

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Immunol Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Immunol Lett. 2018 October ; 202: 59-64. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2018.08.002.

Diversity in the T cell response to *Chlamydia*-sum are better than one

Jasmine C. Labuda and Stephen J. McSorley

Center for Comparative Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Physiology and Cell Biology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616

Abstract

Chlamydia trachomatis is responsible for an increasing number of sexually transmitted infections in the United States and is a common cause of serious pathology in the female reproductive tract (FRT). Given the impact and incidence of these infections, the production of an effective *Chlamydia* vaccine is a public health priority. Mouse models of *Chlamydia* infection have been utilized to develop a detailed and mechanistic understanding of protective immunity in the FRT. These studies reveal that MHC class-II restricted *Chlamydia*-specific CD4 T cells are critical for primary bacterial clearance and provide effective protection against secondary infection in the FRT. Despite the clear importance of IFN- γ produced by CD4 Th1 cells, there are also suggestions of wider functional heterogeneity in the CD4 T cell response to *Chlamydia* infection. Understanding the role of this diversity in the CD4 T helper cell response in the FRT should allow a more nuanced view of CD4 T cell biology in the context of *Chlamydia* infection and may be critical for vaccine development. Here, we summarize our current understanding of CD4 T helper subsets in the clearance of *Chlamydia* and discuss some areas where knowledge needs to be further extended by additional experimentation.

Introduction

The *Chlamydiaceae* family consists of 11 different species of *Chlamydia. Chlamydia trachomatis* (*Ct*) and *muridarum* (*Cm*) infect human and mouse reproductive tracts, respectively, and will be highlighted in this review [1]. *Chlamydiae* are gram-negative, obligate intracellular bacteria [1]. Their typical life cycle is bi-phasic, consisting of elementary (EBs) and reticulate bodies (RBs). The spore-like elementary bodies are built to withstand the noxious extracellular environment, while reticulate bodies acquire nutrients and replicate inside a host cell vacuole known as an inclusion [2]. After replication, bacteria are released from the host cell by one of two mechanisms: lysis or extrusion. During lysis, permeabilization of the inclusion, and nuclear and plasma membranes all lead to rupture of the host cell and release of EBs [3]. Extrusion occurs when EBs exit the cell by budding off

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to: Jasmine Labuda, Tel: (530) 752 5032, Fax: (530) 752 7914, jclabuda@ucdavis.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

from the plasma membrane, leaving the host cell uncompromised [3]. After exiting the initial target cell, *Chlamydia* initiate the replication cycle again in a neighboring host cell.

The incidence of *Chlamydia* infection is over 100 million worldwide cases [4], and a study of women in the UK estimates that 5% of 16-24-year-old women are infected [5]. Furthermore, Ct infections are responsible for 35% of incidents of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in 16-24 year olds and 29% of tubal factor infertility cases (TFI), making this pathogen a substantial threat to the reproductive health of young women [5, [6]. Due to the asymptomatic nature of this infection, patients run the risk of developing severe complications prior to seeking medical attention. Efforts to regularly screen patients and treat them with antibiotics have been implemented to address this problem [7]. While employment of this strategy has coincided with reduced incidence of PID, the incidence of Ct infections is still rising [7, [8]. Indeed, antibiotic use may be limiting acquired immunity to infection and thus contributing to the rising incidence of infection [9, [10]. Therefore, an effective vaccine would be the preferred method of diminishing the frequency of Ct infections and associated pathology in the population. Clinical reports of Ct infections suggest that primary infection can be resolved naturally in some women, as evidenced by swab collections at clinical follow-up visits that are Ct negative [11, [12]. Mouse studies support a model in which adaptive immunity, particularly CD4 T cells, are required to clear primary Chlamydia infection from the female reproductive tract (FRT). These data suggest that a vaccine targeting adaptive CD4 T cells will be most promising in protecting patients from Ct infection.

