

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *J Org Chem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 16.

Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2016 September 16; 81(18): 8585–8594. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.6b01923.

## Direct C-C Coupling of Ethylene and α-Olefins with Diols, Ketols or Hydroxy Esters *via* Osmium(0) Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation

Boyoung Y. Park<sup>†</sup>, Tom Luong<sup>†</sup>, Hiroki Sato, and Michael J. Krische<sup>\*</sup>

University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry, Austin, TX 78712, USA

## Abstract

Osmium(0) complexes derived from  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  and XPhos (2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4',6'triisopropylbiphenyl) catalyze the C-C coupling of a-hydroxy esters 1a-1i, a-ketols 1j-10 or 1,2diols *dihydro*-1j-10 with ethylene 2a to form ethylated tertiary alcohols 3a-30. As illustrated in couplings of 1-octene 2b with vicinally dioxygenated reactants 1a, 1b, 1i, 1j, 1k, 1m, higher aolefins are converted to adducts 4a, 4b, 4i, 4j, 4k, 4m with complete levels of branched regioselectivity. Oxidation level independent C-C coupling is demonstrated by the reaction of 1octene 2b with diol dihydro-1k, a-ketol 1k and dione dehydro-1k. Functionalized olefins 2c-2f react with ethyl mandelate **1a** to furnish adducts **5a-8a** as single regioisomers. The collective data, including deuterium labeling studies, are consistent with a catalytic mechanism involving olefindione oxidative coupling to form an oxa-osmacyclopentane, which upon reductive cleavage via hydrogen transfer from the secondary alcohol reactant releases the product of carbinol Calkylation with regeneration of the ketone. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data of the dinuclear complex Os<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CR)<sub>2</sub>(XPhos)<sub>2</sub> and the trinuclear complex Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>11</sub>(XPhos) are reported. These studies suggest increased  $\pi$ -backbonding at the stage of the metal-olefin  $\pi$ -complex plays a critical role in facilitating alkene-carbonyl oxidative coupling, as isostructural ruthenium(0) complexes, which are weaker  $\pi$ -donors, do not catalyze the transformations reported herein.

## **Graphical Abstract**



Corresponding Authors: mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Author Contributions.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting Information. Spectroscopic data for all new compounds (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR, HRMS), including images of NMR spectra. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for a derivative of **4b** and the osmium complexes Os<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CR)<sub>2</sub>(XPhos)<sub>2</sub> and Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>11</sub>(XPhos). This material is available free of charge *via* the internet at http://pubs.acs.org

## Introduction

a-Olefins are the most abundant petrochemical feedstock beyond alkanes.<sup>1</sup> Despite their ubiquity and low cost, the use of  $\alpha$ -olefins in the commercial manufacture of commodity chemicals is largely restricted to polymerization,<sup>2</sup> hydroformylation<sup>3</sup> and alkene metathesis.<sup>4</sup> The discovery of alternate classes of byproduct-free catalytic C-C couplings that convert  $\alpha$ -olefins to value-added products remains an important yet elusive goal. For example, while intermolecular alkene hydroacylation is attractive, decarbonylation of acylmetal intermediates to form inactive metal carbonyl complexes mandates use of esoteric reactants with chelating groups.<sup>5,6</sup> Similarly, intermolecular Prins or carbonyl ene reactions do not extend to the coupling of  $\alpha$ -olefins with unactivated aldehydes.<sup>7a-c</sup> Finally, whereas nickel(0) catalyzes the coupling of  $\alpha$ -olefins with simple aldehydes, superstoichiometric quantities of TESOTf and Et<sub>3</sub>N are required.<sup>7d</sup>

In connection with the development of C-C bond forming hydrogenations and transfer hydrogenations beyond hydroformylation,<sup>8</sup> we recently found that zerovalent ruthenium complexes generated in situ from Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and various phosphine ligands catalyze the C-C coupling of vicinally dioxygenated hydrocarbons (1,2-diols, a-ketols, a-hydroxy esters) with diverse  $\pi$ -unsaturated reactants, including dienes, <sup>9a-d</sup> acrylates<sup>9e</sup> and alkynes. <sup>9g,h,j</sup> As in related ruthenium(0) catalyzed Pauson-Khand reactions of vicinal dicarbonyl compounds described by Chatani and Murai,<sup>10</sup> these processes are initiated through C=C/C=O oxidative coupling to furnish oxaruthenacycles. Catalytic turnover is achieved via transfer hydrogenolysis of the metalacycle by the alcohol reactant to release product and regenerate the carbonyl partner (Figure 1, top). Based on this mechanism, a ruthenium(0) catalyzed coupling of a olefins was developed (Figure 1, middle).<sup>9f</sup> This process, however, was restricted to the use of 3-hydroxy-2-oxindoles, which may be attributed to the exceptional reactivity of the transient isatins. In continuing efforts to broaden the scope of transfer hydrogenative  $\alpha$ -olefin coupling, we now demonstrate that osmium(0) catalysts overcome this limitation, enabling the direct C-C coupling of ethylene<sup>11</sup> and higher  $\alpha$ -olefins with diverse diols, a-ketols and a-hydroxy esters (Figure 1, bottom).

## **Research Design and Methods**

The limitations evident in ruthenium(0) catalyzed C-C couplings of  $\alpha$ -olefins<sup>9f</sup> were believed to stem from a high energetic barrier to oxidative coupling. Guided by Hoffmann's theoretical analysis of the conversion of metal bisolefin complexes to metalacyclopentanes,<sup>12</sup> and a large body of experimental evidence,<sup>13a</sup> the facility of oxidative coupling should be influenced by the degree of backbonding in the preceding metal-olefin  $\pi$ -complex.<sup>14</sup> Backbonding confers nucleophilic character to the bound olefin and, in the limiting case, may be viewed as an oxidative addition to the C=C  $\pi$ -bond to form a metalacyclopropane. The Kulinkovich reaction,<sup>15</sup> wherein titanium(II)-olefin complexes behave as vicinal dianions, represents a dramatic illustration of this effect. Hence, it was posited that a more strongly reducing metal center should facilitate C=C/C=O oxidative coupling to broaden substrate scope in transfer hydrogenative C-C couplings of  $\alpha$ -olefins.

As borne out by the carbonyl stretching frequencies of isostructural ruthenium and osmium complexes HClM(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, M = Os,  $v_{co} = 1906 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; M = Ru,  $v_{co} = 1922 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , osmium is a stronger  $\pi$ -donor than ruthenium.<sup>16</sup> Indeed, osmium(0) catalysts are effective in couplings of activated secondary alcohols with vinyl acetates in cases where ruthenium(0) catalysts are not.<sup>9i</sup> For this reason, osmium(0) complexes were assayed in the coupling of racemic ethyl mandelate **1a** with ethylene **2a** with the goal of generating the ethylated tertiary alcohol **3a** (Scheme 1). It was found that monodentate or bidentate triaryl phosphine ligands were ineffective. However, the osmium(0) catalyst modified by PCy<sub>3</sub> (tricyclohexylphosphine) provided the desired adduct in 57% yield. Given this promising result, the osmium(0) complex modified by XPhos was eventually identified as the optimal catalyst, delivering the product of carbinol C-H ethylation **3a** in 78% yield. *Notably, under all conditions evaluated, the corresponding ruthenium(0) catalysts were unable to promote formation of adduct 3a.* 

