

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 October 20.

Published in final edited form as:

JAm Chem Soc. 2010 October 20; 132(41): 14496-14502. doi:10.1021/ja104735a.

Inkjet Deposition of Layer by Layer Assembled Films

Christine M. Andres and Nicholas A. Kotov

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA

Abstract

Laver-by-laver assembly (LBL) can create advanced composites with exceptional properties unavailable by other means, but the laborious deposition process and multiple dipping cycles hamper their utilization in microtechnologies and electronics. Multiple rinse steps provide both structural control and thermodynamic stability to LBL multilayers but they significantly limit their practical applications and contribute significantly to the processing time and waste. Here we demonstrate that by employing inkjet technology one can deliver the necessary quantities of LBL components required for film build-up without excess, eliminating the need for repetitive rinsing steps. This feature differentiates this approach from all other recognized LBL modalities. Using a model system of negatively charged gold nanoparticles and positively charged poly(diallyldimethylammonium) chloride, the material stability, nanoscale control over thickness and particle coverage offered by the inkjet LBL technique are shown to be equal or better than the multilayers made with traditional dipping cycles. The opportunity for fast deposition of complex metallic patterns using a simple inkjet printer was also shown. The additive nature of LBL deposition based on the formation of insoluble nanoparticle-polyelectrolyte complexes of various compositions provides an excellent opportunity for versatile, multi-component, and non-contact patterning for the simple production of stratified patterns that are much needed in advanced devices.

Keywords

layer-by-layer deposition; inkjet; patterning; nanoparticles; nanocomposites; flexible electronics

INTRODUCTION

Many composite materials produced via layer by layer (LBL) assembly, the sequential adsorption of monolayers of complimentary materials to form layered, insoluble complexes, 1 possess impressive mechanical, electrical, optical and biological properties desirable in many emerging technologies.2⁻⁶ Such properties would be advantageous in many macro-, meso-, and microscale devices. However, the multiple fluid cycles required for multilayer preparation make this otherwise promising method for the design and fabrication of customized materials time consuming and often impractical. The abundance of fluids used in the process make it challenging to couple LBL deposition with other processing steps for device fabrication. From this perspective, it is quintessential to investigate other means to produce LBL materials that maintain a similar nanoscale control over structure while accelerating the composite build-up and evolving the technique for better integration with device manufacturing.

Formation of the sequentially adsorbed monolayers is traditionally carried out by alternate dipping of a substrate between two reservoirs of oppositely charged macromolecular compounds, for example, a positively charged polyelectrolyte solution and a negatively charged nanoparticle (NP) dispersion,7[,] 8 with intermediate rinse steps that remove excess fluid and loosely adsorbed components. The self-limiting nature of the growing film results

in a layered pattern transversal to the substrate and a homogenous assembly of the combined materials, which would otherwise phase separate when directly mixed. Often times the impressive properties of the resulting LBL materials can be attributed to these useful structural features. In large part, these features are due to the presence of rinsing steps believed to prevent the formation of large aggregates with variable composition that destroy the materials' essential qualities, for instance, homogeneity, electrical conductivity, or mechanical strength.

The rinsing steps also appear to be quite important from the perspective of the stability of the multilayers.9⁻¹³ A substantial portion of the Gibbs free energy associated with multilayer formation (and therefore the adhesion strength between the LBL components) originates from the hydration/solvation of ions9[,] 10 and from entropic contributions originating from the release of low molecular weight counter ions into the media11⁻¹³ during the formation of insoluble complexes. Therefore, the rinsing steps appear to be a significant component of LBL assembly and necessary from the point of view of basic thermodynamics. Nevertheless, the rinsing steps dramatically limit the practical applications of the LBL technique and add processing time and waste. Modifications to the technology have been developed that accelerate the process with spraying and/or spin coating,14⁻¹⁸ but rinsing steps are still required for film production and structural control. Therefore, as it has attracted very little attention thus far, it would be very useful and fundamentally interesting to obviate the intermediate rinsing steps. In doing so, one needs to resolve the issue of the excess material left on the surface during dip coating and the low molecular weight inorganic salts released during complex formation.

Encouraged by the development of the dewetting technique where the salt and excess material is removed by the retreating liquid, 19 we investigated alternative approaches to satisfy the hard-to-cheat thermodynamic requirements while still reaching substantial simplification of the process. We hypothesized that if the proper amount of material necessary for film growth is delivered to the surface without large excess, constant rinsing after every adsorption step may no longer be necessary. In this way, one can still retain the high level of structural control of the LBL composite, reasonably high homogeneity of the resulting material, and universality of the deposition, *i.e.* the freedom of mixing and matching the components to gain a unique set of properties. With respect to the release of low molecular weight salts, the actual amount required for multilayer formation is quite small. Since there is substantial diffusion transparency of the LBL films for small ions, 20, 21 its removal might potentially be achieved in a final (single) rinse at the end without the destruction of the multilayer assembly. Thermodynamic restrictions on multilayer formation and concerns of fast decomposition of the entire film still likely remain in this process, however if implementation of this idea is possible, it will be exceptionally convenient for a variety of applications and expand the basic understanding of LBL.

