1Study of glucose isomerisation to fructose over three heterogeneous carbon-based 2Aluminium-impregnated catalysts

3Xinni Xiong^a, Iris K.M. Yu^{a,b}, Daniel C.W. Tsang^{a,*}, Liang Chen^a, Zhishan Su^c, Changwei 4Hu^c, Gang Luo^d, Shicheng Zhang^d, Yong Sik Ok^e, James H. Clark^{b,d}

5^a Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, 6Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

7^b Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

8° Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan 9University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, China

10^d Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention (LAP3), Department of 11Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

12° Korea Biochar Research Center, Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, Korea 13University, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea

14*Corresponding author: <u>dan.tsang@polyu.edu.hk</u>

15

16Abstract

17Driven by the worldwide demand for sustainable resources and renewable energy, the 18synthesis of bio-based platform chemicals has attracted broad interest. The isomerisation of 19glucose to fructose acts as a critical intermediate step among many chemical synthesis routes. 20In this study, biochar (BC), graphitic oxide (GIO), and graphene oxide (GO) were used as 21carbon supports to synthesize Al-impregnated heterogeneous catalysts, which were then used 22for glucose isomerisation under microwave heating in the water at 140 °C. The kinetics model 23with parameters was used to reveal the interplay of the active sites and compare the activity 24of the three carbon-based catalysis systems. Catalyst characterisation results showed effective 25aluminium (Al) impregnation onto the three types of catalysts, and it was found that GIO-26Al200 and GO-Al200 showed comparable catalytic activity (fructose yield of 34.3-35.0%)

27for glucose isomerisation. At the same time, BC-Al200 exhibited slightly lower catalytic 28activity (fructose yield of 29.4%). The conversion kinetics suggested similar catalytic 29mechanisms on the three catalysts while BC-Al200 manifested slower kinetics, possibly 30implying higher activation energy. The fructose selectivity decreased with increasing time 31due to the formation of side products, yet BC-Al200 resulted in less carbon loss than GIO-32Al200 and GO-Al200, probably attributed to its lower catalytic activity and higher pH 33buffering capacity. A green synthesis route of this study promotes biomass valorisation and 34makes engineered biochar a promising carbon-based catalyst for sustainable biorefinery.

35**Keywords:** engineered biochar, biomass valorisation, sustainable biorefinery, graphene 36oxide, glucose isomerisation; waste management.

37

381. Introduction

The biorefinery based on biomass valorisation is currently drawing significant attention 40among the scientific community as a strategy for the manufacture of a new generation of 41various value-added chemicals and fuels with limited environmental footprints (Sudarsanam 42et al., 2018; Clark, 2019). Cellulose- and starch-rich biomass can go through a range of 43chemical processes including hydrolysis, isomerisation, dehydration, and rehydration to 44generate various platform chemicals (Xiong et al., 2019), including 5-hydroxymethylfurfural 45(5-HMF) (Yu and Tsang, 2017), levulinic acid (LA) (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018), 46and γ-valerolactone (GVL) (Dutta et al., 2019). These chemicals can play significant roles in 47future industrial productions of many products such as pharmaceuticals, polymers, resins, 48solvents, fungicides, and biodiesel. Fructose (ketohexose), is a crucial precursor in such

49processes as well as a valuable product in its own right. It can be produced by the 50isomerisation of biomass-derived glucose (aldohexose) (Delidovich and Palkovits, 2016) 51using Lewis acid (through the intramolecular 1,2-hydride shift from the C2 to C1 position) 52(Li et al., 2017) or Brønsted base (through hydrogen transfer from O2 to O1 position) (Chen 53et al., 2018b) catalysis although yields are generally poor. The conventional biocatalytic 54process requires strict control of temperature (40–80 °C) and pH (5.8-8.0) as well as 55expensive enzymes (i.e., glucose isomerase) (Li et al., 2017). The synthesis of cost-effective 56and recyclable chemical catalysts that operate under mild conditions for this process is an 57important goal.

Biochar, a waste-derived carbonaceous material with relatively high surface area, porous 59structure, and stable characteristics, is prospective materials for catalyst synthesis (Li et al., 602020) and material engineering (Wang et al., 2019). Serving as a catalyst support (Lam et al., 612017), biochar can be functionalised by metallic pyrolysis (Lam et al., 2015) and physical 62and chemical activation (Liew et al., 2018). By the introduction of Brønsted or Lewis acidity 63into biochar (Xiong et al., 2018), the surface physicochemical properties can be tailored to 64manipulate the catalytic activity for various biomass valorisation reactions (Xiong et al., 652017). In recent studies, glucose isomerisation was shown to be catalysed by wood biochar 66impregnated with aluminium (Al) and tin (Sn) (Yu et al., 2019a; Yang et al., 2019). Besides, 67chemical oxidation of natural graphite powder can generate graphite oxide (GIO) with a 68layered structure. GIO could be exfoliated via ultrasonication, producing graphene oxide 69(GO) with one or a few layers of carbon atoms (Ray, 2015). GO and their derived materials 70are another group of promising carbon-based catalysts because of their superior properties

71such as two-dimensional hexagonal lattice structure, high stability, and large surface areas 72(Bottari et al., 2017). The basal planes and hole defects of GO structure contain numerous 73epoxides, hydroxyl groups, and carboxyl oxygen-bearing moieties, which may act as 74hydrogen-bond donor and acceptor moieties (Georgakilas et al., 2016). These oxygen-75containing functional groups also account for the oxidative and weakly acidic properties of 76GO (Antunes et al., 2014). Recent studies have intensively studied GO as a catalyst for 77biomass conversion (Zhu et al., 2015), for example, GO without modification catalyses 78fructose conversion to 5-HMF (Wang et al., 2014; Shaikh et al., 2018) and cellulose into 79glucose (Mission et al., 2017). Metal modified GO such as graphene oxide—ferric oxide (GO-80Fe₂O₃) exhibited great catalytic activity in converting glucose into 5-HMF with 86% yield 81(Zhang et al., 2015). Sulfonated graphene oxide achieved 94% 5-HMF yield from fructose 82dehydration (Hou et al., 2016), whereas sulphur-modified GO acted as a catalyst for the 83conversion of cellobiose to 5-HMF (Wang et al., 2016a).

