

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 May 28.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 May 28; 130(21): 6680–6681. doi:10.1021/ja801052g.

Sialoside analog arrays for rapid identification of high affinity siglec ligands

Ola Blixt[†], Shoufa Han[#], Liang Liao, Ying Zeng, Julia Hoffmann, Satoshi Futakawa, and James C. Paulson

Departments of Chemical Physiology and Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, E-mail: jpaulson@scripps.edu

The siglec family of glycan binding proteins recognizes sialic acid containing glycans of glycoproteins and glycolipids as ligands. Most are differentially expressed on various white blood cells that mediate immune function, and one of them, myelin associated glycoprotein (MAG), is expressed on glial cells and functions in myelin-axon interactions¹. Detailed oligosaccharide specificities toward its natural ligands have been sought to provide the type of information that will help understand their interactions with ligands *in situ*, and develop ligand based tools to help probe their functions in the cells that express them. In general, siglecs bind with low intrinsic affinity (0.1–1 mM) to their natural sialoside ligands, and while several exhibit unique specificities, most siglecs bind to sialosides containing the common Neu5Aca2–6Gal and/or Neu5Aca2–3Gal linkages^{2–4}.

To investigate the roles of ligand binding in the functions of siglecs, high affinity probes are desired to compete with natural ligands and bind to siglecs on native cells. Several studies have documented the importance of sialic acid substituents (e.g. at the 9C and 5C positions on sialic acid) for modulating the affinity of siglec binding $^{5-9}$, and the utility of high affinity 9-substituted sialoside ligands as biological probes of Siglec-2 (CD22) 10,11 . To facilitate development of such ligands for other siglecs, we have implemented a strategy of making a sialoside analog library compatible with glycan microarray technology 12 to screen for substituents that increase affinity.

The synthesis of sialosides with 9-azido-Neu5Ac opens a route to the facile synthesis of 9substituted sialic acids. Synthesis of the key azido-intermediates (**C**, **D**) with 9-azido-Neu5Ac α 2–3Gal and 9-azido-Neu5Ac α 2–6Gal termini was accomplished by enzymatic transfer of 9-azido-Neu5Ac from CMP-9-azido-Neu5Ac 13,14 to an oligosaccharide precursor containing a 4-pentenoyl or CBZ protected 2-amino ethyl aglycone (Scheme 1). Portions (1– 5 mg) of the azido-intermediates were reduced to the amine with PPh₃, and acylated in excess with from a library of acylchlorides (see Table S1 in supporting information). After quenching of excess acylating reagents with water, glycans were deprotected^{15,16} to expose the amine (**E**, **F**) for printing onto amino-reactive N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS) activated glass slides (Schott Nexterion, Slide-H) ¹². The 4-pentenoyl protecting group was advantageous in that it could be deprotected *in situ* with iodine (I₂/H₂O) and the products printed directly onto slides. In total, the library comprised 16 α 2–3 and 28 α 2–6 sialosides.

To determine if the increased affinity of siglecs towards acyl substituents could be detected on a glycan array, we tested the binding of CD22 (Siglec-2) to an array of selected analogs of the α 2–6 sialoside **F**. The six compounds included one with a 9-N-biphenylcarboxyl (BPC, **15**)

[†]Present address: Dept. of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Univ. of Copenhagen Blegdamsvej 3, DK-2200 Copenhagen [#]Present address: Dept. of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, 361005 P.R. China.

substituent previously shown to increase affinity for CD22 by 100 fold^{6,10}. The glycans were printed at ten 2-fold dilutions (10 replicates each). Binding was assessed with a fluorescently labeled recombinant CD22-Fc chimera, an antibody like molecule containing two CD22 ligand binding domains, that has been demonstrated to bind to glycan microarrays ¹². Binding of CD22 was sialic acid dependent (Figure 1), with binding observed to the natural Neu5Ac containing compound (**c**) and increased binding to each of the three 9-acyl-substituted compounds (**b**, **d**, **f**). Scanning the slide to measure relative fluorescence allowed comparison of binding levels at each printing concentration (Figure 1B). Relative to the natural sialoside (**c**), four analogs exhibited stronger binding of CD22, and the 9-BPC substituent (**f**) produced equivalent binding at 64–128 fold lower printing concentration.

