

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Trends Parasitol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Trends Parasitol. 2012 August ; 28(8): 345-352. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2012.05.005.

Purine salvage in Leishmania: complex or simple by design?

Jan M. Boitz^{1,*}, Buddy Ullman¹, Armando Jardim², and Nicola S. Carter¹

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA

²Institute of Parasitology, McGill University, Ste Anne de Bellevue, QC H9X 3V9, Canada

Abstract

Purine nucleotides function in a variety of vital cellular and metabolic processes including energy production, cell signaling, synthesis of vitamin-derived cofactors and nucleic acids, and as determinants of cell fate. Unlike their mammalian and insect hosts, *Leishmania* cannot synthesize the purine ring *de novo* and are absolutely dependent upon them to meet their purine requirements. The obligatory nature of purine salvage in these parasites, therefore, offers an attractive paradigm for drug targeting, and consequently, the delineation of the pathway has been under scientific investigation for over 30 years. Here we review recent developments that reveal how purines flux in *Leishmania* and offer a potential 'Achilles' heel' for future validation.

The leishmanial purine salvage pathway revealed

The inability of *Leishmania* to synthesize the purine ring was established in 1978 by Marr, Berens and Nelson who demonstrated that this genus could not convert [¹⁴C]-formate, [¹⁴C]-glycine, or [¹⁴C]-serine purine ring precursors, into adenylate and guanylate nucleotides [1]. By contrast, the incorporation of [U-¹⁴C] glucose into purine nucleotides suggested both a capacity for purine salvage and the synthesis of phosphoribosylpyrophosphate (PRPP), a substrate for several key enzymes of purine salvage [1]. As a consequence of their absolute reliance on an external purine source, *Leishmania* have developed an extensive purine acquisition pathway that enables them to scavenge purines from their culture or host milieu, and the parasite is capable of incorporating virtually any naturally occurring purine nucleobase or nucleoside into its nucleotide pools [1–5].

The *Leishmania donovani* purine salvage pathway has been largely delineated using biochemical, molecular, and genetic tools over the past three decades [1–15]. Early metabolic flux experiments with radiolabeled purine precursors helped establish a nearly complete picture of the activities that comprise the purine salvage pathway (Figure 1). The genes for all of these purine salvage pathway components have now been identified using molecular genetics approaches or from the annotated leishmanial genomes [16–19].

^{© 2012} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author: Jan M. Boitz (zarellaj@ohsu.edu).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Purine salvage and interconversion in L. donovani

The L. donovani purine salvage activities include three phosphoribosyltransferases, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT), xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (XPRT), and adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT), that catalyze the phosphoribosylpyrophosphate (PRPP)-dependent phosphoribosylation of purine bases [1,5], adenosine kinase (AK) that phosphorylates adenosine [20,21], and a multiplicity of purine interconversion enzymes (Glossary) [1-3]. These purine salvage components are summarized in Figure 1. Leishmania express several enzymes that catalyze the breakdown of host nucleosides, nucleotides, and nucleic acids prior to entry into the parasite purine pools. At least four nucleoside hydrolase enzymes have been identified in Leishmania [3,22–25]. IUNH, an inosine-uridine nucleoside hydrolase, also designated as the nonspecific nucleoside hydrolase [23,24,26], cleaves inosine, uridine, cytidine, xanthosine, adenosine and guanosine to the corresponding base. L. donovani IUNH has been immunolocalized to specific foci inside the cell membrane, but this location has not been verified by other biochemical methods [23]. Of the other two nucleoside hydrolases annotated in the leishmanial genomes, one is specific for inosine and guanosine [22], and the other recognizes inosine, adenosine, and guanosine [25] (J. M. Boitz, et al., unpublished). A fourth nucleoside hydrolase activity that is specific for 2'-deoxyribonucleosides has also been detected in *L. donovani*, but its gene and protein have not been identified to date [22]. L. donovani also express two membrane-bound 3'-nucleotidases/nucleases that are located on the external cell surface of the parasite [27-29]. These 3'-nucleotidases/nucleases either generate free nucleosides via the hydrolysis of 3'-nucleotides or hydrolyze nucleic acids to 5'-nucleotides. The 5'-nucleotides are further metabolized by membrane-bound acid phosphatases to their respective nucleosides [30-32], which are subsequently translocated into the parasite by cell surface nucleoside transporters.

Purine transport in L. donovani

The first step in purine acquisition into the parasite involves the transport of purine nucleobases and nucleosides across the parasite plasma membrane. Free nucleosides and nucleobases that are scavenged from the host are translocated into the parasite by a variety of specialized transporters that have distinct specificities for particular ligands [33]. Four discrete transporters have been identified in L. donovani, and these have been designated LdNT1-4 for L. donovani Nucleoside or Nucleobase transporter [33,34]. LdNT1 is specific for adenosine and pyrimidine nucleosides [35], LdNT2 transports the 6-oxopurine nucleosides inosine, guanosine, and xanthosine [36–38], LdNT3 is a purine nucleobase transporter [39,40], and LdNT4, which is homologous to the L. major transporter LmaNT4 that preferentially transports purine nucleobases at acidic pH [39,41], is likely a purine nucleobase transporter. LdNT1-4 share approximately 30% identity and are topologically homologous to members of the mammalian Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter (ENT) family [33]. LdNT1-4 also display several conserved ENT signature residues that are located within the predicted transmembrane domains of these transporters [33]. However, despite their sequence and topological similarities with their ENT counterparts in higher eukaryotes, the LdNT1-4 are electrogenic symporters, coupling a proton for each molecule of ligand transported and creating a positive inward flux across the parasite plasma membrane [33].