Under these optimal conditions, aryl- and heteroaryl-substituted  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters **1a-1i** were coupled to ethylene **2a** to form products of carbinol C-H ethylation **3a-3i** (Table 1). As illustrated by the conversion of ethyl 4-bromomandelate **1b** to adduct **3b**, the osmium(0) catalyst is tolerant of aryl halide functional groups. The transformation of ethyl 4-methoxymandelate **1c** and ethyl 4-(trifluoromethyl)mandelate **1d** to adducts **3c** and **3d**, respectively, highlight tolerance of electron rich and as well as electron deficient aryl groups. Substituents at the *meta*-position of the aryl ring are tolerated, as shown in the formation of **3e** and **3f**, respectively. Finally, sulfur containing  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters **1g** and heteroaromatic  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters **1h** and **1i** groups are converted to adducts **3g**, **3h** and **3i**, respectively. *ortho*-Substituted mandelates and alkyl-substituted  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters such as ethyl lactate, were inefficient partners for C-C coupling under these conditions.

a-Hydroxy esters **1a-1i** react by way of transient α-ketoesters for which the vicinal dicarbonyl moieties are electronically differentiated. In corresponding reactions of non-symmetric α-ketols, the vicinal dicarbonyl intermediates are quite similar electronically, rendering the control of regioselectivity uncertain. In the event, application of optimal conditions to the coupling of ethylene **2a** with α-ketols **1j-1o** delivered the ethylated tertiary alcohols **3j-3o** in good to excellent yield (Table 2). Further, in the coupling of α-ketols **1j**, **1l-1n**, which proceed by way of nonsymmetric diones, the adducts **3j**, **3l-3n** form as single regioisomers. In addition to the influence of electronic effects on the regioselectivity of oxidative coupling as described by Hoffman<sup>12</sup> and in prior work from our laboratory,<sup>9e</sup> steric effects also play an important role. That is, oxidative coupling will occur such that the osmium center is placed distal to the site of greatest steric demand. α-Ketol **1n** is an exception due to the electronic effect associated with the mesomeric effect of the *ortho*oxygen atom.

The coupling of ethylene **2a** with  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters **1a-1i** or  $\alpha$ -ketols **1j-1o** to form adducts **3a-3o** are redox-neutral transformations. In contrast, the reaction of ethylene **2a** with 1,2-diols *dihydro*-**1j-1o** represent oxidative processes in which one equivalent of H<sub>2</sub> is evolved or transferred to an acceptor (Table 3). The feasibility of such an oxidative process finds precedent in the work of Shvo, who demonstrates that zero-valent ruthenium catalysts derived from Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> promote oxidative esterifications in which tolane (diphenyl

acetylene) serves as H<sub>2</sub>-acceptor,<sup>17</sup> as well as work from our laboratory on oxidative dioldiene [4+2] cycloadditions.<sup>9c</sup> Initial attempts at the coupling of ethylene **2a** with 1,2-diols *dihydro*-**1j**-**1o** using the osmium(0) catalyzed modified by XPhos led to only modest yields of adducts **3j**-**3o**. Given the ability of carboxylic acids to catalyze the hydrogenolysis<sup>13</sup> and transfer hydrogenolysis<sup>9e</sup> of oxametalacycles, these reactions were conducted in the presence of adamantane carboxylic acid (10 mol%). To our delight, the yields of adducts **3j**-**3o** improved considerably and, as observed in couplings conducted from the  $\alpha$ -ketol oxidative level, compounds **3j**, **3l**-**3n** were again generated as single regioisomers.

To evaluate the applicability of these conditions to higher α-olefins, the coupling of 1-octene **2b** with α-hydroxy esters **1a**, **1b** and **1i** and α-ketols **1j**, **1k** and **1m** was attempted (Table 4). Although corresponding reactions of ethylene **2a** proceed efficiently in the absence of a carboxylic acid cocatalyst, couplings of 1-octene **2b** required the presence of adamantane carboxylic acid (10 mol%) to increase conversion. Additionally, higher concentrations were beneficial, so the reactions were conducted neat. The α-hydroxy esters **1a**, **1b** and **1i** were converted to adducts **4a**, **4b** and **4i**, respectively, with complete levels of branched regioselectivity and good levels of diastereoselectivity. Relative stereochemistry for adducts **4a**, **4b** and **4i** was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of a derivative of **4b**. A stereochemical model is provided (Scheme 2). α-Ketols **1j**, **1k** and **1m** were converted to adducts **4j**, **4k** and **4m** in a completely regioselective fashion, but with diminished levels of diastereoselectivity.

The present transfer hydrogenative couplings of α-olefins can be conducted in oxidative, redox-neutral or reductive modes. While redox-neutral couplings are most efficient, oxidative and reductive transformations are preparatively useful. The following transformations illustrate this unique capability (eq. 1–3). In the oxidative coupling of 1-octene **2b** with diol *dihydro*-**1k**, wherein 1-octene serves as hydrogen acceptor, adduct **4k** forms in 70% yield (eq. 1). The redox-neutral coupling of 1-octene **2b** with α-ketol **1k** proceeds in 95% yield (eq. 2). Finally, using 1,4-butanediol as terminal reductant,<sup>18</sup> the reductive coupling of 1-octene **2b** with the 1,2-dione *dehydro*-**1k** proceeds in 68% yield (eq. 3). Such redox-economy allows one to bypass discrete manipulations otherwise required for the adjustment of oxidation level.<sup>19</sup>



(eq. 1)



(eq. 3)

To determine the scope of the alkene partner, the coupling of olefins 2a-2f with ethyl mandelate 1a was explored (Table 5). Beyond the previously described couplings of ethylene 2a and 1-octene 2b to form adducts 3a and 4a, respectively, allyl benzene 2c participates in C-C coupling to form tertiary alcohol 5a. For carboxy- and alkoxy-substituted alkenes 2d and 2e, the indicated regioisomers 6a and 7a are formed exclusively. Here, omission of XPhos and adamantane carboxylic acid is required to suppress metalacycle fragmentation *en route* to products of vinyl transfer (not shown).<sup>9i</sup> Finally, allyl acetate 2f participates in C-C coupling to form adduct 8a with complete levels of branched regioselectivity. This result is remarkable in view of the fact that ionization of allyl acetate 2f to form  $\pi$ -allyl species in the presence zero-valent osmium does not override the transfer hydrogenative C-C coupling pathway.

## Mechanism

With regard to the catalytic mechanism, a simple working model has been proposed as a basis for further refinement (Scheme 2). It is unclear whether the catalyst is mononuclear *versus* dimetallic or trimetallic. Upon heating toluene solutions of  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  with XPhos in the presence and absence of adamantane carboxylic acid (RCO<sub>2</sub>H), crystals of the dinuclear complex  $Os_2(CO)_4(O_2CR)_2(XPhos)_2$  and the trinuclear complex  $Os_3(CO)_{11}(XPhos)$ , respectively, were isolated and characterized by X-ray diffraction (Figure 2). Additionally, the reaction of  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  with 2-(dicyclohexylphosphino)-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)-imidazole, a monophosphine that is structurally related to XPhos, provides the trinuclear osmium complex,  $Os_3(CO)_{8L_2}$ .<sup>20b</sup> Alternatively, intervention of a mononuclear catalyst finds support in the reaction of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  with dppe, *bis*-(diphenylphosphino)ethane, to provide  $Ru(CO)_3(dppe)$ ,<sup>20a</sup> and the reaction of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ , 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid and dppp, *bis*-(diphenylphosphino)propane, to form the catalytically active mononuclear complex  $Ru(CO)(dppp)(O_2CR)_2$ .<sup>9e</sup> Oxidative coupling of the  $\alpha$ -oxoester, *dehydro*-1a, with 1-octene **2b** mediated by zero-valent osmium delivers the oxaosmacycle **L**.<sup>9,10</sup> Related ruthenium(0)-

mediated carbonyldiene oxidative couplings deliver isolable metalacycles that are catalytic active and have been shown to form in a reversible manner.<sup>9d</sup> The oxoester, *dehydro*-**1a**, required in the first turnover of the catalytic cycle may be generated *via* alcohol-olefin hydrogen transfer.<sup>17</sup> Direct protonation of oxaosmacycle **I** by ethyl mandelate **1a** to form the osmium alkoxide **III** requires a 4-centered transition structure and is postulated to be slow compared to protonation of oxaosmacycle **I** by 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid to form the osmium carboxylate **II**, which can proceed by way of a 6-centered transition structure.<sup>13</sup> Exchange of the carboxylate ligand with **1a** to form osmium alkoxide **III** also may proceed by way of a 6-centered transition structure.<sup>13</sup>  $\beta$ -Hydride elimination converts osmium alkoxide **III** to the osmium alkyl hydride complex **IV**, which upon C-H reductive elimination releases product **4a** and regenerates the osmium(0) catalyst. Beyond the aforesaid electronic effects,<sup>9e,12</sup> steric interactions between the *n*-hexyl side chain of 1-octene **2b** and the crowded osmium center contribute to branched regioselectivity.