Functionalization of these carbon materials with tailorable morphology and good chemical 85stability shows great potential for catalyst synthesis. Aluminium is a promising Lewis acid 86dopant for heterogeneous catalysis (Yu et al., 2016). It has been demonstrated that Al-biochar 87composites, graphite oxide- and graphene oxide-supported catalysts are promising materials 88for catalytic glucose isomerisation (Yu et al., 2019a; Yu et al., 2019b). Aluminium oxide-89silica/carbon composites (Al-Amsyar et al., 2017), N-graphene-modified Al₂O₃ (Guo et al., 902016), graphene oxide/aluminium (GO/Al) mixed powders (Zhou et al., 2018) were 91previously shown to be effective for catalysing biomass conversion and value-added 92chemicals synthesis. However, different dosages and experimental conditions were often

93employed in previous studies, making it difficult to compare the effectiveness directly. A 94proper comparison of the different heterogeneous carbon-based catalysts under the same 95condition is needed to provide insights into the future design of sustainable and cost-effective 96catalysts for glucose isomerisation.

97 In this study aluminium-doped carbon supports (i.e., biochar, graphite oxide, and graphene 98oxide) are studied for green catalyst preparation to compare and explore the interplay as well 99as the effect of the carbon on glucose isomerisation. The conversions were carried out via 100microwave heating in the water at 140 °C (i.e., green solvent and moderate temperature), 101which helps ensure the environmental suitability of the process for biorefineries. We then 102compared the catalytic performance of three different carbon materials as support of Al 103catalysts and addressed the kinetics of catalytic glucose isomerisation chemistry in water. 104This work aims to explore the catalytic performances and interplays on different catalysts and 105provide scientific insights into the design of engineered materials for biorefinery processes 106and biomass valorisation.

107

1082. Materials and Methods

1092.1 Raw materials and chemical reagents

110 Graphite powder (AR, Accuchem) served as the precursor of synthesizing GIO and GO. 111Sawdust collected from the Industrial Centre of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University was 112the feedstock for producing wood biochar. The $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (ACS grade) purchased from 113Anaqua is the impregnation agent in this study. Standard compounds used in conversion 114experiments as well as calibration of the analytical instrument were glucose ($\geq 99.5\%$), 5-

115HMF (\geq 99%), and furfural (99%) from Sigma Aldrich; cellobiose (\geq 98%), levulinic acid 116(98%), and formic acid (98%) from Alfa Aesar; levoglucosan from Fluorochem; and fructose 117(\geq 99%) and maltose monohydrate (\geq 98%) from Wako.

1182.2 Production of Al-impregnated catalysts

The GIO was prepared from graphite powder in accordance with the Hummers' method 120(Hummers et al., 1958). Specifically, 10 g of graphite (G) and 5 g of sodium nitrate (NaNO₃) 121were mixed with concentrated sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) (98 wt%, 230 mL) in an ice bath with 122stirring and subsequently added 30 g of potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) slowly. After 123agitated at ca. 35 °C for 30 min, 460 mL water was added to the mixture, followed by 124continuous stirring at 98 °C for 15 min. At the end of thermal treatment, water was added for 125dilution, and residual KMnO₄ was reduced using hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Afterwards, 126repetitive centrifugation and decantation by deionised water were conducted to wash and 127collect the solid residue. The washed residue after drying in an oven was collected as GIO. 128For the production of GO, the dried GIO was suspended in deionised water and was subjected 129to sonication for 5 h. The mixture was dried in an oven to collect all the solids as the GO. 130Sawdust was used as the raw material to synthesize biochar (BC)-based catalysts directly. For the Al modification, GIO, GO, and sawdust were placed in aqueous AlCl₃ (200 mL) 132for 4-h stirring, followed by oven-drying. The elemental Al loading, i.e., the mass ratio of Al 133to the carbon supports, was 10 wt%. The AlCl₃-treated carbon supports were then activated in 134a muffle furnace (Carbolite) with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The target temperature (200 or 135500 °C) was maintained for 2 h. The calcined materials are denoted as GIO-Al200, GO-136Al200, and BC-Al200 (i.e., the loading of Al species onto and the formation of biochar took 137place simultaneously in a single step), in which 200 refers to the temperature of thermal 138activation.

1392.3 Characterisation of the synthesized catalyst

The surface morphology was studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; TESCAN 141VEGA3). Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas, pore volumes, and pore diameters 142were measured by nitrogen adsorption—desorption isotherm measurements at -196 °C with a 143gas sorption analyser (Micromeritics Accelerated Surface Area and Porosimetry system, 144ASAP 2020). Micro-Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw) of the biochar-based catalyst was 145performed with a 532 nm laser and 50× objective. Solid-state ²⁷Al nuclear magnetic 146resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was conducted using a 500 MHz JNM-ECZ500R (JEOL) 147with a scan number of 1301 and relaxation delay of 5 s.

1482.4 Glucose conversion

The isomerisation of glucose to fructose was conducted in an Ethos Up Microwave 150Reactor (Milestone), according to the protocol in Yu et al. (2019b). Specifically, catalyst 151(0.25 g) and glucose (0.5 g) in deionised water (10 mL) were subjected to heating to 140 °C 152in a sealed Teflon vessel. The ramp time was 5 min and the temperature holding time was 1, 1533, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, or 40 min. Magnetic stirring was maintained throughout the heating. 154After that, the system was cool to room temperature by forced ventilation inside the reactor.

