



Title	Molecular structure of self-healing polyampholyte hydrogels analyzed from tensile behaviors
Author(s)	Sun, Tao Lin; Luo, Feng; Kurokawa, Takayuki; Karobi, Sadia Nazneen; Nakajima, Tasuku; Gong, Jian Ping
Citation	Soft matter, 11(48), 9355-9366 https://doi.org/10.1039/c5sm01423a
Issue Date	2015-12-28
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/63927
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Manuscript-Sun-soft matter.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Molecular Structure of Self-healing Polyampholyte Hydrogels Analyzed from Tensile Behaviors

Tao Lin Sun¹, Feng Luo¹, Takayuki Kurokawa¹, Sadia Nazneen Karobi²,
Tasuku Nakajima¹, Jian Ping Gong^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Soft and Wet Matter, Faculty of Advanced Life Science, Hokkaido

University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

² Laboratory of Soft and Wet Matter, Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido

University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

*E-mail: gong@mail.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Recently, charge balanced polyampholytes (PA) have been found to form tough and self-healing hydrogels. This class of physical hydrogels have a very high equilibrated polymer concentration in water (c.a. 40-50 wt%), and are strongly viscoelastic. They are synthesized by random copolymerization of equal amount of oppositely charged monomers at high concentration, followed by a dialysis process of the small counter-ions and co-ions in water. The randomly distributed, opposite charges of the polymer form multiple ionic bonds of intra- and inter-chains with strength distribution. The strong inter-chains bonds, stabilized by topological entanglement, serve as quasi-permanent crosslinking, imparting the elasticity, while the weak bonds, both inter-

and intra-chains, reversibly break and re-form, dissipate energy to toughen the materials. In this work, we intend to clarify the structure of the physical PA hydrogels from the tensile behaviors of the PA hydrogels. To clarify the structure and its formation mechanism, we analysed the tensile behaviors of the samples before and after the dialysis. We separated the quasi-permanent crosslinking of strong inter-chain bonds and dynamic crosslinking of weak inter-chain bonds by using a combined model that consists of the Upper Convected Maxwell model and the Gent strain hardening model. The model fitting of the tensile behaviors extracts quantitative structure parameters, including the densities of weak and strong inter-chain bonds and the theoretical finite extensibility of polymer chains. Based on the fitting results of the combined model, the structure parameters of partial chain at a fixed observation time, including the Kuhn number, Kuhn length, and chain conformation, are determined using the scaling theory. The effects of monomer concentration at preparation, the effect of dialysis and initial strain rate on the dynamic structure of PA gels, are discussed based on these analyses.

Key words:

Tough hydrogel, Polyampholyte, Ion complex, Sacrificial bonds, Self-healing

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogels are crosslinked polymer networks containing abundant of water. Hydrogels had been a class of mechanically weak materials and were paid less attention. Inventions of various kinds of strong and tough hydrogels have largely changed these traditional views and thus substantially broadened the potential applications of hydrogels in various kinds of fields¹⁻³. The first work that presented a strategy to develop strong and tough hydrogels is the double-network hydrogel (DN) hydrogels. DN gels consist of two interpenetrating networks, one is stiff and brittle, and the other is soft and stretchable. The stiff and brittle network breaks into fragments by deformation, which effectively dissipates energy to toughen the materials, while the soft and stretchable network maintains the integrity of the materials². Thus, the covalent bonds of the brittle network serve as sacrificial bonds. Based on this double network concept, a serials of tough hydrogels and elastomers have developed⁴⁻⁶. Due to breaking of the covalent bonds, DN hydrogels show permanent softening after large deformation. To address this problem, many efforts have been made to develop hydrogels with reversible sacrificial bonds using non-covalent bonds, such as ionic bonds, hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interaction. Along this line, various hydrogels with high toughness and self-healing properties have been developed⁷⁻¹⁵. These self-healing hydrogels exhibit strong time-dependent behaviors as the result of dynamic breaking and reforming of the

physical bonds. A theoretical model has recently been developed to describe the kinetics of bond breaking and reforming and its effect on the macroscopic time dependent mechanical behavior of hydrogels^{16, 17}.

The result of the double network hydrogels suggests a more general strategy to develop tough soft materials, i.e., inducing crosslinking bonds with different level of strength to toughen the materials. Recently, we developed a class of tough and self-healing hydrogels from charge-balanced linear polyampholytes (PAs) that carry opposite charges on the polymer chains^{10, 11, 13}. The PA gels, having 50-60wt% of water, are strongly viscoelastic and show excellent mechanical performance, such as high toughness (fracture energy $\sim 4000 \text{ J/m}^2$), self-healing, and high fatigue resistance.