The quality of a glycan array containing the full library was assessed using the binding of the plant lectins, Maccia amurensis agglutinin (MAA) and Sambucus nigra agglutinin (SNA), specific for $\alpha 2$ –3- and $\alpha 2$ –6-sialosides, respectively. Fortuitously, binding of neither lectin is blocked by 9-acyl substituents, facilitating their use to assess the printing efficiency. Relative to the control compound with no substituent (NeuAc), all 9-acyl substituted **E** and **F** sialosides were recognized comparably by MAA and SNA, respectively (Figure 2).

The full array was then used to assess the specificity of three siglecs, CD22 (Siglec-2), MAG (Siglec-4) and sialoadhesin (Siglec-1). In keeping with its specificity for $\alpha 2$ –6 sialosides, CD22 bound only to sialosides in the **F** series (Figure S2). However, none of the N-acyl substituents exhibited an affinity equivalent to BPC (**F15**; see Figures 1 and S1).

Surprisingly, Fc chimeras of sialoadhesin and MAG did not bind to sialosides with unsubstituted NeuAc, but bound $\alpha 2-3$ (E) or $\alpha 2-6$ sialosides with selective 9-N-acyl substituents in repeated assays (Figure 3). Sialoadhesin exhibited increased affinities to a few bis-phenyl analogs of E (14, 15), and F (15, 30), with the equivalent binding of the bi-phenyl substituent 15 to both demonstrating that the affinity to the acyl substituent is dominant over the sialic acid to galactose linkage. MAG, bound to sialosides with other substituents including benzoyl- (7) and chloro-benzoyl (8–10) 9-substituted sialoside analogs of either $\alpha 2-3$ (E) or $\alpha 2-6$ (F) sialosides. The results are consistent with previous studies evaluating the affinity of MAG towards 9-N-acyl substituents on α -methyl-NeuAc⁸.

To confirm that the signals for MAG resulted from increased affinity, a selected series of related sialosides with 9-acyl substituents (**7**, **8**, **10**) of **E** and **F**, were re-synthesized and tested for binding by MAG and CD22(Figure S2). While CD22 bound only the α 2–6 sialoside (**F**) series, MAG bound both, with preference for the α 2–3 (**E**) series compounds, consistent with its preference for α 2–3 sialosides ^{2,17}. These compounds were also assessed for inhibitory potency in an ELISA competition assay¹⁰. Compounds **7E**, **8E**, and **10E** gave IC50 values of 4.9, 9.7 and 2.2 μ M, respectively, representing 50–200 fold enhancement of affinity over the unsubstituted α 2–3 sialoside **H** (IC50 of 490 μ M; data not shown).

The 9-substituted analog library for \mathbf{E} was also prepared with a corresponding 5-benzolyloxy carbonyl (Cbz) substituent, this substituent exhibited no effect or decreased affinity. Nonetheless, this opens a route to 9- and 5- disubstituted sialosides (data not shown).

In summary, we demonstrate that a sialoside analog array allows for the synthesis of sialoside analogs in small quantity for subsequent screening for high affinity ligands of siglecs and other sialoside binding GBPs.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 May 28.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Paul Crocker for providing cell lines producing siglec-Fc chimeras of MAG and sialoadhisin, the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (GM62116) for the CD22-Fc chimera, Dr. Nicolai Bovin for compound J, and Ms. Anna Tran-Crie for help in preparing this manuscript. This research was supported by NIH grant GM060938.