Functional redundancy within the purine salvage pathway

Once transported, exogenous nucleosides and nucleobases are incorporated into the parasite adenylate and guanylate nucleotide pools via a complex and intertwined purine salvage pathway that contains several functional redundancies. For example, adenosine can either be directly phosphorylated by AK to form AMP or catabolized to adenine by at least one nucleoside hydrolase [3,22]. Adenine can be phosphoribosylated by APRT or deaminated to

hypoxanthine by adenine aminohydrolase (AAH) and subsequently phosphoribosylated by HGPRT or XPRT [2]. Inosine can be hydrolyzed by at least two nucleoside hydrolases to form hypoxanthine, which in turn is phosphoribosylated to inosine monophosphate (IMP) by HGPRT. Guanosine is cleaved to guanine, which can theoretically be phosphoribosylated by HGPRT or deaminated by guanine deaminase (GDA) to xanthine [2,12], which is converted to xanthine monophosphate (XMP) by XPRT. Xanthosine, which is probably not produced in great quantities by host cells, is catabolized to xanthine and salvaged through XPRT [2]. The intricacy of the purine pathway is further magnified by its compartmentalization between the cytosol and the glycosome, a unique metabolic organelle in these organisms [10,42–49]. The sequestration of cytosolic and glycosomal purine salvage pathway enzymes is discussed in Box 1.

Box 1

Compartmentalization of the purine salvage pathway

Leishmania, like all members of the kinetoplastid family, harbor fuel-metabolizing organelles called glycosomes [43,46,64]. Although glycosomes contain several archetypal peroxisomal functions, such as fatty acid β-oxidation and ether-lipid biosynthesis, they lack the hallmark H₂O₂ producing catalases that are a defining feature of peroxisomes [46]. In addition to housing the first seven steps of glycolysis, glycosomes also compartmentalize specific enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis, the pentose phosphate pathway, squalene and sterol synthesis, pyrimidine and polyamine biosynthesis, and purine salvage, although it should be noted that some glycosomal enzymes can also be found in the cytosol [46]. Most glycosomal matrix proteins contain a peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS) that directs their import into the glycosome. This may be either a C-terminal tripeptide PTS1 or a more divergent N-terminal nonapeptide PTS2. For those glycosomal matrix proteins that lack a PTS, a 'piggybacking' mechanism through interaction with other glycosome-destined proteins has been proposed [45]. Through bioinformatic searches for potential PTS motifs, as well as from direct experimental validation, it appears that HGPRT, XPRT, IMPDH, [47–49] GMPR (A. Jardim, et al. unpublished) and possibly GMPS are localized within the glycosome (Figure I). This glycosomal targeting is not essential for the function of certain enzymes, as deleting the PTS1 motifs from HGPRT, XPRT, IMPDH or GMPR, does not affect the ability of the truncated products to complement $\Delta hgprt$, $\Delta xprt$, $\Delta impdh$, [47,48,58], and $\Delta gmpr$ cells, respectively.

Although the reasons for this dual compartmentalization are unclear, it has been postulated that the 'tight quarters' of the glycosome may serve to increase metabolic flux, but this theory, at least for glucose metabolism, has not been supported by the mathematical models produced by Barbara Bakker and colleagues [46]. The bulk of purine nucleotide synthesis is likely to occur within the glycosomal matrix since both HGPRT and XPRT, which catalyze the vast majority of flux through the salvage pathway, reside there. Another intriguing, but as of yet unproven, thesis that has recently been put forth for compartmentalization within the glycosome is that this organelle provides these parasites with more metabolic flexibility and an ability to adapt quickly and efficiently to changes in their host environments [46].

The regulation of purine metabolism in the glycosome may perhaps be achieved through inherent nucleotide sensing mechanisms that are harbored within IMPDH and GMPR [17]. Multiple sequence alignments show that both of these enzymes accommodate a tandemly repeated 60 amino acid cystathionine β -synthase (CBS) regulatory domain, an element that binds ATP, AMP, and S-adenosylmethionine [17] and has been postulated to bind GMP and GTP [49]. Enzyme kinetic studies have revealed that IMPDH activity is

not affected by ATP but is dramatically reduced by elevated levels of GMP and GTP [49]. By contrast, *in vitro* experiments showed that the GMPR activity is accelerated by increased levels of GTP, which would promote the shunting of accumulating levels of GMP into the adenylate pool. Interestingly, the GMPR CBS domain is unique in that it binds both ATP and GTP, directly governing the catalytic response to the adenylate and guanylate levels in the cell (A. Jardim, *et al.*, unpublished). Given that IMPDH and GMPR are glycosomal enzymes, it is tempting to speculate that compartmentalization of these purine interconversion pathways may be a vital mechanism for controlling purine levels in *Leishmania*.

Genetic analyses reveal fluxes through the purine salvage pathway

The mere existence of a gene or its product cannot point to its functional relevance or its involvement within the purine salvage pathway. However, the evolution and advancement of genetic tools in *Leishmania*, such as targeted gene replacement [50,51], has enabled a systematic, and nearly complete, genetic dissection of this pathway in *L. donovani*. The generation of *Leishmania* mutants that are null for an assortment of enzymes in the purine salvage pathway has facilitated the delineation between functional and functionally redundant routes of purine acquisition and has pinpointed enzymes that might be essential for *L. donovani* survival. A selection of the *L. donovani* purine salvage or interconversion is listed in Table 2.