These PA hydrogels are synthesized from radical copolymerization of equal amount of oppositely charged ionic monomers at very high concentration to form polymer chains in topological entanglement. As the ability of ionic bond formation depends on the ionic strength of the medium, the as-prepared PA samples, containing large amount of counter ions, are soft, elastic, and highly stretchable gels. By immersing these samples in water, the mobile counter-ions are dialyzed away from the samples, and the formation of inter- and intra-chains ionic bonds is substantially enhanced. As a result, the gels become substantially rigid and tough after being equilibrated in water. The randomly distributed,

opposite charges of the polymer form many multiple-ionic-bonds of intra- and inter-chains with strength distribution that is characterized by the relaxation time. At a given observation time, a multiple-ionic-bonds that does not rupture is referred as a strong bond, while a multiple-ionic-bonds that ruptures is referred as a weak bond. So at a given observation time, the strong bonds of inter-chains, stabilized by the topological inter-chain entanglement, serve as permanent crosslinking to maintain the shape of the gel; while the weak bonds, both inter- and intra-chains, reversibly break and re-form to dissipate energy and make the materials tough and self-healing, functioning as reversible sacrificial bonds. Since the structure of the PA gels, that is, the density of topological entanglements and the density of the inter- and intra-chain ionic bonds, strongly depend on the concentration of the polymer at preparation, the mechanical properties of the gels are strongly dependent on the monomer concentration C_m at preparation.^{10, 11, 13}

In this work, we intend to quantitatively clarify the dynamic structure of the physical PA hydrogels from the tensile behaviors of the PA hydrogels. For this purpose, we analyzed the tensile behaviors of a series of PA hydrogels P(NaSS-co-MPTC) synthesized by random copolymerization of equal amount of oppositely charged ionic monomers, anionic monomer p-styrenesulfonate (NaSS) and cationic monomer

3-(methacryloylamino) propyl-trimethylammonium chloride (MPTC) (Figure 1a). The samples were synthesized at various monomer concentrations, and tensile behaviors of these samples were measured for the as-prepared samples and water-equilibrium samples at varied initial strain rate. We used a viscoelastic model to analyze the tensile data of hydrogels. From the model, the elastic components of strong and weak inter-chain crosslinking at a given observation time can be separated. Based on the structure parameters obtained by the model fitting, we discuss the intra-chain bonds from the finite extensibility of the samples, using the scaling theory. From the structure information, we discuss the effect of dialysis, monomer concentration at preparation, and the observation time on the dynamic molecular structure of the PA hydrogels. This work established a method to reveal the molecular structure of the self-healing, viscoelastic gels from the tensile behaviors. The approach developed here might be applicable to other viscoelastic hydrogel systems.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

PA hydrogels

The P(NaSS-co-MPTC) PA hydrogels were synthesized using the one-step random copolymerization of an anionic monomer *p*-styrenesulfonate (NaSS) and a cationic

monomer 3-(methacryloylamino)propyl-trimethylammonium chloride (MPTC) as described in the literature¹⁰. The gels was synthesized from a mixed aqueous solution with the fixed monomer ratio NaSS:MPTC=0.52:0.48 but different total monomer concentration (C_m : 1.3-2.2 M), 0.25 mol% UV initiator, 2-oxoglutaric acid (in relative to C_m), and 0.5 M NaCl. The mixture solution was poured into in a reaction cell consisting of a pair of glass plates with a 3-mm spacing and irradiated with 365 nm UV light for 11 hours. After polymerization, the as-prepared gel was immersed in a large amount of water for 1 week to reach equilibrate and to wash away the residual chemicals.

Swelling ratio measurements. The as-prepared PA gels were formed in glass plates with rectangle shapes and then were immersed in water to reach the equilibrium state. The volume ratio Q_v was defined as the ratio of the sample volume at water-equilibrium V to that in the as-prepared state V_0 , $Q_v = V/V_0$. The water content c (wt%) of the equilibrium sample was measured by the weight change upon drying using a freeze-drying process.

So the volume fraction of polymer ϕ_p can be calculated from the equation¹⁸:

$$\phi_p^{-1} = 1 + \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right) \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_w}$$

where the ρ_p ($\approx 1.19 \pm 0.02$ g/cm³) and ρ_w (≈ 0.998 g/cm³) are the density of PA and water, respectively. To achieve adequate precision, three measurements were carried out

on samples of different volumes taken from the same batch.

Tensile test. The tensile stress-elongation measurements were performed on a tensile-compressive tester (Tensilon RTC-1310A, Orientec Co.) in air. The test was carried out on dumbbell-shaped samples of 2–3 mm (w) thickness with the standard JIS-K6251-7 size (12 mm (L_0) \times 2 mm (d)). To prevent water from evaporating from samples, the as-prepared samples were coated with silicone oil during the measurement while equilibrated samples were tested in a moisture environment. The stretching was performed at a constant velocity v . The nominal stress σ was obtained from the tensile force divided by the initial cross-section area of the sample and the elongation ratio λ was obtained from the ratio of stretching length $L=L_0+vt$ and the initial length L_0 , where t is the stretching time. The initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ was obtained by v/L_0 .

