

Variable Pathways for Oxygen Atom Insertion into Metal–Carbon Bonds: The Case of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$ 


Jiajun Mei, Kurtis M. Carsch, Cody R. Freitag, T. Brent Gunnoe,\* and Thomas R. Cundari\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904-4319, United States

Department of Chemistry and Center for Advanced Scientific Computing and Modeling, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas 76203-5017, United States

\* Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:**  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**) ( $\text{Cp}^* = \eta^5$ -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) reacts with oxygen atom donors (e.g.,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{PhIO}$ ,  $\text{IO}_4^-$ ) in THF/water to produce  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  ( $\text{TMS} = \text{trimethylsilyl}$ ). For the reaction of **1** with  $\text{IO}_4^-$ , the proposed pathway for alcohol formation involves coordination of  $\text{IO}_4^-$  to **1** followed by concerted migration of the  $-\text{CH}_2\text{TMS}$  ligand to the coordinated oxygen of  $\text{IO}_4^-$  with concomitant dissociation of  $\text{IO}_3^-$  to produce  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{OCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**3**), which undergoes protonolysis to yield free alcohol. In contrast to the reaction with  $\text{IO}_4^-$ , the reaction of **1** with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  results in the formation of the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo complex  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(\eta^2\text{-O}_2)(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**). In the presence of acid ( $\text{HCl}$ ) or base ( $\text{NaOH}$ ), complex **2** produces  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$ . The conversion of **2** to  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  catalyzed by Brønsted acid is proposed to occur through protonation of the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo ligand, which facilitates the transfer of the  $-\text{CH}_2\text{TMS}$  ligand to a coordinated oxygen of the  $\eta^2$ -hydroperoxo ligand. In contrast, the hydroxide promoted conversion of **2** to  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  is proposed to involve hydroxide coordination, followed by proton transfer from the hydroxide ligand to the peroxide ligand to yield a  $\kappa^1$ -hydroperoxide intermediate. The migration of the  $-\text{CH}_2\text{TMS}$  ligand to the coordinated oxygen of the  $\kappa^1$ -hydroperoxide produces an alkoxide complex, which undergoes protonolysis to yield free alcohol.



## ■ INTRODUCTION


Hydrocarbon oxidation is a fundamentally important process for the petrochemical industry.<sup>1–3</sup> The development of new catalysts for the selective oxidation of hydrocarbons, especially alkanes, has the potential to enhance the efficiency of production of chemicals and fuels.<sup>4–11</sup> However, the selective functionalization (e.g., direct partial oxidation) of alkanes is among the most challenging catalytic processes.

Transition-metal catalysts for the partial oxidation of alkanes to form alcohols must be able to perform two key steps: C–H bond activation and C–O bond formation. The Pt-based Shilov system, developed in the 1960s, was among the first homogeneous catalysts to successfully activate alkanes to form alcohols or alcohol precursors. It has been proposed that C–H bond activation occurs at  $\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}$  followed by C–X ( $\text{X} = \text{OH}$  or  $\text{Cl}$ ) bond formation at  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$ -alkyl by reductive (i.e., reduction of  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$  to  $\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}$ ) nucleophilic addition to an electrophilic  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$ -alkyl ligand.<sup>12,13</sup> The use of expensive  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$  as a stoichiometric oxidant to convert  $\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}$ -alkyl to  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$ -alkyl limits the utility of this catalyst.<sup>12–14</sup>

While C–X ( $\text{X} = \text{OH}$  or  $\text{Cl}$ ) bond formation in the Shilov-type catalysts has been proposed to involve nucleophilic addition of water or halide to an electrophilic  $\text{Pt}^{\text{IV}}$ -alkyl ligand,<sup>12</sup> an alternative strategy for metal-mediated C–O bond

formation involves net oxygen atom insertion into metal–alkyl bonds. For example, one possible catalytic cycle incorporates oxygen atom insertion into a M–R bond followed by C–H activation via net 1,2-addition across a M–OR bond to convert an alkane to an alcohol.<sup>10,15–18</sup> Scheme 1 shows two distinct pathways for oxygen atom insertion into M–R bonds from the

Scheme 1. Proposed Pathways for Partial Oxidation of Hydrocarbon Involving Oxygen Atom Insertion into a M–R Bond and 1,2-CH-Addition across a M–OR Bond



Received: October 2, 2012

Published: December 24, 2012



reaction of a M–R moiety with an oxygen atom delivery reagent (YO). One pathway involves a migration of the ligand R to a metal-oxo intermediate. The second pathway incorporates direct oxy-insertion from M(OY)(R) without the formation of a metal-oxo intermediate. To be generally useful for selective oxidations at high conversion, ideally, the C–O bond forming step should proceed without the formation of radical intermediates and can be facilitated by catalysts including organocatalysts, as recently reported with aviniums,<sup>19</sup> and by metal-oxo complexes as reported by Goldberg and co-workers.<sup>20</sup>

The 1,2-addition of C–H bonds across M–NHR and M–OR bonds is known. In 2003, our groups studied and reported intramolecular C–H activation by a PCP–Ru<sup>II</sup> amido complex and commented on the potential utility of this transformation in catalytic transformations.<sup>21</sup> Then, in 2005, we reported intermolecular benzene C–H activation by Ru<sup>II</sup> hydroxide and anilide complexes.<sup>17,22</sup> Related chemistry includes an Ir<sup>III</sup> complex and Ru<sup>II</sup> complexes by Periana, Goddard, and co-workers,<sup>16,23</sup> a Rh<sup>I</sup> complex reported by Heinekey, Goldberg, and co-workers,<sup>24</sup> and a Rh<sup>I</sup> complex reported by Bercaw, Labinger, and co-workers.<sup>25</sup>

While insertions of oxygen atoms into M–C bonds are known, examples that occur by non-radical routes are rare. In a 1988 publication that focused on oxygen atom insertion into Ta<sup>V</sup> hydrocarbyl bonds, Bercaw and co-workers stated, “The details of the actual oxygen-transfer step in controlled metal-mediated oxidations are still poorly understood...examples of clean carbon–oxygen bond formation for well-characterized compounds are rarer still.”<sup>26</sup> Despite a few recent examples,<sup>15,19,27–31</sup> we believe that this statement remains accurate.