155 To evaluate the leaching of active sites, supplementary tests were carried out where the 156selected carbon samples were heated following the protocol as mentioned above except the 157addition of glucose. After heating, the solid and liquid phases were separated by

158centrifugation and decantation, and their respective catalytic performance was investigated by

159repeating the same thermal process adding glucose as the substrate. Water was also added as 160the reaction medium for the catalytic conversion over the solid phase.

1612.5 Catalysis sample analysis

After dilution with deionised water (1:3 v/v) and filtration through a mixed cellulose ester 163 filter (0.22 μm), the liquid samples were analysed via high-performance liquid 164 chromatography (HPLC). A Chromaster instrument coupled with a refractive index detector 165 (Hitachi, Japan) and an Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio-Rad) was used. The temperature was 166 set at 50 °C, whereas 0.01 M H₂SO₄ served as the mobile phase (0.5 mL min⁻¹). The product 167 yield and selectivity on a carbon mole basis were calculated using the equations (1) and (2).

168
$$Product\ yield(mol\%) = \frac{P_f(mg/ml) \times n_p / MW_p}{Glu_i(mg/ml) \times n_{Glu} / MW_{Glu}} \times 100$$
 (1)

Product selectivity
$$(mol \%) = \frac{P_f(mg/ml) \times n_p/MW_P}{(Glu_{\bullet} i - Glu_f)(mg/ml) \times n_{Glu}/MW_{Glu} \times 100i}$$
 (2)

170where P_f stands for the concentration of the products; n_p and n_{Glu} means the number of 171carbons in the corresponding product and glucose, respectively; MW_p and MW_{Glu} represent the 172molecular mass of the corresponding product and glucose, respectively; and Glu_i and Glu_f 173stand for the initial and final concentration of glucose, respectively.

The initial rate (r) of glucose conversion is based on the concentration change of glucose 175during the first 1-min reaction. According to Khajavi et al. (2005), degradation processes of 176monosaccharides (e.g., glucose) is following the Weibull equation (3), where k and n stand 177for rate constant and shape constant, respectively. These kinetic parameters were obtained by

178nonlinear regression analysis using the Solver of Microsoft Excel.

179
$$C/C_0 = exp[(-kt)^n] (n < 1)$$
 (3)

180The parameter n stands for the kinetic order of the reaction and describes the shape of kinetic 181curves in this model. In particular, when n > 1, it exhibits a sigmoidal pattern; when n = 1, the 182model represents simple first-order kinetics; when n < 1, the value of C/C_0 steeply drops 183during the early stage, and with time increasing, it presents a steady decrease (Khajavi et al., 1842005).

185

1863. Results and Discussion

1873.1 Fructose yield change with time

All of the synthesized BC-Al200, GIO-Al200, and GO-Al200 materials showed useful 189catalytic activity in the isomerisation of glucose in the water with maximum fructose yields 190of 29%-35% after microwave heating at 140 °C. The product profiles of the catalytic 191conversion with increasing time over the three Al-impregnated carbon catalysts showed a 192similar trend (Figure 1a-c) in terms of fructose yields, where the curves rose steadily at the 193expense of glucose and then levelled off after approximately 20 min.

194 For both GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200 catalysts, fructose yields increased to ~35% after 20 195min of reaction. The carbon support GO was derived from GIO by ultrasonic exfoliation. As 196indicated by Table 1, the surface area (13.2 m²/g) and total pore volume (0.064 cm³/g) of GO-197Al200 were higher than that of GIO-Al200 (3.38 m²/g; 0.015 cm³/g). In the SEM image 198(Figure 2a), the surface of GIO-Al200 shows a multi-layer stacking structure, which is absent 199from the surface morphology of GO-Al200 (Figure 2b). The rough surfaces with more porous

200structures were possibly beneficial to the adsorption of fructose for catalysis. Although 201ultrasonication exfoliated the carbon structure by increasing the surface area and enlarging 202the pores, changes in these physical properties did not induce noticeable differences in 203catalytic activities between GIO- and GO-Al200 catalysts. Although the enhanced physical 204structure assisted the bonding of AlCl₃ onto the surface of carbon supports in this study 205(surface Al content increasing from 5.9% of GIO-Al200 to 12.5% of GO-Al200, based on 206previous XPS results (Yu et al., 2019b)), such improvement did not increase the catalytic 207activity. The critical determinant of catalytic activity is probably related to the distribution 208and speciation of Al on the surface, which vary with the conditions of synthesis and 209modification processes.

In comparison, when using BC as the support material (BC-Al200), the maximum fructose 211yield reached 29.4% after 40 min, which was slightly lower than that of GIO-Al200 (35.0%) 212and GO-Al200 (34.3%) under the same conditions (Figure 1). Less glucose (49.3%) was 213consumed after 40 min in the BC-Al200 system than that for GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200 214(67.6% and 66.3%, respectively), suggesting higher catalytic activities of the graphene-based 215catalysts than the biochar-based one (the corresponding selectivity will be further discussed 216in Section 3.3). BC-Al200 was derived from wood waste, in which lignin and cellulose could 217have retained their structure and crystallinity at the relatively low pyrolysis temperature 218adopted in this study (200 °C) (Keiluweit et al., 2010). The graphitisation of materials was 219examined by calculating the ratio of D peak to G peak (I_D/I_G) in Raman spectra. The I_D/I_G 220ratio for BC-Al200 was 0.52 (Figure 8a), which was lower than that for GIO-Al200 and GO-221Al200 at 0.95 and 0.93, respectively (Yu et al., 2019b). The discrepancy in surface

222morphology of carbon support may lead to the varying extent of Al bonding during 223impregnation and heating processes, which subsequently resulted in the observed difference 224in the structures of materials. The GIO and GO catalysts tended to be more disordered, which 225could be favourable to their catalytic activities. In comparison, there was no clear trend in the 226fructose yield with the different surface areas and surface Al contents of BC-, GIO-, and GO-227Al200, suggesting that these properties were not the most critical determinant of catalytic 228activity (Figures 1&2; Table 1).