To challenge the veracity of the proposed mechanism, the following isotopic labelling experiment was performed (eq. 4). The deuterated acenaphthylene ketol *deuterio*-**1k** was exposed to ethylene under standard conditions. The pattern of deuterium incorporation in the adduct *deuterio*-**3k** was established by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H NMR, as well as HRMS analysis. Deuterium incorporated occurs exclusively at the methyl group (22% <sup>2</sup>H). The transfer of deuterium from the carbinol position of *deuterio*-**1k** to the methyl group of *deuterio*-**3k** is consistent with the proposed mechanism (Scheme 2). The relatively low levels of deuterium incorporation may be attributed to exchange with adventitious water or with the hydroxylic proton *deuterio*-**1k**.<sup>21</sup>



(eq. 4)

## Conclusions

In summary, the ability to transform abundant hydrocarbon feedstocks to value-added products in the absence of stoichiometric byproducts is a characteristic shared by nearly all large volume chemical processes. Hence, the discovery and development of byproduct-free transformations applicable to ethylene and  $\alpha$ -olefins represents an important objective. Toward this end, we have shown that osmium(0) complexes derived from Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> and XPhos catalyze the transfer hydrogenative C-C coupling of ethylene and higher  $\alpha$ -olefins with diverse vicinally dioxygenated hydrocarbons. Coupling may be conducted in a redoxneutral mode using  $\alpha$ -ketols or  $\alpha$ -hydroxy esters as reactants, or in oxidative or reductive modes using 1,2-diols or 1,2-diones as reactants, respectively. The collective data suggest increased  $\pi$ -backbonding at the stage of the osmium(0)-olefin  $\pi$ -complex plays a critical role in facilitating alkene-carbonyl oxidative coupling, as does the use of transient vicinal dicarbonyl partners, which have relatively low-lying LUMO energies. A challenge

associated with the design of transfer hydrogenative coupling of  $\alpha$ -olefins with simple primary alcohols will reside in the identification of metal catalysts that are sufficiently electron rich so as to promote oxidative coupling, and whose low-valent forms are accessible through alcohol mediated reduction of the high-valent ions. Indeed, intermolecular catalytic reductive couplings of  $\alpha$ -olefins with unactivated carbonyl compounds remain an unmet challenge in chemical research.<sup>22</sup>

## **Experimental Section**

## **General Information**

All reactions were run under an atmosphere of argon. Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>, XPhos, 1adamantanecarboxylic acid, alkenes 2a-2f, a-hydroxy ester 1a, a-ketol 1o, diol dihydro-1o, and dione *dehydro*-1k were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. a-Hydroxy esters **1b-1i**<sup>23a</sup> were prepared in accordance with the literature procedure. a-Ketols 1j,<sup>23b</sup> 1k<sup>23c</sup> 1l,<sup>23b</sup> 1m,<sup>23b</sup> 1n<sup>23d</sup> and diols *dihydro*-1j,<sup>23e</sup> *dihydro*-1k,<sup>23f</sup> *dihydro*-1l,<sup>23b</sup>  $1m^{23g}$  and *dihydro*- $1n^{23g}$  were prepared using the cited literature procedures. Pressure tubes were flame dried followed by cooling in a desiccator. Toluene was dried over sodium metalbenzophenone and was distilled immediately prior to use. Anhydrous solvents were transferred by oven-dried syringes. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using 0.25 mm commercial silica gel plates. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) are reported as m/z (relative intensity). Accurate masses are reported for the molecular ion (M+H, M+Na) or a suitable fragment ion. <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded using a 400 MHz spectrometer. Coupling constants are reported in Hertz (Hz) for CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions, and chemical shifts are reported as parts per million (ppm) relative to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (7.26 ppm). <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded using a 100 MHz spectrometer for CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions, and chemical shifts are reported as parts per million (ppm) relative to residual CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (77.16 ppm).

#### **General Procedure A**

A resealable pressure tube  $(15 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 13 \text{ mL} \text{ or } 15 \times 125 \text{ mm}, 16 \text{ mL})$  was charged with  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  (5.5 mg, 0.006 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (17.1 mg, 0.036 mmol, 12 mol%) and the reactant alcohol (0.30 mmol, 100 mol%). The tube was sealed with a rubber septum and purged with ethylene. Toluene (0.15 mL, 2.0 M) was added and the rubber septum was quickly replaced with a screw cap. The reaction was allowed to stir at the indicated temperature for the stated period of time. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>) under the conditions noted to afford the indicated product.

#### General Procedure B

A resealable pressure tube  $(13 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 9 \text{ mL})$  was charged with  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  (3.7 mg, 0.004 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (11.4 mg, 0.024 mmol, 12 mol%), AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (3.6 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol%) and the reactant alcohol (0.20 mmol, 100 mol%). The tube was sealed with a rubber septum and purged with argon. 1-Octene (112.2 mg, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) was added *via* syringe and the rubber septum was quickly replaced with a screw cap. The

reaction was allowed to stir at the indicated temperature for the stated period of time. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography  $(SiO_2)$  under the conditions noted to afford the indicated product.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)acetate (1g)**—To a flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask charged with ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)acetate (1.1 g, 4.9 mmol), was added ethanol (25 mL, 0.2 M). NaBH<sub>4</sub> (200 mg, 5.3 mmol) was added portionwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at ambient temperature until the suspension became colorless. Distilled water was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir until bubbling stopped. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound (0.93g, 4.1 mmol) in 84% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz 1H), 4.22 (m, 2H), 3.42 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  173.6, 138.9, 135.2, 127.0, 126.5, 72.5, 62.3, 15.7, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-MS) Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 249.0556, Found: 249.0557; FTIR (neat): 3438, 2979, 1726; MP: 91 °C.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-phenylbutanoate (3a).**<sup>24a</sup>—In accordance with general procedure A, **1a** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (48.7 mg, 0.23 mmol) as a yellow oil in 78% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.62–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H), 4.32–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 0.4 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dqd, *J* = 14.4, 7.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  175.5, 142.0, 128.3, 127.7, 125.7, 78.7, 62.5, 32.8, 14.2, 8.2; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]+: 231.0992, Found: 231.0998; FTIR (neat): 3504, 2980, 1721.

**Ethyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-hydroxybutanoate (3b)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1b** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (63.7 mg, 0.22 mmol) as a yellow oil in 74% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.51–7.44 (m, 4H), 4.32–4.15 (m, 2H), 3.80 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 2.24–2.12 (m, 1H), 1.97 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 175.0, 141.0, 131.4, 127.7, 121.9, 78.4, 62.8, 32.9, 14.2, 8.1; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 309.0097, 311.0077, Found: 309.0104, 311.0085; FTIR (neat): 3499, 2980, 1723.

Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)butanoate (3c)—In accordance with Procedure A, 1c (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58

mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 50–100% dichloromethane/hexanes to 5% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (43.6 mg, 0.18 mmol) as a yellow oil in 61% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.54–7.48 (m, 2H), 6.90–6.85 (m, 2H), 4.31–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 1H), 2.26–2.15 (m, 1H), 1.99 (dq, *J* = 14.6, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  175.7, 159.1, 134.2, 126.9, 113.6, 78.4, 62.5, 55.4, 32.8, 14.3, 8.2; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>:

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butanoate (3d)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1d** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 1–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (63.8 mg, 0.23 mmol) as a yellow oil in 77% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.79–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.57 (m, 2H), 4.35–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 1H), 2.23 (dq, *J* = 14.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (dq, *J* = 14.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 174.8, 145.9, 130.0 (q, *J* = 32.0 Hz), 126.3, 125.2 (q, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 124.3 (q, *J* = 271.0 Hz), 78.6, 63.0, 33.1, 14.2, 8.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -62.6; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 299.0866, Found: 299.0871; FTIR (neat): 3510, 2985, 1726.

261.1097, Found: 261.1099; FTIR (neat): 3511, 2970, 1721.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butanoate (3e)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1e** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (63.0 mg, 0.23 mmol) as a yellow oil in 76% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.86–7.79 (m, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 7.7, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 7.8, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.19 (m, 2H), 3.90 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.01 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 174.9, 143.1, 130.7 (q, J = 32.0 Hz), 129.3, 128.8, 124.6 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 124.3 (q, J = 271.0 Hz), 122.9 (q, J = 4.0 Hz), 78.5, 63.0, 33.2, 14.2, 8.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -62.6; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 299.0866, Found: 299.0873; FTIR (neat): 3513, 2985, 1725.

**Ethyl 2-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-hydroxybutanoate (3f)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1f** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 290 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 3–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (30.8 mg, 0.12 mmol) as a colorless oil in 61% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (3.6 mg, 0.004 mmol, 2 mol %) and XPhos (11.4 mg, 0.024 mmol, 12 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.13–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.77 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.01–5.92 (m, 2H), 4.33–4.14 (m, H), 3.75 (s, 1H), 2.16 (dq, *J* = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (dq, *J* = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 175.5, 147.7, 147.1, 136.1, 119.1, 107.9, 106.7, 101.2, 78.5, 62.6, 32.9, 14.3, 8.1; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, [M +Na]<sup>+</sup>: 275.0890, Found: 275.0899; FTIR (neat): 3507, 2971, 1722.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)butanoate (3g)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1g** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (30.8 mg, 0.18 mmol) as a yellow oil in 61% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.57–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 2H), 4.37–4.10 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.25–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.04-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 175.2, 138.7, 137.8, 126.2, 126.1 78.3, 62.4, 32.6, 15.7, 14.1, 7.9; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 277.0869, Found: 277.0878; FTIR (neat): 3507, 2979, 1721.

**Ethyl 2-(furan-2-yl)-2-hydroxybutanoate (3h)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **1h** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 290 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 3–5% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (25.0 mg, 0.13 mmol) as a yellow oil in 64% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (s, 2H), 4.36–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 1H), 2.21–2.07 (m, 2H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  173.7, 154.5, 142.5, 110.4, 106.8, 75.5, 62.8, 29.8, 14.3, 7.7; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 221.0784, Found: 221.0790; FTIR (neat): 3511, 2970, 1728.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-(thiophen-2-yl)butanoate (3i)**—In accordance with Procedure A, **Ii** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 30–50% dichloromethane/hexanes) provided the title compound (45.0 mg, 0.22 mmol) as a colorless oil in 70% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.22 (dd, J = 5.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.21 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.06 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 174.5, 147.1, 127.1, 124.9, 124.1, 77.7, 62.9, 34.4, 14.2, 8.1; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 237.0556, Found: 237.0563; FTIR (neat): 3499, 2979, 1724.

**2-Ethyl-2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (3j)**—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, **1j** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (44.4 mg, 0.25 mmol) as a yellow oil in 84% yield. (**Using diol**) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-**1j** (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 390 mol%) in toluene (1.5 M) at 140 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (18.8 mg, 0.11 mmol) as a yellow oil in 71% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (2.7 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (8.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.77–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.61 (ddd, J= 7.5, 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 1H), 3.27 (d, J= 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, J= 17.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (s, 1H), 1.81–1.64 (m, 2H), 0.91 (t, J= 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  208.4, 151.7, 135.9,

134.4, 127.9, 126.7, 124.7, 80.3, 39.8, 31.6, 8.0; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for  $C_{11}H_{12}O_{2}$ , [M +Na]<sup>+</sup>: 199.0730, Found: 199.0736; FTIR (neat): 3413, 2967, 1709.

2-Ethyl-2-hydroxyacenaphthylen-1(2H)-one (3k)—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, 1k (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (40.1 mg, 0.18 mmol) as a yellow solid in 63% yield. (Using diol) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-1k (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 125$  mm pressure tube, 0.71 mmol, 480 mol%) in toluene (1.5 M) at 140 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (21.3 mg, 0.11 mmol) as a yellow solid in 70% yield. NOTE: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (2.7 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (8.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12 mol%) and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (2.7 mg, 0.015mmol, 10 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (dd, J=7.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, J=8.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.62 (m, 2H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 2.17–1.99 (m, 2H), 0.76 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): § 206.2, 141.8, 139.5, 132.1, 131.2, 130.8, 128.9, 128.4, 125.4, 122.0, 120.5, 80.9, 31.6, 8.2; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 235.0730, Found: 235.0737; FTIR (neat): 3369, 2970, 2931, 1716; MP: 92.7-93.1 °C

2-Ethyl-2-hydroxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (3l).<sup>24b</sup>—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, 11 (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15  $\times$ 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5-7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (47.4 mg, 0.25 mmol) as a brown oil in 83% yield. NOTE: AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (5.4 mg, 0.03mmol, 10 mol%). (Using diol) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-11 (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 125$  mm pressure tube, 0.71 mmol, 480 mol%) in toluene (1.5 M) at 140 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (20.3 mg, 0.11 mmol) as a brown oil in 71% yield. NOTE: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (2.7 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (8.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12 mol%) and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (2.7 mg, 0.015mmol, 10 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.01 (dd, J=7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (ddd, J=7.5, 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J=7.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 1H), 3.15–2.94 (m, 2H), 2.34 (ddd, J = 13.5, 5.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.60 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  202.1, 143.6, 134.1, 130.4, 129.1, 128.0, 127.0, 75.9, 33.7, 28.5, 26.6, 7.3; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 213.0886, Found: 213.0892; FTIR (neat): 3488, 2931, 1681.

**3-Ethyl-3-hydroxychroman-4-one (3m)**—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, **1m** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (49.6 mg, 0.26 mmol) as a yellow oil in 86% yield. (**Using diol**) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-**1m** (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 125$  mm pressure tube, 0.71 mmol, 480 mol%) in toluene (1.5 M) at 140 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column

chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (17.3 mg, 0.09 mmol) as a yellow oil in 60% yield. <u>NOTE</u>:  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  (2.7 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (8.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12 mol%) and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (2.7 mg, 0.015mmol, 10 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.89–7.85 (m, 1H), 7.51 (ddd, J= 8.4, 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J= 8.0, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J= 8.4, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J= 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J= 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (s, 1H), 1.80 (q, J= 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.94 (t, J= 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  196.9, 161.5, 136.7, 127.6, 121.9, 118.5, 118.0, 73.1, 72.9, 27.8, 7.0; HRMS (CI) Calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 215.0679, Found: 215.0686; FTIR (neat): 3466, 2973, 2936, 1691, 1607.