Some early transition-metal complexes, such as group IV complexes, initiate oxygen atom insertion into M–R bonds; however, these reactions commonly proceed by radical pathways.<sup>32,33</sup> Brown and Mayer reported oxy-insertion into M–Ar (Ar = aryl) bonds with Re<sup>VII</sup> via the migration of the Ar group to an oxo ligand.<sup>29,31</sup> Similar mechanisms that involve the formation of metal–oxo have also been proposed for the oxygen atom insertion of Pd complexes, but mechanistic studies have not been disclosed.<sup>28,34</sup> Hillhouse and co-workers have reported net oxygen insertion into a series of Ni–R bonds and a Hf–Ph bond upon reaction with N<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>30,35</sup> Espenson and co-workers reported that methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) reacts with oxidants to release methanol.<sup>36</sup> Later, Periana, Goddard, and co-workers investigated the mechanism of this reaction. A pathway that involves migration of the methyl ligand to the oxygen of the coordinated oxidant was proposed, and an analogy between this reaction and the Baeyer–Villiger reaction (conversion of ketones to esters) was made.<sup>15,37,38</sup>

Given the importance of metal-mediated C–O bond formation, we have been interested in understanding mechanisms and strategies to facilitate these transformations. To our knowledge, detailed studies of reactions that give clean oxygen atom insertion into metal–hydrocarbyl bonds are limited to the studies of Re<sup>VII</sup> complexes.<sup>15,29,31,35,36</sup> Herein, we present studies of oxygen atom insertion into the W–CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub> bond of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (1) and Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)( $\eta^2$ -O<sub>2</sub>)(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (2). Complexes 1 and 2 have been previously prepared and studied by Legzdins and co-workers.<sup>39</sup>

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Reaction of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (1) with NaIO<sub>4</sub>.** The reaction of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (1) with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> has been

reported to yield the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo complex Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)( $\eta^2$ -O<sub>2</sub>)(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (2),<sup>39</sup> however, the reaction of 1 with 1 equiv of NaIO<sub>4</sub> in 1:1 THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O or 1:1 1,4-dioxane-*d*<sub>8</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O (v/v) does not produce complex 2. Rather, TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH (TMS = SiMe<sub>3</sub>, trimethylsilyl) is formed without observation of 2 as an intermediate. The reaction is complete within two hours at room temperature and produces TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH in almost 100% yield by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (eq 1). To determine the



pathway for the conversion of 1 and NaIO<sub>4</sub> to TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH, we first considered the possibility that the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo complex Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)( $\eta^2$ -O<sub>2</sub>)(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (2) is formed as an intermediate, followed by oxy-insertion into the W–C bond and subsequent protonolysis to give free TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH. Complex 2 was reacted with NaIO<sub>4</sub> under the same conditions as the alcohol release from 1 and NaIO<sub>4</sub>, and no alcohol was observed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy after 24 hours. Furthermore, 2 does not react with NaIO<sub>3</sub> or D<sub>2</sub>O to produce TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH under the same conditions. Thus, the evidence suggests that the formation of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH from 1 and NaIO<sub>4</sub> does not likely proceed via complex 2.

The reaction of 1 and NaIO<sub>4</sub> (5 equiv) in 1:1 THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O (v/v) was monitored at –1.3 °C by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. During the conversion, the disappearance of 1, the emergence of an intermediate, and the appearance of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH were observed. The formation of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH occurs with *t*<sub>1/2</sub> = 40 min in approximately 100% yield. On the basis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, the intermediate is proposed to be the tungsten alkoxide complex Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(OCH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (3); however, we were not able to isolate 3. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonances of the intermediate 3 are assigned as 4.17 (CH<sub>2</sub>, s), 2.04 (CH<sub>3</sub>, s), –0.05 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>, s) ppm. Mo(O)<sub>2</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> exhibits a resonance due to the OCH<sub>2</sub>Me at 4.65 ppm,<sup>40</sup> and the similar complex (bpy)Mo(O)<sub>2</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) exhibits a methylene resonance at 3.86 ppm.<sup>41</sup> In contrast, the CH<sub>2</sub> groups of 1 and 2 resonate at 0.43 and 3.16 ppm, respectively. In addition to NaIO<sub>4</sub>, complex 1 reacts with iodosobenzene (PhIO) in 1,4-dioxane at room temperature to produce 3 in 20% yield in 20 min by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (eq 2); however, at prolonged reaction times, an intractable mixture of products is formed.




Figure 1 depicts the concentration versus time plot for all species observed in the conversion of 1 and NaIO<sub>4</sub> to TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH, based on the integrations of the methylene resonances of 1, the TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH product, and complex 3. The sum of concentrations of these three species (black X's, Figure 1) remains constant over the course of the reaction.


Scheme 2 depicts a proposed organometallic Baeyer–Villiger (OMBV) pathway for the formation of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH from the reaction of 1 with NaIO<sub>4</sub>. Periodate coordinates to complex 1, followed by concerted migration of the alkyl ligand to the





formation of the adduct  $1\text{-OIO}_3^-$  is computed to be endergonic by  $25\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  in both solvents relative to separated **1** and periodate. Presumably, the inclusion of counterion effects would reduce the endergonicity of the reaction to form an anionic adduct and thus also the corresponding overall activation barrier of the formation of complex **3**. Periodate has been implicated in electron transfer reactions as well as two-electron oxygen atom transfer (OAT) chemistry.<sup>43</sup> To model the possibility of one-electron chemistry, we investigated the thermodynamics of the following electron transfer reaction:  $1 + [\text{IO}_4]^- \rightarrow 1^- + [\text{IO}_4]$ . This reaction is decidedly endergonic in 1,4-dioxane ( $G_{\text{calc}} = +120\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) and water ( $G_{\text{calc}} = +122\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ). In the absence of corroborating experimental data, quantitative significance should not be ascribed to the computed electron transfer thermodynamics. However, the significant computed endergonicity suggests that, for these  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}^{\text{VI}}$  complexes, the periodate anion is not likely acting as a single electron transfer reagent.

The DFT calculations support the hypotheses that oxygen atom insertion into the  $\text{W-CH}_2\text{TMS}$  bond upon reacting with  $\text{IO}_4^-$  occurs by an OMBV pathway. The calculated energy barrier for oxy-insertion from  $1\text{-OIO}_3^-$  is  $28\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  (PCM water). Part of the difference between the computed and experimental barriers is, as delineated below, due to the neglect of explicit solvation of the periodate oxidant and particularly its iodate leaving group. Multiple attempts (see the Supporting Information) to isolate alternative transition states (TSs) (e.g., a TS for formation of a peroxo leading to **2**, a  $[3+2]$  addition of periodate, etc.) either led to already found stationary points or the OMBV TS depicted in Figure 4 (the TS is modified




**Figure 4.** DFT-calculated TS for the oxy-insertion step of the overall reaction  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**) +  $\text{IO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{OCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**3**) +  $\text{IO}_3^-$ . Bond lengths are given in Å and bond angles in degrees.

based on studies of the impact of water, see below). The formation of **3** is calculated to be favorable, which is consistent with the observation of putative **3** as an intermediate in the overall reaction. The calculated  $\text{I-O}$  bond distance in the TS for oxygen atom insertion is  $2.16\text{ Å}$ , which is much shorter than the  $2.399\text{ Å}$  reported for the corresponding TS for MTO, implying an earlier TS for the  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}$  complex. The latter

assertion is also supported by the calculated  $\text{C-O}$  distances for the carbon-oxygen bond being formed in the Baeyer-Villiger TS:  $2.23\text{ Å}$  (for  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}$  complex) compared to  $2.067\text{ Å}$  (MTO) TS.<sup>15</sup>