In this article we demonstrate the preparation of LBL films using a standard inkjet printer as a practical method to alternately deliver precise amounts of each component for the formation of LBL films without intermediate rinsing. The replacement of the numerous rinsing steps with one final rinse was feasible in this case, indicating that the kinetics of low molecular weight salt removal from the films is fast enough to prevent decomposition of the entire assembly. The technique offers nanoscale control over layer thickness, variable NP coverage of the surface, and compatibility with additive schemes of device manufacturing which set it apart from previous methods of combining inkjet and LBL multilayers.22⁻²⁶ In addition, the vast range of materials that can be deposited with this patterning method is currently unavailable with other patterning techniques such as traditional lithography or inkjet printing of conventional materials.6[,] 27⁻³¹ This work leads to the *de facto* a new modality of LBL deposition and a convenient method of patterning surfaces with composite

materials that is expected to be quite useful for the development of advanced materials and devices.

Previously there have been successful combinations of LBL assembly with inkjet technology,22⁻²⁶ however their purpose, scientific rationale, and results are much different. For instance, Rubner *et al* dispersed water via inkjet to selectively destroy portions of traditionally deposited LBL multilayers which were pH sensitive.23 It resulted in a useful patterning method that can be applied to a variety of hydrogen-bonded multilayers, but is not suitable for nanocomposites. LBL and inkjet printing were also combined to selectively activate the surface of a multilayer films for electroless metal plating.25, 26 In this case a single polyelectrolyte from the multilayer pair was printed for the purposes of patterning chemical functionalities. More recently, Limem et al demonstrated that pairs of self-assembling or reactive polymer solutions could be printed to form insoluble gels.22 This work also described simple patterns but obviated the questions of the accurate control over the multilayer films were also used to modify the print surface for enhanced adhesion and controlled wettability.24, 25

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumentation

A drop-on-demand Epson R280 photo printer with the capability to print onto CDs was equipped with an external continuous ink system. A CD was modified to allow for placement of various substrates (glass, silicon, plastics), paying attention to the location of the rollers on the printer to prevent contact with the actual sample.

The growth of the film was evaluated by light transmission measurements obtained using an Agilent 8453E UV-visible spectrometer and thickness measurements obtained using a single wavelength (532 nm) EP3-SW imaging ellipsometer (Nanofilm Technologie). Four-zone nulling experiments were performed at an angle of incident of 60° and the ellipsometric parameters psi and delta were modeled with a two-coefficient Cauchy dispersion law (A= 1.45, B=0.01). Measurements were taken from five different areas of each sample and averaged together. Scanning electron microscopy images were obtained with an FEI Nova 200 Nanolab.

Materials

High molecular weight poly(diallyldimethylammonium) chloride (PDDA) was purchased from Aldrich and diluted to 0.25wt% and 0.0025wt% in deionized water. Citrate stabilized gold nanoparticles (GNP) with diameters 13 ± 2 nm and 20 ± 2 nm were synthesized using previously published techniques. $32^{-}34$ Briefly, for 13 ± 2 nm GNPs for example, HAuCl4 in deionized water (0.0005M, Aldrich) was brought to a boil on a hot plate. Under vigorous stirring sodium citrate in deionized water was added (1% w/w, Aldrich). Vigorous stirring and heat were then added for an additional 15 minutes. The concentration of the GNPs was increased via centrifugation (Sorvall Legend Mach 1.6R, 10,000rpm, 30min) and cellulose membrane dialysis tubing (Sigma) was used to remove the excess salt after two days in deionized water changed every 5–10hours. Finally, the solution was filtered through a 0.22 μ m filter to remove aggregates and a final concentration of approximately 2480 GNP per pL of water was obtained.

Process of Inkjet LBL Deposition

The continuous ink system and Epson nozzles were cleaned several times with deionized water and the yellow and black cartridges were refilled with 0.0025wt% PDDA and the

GNP dispersion respectively. Patterns designed in Adobe Photoshop (CS4 Adobe Systems Inc) were printed first in yellow (PDDA) for a set number of overprints, *x*, before being printed in black (GNP) for *y* overprints onto piranha-washed silicon and glass substrates. The printer was set to operate under the Epson *best photo printer* setting at normal speed with integrated color management and no color adjustment. The remaining The bilayer comprising *x* layers of PDDA followed by *y* prints of GNP was then repeated *z* times until the desired film thickness of (PDDA^x/GNP^y)_z was obtained. The substrate was then removed from the printer set up, washed under a stream of deionized water for 1 minute and dried with compressed air.