**3-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylchroman-4-one (3n)**—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, **1n** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (56.2 mg, 0.26 mmol) as a yellow oil in 85% yield. (Using diol) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-**1n** (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 390 mol%) in toluene (1.5 M) at 140 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (31.1 mg, 0.14 mmol) as a yellow oil in 94% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (2.7 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2 mol%), XPhos (8.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.78 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (ddd, J = 8.6, 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dt, J = 12.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 1H), 1.92–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 0.68 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  197.8, 159.3, 136.7, 126.9, 121.1, 118.7, 118.3, 84.6, 78.7, 25.3, 22.2, 20.4, 7.3; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 243.0992, Found: 243.0993; FTIR (neat): 3484, 2976, 1690.

**2-Ethyl-2-hydroxycyclohexan-1-one (30).**<sup>24c</sup>—(Using ketol) In accordance with Procedure A, **10** (0.3 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.58 mmol, 190 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–10% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (31.1 mg, 0.22 mmol) as a colorless oil in 73% yield. (Using diol) In accordance with Procedure A, H<sub>2</sub>-**10** (0.15 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene (15 × 125 mm pressure tube, 0.71 mmol, 480 mol%) in meistylene (2.0 M) at 150 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–10% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (10.7 mg, 0.15 mmol) as a colorless oil in 50% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (4.1 mg, 0.0045 mmol, 3 mol%), XPhos (13.2 mg, 0.027 mmol, 18 mol%) and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (4.1 mg, 0.023 mmol, 15 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.93 (s, 1H), 2.51–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.18 (ddd, *J*=13.1, 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.14–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.91 (dq, *J*=14.7, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84–1.54 (m, 5H), 0.90–0.75 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  214.6, 79.4, 40.7, 38.2, 30.2, 28.0, 22.9, 7.0; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 142.0994, Found: 142.0994; FTIR (neat): 3485, 2938, 1707.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-phenylnonanoate (4a)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1a** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography

(SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (31.1 mg, 0.22 mmol, *d.r.* = 5:1) as a colorless oil in 62% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 7.67–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 1H), 4.33–4.13 (m, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47–2.35 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.16 (m, 13H), 0.90 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 4.9 Hz, 3H), 0.68 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). (minor) 7.67–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 1H), 4.33–4.13 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, *J* = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47–2.35 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.16 (m, 13H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 176.0, 141.5, 128.1, 127.5, 126.1, 81.7, 62.5, 40.8, 31.9, 31.8, 29.5, 27.7, 22.8, 14.3, 14.2, 12.8. (minor) 175.9, 141.3, 128.1, 127.5, 126.2, 81.6, 62.6, 40.6, 31.9, 29.6, 29.3, 27.6, 22.7, 14.2, 14.1, 12.8; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 315.1931, Found: 315.1940; FTIR (neat): 3514, 2928, 2857, 1721.

**Ethyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-methylnonanoate (4b)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1b** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene (13 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 20–35% dichloromethane/hexanes) provided the title compound (45.4 mg, 0.12 mmol, *d.r.* = 4:1) as a colorless oil in 61% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 2H), 4.33–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.67 (s, 1H), 2.38–2.28 (m, 1H), 1.48–0.97 (m, 13H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.66 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). (minor) 7.54–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 2H), 4.33–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 1H), 2.38–2.28 (m, 1H), 1.48–0.97 (m, 13H), 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 175.5, 140.6, 131.3, 128.1, 121.7, 81.5, 62.8, 40.9, 31.9, 31.7, 29.5, 27.6, 22.8, 14.3, 14.2, 12.8. (minor) 175.4, 140.6, 131.3, 128.1, 121.7, 81.4, 62.9, 40.7, 31.9, 29.6, 29.3, 27.6, 22.7, 14.2, 14.1, 12.8; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub>, [M +Na]<sup>+</sup>: 393.1036, Found: 393.1043; FTIR (neat): 3507, 2927, 2856, 1723.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-(thiophen-2-yl)nonanoate (4i)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1i** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 20–40% dichloromethane/hexanes) provided the title compound (47.8 mg, 0.16 mmol, *d.r.* = 5:1) as a colorless oil in 80% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 7.21 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dd, J = 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38–4.19 (m, 2H), 3.95 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.20 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.05 (m, 14H), 0.91–0.83 (m, 2H), 0.81 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). (minor) 7.22 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.20 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.05 (m, 14H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.91–0.83 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 175.0, 146.9, 127.1, 124.8, 124.3, 81.0, 62.8, 42.6, 31.9, 31.6, 29.5, 27.6, 22.8, 14.22, 14.15, 12.8. (minor) 174.9, 146.7, 127.1, 124.9, 124.4, 80.9, 62.9, 42.5, 31.9, 29.6, 29.4, 27.7, 22.7, 14.2, 14.0, 12.8; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 321.1495, Found: 321.1502; FTIR (neat): 3502, 2929, 2857, 1725.

**2-Hydroxy-2-(octan-2-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (4j)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1j** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–3% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (44.3 mg, 0.17

mmol, *d.r.* = 1:1) as a colorless oil in 85% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (s, 1H), 1.93–1.72 (m, 15H), 1.48–0.99 (m, 9.5H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.67 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). (B) 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (s, 1H), 1.93–1.72 (m, 1.5 H), 1.48–0.99 (m, 12.5H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 209.1, 152.8, 135.8, 135.4, 127.8, 126.6, 124.5, 82.3, 40.6, 36.8, 32.0, 30.5, 29.6, 27.8, 22.8, 14.5, 13.5. (B) 209.0, 152.6, 135.8, 135.5, 127.8, 126.7, 124.5, 82.3, 40.6, 37.1, 31.9, 31.5, 29.4, 27.7, 22.7, 14.22, 14.16; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, [M +Na]<sup>+</sup>: 283.1669, Found: 283.1679; FTIR (neat): 3447, 2926, 1709.

2-Hydroxy-2-(octan-2-yl)acenaphthylen-1(2H)-one (4k)—(Using dihydro-1k) In accordance with Procedure B, dihydro-1k (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene  $(13 \times 100 \text{ mm} \text{ pressure tube}, 0.15 \text{ mL}, 1.0 \text{ mmol}, 500 \text{ mol}\%)$  at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5-7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (41.5 mg, 0.14 mmol, d.r. = 2:1) as a light green solid in 70% yield. (Using 1k) In accordance with Procedure B, 1k (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene (13 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (56.3 mg, 0.19 mmol, d.r. = 2:1) as a light green solid in 95% yield. (Using dehydro-1k) In accordance with Procedure B, dehydro-1k (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene  $(13 \times 100 \text{ mm} \text{ pressure tube}, 0.15 \text{ mL}, 1.0 \text{ mmol}, 500 \text{ mol}\%)$  at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO2: 5-7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (40.3 mg, 0.14 mmol, d.r. = 2:1) as a light green solid in 68% yield. NOTE: The reaction was conducted in the presence of 1,3-butane diol (36.0 mg, 0.4 mmol, 200 mol %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 8.11 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (ddd, J =4.0, 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.85 (m, 1H), 7.72 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.61 (m, 2H), 2.84 (d, J= 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.27–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.49–0.96 (m, 10H), 0.91–0.83 (m, 3H), 0.58 (t, J= 6.2 Hz, 3H). (minor) 8.11 (dd, J= 8.1, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (ddd, J= 4.0, 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.85 (m, 1H), 7.72 (ddd, J=8.1, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.61 (m, 2H), 2.84 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.27–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.49–0.96 (m, 13H), 0.79 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 207.2, 142.5, 138.4, 132.01, 131.99, 130.7, 128.7, 128.3, 125.4, 121.7, 121.6, 83.1, 41.6, 32.0, 30.2, 29.6, 27.9, 22.8, 14.4, 14.2. (minor) 207.2, 142.4, 138.7, 132.0, 131.9, 130.8, 128.7, 128.3, 125.4, 121.6, 121.4, 82.9, 41.4, 31.8, 31.3, 29.2, 27.5, 22.6, 14.1, 13.3; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 319.1669, Found: 319.1678; FTIR (neat): 3423, 2924, 1708; MP: 79.8-81.1 °C.