Mutational and gene targeting schemes in L. donovani have clearly established that none of the four known enzymes capable of converting host purine nucleobases or nucleosides to the nucleotide level, HGPRT, APRT, XPRT, or AK, is by itself essential and that parasites with a deficiency in any one of these enzymes can still effectively salvage most, if not all, purines, although the routes of purine metabolism are affected by these lesions [12,20]. The phenotypic characterization of the $\Delta hgprt$, $\Delta aprt$, and $\Delta xprt$ null mutants, in particular, has revealed some unusual and novel features about purine salvage in Leishmania, and most importantly, provided clear evidence for how purines are funneled through the pathway [12]. For example, although cell lysates prepared from $\Delta hgprt$ parasites cannot incorporate $[^{14}C]$ hypoxanthine into purine nucleotides over a short time course, intact Δ hgprt cells are still capable of incorporating [¹⁴C]guanine at rates similar to wild type levels and can proliferate indefinitely in guanine as a sole purine source [12]. This ability to salvage and grow in guanine can be explained by the presence of XPRT, which, in fact, plays a key but indirect role in guanine salvage by L. donovani. The significance of XPRT in guanine salvage is supported by the fact that $\Delta x prt L$. donovani are unable to grow in guanine, as well as xanthine and xanthosine, providing genetic proof that XPRT is perhaps the only physiologically important route by which these three purine nutrients are incorporated into the parasite nucleotide pools [12]. Even though kinetic studies have revealed that guanine is a high affinity substrate for L. donovani HGPRT [52], the fact that $\Delta x prt$ parasites fail to grow in guanine indicates that guanine is deaminated to xanthine, a dead end substrate in the $\Delta x prt$ background [12]. A robust guarantee deaminating activity has been described [1,2], and a gene encoding a presumptive GDA has been annotated in the genomes of all Leishmania species [16,17,19]. Thus, it appears that the majority of intracellular guanine is preferentially transformed to xanthine by GDA and elevated to the nucleotide level by XPRT.

Similarly, analysis of purine metabolism by $\Delta aprt L$. *donovani* demonstrates that this null mutant could efficiently incorporate [¹⁴C]adenine into purine nucleotides, supporting the existence of at least one additional route for adenine metabolism in the parasite [12]. The deamination of adenine to hypoxanthine via AAH has been documented [2,15,53], and this

route of adenine flux in the $\Delta aprt$ parasites was confirmed by the addition of 2'deoxycoformycin (dCF), a known inhibitor of AAH, to the culture medium [12]. The addition of dCF to $\Delta aprt$ parasites obliterated [¹⁴C]adenine incorporation into nucleotides by preventing adenine hydrolysis to hypoxanthine and subsequent phosphoribosylation of the 6-oxypurine by HGPRT. The ability of adenine to serve as the purine nutrient for $\Delta aprt$ parasites indicates that the conversion of adenine to hypoxanthine by AAH is sufficiently robust for maintenance of purine nucleotide pools and to sustain the continuous growth of the null parasites [12]. Recent experiments have demonstrated that purified, recombinant AAH, as well as live parasites, catalyzes the robust conversion of adenine to hypoxanthine [10] and its pivotal role in funneling salvaged purines through hypoxanthine to IMP. Although AAH is homologous to the mammalian adenosine deaminase enzyme, the L. donovani AAH does not recognize adenosine as a substrate. Despite its central role in adenine metabolism, AAH is not essential for parasite viability, since Δaah parasites are capable of utilizing the full spectrum of salvageable purine nucleobases and nucleosides, implying L. donovani can divert adenine and adenosine metabolism through APRT and possibly AK in the absence of AAH [10].

These genetic studies in promastigotes underscore the significance of both GDA and AAH in purine interconversion and salvage by *L. donovani* and indicate that the majority of intracellular guanine and adenine is metabolized to xanthine and hypoxanthine, respectively, and then converted to the nucleotide level by XPRT and/or HGPRT. These mutational analyses also imply that the formation of nucleotides from xanthine and hypoxanthine are the primary salvage routes utilized by *L. donovani*. A depiction of the purine salvage pathway showing the relative flux and presumptive fates of metabolites is shown in Figure 2.

Given the plethora of dominant selectable markers for *Leishmania* gene targeting [13,51,54– 56], as well as the capacity to manipulate the purine sources in the cell culture medium, it has been possible to introduce multiple mutations into the purine salvage pathway in many different combinations (Table 2). The ability to generate viable $\Delta hgprt/\Delta aprt/ak^{-}$ [9] and $\Delta x prt/\Delta a prt/\Delta k^{-}$ [11] L. donovani, each with mutations in three of the four salvage enzymes, revealed that the parasite can rely solely on XPRT or HGPRT, respectively, to meet all of its nutritional requirements and genetically validated that exogenous purines are funneled to hypoxanthine and/or xanthine by L. donovani prior to incorporation into the parasite nucleotide pools. Strikingly, however, our initial selection strategies did not enable selection of a combined $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ genotype [8,9,11]. The $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ mutant was, however, eventually isolated in the presence of adenine and dCF, which enabled mutant parasite survival and growth by inhibiting adenine deamination and thereby obliging adenine salvage through APRT. Growth of the $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ mutant was conditional upon the presence of dCF and either adenine or adenosine as the purine source, since no other purine supported the survival or growth of the double knockout either in the absence or presence of dCF [11]. Despite the conditional growth phenotype, sub-populations of very slow growing $\Delta hgprt$ $\Delta x prt$ cells were selected on plates containing inosine and hypoxanthine [57]. These subpopulations accommodated extrachromosomal amplifications of APRT and were, surprisingly, capable of converting hypoxanthine to IMP through APRT, although the K_m of APRT for hypoxanthine was three orders of magnitude greater than that for adenine [52,57]. The Δ aah cell line was also used as a background strain to generate a Δ aah/ Δ hgprt/ Δ xprt triple knockout, which unlike the $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ mutant, is not dependent on dCF for growth, providing unequivocal evidence that AAH is the cellular target for dCF [10].

An 'Achilles' Heel' revealed?