RESULTS

1. Effect of dialysis and monomer concentration

Formation of ion complex structure promotes the shrinkage of hydrogel synthesized at high C_m ($C_m > 1.6$ M, $Q_v = V/V_0 < 1$) while gels swell ($Q_v > 1$) at low C_m (< 1.6 M), as shown in Figure 1b. Here V and V_0 are the volumes of the gel in their equilibrated state and as-prepared state, respectively. In corresponding to this volume change, the polymer

volume fraction of the equilibrated gels, ϕ_p , is higher than their as-prepared state when $C_m > 1.6$ M, and vice versa (Figure 1c). The deswelling or swelling equilibrium is determined by the balance between the osmotic parts of solvent excluded volume interactions to swell the network, ionic bond formation to contract the network, and elastic energy of polymer chains that is dominated by the density of entanglements and inter-chain bonds. The entanglements and the inter-chain ionic bonds are strongly dependent of the concentration of the polymer at preparation. At low C_m , the inter-chain ionic bonds formation is suppressed and the solvent excluded volume interactions overcome the electrostatic attraction, resulting in the swelling of gel. While, at high C_m the inter-chain ionic bridging overcomes the osmotic swelling and therefore the gels deswell in water. The deswelling behaviour of PA gels due to strong inter-chain bonding is very different from conventional hydrogels that usually swell further from the as-prepared state.

The tensile behaviours of as-prepared hydrogels in the concentrated region ($C_m = 1.3 \sim 2.2$ M) are depicted in Figure 2a, where σ is the nominal stress and λ the elongation ratio. The as-prepared gels exhibit the typical features of rubber-like materials and no yielding point is observed during the elongation. High C_m results in an increase of modulus and fracture strength, but a decrease in fracture elongation of the

gels. These behaviors should be attributed to the increase of topological entanglements as well as inter-chain bonds at high C_m .

After reaching the thermodynamic equilibrium in water, tremendous differences in tensile behaviour between swelling and shrunken hydrogels are observed, as described in Figure 2b. The hydrogel synthesized at low monomer concentrations (C_m : 1.3 M) substantially swells in water, and becomes very soft and weak. The samples prepared at high concentration slightly swell (C_m : 1.5 M) or shrink (C_m : 1.7 ~ 2.2 M) in water, and they all show enhanced modulus and fracture stress but decreased fracture strain in comparison with their as-prepared state. For shrunken hydrogels (C_m : 1.7 ~ 2.2 M), clear yielding points are observed. The yielding reveals the internal structure breaking beyond a certain elongation, which dissipates energy and enhances the toughness of hydrogels. Actually, these samples showed large hysteresis during the cyclic test.¹⁰

The Young's modulus E , fracture stress σ_b , and fracture elongation λ_b of as-prepared and water-equilibrated PA hydrogels are summarized in Figure 3. Increase in C_m dramatically enhances E and σ_b for as-prepared gels, while E becomes constant for shrunken samples ($C_m > 1.6\text{M}$) but σ_b increases with C_m in the whole range for equilibrium gels in water (Figures 3a and 3b). Compared with as-prepared hydrogels that have low moduli ($E = 0.0047\text{-}0.070\text{ MPa}$), the hydrogels after deswelling become

very rigid ($E = 1.5 - 2.0$ MPa), indicating the formation of substantial amount of inter-chain ionic bonds in water, as the density of topological entanglement does not change with the dialysis. These equilibrated hydrogels are strong, as shown by their high tensile fracture stress $\sigma_b = 0.88-1.93$ MPa, which is several times larger than that of the corresponding as-prepared hydrogels ($\sigma_b = 0.033-0.46$ MPa).

The equilibrated hydrogels have smaller λ_b than that of as-prepared hydrogels due to the formation of strong inter-chain ionic bonds, which limits the finite extensibility. The interesting phenomenon is that not only the fracture stress σ_b but also the fracture elongation λ_b of these equilibrated samples increases with the increase of C_m , in contrast to the behaviour of the as-prepared gels that show decrease of λ_b with C_m (Figure 3c). This result is attributed to the enhanced toughness of the equilibrated samples with C_m , which effectively suppresses the crack propagation and thus increases the λ_b .^{10, 11, 13}

The features of rubber elasticity can be further analysed by the phenomenological Mooney-Rivlin equation,¹⁹⁻²¹

$$\sigma_{red} = \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - \lambda^{-2}} = 2C_1 + 2C_2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (1)$$

where σ_{red} is the reduced stress, C_1 and C_2 are materials constants. The $2C_1$ is equal to the shear modulus ($=E/3$), and C_2 is related to the strain softening ($C_2 > 0$) or hardening ($C_2 < 0$) beyond the Gaussian elasticity region. To catch the features of the gels at large

deformation, we plot σ_{red} against λ^{-1} for samples with different C_m , which are shown in Figure 2c, 2d for the as-prepared samples and equilibrated samples, respectively.

In the case of the as-prepared gels, at $\lambda^{-1} > 0.2$, σ_{red} is almost independent of λ^{-1} for low C_m samples ($C_m = 1.3-1.7$ M) or only slightly increases with the increase of λ^{-1} for high C_m samples ($C_m = 1.9, 2.2$ M). This result indicates that the low C_m samples are purely rubber elastic ($C_2 = 0$),²⁰ while high C_m samples have slight strain softening (for example, $C_2 = 0.0056$ for $C_m = 2.2$ M). The latter should be attributed to the breakage of inter-chain ionic bonds.²⁰ At the large deformation ($\lambda^{-1} < 0.2$), distinct strain hardening due to finite extensibility of polymer chains is observed, which is the evidence of the presence of quasi-permanent crosslinking. Given the fact that a simple entangled polymer solution with no polymer association does not form gel at the observation condition, the results of Figure 2a, 2c indicate that even before the dialysis of the samples, some strong inter-chain ionic bonds already exist to form gels. The relationship of $E \sim C_m^{4.9}$ observed in Figure 3a suggests that these strong inter-chain ionic bonds are formed at the topological entanglement points.