**3-Hydroxy-3-(octan-2-yl)chroman-4-one (4m)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1m** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with 1-octene ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.15 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–3% ether/hexanes) provided the title compound (34.8 mg, 0.13 mmol, *d.r.* = 1:1) as a pale yellow solid in 63% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 7.84 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.05 (ddd, *J* = 8.2, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 3.0, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (dd, *J* = 21.0, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 1.97–

1.87 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.64 (m, 0.5H), 1.49–0.94 (m, 12.5H), 0.88 (dd, J= 8.4, 5.0 Hz, 3H). (B) 7.84 (dd, J= 7.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.05 (ddd, J= 8.2, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (ddd, J= 8.4, 3.0, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (dd, J= 21.0, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.76–1.64 (m, 0.5H), 1.49– 0.94 (m, 9.5H), 0.80 (t, J= 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.74 (d, J= 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 209.1, 152.8, 135.8, 135.4, 127.8, 126.6, 124.5, 82.3, 40.6, 36.8, 32.0, 31.5, 29.6, 27.7, 22.8, 14.5, 14.2. (B) 209.1, 152.6, 135.8, 135.4, 127.8, 126.7, 124.5, 82.3, 40.6, 37.1, 31.9, 30.5, 29.4, 27.7, 22.7, 14.2, 13.5; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 299.1618, Found: 299.1623; FTIR (neat): 3453, 2927, 1684; MP: 67.8–68.0 °C.

Ethyl 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2,4-diphenylbutanoate (5a)—In accordance with Procedure B, **1a** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with **2c** ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.13 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 30-60% dichloromethane/hexanes) provided the title compound (34.6 mg, 0.11 mmol, d.r. = 5:1) as colorless oil in 58% yield. NOTE: AdCO<sub>2</sub>H (30 mol%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 7.71–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.26 (m, 5H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 4.33–4.15 (m, 2H), 3.84 (d, *J* = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.67 (m, 2H), 2.57 (dd, J=13.4, 10.5 Hz 1H), 1.33 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.62 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3H). (minor) 7.81–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J = 10.5, 4.9 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.18 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.33–4.15 (m, 2H), 3.87 (d, J=0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.67 (m, 1H), 2.50 (d, J=13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (dd, J=13.6 Hz, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (major) 175.8, 141.3, 141.0, 129.4, 128.4, 128.3, 127.6, 126.1, 126.0, 81.3, 62.8, 43.2, 38.6, 14.3, 12.7. (minor) 175.5, 141.4, 141.1, 129.2, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 126.2, 125.9, 81.2, 62.8, 43.4, 36.4, 14.2, 13.6; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 321.1461, Found: 321.1466; FTIR (neat): 3505, 2976, 2361, 2342, 1715.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-3-(pivaloyloxy)butanoate (6a)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1a** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with **2d** (13 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.09 mL, 0.6 mmol, 300 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 24 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–4% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (51.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, *d.r.* = 1.5:1) as a pale yellow oil in 84% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: XPhos and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H was omitted. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>\_): δ (major) 7.47–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.09 (q, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 4.25–4.06 (m, 2H), 1.53 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.17 (m, 3H), 1.11 (s, 9H). (minor) 7.47–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.84 (q, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 4.25– 4.06 (m, 2H), 1.44 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.17 (m, 3H), 1.21 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 178.3, 170.6, 136.4, 128.7, 128.6, 127.3, 95.5, 79.0, 61.5, 38.9, 27.0, 20.9, 14.2. (minor) 178.3, 170.1, 136.0, 129.0, 128.8, 127.5, 95.0, 79.3, 61.4, 39.0, 27.1, 20.8, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 331.1516, Found: 331.1520; FTIR (neat): 2979, 1789, 1174.

**Ethyl 2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-2-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)acetate (7a)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1a** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with **2e** ( $13 \times 100$  mm pressure tube, 0.05 mL, 0.6 mmol, 300 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 24 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 2–5% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (39.0 mg, 0.23 mmol, *d.r.* = 1:1) as a pale yellow oil in 78% yield. <u>NOTE</u>: XPhos

and AdCO<sub>2</sub>H was omitted. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 7.44 (ddd, J = 7.8, 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.39–7.27 (m, 3H), 5.36 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 4.25–4.06 (m, 2H), 4.01–3.94 (m, 1H), 3.89–3.83 (m, 1H), 2.19–1.80 (m, 4H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). (B) 7.44 (ddd, J = 7.8, 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.39–7.27 (m, 3H), 5.17 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.25–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.89–3.83 (m, 1H), 3.81–3.77 (m, 1H), 2.19–1.80 (m, 4H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (A) 171.4, 137.2, 128.5, 128.4, 127.2, 102.7, 75.8, 67.5, 61.3, 32.6, 23.3, 14.2. (B) 171.4, 136.6, 128.64, 128.56, 127.4, 103.2, 77.4, 67.7, 61.1, 32.5, 23.6, 14.2; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 273.1097, Found: 273.1108; FTIR (neat): 2981, 1747.

**Ethyl 4-acetoxy-2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-phenylbutanoate (8a)**—In accordance with Procedure B, **1a** (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with **2f** (13 × 100 mm pressure tube, 0.11 mL, 1.0 mmol, 500 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 140 °C for a 40 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–7% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (33.6 mg, 0.12 mmol, *d.r.* = 1.6:1) as a pale yellow oil in 60% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 7.62 (ddd, *J* = 3.4, 1.9, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (ddd, *J* = 11.8, 4.6 Hz, 3H), 4.33–4.15 (m, 3H), 4.07 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 1H), 2.96–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.70 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). (minor) 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 3.4, 1.9, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 3H), 4.33–4.20 (m, 2H), 3.90–3.81 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 1H), 2.96–2.86 (m, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (major) 175.3, 170.8, 140.8, 128.3, 127.8, 126.0, 78.7, 66.0, 62.7, 40.0, 21.0, 14.1, 11.6. (minor) 174.7, 171.1, 140.2, 128.4, 127.9, 125.9, 79.6, 65.5, 62.9, 40.1, 20.8, 14.2, 12.6; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 303.1203, Found: 303.1215; FTIR (neat): 3494, 2981, 1727, 1231.

#### 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-methylnonyl 4-bromobenzenesulfonate (4b

Derivative)—An ethereal solution (5 mL) of 4b (1.39 g, 3.7 mmol) was added dropwise to a 100 mL round-bottom flask charged with an ethereal (30 mL, 0.12 M) suspension of LAH (709 mg, 18.7 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction was removed from the ice-bath and was allowed to stir for a 1 hour period. Distilled water (1 mL) was added slowly. Distilled water (3 ml) and 15% NaOH aqueous (1 mL) were added to the reaction mixture. To the vigorously stirred solution was added portions of  $MgSO_4$  until the reaction mixture solidified. The reaction mixture was filtered through a fritted glass funnel with the aid of ether. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and was used in the next step without further purification. To the crude diol (1.16 g, 3.5 mmol) was added dichloromethane (30 ml, 1.1 M), 4-bromobenzenesulfonyl chloride (996 mg, 3.9 mmol), DMAP (42 mg, 0.35 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 mL, 7.1 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for a 1 hour period. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) and distilled water (10 ml) were added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 20)$ mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine  $(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude 4b derivative residue was subjected to column chromatography (SiO2: 20% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to give the title compound (1.7 g, 3.3 mmol) in 90% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.39. (d, *J* = 8.6, 2H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 1H), 1.86–1.76 (m, 1H),

1.55–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.37–1.05 (m, 8H), 0.91–0.80 (m, 4H), 0.74 (d, J= 6.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  140.3, 134.4, 132.6, 131.1, 129.2, 127.6, 74.7, 40.5, 31.8, 30.2, 29.4, 27.6, 22.6, 14.0, 13.8; HRMS (ESI-MS) Calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 568.9967, Found: 568.9983; FTIR (neat): 3610, 2927, 1727, 1577; MP: 98–100 °C.

