

Published in final edited form as:

ACS Sustain Chem Eng. 2018 January 2; 6(1): 590–599. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b02931.

Cloud point extraction of chlorophylls from spinach leaves using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants

Ana Cláudia Leite^{#†}, Ana M. Ferreira^{#†}, Eduarda S. Morais[†], Imran Khan[§], Mara G. Freire^{†,*}, and João A. P. Coutinho[†]

[†]CICECO - Aveiro Institute of Materials, Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

[§]Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, P.C. 123 Al-Khod, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Chlorophylls and their derivatives are currently used in a wide range of applications. To replace the volatile organic solvents commonly applied for their extraction from biomass, aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants are studied herein in the extraction of chlorophylls from spinach leaves. Aqueous solutions of several surfactants were screened, demonstrating that their hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) plays the pivotal role on the extraction performance, with the best results obtained for surfactants with a HLB ranging between 10 and 13. A response surface methodology (RSM) was then used to optimize operational conditions (surfactant concentration, solid-liquid ratio and temperature), leading to a maximum extraction yield of chlorophylls of 0.94 mg/g. After the extraction step, the chlorophylls-rich extract was concentrated by heating above the surfactant-water cloud point, leading to the separation into two-phases, and to a concentration factor of 9 and a recovery of 97% of chlorophylls in the surfactant-rich phase. The antioxidant activity of the extracts was finally appraised, showing that the antioxidant activity of the aqueous chlorophylls-rich extracts is higher than that obtained with volatile organic solvents. The obtained results show the potential of aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants to extract highly hydrophobic compounds from biomass and their possible direct use in cosmetic and nutraceutical applications, without requiring an additional recovery or purification step.

Keywords

Solid-liquid extraction; non-ionic surfactants; aqueous solutions; concentration; spinach leaves, chlorophylls; antioxidant activity

*Corresponding Author: maragfreire@ua.pt; Tel: +351-234-401422; Fax: +351-234-370084;.

Author Contributions

‡ These authors contributed equally

Introduction

Chlorophylls are the pigments responsible for the green color of fruits and vegetables and play a central role in the primary stage of photosynthesis. Two chemical structures of chlorophyll are present in plants: chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b*, usually in a ratio of 3:1.¹ Chlorophylls are based on a porphyrinic structure, comprising four pyrrole rings, coordinated by a magnesium ion, with a long hydrophobic alkyl chain attached to it – Fig. 1. Chlorophyll *a* contains a methyl group ($-\text{CH}_3$) attached to one pyrrole ring, whereas in chlorophyll *b* this is replaced by a formyl group ($-\text{CHO}$).^{2–3} This difference in the chemical structure is responsible for the blue/green color of chlorophyll *a* against the green/yellow color of chlorophyll *b*.⁴

Chlorophylls and their derivatives, while being natural compounds, have been extensively studied due to their unique and valuable properties. They are widely used as natural colorants in the food and cosmetic industries, are used in energy and medicinal applications,⁵ and also attracted the interest of the pharmaceutical industry.^{4, 6–7} Some studies revealed that chlorophylls are able to accelerate wound healing,⁴ help in cellular repair, and increase hemoglobin levels in blood.⁶ Recently, it was demonstrated that chlorophyll derivatives extracted from spinach are able to prevent oxidative DNA damage of human lymphocytes.^{8–9} Chlorophylls also display chelating agent activity, beneficial in liver and ulcer treatments.^{4, 6} Furthermore, chlorophylls and its derivatives display anti-cancer activity due to their ability to accumulate in tumor tissues and to cause a photodynamic effect under laser radiation, which produces reactive oxygen species leading to the death of cancer cells.^{7, 10–11}

Several natural chlorophyll sources can be explored, with spinach, alfalfa meal, and algae as the most studied.⁵ For scale extractions, *i.e.* 1–5 g of plant material, acetone, dimethylsulfoxide, dioxane and dimethylformamide are commonly used as preferred solvents. After extraction and filtration, chlorophylls extracts are obtained followed by a drying step under vacuum. Medium-scale extractions (up to 1 kg of plant material) are usually performed using fresh spinach, starting by a boiling step in water, followed by filtration and extraction with methanol–petroleum ether mixtures. Finally, for large-scale extractions (1–5 kg), pigments are usually extracted with acetone and further filtered and dried.⁵ Some of the solvents currently used are volatile, toxic and flammable, thus leading to industrial risks and to a poor environmental performance, and are of low selectivity resulting in low purity levels and yields.¹² On the other hand, the methods used for extracting chlorophylls typically require high temperatures, are multi-step, and thus costly.¹³

The most environmentally friendly and biocompatible solvent for extracting chlorophylls from natural sources, while taking into account their potential in the food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical applications (and inherent human consumption), is certainly water. Nevertheless, chlorophylls are highly hydrophobic compounds with a very low solubility in water.¹⁴ In this context, the use of aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants as alternative extractive solvents could be seen as a promising approach. Moreover, their low cloud points could allow an easy concentration of the extracts by moderate heating.