For traditional dip-coating LBL, a piranha-washed silicon substrate was first soaked in 0.25wt% PDDA solution for 10 minutes. It was then rinsed under a stream of deionized water for 1 minute and dried with compressed air. The substrate was then soaked in the concentrated GNP dispersion for 10 minutes before being rinsed and dried again. The fourstep sequence was repeated for each of the *z* bilayers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The deposition and build-up of LBL multilayers with inkjet technology that is explored herein can be taken advantage of in several ways. The mode that is considered here begins with the delivery of an appropriate amount of a positively charged polyelectrolyte ink onto a negatively charged substrate via inkjet deposition (Figure 1). Due to the small volume size of the droplet the solvent is evaporated leaving behind an insoluble film of polymer on the surface and a positive surface charge. A second nozzle is then used to deliver a measured amount of a suspension of negatively charged nanoparticles (NP). The NPs interact with the positively charged polymer forming a nanocomposite. After evaporation of the solvent, the surface charge is then negative again and the process of dispensing each component is repeated until the desired overall thickness is obtained. Several passes of the inkjet printing head dispersing smaller quantities of an LBL component can be used to accurately vary the amount of the deposited polyelectrolyte or NPs. Overall, the idea addresses the significant challenges of LBL mentioned above by delivering precise amounts of the selected polyelectrolyte and NPs or any other LBL component to the surface in order to reduce the need for rinsing steps to one final or only a few intermediate to remove the accumulation of low molecular weight salts. This mode of inkjet deposition has the potential to significantly accelerate the preparation of the films while retaining the advantageous layered and homogenous structures characteristic of LBL deposition. Considering the potential applications of LBL composites in micro-scale devices, inkjet LBL should also make it possible to pattern surfaces using direct-write methods rather than by different types of etching. Note also that the ability of LBL to make gradient structures35 will remain and will only require a simple change of ink during build-up of the pattern.

One can compare inkjet LBL as described in Figure 1 to spray LBL deposition, where overcoming the diffusion limitations found in traditional LBL with the direct delivery of material to the surface significantly decreases processing times.14[,] 36 Films of equivalent quality, adhesion, composition and morphology to those prepared with traditional LBL techniques have been created with spray LBL despite the fact that the adsorption occurs under non-equilibrium conditions.14[,] 36 While significantly decreased rinsing times can be implemented with spray LBL, rinsing off the substrate between depositions is still necessary. Also, while excellent for large-scale coatings, spray LBL lacks control over the size, velocity, and density of the droplet, which are crucial for precise material preparation. Alternatively, drop-on-demand inkjet printing delivers picoLiter volumes of ink from a reservoir, ejected through a nozzle with highly controlled size and placement.

Inkjet LBL Assembly of Nanocomposites

Originally demonstrated with the growth of oppositely-charged polyelectrolytes,37 LBL has now been significantly expanded to include alternate chemistries 38^-40 and many other materials including colloidal NPs.41, 42 For example, the introduction of gold nanoparticles (GNP) into organic matrixes using LBL assembly was used to significantly improve the mechanical, optical and electrical properties of polymeric materials with potential applications in, for example, microelectronic devices, electrochemical sensors and bioanalysis.43⁻⁴⁵ As spray LBL was successfully used to produce GNP multilayer films,46 we investigated the capability of the inkjet LBL technique to create multilayered GNP nanocomposites. To test the performance and capabilities of inkjet LBL we chose standard GNPs made with citrate ions as stabilizer,34, 47, 48 with average diameters of 13 ± 2 nm and 20 ± 2 nm, and a negative surface charge.

The notation (PDDA^x/GNP^y)_z will be used throughout the paper to discriminate between different printing programs. To reflect the new possibility of printing of small quantities of one LBL component several times until the desired amount is deposited, *x*, will denote the number of overprints of PDDA jetted on the substrate before *y* overprints of GNP are applied to make up a single bilayer. The entire cycle is then repeated *z* times. When *x* and *y* are absent in the notation, *i.e.* (PDDA/GNP)_z, the multilayers were deposited using standard dip-coating LBL. Typically greater number of overprints, *x* and *y*, of a solution of lower concentration of the components should result in a more uniform deposition that can overcome the structural disturbances caused by the singularity of each droplet. Ideally, of course, x=y=1 will result in faster film growth with the concentration adjusted to provide monomolecular or monoparticulate coverage of the substrate. One might point out that not all traditionally grown LBL films give uniform dense coatings in the dip cycles and that multiple inkjet passes can definitely improve the film structure. The possibility of using a concentration that results in a higher coverage than that corresponding to monolayer coverall can also be considered as long as the stoichiometry between the components is retained.

For proof of concept we deposited five lines of increasing thickness of $(PDDA^{1}/GNP^{1})_{7}$ onto a silicon wafer by alternating inkjet printing of single print cycles (x=y=1) of the positively charged PDDA and negatively charged GNP for z = 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 bilayers. Despite the patchiness (which is also present in traditional dip-coated LBL films with rinsing, Figure 2), the averaged thickness of the multilayer film grows linearly with the number of depositions, similar to LBL films assembled by dip-coating, spin-coating or spraying (Figure 3). The average thickness per layer as determined by dividing the average total thickness by the number of bilayers is 1.38 ± 0.34 nm. The thickness per bilayer is less than the diameter of the GNPs due to the GNP surface coverage of the films. As the number of bilayers increases, the content of gold on the surface steadily increases allowing for the average thickness to rise (Figure 3). Notably, the printed multilayers demonstrate denser and more uniform GNP coverage compared to films produced using traditional dip-coating LBL (Figure 2). Note that one can adjust the surface density of NP in dipped LBL films to reach complete and uniform coverage by different means, however, we did not optimize the conditions of the traditional LBL or the inkjet modality in order to investigate the use of relatively standard conditions with direct use of the as-made GNPs. This is a clear demonstration of the advantageous performance characteristics of inkjet LBL.