References

- 1. Crocker PR, Paulson JC, Varki A. Nature Reviews Immunology 2007;7:255-266.
- Blixt O, Collins BE, van den Nieuwenhof IM, Crocker PR, Paulson JC. J Biol Chem 2003;278:31007– 19. [PubMed: 12773526]
- Alphey MS, Attrill H, Crocker PR, van Aalten DMF. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2003;278:3372– 3377. [PubMed: 12438315]
- Bochner BS, Alvarez RA, Mehta P, Bovin NV, Blixt O, White JR, Schnaar RL. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005;280:4307–4312. [PubMed: 15563466]
- Kelm S, Brossmer R, Isecke R, Gross HJ, Strenge K, Schauer R. European Journal of Biochemistry 1998;255:663–672. [PubMed: 9738906]
- Zaccai NR, Maenaka K, Maenaka T, Crocker PR, Brossmer R, Kelm S, Jones EY. Structure 2003;11:557–567. [PubMed: 12737821]
- Schnaar RL, Collins BE, Wright LP, Kiso M, Tropak MB, Roder JC, Crocker PR. Sphingolipids as Signaling Modulators in the Nervous System 1998;845:92–105.
- Shelke SV, Gao GP, Mesch S, Gathje H, Kelm S, Schwardt O, Ernst B. Bioorg Med Chem 2007;15:4951–65. [PubMed: 17507233]
- Oetke C, Brossmer R, Mantey LR, Hinderlich S, Isecke R, Reutter W, Keppler OT, Pawlita M. J Biol Chem 2002;277:6688–95. [PubMed: 11751912]
- Collins BE, Blixt O, Han SF, Duong B, Li HY, Nathan JK, Bovin N, Paulson JC. Journal of Immunology 2006;177:2994–3003.
- Kelm S, Gerlach J, Brossmer R, Danzer CP, Nitschke L. J Exp Med 2002;195:1207–13. [PubMed: 11994426]
- 12. Blixt O, Head S, Mondala T, Scanlan C, Huflejt ME, Alvarez R, Bryan MC, Fazio F, Calarese D, Stevens J, Razi N, Stevens DJ, Skehel JJ, van Die I, Burton DR, Wilson IA, Cummings R, Bovin N, Wong CH, Paulson JC. Proc Natl AcadSci U S A 2004;101:17033–8.
- 13. Blixt O, Paulson JC. Adv Synth Catal 2003;345:687-690.
- 14. Han S, Collins BE, Bengtson P, Paulson JC. Nat Chem Biol 2005;1:93–7. [PubMed: 16408005]
- 15. Madsen R, Roberts C, Fraserreid B. Journal of Organic Chemistry 1995;60:7920-7926.
- Barstrom M, Bengtsson M, Blixt O, Norberg T. Carbohydrate Research 2000;328:525–531. [PubMed: 11093708]
- Strenge K, Schauer R, Bovin N, Hasegawa A, Ishida H, Kiso M, Kelm S. Eur J Biochem 1998;258:677–85. [PubMed: 9874234]



Figure 1.

Binding of CD22-Fc chimera to sialoside analogs printed on a glycan array. Sialosides were printed with 10 replicates of 10 two-fold dilutions from 100-0.2 μ M (left to right). 9-Acyl-substituents of **F** are indicated in parentheses (Table 1S). **A** Fluorescent image of the array labeled with a complex of CD22-Fc chimera and secondary FITC-labeled goat anti-human Fc antibody (see Figure 3). **B** Relative fluorescence intensity at each printing concentration is compared for the six compounds.

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 May 28.

Blixt et al.



Figure 2.

Binding of sialoside specific plant lectins to a sialoside analog glycan array. Biotinylated lectins, MAA (*top*) and SNA (*bottom*) specific for Neu5Ac α 2–3Gal or Neu5Ac α 2–6Gal linkages, respectively, were mixed with Alexa-fluor 488 labeled streptavidin, overlaid onto the printed analog array, washed and scanned for fluorescence (see Supplementary material). Acyl analogs **1–34** of **E** (yellow) and **F** (green) correspond to acyl groups listed in Table S1. Shown is relative fluorescence of lectins bound to each glycan printed at 5 serial dilutions starting at 100 μ M. 'NeuAc' labeled lanes are sialosides with unsubstituted Neu5Ac in α 2–3 (**H**) and α 2–6 (**I**) linkage to N acetyl-lactosamine.

Blixt et al.



Figure 3.

Binding of Siglec-Fc chimeras to the sialoside analog array. Mouse sialoadhesin-Fc chimera (*top*) and mouse MAG-Fc chimera (*bottom*) complexed with goat anti-human IgG-FITC (2:1; 50 μ g/mL total protein) were overlayed onto the printed analog array, washed for Figure 2). Numbered acyl analogs attached to compound **E** (yellow) or **F** (green) are found in Table S1.

Blixt et al.



Scheme 1.

a) ST3Gal and CMP-9-azido-9-deoxy-Neu5Ac; b) GalT-GalE, , UDP-Gal, hST6Gal I, CMP-9-azido-9-deoxy-Neu5Ac; c) PPh₃, MeOH:TFA:H₂O (4:5:1),; d) acylation, R₂COCl (R₂=1–34, see Table S1) (2–4 eq), base (5 eq); e) I₂(5 eq), MeOH:H₂O (9:1); f) Pd/C, H₂