The reaction of complex **1** with  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{IO}_4]$  ( $\text{Bu} = n\text{-butyl}$ ) (3 equiv) in rigorously dried THF- $d_8$  results in no reaction even upon heating at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h, while the same starting material produced the intermediate **3** and ultimately  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  in 1:1 THF- $d_8/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (v/v) at room temperature in hours. The failure of **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$  to produce free alcohol in the absence of water is perhaps not surprising, since the conversion of **3** to free alcohol requires a proton source. However, the lack of formation of **3** for the reaction of **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$  in the absence of water is less readily rationalized, especially since calculations show that the formation of **3** is favorable from **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$ . Water apparently facilitates the oxy-insertion reaction. Possible roles for water in the conversion of **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$  to **3** include the following: (1) as a solvent, water helps the ionization of the  $\text{IO}_4^-$  anion for metal coordination. (2) As an electron donor, water can coordinate the metal center resulting in a more electron-rich metal center and more nucleophilic  $-\text{CH}_2\text{TMS}$  ligand, which is analogous to the role of pyridine in the conversion of MTO and pyridine-*N*-oxide to the oxy-insertion product.<sup>15,44</sup> (3) Water interacts with coordinated  $\text{IO}_4^-$  to facilitate the dissociation of  $\text{IO}_3^-$ .

Under pseudo first-order conditions, the reaction of **1** and excess  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{IO}_4]$  (15 equiv) with various amounts of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  in THF- $d_8$  was monitored at  $50^\circ\text{C}$  by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy. Kinetic plots reveal a first-order decay of **1**, and a plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  (divided by the  $[\text{IO}_4^-]$ ) as a function of  $[\text{D}_2\text{O}]$  shows that the decay of **1** with  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{IO}_4]$  has a second-order dependence on  $[\text{D}_2\text{O}]$  (Figure 5). Thus, the overall rate law for the



**Figure 5.** Plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs  $[\text{D}_2\text{O}]$  for the reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**) and excess  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{IO}_4]$  (15 equiv) in THF- $d_8$  with various amounts of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  showing a second-order dependence on  $[\text{D}_2\text{O}]$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ).


conversion of **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$  to  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  is rate =  $k[\text{1}][\text{IO}_4^-][\text{D}_2\text{O}]^2$ . A fit of the plot in Figure 5 gives  $k = 5.8(2) \times 10^{-5}\text{ M}^{-3}\text{ s}^{-1}$  after dividing by  $[\text{IO}_4^-]$  that corresponds to a  $G = 25.2(1)\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  at  $50^\circ\text{C}$ . The participation of water in the reaction is consistent with the relatively large  $|\Delta S^\ddagger|$ .

The role of water in the reaction of **1** and periodate was investigated computationally. Attempts to model a four-legged piano stool complex with inner-coordination sphere water,  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{OH}_2)\text{R}$ , led instead to an outer-coordination sphere aqua complex in which water is hydrogen bonded to an oxo ligand. The possibility that water enhances the oxidizing potential of  $\text{IO}_4^-$  was probed computationally in several ways. The calculation of the OAT free energy for  $\text{IO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{IO}_3^- + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2$  is exergonic by  $-17\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  in the gas phase.



Inclusion of continuum solvent effects (CPCM, water solvent) increases the exergonicity of this reaction to  $-26 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . The calculations indicate that the increased driving force results from more favorable solvation of the smaller iodate ion in relation to periodate. Thus, the calculations predict that water should enhance the thermodynamics of oxygen atom transfer from  $\text{IO}_4^-$ , but the extent to which this would enhance the oxy-insertion kinetics is uncertain without calculation of the corresponding hydrated OMBV transition state.


Explicit solvation effects on periodate-mediated OMBV reactions were modeled. Hydrogen bonding a water molecule to each oxo of the iodate leaving group in the OMBV TS results in a reduction of the calculated energy barrier from  $28 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  (Scheme 3) to  $24 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  (Figure 6), which is



**Figure 6.** DFT-calculated TS with water for the oxy-insertion step of the overall reaction  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \text{ (1)} + \text{IO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{OCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \text{ (3)} + \text{IO}_3^-$ . Bond lengths are given in Å and bond angles in degrees.


closer to the experimental value of  $18 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  (see above). Bond lengths within the active site of the OMBV TS (Figure 4) are little changed upon hydrogen bonding with three water molecules. What is more noticeable is the shortening of the O–H–O hydrogen bonds by  $0.05 \text{ Å}$  from  $2.00 \text{ Å}$  ( $[\text{IO}_4(\text{OH}_2)_3]^-$ ) to  $1.95 \text{ Å}$  in the oxy-insertion TS. In conjunction with the implicit solvation results above, these data lend credence to the proposal that preferential solvation of the iodate leaving group enhances oxy-insertion of periodate into the W–C bond of **1** both kinetically and thermodynamically, effectively making the iodate a better leaving group and the periodate a more potent oxidant.

**Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  with NaOH.** Complex **2** reacts with NaOH to produce  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  in 1:1 THF- $d_8$ /D $_2$ O or 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$ /D $_2$ O (v/v). The reaction produces  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  in quantitative yield ( $^1\text{H}$  NMR) after 3 h at room temperature (eq 3).




Under pseudo first-order conditions, the reaction of **2** with NaOH in 1:1 THF- $d_8$ /D $_2$ O (v/v) was monitored at  $10.7^\circ\text{C}$  by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy. Kinetic plots reveal a first-order decay of **2**, and a plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  as a function of  $[\text{OH}^-]$  shows that the reaction of **2** with NaOH has a first-order dependence on

$[\text{OH}^-]$  (Figure 7). The slope of the plot in Figure 7 gives  $k = 1.30(6) \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to  $\Delta G^\ddagger = 19.1(1) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  at  $10.7^\circ\text{C}$ .



**Figure 7.** Plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs  $[\text{OH}^-]$  for the reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\eta^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \text{ (2)}$  with NaOH showing a first-order dependence on  $[\text{OH}^-]$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ).


The rate of the reaction between **2** and NaOH was monitored by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy at  $-1.3$ ,  $10.7$ ,  $22.7$ , and  $34.7^\circ\text{C}$ . An Eyring plot (using  $k$  values that were corrected for  $[\text{HO}^-]$ , Figure 8) was used to calculate  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 13.6(4) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -20(1) \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ .




**Figure 8.** Plot of  $\ln(k/T)$  vs  $1/T$  for the reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\eta^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \text{ (2)}$  with NaOH (5 equiv) ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ).