Surface active compounds, better known as surfactants, belong to a class of compounds with amphiphilic nature, formed by a hydrophobic (tail) and a hydrophilic (head) part.^{15–18} In aqueous solutions, these molecules are able to aggregate spontaneously forming micelles, or reverse micelles in organic solvents.¹⁹ This capacity to form micelles in water or organic solvents confers them the capacity to establish non-covalent interactions with (and to incorporate in the micelle core) hydrophilic or hydrophobic molecules, respectively, and thus surfactants may improve the extraction/solubilization performance of organic solvents or aqueous solutions.^{15, 18, 20} Moreover, non-ionic surfactants aqueous solutions usually display low or moderate temperature cloud points, resulting in the formation of two liquid phases upon heating and allowing these systems to act as extraction and concentration liquid-liquid platforms. The surfactant-rich phase is typically very small, in comparison to the other phase that is surfactant-depleted.^{21–22}

Over the past years, surfactants have been largely used as household detergents, and in food and personal care industries.²³ More recently, surfactants found remarkable applications in the pharmaceutical industry, as emulsifying and wetting agents in pharmaceutical formulations,²³ and as drug solubilization/delivery systems, e.g. in ophthalmic products delivery.²⁴ Several approaches using non-ionic surfactants have been described to increase the bioavailability of drugs with poor water solubility, such as lipid-based delivery systems and drug-polymer amorphous dispersions.^{23–25} On the other hand, surfactants have been successfully used in micelle-based extractions and concentration of several compounds, like metal ions, proteins, and bio-based compounds, from water solutions and biomass.^{15, 17, 26–28}

Taking into account the high content of chlorophylls in spinach leaves,²⁹ and the problems associated to their extraction by conventional methods and solvents, in this work we investigate the use of aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants as alternative solvents. Most of the surfactants used in this study are already used in the food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries.³⁰ For instance, the sorbitan esters and their ethoxylated derivatives, like Tween 20 and 80, are widely used as food emulsifiers.^{23, 31} Tween 20 and 80, as well as ethoxylated alcohols, e.g. Brij 30 and 98, are used in cosmetic lotions and formulations.³⁰ Other non-ionic surfactants, such as fatty acid esters of sorbitan and their ethoxylated derivatives, Tweens, and Brij, have also several applications in the pharmaceutical field.³⁰ In general, non-ionic surfactants have been widely used due to their biocompatible nature, reduced toxicity, and increased stability toward changes in pH and ionic strength, presenting therefore advantages over cationic, anionic or amphoteric surfactants. ^{24, 30, 32} The main goal of this work consists thus on the development of a cost-effective and sustainable technique for the extraction and concentration of chlorophylls from biomass using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants instead of the volatile organic solvents currently used. To this end, an initial screening of various non-ionic surfactants was conducted, and a response surface methodology (RSM) was then applied aiming at optimizing the operational conditions of the extractive process, namely the solid-liquid ratio (R , weight of biomass *per* weight of solvent), surfactant concentration (C) and temperature (T). By heating the extracts-surfactant-water solutions at a temperature above their cloud point two-phase systems are created, allowing to further concentrate the chlorophylls-rich extract. Finally, the antioxidant activity of the aqueous solutions containing chlorophylls,

before and after the concentration step, was determined supporting their possible direct use in nutraceutical and cosmetic applications.

Experimental Section

Materials

Spinaches were purchased in a local market and immediately washed and frozen for storage. Before extraction, spinach leaves were immersed in liquid nitrogen and ground until a green powder was obtained. Standards of chlorophyll *a* (95% pure) and chlorophyll *b* (99% pure) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Surfactants Brij 98 (Hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB 15.3), Tween 20 (HLB 16.7), Tween 80 (HLB 15.0) and Triton X-100 (HLB 13.5) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The surfactant Triton X-114 (HLB 12.4) was acquired from Acros Organics. The surfactant Brij 30 (HLB 9.6) was acquired from Fluka. Commercial surfactants C9-C11 6 EO's (HLB 12.4), C12-C15 7EO's (HLB 12.3) and C11-C13 9EO's (HLB 13.2) were kindly supplied by Mistolin, Portugal. The HLB (hydrophilic-lipophilic balance) values of all surfactants used were taken from the literature³³ or from the manufacturers catalogues. The chemical structure of the investigated surfactants as well as their critical micelle concentration (CMC) values are shown in the Supporting Information. Before use, the water content in each surfactant was determined by Karl Fisher titration (using Metrohm 831 Karl Fischer). Their water content is shown in the Supporting Information. Mixtures of surfactants with a target HLB value were also investigated, prepared according to the following equation:

$$HLB = HLB_{Brij30}w_{Brij30} + HLB_{Brij98}w_{Brij98} \quad (1)$$

where HLB_{Brij30} and HLB_{Brij98} are the HLB values for the surfactants Brij 30 and 98, and w_{Brij30} and w_{Brij98} are the weight fraction of Brij 30 and Brij 98.