For the water-equilibrated samples, the Mooney-Rivlin plots show substantial strain softening for all the samples, except for that prepared at low monomer concentration ($C_m: 1.3$ M) that shows large swelling (Figure 2d). The strain softening

occurs more prominently at high C_m . The results indicate that more large amount of inter-chain bonding is broken during tensile deformation for samples prepared at high C_m . This breakage of weak ionic bonds yields energy dissipation to toughen the gels. The strong strain-softening behaviour of the equilibrated gels is in consistent with the large hysteresis observed in the load-unloading cyclic test.¹⁰

Effect of strain rate

As discussed earlier, the strength of a dynamic ionic bond strongly depends on the observed time or strain rate, and the mechanical performance of PA hydrogels depends on the strain rate. We analysed the tensile behaviours of a PA hydrogel (C_m : 2.1 M) with varied initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ ($0.0069 \sim 0.69 \text{ s}^{-1}$), and the typical results for the as-prepared sample and the water equilibrated sample are shown in Figures 4a and 4b, respectively. The variations of Young's modulus E , fracture stress σ_b , and fracture elongation λ_b with initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$, are summarized in Figure 5. The as-prepared gel shows relatively weak strain rate dependence of E , σ_b , and λ_b . This again indicates that the as-prepared sample has a rubbery feature with very weak viscoelasticity. On the other hand, the E and σ_b of the equilibrated sample increase with the increase of $\dot{\epsilon}$, following the nice power law relations, $E \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.38}$ and $\sigma_b \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.17}$. The behaviour of E is in agreement with

our previous report.¹¹ At a high initial strain rate, more inter-chain bonds serve as strong bonds to increase the strength of the gels. The λ_b decreases with the increase of initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$, which is consistent with the increase of strong bonds at high initial strain rate. These strong initial strain rate dependences indicate the viscoelastic feature of the equilibrated sample. It should be noted that the true strain rate v/L decreases with increase of stretching, and the true strain rate at breakage of samples, given by $v/(L_0 \lambda_b)$, was about 1/10 of the initial strain rate v/L_0 since λ_b was ~ 10 .

As shown by the Mooney plot curves in Figures 4c and 4d, the as-prepared gel shows weak strain softening at low deformation, as like common elastic hydrogels. This indicates that there are few weak inter-chain bonds that break during deformation in this gel. In contrast, the equilibrated sample shows strong strain softening, which becomes more prominent at high initial strain rate. This result indicates that there are abundant of weak inter-chain bonds that break during deformation. The increase of strain softening with the initial strain rate indicates that more weak bonds are ruptured by deformation.

DISCUSSIONS

Viscoelastic model

At a given observation time, the strong inter-chain bonds, stabilized by topological

entanglements, serve as the permanent crosslinkers, while the weak inter-chain bonds serve as temporary crosslinkers. The weak ionic bonds, both inter- and intra-chains, break and reform reversibly, dissipating energy and contributing to the toughness of hydrogels. So we need to separate the strong bonds and weak bonds, both of inter-chain and intra-chains. Many theoretical models have been proposed for the viscoelastic behaviors of materials.^{16, 22, 23} Among several models tested, we found that the viscoelastic model proposed by the Creton et al. can well fit this system.²³ This model assumes that the system consists of a parallel combination of the Upper Convected Maxwell (UCM) model for the description of viscoelastic flow and Gent strain hardening model for the entropic elasticity of finite extensibility of polymer chains (Figure 6). The sum of the two contributions to the nominal stress σ_N from the UCM element and the Gent element can be described as

$$\sigma_N(\lambda) = \sigma_{N,v}(\lambda) + \sigma_{N,e}(\lambda) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\sigma_{N,v}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{2G_v D_e}{1 - 2D_e} (1 - \exp(-\frac{(1 - 2D_e)}{D_e}(\lambda - 1))) + \frac{G_v D_e}{1 + D_e} (1 - \exp(-\frac{(1 + D_e)}{D_e}(\lambda - 1))) \right) \lambda^{-1} \quad (3)$$

for the viscoelastic stress, and

$$\sigma_{N,e}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{G_e}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3}{J_m}} (\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-1}) \right) \lambda^{-1} \quad (4)$$

for the entropic elastic stress. Here, λ is the elongation ratio, G_v is the initial shear

modulus of the viscoelastic part, stemming from the contribution of weak inter-chain bonds that rupture during deformation. D_e is the Deborah number (the product of the relaxation time of the viscous component and the strain rate). G_e is the shear modulus at small strain from the elastic part, stemming from the contribution of strong inter-chain bonds as well as the topological inter-chain entanglement that do not flow during the deformation, serving as quasi-permanent crosslinker. J_m is the maximum allowable value of the first strain invariant representing the theoretical finite extensibility of the network chains, which can be written as