Three possible pathways for the reaction of complex **2** with NaOH are shown in Scheme 4. In pathway A, the hydroxide undergoes direct nucleophilic addition to the  $\text{TMSCH}_2$  ligand. For this pathway, the oxygen atom in the alcohol would

**Scheme 4.** Possible Pathways for Alcohol Release from the Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\eta^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3) \text{ (2)}$  with NaOH in 1:1 THF- $d_8$ /D $_2$ O (v/v)




Scheme 5. Calculated Free Energy for the Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{WO}(\eta^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) with  $\text{OH}^-$ <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Numbers are free energies (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 1,4-dioxane (top, bold) and water (bottom, italics) and are relative to complex **2** ( $\text{Cp}^* = \kappa^1\text{-Cp}^*$ ).

originate from hydroxide. Pathway B involves hydroxide coordination to W followed by C–O reductive elimination. In pathway B, the oxygen atom of the alcohol would also originate from hydroxide. Pathway C involves hydroxide coordination to W, which would facilitate transfer of the  $-\text{CH}_2\text{TMS}$  ligand to an  $\eta^2$ -peroxo oxygen atom. Protonation with water generates alcohol. The oxygen atom of the alcohol in pathway C originates from complex **2**. MeLi was reacted with  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled water to generate the  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled  $\text{Li}^{18}\text{OH}$  in  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ . The alcohol product from the reaction of **2** with  $\text{Li}^{18}\text{OH}$  was then analyzed by GC/MS. Only  $\text{R}^{16}\text{OH}$  was observed in the MS spectrum, which is consistent with pathway C and inconsistent with pathways A and B in Scheme 4.

The role of hydroxide for the conversion of **2** to  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  was probed computationally. Several mechanisms were investigated (Scheme 5). The very large calculated free energy barriers (67 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) led us to discount nucleophilic substitution (pathway A) and reductive elimination (pathway B). The calculations suggest that the hydroxide coordination-assisted alkyl migration to an oxygen atom of the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo ligand (34 and 35 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane and water) has almost the same energy barrier as the non-assisted alkyl migration (35 and 32 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane and water) (see Scheme 7 below). What emerged as the most reasonable pathway was a H atom transfer pathway in which hydroxide coordinates to **1**, followed by proton transfer ( $\Delta G = 25$  and 24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane and water,


Scheme 6. Two Possible Pathways for the Alcohol Release Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{WO}(\eta^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) with HCl

respectively) to yield a hydroperoxide intermediate,  $[(\kappa^1\text{-Cp}^*)\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{R})(\text{OOH})]^-$  (Scheme 5). The OMBV TS from the latter is calculated to be 24 and 23 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane and water above the starting complex **1**, in reasonable agreement with the experimental measurement. After oxy-insertion, hydroxide loss (to yield **3** +  $\text{OH}^-$ ) or proton transfer (to yield  $[(\kappa^1\text{-Cp}^*)\text{W}(\text{O})_3]^-$  + ROH) was determined to be facile ( $\Delta G = 12$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane and water, respectively).

The calculated transition state for oxy-insertion from  $[(\kappa^1\text{-Cp}^*)\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{R})(\text{OOH})]^-$  (Figure 9) is structurally similar to the corresponding TS for the transition state involving oxygen atom insertion from periodate (Figure 4). The C–O bond being formed is longer (2.23 vs 2.10 Å) and the W–C bond



Scheme 7. Calculated Free Energy for the Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) with  $\text{H}^{\text{a}}$



<sup>a</sup>The cation  $(\text{H}(\text{diox})_2)^+$  (diox = 1,4-dioxane) was used to model the proton. Numbers are free energies ( $\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) for 1,4-dioxane (top, bold) and water (bottom, italics) and are relative to complex **2**.





Figure 9. DFT calculated organometallic Baeyer–Villiger (OMBV) transition state for oxy-insertion of hydroperoxide into W–C bond of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**). Bond lengths are given in Å and bond angles in degrees.

being broken is shorter (2.44 vs 2.61 Å) for the periodate TS, implying an earlier TS for the OMBV oxy-insertion with periodate in relation to the hydroperoxide congener. The tremendous kinetic and thermodynamic free energy preference for the OMBV pathway in relation to the pathways that lead to reduced  $\text{W}^{\text{IV}}$  intermediates (nucleophilic substitution and reductive elimination, Scheme 5) is interesting in connection with the highly endergonic single-electron transfer reaction modeled above, and supports the notion of the important role of metal d orbital occupation in oxy-insertion, and further that

movement away from  $\text{d}^0$  motifs will disfavor the OMBV pathway. Thus, while functionalization of alkyl ligands through processes that formally reduce the metal center can be facile for late transition metal complexes, similar reactions with middle transition metals may have inherently and prohibitively high activation barriers.

**Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) with Brønsted Acid.** The formation of alcohol is also observed when complex **2** is treated with HCl in 1,4-dioxane. The reaction is complete in 40 h at room temperature and produces  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  in 90% yield by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy (eq 4). The acid-promoted reaction of **2** is slower



than the hydroxide-promoted conversion. For example, complex **2** with 3 equiv of NaOH quantitatively forms  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  in less than 1 h at room temperature.

Table 1 shows the conditions and results for the reactions of complex **2** with various proton sources. Without a proton

Table 1. Reaction Conditions and Yields for Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) with Brønsted Acid

no.	solvent	acid	T (°C)	t (h)	yield <sup>a</sup> (%)
1	benzene	none	80	24	0
2	dioxane <sup>b</sup>	3 equiv of HCl ( $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ )	23	40	90
3	dioxane	3 equiv of HCl (dioxane)	23	32	70
4	dioxane	3 eq HCl (dioxane)	50	2	60
5	dioxane/water	3 equiv of HCl (35%)	23	100	80
6	dioxane/water	5 equiv of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (98%)	23	100	>90
7	dioxane	5 equiv of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (98%)	23	12	decomp.
8	dioxane/water	none	75	24	70
9	dioxane	$\text{HOTf}$ (99%)	23	12	decomp.

<sup>a</sup>Yields of  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  are based on integration of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra versus an internal standard. <sup>b</sup>1,4-dioxane.

source, when **2** is heated in benzene at 80 °C for 24 h, no alcohol is observed, and the starting material decomposes to form complex **1** (entry 1). Heating increases the rate of conversion but decreases the yield (entries 3 and 4). The use of strong acid in 1,4-dioxane results in decomposition of the starting material with no alcohol production (entries 7 and 9). Adding  $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{Cl}]$  does not accelerate the reaction, which is consistent with the acceleration by HCl resulting from the addition of protons rather than chloride. Also, weaker acids, such as lutidinium, do not facilitate this reaction at room temperature.