The water employed in all experiments was ultra-pure water, double distilled, passed through a reverse osmosis system and treated with a Milli-Q plus 185 water purification device.

Chlorophylls extraction

Solid-liquid extractions of chlorophyll from spinach leaves were carried out protected from light using a Carousel from Radleys Tech able to both stir and maintain the temperature within ± 0.5 °C. In all experiments the stirring was kept constant at 600 rpm. All aqueous solutions containing known amounts of surfactants and biomass were prepared gravimetrically within $\pm 10^{-4}$ g. Several concentrations of surfactant, and different solid-liquid ratio, temperature and times of extraction were investigated. At least three individual samples for each set of conditions were prepared and the amount of extracted chlorophylls quantified.

After the extraction step, the several aqueous solutions and organic solvents were separated from biomass by centrifugation (at 4000 rpm for 30 min, using an Eppendorf 5804 centrifuge). The quantification of chlorophylls in each solution was carried out by UV-Vis

spectroscopy, using a microplate reader Synergy HT, BioTek. Calibration curves were prepared using the commercial standards of chlorophylls *a* and *b* in surfactant aqueous solutions. OriginPro 8.0 was used for the spectral deconvolution of the peaks at 649 and 665 nm that correspond to the maximum absorption wavelengths of chlorophylls *b* and *a*, respectively. The absorbance was recorded in duplicate for each sample. The content of chlorophylls in spinach leaves (discussed as extraction yields) was determined according to the total weight of chlorophylls (*a* and *b*) present in the extract divided by the weight of biomass. The selectivity was calculated as the ratio between the content of chlorophyll *a* and the content of chlorophyll *b* in each sample.

Response surface methodology

A RSM was applied to simultaneously analyze various operational conditions and to identify the most significant parameters which enhance the chlorophylls extraction yield. In a 2^k RSM there are k factors that contribute to a different response and the data are treated according to a second order polynomial equation:

$$y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i + \sum \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j \quad (2)$$

where y is the response variable and β_0 , β_i , β_{ii} and β_{ij} are the adjusted coefficients for the intercept, linear, quadratic and interaction terms, respectively, and X_i and X_j are independent variables. This model allows the drawing of surface response curves, and through their analysis the optimal conditions can be determined. Based on the results obtained in the initial screening with several non-ionic surfactants, the commercial ethoxylated alcohol C11-C13 9EO's was selected to perform a 2^3 factorial planning with the aim of optimizing the extractive process of chlorophylls from spinach leaves. The 2^3 factorial planning used is described in the Supporting Information. The obtained results were statistically analyzed with a confidence level of 95%. Student's *t*-test was used to check the statistical significance of the adjusted data. The adequacy of the model was determined by evaluating the lack of fit, the regression coefficient (R^2) and the *F*-value obtained from the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Statsoft Statistica 10.0[®] software was used for all statistical analyses and for representing the response surfaces and contour plots.

Cloud point concentration of chlorophylls

The extracted chlorophylls present in the surfactant aqueous solutions were further concentrated by heating them above their cloud point (65 ± 1 °C), leading to the formation of two liquid phases. To this end, aqueous solutions were kept in an air oven at the desired temperature for *ca.* 1 h. The phases were carefully separated and the recovery of chlorophylls determined according to the weight of total chlorophylls present in the concentrated solution to that in the aqueous solution before the concentration step.

Antioxidant activity assays

The antioxidant activity of the different chlorophylls-rich extracts was determined using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) scavenging assay.³⁴ The antioxidant activity

is expressed in IC_{50} values, defined as the inhibitory concentration of the chlorophylls-rich extract required to decrease the initial DPPH radical concentration by 50%.³⁵ Taking into account the IC_{50} definition, a lower IC_{50} value reflects a better DPPH radical scavenging activity, i.e. a better antioxidant activity of the extract. Further details are given in the Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

Effect of the surfactant type on the extraction of chlorophylls

A screening of aqueous solutions of several surfactants at concentrations above their CMC was carried out in order to evaluate the most promising surfactants for the extraction of chlorophylls. The description of the studied surfactants is provided in the Experimental Section. Their chemical structures and their CMC values are provided in the Supporting Information. The same operational conditions were kept in all experiments, namely a surfactant concentration of 3.3 mM, a spinach-solvent weight fraction ratio of 1:50 ($R=0.02$) and an extraction time of 30 min at 25°C. The impact of different surfactants on the extraction yield of chlorophylls is presented in Fig. 2A, and compared with the obtained extraction yield using pure water at the same conditions. Detailed data are provided in the Supporting Information. The results obtained show that the amount of chlorophylls extracted using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surface-active compounds (in a significant low amount) is remarkably higher than that achieved with water, demonstrating therefore the importance of surface-active compounds to increase the extraction yield of highly hydrophobic compounds from biomass, such as chlorophylls. Moreover, the amount of extracted chlorophylls largely depends on the surfactant type. Amongst the studied surfactants, tritons and ethoxylate alcohols perform better for the extraction of chlorophylls from spinach leaves (up to 0.66 ± 0.03 mg/g). On the other hand, aqueous solutions of surfactants of the Brij and Tween families lead to a lower extraction yield, and only slightly better than that obtained with water.