The conditionally lethal growth phenotype of the $\Delta hgprt / \Delta xprt$ double knockout established that salvageable purines are funneled to substrates of the two missing enzymes. Thus, the majority of purine nucleobases and nucleosides in wild type L. donovani are first fluxed to IMP and XMP and then converted to AMP and GMP, respectively (Figures 1 and 2), insinuating that the nucleotide interconversion enzymes, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), adenylosuccinate synthetase (ADSS), adenylosuccinate lyase (ASL), GMP reductase (GMPR) and GMP synthase (GMPS) might be essential to the parasite. Using rational selective strategies, Δ impdh [58], Δ adss, Δ asl, and Δ gmpr, null mutants were easily generated under appropriate permissive growth conditions. Permissive and restrictive growth conditions for the $\Delta adss$, and Δasl promastigotes were, as anticipated, the same as those for the $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ parasites (J.M. Boitz, *et al.*, unpublished), while xanthine and guanine were permissive and adenine and hypoxanthine restrictive for growth of the Δ *impdh* promastigotes [58], Table 2. Unexpectedly, however, we were unable to create a $\Delta gmps$ knockout even with the addition of excess guanine, which we conjectured would circumvent the genetic lesion, in the culture medium. Therefore, we concluded, based on previous genetic and metabolic flux studies [2,10–12], that a homozygous $\Delta gmps$ mutation could be lethal to the parasite because of the presence of GDA. GDA would effectively deaminate guanine to xanthine, which in turn would be phosphoribosylated by XPRT to XMP, a dead end substrate for a $\Delta gmps$ mutant, thereby starving the mutant parasites for guanylate nucleotides regardless of the nature of the purine supplementation of the growth medium (Figure 2). We proposed, therefore, that introduction of a Δgda mutation into the parasite would drive guanine to GMP through HGPRT to GMP, thereby circumventing the potentially lethal $\Delta gmps$ lesion. This hypothesis is currently being tested. The observation that Leishmania funnel all salvageable guanine to xanthine, even when XMP accumulates as a dead-end metabolite in $\Delta gmps$ cells, points to a lack of regulational control of GDA and XPRT activity. Given that mammalian cells lack XPRT, it is tempting to postulate that the robust activity of the L. donovani GDA may have evolved for the benefit of the parasite, since conversion of guanine to xanthine in essence acts to trap this metabolite in a form only usable by the parasite.

Purine salvage and the purine nutritional environment within the mammalian host

Leishmania spp. are digenetic parasites, existing as highly motile, flagellated promastigotes that reside freely within the midgut of the sandfly vector and as amotile amastigotes that replicate within the phagolysosome of the mammalian macrophage. Most of the initial investigations on the purine pathway were undertaken with an attenuated *L. donovani* clone [59] derived from the 1S-2D strain that was originally adapted to axenic culture by Dennis Dwyer [60–62]. Consequently, studies on parasite infectivity and virulence were precluded. Recently, LdBob, a highly virulent, rapidly growing 1S-2D sub-clone that can axenically cycle between promastigotes and amastigotes and that can infect macrophages and mice, has become available [12,63]. LdBob has proven invaluable for directly assessing the nutritional role of purine salvage enzymes in the amastigote and for determining the nature of host purines available for salvage in host cells [61–63].

To determine whether any single purine salvage or interconversion enzyme is essential for purine nutrition and parasite viability in the infectious form of the parasite, the $\Delta hgprt$, $\Delta aprt$, $\Delta xprt$, Δaah , $\Delta impdh$ [10,12,58], $\Delta adss$, and Δasl (J. M. Boitz *et al.*, unpublished) mutants, were created within the LdBob background. All of these knockouts retained their ability to transform into axenic amastigotes and exhibited purine growth profiles identical to those of their promastigote counterparts. Therefore, the purine salvage pathway does not

appear to deviate significantly between the two life cycle stages – at least *in vitro*. Likewise the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ mutant constructed in the LdBob background also exhibited an absolute dependence on dCF and exogenous adenine or adenosine in its axenic amastigote form, strongly implying that AAH is active in both life cycle stages and contrasting to earlier reports indicating that AAH was promastigote-specific [3,10,12].

Several of these mutants have also been evaluated, either singly or in combination, for their impact on the ability of the parasite to infect and replicate within primary murine macrophages or establish and maintain infections in mice. Of the mutants tested thus far, including Δaah , $\Delta impdh$ [10,58], $\Delta adss$, and Δasl (J. M. Boitz *et al.*, unpublished), only the Δasl mutant exhibits a severely reduced parasite burden in both macrophages and mice. This contrasts with the infectivity phenotype of the $\Delta adss$ knockout, which also accommodates a genetic lesion in IMP to AMP conversion (Figures 1 and 2) but is only marginally impacted in its capacity to infect macrophages and mice. The discrepancy between the infectivity capacities of the two mutants defective in IMP to AMP synthesis can be explained by the accumulation of adenylosuccinate observed in the Δasl mutant *in vitro*. This implies that the conversion of IMP to adenylosuccinate by ADSS is unregulated and that unchecked adenylosuccinate accumulation is a lethal event. These data also shed light on the host purine environment, signifying that sufficient host-derived adenine or adenosine is available for direct salvage to AMP, which can fulfill the requirement for adenylate nucleotides in the $\Delta adss$ and Δasl parasites (J. M. Boitz *et al.*, unpublished).