2-hydroxyacenaphtylen-1(2H)-one-2-d (deuterio-1k)-To a flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask charged with 2H-spiro[acenaphthylene-1,2'-[1,3]dioxolan]-2-one (891 mg, 3.9 mmol) was added ethanol (20 ml, 0.2 M). NaBD<sub>4</sub> (180 mg, 4.3 mmol) was added portionwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 30 min. Distilled water was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir until bubbling stopped. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1  $\times$  50 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Without further purification the crude alcohol residue was added ethanol (20 mL) and 6.0 M HCl aqueous (15 ml). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for the stated time. Distilled water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine  $(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude solid was subjected to column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 15% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the title compound (0.69 g, 3.7 mmol) in 95% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.15 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.92. (dd, J = 8.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.66 (m, 3H), 3.12 (s, 1H); <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77 MHz, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): & 5.37 (s, 1D); HRMS (ESI-MS) Calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>7</sub>DO<sub>2</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 208.0479, Found: 208.0460; FTIR (neat): 3400, 3064, 1702; MP: 160-162 °C.

**2-(ethyl-1,2-d2)-2-hydroxyacenaphthylen-1(2H)-one** (*deuterio*-3k)—In accordance with Procedure A, *deuterio*-1k (0.2 mmol, 100 mol%) was reacted with ethylene ( $15 \times 125$  mm pressure tube, 0.82 mmol, 410 mol%) in toluene (2.0 M) at 130 °C for a 48 hour period. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>: 5–15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compound (18.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) in 42% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.64 (m, 3H), 2.72 (s, 1H), 2.19–2.01 (m, 2H), 0.78 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2.78H); <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77 MHz, CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.77 (s, 0.22H); HRMS (ESI-MS) Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>DO<sub>2</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 236.0792, Found: 236.0781; FTIR (neat): 3370, 2973, 2927, 1716; MP: 92–93 °C.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) and the Center for Green Chemistry and Catalysis for partial support of this research.

## References

- 1. For reviews, see: Alpha Olefins Applications Handbook. Lappin GR, Sauer JD. M. DekkerNew York1989
- For recent reviews, see: Brintzinger HH, Fischer D, Mülhaupt R, Rieger B, Waymouth RM. Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl. 1995; 34:1143.Mecking S. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2001; 40:534.Boehm LL. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2003; 42:5010.Eisch JJ. Organometallics. 2012; 31:4917.McInnis JP, Delferro M, Marks TJ. Acc Chem Res. 2014; 47:2545. [PubMed: 25075755] Klosin J, Fontaine PP, Figueroa R. Acc Chem Res. 2015; 48:2004. [PubMed: 26151395]
- For recent reviews, see: Beller M, Cornils B, Frohning CD, Kohlpaintner CW. J Mol Catal A. 1995; 104:17.Frohning CD, Kohlpaintner CW, Bohnen H-W. In Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds. Cornils B, Herrmann WA. Wiley-VCHWeinheim1996; 1:29– 104.Rhodium Catalyzed Hydroformylation; van Leeuwen PWNM, Claver C. Kluwer Academic PublishersNorwell, MA2000Breit B, Seiche W. Synthesis. 2001:1.Weissermel K, Arpe H-J. Industrial Organic Chemistry (4). Wiley-VCHWeinheim2003:127–144.Homogeneous Catalysis: Understanding the Art. van Leeuwen PWNM. Kluwer Academic PublishersDordrecht2004
- 4. For recent reviews, see: Grubbs RH. Tetrahedron. 2004; 60:7117.Chikkali S, Mecking S. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2012; 51:5802.Czaban J, Torborg C, Grela K. In Sustainable Catalysis. Hii KK, Williams MT, Dunn PJ, Krische MJ. John Wiley and SonsNew York2013:163–214.Popoff N, Mazoyer E, Pelletier J, Gauvin RM, Taoufik M. Chem Soc Rev. 2013; 42:9035. [PubMed: 23945666]
- 5. For a recent review, see: Leung JC, Krische MJ. Chem Sci. 2012; 3:2202.
- 6. For hydroacylation via catalytic reductive coupling of anhydrides with α-olefins or styrenes, see: Hong YT, Barchuk A, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2006; 128:6885.Bandar JS, Ascic E, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:5821. [PubMed: 27121395]
- For recent reviews, see: Koichi M, Masaki S. Chem Rev. 1992; 92:1021.Pastor IM, Yus M. Curr Org Chem. 2007; 11:925.Clarke ML, France MB. Tetrahedron. 2008; 64:9003.Ho CY, Schleicher KD, Chan CW, Jamison TF. Synlett. 2009:2565. [PubMed: 21904421]
- For a recent review, see: Ketcham JM, Shin I, Montgomery TP, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014; 53:9142.
- 9. (a) Leung JC, Geary LM, Chen TY, Zbieg JR, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:15700. [PubMed: 22985393] (b) Chen TY, Krische MJ. Org Lett. 2013; 15:2994. [PubMed: 23721207] (c) Geary LM, Glasspoole BW, Kim MM, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:3796. [PubMed: 23448269] (d) Park BY, Montgomery TP, Garza VJ, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:16320. [PubMed: 24156560] (e) McInturff EL, Mowat J, Waldeck AR, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:17230. [PubMed: 24187991] (f) Yamaguchi E, Mowat J, Luong T, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013; 52:8428.(g) McInturff EL, Nguyen KD, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013; 52:8428.(g) McInturff EL, Nguyen KD, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 25938947] (i) Park BY, Luong T, Sato H, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2015; 137:7652. [PubMed: 26066660] (j) Saxena A, Perez F, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2016; 55:1493.
- (a) Chatani N, Tobisu M, Asaumi T, Fukumoto Y, Murai S. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:7160.(b) Tobisu M, Chatani N, Asaumi T, Amako K, Ie Y, Fukumoto Y, Murai S. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:12663.
- For a recent review on metal catalyzed C-C couplings of ethylene, see: Saini V, Stokes BJ, Sigman MS. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013; 52:11206.
- 12. Stockis A, Hoffmann R. J Am Chem Soc. 1980; 102:2952.
- (a) Ngai MY, Barchuk A, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:280. [PubMed: 17212400] (b) Williams VM, Kong JR, Ko BJ, Mantri Y, Brodbelt JS, Baik MH, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:16054. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 19845357]
- 14. (a) Dewar MJS. Bull Soc Chim Fr. 1951:C71.(b) Chatt J, Duncanson LA. J Chem Soc. 1953:2939.
  (c) Dewar MJS, Ford GP. J Am Chem Soc. 1979; 101:783.
- Kulinkovich OG, Sviridov SV, Vasilevskii DA, Pritytskaya TS. Zh Org Khim. 1989; 25:2244.For a review, see: Breit B. J Prakt Chem. 2000; 342:211.