The extraction of chlorophylls from spinach leaves was also performed using volatile organic solvents, namely ethanol, propanol and butanol, under the same operational conditions for comparison purposes. Mixtures of ethanol/water were also studied aiming at tailoring the polarity of the solvent. As shown in Fig. 2B, alcohols with shorter aliphatic moieties perform better in the extraction of chlorophylls from spinach leaves (0.69 ± 0.06 mg/g with pure ethanol). Nevertheless, water-ethanol mixtures in adequate compositions (80% of ethanol) lead to higher extraction yields of both chlorophylls (up to 0.82 ± 0.05 mg/g), while a higher water content (60% of ethanol) leads to a decrease on the recovery of the target biocompounds. It should be however highlighted that the first mixture is more appropriate to extract chlorophyll *b*.

Based on obtained results, the extraction of chlorophylls using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants, namely ethoxylate alcohols, proves to be more suitable since lower concentrations of C11-C13 9EO's (3.3 mM) are enough to achieve significant extraction yields (0.66 ± 0.03 mg/g), opening thus new perspectives on the development of more sustainable and cost-effective solvents and processes for the extraction of chlorophylls from bioresources. However, to better understand the extraction performance of the different

aqueous solutions of the surfactants investigated, the relationship between the extraction yield and the HLB value of the surfactants was evaluated, with the respective results being depicted in Fig. 2C. It is clear that surfactants with HLB values ranging between 12 and 14 are more effective in the extraction of chlorophylls, with a significant decrease in the amount of extracted chlorophylls being observed when using surfactants with HLB values outside this range.

To further confirm if there is any particular chemical structural feature of the surfactant that leads to improved extractions by specific chlorophyll-surfactant interactions or if it is a result of a micelle-mediated phenomenon where the HLB plays the primary role, the extraction of chlorophylls was performed using aqueous solutions of mixtures of Brij 30 and Brij 98, with HLB values of 9.5 and 15.3, respectively, allowing to obtain surfactants with tailored HLB values (from 10 to 15). The mixtures of surfactants with the desired HLB were prepared as described by Eq. (1). The operational conditions were kept in all experiments, namely a spinach-solvent ratio of 1:50 ($R=0.02$) and an extraction time of 30 min at 25 °C. The results obtained, depicted in Fig. 2D, confirm that maximum extraction yields are obtained with surfactants with a HLB value between 10 and 14; for the HLB value of 15 there is a decrease on the amount of chlorophylls extracted. These results confirm a micelle-mediated extraction phenomenon since mixtures of surfactants perform as well as pure surfactants of different chemical structure, if with HLB values between 10 and 14.

The ratio between the extracted chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* with all the investigated solvents is shown in Fig. 2. Taking into account that the ratio of chlorophylls *a* and *b* in plants is around 3:1, the extractive process is selective if their ratio is higher than 3. All surfactants, with the exception of Tween 80, are able to isolate higher amounts of chlorophyll *a*, especially Brij 98 and Tween 20, where a ratio of 4.71 and 4.37, respectively, was achieved, despite the low amount of chlorophylls extracted. Compared to pure water, where this ratio is 2.15, it is possible to conclude that the use of specific surfactants can be advantageous in terms of selectivity. From the data depicted in Figs. 2C and 2D, it is evident that the HLB values of surfactants have no significant effect on the chlorophylls selectivity, up to HLB values around 14. Regarding the tested alcohols as pure solvents, ethanol is the solvent which provides the highest selectivity. A similar effect was observed by Hojnik et al. 7 in the extraction of chlorophylls from stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.). Nevertheless, and although some water-ethanol mixtures can result in higher extraction yields, the selectivity decreases when these mixtures are employed. In summary, the selectivity achieved with aqueous solutions of surfactants is higher when using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants, with the chlorophylls *a/b* ratio higher than 3 for most of the surfactants investigated, and reaching values up to 5 with an aqueous solution of Brij 98 (HLB 15.3). Based on the optimum HLB values to enhance the extraction yield and selectivity, which are between 10 and 13, C11-C13 9EO's was selected for the further optimization of the operational conditions of the extraction process, as discussed below.