Of the various *L. donovani* strains harboring multiple mutations, only the $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ and $\Delta aah \Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ lines have been tested in macrophages and mice. The $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ double knockout exhibited an extremely reduced parasite burden in both primary murine macrophages and mice, but parasite numbers were restored to wild type levels by complementation with XPRT expressed in trans from an episomal vector [11]. Since XPRT is able to metabolize both hypoxanthine and xanthine to the nucleotide level [14], it is unclear whether XPRT complementation of the infectivity deficit indicates that the primary purine salvage insufficiency in the $\Delta hgprt \Delta xprt$ null mutant is an inability to convert guanine and xanthine into guanylate nucleotides or a deficit in both guanylate and adenylate nucleotide production. Ongoing investigations to examine the impact of single $\Delta hgprt$ or $\Delta x prt$ mutations on parasite fitness in mice should clarify this point. Although the $\Delta h g prt$ $\Delta x prt$ double knockout was profoundly incapacitated in its ability to infect mice, parasites could still be recovered from livers and spleens after four weeks of infection. Whether persistent parasites were quiescent, dividing slowly, or dying is not clear, since studies from our laboratory indicate that purine-starved promastigotes can persist in a 'quiescent-like' state for days and even several weeks in culture [39]. The persistence of the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ parasites in mice may arise from an increase in APRT activity, since APRT protein levels were slightly augmented in these cells - consistent with our previous findings in which APRT overexpression was shown to suppress the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ mutation in vitro. This is also substantiated by results from macrophage infectivity experiments, where the incapacitating effects of the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ lesion could be surmounted by the overexpression of APRT, restoring infections to near wild type levels. Thus, the infectivity data recapitulates the *in vitro* phenotype for HGPRT and XPRT and suggests that combined lesions in these enzymes severely impact the capacity to infect within the mouse model.

Furthermore, the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ lesions have also been introduced into the Δaah background, and these triple knockout parasites have been tested for their capacity to infect mice and murine macrophages [10]. Although we expected that the Δaah lesion would preserve host-derived adenine for conversion to AMP by APRT (Figures 1 and 2), the $\Delta aah/\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ mutant was even more compromised in the murine model than the $\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ strain. In fact, no $\Delta aah/\Delta hgprt/\Delta xprt$ parasites were recovered from livers or spleens from five

infected mice or from parallel infections in cultured macrophages. Parasite infectivity was fully restored by an episomal copy of *XPRT*, demonstrating that loss of infectivity was not due to the Δ *aah* mutation but rather to the HGPRT and XPRT deficiencies [10].

Concluding remarks

Metabolic flux and gene replacement studies suggest that, despite a superficial complexity and convolutedness, the majority of purine flux into nucleotides in *Leishmania* is limited to two main routes, HGPRT and XPRT. Thus the salvage of exogenous purine nucleosides and nucleobases is simplified by their robust distillation to HGPRT and XPRT substrates. These studies have also highlighted GMPS as a potential Achilles' heel within the pathway, where due to the robust conversion of guanine to xanthine by GDA, the pharmacological ablation of GMPS activity would effectively pit the metabolism of the parasite against itself to starve them for guanylate nucleotides.

Acknowledgments

The research detailed in this article was supported in part by grants AI023682, AI044138, and NS065405 from the National Institutes of Health, and a Discovery grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Glossary

AAH	adenine aminohydrolase
ADSS	adenylosuccinate synthetase
AK	adenosine kinase
AMPDA	AMP deaminase
APRT	adenine phosphoribosyltransferase
ASL	adenylosuccinate lyase
GDA	guanine deaminase
GMPR	GMP reductase
GMPS	GMP synthase
HGPRT	hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase
IMP	inosine monophosphate
IAGNH	inosine-adenosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase
IGNH	inosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase
IMPDH	inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase
IUNH	inosine-uridine (or non-specific) nucleoside hydrolase
LdNT	Leishmania donovani Nucleoside or Nucleobase Transporter
PRPP	phosphoribosylpyrophosphate
XMP	xanthine monophosphate
XPRT	xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase

References

- Marr JJ, et al. Purine metabolism in *Leishmania donovani* and *Leishmania braziliensis*. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1978; 544:360–371. [PubMed: 719006]
- 2. LaFon SW, et al. Purine and pyrimidine salvage pathways in *Leishmania donovani*. Biochem Pharmacol. 1982; 31:231–238. [PubMed: 7059364]
- Looker DL, et al. Purine metabolism in *Leishmania donovani* amastigotes and promastigotes. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1983; 9:15–28. [PubMed: 6199667]
- Berens, RL.; Krug, Edward C.; Marr, Joseph J. Purine and Pyrimidine Metabolism. In: Marr, JJ.; Muller, M., editors. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Parasites. Academic Press Ltd; 1995. p. 89-117.
- Tuttle JV, Krenitsky TA. Purine phosphoribosyltransferases from *Leishmania donovani*. J Biol Chem. 1980; 255:909–916. [PubMed: 7356667]
- Allen T, et al. Cloning and expression of the adenine phosphoribosyltransferase gene from Leishmania donovani. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1995; 74:99–103. [PubMed: 8719249]
- 7. Allen TE, et al. Cloning and expression of the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase from *Leishmania donovani*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1995; 73:133–143. [PubMed: 8577321]
- Hwang HY, et al. Creation of homozygous mutants of *Leishmania donovani* with single targeting constructs. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:30840–30846. [PubMed: 8940067]
- 9. Hwang HY, Ullman B. Genetic analysis of purine metabolism in *Leishmania donovani*. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:19488–19496. [PubMed: 9235951]
- 10. Boitz JM, et al. Adenine aminohydrolase from *Leishmania donovani*: a unique enzyme in parasite purine metabolism. J Biol Chem. 2012
- Boitz JM, Ullman B. A conditional mutant deficient in hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase and xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase validates the purine salvage pathway of *Leishmania donovani*. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:16084–16089. [PubMed: 16603734]
- Boitz JM, Ullman B. *Leishmania donovani* singly deficient in HGPRT, APRT or XPRT are viable in vitro and within mammalian macrophages. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2006; 148:24–30. [PubMed: 16597468]
- 13. Fulwiler AL, et al. A rapid, efficient and economical method for generating leishmanial gene targeting constructs. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2011; 175:209–212. [PubMed: 21055426]
- Jardim A, et al. Xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase from *Leishmania donovani*. Molecular cloning, biochemical characterization, and genetic analysis. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:34403–34410. [PubMed: 10567419]
- Kidder GW, Nolan LL. Adenine aminohydrolase: occurrence and possible significance in trypanosomid flagellates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1979; 76:3670–3672. [PubMed: 291031]
- Aslett M, et al. TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:D457–462. [PubMed: 19843604]
- Logan-Klumpler FJ, et al. GeneDB--an annotation database for pathogens. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:D98–108. [PubMed: 22116062]
- Ivens AC, et al. The genome of the kinetoplastid parasite, *Leishmania major*. Science. 2005; 309:436–442. [PubMed: 16020728]
- 19. Peacock CS, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of three *Leishmania* species that cause diverse human disease. Nat Genet. 2007; 39:839–847. [PubMed: 17572675]
- Iovannisci DM, Ullman B. Characterization of a mutant *Leishmania donovani* deficient in adenosine kinase activity. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1984; 12:139–151. [PubMed: 6090896]
- Datta AK, et al. Isolation and characterization of adenosine kinase from *Leishmania donovani*. J Biol Chem. 1987; 262:5515–5521. [PubMed: 3032935]
- Koszalka GW, Krenitsky TA. Nucleosidases from *Leishmania donovani*. Pyrimidine ribonucleosidase, purine ribonucleosidase, and a novel purine 2'-deoxyribonucleosidase. J Biol Chem. 1979; 254:8185–8193. [PubMed: 468819]
- 23. Cui L, et al. A nonspecific nucleoside hydrolase from *Leishmania donovani*: implications for purine salvage by the parasite. Gene. 2001; 280:153–162. [PubMed: 11738828]