Additionally, when considering the case of simple $(PDDA/GNP)_z$ composite films deposited on substrates with a relatively small area $(4cm^2)$, targeted here as a base for elements of potential everyday devices produced by LBL, one can find a significant improvement in production time with the use of inkjet LBL as compared to using traditional dip-coating LBL (approx. 7 times faster when estimated bilayer deposition times of 4 minutes for inkjet LBL and 28 minutes for dip coating are considered). Processing times should be

significantly enhanced with advanced printing systems and automation. When subsequent patterning of traditional LBL films is considered, which will need to include other steps, such as, photoresist deposition, masking, UV exposure, photoresist removal, *etc*, improvements in production times with the use of inkjet LBL are even more radical.

The GNP coverage of the inkjet LBL films can be improved further by increasing the number of prints of gold delivered per bilayer. To investigate the range of surface coverage available with the technique, a single layer of 0.25wt% PDDA was applied to a silicon substrate using dip-coating LBL before six lines of width 318µm in Adobe Photoshop were printed onto the substrate. The number of prints of gold per line was increased over the following values: y=1, 10, 25, 50 and 75. The washed and dried (PDDA/GNP^y)₁ lines were imaged at different length scales (Figure 4). Comparative surface coverage of GNPs with traditional LBL can be achieved after 1 print (Figure 2, z=1 and Figure 4, y=1). With greater number of overprints, the GNP coverage gradually increased reaching a complete multi-NP thick layer at about y=50. Further increase in overprints did not strongly affect the layer morphology. The distribution of NPs in the printed layer that was observed without any special effort was quite uniform, which is often times not the case for many inkjet patterned surfaces due to the fairly large droplet size and "coffee ring" effect during drying.49-51 The concentration of the GNP dispersion can be altered to adjust the coverage and the number of prints needed to obtain the desired GNP coverage and potentially thickness of the NP film. This indicates a much-improved degree of control over the multilayer structure with inkjet LBL over the dip modality.

Patterned Nanocomposites

In addition to controlling the NP coverage of each layer, the controlled droplet delivery of inkjet printing provides the opportunity for additive patterning with simple introduction of multiple computer-generated patterns and components that are attractive for advanced material manufacturing. As a demonstration of this capability, we deposited GNP features of various sizes by printing four lines of decreasing computer-generated widths of 425, 283, 168, and 66µm with 3 prints of 0.0025wt% PDDA and 75 prints of the GNP dispersion *i.e.* (PDDA³/GNP⁷⁵)₁ onto a silicon substrate (Figure 5). The goal of this test was to establish the limits of patterning well-resolved lines with a simple desktop printer and the LBL technique. As expected, the smaller the computer-generated feature becomes, the less precise the actual deposited line. Interestingly the reduction of the line width is accompanied by a lower average density of GNPs, which is attributed to specific droplet size control programs employed by Epson (Figure 5). Although this patterning effect is printer-specific and much higher resolution and droplet control can be obtained with advanced inkjet systems, it is still quite important to realize that some structural parameters of the coatings can become coupled in the inkjet modality of LBL while being fairly independent in the dipcoating LBL technique. Interesting to point out based on Figs 4 and 5, that there is apparently no strict and specific ratio of polymer to NPs that results in stable layers; we believe that wide range of ratios could be used. At the same time, if only one component is deposited multiple times, the pattern is washed immediately off during the single finishing rinse step.

In order to demonstrate the ability of the inkjet technique to simultaneously produce and control the spatial arrangement of multilayered nanocomposites, a grid pattern designed with 0.3mm line width was printed from 0.0025wt% PDDA and GNP LBL (Figure 6A). Each bilayer was composed of x=3 prints of PDDA followed by y=10 prints of GNPs. Light transmission at 700nm was observed three times during each bilayer to observe the growth of the film; after the deposition of 3 prints of PDDA, and after 5 and 10 prints of gold. UV-vis transmission data were then plotted against the number of prints (N) to demonstrate the continued growth of the film (Figure 6B). The nanocomposite LBL grid was then washed

and dried, leaving behind a multilayer composite with not only controlled GNP composition in each layer, but controlled 3D spatial arrangement as well. Although, the transmission of the pattern rose from $34.6 \pm 0.4\%$ to $43.4 \pm 0.5\%$ after washing, this test clearly demonstrates the ability of inkjet LBL to create insoluble, multilayered nanocomposite patterns.

Comparison with other methods of patterning of LBL films

A number of approaches to spatially control LBL-assembled multilayers to create lateral patterning have been explored.6 For example, patterned surface chemistries created by microcontact printing,52⁻⁵⁴ dip-pen nanolithography,55 nanografting,56 and electron-beam lithography57 were used to guide the spatially-selective growth of LBL assembled multilayers. Electric field directed LBL assembly58 and spin-assisted LBL combined with with microfluidics59 were also used for selective deposition of LBL multilayers. Alternatively, spatially-selective removal of LBL multilayers was used for patterning based on the selective dissolution of pH sensitive films with inkjet printing,23 assembly atop patterned substrates with selective removability created by nanoimprinting60 or photolithography,61 selective photocrosslinking62[,] 63 and traditional lithographic patterning.64 Finally, stamps used either in compression65[,] 66 or multilayer transfer printing67 have also successfully created multilayer patterns.