Protective immunity in clinical infection

Precisely defining the factors contributing to *Chlamydia* immunity in humans is a daunting task for researchers evaluating clinical studies. Indeed, many studies investigating the duration of the infection and the host factors that influence the resolution of infection are confounding [13]. However, these studies point to some important characteristics about natural human *Chlamydia* infection, including the simple fact that some women can naturally resolve the infection. A 5-year study of a cohort of Colombian women showed that approximately 50% of women cleared *Ct* without any reported treatment after 1 year, and 94% were able to clear infection after 4 years [14]. These clinical observations indicate that many women naturally generate adequate protective responses to *Chlamydia*, although the long delay in this immune development may predispose some of these individuals to severe complications associated with prolonged infection [15]. Exactly how a subset of women is able to spontaneously clear or resist primary *Chlamydia* infection is poorly understood.

There are several genetic and environmental factors linked to resistance or susceptibility to *C. trachomatis* (*Ct*) infection in women. The HLA class II variant DQB1*06 is reported to be associated with *Chlamydia* infection in North American adolescents [16], pointing to a major role for CD4 T cells in *Chlamydia* immunity. Interestingly, HIV-infected women that lack healthy CD4 T cells have an increased risk of developing chlamydial PID [17], suggesting that CD4 T cells are required for clearing infection and/or regulating pathology. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (pbmc) secretion of IFN- γ or IL-13, cytokines that are produced by T helper cells, has been associated with resistance to *Ct* infection in a cohort of

female sex workers in Kenya [18]. Detection of these cytokines may indicate that CD4 T cell differentiation is heterogeneous in the FRT. Women lacking an IL-10 variant produced higher levels of this cytokine after infection, and this correlated with increased susceptibility to re-infection [19]. Thus, the development of an appropriate effector CD4 T cell response appears to be required for resolution of *Ct* infection and counter regulation of these responses may allow reinfection.

CD4 T cells are essential for protection in animal models

Animal models of Chlamydia infection have been useful tools for dissecting the specific types of immune responses important for chlamydial immunity. Nude mice lacking all T cells, as well as TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$ and TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ knockout mice are unable to clear *Chlamydia* from the FRT [20, [21, [22]. Furthermore, infection of MHC class-II-deficient mice, which lack the ability to activate most CD4 T cells, results in non-resolving infection and perpetual shedding of *Cm* from the FRT [23]. Moreover, multiple studies have reported CD4 T cells are essential for optimal resolution of primary and secondary Chlamydia infection in mice [24, [25, [26, [27]]. Genetic knockout of CD4 T cells during primary Cm infection causes delayed resolution of infection by about 10 days [23], whereas CD4 depletion prior to infection results in 100,000-fold higher bacterial burdens after 30 days of infection [28, [29, [30]. The discrepancy between the CD4 knockout and depletion experiments could be due to MHC class II-restricted CD4(-) T cells that arise in CD4-/- mice and that may contribute to clearing the infection [31]. The persistent high bacterial shedding observed in mice infected after CD4 depletion closely resembles infection of MHC class II-deficient mice, which cannot resolve primary infection [23]. Thus, the phenotype observed after antibody depletion of CD4 T cells is probably a more accurate reflection of the importance of CD4 T cells in clearing Chlamydia. The high FRT bacterial burdens observed in the MHC class II knockout and CD4-depleted mice contrast with studies using IFN-y-deficient mice in which the mice shed low levels of bacteria from the FRT for long periods of time and have increased bacterial loads peripheral tissues [27]. These studies suggest the involvement of other MHC class II-restricted CD4 T helper subsets that are required to eradicate bacteria using other protective mechanisms.

Adoptive transfer of memory CD4 T cells into naïve mice provides modest protection against secondary challenge [25]; however, the magnitude of this protective effect is lower than the protective response in a previously infected mouse [26]. It is possible that the artificial process of T cell adoptive transfer reduces the protective capacity of the CD4 T cells *in vivo*, but alternative techniques such as parabioisis may be more appropriate for teasing apart the arms of the immune response contributing to protective immunity. More likely, the protective capacity of CD4 T cells works more efficiently in concert with other aspects of immune memory, including *Chlamydia*specific B cell responses.