Boitz et al.

- 24. Mauricio IL, et al. Towards multilocus sequence typing of the *Leishmania donovani* complex: resolving genotypes and haplotypes for five polymorphic metabolic enzymes (ASAT, GPI, NH1, NH2, PGD). Int J Parasitol. 2006; 36:757-769. [PubMed: 16725143]
- 25. Downing T, et al. Whole genome sequencing of multiple Leishmania donovani clinical isolates provides insights into population structure and mechanisms of drug resistance. Genome Res. 2011; 21:2143–2156. [PubMed: 22038251]
- 26. Shi W, et al. Nucleoside hydrolase from Leishmania major. Cloning, expression, catalytic properties, transition state inhibitors, and the 2.5-a crystal structure. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:21114-21120. [PubMed: 10409664]
- 27. Debrabant A, et al. A unique surface membrane anchored purine-salvage enzyme is conserved among a group of primitive eukaryotic human pathogens. Mol Cell Biochem. 2001; 220:109-116. [PubMed: 11451370]
- 28. Gottlieb M. The surface membrane 3'-nucleotidase/nuclease of trypanosomatid protozoa. Parasitol Today. 1989; 5:257-260. [PubMed: 15463228]
- 29. Debrabant A, et al. Isolation and characterization of the gene encoding the surface membrane 3'nucleotidase/nuclease of Leishmania donovani. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1995; 71:51-63. [PubMed: 7630383]
- 30. Gottlieb M, Dwyer DM. Protozoan parasite of humans: surface membrane with externally disposed acid phosphatase. Science. 1981; 212:939–941. [PubMed: 7233189]
- 31. Shakarian AM, et al. Molecular dissection of the functional domains of a unique, tartrate-resistant, surface membrane acid phosphatase in the primitive human pathogen Leishmania donovani. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:17994–18001. [PubMed: 11882658]
- 32. Wiese M, et al. Gene cloning and cellular localization of a membrane-bound acid phosphatase of Leishmania mexicana. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1996; 82:153-165. [PubMed: 8946382]
- 33. Carter NS, et al. Purine and pyrimidine metabolism in *Leishmania*. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2008; 625:141–154. [PubMed: 18365665]
- 34. Landfear SM, et al. Nucleoside and nucleobase transporters in parasitic protozoa. Eukaryot Cell. 2004; 3:245–254. [PubMed: 15075255]
- 35. Carter NS, et al. Nucleoside transporters of parasitic protozoa. Trends Parasitol. 2001; 17:142–145. [PubMed: 11286799]
- 36. Liu W, et al. Functional characterization of nucleoside transporter gene replacements in Leishmania donovani. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2006; 150:300-307. [PubMed: 17050001]
- 37. Arastu-Kapur S, et al. Functional analysis of an inosine-guanosine transporter from Leishmania donovani. The role of conserved residues, aspartate 389 and arginine 393. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:33327-33333. [PubMed: 12807872]
- 38. Carter NS, et al. Cloning of a novel inosine-guanosine transporter gene from Leishmania donovani by functional rescue of a transport-deficient mutant. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:20935–20941. [PubMed: 10783393]
- 39. Carter NS, et al. Adaptive responses to purine starvation in Leishmania donovani. Mol Microbiol. 2010; 78:92-107. [PubMed: 20923417]
- 40. Sanchez MA, et al. Functional expression and characterization of a purine nucleobase transporter gene from Leishmania major. Mol Membr Biol. 2004; 21:11-18. [PubMed: 14668134]
- 41. Ortiz D, et al. An acid-activated nucleobase transporter from Leishmania major. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284:16164-16169. [PubMed: 19366701]
- 42. Hart DT, Opperdoes FR. The occurrence of glycosomes (microbodies) in the promastigote stage of four major Leishmania species. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1984; 13:159–172. [PubMed: 6440018]
- 43. Opperdoes FR. Compartmentation of carbohydrate metabolism in trypanosomes. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1987; 41:127-151. [PubMed: 3120638]
- 44. Parsons M, et al. Biogenesis and function of peroxisomes and glycosomes. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2001; 115:19-28. [PubMed: 11377736]
- 45. Opperdoes FR, Szikora JP. In silico prediction of the glycosomal enzymes of Leishmania major and trypanosomes. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2006; 147:193-206. [PubMed: 16546274]