We have considered two roles for the acid in the conversion of **2** and HCl to  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  (Scheme 6): (1) the proton serves as a catalyst by protonating the  $\eta^2$ -peroxo ligand, which would increase oxygen electrophilicity and facilitate oxy-insertion. A similar mechanism was proposed for the acid-catalyzed rearrangement of an  $\eta^2$ -peroxo Ta alkyl to form oxo-alkoxide derivatives.<sup>26</sup> (2) The proton is not a catalyst, but rather, the acid serves to generate free alcohol from  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{OCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$ .


To investigate rate dependence of the reaction of **2** and HCl, we attempted to use excess HCl to achieve pseudo first-order



conditions. However, when more than 5 equiv of HCl are used, complex **2** decomposed with little production of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH.

Reaction coordinates for conversion of **1** to **3** in the absence and presence of a proton were evaluated computationally to help assess the impact of Brønsted acids upon the proposed mechanisms of oxy-insertion. Various basic sites were evaluated in the starting materials, products, intermediates, and transition sites (e.g., protonation of oxo versus peroxo ligands). The discussion focuses on the most stable tautomers (Scheme 7). In the absence of a proton, the migration of R to the peroxide moiety has a calculated activation barrier of 35 or 32 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane or water, respectively. Upon protonation (the protonated bis-dioxane cation, [(dioxane)<sub>2</sub>H]<sup>+</sup>, was used to model HCl in dioxane), the corresponding barriers were reduced to 17 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (1,4-dioxane) or 22 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (water). The results thus support the observation of acceleration of the oxy-insertion reaction upon the introduction of Brønsted acids.


Analysis of the calculated oxy-insertion TS geometries from **2** with and without an added proton is revealing (Figure 10). The



**Figure 10.** Calculated TS for oxy-insertion of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)(η<sup>2</sup>-O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (**2**) with (red) and without (black) an added proton. Bond lengths are given in Å.

various bond distances in the active site point to an earlier TS upon the introduction of a proton, which is consistent with a lower barrier according to the Hammond postulate. Additionally, one may hypothesize that the protonation of the incipient oxide group in the peroxo TS yields a better leaving group (hydroxide). The greater exergonicity for neutral Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)(η<sup>2</sup>-O)<sub>2</sub>R (Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(OR) versus the protonated variant (−69 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in 1,4-dioxane (Scheme 5) versus −80 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Scheme 7)) provides additional support for these proposals and the role of Brønsted acids in catalyzing oxy-insertion from **2**.


**Reaction of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (**1**) with Hydrogen Peroxide in the Presence of Hydroxide.** The reaction of complex **1** with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 equiv) in benzene generates complex **2** at room temperature after 24 h without production of alcohol. However, alcohol production is observed at room temperature when complex **1** is treated with a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 equiv) with NaOH (3 equiv) in 1:1 THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O (v/v). The reaction is complete in 4 h and produces TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH in >95% yield by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (eq 5).



Espenson and co-workers observed faster decomposition and methanol release from MTO with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in a basic environ-

ment;<sup>36</sup> Periana, Goddard, and co-workers studied the mechanism for the reaction of MTO with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of hydroxide.<sup>37</sup> Calculations show that the OMBV pathway in which OOH<sup>-</sup> coordinates to rhenium followed by the migration of the methyl to the coordinated oxygen atom of Re–OOH has the lowest energy barrier. To determine whether the reaction of **1** with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of OH<sup>-</sup> proceeds via an OMBV pathway to form the alkoxide complex **3**, as the reaction of **1** and IO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> does (see above), the reaction of complex **1** with a mixture of 5 equiv of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 5 equiv of NaOH in 1:1 THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O (v/v) was monitored at −1.3 °C by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Under these conditions, the starting material **1** converts to complex **2** in 10 min. Complex **2** then undergoes slow transformation to TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH over a period of 8 h. Consequently, alcohol release from complex **1** and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of hydroxide proceeds by an η<sup>2</sup>-peroxo pathway that involves two steps: the formation of the η<sup>2</sup>-peroxo complex **2** and the conversion of **2** to TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH. Compared to the reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> under acidic conditions, the reaction rates of both steps (i.e., formation of **2** and release of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH) are faster with hydroxide. For example, the reaction of complex **1** with a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 equiv) and HCl (3 equiv) produces complex **2** in hours at room temperature, which then converts to TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH in 48 h (Scheme 8).


**Scheme 8.** Comparison of Alcohol Release from Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>) (**1**) with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the Presence of H<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup>



DFT was used to probe the conversion of dioxo complex **1** and hydrogen peroxide to the η<sup>2</sup>-peroxo complex **2** (Scheme 9). A [2 + 2] addition of the OH bond of hydrogen peroxide across the W–O bond of **1** (red line in Scheme 9) has a calculated barrier of 28 and 30 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for 1,4-dioxane and water, respectively. This [2 + 2] TS leads to the hydroxy/hydroperoxy intermediate Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(R)(O)(OH)(OOH), which is 10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> above complex **1**. From this intermediate, a modest barrier of 12 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (1,4-dioxane) or 14 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (water) must be surmounted to transfer hydrogen from the hydroperoxide to hydroxide ligand to dissociate water and yield complex **2**. Overall, with HO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>/OH<sup>-</sup> as the oxygen atom transfer couple, the transformation of **1** to **2** is calculated to be mildly endergonic by 3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in both 1,4-dioxane and water. Deprotonation of hydrogen peroxide and coordination of hydroperoxide gives Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(R)(OOH), which is higher in energy than **1** by 10 and 13 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Conversion of Cp<sup>\*</sup>W(O)<sub>2</sub>(R)(OOH) to complex **2** through an intramolecular proton transfer and dissociation of hydroxide are calculated to occur with overall activation barriers of 28 and 27 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, the calculations do not reveal any obvious advantage to base-promoted conversion of **1** and hydrogen peroxide to complex **2**.



Scheme 9. Calculated Free Energy for the Conversion of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  to  $\text{Cp}^*\text{WO}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**)<sup>a</sup>




<sup>a</sup>Numbers are free energies (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 1,4-dioxane/water and are relative to complex **2**.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS


Insertion of oxygen atoms into metal–hydrocarbyl bonds is a potential key step in catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons. Despite the potential importance of such oxygen atom insertion reactions, there are few examples of nonradical conversion of M–R bonds and oxygen atom transfer reagents to M–OR, and detailed studies of nonradical oxygen atom insertion into M–R bonds are rare. We have established that  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}^{\text{VI}}$  complexes can undergo clean oxygen atom insertion reactions by at least three different pathways (Scheme 10). Oxy-insertion from  $\eta^2$ -

Scheme 10. Summary of Pathways for the Oxygen Atom Insertion into W–C Bonds of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**1**) and  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**)



peroxide complexes can be promoted by addition of hydroxide or Brønsted acid. DFT calculations lead to the suggestion that protonation of the  $\eta^2$ -peroxide ligand facilitates alkyl migration to the unprotonated oxygen atom. Our calculations suggest that the addition of base leads to a similar TS in which the alkyl group migrates to the unprotonated oxygen of an  $\eta^2$ -hydroperoxide ligand; however, the base-promoted TS is overall anionic and has a second oxo ligand (Scheme 11).