$$J_m = \lambda_m^2 + 2\lambda_m^{-1} - 3 \quad (5)$$

where λ_m represent the theoretical finite extensibility of polymer chains. In this system, λ_m depends on both the weak inter- and intra-chain ionic bonds that rupture during deformation. Eq. 2 is determined by four parameters G_e , λ_m , G_v , and D_e . Since the Young's modulus $E = 3(G_e + G_v)$, we can fit the tensile stress-strain data with the model using 3 independent parameters and the Young's modulus E estimated from the tensile behaviour. From the elasticity theory, we can relate the modulus G to the chain density ν by

$$G = \phi_p \nu k_B T \quad (6)$$

Here, ν is the chain density without solvent ($\phi_p=1$), k_B the Boltzmann constant, and T

the temperature. So, we can discuss the density of inter-chain ionic bonds from G_e (quasi-permanent strong bonds) and G_v (viscoelastic weak bonds) for a specific observation time. From λ_m we can discuss the intra-chain ionic bonds.

A represented stress-elongation curve of hydrogels and the simulated curves of the two individual contributions are shown in Figure 6. The good fit of the simulated curves to the experimental curve indicates that the tensile behaviours of the PA hydrogels could be well expressed by such a combined model. We performed a systematic fitting to the gels prepared at various monomer concentrations C_m and measured at a given initial strain rate (0.14 s^{-1} , Figures 2a, b) and the results are shown in Figure 7.

At a given initial strain rate (0.14 s^{-1}), the G_v and G_e increase abruptly above a critical $C_m > 1.4 \text{ M}$ by dialysis (Figures 7a, b). This indicates that both the weak and strong inter-chain bonds are formed substantially by the dialysis, especially above the critical concentration C_m . This result is consistent with the volume change by dialysis (Figure 1b). While increasing in C_m enhances G_v and G_e of the as-prepared gels, the equilibrium gels show almost constant G_v and G_e , independent of C_m for high C_m samples ($C_m > 1.6 \text{ M}$). Theoretical finite extensibility λ_m against C_m for the as-prepared gel and equilibrated gel are shown in Figure 7c. Decrease in λ_m with increasing of C_m is obvious in the as-prepared gel while an inverse tendency is observed for the

equilibrated gel, in agreement with the experimental results of λ_b in Figure 3c. The results show that although many weak bonds convert into strong bonds after dialysis, the finite extensibility λ_m decreases only slightly. This is a specific feature of this tough PA hydrogels. Before dialysis, the gel is more elastic and has low resistance against crack propagation, while after dialysis, the gel becomes highly resistant against the crack propagation. This is considered to increase the extensibility λ_m .

The G_v/G_e indicates the ratio of polymer chains crosslinked by temporary weak bonds and quasi-permanent strong bonds. The value of G_v/G_e also indicates the average number of weak bonds between two neighbouring strong bonds. For the as-prepared gels, G_v/G_e varies from 1.5 to 0.5 with increasing of C_m . After dialysis, G_v/G_e is about 4~5 for $C_m > 1.6$ M, indicating that more inter-chain weak bonds are formed in relative to the strong bonds at the observation strain rate (Figure 7d). The Deborah number D_e in the fitting serves as the adjusting parameter. We found that D_e is always within the range of subunit (Supporting information, Figure S1a).

The polymer partial chain densities from the elastic part ν_v and viscoelastic part ν_e for gels prepared at various C_m are estimated from Equation 6 and the results are shown in Figure 7e and f, respectively. The ν_e in the as-prepared gels increase with the increase of C_m , roughly following the power law relations, $\nu_e \sim C_m^{5.1}$. The large index value of the

power law of ν_e is due to the increase of topological entanglement with increase in C_m .²⁴

However, except at the lowest C_m , the equilibrated gels show high but almost constant values of ν_e and ν_v , independent of C_m . This phenomenon can be understood as that at such high concentration, the partial chain becomes too short to form the intra-chain ionic bonds, which is limited by the chain rigidity, and thus the inter-chain complexation is energetically favoured to occur.

As the structure of the PA hydrogels are dynamic, these fitted parameters also strongly depend on the observation time. The fitting results for the gels prepared at a constant monomer concentration C_m and measured at different initial strain rate (Figures 4a, b) are shown in Figure 8. For the same C_m (=2.1 M), when the initial strain rate varies from 0.0069 to 0.69 s⁻¹, G_v and G_e increase with the initial strain rate, following power law relations. Since the as-prepared gel is quite elastic, the initial strain rate dependences are weak ($G_v \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.10}$ and $G_e \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.11}$). The equilibrated gel show more strong initial strain rate dependence ($G_v \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.41}$ and $G_e \sim \dot{\epsilon}^{0.23}$), featuring its viscoelastic nature (Figure 8a, b). The increase of G_v with the initial strain rate indicates that fully relaxed ionic bonds at a low initial strain rate convert into weak bonds at a high initial strain rate, while the increase of G_e indicates that weak bonds at relatively low initial strain rate converts into strong bonds at high initial strain rate. The ratio of G_v/G_e , which stands for the ratio of

crosslinking density by weak bonds and quasi-permanent crosslinkers, is almost independent of the initial strain rate for the as-prepared sample, while it increases with the initial strain rate for the equilibrated sample (Figure 8d).