- 46. Gualdron-Lopez M, et al. When, how and why glycolysis became compartmentalised in the Kinetoplastea. A new look at an ancient organelle. Int J Parasitol. 2011; 42:1–20. [PubMed: 22142562]
- 47. Shih S, et al. Localization and targeting of the *Leishmania donovani* hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase to the glycosome. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273:1534–1541. [PubMed: 9430693]
- Zarella-Boitz JM, et al. Subcellular localization of adenine and xanthine phosphoribosyltransferases in *Leishmania donovani*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2004; 134:43–51. [PubMed: 14747142]
- 49. Dobie F, et al. Kinetic characterization of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase of *Leishmania donovani*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2007; 152:11–21. [PubMed: 17173987]
- 50. Cruz A, Beverley SM. Gene replacement in parasitic protozoa. Nature. 1990; 348:171–173. [PubMed: 2234081]
- 51. Cruz A, et al. Double targeted gene replacement for creating null mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991; 88:7170–7174. [PubMed: 1651496]
- Allen T, et al. Purification and characterization of the adenine phosphoribosyltransferase and hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase activities from *Leishmania donovani*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1989; 33:273–281. [PubMed: 2704389]
- Iovannisci DM, et al. Genetic analysis of adenine metabolism in *Leishmania donovani* promastigotes. Evidence for diploidy at the adenine phosphoribosyltransferase locus. J Biol Chem. 1984; 259:14617–14623. [PubMed: 6501311]
- 54. Freedman DJ, Beverley SM. Two more independent selectable markers for stable transfection of Leishmania. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1993; 62:37–44. [PubMed: 8114824]
- Goyard S, Beverley SM. Blasticidin resistance: a new independent marker for stable transfection of *Leishmania*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2000; 108:249–252. [PubMed: 10838227]
- 56. Beverley SM. Protozomics: trypanosomatid parasite genetics comes of age. Nat Rev Genet. 2003; 4:11–19. [PubMed: 12509749]
- 57. Boitz JM, Ullman B. Amplification of adenine phosphoribosyltransferase suppresses the conditionally lethal growth and virulence phenotype of *Leishmania donovani* mutants lacking both hypoxanthine-guanine and xanthine phosphoribosyltransferases. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:18555– 18564. [PubMed: 20363738]
- Fulwiler AL, et al. IMP dehydrogenase deficiency in *Leishmania donovani* causes a restrictive growth phenotype in promastigotes but is not essential for infection in mice. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2011; 180:123–126. [PubMed: 21907738]
- Iovannisci DM, Ullman B. Single cell cloning of *Leishmania* parasites in purine-defined medium: isolation of drug-resistant variants. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1984; 165(Pt A):239–243. [PubMed: 6720386]
- 60. Stauber LA. Characterization of strains of *Leishmania donovani*. Exp Parasitol. 1966; 18:1–11. [PubMed: 5909670]
- Dwyer DM. *Leishmania donovani*: surface membrane carbohydrates of promastigotes. Exp Parasitol. 1977; 41:341–358. [PubMed: 557418]
- 62. Debrabant A, et al. Generation of *Leishmania donovani* axenic amastigotes: their growth and biological characteristics. Int J Parasitol. 2004; 34:205–217. [PubMed: 15037106]
- 63. Goyard S, et al. An in vitro system for developmental and genetic studies of *Leishmania donovani* phosphoglycans. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2003; 130:31–42. [PubMed: 14550894]
- 64. Parsons M. Glycosomes: parasites and the divergence of peroxisomal purpose. Mol Microbiol. 2004; 53:717–724. [PubMed: 15255886]



Figure 1.

Predicted purine salvage pathway of *Leishmania*. The purine salvage and interconversion enzymes that have been identified in *L. donovani* are depicted. Abbreviations: APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; AK, adenosine kinase; AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; GDA, guanine deaminase; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; ASL, adenylosuccinate lyase; AMPDA, AMP deaminase; IMPDH, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; GMPS, GMP synthase; GMPR, GMP reductase; NH, nucleoside hydrolase; ADO, adenosine; ADE, adenine; INO, inosine; HYP, hypoxanthine; GUO, guanosine; GUA, guanine; XAO, xanthosine; XAN, xanthine.



Figure 2.

Metabolic flux through the purine salvage pathway in *L. donovani*. Heavy blue arrows highlight the major flux of substrates through the purine salvage pathway as deduced from genetic and biochemical experiments. The minor activities are represented by black arrows, and the dashed line indicates that the phosphoribosylation of guanine most likely does not occur under physiological conditions in *Leishmania*. Abbreviations: APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; AK, adenosine kinase; AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; GDA, guanine deaminase; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; ASL, adenylosuccinate lyase; AMPDA, AMP deaminase; IMPDH, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; GMPS, GMP synthase; GMPR, GMP reductase; NH, nucleoside hydrolase; ADO, adenosine; ADE, adenine; INO, inosine; HYP, hypoxanthine; GUO, guanosine; GUA, guanine; XAO, xanthosine; XAN, xanthine.

Boitz et al.



Figure I.

