*a*). It could be argued that this inhibition by high concentrations of catalase is due to some property of the protein other than the ability to decompose  $H_2O_2$ . However, bovine serum albumin has no effect.

These results can be accommodated within the scheme of Yamazaki & Piette (1963), in which the peroxidase uses  $H_2O_2$  to oxidize dihydroxyfumarate (eqn. 7):

Dihydroxyfumarate + 
$$H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Peroxidase}} [X]^{\bullet} + H_2O$$
 (7)

[X]<sup>•</sup> can then react with  $O_2$  to give  $O_2^{-\bullet}$  (eqn. 4), which will cause oxidation of more dihydroxy-fumarate (eqn. 3). This non-enzymic phase of the oxidation makes a major contribution, as shown by the effects of superoxide dismutase.

Peroxidase in the presence of dihydroxyfumarate exists largely as compound III. Compound III does not react with dihydroxyfumarate (Yokota & Yamazaki, 1965) and so the rate of the enzymic stage (eqn. 7) may well be governed by the rate of breakdown of this ferro-enzyme complex to the active ferri-enzyme, which is low (Rotilio *et al.*, 1975).

Addition of *p*-coumarate greatly stimulates dihydroxyfumarate oxidation. This can be understood from the results in Fig. 4, which show that pcoumarate causes a breakdown of compound III. If these results are extrapolated to the much lower concentrations of enzyme used in the oxidation studies, one would expect more active enzyme to be available for oxidation, and the enzymic phase of the oxidation might be expected to play a much more significant role. That this is the case is shown by the increased sensitivity of dihydroxyfumarate oxidation in the presence of p-coumarate to inhibition by catalase, and the decreased effect of superoxide dismutase (Table 1). Indeed, Fig. 3 shows that the rate of oxidation is now being restrained by the supply of  $H_2O_2$ .  $Mn^{2+}$  further increases the rate of oxidation, but it does not do so by interaction with free O2-•, since superoxide dismutase does not prevent the stimulation. Indeed, the presence of Mn<sup>2+</sup> and p-coumarate together completely changes the mechanism of the reaction.

*p*-Coumarate is hydroxylated by the peroxidase/ dihydroxyfumarate system. Ability to stimulate oxidation is not required for hydroxylation, since salicylate is also hydroxylated, but the increased rate of oxidation induced by *p*-coumarate seems to result in an increased rate of hydroxylation. *p*-Hydroxybenzoate stimulates oxidation slightly, and alters its sensitivity to catalase and superoxide dismutase, but not to  $Mn^{2+}$ . It is possible that *p*-hydroxybenzoate decomposes compound III at a rate too low to be detected in experiments of the type shown in Fig. 4, or perhaps converts it into another peroxidase compound with equal  $A_{418}$ , although there is no spectral evidence for this.

447

The studies with thiourea confirm the proposal of Halliwell & Ahluwalia (1976) that hydroxylation of aromatic compounds is due to formation of OH<sup>•</sup> (Table 2). Circumstantial evidence for OH<sup>•</sup> formation is also provided by the observation that OH. scavengers slightly inhibit oxidation of dihydroxyfumarate by peroxidase. How does OH<sup>•</sup> arise? The first proposal might be the Haber-Weiss reaction. However, attempts to demonstrate the Haber-Weiss reaction in this labotatory (Halliwell, 1976), by McClune & Fee (1976) and by earlier workers (reviewed by Fee, 1977) have all failed. Nevertheless, the results in the present paper, and others (Fridovich, 1975), strongly suggest that OH<sup>•</sup> is generated in solutions containing  $O_2^{-\bullet}$ , by some mechanism. Elucidation of this requires further investigation.

I am grateful to the Central Research Fund of the University of London for financial support.

#### References

- Anbar, M. & Neta, P. (1967) Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 18, 493–523
- Bors, W., Saran, M., Lengfelder, E., Spottl, R. & Michel, C. (1974) Curr. Top. Radiat. Res. Q. 9, 247-309
- Bridgen, J., Harris, J. I. & Northrop, F. (1975) FEBS Lett. 49, 393-395
- Buhler, D. R. & Mason, H. S. (1961) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 92, 424-437
- Chance, B. (1952) J. Biol. Chem. 197, 577-589
- Chance, B. & Maehly, A. C. (1955) *Methods Enzymol.* 2, 770–773
- Chappell, J. B. (1964) Biochem. J. 90, 225-237
- Fee, J. A. (1977) in Proc. EMBO Workshop Superoxide and Superoxide Dismutase (Michelson, A. M., ed.), Academic Press, New York and London, in the press
- Fridovich, I. (1975) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 44, 147-159
- Goscin, S. A. & Fridovich, I. (1972) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 153, 778-783
- Haber, F. & Weiss, J. (1934) Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 147, 332–351
- Halliwell, B. (1973) Biochem. J. 135, 379-381
- Halliwell, B. (1974) New Phytol. 73, 1075-1086
- Halliwell, B. (1975) FEBS Lett. 56, 34-38
- Halliwell, B. (1976) FEBS Lett. 76, 8-10
- Halliwell, B. & Ahluwalia, S. (1976) Biochem. J. 153, 513-518
- Heikkila, R. E., Winston, B. & Cohen, G. (1976) Biochem. Pharmacol. 25, 1085-1092
- Kono, Y., Takahashi, M. & Asada, K. (1976) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 174, 454–462

- Luck, H. (1963) in *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis* (Bergmeyer, H. U., ed.), p. 886, Academic Press, New York
- McClune, G. J. & Fee, J. A. (1976) FEBS Lett. 67, 294-298
- McCord, J. M. & Fridovich, I. (1969) J. Biol. Chem. 244, 6049-6055
- Nilsson, R., Pick, F. M. & Bray, R. C. (1969) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 192, 145-148
- Rabani, J., Klug-Roth, D. & Lilie, J. (1973) J. Phys. Chem. 77, 1169–1175
- Ricard, J. & Job, D. (1974) Eur. J. Biochem. 44, 359-374
- Rotilio, G., Falcioni, G., Fioretti, E. & Brunori, M. (1975) Biochem. J. 145, 405-407
- Sawada, Y. & Yamazaki, I. (1973) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 327, 257–265
- Swedin, B. & Theorell, H. (1940) Nature (London) 145, 71-72

- Trotta, P. P., Pinkus, L. M. & Meister, A. (1974) J. Biol. Chem. 249, 1915–1921
- Wittenberg, J. B., Noble, R. W., Wittenberg, B. A., Antonini, E., Brunori, M. & Wyman, J. (1967) *J. Biol. Chem.* 242, 626–634
- Yamazaki, I. (1957) in Proc. Int. Symp. Enzyme Chem. (Ichihara, K., ed.), pp. 224–229, Pergamon Press, London
- Yamazaki, I. (1974) in Molecular Mechanisms of  $O_2$ Activation (Hayaishi, O., ed.), pp. 535–558, Academic Press, New York
- Yamazaki, I. & Piette, L. H. (1963) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 77, 47-64
- Yamazaki, H. & Yamazaki, I. (1973) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 154, 147-159
- Yokota, K. & Yamazaki, I. (1965) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 18, 48-53