The highest fructose yield (29.4-35.0 mol%) of glucose isomerisation over the three 230synthesized carbonaceous catalysts in the current study showed greater catalytic activities 231than the other biochar-based catalysts reported in the recent literature. For example, 10 wt% 232Al impregnated biochars pyrolysed at 500, 600, and 700 °C as catalysts for glucose 233isomerisation achieved 14–16 mol% fructose yields at 160 °C (Yu et al., 2019a) and tin-234modified biochar catalyst obtained 12.1 mol% fructose yield at 150 °C (Yang et al., 2019), 235although the temperature used in this study was lower (140 °C).

2363.2 Conversion kinetics and rate comparison

The kinetics parameters (n and k) of the three catalysts are shown in Table 2. The Weibull 238equation was employed in this study to fit the kinetics of glucose isomerisation (Figure 3). As 239for GIO-Al200, GO-Al200, and BC-Al200 in the current research, the order constants (n) 240were in the narrow range of 0.57-0.73 under the studied condition, indicating similar catalytic 241mechanisms despite using different carbon supports. The other constant k in the kinetic 242model characterises the reaction rate. According to the Arrhenius equation, $k = k_0$ exp

243(-E/RT), the variation in k value at constant temperature (140 °C) indicates the difference in 244activation energies in the catalytic systems studied. As shown in Figure 3 and Table 2, the 245GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200 presented very similar kinetic parameters, e.g., initial rate (r) = 24630.99 mmol/L-min for GIO-Al200 and 28.47 mmol/L-min for GO-Al200). Given their 247comparable catalytic activities, GIO was more advantageous in terms of its simpler synthesis 248procedure compared to GO. In comparison, BC-Al200 displayed lower activity than the GIO/ 249GO-based catalysts, as the initial rate of BC-Al200 (14.49 mmol/L-min) was also lower than 250that of GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200. The faster kinetics of the latter were probably due to the 251more amorphous structures as discussed above. The aqueous AlCl₃-catalysed glucose 252isomerisation at 140 °C (Choudhary et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2016) has been shown to mostly 253 follow the first-order reaction (n = 1), and the reaction rates of 0.014 min⁻¹ and 0.0048 min⁻¹ 254were respectively reported in these studies. The rate constant of glucose to fructose in a 255subcritical aqueous ethanol system at 180 °C was observed to be less than 0.06 min⁻¹ (Gao et 256al., 2015), while another Brønsted base-catalysed (triethylamine) glucose isomerisation at 60 257°C achieved the rate constant at 0.0096 min⁻¹ (Carraher et al., 2015). Currently, available 258kinetics studies on glucose conversion over heterogeneous catalysis are limited. The 259comparable kinetic constants of the carbon-supported GIO-Al200, GO-Al200, and BC-Al200 260catalysed systems in the current research prove the effectiveness and competitive advantages 261of the heterogeneous catalysts.

2623.3 Fructose selectivity and side reactions

263 Fructose selectivity varying with time on the three catalysts showed a similar trend (Figure 2644a), where fructose selectivity decreased from 87-97% at 1 min to 51-50% at 40 min. It

265implied that the highest selectivity appeared within 1 min. While fructose was the main 266product from glucose in this Lewis-acid catalysed system, a small amount of 5-HMF (up to 2676.38% yield) was formed by dehydration of fructose under the Al-impregnated catalysts 268(Figure 1a-c). When the fructose selectivity is plotted against the glucose conversion (Figure 2694b), the relative positions among the three curves of GIO-Al200, GO-Al200, and BC-Al200 270were quite close, implying similar balance between the desirable fructose formation and side 271reactions. Besides, the three catalysts exhibited an almost identical selectivity pattern to 272fructose, suggesting a similar nature of active sites. Differences in the reaction rates were 273probably due to the numbers and forms of accessible Al sites on the carbon supports.

Prolonged reaction time promoted the formation of other side products, which was 275 revealed by the increasing loss of total carbon over time (Figure 5). For example, a small 276 amount (less than 1.25%) of levoglucosan (LG) was observed over the reaction time of 40 277 min. When reaction time reached 40 min, the carbon loss to unidentified products was 22.9%, 27821.3%, and 11.8% for GIO-Al200, GO-Al200, and BC-Al200, respectively. BC-Al200 279 produced fewer side products and carbon loss than GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200, probably 280 attributed to its lower catalytic activity. The unavoidable carbon loss may be due to 281 undesirable reactions such as polymerization and hexose internal condensation (Yu et al., 2822016). After glucose is converted to fructose, it can further dehydrate to 5-HMF if there is an 283 acid environment. Carbohydrate products and other intermediates that formed from this 284 reaction system may polymerise through aldol addition and condensation, thus forming 285 oligosaccharides, which more easily occurs under Lewis acidity than Brønsted acidity (Yu et 286 al., 2016; Yu et al., 2017).