Optimization of the operational conditions by RSM

The univariate methods carried out before for the optimization of the operational conditions do not consider the interaction between different factors and may not correspond to the

overall optimized process. With the aim of optimizing the extractive process of chlorophylls from spinach leaves and to identify the most significant conditions (surfactant concentration, spinach-solvent weight ratio and temperature), a RSM applying a 2^3 (3 factors and 2 levels) factorial planning was performed. This type of strategy allows the exploitation of the relationship between the response (amount of chlorophylls extracted) and the independent variables that may improve the extraction efficiency. The factorial planning was performed using aqueous solutions of C11-C13 9EO's, with a constant extraction time of 30 min. The effect of the extraction time was object of a preliminary study, using a 3.3 mM C11-C13 9EO's aqueous solution, with extractions carried out between 10 and 60 min – *cf.* the Supporting Information. The results obtained showed that the extraction time (in the range studied) has no major influence on the chlorophylls extraction yield and selectivity, where the extraction yield reached a maximum at 20 min followed by a plateau up to 60 min.

The results obtained according to the RSM applied with the combined effects of solid-liquid ratio and surfactant concentration, solid-liquid ratio and temperature, and surfactant concentration and temperature are depicted in Fig. 3. Variance analysis (ANOVA) was used to estimate the statistical significance of the variables and the interactions between them. The experimental conditions, the model equation, the experimental extraction yields of chlorophylls and respective calculated values, as well as the complete statistical analysis, are shown in the Supporting Information. No significant differences were observed between the experimental and calculated responses, supporting the good description of the experimental results by the statistical models. According to the statistical analysis shown in the Supporting Information and the data depicted in Fig. 3, it is shown that the three operational conditions in study are significant variables towards the chlorophylls extraction yield. An increase in the C11-C13 9EO's concentration, in the extraction temperature, and in the solvent volume, contribute to an increase in the amount of extracted chlorophylls.

The temperature of extraction and the concentration of surfactant have a positive effect on the response while the solid-liquid ratio has a negative effect (data shown in the Supporting Information). Low amounts of surfactants are vital to support the economic viability of the process while also taking into account the biocompatible nature of the aqueous solution. From our data it seems that the surfactant concentration is only relevant up to a given value, *i.e.* the increase of the surfactant concentration up to 12.4 mM has a positive effect on the extraction yield of chlorophylls followed by a plateau for higher surfactant concentrations. Similar patterns were observed by Hosseinzadeh et al.¹⁵ in the extraction of phenolic compounds from fruit extracts, where surfactant concentrations higher than 7 mM do not lead to changes in the extraction efficiency. The extraction temperature seems to have the same effect on the amount of extracted chlorophylls; after 41°C, there is no increase in the response result. On the other hand, lower solid-liquid ratios lead to higher amounts of extracted chlorophylls, with no plateau observed with this variable.

The maximum extraction yield of chlorophylls obtained was of 0.94 ± 0.03 mg/g, for an extraction time of 30 min, an extraction temperature of 41°C, a surfactant concentration of 12.4 mM and a solid-liquid ratio of 0.07. We also applied these conditions to extract chlorophylls with ethanol, obtaining 0.98 ± 0.01 mg/g, a value similar to that obtained with aqueous solutions of surfactants. Values of 1.04 mg/g of chlorophylls extracted from dried

spinach leaves were reported with ethanol-water mixtures (ethanol at 93%), at a temperature of 43 °C, and with an extraction time of 258 min.³⁶ In summary, our data support the idea that aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants, at concentrations around 12.4 mM allow high extraction yields of chlorophylls, with potential economic and energy-saving advantages.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to investigate the morphology of spinach leaves, before and after the extraction procedure. Details on the experimental procedure are given in the Supporting Information. The SEM images of spinach leaves before and after the extraction carried out with water, an aqueous solution of C11-C13 9EO's, and ethanol, are shown in Fig. 4. The sample that was in contact with pure water seems to be less affected than the ones treated with ethanol and aqueous solutions of surfactant. In addition to the improved solubility of chlorophylls in organic solvents and in aqueous solutions of surface-active compounds, this change in the biomass structure, which permits a better access of the solvent to the valuable ingredients embedded in the biopolymer matrix, may also be responsible for the improved extraction of chlorophylls achieved with aqueous solutions of C11-C13 9EO's.

Cloud point concentration of chlorophylls

After demonstrating that aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants are good solvents to extract chlorophylls from biomass, we investigated their concentration while envisaging their application in nutraceutical and cosmetic products. This step is relevant to decrease the large amount of water present. Aqueous solutions of the studied non-ionic surfactants display lower critical solution temperatures (LCST) type phase diagrams, associated to the well-known cloud point formation of these systems resulting in the formation of two phases upon an increase in temperature, allowing therefore the concentration of the chlorophylls-rich extract. This can be seen as an additional advantage when compared to extractions carried out with volatile organic solvents which require the evaporation of the organic solvent. The aqueous solution which led to a maximum extraction yield of chlorophylls, namely 0.98 ± 0.01 mg/g, corresponding to an extraction time of 30 min, an extraction temperature of 41°C, a surfactant (C11-C13 9EO's) concentration of 12.4 mM and a solid-liquid ratio of 0.07, was placed for 1h at 65°C, leading to the formation of a small surfactant-rich phase enriched in chlorophylls and a large water-rich phase (depleted in surfactant and chlorophylls) - Fig. 5. With this approach we were able to concentrate chlorophylls by a factor of 9 and to achieve a recovery of 97 %.