The theoretical finite extensibility λ_m of the as-prepared gel is almost constant, independent of the initial strain rate. This is consistent with the elastic feature of the gel.

On the other hand, the λ_m of the equilibrated gel decreases abruptly at the high initial strain rate (Figure 8c). This should be related to the increase of the strong bond density at the high initial strain rate. The values of Deborah number, D_e , at different initial strain rates are also within the range of subunit (Supporting information, Figure S1b).

Intra-chain ionic bonds

As discussed previously, the PA also forms intra-chain ionic bonds that do not contribute to G_v and G_e but strongly influence λ_m . These intra-chain ionic bonds should rupture by stretching. Therefore, the behaviors of intra-chain bonds substantially influence the finite extensibility. In this section, we discuss this effect. Here, we simply assume that the deformation is affine and the theoretical finite extensibility λ_m is affine extension ratio of a partial chain between the quasi-permanent crosslinkers, that is, the ratio of chain length at effectively finite extensibility to the initial size R of the partial

chain that is in global state (Figure 9). Without losing the generality, the latter can be expressed as $R=b_k N_k^\alpha$. Here, b_k is the Kuhn length, N_k the Kuhn number between quasi-permanent crosslinkers, and the α is the scaling exponent, representing the conformation of PA chains. $\alpha = 0.6$ represents a flexible chain of random coil conformation in a good solvent and $\alpha = 0.3$ represents globule conformation of a chain in a poor solvent.²⁴ Assuming that all the intra-chain bonds are weak bonds and they break at the ultimate extension ratio, then we have,

$$\lambda_m = \frac{b_k N_k}{b_k N_k^\alpha} = N_k^{1-\alpha} \quad (7)$$

The elastic modulus from the contribution of quasi-permanent crosslinking is

$$G_e = \phi_p \nu_e k_B T = \frac{1}{(b_k N_k^\alpha)^3} k_B T \quad (8)$$

The volume fraction of polymer ϕ_p is related to the partial chain parameter N_k and b_k as

$$\phi_p = \frac{b_k^3 N_k}{(b_k N_k^\alpha)^3} = N_k^{1-3\alpha} \quad (9)$$

Using λ_m and G_e determined by the viscoelastic model fitting and ϕ_p by experiment measurement, we can estimate the three structure parameters, b_k , N_k , and α , from Eqs. (7), (8) and (9). Figure 10 shows these structure parameters for the PA hydrogels prepared at various monomer concentrations C_m .

Figures 10a shows that, for the as-prepared samples, the scaling exponent $\alpha=0.40$, independent of C_m at preparation. This indicates that the polymer chains are in relatively

collapsed state, close to globule conformation ($\alpha=0.3$), as a result of intra-chain ionic bond formation. While, for the equilibrated samples after dialysis, α is C_m -dependent. For the sample of $C_m=1.3$ M, α is around 0.6, corresponding to the random coil conformation. The α value decreases with increase of C_m , approaching 0.4 at high C_m . This behaviour of α is well related to the volume change after dialysis (Figure 1b). For swollen samples, α is larger than 0.5 while for the deswollen samples α is 0.4. At low C_m , the inter-chain ionic bonds formation is suppressed and the solvent excluded volume interactions overcome the electrostatic attraction, resulting in a flexible chain with the random coil conformation. While, at high C_m the inter-chain ionic bridging overcomes the osmotic swelling and therefore polymer chains exhibit a globule-like conformation. The $\alpha = 0.4$ is slightly larger than the polyampholyte theory that predicts $\alpha = 0.3$.^{25, 26}

The as-prepared gels show dramatic decrease of Kuhn number N_k from 450 to 130 with increase of C_m (Figures 10b), which can be related to the enhanced entanglements and inter-chain ionic bonds density with the increase of C_m . In contrast, the equilibrated gels have a constant Kuhn number N_k (~ 100), independent of C_m . This is understandable since v_e is independent of C_m , as shown in Figure 7f. The smaller N_k of the equilibrated gels than that of the as-prepared gels can be related to the enhanced inter-chain bonds.

An interesting observation in Kuhn length b_k is that the value in the as-prepared gel is always larger than that in the equilibrated gel, as shown in Figure 10c, which indicates that the polymer chains become much soft after dialysis. According to the theoretical study in stiff PA chains,²⁷ the total persistence length of PA chains l_p can be written as the sum of the bare persistence length l_0 , arising from the intrinsic stiffness of the chain, and the contribution from charges in the polyampholyte chains l_{PA} : $l_p = l_0 + l_{PA}$. When we consider that the PA is overall neutral, the contribution to the l_{PA} from the charge fluctuations $(\delta\sigma)^2$, giving rise to an effective attractive interaction, is proportional to $-(\delta\sigma)^4\kappa^{-1}$, where κ^{-1} is the Debye screening length. The effective persistence length is reduced since the polyampholyte contribution l_{PA} is intrinsically negative. As a consequence, the local polymer chains of the as-prepared state is much stiffer than that of equilibrated gel prepared at the same C_m since the former has a short κ^{-1} due to the presence of added salt as well as counter ions. While, the decrease in Kuhn length b_k of as-prepared gel with the increase of C_m may stem from the results of the competition of Debye length κ^{-1} and charge fluctuations $(\delta\sigma)^2$.