2873.4 Roles of active sites and leaching

Additional tests on glucose conversion over solid and liquid phases separately at 140 °C for 28920 min were performed by hydrothermal treatment of the original catalyst. As illustrated in 290Figure 6, the catalytic activity of both liquid and solid phase after hydrothermal treatment of 291GIO-Al200 and BC-Al200 implied that active species leached from the solid catalyst to the 292solution to some extent during heating. To explore the potential role of pyrolysis temperature 293on the active sites of the catalysis, we produced GIO-Al at 500 °C (GIO-Al500) and 294evaluated its catalytic performance in supplementary tests. The fructose yield from the solid 295phase of GIO-Al500 after hydrothermal treatment was approximately twice higher than that 296from the liquid phase. The substantially lower contribution of liquid fraction than solid phase 297suggested that a higher activation temperature of catalyst production would stabilise the 298active sites and allow less subsequent leaching. However, GIOAl-500 achieved a lower 299overall fructose yield compared to its counterpart calcinated at 200 °C.

300 The final pH of the solution decreased over time (Figure 7), ranging from 3.89 to 2.68 301between 1 and 40 min. This observation is probably because acid products (e.g., formic acid) 302formed over time and the leaching of active Al species from the carbon support. Leaching of 303Al decreased the solution pH with time thus increasing the number of protons that could 304promote side reactions such as dehydration and polymerization, which accounted for the 305observed decrease of fructose selectivity over time (Figure 4). This phenomenon became less 306significant when BC-Al200 was applied, as the final pH was higher than with GIO-Al200 and 307GO-Al200. As mentioned in Section 3.3, BC-Al200 gave fewer side products with higher 308fructose selectivity (60.4%) than GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200 (51.0% and 51.8%) at 40 min.

309For instance, the 5-HMF yield from using BC-Al200 at 40 min was 3.48%, which was lower 310than 6.38% and 5.97% of GIO-Al200 and GO-Al200. This result can be explained by the 311presence of alkali minerals in sawdust and its derived biochar (Wang et al., 2016b), which 312buffered the decrease of solution pH. Therefore, the pH buffering capacity of biochar catalyst 313can help to moderate the catalytic activity and reduce the undesirable side reactions.

3143.5 Active sites of BC-Al200

315 In the Al-impregnated carbon catalysis system, aluminium species coordinated in the 316carbon support catalyse glucose isomerisation in a Lewis acid-driven pathway, where hydride 317shift occurred in different carbon positions of glucose in conjunction with an electron pair 318acceptor. It has been reported that the Lewis acidic active sites for glucose isomerisation 319might be Al species in the amorphous phase including aluminium carbide (Al₄C₃) and four-, 320five-, six- coordinated Al species (e.g., β-Al(OH)₃, γ-Al(OH)₃, Al-O-C, AlO(OH), and γ-321AlO(OH)) that are impregnated on the carbon supports (Yu et al., 2019a, Yu et al., 2019b), 322and also probably from hydrolysed Al(III) complex [Al(H₂O)₄(OH)₂]¹⁺/[Al(OH)²(aq)]* (Tang 323et al., 2015; Norton et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2019). Such Al-coordinated complexes played an 324active role in shortening the hydrogen transfer distance during glucose isomerisation, thus 325overcoming the energy barrier and facilitating the rate-determining hydride shift (Ju et al., 3262019). Moreover, the oxygen-containing functionalities can further facilitate glucose 327isomerisation by enhancing reflection, transmission, and absorption of microwave in the 328oxygen moieties intensified hotspots (Yu et al., 2019b).

329 As for biochar catalyst in the current study, the amorphous phase of BC-Al200 was shown

330in the Raman spectra with I_D/I_G at 0.52 (Figure 8a). Effective impregnation of Al species was 331confirmed by XPS (Figure 8b) and NMR spectra (Figure 8c). According to XPS fitting, it can 332be seen that β -Al(OH)₃, γ -Al(OH)₃ / Al-O-C, and γ -AlO(OH) are the main Al components in 333the BC-Al200 catalyst, constituting approximately 67.8%, 15.3%, and 17% of the total Al 334species. These species might be present in the configurations of four-, five-, and six-335coordinated aluminium, (Al[4], Al[5], and Al[6]) as shown in Figure 8c. Diverse oxygen-336containing groups on the biochar surface, such as hydroxyl and epoxy groups can facilitate 337the impregnation of Al and promote the formation of an Al-O matrix (Yu et al., 2019a). 338Similar chemical features of BC-Al200 suggested similar pathways when using graphite-339derived and biomass-derived materials as carbon support to synthesize active catalysts for 340glucose isomerisation. Nevertheless, when comparing the Al species of the three catalysts, 341Al₄C₃ was present in GIO-Al200 but was absent from GO-Al200 and BC-Al200. The 342contents of Al[6] (including Al[6]* and Al[6]*) of all the three catalysts were between 70% 343and 80%, while Al[4] of BC-Al200 was only slightly lower than those of GIO-Al200 and 344GO-Al200 (8.8% and 10.5%) (Yu et al., 2019b). The Al[5] (five-coordination) content 345constituted 16.8% of BC-Al200, while the corresponding figures in GIO-Al200 and GO-346Al200 were only 5.9% and 1.1% (Yu et al., 2019b). Such differences of Al species may act as 347significant factors in determining the active site distributions, the roles of which are expected 348to be further explored with computational simulation of molecular geometry and energy 349barriers. The more amorphous surface of graphite and graphene oxide may present more 350abundant and evenly distributed microwave-sensitive functionalities and active sites 351rendering faster kinetics as well as greater catalytic activities than the biochar support.