Antioxidant activity of the surfactant-chlorophylls extracts

Since we used aqueous solutions of biocompatible surfactants currently used as food supplements and in cosmetic formulations^{23, 31} to extract chlorophylls, we evaluated the antioxidant activity of the surfactant-chlorophylls extracts to appraise the possibility of using these extracts directly without the need of an additional isolation/recovery step. The antioxidant activity of the initial C11-C13 9EO's aqueous solutions containing chlorophylls, of the chlorophylls extracts obtained after the concentration step, and of the extracts obtained with organic solvents, was determined using the DPPH radical scavenging assay, with ascorbic acid as reference. The antioxidant activity of the aqueous surfactant solution

was also determined as a control. The results obtained are depicted in Fig. 6. Detailed data are given in the Supporting Information. The extracts obtained with ethanol and acetone show IC_{50} values in the same range (1.56 ± 0.04 and 1.68 ± 0.08 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ at 1.5 h, respectively), while the extract with the aqueous surfactant solutions displays lower IC_{50} values (1.20 ± 0.11 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ at 1.5 h) and are not influenced by the presence of the surfactant (as confirmed by the null IC_{50} value obtained with the aqueous solution of surfactant used as control). After the concentration of the extract, the IC_{50} value slightly increases (1.33 ± 0.10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ at 1.5 h), but it is still lower than those obtained with organic solvents.

In general, all chlorophylls-rich extracts display a higher antioxidant capacity than ascorbic acid (Fig. 6). Hunter et al.³⁷ showed that pure chlorophylls present a high antioxidant activity (chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* as 2.11 and 1.69 ascorbic acid equivalents). The obtained extracts are highly rich in chlorophylls (with a purity level around 80%, as determined by HPLC-DAD; data and experimental procedure given in the Supporting Information), thus supporting their high antioxidant activity. However, it should be kept in mind that other compounds commonly found in spinach leaves can be simultaneously extracted, also contributing to the higher antioxidant activity observed. According to Ligor et al.³⁸ a high content of polyphenol acids and flavonoids in spinach leaves may be responsible for the high antioxidant activity of the respective extracts. In summary, the gathered results support the possibility of using directly the surfactant-rich phase containing chlorophylls in nutraceutical and cosmetic applications, instead of carrying additional recovery or purification steps.

Fig. 7 summarizes the developed extraction-concentration process of chlorophylls using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants, while envisaging the application of this process to recover natural chlorophylls that can be used (at a lower cost) in food, nutraceutical, cosmetic and pharmaceutical applications. It should be highlighted that after the extraction of chlorophylls, which has shown to be successful by non-ionic surfactant aqueous solutions, the remaining biomass can be further used in other applications within an integrated biorefinery approach.

Conclusions

Chlorophylls and their derivatives have been extensively investigated for food, nutraceutical, cosmetic and pharmaceutical/medicinal applications. However, and although their natural abundance, typical methods for chlorophylls extraction require the use of volatile organic solvents. Aiming at developing a cost-effective and more sustainable approach for the extraction of chlorophylls from biomass, in this work we investigated aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants as alternative solvents. According to preliminary experiments where several surfactants were screened, it was found that ethoxylated alcohols, namely C11-C13 9EO's, or mixtures of surfactants with HLB values between 10 and 14, lead to the higher chlorophylls extraction yields. RSMs were then applied, revealing that the surfactant concentration, the solid-liquid ratio and the extraction temperature play a significant role towards the extraction yield, with a maximum value of extracted chlorophylls of 0.98 mg/g. This value was obtained using an aqueous solution at 12.4 mM of C11-C13 9EO's, a solid-liquid ratio of 0.007, a temperature of 41°C, and 30 min of extraction. The concentration of

the chlorophylls extract in a surfactant-rich phase was then achieved by an increase in temperature (concentration factor of 9 and recovery of 97 %). Finally, it was found that the chlorophylls-rich extracts in the aqueous surfactant solutions display a higher antioxidant activity than those obtained with volatile organic solvents. The gathered results support the idea that aqueous solutions of surfactants containing chlorophylls may have the potential to be safely and directly used in cosmetic and nutraceutical applications.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment


This work is financed by FEDER through Programa Operacional Fatores de Competitividade – COMPETE and national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, within CICECO project - FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-037271 (Ref^a. FCT PEst-C/CTM/LA0011/2013) and projects EXPL/QEQ-PRS/0224/2013 and SAICTPAC/0040/2015. A. M. Ferreira and I. Khan acknowledge FCT for the PhD SFRH/BD/92200/2013 and postdoctoral SFRH/BPD/76850/2011 grants, respectively. M. G. Freire acknowledges the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 337753.