B cells and antibody are involved in combating both primary and secondary *Chlamydia* infection respectively, and this role for humoral immunity has been recently summarized [32]. After vaginal infection, mice lacking B cells show similar FRT bacterial shedding as wild type mice, implying that B cells are not involved in resolution of primary infection [24]. However, a more detailed analysis of this process revealed that B cell-deficient mice

develop systemic disease that causes ascites formation and induces a larger systemic T cell response to infection [33]. Investigation is underway to determine whether this requirement for B cells necessitates antibody secretion or simply B cell antigen presentation to CD4 T cells. The transfer of immune serum alone provides some protection against secondary challenge in guinea pigs; however, the absence of immune controls in the study makes it is difficult to determine whether this represents complete protection in this animal model [34]. The transfer of immune serum into B cell-deficient mice provides incomplete protection to primary or secondary *Cm* challenge, suggesting distinct roles for B cells and antibody. Ongoing studies will carefully delineate the role of B cells versus antibody in the protective immune response to *Chlamydia*.

CD4 T cell subsets in Chlamydia immunity

Despite a clear requirement for CD4 T cells in protective immunity to Chlamydia, the precise role of CD4 T helper cell subsets remains incompletely defined. Our laboratory previously developed multiple MHC class-II tetramers that allow ex vivo detection of expanded Chlamydia-specific CD4 T cells during infection [33]. This technical approach allows identification of CD4 T cell responses without predicting effector capacity. Using tetramers, we detected expansion of Th1, Th17 and Tregs, but virtually no GATA3⁺ Th2 cells, in the draining lymph nodes and reproductive tract of mice infected with intravaginal Cm [33]. This finding is somewhat at odds with reports in mice and humans regarding the presence of IL-13 or IL-13-producing CD4 T cells [17, [35]. There are multiple possible explanations for this discrepancy. Perhaps the IL-13-producing Th cells identified in mice are producing this cytokine in a GATA3-independent manner [36]. Indeed, other transcription factors such as E4BP4 have been shown to regulate IL-13 production in T helper cells [37]. Another possibility for the presence of IL-13-producing CD4 T cells in the mouse study by Johnson et al. is the technique used to identify these cells [35]. The authors cultured these cells in vitro for many passages in order to create cell lines [35]. It is well established that cell lines do not often reflect the *in vivo* phenotype of the cells originally responding to the infection [38]. In the next sections, we will present our view of what is known regarding the heterogeneity of T helper cell subsets responding to Chlamydia infection.

Th1 cells

T helper type 1 cells characteristically facilitate the clearance of intracellular bacterial pathogens [39], and are essential for the resolution of *Salmonella, Mycobacteria*, and *Leishmania* infections in mice [40]. Th1 cells secrete the key cytokine IFN- γ , which allows infected macrophages to eliminate intra-phagosomal bacteria by inducing production of toxic radicals, including nitric oxide, which can directly destroy the pathogen [41].

IFN- γ is also important for the resolution of *Chlamydia* infection. IFN- γ -mediated destruction of *Chlamydia* can occur in multiple cells *in vitro* including macrophages and epithelial cells [42]. Additionally, IFN- γ up-regulates IDO in host cells, resulting in tryptophan degradation which is known to starve *Chlamydia* and severely inhibit its growth [43]. Consistent with this *in vitro* activity, Th1 responses can facilitate protection against *Chlamydia* infection *in vivo* [27, [44, [45]. Th1 cells are initially polarized by IL-12,