Inkjet LBL corresponds to simultaneous multilayer growth and patterning. In respect to the characteristic size of the features, traditional lithography and nanoimprinting have better X-Y. However, unlike them and most LBL patterning modalities mentioned above, it is a continuous additive technique with corresponding advantages and disadvantages. It is also exceptionally simple and can be realized using minimal hardware investments with immediate results. It has the capability to serve as a universal patterning technique for LBL as it does not rely on specific chemical functionalities or templates such as stamps or masks that limit the material choice, number of components, levels and designs currently possible. The additive approach of inkjet LBL printing can in many cases reduce the number of processing steps while increasing process flexibility where patterns are generated from electronic data rather than premade templates.

One of the disadvantages of inkjet LBL is that it is primarily applicable when the total area of LBL coating is relatively small. The task of coating of large and highly curved areas with continuous LBL multilayers is probably better served by one of the traditional techniques.

One also needs to point out that regardless of absence of the "coffee ring" effects in our case of $(PDDA^x/GNP^y)_z$ (Figure 4), the possibility of its occurrence need to be considered and will require fine tuning of the ink and the droplet parameters. In addition, multi-cartridge and -nozzle systems that allow for incorporation of a large variety of components in LBL layers can be fairly complex and expensive although feasible.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of this study, it has been demonstrated that inkjet technology can be successfully used to modify LBL assembly by eliminating most intermediate rinsing steps with accurate dispensing of the LBL components to the required area of the surface. Besides providing some insight into the basics of LBL, the data collected indicate the ability of this approach to vary surface coverage of GNPs and retain the overall linearity of GNP deposition characteristic of traditional LBL methods. The comparison of $(PDDA^x/GNP^y)_z$ and $(PDDA/GNP)_z$ multilayers also shows that (at least in some cases) the localized dispensation of the required amounts of LBL components will lead to marked improvements of surface density of NPs. It can also lead to wider ranges of particle-polymer ratios.

The patterning and stability of the inkjet LBL films also indicate that this method can provide a promising alternative for multilevel patterning with nanoscale control of stratification. In traditional inkiet printing of electronic devices there is a long-standing problem of production of multi-component, layered structures. The common solvents of many inks with electro- or photoactive components results in re-dissolution and mixing with pre-deposited layers. Low temperature phase-changing inks, polymer binders, UV curing or reacting inks, thermal treatments and orthogonal solvents68-70 were used to prevent redistribution of the solute between layers, but these inks correspond to a relatively small set of systems and often times significantly change the properties of the deposited layer. Even under special conditions the thickness of individual strata that can be deposited is seldom smaller than 100µm68, 71-73. Inkjet LBL allows stratified multilayers to be assembled into stratified multilevel structures with accuracy determined by the thickness of the individual bilayer (Figure 3) and diameter of NPs (if any) without any problems associated with redissolution or complex chemistry associated with the use of orthogonal solvents or special inks. This will be an essential advantage for the future development of inkjet LBL due to the significance of multilevel nanoscale coatings on flat substrates in the production of flexible electronics and energy conversion devices. Further optimization of the inkjet LBL technique toward advanced material production and better understanding of the methods of structural control in such multilayers are also anticipated.

Acknowledgments

The authors herein want to express the great appreciation for the financial support by AFOSR (No. FA9550-05-1-043), National Science Foundation (CMS-0528867, ECS-0601345), NIH (NIH 5R01EB007350-02) and the Office of Naval Research. This material is based upon work partially supported by the Center for Solar and Thermal Energy Conversion, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award Number #DE-SC0000957. We acknowledge also partial support from NSF under grant EFRI-BSBA 0938019; CBET 0933384; and CBET 0932823. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF). The work is also partially supported by AFOSR MURI 444286-P061716 and NIH 1R21CA121841-01A2. The authors thank the University of Michigan's EMAL for its assistance with electron microscopy used in this work. Dr. Ashish Agarwal (University of Michigan) and Szushen Ho (University of Michigan) are thanked supplying the gold nanoparticle solutions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Decher G. Fuzzy nanoassemblies: Toward layered polymeric multicomposites. Science. 1997; 277(5330):1232–1237.
- 2. Tang ZY, Wang Y, Podsiadlo P, Kotov NA. Biomedical applications of layer-by-layer assembly: From biomimetics to tissue engineering. Advanced Materials. 2006; 18(24):3203–3224.
- 3. Tang Z. Nanostructured artificial nacre. Nature materials. 2003; 2(6):413-U8.
- Podsiadlo P, Kaushik AK, Arruda EM, Waas AM, Shim BS, Xu JD, Nandivada H, Pumplin BG, Lahann J, Ramamoorthy A, Kotov NA. Ultrastrong and stiff layered polymer nanocomposites. Science. 2007; 318(5847):80–83. [PubMed: 17916728]
- Mamedov AA, Kotov NA, Prato M, Guldi DM, Wicksted JP, Hirsch A. Molecular design of strong single-wall carbon nanotube/polyelectrolyte multilayer composites. Nature Materials. 2002; 1(3): 190–194.
- 6. Hammond PT. Form and function in multilayer assembly: New applications at the nanoscale. Advanced Materials. 2004; 16(15):1271–1293.
- 7. Ariga K, Lvov Y, Onda M, Ichinose I, Kunitake T. Alternately assembled ultrathin film of silica nanoparticles and linear polycations. Chemistry Letters. 1997; (2):125–126.
- 8. Kotov NA, Dekany I, Fendler JH. Layer-by-Layer Self-Asembly of Polyelectrolyte-Semiconductor Nanoparticle Composite Films. Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1995; 99(35):13065–13069.
- 9. Kotov NA. Ordered layered assemblies of nanoparticles. Mrs Bulletin. 2001; 26(12):992–997.