As the as-prepared gel is quasi-elastic, these structural parameters do not depend on initial strain rate (Figure 11). In contrast, for the equilibrated gel, which is viscoelastic, the declines in N_k and b_k at the high initial strain rate are observed while α keeps

constant (Figures 11a, b and c). At a high initial strain rate, more inter-chain bonds serve as strong bonds to increase their quasi-crosslinker density so that N_k decreases. And this enhanced attractive interaction further contributes to reduce their effective persistence length.

CONCLUSIONS

The essential features of the structure clarified are schematically summarized in Figure 12. In the as-prepared state, the polyampholyte chains already formed some strong inter-chain ionic bonds to give soft, elastic gels. Considering the presence of large amount of co-ions and counter-ions, these inter-chain ionic bonds are considered to be located around the topological entanglement points. Since the partial chains between the quasi-crosslinking points are found to be in globule conformation, some intra-chain association should also exist in the as-prepared samples. However, these intra-chain bonds should be very weak with bond exchange time much faster than the observation time, as only very weak initial strain rate dependence was observed.

By dialysis, removing of the co-ions and counter-ions from the samples substantially enhances the ionic bond formation, whereupon the polymer concentration governs the competition between the intra-chain and inter-chain complexation. At a high enough

concentration that the inter-chains distance becomes smaller than the Kuhn length of the chain, the inter-chain complexation is energetically more favourable to occur. As a result, the water equilibrated gels synthesized at high concentration possess both high stiffness and toughness. Since the strong intra-chain bonds reduce the stretching ability and have no contribution to modulus and toughness, elimination of the strong intra-chain bonds is important for the stiff, tough, yet stretchable gels. The understanding of the structure formation process will give insight to design controlled dialysis process for tough gels in future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was funded by ImPACT Program of Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan).

REFERENCES

1. K. Haraguchi and T. Takehisa, *Adv Mater*, 2002, **14**, 1120-1124.
2. J. P. Gong, Y. Katsuyama, T. Kurokawa and Y. Osada, *Adv Mater*, 2003, **15**, 1155-+.
3. T. Huang, H. G. Xu, K. X. Jiao, L. P. Zhu, H. R. Brown and H. L. Wang, *Adv Mater*, 2007, **19**, 1622-+.
4. T. Nakajima, H. Sato, Y. Zhao, S. Kawahara, T. Kurokawa, K. Sugahara and J. P. Gong, *Adv Funct Mater*, 2012, **22**, 4426-4432.
5. E. Ducrot, Y. L. Chen, M. Bulters, R. P. Sijbesma and C. Creton, *Science*, 2014, **344**,

- 186-189.
6. M. J. Palmeri, K. W. Putz and L. C. Brinson, *Acs Nano*, 2010, **4**, 4256-4264.
7. D. C. Tuncaboylu, M. Sari, W. Oppermann and O. Okay, *Macromolecules*, 2011, **44**, 4997-5005.
8. S. E. Bakarich, G. C. Pidcock, P. Balding, L. Stevens, P. Calvert and M. I. H. Panhuis, *Soft Matter*, 2012, **8**, 9985-9988.
9. J. A. Neal, D. Mozhdehi and Z. B. Guan, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2015, **137**, 4846-4850.
10. T. L. Sun, T. Kurokawa, S. Kuroda, A. Bin Ihsan, T. Akasaki, K. Sato, M. A. Haque, T. Nakajima and J. P. Gong, *Nat Mater*, 2013, **12**, 932-937.
11. F. Luo, T. L. Sun, T. Nakajima, T. Kurokawa, Y. Zhao, A. Bin Ihsan, H. L. Guo, X. F. Li and J. P. Gong, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 6037-6046.
12. F. Luo, T. L. Sun, T. Nakajima, T. Kurokawa, Y. Zhao, K. Sato, A. Bin Ihsan, X. F. Li, H. L. Guo and J. P. Gong, *Adv Mater*, 2015, **27**, 2722-+.
13. A. Bin Ihsan, T. L. Sun, S. Kuroda, M. A. Haque, T. Kurokawa, T. Nakajima and J. P. Gong, *J Mater Chem B*, 2013, **1**, 4555-4562.
14. J. Y. Sun, X. H. Zhao, W. R. K. Illeperuma, O. Chaudhuri, K. H. Oh, D. J. Mooney, J. J. Vlassak and Z. G. Suo, *Nature*, 2012, **489**, 133-136.
15. K. Mayumi, A. Marcellan, G. Ducouret, C. Creton and T. Narita, *Acs Macro Lett*, 2013, **2**, 1065-1068.
16. R. Long, K. Mayumi, C. Creton, T. Narita and C. Y. Hui, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 7243-7250.
17. E. B. Stukalin, L. H. Cai, N. A. Kumar, L. Leibler and M. Rubinstein, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 7525-7541.
18. H. Itagaki, T. Kurokawa, H. Furukawa, T. Nakajima, Y. Katsumoto and J. P. Gong, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 9495-9500.
19. T. Wang, D. Liu, C. X. Lian, S. D. Zheng, X. X. Liu and Z. Tong, *Soft Matter*, 2012, **8**, 774-783.
20. R. E. Webber, C. Creton, H. R. Brown and J. P. Gong, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 2919-2927.
21. D. C. Lin, J. F. Douglas and F. Horkay, *Soft Matter*, 2010, **6**, 3548-3561.
22. C. Y. Hui and R. Long, *Soft Matter*, 2012, **8**, 8209-8216.
23. F. Deplace, M. A. Rabjohns, T. Yamaguchi, A. B. Foster, C. Carelli, C. H. Lei, K. Ouzineb, J. L. Keddie, P. A. Lovell and C. Creton, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 1440-1447.
24. M. Rubinstein and R. H. Colby, *Polymer Physics*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2003.
25. A. V. Dobrynin, R. H. Colby and M. Rubinstein, *J Polym Sci Pol Phys*, 2004, **42**,