These findings provide key information about conversion kinetics and catalytic activity, 353and hence contribute to further optimising the synthesis process of carbon-based catalysts for 354sustainable biorefinery. As summarised in Figure 9, the synthesis method of metal-doped 355carbonaceous catalysts was facile and green, which reduced the use of costly and potentially 356hazardous chemicals as well as minimised the release of secondary pollutants into the 357environment. The carbon supports acted as the skeleton with diverse functionalised moieties, 358while the metal doping introduced the active species with catalytic sites. Comparatively, 359biochar-based catalysts showed a similar catalytic performance and kinetics mode with 360graphite/graphene oxide-based catalysts. Biochar derived from waste biomass is a renewable 361and sustainable material, and its simple one-step production process through pyrolysis with 362metal doping seems economically competitive for large-scale production. The utilisation of 363engineered biochar can relieve greenhouse gas emissions and waste disposal burdens. Further 364optimisation of synthesis design can improve the catalytic performance of engineered biochar 365for producing valuable platform chemicals in a cost-effective and environmentally friendly 366way.

367

3684. Conclusions and future prospects

370supports to synthesize heterogeneous catalysts by impregnation with 10 wt% Al for the 371catalytic isomerisation of glucose to fructose for sustainable biorefinery. By using microwave 372heating at 140 °C in water as a green solvent, Al-impregnated carbon-based catalysts showed 373considerable catalytic activities for glucose isomerisation with maximum fructose yields of

37429-35%. GIO-Al200 showed similar catalytic activities as GO-Al200, while BC-Al200 375presented slightly slower kinetics with less carbon loss as side products. Engineered biochar 376catalyst could be synthesized in a low-cost and sustainable manner with less resource 377consumption and carbon emission to the environment. From the results of this study, the 378design of cost-effective and environment-friendly carbon-based catalysts to optimise the 379catalytic activity could be further investigated. For example, adjusting the pyrolysis 380temperature or purging gas to tailor the oxygenated functionality of biochar surface, and 381changing the concentration or speciation of impregnation agent to improve the dispersion of 382active sites, are promising directions for future design of catalysts for sustainable biorefinery. 383More explorations on integrated biorefinery to make full use of the biomass feedstock and 384exploit the chain reactions with various value-added products are expected to achieve high 385carbon efficiency. The insights revealed in this work contribute to the green design of Al-386impregnated biochar catalyst for biorefinery process and biomass valorisation.

387

388Acknowledgements

389The authors appreciate the financial support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council 390(PolyU 15217818) and Hong Kong International Airport Environmental Fund (Phase 2). We 391also acknowledge the support of the University Research Facility on Chemical and 392Environmental Analysis (URFCE) of PolyU.

393

394References

395 1. Al-Amsyar, S. M., Adam, F., Ng, E. P., 2017. Aluminium oxide-silica/carbon composites

- 396 from rice husk as a bi-functional heterogeneous catalyst for the one-pot sequential
- reaction in the conversion of glucose. Surf. Interfaces 9, 1-8.
- 398 2. Antunes, M. M., Russo, P. A., Wiper, P. V., Veiga, J. M., Pillinger, M., Mafra, L.,
- Evtuguin, D. V., Pinna, N., Valente, A. A., 2014. Sulfonated graphene oxide as effective
- catalyst for conversion of 5-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-furfural into Biofuels. ChemSusChem,
- 401 7, 804-812.
- 402 3. Bottari, G., Herranz, M. Á., Wibmer, L., Volland, M., Rodríguez-Pérez, L., Guldi, D. M.,
- 403 Hirsch, A., Martín, N., D'Souza, F., Torres, T., 2017. Chemical functionalization and
- 404 characterization of graphene-based materials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 46, 4464-4500.
- 405 4. Cao, J., Ma, M., Liu, J., Yang, Y., Liu, H., Xu, X., Huang, J., Yue, H., Tian, G., Feng, S.,
- 406 2019. Highly effective transformation of carbohydrates to 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural with
- 407 Al-montmorillonite as catalyst. Appl. Catal. *571*, 96-101.
- 408 5. Carraher, J. M., Fleitman, C. N., Tessonnier, J. P., 2015. Kinetic and mechanistic study of
- 409 glucose isomerisation using homogeneous organic Brønsted base catalysts in water. ACS
- 410 Catal. 5, 3162-3173.
- 411 6. Clark, J. H., 2019. Green biorefinery technologies based on waste biomass. Green
- 412 Chem. 21, 1168-1170.
- 413 7. Chen, S. S., Maneerung, T., Tsang, D. C., Ok, Y. S., Wang, C. H., 2017. Valorization of
- 414 biomass to hydroxymethylfurfural, levulinic acid, and fatty acid methyl ester by
- heterogeneous catalysts. Chemical Engineering Journal, 328, 246-273.
- 416 8. Chen, S. S., Wang, L., Iris, K. M., Tsang, D. C., Hunt, A. J., Jérôme, F., Zhang, S., Ok, Y.
- 417 S., Poon, C. S., 2018a. Valorization of lignocellulosic fibres of paper waste into levulinic
- acid using solid and aqueous Brønsted acid. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 387-394.
- 419 9. Chen, S. S., Yu, I. K., Cho, D. W., Song, H., Tsang, D. C., Tessonnier, J. P., Ok, Y. S.,
- 420 Poon, C. S., 2018b. Selective Glucose Isomerisation to Fructose via a Nitrogen-doped
- 421 Solid Base Catalyst Derived from Spent Coffee Grounds. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.
- 422 6, 16113-16120.
- 423 10. Choudhary, V., Mushrif, S. H., Ho, C., Anderko, A., Nikolakis, V., Marinkovic, N. S.,
- 424 Frenkel, A. I., Sandler, S.I., Vlachos, D. G., 2013. Insights into the interplay of Lewis
- and Brønsted acid catalysts in glucose and fructose conversion to 5-(hydroxymethyl)
- furfural and levulinic acid in aqueous media. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 3997-4006.
- 427 11. Delidovich, I., and Palkovits, R., 2016. Catalytic Isomerisation of Biomass-Derived
- 428 Aldoses: A Review. ChemSusChem, 9, 547-561.
- 429 12. Dutta, S., Yu, I. K. M., Tsang, D. C., Ng, Y. H., Ok, Y. S., Sherwood, J., Clark, J. H.,
- 430 2019. Green synthesis of gamma-valerolactone (GVL) through hydrogenation of
- biomass-derived levulinic acid using non-noble metal catalysts: A critical review. Chem.
- 432 Eng. J. 372, 992-1006.
- 433 13. Gao, D. M., Kobayashi, T., Adachi, S., 2015. Kinetic analysis for the isomerisation of