- Kotov NA. Layer-by-layer self-assembly: The contribution of hydrophobic interactions. Nanostructured Materials. 1999; 12(5–8):789–796.
- Bucur CB, Sui Z, Schlenoff JB. Ideal mixing in polyelectrolyte complexes and multilayers: Entropy driven assembly. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2006; 128(42):13690– 13691. [PubMed: 17044688]
- Jomaa HW, Schlenoff JB. Salt-induced polyelectrolyte interdiffusion in multilayered films: A neutron reflectivity study. Macromolecules. 2005; 38(20):8473–8480.
- Bharadwaj S, Montazeri R, Haynie DT. Direct determination of the thermodynamics of polyelectrolyte complexation and implications thereof for electrostatic layer-by-layer assembly of multilayer films. Langmuir. 2006; 22(14):6093–6101. [PubMed: 16800664]
- Schlenoff JB, Dubas ST, Farhat T. Sprayed polyelectrolyte multilayers. Langmuir. 2000; 16(26): 9968–9969.
- Lee SS, Hong JD, Kim CH, Kim K, Koo JP, Lee KB. Layer-by-layer deposited multilayer assemblies of ionene-type polyelectrolytes based on the spin-coating method. Macromolecules. 2001; 34(16):5358–5360.
- Cho J, Char K, Hong JD, Lee KB. Fabrication of highly ordered multilayer films using a spin selfassembly method. Advanced Materials. 2001; 13(14):1076.
- Chiarelli PA, Johal MS, Casson JL, Roberts JB, Robinson JM, Wang HL. Controlled fabrication of polyelectrolyte multilayer thin films using spin-assembly. Advanced Materials. 2001; 13(15):1167.
- Merrill MH, Sun CT. Fast, simple and efficient assembly of nanolayered materials and devices. Nanotechnology. 2009; 20(7):075606. [PubMed: 19417427]
- Shim BS, Podsiadlo P, Lilly DG, Agarwal A, Leet J, Tang Z, Ho S, Ingle P, Paterson D, Lu W, Kotov NA. Nanostructured thin films made by diewetting method of layer-by-layer assembly. Nano Letters. 2007; 7(11):3266–3273. [PubMed: 17935371]
- Galiote NA, Huguenin F. Lithium ion diffusion into self-assembled films composed from WO3 and polyallylamine. Journal of Physical Chemistry C. 2007; 111:14911–14916.
- 21. DeLongchamp DM, Hammond PT. Fast ion conduction in layer-by-layer polymer films. Chemistry of Materials. 2003; 15(5):1165–1173.
- Limem S, Li DP, Iyengar S, Calvert P. Multi-material inkjet printing of self-assembling and reacting coatings. Journal of Macromolecular Science Part a-Pure and Applied Chemistry. 2009; 46(12):1205–1212.
- Yang SY, Rubner MF. Micropatterning of polymer thin films with pH-sensitive and cross-linkable hydrogen-bonded polyelectrolyte multilayers. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2002; 124(10):2100–2101. [PubMed: 11878948]
- 24. Cheng K, Yang M-H, Chiu WWW, Huang C-Y, Chang J, Ying T-F, Yang Y. Ink-Jet Printing, Self-Assembled Polyelectrolytes, and Electroless Plating: Low Cost Fabrication of Circuits on a Flexible Substrate at Room Temperature. Macromolecular Rapid Communications. 2005; 26(4): 247–264.
- Guo T-F, Chang S-C, Pyo S, Yang. Vertically Integrated Electronic Circuits via a Combination of Self-Assembled Polyelectrolytes, Ink-Jet Printing, and Electroless Metal Plating Processes. Langmuir. 2002; 18(21):8142–8147.
- Wang TC, Chen B, Rubner MF, Cohen RE. Selective Electroless Nickel Plating on Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Platforms. Langmuir. 2001; 17(21):6610–6615.
- 27. Tekin E, Smith PJ, Schubert US. Inkjet printing as a deposition and patterning tool for polymers and inorganic particles. Soft Matter. 2008; 4(4):703–713.
- Simeone FC, Albonetti C, Cavallini M. Progress in Micro- and Nanopatterning via Electrochemical Lithography. Journal of Physical Chemistry C. 2009; 113(44):18987–18994.
- Roy S. Fabrication of micro- and nano-structured materials using mask-less processes. Journal of Physics D-Applied Physics. 2007; 40(22):R413–R426.
- 30. Nie ZH, Kumacheva E. Patterning surfaces with functional polymers. Nature Materials. 2008; 7(4): 277–290.
- Menard E, Meitl MA, Sun YG, Park JU, Shir DJL, Nam YS, Jeon S, Rogers JA. Micro- and nanopatterning techniques for organic electronic and optoelectronic systems. Chemical Reviews. 2007; 107(4):1117–1160. [PubMed: 17428024]