3513-3538.

- 26. P. G. Higgs and J. F. Joanny, *J Chem Phys*, 1991, **94**, 1543-1554.
- 27. B. Y. Ha and D. Thirumalai, *J Phys Li*, 1997, **7**, 887-902.

Figure 1




Figure 1. (a) Chemical structures of ionic monomers used in this work. (b) The deswelling of the hydrogels in water depends on the monomer concentration C_m in polymerization. The volume ratio of the gels at equilibrated state to that in the as-prepared state Q_v is against C_m . (c) the volume fraction of polymer in the gels ϕ_p against C_m . The data of swelling volume ratio in Figure 1b was cited from literature.¹⁰

Figure 2




Figure 2. Tensile behaviours (a, b) and corresponding Mooney-Rivlin curves (c, d) of polyampholyte hydrogels synthesized at different monomer concentration C_m in their as-prepared state (a, c) and water-equilibrium state (b, d). The measurements were performed at an initial strain rate 0.14 s^{-1} . Figure 2b was cited from the literature.¹⁰

Figure 3




Figure 3. Monomer concentration C_m dependence of Young's modulus E (a), fracture stress σ_b (b), and fracture elongation λ_b (c) of polyampholyte hydrogels in their as-prepared and water-equilibrated state. The measurements were performed at an initial strain rate 0.14 s^{-1} .

Figure 4




Figure 4. Tensile behaviour (a, b) and corresponding Mooney-Rivlin curves (c, d) of a polyampholyte hydrogel ($C_m=2.1$ M) measured at various initial strain rates in its as-prepared state (a, c) and water-equilibrated state (b, d). Figure 4b was cited from the literature.¹⁰

Figure 5




Figure 5. Initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ dependence of Young's modulus E (a), fracture stress σ_b (b), and fracture elongation λ_b (b) of a polyampholyte hydrogel ($C_m=2.1$ M) in its as-prepared and equilibrated state.

Figure 6




Figure 6. Typical tensile behaviour of equilibrated hydrogel in water (experimental data, $C_m=2.0$ M), and simulated sum of contribution (simulate curve), and their individually contributions from the viscous part (UCM) and elastic part (Gent). Inset is the sketch of viscoelastic model.

Figure 7




Figure 7. Monomer concentration C_m dependence of shear modulus of viscoelastic part G_v (a), shear modulus of the elastic part G_e (b), theoretical finite fracture elongation λ_m (c), ratio of G_v/G_e (d) of polyampholyte hydrogels in their as-prepared and equilibrated state. Polymer chain density from the viscoelastic part ν_v (e) and elastic part ν_e (f). The results were obtained by fitting Figure 2(a, b) with the viscoelastic model.

Figure 8




Figure 8. Initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ dependence of shear modulus of the viscous part G_v (a), shear modulus of the elastic part G_e (b), theoretical finite fracture elongation λ_m (c), ratio of G_v/G_e (d) of a polyampholyte hydrogel ($C_m = 2.1$ M) in its as-prepared and equilibrated state. The results were obtained by fitting Figure 4(a, b) with the viscoelastic model.

Figure 9




Figure 9 Illustration for the conformation of a partial polyampholyte chain between quasi-permanent crosslinking points. At non-stretching state (left), the polymer chain takes a globule conformation with a size $R = b_k N_k^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 0.4$). At the ultimate-stretching state (right), the size of the polyampholyte chain becomes $b_k N_k$. Here b_k and N_k are the Kuhn length and Kuhn number, respectively.

Figure 10




Figure 10. Monomer concentration C_m dependence of the structure parameters of the partial chain between the quasi-permanent crosslinkers of PA gels in the as-prepared and equilibrated state. (a) scaling exponent α , (b) Kuhn number N_k , (c) Kuhn length b_k .

Figure 11




Figure 11. Initial strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ dependence of α (a), N_k (b), and b_k (c) of a polyampholyte hydrogel ($C_m=2.1$ M) in its as-prepared and equilibrated state.

Figure 12




Figure 12. A simplified illustration representing the essential crosslinking feature of polyampholyte gels formed by multiple-ionic-bonds of wide strength distribution in the as-prepared state and equilibrated state. A strong bond refers a multiple-ionic-bonds that does not rupture at the given observation time, while a weak bond refers that ruptures. The details are described in the conclusions section of text.