References

1. Huang SC, Hung CF, Wu WB, Chen BH. Determination of chlorophylls and their derivatives in *Gynostemma pentaphyllum* Makino by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2008; 48(1):105–112. [PubMed: 18573631]
2. Makarska-Bialokoz M, Kaczor AA. Computational Analysis of Chlorophyll Structure and UV-Vis Spectra: A Student Research Project on the Spectroscopy of Natural Complexes. *Spectrosc Lett.* 2013; 47(2):147–152.
3. Cubas C, Gloria Lobo M, González M. Optimization of the extraction of chlorophylls in green beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by N,N-dimethylformamide using response surface methodology. *J Food Comp Anal.* 2008; 21(2):125–133.
4. Hosikian A, Lim S, Halim R, Danquah MK. Chlorophyll Extraction from Microalgae: A Review on the Process Engineering Aspects. *Int J Chem Eng Appl.* 2010; 2010
5. Ryan AA, Senge MO. How green is green chemistry? Chlorophylls as a bioresource from biorefineries and their commercial potential in medicine and photovoltaics. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 2015; 14(4):638–660. [PubMed: 25683614]
6. Weibao K, Na L, Ji Z, Qi Y, Shaofeng H, Hao S, Chungu X. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction parameters of chlorophyll from *Chlorella vulgaris* residue after lipid separation using response surface methodology. *J Food Sci Technol.* 2014; 51(9):2006–2013. [PubMed: 25190857]
7. Hojnik M, Škerget M, Knez Ž. Isolation of chlorophylls from stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.). *Sep Purif Technol.* 2007; 57(1):37–46.
8. Hsu C-Y, Chao P-Y, Hu S-P, Yang C-M. The Antioxidant and Free Radical Scavenging Activities of Chlorophylls and Pheophytins. *Food Nutr Sci.* 2013; 4:1–8.
9. Damerum A, Selmes SL, Biggi GF, Clarkson GJ, Rothwell SD, Truco MJ, Micheltmore RW, Hancock RD, Shellcock C, Chapman MA, Taylor G. Elucidating the genetic basis of antioxidant status in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). *Hortic Res.* 2015; 2
10. Pasquale Luca D, Lucia C, Pinalysa C, Paola F, Angela A. Photophysical and electrochemical properties of chlorophyll a-cyclodextrins complexes. *Bioelectrochemistry.* 2004; 63(1–2):117–120. [PubMed: 15110260]
11. Green BR, Pichersky E, Kloppstech K. Chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins: an extended family. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 1991; 16(5):181–186. [PubMed: 1882419]