- 32. Turkevich J, Stevenson PC, Hillier J. A study of the nucleation and growth processes in the synthesis of colloidal gold. Discussions of the Faraday Society. 1951; (11):55.
- Enustun BV, Turkevich J. Coagulation of colloidal gold. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 1963; 85(21):3317.
- 34. Frens G. Controlled nucleation for regulation of particle size in monodisperse gold suspensions. Nature-Physical Science. 1973; 241(105):20–22.
- 35. Mamedov A, Ostrander J, Aliev F, Kotov NA. Stratified assemblies of magnetite nanoparticles and montmorillonite prepared by the layer-by-layer assembly. Langmuir. 2000; 16(8):3941–3949.
- Izquierdo A, Ono SS, Voegel JC, Schaaf P, Decher G. Dipping versus spraying: Exploring the deposition conditions for speeding up layer-by-layer assembly. Langmuir. 2005; 21(16):7558– 7567. [PubMed: 16042495]
- 37. Decher, G.; Hong, JD. Build up of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process. 1. Consecutive adsorption of anionic and cationic bipolar amphiphiles on charged surfaces. 3rd European Conf on Organized Organic Thin Films (Ecof 90), Mainz, Fed Rep Ger; Oct 11–13, 1990; Mainz, Fed Rep Ger: Huthig & Wepf Verlag; 1990. p. 321-327.
- 38. Stockton, WB.; Rubner, MF. Molecular layer processing of polyaniline via the use of hydrogen bonding interactions. In: Nazri, GA.; Tarascon, JM.; Schreiber, M., editors. Symposium on Solid State Ionics IV, at the 1994 MRS Fall Meeting, Boston, Ma; Nov 28-Dec 01, 1994; Boston, Ma: Materials Research Soc; 1994. p. 587-592.
- Brynda E, Houska M. Multiple alternating molecular layers of albumin and heparin on solid surfaces. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 1996; 183(1):18–25.
- 40. Sun JQ, Wu T, Sun YP, Wang ZQ, Zhang X, Shen JC, Cao WX. Fabrication of a covalently attached multilayer via photolysis of layer-by-layer self-assembled films containing diazoresins. Chemical Communications. 1998; (17):1853–1854.
- Ostrander JW, Mamedov AA, Kotov NA. Two modes of linear layer-by-layer growth of nanoparticle-polylectrolyte multilayers and different interactions in the layer-by-layer deposition. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2001; 123(6):1101–1110. [PubMed: 11456663]
- 42. Mamedov AA, Kotov NA. Free-standing layer-by-layer assembled films of magnetite nanoparticles. Langmuir. 2000; 16(13):5530–5533.
- Jiang CY, Markutsya S, Shulha H, Tsukruk VV. Freely suspended gold nanoparticle arrays. Advanced Materials. 2005; 17(13):1669.
- 44. Jiang CY, Markutsya S, Pikus Y, Tsukruk VV. Freely suspended nanocomposite membranes as highly sensitive sensors. Nature Materials. 2004; 3(10):721–728.
- 45. Jiang CY, Markutsya S, Tsukruk VV. Compliant, robust, and truly nanoscale free-standing multilayer films fabricated using spin-assisted layer-by-layer assembly. Advanced Materials. 2004; 16(2):157.
- 46. Lu CH, Donch I, Nolte M, Fery A. Au nanoparticle-based multilayer ultrathin films with covalently linked nanostructures: Spraying layer-by-layer assembly and mechanical property characterization. Chemistry of Materials. 2006; 18(26):6204–6210.
- 47. Turkevich J, Stevenson PC, Hillier J. A study of the nucleation and growth processes in the synthesis of colloidal gold. Discussions of the Faraday Society. 1951; (11):55.
- Enustun BV, Turkevich J. Coagulation of colloidal gold. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 1963; 85(21):3317.
- 49. Deegan RD, Bakajin O, Dupont TF, Huber G, Nagel SR, Witten TA. Capillary flow as the cause of ring stains from dried liquid drops. Nature. 1997; 389(6653):827–829.
- Sommer AP, Rozlosnik N. Formation of crystalline ring patterns on extremely hydrophobic supersmooth substrates: Extension of ring formation paradigms. Crystal Growth & Design. 2005; 5(2):551–557.
- 51. Hu H, Larson RG. Marangoni effect reverses coffee-ring depositions. Journal of Physical Chemistry B. 2006; 110(14):7090–7094.
- Hammond PT, Whitesides GM. Formation of polymer microstructures by selective deposition of polyion multilayers using patterned self-assembled monolayers as a template. Macromolecules. 1995; 28(22):7569–7571.