Scheme 11. Comparison of TSs Based on DFT Calculations for Base- and Acid-Promoted Conversion of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (**2**) to the Oxy-Insertion Products



Experiments clearly show that the base-promoted oxy-insertion is faster than the acid-catalyzed reaction. Quantification of such effects is important, since most successful transition-metal-catalyzed alkane oxidations incorporate electrophilic late transition metals that tolerate acidic conditions but are not likely to be amenable to alkaline conditions.<sup>6,45</sup> Some caution is advised when comparing these calculated values, since most computations use an implicit water solvation model and calculations for the reaction of **1** and  $\text{IO}_4^-$  with explicit water demonstrate that hydrogen bonding can be important. The third oxy-insertion follows an OMBV pathway. To our knowledge, this is only the second example of an oxygen atom

insertion into a M–R bond that likely proceeds by this concerted process.<sup>15</sup> Importantly, the OMBV reaction with **1** occurs in neutral water/1,4-dioxane, suggesting that catalysts with nucleophilic hydrocarbyl groups that are tolerant of water should be amenable for this oxy-functionalization process.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Methods.** Unless otherwise noted, all synthetic procedures were performed under anaerobic conditions in a nitrogen-flled glovebox or by using standard Schlenk techniques. Glovebox purity was maintained by periodic nitrogen purges and was monitored by an oxygen analyzer ( $O_2 < 15$  ppm for all reactions). Tetrahydrofuran and 1,4-dioxane were dried by distillation from sodium/benzophenone and  $P_2O_5$ , respectively. Diethyl ether was distilled over  $CaH_2$ . THF- $d_8$ , 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$ ,  $D_2O$ , and  $H_2^{18}O$  were used as received and stored under a  $N_2$  atmosphere over 4 Å molecular sieves (except water).  $^1H$  NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 or Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer. All  $^1H$  spectra are referenced against residual proton signals of the deuterated solvents. GC/MS was performed using a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Plus system with a 30 mm  $\times$  0.25 mm SHRXL-MS column with 0.25 mm film thickness using negative chemical ionization (NCI), which also allows for simulated electron impact (SEI) ionization. The preparation, isolation, and characterization of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  and  $Cp^*W(O)(\eta^2-O_2)(CH_2SiMe_3)$  have been previously reported.<sup>39</sup> All other reagents were used as purchased from commercial sources.

**Computational Methods.** DFT calculations with the B3LYP<sup>46, 48</sup> functional employed the Gaussian 09 program<sup>49</sup> in conjunction with the pseudopotentials and valence basis sets of Stevens and co-workers<sup>50,51</sup> for W, Si, and I. All 2p elements plus hydrogen were modeled with the 6-311++G(d,p) all-electron basis set. All species were singlet spin states and optimized within the restricted Kohn–Sham formalism with the exception of **1** and  $[IO_4]^-$ , which are doublets and optimized with unrestricted Kohn–Sham methods. For the latter, spin contamination was minimal. All stationary points were optimized in the gas phase without symmetry constraint and identified as minima or TSs through the calculation of the energy Hessian. Solvent effects were incorporated implicitly through the use of the CPCM<sup>52</sup> model for water and 1,4-dioxane. All quoted energetics are free energies and are reported, assuming a temperature of 298.15 K and 1 atm and were obtained using unscaled vibrational frequencies.

**Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $NaIO_4$ .** Complex **1** (2.1 mg, 5.0  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of THF- $d_8$  in an NMR tube.  $NaIO_4$  (3.3 mg, 15  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of  $D_2O$  and transferred to the solution of **1**. The reaction was monitored by  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy until completion. The production of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was confirmed using two methods. First, 5  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC/MS, and TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was detected (and compared with GC/MS of an authentic sample). Second, 1  $\mu$ L of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was added to the reaction mixture. The intensity of the product peaks increased in the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum.  $Cp^*W(O)_2(OCH_2SiMe_3)$  (**3**):  $^1H$  NMR (THF- $d_8$ / $D_2O$  1:1): 4.17 ( $CH_2$ , s), 2.04 ( $CH_3$ , s), –0.05 ( $Si(CH_3)_3$ , s) ppm.

**Kinetics of Reactions of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $NaIO_4$ .** A representative kinetic experiment is described. Complex **1** (32.0 mg 75.0  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 4.5 mL of THF- $d_8$ . Two drops of benzene were added to the solution as an internal standard for  $^1H$  NMR integration. A 300  $\mu$ L aliquot (0.0166 mol/L) was transferred to an NMR tube.  $NaIO_4$  (143 mg, 0.667 mmol) was dissolved in 2.0 mL of  $D_2O$  (0.33 mol/L).  $D_2O$  (225  $\mu$ L) was added to the solution of **1** by syringe and cooled in ice water. Then, 75  $\mu$ L (5.0 equiv) of the  $NaIO_4$  solution was added to the solution of complex **1**. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at –1.3 °C. A  $^1H$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 26 s. Integration of the methylene peak of complex **1**, TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH, and the intermediate **3** gave the variation in concentrations. Similar reactions were set up for 10.0, 15.0, and 20.0 equiv of  $NaIO_4$  by adjusting the amounts of the  $D_2O$  and  $NaIO_4$  solution. To ensure reproducibility, every concentration was repeated in triplicate.

**Eyring Plot of Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $NaIO_4$ .** A representative kinetic experiment is described. Complex **1** (13.5 mg, 32.0  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 4.8 mL of THF- $d_8$ . Two drops of benzene were added to the solution as an internal standard for  $^1H$  NMR integration. A 300  $\mu$ L aliquot (0.0067 mol/L) was transferred to an NMR tube by syringe.  $NaIO_4$  (44.8 mg, 0.200 mmol) was dissolved in 6.0 mL of  $D_2O$  (0.033 mol/L). The solution of complex **1** was cooled in ice water. Then, 300  $\mu$ L (5.0 equiv) of the  $NaIO_4$  solution was added to the solution of complex **1**. The reaction mixture was monitored by array  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 10.7 °C. A  $^1H$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 2 min. Integration of the methylene peak of complex **1** gave the variation in concentrations. Similar reactions were set up at –1.3, 22.7, and 34.7 °C. The time between every  $^1H$  NMR spectrum in the array was adjusted according to the rate of the reaction. To ensure reproducibility, every concentration was repeated at least twice for a minimum of three total experiments under each set of conditions.