- 434 glucose, fructose, and mannose in subcritical aqueous ethanol. Biosci. Biotechnol. 79,
- 435 1005-1010
- 436 14. Georgakilas, V., Tiwari, J. N., Kemp, K. C., Perman, J. A., Bourlinos, A. B., Kim, K. S.,
- 437 Zboril, R., 2016. Noncovalent functionalization of graphene and graphene oxide for
- energy materials, biosensing, catalytic, and biomedical applications. Chem. Rev. 116,
- 439 5464-5519.
- 440 15. Guo, W., Liu, H., Zhang, S., Han, H., Liu, H., Jiang, T., Han, B., Wu, T., 2016. Efficient
- 441 hydrogenolysis of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural to 2, 5-dimethylfuran over a cobalt and
- copper bimetallic catalyst on N-graphene-modified Al 2 O 3. Green Chem. 18, 6222-
- 443 6228.
- 444 16. Hou, Q., Li, W., Ju, M., Liu, L., Chen, Y., Yang, Q., 2016. One-pot synthesis of
- 445 sulfonated graphene oxide for efficient conversion of fructose into HMF. RSC
- 446 Advances, 6, 104016-104024.
- 447 17. Hummers, W. S., and Offeman, R. E., 1958. Preparation of graphitic oxide. J. Am. Chem.
- 448 Soc. 80, 1339-1339.
- 449 18. Ju, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhao, T., Xiao, W., Yao, X., 2019. Mechanism of Glucose-Fructose
- 450 Isomerization over Aluminum-Based Catalysts in Methanol Media. ACS Sustainable
- 451 Chem. Eng. 7, 14962-14972.
- 452 19. Keiluweit, M., Nico, P.S., Johnson, M.G., Kleber, M., 2010. Dynamic molecular
- 453 structure of plant biomass-derived black carbon (biochar). Environ. Sci. Technol. 44,
- 454 1247.
- 455 20. Khajavi, S. H., Kimura, Y., Oomori, T., Matsuno, R., Adachi, S., 2005. Degradation
- kinetics of monosaccharides in subcritical water. J. Food Eng. 68, 309-313.
- 457 21. Lam, S. S., Liew, R. K., Cheng, C. K., Chase, H. A., 2015. Catalytic microwave
- 458 pyrolysis of waste engine oil using metallic pyrolysis char. Applied Catalysis B:
- 459 Environmental, 176–177, 601-617.
- 460 22. Lam, S. S., Liew, R. K., Wong, Y. M., Azwar, E., Jusoh, A., Wahi, R., 2017. Activated
- carbon for catalyst support from microwave pyrolysis of orange peel. Waste Biomass
- 462 Valor. 8, 2109–2119
- 463 23. Li, H., Yang, S., Saravanamurugan, S., Riisager, A., 2017. Glucose isomerisation by
- enzymes and chemo-catalysts: Status and current advances. ACS Catal. 7, 3010-3029.
- 465 24. Li, Z., Sun, Y., Yang, Y., Han, Y., Wang, T., Chen, J., Tsang, D. C. W., 2020. Biochar-
- supported nanoscale zero-valent iron as an efficient catalyst for organic degradation in
- 467 groundwater. J. Hazard. Mater., 383, 121240.
- 468 25. Liew, R.K., Chong, M.Y., Osazuwa, O.U., Nam, W. L., Phang, X. Y., Su, M. H., Cheng,
- 469 C. K., Chong, C. T., Lam, S.S., 2018. Production of activated carbon as catalyst support
- by microwave pyrolysis of palm kernel shell: a comparative study of chemical versus
- physical activation. Res Chem Intermed 44, 3849–3865.