secreted by dendritic cells, while IFN- γ production by natural killer, CD8, or Th1 cells maintains this type I polarization. Mice lacking IL-12 display prolonged periods of vaginal Chlamydia shedding, but can eventually resolve infection [22]. In marked contrast, mice lacking IFN- γ display lower bacterial burdens in the FRT but can develop systemic disease [27, [45]. These observations indicate distinct roles for Th1 cells in the local reproductive tract versus systemic organs. The phenotype of IL-12-deficient mice resembles CD4depleted mice in that they shed high numbers of *Cm* bacteria at the FRT mucosa [27, [45], suggesting they are deficient in Th1 responses at the tissue site. In contrast IFN- γ -deficient mice shed low levels of bacteria from the FRT, while B cell-deficient mice clear Cm from the FRT completely [22] [33]. Both mice, however, develop severe concurrent systemic infection, indicating that IFN- γ and humoral responses may be necessary for containing disseminating infection [33, [46, [47]. CD4 T cells are likely the main source of IFN- γ in these scenarios since NK or CD8 T cell depletion during primary or secondary Cm infection causes only mildly increased bacterial burdens [24, [29], [48]. This might suggest that CD4 T cell production of IFN- γ to direct antibody class-switching is critical to preventing disseminated primary infection, and this notion is supported by a recent study [47]. The source of this protective IFN- γ is likely to be Tfh cells in the draining lymph node, a CD4 subset that has not been carefully studied in the context of *Chlamydia* infection. Indeed, in a study utilizing a Salmonella vaccine, repeated antigen exposure generated robust germinal center formation that was dependent on IFN- γ the primary source of which was Tfh cells [49]. The antibody response generated from these germinal centers enhanced pathogen clearance. It is possible that the humoral immune response to *Chlamydia* functions through a similar mechanism, where IFN- γ -producing T follicular helper cells direct the generation of protective antibody.

The development of *Chlamydia*-specific tools to track T cell responses has allowed more precise measurement of T helper cell subset development in response to chlamydial antigens. Two independent TCR transgenic lines, one responding to C. trachomatis (NR1) [50] and the other specific for *C. trachomatis* and *C. muridarum* [21] have been generated. When used as part of an adoptive transfer system, both of these TCR transgenic populations develop into Th1 effector cells in response to challenge with their respective chlamydial species. The latter TCR transgenic line was protective against C. muridarum and exhibited polyfunctionality, secreting both TNF- $_{\alpha}$ and IL-2 in conjunction with IFN- γ , which lends support to previous evidence documenting polyfunctional CD4 T cell responses to Chlamydia [18, [33, [51]. An extensive and elegant vaccine study using the NR1 mouse showed that NR1 cells differentiate into Th1 cells upon challenge with UV-Chlamydia trachomatis (UV-Ct) conjugated to an adjuvant linked to a charge-switching particle (UV-CtcSAP). These Chlamydia-specific Th1 cells were protective against Ct challenge in immunized mice. Interestingly, these protective Th1 cells were primed mainly by CD103-DCs in the uterus or iliac lymph node as opposed to non-protective UV-Ct specific T cell responses, which were primed by tolerogenic CD103+ DCs. Th1 cells in UV-Ct-cSAP immunized mice reduced Chlamydia bacterial burdens in the reproductive tract by 10-fold compared to UV-Ct immunized mice. However this protection was equivalent to that conferred by live Ct infection, characterized by an initial bacterial load of 100,000 IFU [52]. This level of protection may be sub-optimal, as it allows a significant amount of bacteria to enter the tissue, greatly contrasting the robust protection observed after C. muridarum

infection that consists of approximately 10 IFU of bacteria in the FRT upon secondary challenge [24].

Although it is clear that Th1 cells make a significant contribution to *Chlamydia* immunity, the phenotypes of mice lacking Th1 immunity are not synonymous with the those of mice possessing a deficiency in MHC class-II, which display high levels of bacterial shedding and nonresolving infections [23]. It seems possible therefore that other cytokines produced by polyfunctional Th1 cells, such as TNF- $_{\alpha}$ and IL-2 [51], serve to restrain bacterial infection in the absence of IFN- γ . Alternatively, there may well be contributions from additional CD4 T helper cell subsets within the female reproductive tract that control *Chlamydia* infection, but remain poorly characterized.