12. Ressmann AK, Gaertner P, Bica K. From plant to drug: ionic liquids for the reactive dissolution of biomass. *Green Chem.* 2011; 13(6):1442–1447.
13. Gilbert-Lopez B, Mendiola JA, Fontecha J, van den Broek LAM, Sijtsma L, Cifuentes A, Herrero M, Ibanez E. Downstream processing of *Isochrysis galbana*: a step towards microalgal biorefinery. *Green Chem.* 2015; 17(9):4599–4609.
14. Ballschmiter K, Cotton TM, Strain HH, Katz JJ. Chlorophyll-water interactions Hydration, dehydration and hydrates of chlorophyll. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1969; 180(2):347–359. [PubMed: 5795474]
15. Hosseinzadeh R, Khorsandi K, Hemmaty S. Study of the Effect of Surfactants on Extraction and Determination of Polyphenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Capacity of Fruits Extracts. *PLoS ONE.* 2013; 8(3):e57353. [PubMed: 23472082]
16. Sintra TE, Ventura SPM, Coutinho JAP. Superactivity induced by micellar systems as the key for boosting the yield of enzymatic reactions. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic.* 2014; 107:140–151.
17. Umesh Hebbar H, Sumana B, Raghavarao KSMS. Use of reverse micellar systems for the extraction and purification of bromelain from pineapple wastes. *B Bioresour Technol.* 2008; 99(11):4896–4902.
18. Dhamole PB, Wang Z, Liu Y, Wang B, Feng H. Extractive fermentation with non-ionic surfactants to enhance butanol production. *Biomass Bioenergy.* 2012; 40:112–119.
19. Phasukarrachai N, Tontayakom V, Tongcumpou C. Reduction of phorbol esters in *Jatropha curcas* L. pressed meal by surfactant solutions extraction. *Biomass Bioenergy.* 2012; 45:48–56.
20. Gallou F, Isley NA, Ganic A, Onken U, Parmentier M. Surfactant technology applied toward an active pharmaceutical ingredient: more than a simple green chemistry advance. *Green Chem.* 2016; 18(1):14–19.
21. Stalikas CD. Micelle-mediated extraction as a tool for separation and preconcentration in metal analysis. *Trends Analyt Chem.* 2002; 21(5):343–355.
22. Paleologos EK, Giokas DL, Karayannis MI. Micelle-mediated separation and cloud-point extraction. *Trends Analyt Chem.* 2005; 24(5):426–436.
23. Tadros TF. *Applied Surfactants: Principles and Applications.* Wiley VCH; New York: 2005.
24. Jiao J. Polyoxyethylated nonionic surfactants and their applications in topical ocular drug delivery. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2008; 60(15):1663–1673. [PubMed: 18845195]
25. Hu J, Johnston KP, Williams RO. Nanoparticle Engineering Processes for Enhancing the Dissolution Rates of Poorly Water Soluble Drugs. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm.* 2004; 30(3):233–245. [PubMed: 15109023]
26. Gortzi O, Lalas S, Chatzilazarou A, Katsoyannos E, Papaconstantinou S, Dourtoglou E. Recovery of Natural Antioxidants from Olive Mill Wastewater Using Genapol-X080. *J Am Oil Chem Soc.* 2008; 85(2):133–140.
27. Shi Z, Wang Y, Zhang H. Combination of Microwave Assisted Micellar Extraction and Liquid Chromatography for Determination of Cryptotanshinone, Tanshinone I, and Tanshinone IIA in *Salvia Miltiorrhiza Bunge*. *J Liq Chromatogr Relat Technol.* 2009; 32(5):698–711.
28. Jeon K-Y, Kim J-H. Optimization of micellar extraction for the pre-purification of paclitaxel from *Taxus chinensis*. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng.* 2007; 12(4):354–358.
29. Gross J. *Pigments in vegetables: chlorophylls and carotenoids.* Van Nostrand Reinhold; New York: 1991. 351
30. Gupta S, Moulik SP. Biocompatible Microemulsions and Their Prospective Uses in Drug Delivery. *J Pharm Sci.* 2008; 97(1):22–45. [PubMed: 17887122]
31. Goff HD. Colloidal aspects of ice cream—A review. *Int Dairy J.* 1997; 7(6–7):363–373.
32. Gupta S. Biocompatible microemulsion systems for drug encapsulation and delivery. *Curr Sci.* 2011; 101(2):174–188.
33. Pasquali RC, Taurozzi MP, Bregni C. Some considerations about the hydrophilic–lipophilic balance system. *Int J Pharm.* 2008; 356(1–2):44–51. [PubMed: 18258397]
34. Alam MN, Bristi NJ, Rafiquzzaman M. Review on in vivo and in vitro methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. *Saudi Pharm J.* 2013; 21(2):143–152. [PubMed: 24936134]

35. Huang D, Ou B, Prior RL. The Chemistry behind Antioxidant Capacity Assays. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2005; 53(6):1841–1856. [PubMed: 15769103]
36. Derrien M, Badr A, Gosselin A, Desjardins Y, Angers P. Optimization of a green process for the extraction of lutein and chlorophyll from spinach by-products using response surface methodology (RSM). *Food Sci Technol.* 2017; 79:170–177.
37. Hunter KJ, Fletcher JM. The antioxidant activity and composition of fresh, frozen, jarred and canned vegetables. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol.* 2002; 3(4):399–406.
38. Ligor M, Trziszka T, Buszewski B. Study of Antioxidant Activity of Biologically Active Compounds Isolated from Green Vegetables by Coupled Analytical Techniques. *Food Anal Method.* 2013; 6(2):630–636.






Figure 1.
Chemical structure of chlorophylls *a* and *b*.




Figure 2.

Extraction yield of chlorophyll *a* (■) and chlorophyll *b* (□) from spinach leaves using (A) several surfactants aqueous solutions (surfactant concentration=3.3 mM; $R=0.02$; $t=30$ min; $T=25$ °C) and water; and (B) organic solvents ($R=0.02$; $t=30$ min; $T=25$ °C) and ratio of chlorophyll *a/b* (◆). (C) Relationship between the HLB values of surfactants and the total extraction yield of chlorophylls from spinach leaves. (D) Extraction yield of chlorophyll *a* (■) and chlorophyll *b* (□) from spinach leaves using mixtures of Brij 30 and Brij 98 with different HLB values ($R=0.02$; $t=30$ min; $T=25$ °C) and ratio of chlorophyll *a/b* (◆).




Figure 3.

Response surfaces corresponding to the chlorophylls extraction yields with the following combined parameters: **(A)** solid-liquid ratio and surfactant concentration; **(B)** solid-liquid ratio and temperature; and **(C)** surfactant concentration and temperature.




Figure 4. SEM images of the original spinach leaves, and of spinach leaves after the extraction with water, with an aqueous solution of C11-C13 9EO's at 12.4 mM and with ethanol.




Figure 5.
Scheme of the process used to concentrate chlorophylls.




Figure 6. IC₅₀ values (µg/mL) after 0.5 (■), 1.5 (■) and 2h (■) of exposure to DPPH.




Figure 7. Scheme of the developed extraction-concentration process for chlorophylls from spinach leaves using aqueous solutions of non-ionic surfactants.