- Parshall, GW. Complexes of Ruthenium, Osmium, Rhodium, and Iridium Containing Hydride Carbonyl, or Nitrosyl Ligands. In: Ahmad, N.; Levison, JJ.; Robinson, SD.; Uttley, MF., editors. Inorganic Syntheses. Vol. 15. McGraw-Hill, Inc; 1974. p. 45-64.
- 17. Blum Y, Reshef D, Shvo Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981; 22:1541.
- 18. Maytum HC, Tavassoli B, Williams JMJ. Org Lett. 2007; 9:4387. [PubMed: 17854202]
- 19. For a review on redoxeconomy, see: Burns NZ, Baran PS, Hoffmann RW. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:2854.
- 20. (a) Sanchez-Delgado RA, Bradley JS, Wilkinson G. J Chem Soc Dalton Trans. 1976:399.(b) Wu L, Liu Q, Spannenberg A, Jackstell R, Beller M. Chem Commun. 2015; 51:3080.
- 21. Tse SKS, Xue P, Lin Z, Jia G. Adv Synth Catal. 2010; 352:1512.
- 22. For intramolecular reductive coupling of unactivated olefins with unactivated carbonyl partners, see: Kablaoui NM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1995; 117:6785.Crowe WE, Rachita MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 1995; 117:6787.Kablaoui NM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:3182.
- 23. (a) Ianni A, Waldvogel SR. Synthesis. 2006:2103.(b) Lunardi I, Cazetta T, Conceição GJA, Moran PJS, Rodrigues JAR. Adv Synth Catal. 2007; 349:925.(c) Merz A, Dietl F, Tomahogh R, Weber G, Sheldrick GM. Tetrahedron. 1984; 40:665.(d) Patonay T, Lévai A, Nemes C, Timár T, Tóth G, Adam W. J Org Chem. 1996; 61:5375.(e) Emmanuvel L, Mahammad T, Shaikh A, Sudalai A. Org Lett. 2005; 7:5071. [PubMed: 16235960] (f) Platt KL, Oesch F. Synthesis. 1982:459.(g) Wang ZM, Kakiuchi K, Sharpless KB. J Org Chem. 1994; 59:6895.
- 24. (a) Hatano M, Ito O, Suzuki S, Ishihara K. J Org Chem. 2010; 75:5008. [PubMed: 20560525] (b) Arai T, Takasugi H, Sato T, Noguchi H, Kanoh H, Kaneko K, Yanagisawa A. Chem Lett. 2005; 34:1590.(c) Yan J, Travis BR, Borhan B. J Org Chem. 2004; 69:9299. [PubMed: 15609971]





Prior Work: Ruthenium(0) Catalyzed C-C Coupling of Oxindoles with α-Olefins (ref. 9f)



This Work: Enhanced Scope via Osmium(0) Catalysis



## Figure 1.

General mechanism for catalytic C-C coupling of alcohols with  $\pi$ -unsaturated reactants *via* hydrogen auto-transfer and applications toward the coupling of  $\alpha$ -olefins.



## Figure 2.

Structures of  $Os_2(CO)_4(O_2CR)_2(XPhos)_2$  (top) and  $Os_3(CO)_{11}(XPhos)$  (bottom) determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction showing the atom labeling system.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Displacement ellipsoids are scaled to the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.



## Scheme 1.

Selected experiments in the coupling of ethyl mandelate 1a with ethylene 2a to form tertiary alcohol 3a.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.



## Scheme 2.

General mechanism as illustrated in the coupling of ethyl mandelate **1a** with 1-octene **2b** and stereochemical model.

Osmium(0) catalyzed coupling of a-hydroxy esters 1a-1i with ethylene 2a to form tertiary alcohols 3a-3i.<sup>a</sup>

	OH Ar 0 <b>1a-1i</b> (100 mol%)	H <sub>2</sub> C=CH <sub>2</sub> <b>2a</b> (1 atm)	Os <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> (2 mol%) XPhos (12 mol%) PhMe (2.0 M) 130 °C	) HO Me Ar OEt 3a-3i
	<b>1a</b> , Ar = Ph <b>1d</b> , Ar = 4-CF <sub>3</sub> -Ph <b>1g</b> , Ar = 4-MeS-Ph	1b, 1e, 1h,	, Ar = 4-Br-Ph Ar = 3-CF <sub>3</sub> -Ph , Ar = 2-furyl	1c, Ar = 4-MeO-Ph 1f, Ar = 5-(benzodioxole) 1i, Ar = 2-thienyl
_	HO OEt O	Br	HO Me OEt	HO Me OEt
	<b>3a</b> , 78% Yield	31	<b>o</b> , 74% Yield	<b>3c</b> , 61% Yield
F <sub>3</sub> C	HO Me OEt	F <sub>3</sub> C	HO OEt	HO OEt OEt
	<b>3d</b> , 77% Yield	30	e, 76% Yield	<b>3f</b> , 61% Yield <sup>b</sup>
MeS	HO OEt O	¢	HO OEt	HO OEt OEt
_	<b>3g</b> , 61% Yield	31	n, 64% Yield	<b>3i</b> , 70% Yield

 $^{a}$ Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

<sup>b</sup>140 °С.

Osmium(0) catalyzed coupling of a-ketols 1j-10 with ethylene 2a to form tertiary alcohols 3j-3o.<sup>a</sup>



 $^{a}$ Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

 ${}^{b}_{\rm C_{10}H_{15}CO_{2}H\,(10\,mol\%)}$ 

Osmium(0) catalyzed coupling of 1,2-diols dihydro-1j-10 with ethylene 2a to form tertiary alcohols 3j-3o.<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

<sup>b</sup>Reaction conducted in mesitylene at 150 °C using Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> (3 mol%), XPhos (18 mol%), C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (15 mol%).

Osmium(0) catalyzed coupling of 1a, 1b, 1i, 1j, 1k, 1m with 1-octene 2b to form 4a, 4b, 4i, 4j, 4k, 4m.<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

Osmium(0) catalyzed coupling of ethyl mandelate 1a with functionalized olefins 2a-2f.<sup>a</sup>

OH Ph 0Et 0 1a (100 mol%)	R <sup>1</sup> R <sup>2</sup> 2a-2f (500 mol%)	Os <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> (2 mol%) XPhos (12 mol%) C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H (10 mol <sup>6</sup> PhMe (2.0 M) 130-140 °C	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ HO \\ & & & \\ Ph \\ & & \\ O \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ OEt \\ O \end{array}$
<b>2a</b> , R <sup>1</sup> = R <sup>2</sup> = H <b>2d</b> , R <sup>1</sup> = H, R <sup>2</sup> = OF	<b>2b</b> , F Piv <b>2e</b> , d	R <sup>1</sup> = H, R <sup>2</sup> = C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> lihydrofuran	<b>2c</b> , $R^1 = H$ , $R^2 = CH_2Ph$ <b>2f</b> , $R^1 = H$ , $R^2 = CH_2OAc$
HO Me Ph OEt O <b>3a</b> , 78% Yield <sup>b</sup>	4a	$\begin{array}{c} Me \\ HO \\ Ph \\ O \\ C_6H_{13} \\ OEt \\ O \\ C \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	Me Ph HO OEt O 5a, 58% Yield <sup>d</sup> 5:1 dr
Me HO Ph OEt OEt 6a, 84% Yield <sup>b,e,f</sup> 1.5:1 dr	7a,	HO Ph OEt 78% Yield <sup>b,e,f</sup> 1:1 dr	Me OAc HO OEt Ph OEt 8a, 60% Yield 1.6:1 dr

<sup>a</sup>Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

<sup>b</sup>C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H was omitted.

c<sub>Neat.</sub>

 $^{d}$ C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (30 mol%)

<sup>е</sup>2d (300 mol%).

f XPhos was omitted.