Predicted compartmentalization of the *Leishmania* purine salvage pathway. The gray shaded circle represents the glycosomal membrane and the cytosolic environment is located outside of the circle. Enzymes within the purine salvage pathway that have been experimentally determined to be in the cytosol or the glycosome are shown in red and those that are based on their *in silico* predictions are shown in blue. Abbreviations: HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; IMPDH, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; GMPR, GMP reductase; GMPS, GMP synthase; APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; AK, adenosine kinase; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; ASL, adenylosuccinate lyase; AMPDA, AMP deaminase; GDA, guanine deaminase; NH, nucleoside hydrolase.

Table 1

Accession numbers for all of the known purine salvage and interconversion enzymes of five different Leishmania species

Gene	L. donovani	L. infantum	L. major	L. mexicana	L. braziliensis	Refs.
AK	LdBPK_300940.1	LinJ.30.0940	LmjF.30.0880	LmxM.29.0880	LbrM.30.1010	[16,17]
APRT	LdBPK_260120.1	LinJ.26.0120	LmjF.26.0140	LmxM.26.0140	LbrM.26.0130	[16,17]
HGPRT	LdBPK_210980.1	LinJ.21.0980	LmjF.21.0845	LmxM.21.0845	LbrM.21.0990	[16,17]
XPRT	LdBPK_210990.1	LinJ.21.0990	LmjF.21.0850	LmxM.21.0850	LbrM.21.1000	[16,17]
AAH	LdBPK_352200.1	LinJ.35.2200	LmjF.35.2160	LmxM.34.2160	LbrM.34.2090	[16,17]
ADSS	LdBPK_131090.1	LinJ.13.1090	LmjF.13.1190	LmxM.13.1190	LbrM.13.0970	[16,17]
ASL	LdBPK_040440.1	LinJ.04.0440	LmjF.04.0460	LmxM.04.0460	LbrM.04.0500	[16,17]
GDA	LdBPK_290920.1	LinJ.29.0920	LmjF.29.0867	LmxM.08_29.0867	LbrM.29.0910	[16,17]
GMPS	LdBPK_220013.1	LinJ.22.0013	LmjF.22.0110	LmxM.22.0110	LbrM.22.0110	[16,17]
GMPR	LdBPK_170870.1	LinJ.17.0870	LmjF.17.0725	LmxM.17.0725	LbrM.17.0790	[16,17]
HQAMI	LdBPK_191590.1	LinJ.19.1590	LmjF.19.1560	LmxM.19.1560	LbrM.19.1820	[16,17]
IAGNH	LdBPK_292910.1	LinJ.29.2910	LmjF.29.2800	LmxM.08_29.2800	LbrM.29.2850	[16,17]
IGNH	LdBPK_140130.1	LinJ.14.0130	LmjF.14.0130	LmxM.14.0130	LbrM.14.0130	[16,17]
IUNH	LdBPK_181570.1	LinJ.18.1570	LmjF.18.1580	LmxM.18.1580.	LbrM.18.1610	[16,17]
AMPDA	LdBPK_040270.1	LinJ.04.0270	LmjF.04.0280	LmxM.04.0280	LbrM.04.0320	[16,17]
AMPDA	LdBPK_130870.1	LinJ.13.0870	LmjF.13.0980	LmxM.13.0980	LbrM.13.0790	[16,17]
AMPDA	LdBPK_322690.1	LinJ.32.2690	LmjF.32.2550	LmxM.31.2550	LbrM.32.2780	[16,17]
AMPDA	LdBPK_354860.1	LinJ.35.4860	LmjF.35.4800	LmxM.34.4800	LbrM.34.4760	[16,17]

Trends Parasitol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 August 01.

Abbreviations: AK, adenosine kinase; APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; ASL, adenylosuccinate lyase; GDA, guanine dearninase; GMPS, GMP synthase; GMPR, GMPR, GMPR, GMPR, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; IAGNH, inosine, adenosine, guanosine nucleoside hydrolase; IGNH, inosine-guanosine nucleoside hydrolase; IUNH, inosine uridine nucleoside hydrolase; AMPDA, AMP deaminase

_
~
_
_
_
_
U
~
-
-
_
+
_
\sim
0
_
_
1
0
<u> </u>
=
5
-
C
1.0
c n
~
0
-
<u> </u>
0
-

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Boitz et al.

Table 2

The capacity of L. donovani purine salvage mutants to grow in a range of purine sources

				Z		11				
Cell Line	ADE	ADE + dCF	ADO	ADO + dCF	GUA	GUO	HYP	INO	XAN	HYP + XAN
Wild Type	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Δaah	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ŊŊ
ak ⁻	+	QN	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	Ŋ
aprf	+	Ŋ	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	ND
Δ aprt	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŋ
$\Delta adss$	I	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	ŊŊ
Δasl	I	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	ŊŊ
$\Delta g d a$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND
$\Delta gmpr$	+	+	+	+	I	I	+	+	I	+
$\Delta hgprt$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŋ
Δ impdh	I	QN	I	ND	+	+	I	I	+	+
$\Delta x p n$	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	+	I	QN
aprt=/∆xprt	+	ND	+	ND	I	+	+	+	I	ND
ak-/∆aprt	+	ND	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	ND
ak~/∆hgprt	+	ND	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	ND
ak=/Axprt	+	ND	+	ND	I	+	+	+	I	ND
∆ hgprt∕∆ aprt	+	ND	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	ND
∆ hgprt/∆ xprt	I	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	QN
$\Delta aah/\Delta adss$	+	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	QN
∆ gmpr/∆ impdh	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+
∆hgprt/∆aprt/ak⊂	+	ND	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	ND
∆xprt/∆aprt/ak [−]	+	ND	+	ND	I	+	+	+	I	ND
Δ aah/ Δ hgprt/ Δ xprt	+	+	+	+	I	I	I	I	I	QZ