Th2 cells

The prominent functions of Th2 cells are in assisting mast cells during allergy and hypersensitivity reactions as well as in immunity to helminth infections [39]. They can also coordinate tissue repair responses [53, [54]. A study of human *Chlamydia* infection revealed there are significantly more IL-4 producing antigen-specific CD4 T cells in pbmcs from *Chlamydia*-infected female patients than non-infected control subjects [55]. Robust cellular production of this cytokine was detected at enrollment, and at 1 and 4-month follow-up visits. The author's suggest that this finding "implies that Type 2 immunity was evolutionarily selected to control genital *C. trachomatis* infection" [55]. While Th2 cells may be detected during active *Chlamydia* infection, it is less clear that Th2 cells are actually protective. Because women were treated with antibiotics prior to follow-up visits, associations of Th2 responses with the ability to control infection, or reduced pathology could not be measured in the study [55].

The potential role of Th2 cells during *Chlamydia* infection has not been extensively characterized. As noted above, very few GATA3+ CD4 T cells were detected during active infection in a study using MHC Class-II tetramers to identify endogenous polyclonal responses [33]. Furthermore, genetic deletion of IL-4 or or IL-4Ra did not affect Chlamydia bacterial burdens relative to wildtype mice [33, [56]. Additionally, the protective capacity of an in vitro generated Th2 clone specific to Chlamydia muridarum has been examined. When transferred into nude mice, this Th2 clone provided no protection against *Cm* compared to mice lacking T cells. Using the same approach, transfer of Th1 clone provided robust protection against Cm, and infection was cleared after 30 days [57]. Likewise, adoptive transfer of NR1 TCR transgenic T cells that had previously been skewed toward a Th2 phenotype resulted in higher bacterial burdens compared to mice receiving Th1 cells. Intriguingly, transferred Th2 cells responding to infection eventually skewed towards a Th1 phenotype [44]. Collectively, these results suggest that few Th2 cells are generated in the mouse model of infection and that artificially generated Th2 cells lack the capacity to clear Chlamydia infection in vivo. Thus, if further data confirms that Th2 cells are involved in human *Chlamydia* immunity, alternative animal models may be required to study Th2mediated protection.

Although few classical Th2 cells are elicited during murine infection, eosinophils are recruited to the FRT during *C. trachomatis* infection in mice [56]. A reduction in eosinophil

frequency in IL-4-deficient mice correlated with increased severity of upper reproductive tract pathology [56]. Recent data suggest that multifunctional CD4 T cells producing both IFN- γ and IL-13 may be protective against reproductive tract pathology [35, [58]. Since few Th2 cells are detected in the FRT during *C. muridarum* infection, it is possible that IL-13 production from this unusual population orchestrates the recruitment and/or retention of reparative eosinophils [59, [60]. While these type 2 immune responses may play an important role in tissue protection and repair following damage of the FRT, it seems unlikely that these responses effectively reduce the bacterial burden of *Chlamydia* [56]. Future clinical studies should clarify which cells produce IL-13 following stimulation of human pbmcs [18].

Th17 cells

T helper 17 cells typically orchestrate the clearance of extracellular bacteria through the recruitment of neutrophils [39]. Additionally, they contribute to the maintenance of barrier function at mucosal surfaces and Th17 responses have been implicated in pathology associated with autoimmune conditions [61, [62]. Recent studies suggest that Th17 cells play a role in the development of pathology during *Chlamydia* infection. For example, antibody neutralization of IFN-^γ causes CD4 T helper cells to divert towards a Th17 phenotype that corresponds to worsened reproductive tract pathology, and only slightly increased bacterial burden [63]. The exacerbated pathology may be due to the greater influx of neutrophils and monocytes into the tissue mediated by enhanced Th17 cell production [63]. BALB/c mice deficient in IL-17, a canonical Th17 cytokine, exhibit significantly reduced FRT pathology after Chlamydia infection when compared to wildtype controls [64]. IL-17-deficient mice also displayed a corresponding reduction in neutrophil and monocyte infiltration, which could explain the differences in pathology. Interestingly, bacterial shedding was decreased in IL-17-deficient mice compared to WT controls [64]. It is unclear whether CD4 T cells were directed toward a more robust Th1 phenotype in *Chlamydia*infected IL17-deficient mice, but this could explain the observed reduction in bacterial loads. The effect of IL-17-deficiency in mice on a C57BL/6 background differed markedly from the findings in BALB/c mice. C57BL/6 IL-17-deficient mice displayed diminished Th1 responses and neutrophil recruitment. This effect of IL-17 deficiency on Th1 development is supported by studies using other bacterial infection models [62]. Interestingly there were no significant changes in bacterial clearance or FRT pathology in C57BL/6 IL-17-deficient mice compared to WT mice [65]. The opposing phenotypes observed in BALB/c and C57BL/6 backgrounds are likely due to strainspecific differences in CD4 T cell differentiation. However, a pathological role for Th17 cells has been observed in many other studies, supporting a similar function for these cells in *Chlamydia* infection [66, [67, [68].