- 472 26. Mission, E. G., Quitain, A. T., Sasaki, M., Kida, T., 2017. Synergizing graphene oxide
- 473 with microwave irradiation for efficient cellulose depolymerization into glucose. Green
- 474 Chemistry, 19, 3831-3843.
- 475 27. Norton, A. M., Nguyen, H., Xiao, N. L., Vlachos, D. G., 2018. Direct speciation methods
- 476 to quantify catalytically active species of AlCl₃ in glucose isomerisation. RSC Adv. 8,
- 477 17101-17109.
- 478 28. Ray, S. C., 2015. Applications of Graphene and Graphene-Oxide Based Nanomaterials
- 479 (Chapter 2), Application and Uses of Graphene Oxide and Reduced Graphene Oxide.
- page 39-55. Elsevier Inc.
- 481 29. Shaikh, M., Singh, S. K., Khilari, S., Sahu, M., Ranganath, K. V., 2018. Graphene oxide
- as a sustainable metal and solvent free catalyst for dehydration of fructose to 5-HMF: A
- new and green protocol. Catal. Commun. 106, 64-67.
- 484 30. Sudarsanam, P., Zhong, R., Van den Bosch, S., Coman, S. M., Parvulescu, V. I., Sels, B.
- 485 F., 2018. Functionalised heterogeneous catalysts for sustainable biomass
- 486 valorisation. Chem. Soc. Rev. 47, 8349-8402.
- 487 31. Tang, J., Guo, X., Zhu, L., Hu, C., 2015. Mechanistic study of glucose-to-fructose
- isomerisation in water catalyzed by [Al(OH)₂(aq)]⁺. ACS Catal. 5, 5097-5103.
- 489 32. Tang, J., Zhu, L., Fu, X., Dai, J., Guo, X., Hu, C., 2016. Insights into the Kinetics and
- 490 Reaction Network of Aluminum Chloride-Catalyzed Conversion of Glucose in NaCl-
- 491 H₂O/THF Biphasic System. ACS Catal. 7, 256-266.
- 492 33. Wang, H., Kong, Q., Wang, Y., Deng, T., Chen, C., Hou, X., Zhu, Y., 2014. Graphene
- 493 oxide catalyzed dehydration of fructose into 5-hydroxymethylfurfural with isopropanol
- as cosolvent. ChemCatChem, 6, 728-732.
- 495 34. Wang, L., Chen, L., Tsang, D. C. W., Kua, H. W., Yang, J., Ok, Y. S., Ding, S., Hou, D.,
- 496 Poon, C. S., 2019. The roles of biochar as green admixture for sediment-based
- 497 construction products. Cem. Concr. Compos., 104, 103348.
- 498 35. Wang, L., Chen, S. S., Tsang, D. C., Poon, C. S., Shih, K., 2016b. Value-added recycling
- 499 of construction waste wood into noise and thermal insulating cement-bonded
- particleboards. Constr. Build. Mater. 125, 316-325.
- 501 36. Wang, X., Su, K., Li, Z., Cheng, B., 2016a. Formation of larger-area graphene from
- small GO sheets in the presence of basic divalent sulfide species and its use in biomass
- 503 conversion. RSC Adv. 6, 11176-11184.
- 504 37. Xiong, X., Yu, I. K. M., Cao, L., Tsang, D. C. W., Zhang, S., Ok, Y. S., 2017. A review of
- 505 biochar-based catalysts for chemical synthesis, biofuel production, and pollution
- 506 control. Bioresour. Technol. 246, 254-270.
- 507 38. Xiong, X., Yu, I. K. M., Tsang, D. C. W., Bolan, N. S., Ok, Y. S., Igalavithana, A. D.,
- Kirkham, M.B., Kim, K.H., Vikrant, K., 2019. Value-added Chemicals from Food Supply
- Chain Wastes: State-of-the-art Review and Future Prospects. Chem. Eng. J. 121983.

- 510 39. Xiong, X., Yu, I. K. M., Chen, S. S., Tsang, D. C. W., Cao, L., Song, H., Kwon, E. E.,
- Ok, Y. S., Zhang, S., Poon, C. S., 2018. Sulfonated biochar as acid catalyst for sugar
- 512 hydrolysis and dehydration. Catal. Today 314, 52-61.
- 513 40. Yang, X., Yu, I. K. M., Cho, D. W., Chen, S. S., Tsang, D. C. W., Shang, J., Yip, A. C. K.,
- Wang, L., Ok, Y. S., 2019. Tin-Functionalized Wood Biochar as a Sustainable Solid
- 515 Catalyst for Glucose Isomerisation in Biorefinery. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 7, 4851-
- 516 4860.
- 517 41. Yu, I. K. M., Tsang, D. C. W., 2017. Conversion of biomass to hydroxymethylfurfural: A
- review of catalytic systems and underlying mechanisms. Bioresour. Technol. 238, 716-
- **519** 732.
- 520 42. Yu, I. K. M., Tsang, D. C., Yip, A. C., Chen, S. S., Ok, Y. S., Poon, C. S., 2016.
- Valorization of food waste into hydroxymethylfurfural: dual role of metal ions in
- 522 successive conversion steps. Bioresour. Technol. 219, 338-347.
- 523 43. Yu, I. K. M., Tsang, D. C. W., Yip, A. C. K., Chen, S. S., Wang, L., Ok, Y. S., Poon, C.
- 524 S., 2017. Catalytic valorization of starch-rich food waste into hydroxymethylfurfural
- 525 (HMF): controlling relative kinetics for high productivity. Bioresour. Technol. 237, 222-
- 526 230.
- 527 44. Yu, I. K. M., Xiong, X., Tsang, D. C. W., Wang, L., Hunt, A. J., Song, H., Shang, J., Ok,
- Y.S., Poon, C. S., 2019a. Aluminium-biochar composites as sustainable heterogeneous
- 529 catalysts for glucose isomerisation in a biorefinery. Green Chem. 21, 1267-1281.
- 530 45. Yu, I. K. M., Xiong, X., Tsang, D. C. W., Ng, Y. H., Clark, J., Fan, J., Zhang, S., Hu, C.,
- Ok, Y. S., 2019b. Graphite Oxide-and Graphene Oxide-supported Catalysts for
- Microwave-assisted Glucose Isomerisation in Water. Green Chem. 21, 4341-4353.
- 533 46. Zhang, M., Su, K., Song, H., Li, Z., Cheng, B., 2015. The excellent performance of
- amorphous Cr₂O₃, SnO₂, SrO and graphene oxide–ferric oxide in glucose conversion into
- 535 5-HMF. Catal. Commun. 69, 76-80.
- 536 47. Zhou, W., Fan, Y., Feng, X., Kikuchi, K., Nomura, N., Kawasaki, A., 2018. Creation of
- 537 individual few-layer graphene incorporated in an aluminum matrix. Composites Part A:
- Applied Science and Manufacturing. 112, 168-177.
- 539 48. Zhu, S., Wang, J., Fan, W., 2015. Graphene-based catalysis for biomass conversion. Catal. Sci. Technol. 5, 3845-3858.