**Oxygen Labeling of Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $Na^{18}O_4$ .**  $NaIO_4$  (10.7 mg, 50.0  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of  $H_2^{18}O$  and allowed to equilibrate for 1 h at room temperature with sonication (the exchange of  $^{18}O$  and  $^{16}O$  under such condition is extremely fast).<sup>53</sup> Complex **1** (4.2 mg, 10  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of THF, and the solution was transferred to the  $NaIO_4$  solution. After 20 min at room temperature, the color of the solution faded from pale yellow to colorless. A 3.0  $\mu$ L aliquot of the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC/MS for TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH content. The fragmentation pattern of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH produced from the reaction was compared to patterns for TMSCH<sub>2</sub> $^{18}OH$  and TMSCH<sub>2</sub> $^{16}OH$ .

**Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with PhIO.** Complex **1** (4.2 mg, 10  $\mu$ mol) and PhIO (8.0 mg, 30  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 400  $\mu$ L of 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$  in a J-Young tube. The tube was then taken out of the box and sonicated for 30 min.  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor the reaction.

**Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$ .** Complex **1** (4.3 mg, 10  $\mu$ mol) and  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$  (12.9 mg, 30.0  $\mu$ mol) were dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of dry THF- $d_8$ .  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor the reaction at room temperature. No reaction was observed after 24 h.  $D_2O$  (300  $\mu$ L) was added to the reaction mixture. A  $^1H$  NMR spectrum was acquired after 2 h, and quantitative formation of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was observed.

**Kinetics of Water Dependence in the Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)_2(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**1**) with  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$ .** A representative kinetic experiment is described. Complex **1** (32.0 mg 75.0  $\mu$ mol) and  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$  (487 mg, 1.12 mmol, 15 equiv) were dissolved in 6.0 mL of THF- $d_8$ . Two drops of benzene were added to the solution as an internal standard for  $^1H$  NMR integration. A 400  $\mu$ L aliquot was transferred to a J-Young tube.  $D_2O$  (5.0  $\mu$ L, 50 equiv) was added to the solution of **1** and  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$  by syringe. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 50 °C. A  $^1H$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 20 min. Integration of the methylene peak of complex **1** gave the variation in concentrations. Similar procedures were used to set up the reaction of **1** and 15 equiv of  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$  with 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 60.0, and 100  $\mu$ L of  $D_2O$  (for the reaction with 60.0 and 100  $\mu$ L of  $D_2O$ , the same amount of **1** and  $[Bu_4N][IO_4]$  were dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of THF- $d_8$  instead of 400  $\mu$ L). To ensure reproducibility, every concentration was repeated in triplicate.

**Reaction of  $Cp^*W(O)(\eta^2-O_2)(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**2**) with NaOH.** Complex **2** (4.3 mg, 10  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300 mL of THF- $d_8$  in an NMR tube. NaOH (1.2 mg, 30  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in 300  $\mu$ L of  $D_2O$  and transferred to the solution of complex **1**. The reaction mixture was monitored by  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy until completion. The production of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was confirmed using two methods. First, 5  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC/MS, and TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was detected (and compared with GC/MS of an authentic sample). Second, 1  $\mu$ L of TMSCH<sub>2</sub>OH was added to the reaction mixture. The intensity of the product peaks increased in  $^1H$  NMR spectrum.

**Kinetics of  $Cp^*W(O)(\eta^2-O_2)(CH_2SiMe_3)$  (**2**) with NaOH.** A representative kinetic experiment is described. Complex **1** (33.0 mg,



75.0  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 4.5 mL of THF- $d_8$ . Several milligrams of hexamethylbenzene were added to the solution as an internal standard for  $^1\text{H}$  NMR integration. A 300  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquot (0.0166 mol/L) was transferred to an NMR tube. NaOH (26.7 mg, 0.667 mmol) was dissolved in 2.0 mL of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (0.33 mol/L).  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (225  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to the solution of complex 1 by syringe and cooled in ice water. Then, 75  $\mu\text{L}$  (5.0 equiv) of the NaOH solution was added to the complex 1 solution. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 10.7  $^\circ\text{C}$ . A  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 2 min. Integration of the  $\text{Cp}^*$  methyl peak of complex 2 and the methylene peak of  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  gave the variation in concentrations. Similar reactions were set up for 10.0, 15.0, and 20.0 equiv of NaOH by adjusting the amounts of the  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  and NaOH solutions. The time between every  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum in the array was adjusted according to the rate of the reactions. To ensure reproducibility, every concentration was repeated in triplicate.

**Eyring Plot of Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O}_2)(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (2) with NaOH.** A representative kinetic experiment is described. Complex 2 (35.2 mg, 80.4  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 4.8 mL of THF- $d_8$ . Several milligrams of hexamethylbenzene were added to the solution as an internal standard for  $^1\text{H}$  NMR integration. A 300  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquot (0.0166 mol/L) was transferred to an NMR tube by syringe. NaOH (20.2 mg, 0.505 mmol) was dissolved in 6.0 mL of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (0.083 mol/L). The solution of complex 1 was cooled in ice water. Then, 300  $\mu\text{L}$  (5.0 equiv) of the NaOH solution was added to the solution of complex 1. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 10.7  $^\circ\text{C}$ . A  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 2 min. Integration of the  $\text{Cp}^*$  methyl peak of complex 2 and the methylene peak of  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  gave the variation in concentrations. Similar reactions were set up at  $-1.3$ ,  $22.7$ , and  $34.7$   $^\circ\text{C}$ . The time between every  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum in the array was adjusted according to the rate of the reaction. To ensure reproducibility, every concentration was repeated in triplicate.

**Oxygen Labeling of Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O}_2)(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (2) with  $\text{Li}^1\text{OH}$ .**  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  (300  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was transferred to a vial and frozen in an  $i\text{PrOH}$ /dry ice bath.  $\text{CH}_3\text{Li}$  in diethyl ether solution (1 M, 30  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to the frozen  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ . The  $i\text{PrOH}$ /dry ice bath was then removed, and the mixture was warmed up to room temperature to generate the  $\text{Li}^{18}\text{OH}$  in  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  solution. Complex 2 (4.3 mg, 10  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of THF, and the solution was added to the  $\text{Li}^{18}\text{OH}$  solution. After 30 min at room temperature, a 3.0  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquot of the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC/MS for  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  content. The fragmentation pattern of  $\text{TMSCH}_2\text{OH}$  from the reaction was compared to patterns for  $\text{TMSCH}_2^{18}\text{OH}$  and  $\text{TMSCH}_2^{16}\text{OH}$ .

**Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O}_2)(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (2) with Brønsted Acid.** A representative reaction is described. Complex 2 (2.2 mg, 5.0  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 400  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$  in a J-Young tube, and HCl in diethyl ether solution (1N, 15  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to the solution of 2. The reaction was then monitored by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy. The reaction was complete after approximately 2 days.

**Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (1) with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{H}^+$ .** Complex 1 (4.2 mg, 10  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$  in an NMR tube. Hydrochloric acid (35%, 3.0  $\mu\text{L}$ , 33  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (30%, 3.0  $\mu\text{L}$ , 29  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) were added to 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . The mixture of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and HCl was then added to the complex 2 solution.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor the reaction at room temperature.

**Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (1) with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{OH}^-$ .** Complex 1 (4.2 mg, 10  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1,4-dioxane- $d_8$  in an NMR tube. NaOH (1.2 mg, 30  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (30%, 3.0  $\mu\text{L}$ , 29  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was added to the NaOH solution. The mixture of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and NaOH was then added to the solution of 2.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor this reaction at room temperature.

**Kinetics of Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (1) with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{OH}^-$ .** Complex 1 (4.2 mg, 10  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of THF- $d_8$  in an NMR tube. NaOH (2.0 mg, 50  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  (48  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) of 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution was added to the NaOH solution. Both starting materials were cooled in ice water. The mixture of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and NaOH was then added to the solution of 2. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy

on a 500 MHz spectrometer at  $-1.3$   $^\circ\text{C}$ . A  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 26 s.

**Kinetics of Reaction of  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})_2(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (1) and  $\text{Cp}^*\text{W}(\text{O})(^2\text{-O}_2)(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$  (2) with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{OH}^-$ .** A mixture of complex 1 (2.1 mg, 5.0  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) and complex 2 (2.2 mg, 5.0  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of THF- $d_8$  in an NMR tube. NaOH (2.0 mg, 50  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  (48  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) of a 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution was added to the NaOH solution. Both starting materials were cooled in ice water. The mixture of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and NaOH was then added to the solution of 2. The reaction mixture was then monitored by array  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy on a 500 MHz spectrometer at  $-1.3$   $^\circ\text{C}$ . A  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum was acquired every 26 s.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### \* Supporting Information

Kinetics and GC/MS data, additional experimental details, and computational details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

tbgh7h@virginia.edu; t@unt.edu

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was solely supported as part of the Center for Catalytic Hydrocarbon Functionalization, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under award no. DE-SC0001298.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Olah, G. A.; Molnar, A. *Hydrocarbon Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2003.
- (2) Wittco, H. A.; Reuben, B. G.; Plotkin, J. S. *Industrial Organic Chemicals*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2004.
- (3) Conley, B. L.; Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Ganesh, S.; Meier, S.; Ziatdinov, V.; Mironov, O.; Oxgaard, J.; Gonzales, J.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. Methane Functionalization. In *Activation of Small Molecules*; Tolman, W. B., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006; Chapter 7, p 235.
- (4) Crabtree, R. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 2437.
- (5) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. *Nature* **2002**, 417, 507.
- (6) Labinger, J. A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, 220, 27.
- (7) Periana, R. A.; Bhalla, G.; Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Liu, X. Y.; Mironov, O.; Jones, C. J.; Ziatdinov, V. R. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, 220, 7.
- (8) *Activation and Functionalization of C-H Bonds*; Goldberg, K. I., Goldman, A. S., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 864; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2004.
- (9) Conley, B. L.; Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Ganesh, S. K.; Meier, S. K.; Ziatdinov, V. R.; Mironov, O.; Oxgaard, J.; Gonzales, J.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2006**, 251, 8.
- (10) Webb, J. R.; Bolano, T.; Gunnoe, T. B. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, 4, 37.
- (11) Chepaikin, E. G. *Usp. Khim.* **2011**, 80, 363.
- (12) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 2879.
- (13) Stahl, S. S.; Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, 37, 2180.
- (14) Lersch, M.; Tilset, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 2471.
- (15) Conley, B. L.; Ganesh, S. K.; Gonzales, J. M.; Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Oxgaard, J.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 9018.
- (16) Tenn, W. J.; Young, K. J. H.; Bhalla, G.; Oxgaard, J.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 14172.

- (17) Feng, Y.; Lail, M.; Barakat, K. A.; Cundari, T. R.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Petersen, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14174.
- (18) Figg, T. M.; Webb, J. R.; Cundari, T. R.; Gunnoe, T. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *134*, 2332.
- (19) Conner, D.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Wells, M. B.; Manzer, S.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Boyle, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 4759.
- (20) Wang, S. H.; Mandimutsira, B. S.; Todd, R.; Ramdhanie, B.; Fox, J. P.; Goldberg, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *126*, 18.
- (21) Conner, D.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Wells, M. B.; Manzer, S.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Boyle, P. D. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 4759.
- (22) Feng, Y.; Lail, M.; Foley, N. A.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Barakat, K. A.; Cundari, T. R.; Petersen, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 7982.
- (23) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Young, K. J. H.; Yousufuddin, M.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12542.
- (24) Kloek, S. M.; Heinekey, D. M.; Goldberg, K. I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4736.
- (25) Bercaw, J. E.; Hazari, N.; Labinger, J. A. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 5489.
- (26) Van Asselt, A.; Trimmer, M. S.; Henling, L. M.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 8254.
- (27) Matsunaga, P. T.; Hillhouse, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 2075.
- (28) Alsters, P. L.; Boersma, J.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 1629.
- (29) Brown, S. N.; Mayer, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2219.
- (30) Koo, K.; Hillhouse, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 456.
- (31) Brown, S. N.; Mayer, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 12119.
- (32) Blackburn, T. F.; Labinger, J. A.; Schwartz, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *16*, 3041.
- (33) Brindley, P. B.; Scotton, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1981**, *2*, 419.
- (34) Kamaraj, K.; Bandyopadhyay, D. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 438.
- (35) Vaughan, G. A.; Rupert, P. B.; Hillhouse, G. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5538.
- (36) Abu-Omar, M. M.; Hansen, P. J.; Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 4966.
- (37) Gonzales, J. M.; Distasio, R.; Periana, R. A.; Goddard, W. A.; Osgaard, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15794.
- (38) Bischof, S. M.; Cheng, M.-J.; Nielsen, R. J.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Goddard, W. A.; Periana, R. A. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 2079.
- (39) Legzdins, P.; Phillips, E. C.; Sanchez, L. *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 940.
- (40) Kim, G. S.; Huffman, D.; DeKock, C. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 1279.
- (41) Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Kirkpatrick, C. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 1021.
- (42) Richardson, D. E. *Kinetica98*; Department of Chemistry, University of Florida: Gainesville, FL, 1998.
- (43) Kassim, A. Y.; Sulfab, Y. *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 506.
- (44) Wang, W.-D.; Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 11335.
- (45) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Bischof, S. M.; Konnick, M. M.; Periana, R. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 885.
- (46) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785.
- (47) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648.
- (48) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 1372.
- (49) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.;
- Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian, Revision B.01*; Gaussian Inc: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- (50) Stevens, W. J.; Basch, H.; Krauss, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 6026.
- (51) Stevens, W. J.; Krauss, M.; Basch, H.; Jasien, P. G. *Can. J. Chem.* **1992**, *70*, 612.
- (52) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 669.
- (53) Pecht, I.; Luz, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4068.