Tregs

Induced regulatory T cells dampen pro-inflammatory T cell responses through direct cellcell contact mechanisms as well as through the secretion of immune-suppressive cytokines [69]. However, during *Chlamydia* infection Treg-induced immunosuppression has deleterious outcomes for the host in controlling infection. In a clinical trial of inactivated *Ct* immunization, a subset of vaccinated subjects experienced worse symptoms of ocular trachoma than control subjects [70]. This deleterious clinical outcome was also observed in

mice immunized with UV treated *Ct*, since they experienced higher bacterial burdens than unimmunized controls after infection [52]. When this same UV-Ct was physically linked to an adjuvant, CD4 T cell responses were directed away from Treg development and toward a protective Th1 response. This lineage choice was influenced by the DC subset priming the naïve T cells during the primary response to vaccination. Some reports indicate that Treg formation is dependent on CD11b⁻ plasmacytoid DCs while other studies pinpointed CD103⁺ CD11b⁻ cDCs in the priming of Treg responses [52, [71]. In contrast, protective Th1 responses appear to be primed by CD103- CD11b+ DCs [52]. In addition to Treg differentiation driving the chlamydial T cell responses away from a protective Th1 lineage, the induction of Tregs may assist the development of other pro-inflammatory responses that encourage pathology. For instance, co-culturing of Tregs with conventional T cells (Tconv) resulted in increased production of IL-17A in the supernatant [72]. Additionally, Tregdepleted mice had diminished Th17 responses, which correlated with reduced oviduct pathology, but not inhibited bacterial clearance [72]. The available data therefore point to T regulatory cells having an overall harmful influence on the host during *Chlamydia* infection.

Conclusion

The *Chlamydia* community has repeatedly demonstrated in animal models the importance of Th1 immunity in fighting infection. Clearly, canonical Th1 cytokines IL-12 and IFN- γ are required for optimal anti-chlamydial immune responses. However, an area that has remained relatively unexplored is the examination of transcription factors in the regulation of *Chlamydia*-specific CD4 T cell lineages. In preliminary studies, our laboratory has observed that T-bet, the transcription factor that classically defines Th1 cells, is not required for *Chlamydia* clearance from the reproductive tract. This finding actually fits well with previous observations showing a marked discrepancy between mice lacking MHC class-II and those lacking IFN- γ [23, [73]. These observations highlight a need to understand cytokines relevant to protection against *Chlamydia* and how they are transcriptionally controlled, especially if through non-canonical pathways. Together, these studies suggest a more nuanced understanding of how CD4 T cells regulate bacterial growth in the reproductive tract.

Other investigators have suggested that Tissue Resident memory development may be a more comprehensive model to understand protection than Th1 development. However, while vaccine-induced TRMs can protect against *Chlamydia*, the role of this population has not been clearly defined in *C muridarum* infection. Another MHC class II-restricted immune response that is often overlooked is the development of T follicular helper cell response to *Chlamydia*. The importance of B cells and antibody during *Chlamydia* infection suggests a requirement for this subset that will demand greater investigation. While Th2, Th17, and Treg responses appear to play roles in regulating pathology, there is less evidence that they contribute to bacterial clearance. Thus, there remains the potential that a non-classical CD4 effector response plays a major role in bacterial clearance from the FRT during *Chlamydia* infection and multiple populations likely contribute to protection and pathology. The development of an effective *Chlamydia* vaccine will depend on the ability of immunologists to define these

responses in more details and devise immunization approaches that induce similar responses in naive individuals.