- Jiang XP, Zheng HP, Gourdin S, Hammond PT. Polymer-on-polymer stamping: Universal approaches to chemically patterned surfaces. Langmuir. 2002; 18(7):2607–2615.
- Jiang XP, Hammond PT. Selective deposition in layer-by-layer assembly: Functional graft copolymers as molecular templates. Langmuir. 2000; 16(22):8501–8509.
- 55. Lee SW, Sanedrin RG, Oh BK, Mirkin CA. Nanostructured polyelectrolyte multilayer organic thin films generated via parallel dip-pen nanolithography. Advanced Materials. 2005; 17(22):2749.
- Tulpar A, Wang ZY, Jang CH, Jain V, Heflin JR, Ducker WA. Nanoscale patterning of ionic selfassembled multilayers. Nanotechnology. 2009; 20(15):5.
- Pallandre A, Glinel K, Jonas AM, Nysten B. Binary nanopatterned surfaces prepared from silane monolayers. Nano Letters. 2004; 4(2):365–371.
- Sun JQ, Gao MY, Feldmann J. Electric field directed layer-by-layer assembly of highly fluorescent CdTe nanoparticles. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. 2001; 1(2):133–136. [PubMed: 12914042]
- Jang H, Kim S, Char K. Multilayer line micropatterning using convective self-assembly in microfluidic channels. Langmuir. 2003; 19(8):3094–3097.
- Maury P, Peter M, Crespo-Biel O, Ling XY, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J. Patterning the molecular printboard: patterning cyclodextrin monolayers on silicon oxide using nanoimprint lithography and its application in 3D multilayer nanostructuring. Nanotechnology. 2007; 18(4):9.
- Hua F, Cui TH, Lvov Y. Lithographic approach to pattern self-assembled nanoparticle multilayers. Langmuir. 2002; 18(17):6712–6715.
- Cao TB, Wei F, Jiao XM, Chen JY, Liao W, Zhao X, Cao WX. Micropatterns of protein and conducting polymer molecules fabricated by layer-by-layer self-assembly and photolithography techniques. Langmuir. 2003; 19(20):8127–8129.
- 63. Shi F, Dong B, Qiu DL, Sun JQ, Wu T, Zhang X. Layer-by-layer self-assembly of reactive polyelectrolytes for robust multilayer patterning. Advanced Materials. 2002; 14(11):805–809.
- Hua F, Lvov Y, Cui TH. Spatial patterning of colloidal nanoparticle-based thin film by a combinative technique of layer-by-layer self-assembly and lithography. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. 2002; 2(3–4):357–361. [PubMed: 12908263]
- 65. Gao CY, Wang B, Feng J, Shen JC. Irreversible compression of polyelectrolyte multilayers. Macromolecules. 2004; 37(24):8836–8839.
- 66. Lu YX, Chen XL, Hu W, Lu N, Sun JQ, Shen JC. Room-temperature imprinting poly(acrylic acid)/poly(allylamine hydrochloride) multilayer films by using polymer molds. Langmuir. 2007; 23(6):3254–3259. [PubMed: 17269813]
- Park J, Hammond PT. Multilayer transfer printing for polyelectrolyte multilayer patterning: Direct transfer of layer-by-layer assembled micropatterned thin films. Advanced Materials. 2004; 16(6): 520.
- Moon J, Grau JE, Knezevic V, Cima MJ, Sachs EM. Ink-jet printing of binders for ceramic components. Journal of the American Ceramic Society. 2002; 85(4):755–762.
- 69. Wang TM, Patel R, Derby B. Manufacture of 3-dimensional objects by reactive inkjet printing. Soft Matter. 2008; 4(12):2513–2518.
- 70. Wong WS, Ready S, Matusiak R, White SD, Lu JP, Ho J, Street RA. Amorphous silicon thin-film transistors and arrays fabricated by jet printing. Applied Physics Letters. 2002; 80(4):610–612.
- Kim S-S, Sun Park M, Jeon O, Yong Choi C, Kim B-S. Poly(lactide-co-glycolide)/hydroxyapatite composite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. Biomaterials. 2006; 27(8):1399–1409. [PubMed: 16169074]
- Sherwood JK, Riley SL, Palazzolo R, Brown SC, Monkhouse DC, Coates M, Griffith LG, Landeen LK, Ratcliffe A. A three-dimensional osteochondral composite scaffold for articular cartilage repair. Biomaterials. 2002; 23(24):4739–4751. [PubMed: 12361612]
- 73. Giordano RA, Wu BM, Borland SW, Cima LG, Sachs EM, Cima MJ. Mechanical properties of dense polylactic acid structures fabricated by three dimensional printing. Journal of Biomaterials Science-Polymer Edition. 1996; 8(1):63–75. [PubMed: 8933291]



Figure 1.

Schematic of multilayer film production by inkjet layer-by-layer assembly.



Figure 2.

Surface coverage of GNPs in a $(PDDA/GNP)_z$ film produced using standard dip-coating LBL with z=1, 10 and 25 bilayers



Figure 3.

Increasing thickness of $(PDDA^{1}/GNP^{1})_{z}$ and SEM images of GNP coverage after deposition of z bilayers by inkjet LBL.



Figure 4.

SEM images of a single bilayer of $(PDDA/GNP^y)_1$ LBL films with y=1, 10, 25, 50 and 75 inkjet prints of gold.



Figure 5.

SEM images of inkjet printed (PDDA³/GNP⁷⁵)₁ lines of decreasing computer designed widths from left to right of 425, 283, 168, and 66 μ m. (Scale bar of inset images =250 μ m).



Figure 6.

(A) Photograph of $(PDDA^3/GNP^{10})_4$ nanocomposite multilayered formed using LBL technology and patterned with inkjet printing. (B) Dependence of UV-vis transmission of the grid pattern on the total number of printed layers, N. Measurements were taken after every 3 prints of PDDA and 5 prints of GNP.