References

- Bachmann NL, Polkinghorne A, and Timms P, Chlamydia genomics: providing novel insights into chlamydial biology, Trends Microbiol. (2014) 22: 464–472. [PubMed: 24882432]
- [2]. Bastidas RJ, Elwell CA, Engel JN, and Valdivia RH, Chlamydial intracellular survival strategies, Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine. (2013) 3: a010256. [PubMed: 23637308]
- [3]. Hybiske K, and Stephens RS, Mechanisms of host cell exit by the intracellular bacterium Chlamydia, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. (2007) 104: 11430–11435.
- [4]. Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Wijesooriya NS, Unemo M, Low N, Stevens G, Gottlieb S, Kiarie J, and Temmerman M, Global Estimates of the Prevalence and Incidence of Four Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections in 2012 Based on Systematic Review and Global Reporting, PloS one. (2015) 10: e0143304. [PubMed: 26646541]
- [5]. Price MJ,AA, Soldan K, et al., The natural history of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women: a multi-parameter evidence synthesis., Health Technology Assessment. (2016).
- [6]. Poston TB, Gottlieb SL, and Darville T, Status of vaccine research and development of vaccines for Chlamydia trachomatis infection, Vaccine. (2017).
- [7]. Gottlieb SL, Xu F, and Brunham RC, Screening and treating Chlamydia trachomatis genital infection to prevent pelvic inflammatory disease: interpretation of findings from randomized controlled trials, Sexually transmitted diseases. (2013) 40: 97–102. [PubMed: 23324973]
- [8]. Gotz H, Lindback J, Ripa T, Arneborn M, Ramsted K, and Ekdahl K, Is the increase in notifications of Chlamydia trachomatis infections in Sweden the result of changes in prevalence, sampling frequency or diagnostic methods?, Scandinavian journal of infectious diseases. (2002) 34: 28–34. [PubMed: 11874161]
- [9]. Brunham RC, and Rekart ML, The arrested immunity hypothesis and the epidemiology of chlamydia control, Sexually transmitted diseases. (2008) 35: 53–54. [PubMed: 18157065]
- [10]. Benoun JM, Labuda JC, and McSorley SJ, Collateral Damage: Detrimental Effect of Antibiotics on the Development of Protective Immune Memory, mBio. (2016) 7.
- [11]. Parks KS, Dixon PB, Richey CM, and Hook EW, 3rd, Spontaneous clearance of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in untreated patients, Sexually transmitted diseases. (1997) 24: 229–235.
 [PubMed: 9101635]
- [12]. Molano M, Meijer CJLM, Weiderpass E, Arslan A, Posso H, Franceschi S, Ronderos M, Muñoz N, and van den Brule AJC, The Natural Course of Chlamydia trachomatis Infection in Asymptomatic Colombian Women: A 5-Year Follow-Up Study, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2005) 191: 907–916. [PubMed: 15717266]
- [13]. Geisler WM, Duration of untreated, uncomplicated Chlamydia trachomatis genital infection and factors associated with chlamydia resolution: a review of human studies, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2010) 201 Suppl 2: S104–113. [PubMed: 20470048]
- [14]. Molano M, Meijer CJ, Weiderpass E, Arslan A, Posso H, Franceschi S, Ronderos M, Munoz N, and van den Brule AJ, The natural course of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in asymptomatic Colombian women: a 5-year follow-up study, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2005) 191: 907–916. [PubMed: 15717266]
- [15]. Herzog SA, Althaus CL, Heijne JCM, Oakeshott P, Kerry S, Hay P, and Low N, Timing of progression from Chlamydia trachomatis infection to pelvic inflammatory disease: a mathematical modelling study, BMC Infectious Diseases. (2012) 12: 187–187. [PubMed: 22883325]
- [16]. Geisler WM, Tang J, Wang C, Wilson CM, and Kaslow RA, Epidemiological and genetic correlates of incident Chlamydia trachomatis infection in North American adolescents, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2004) 190: 1723–1729. [PubMed: 15499525]
- [17]. Kimani J, Maclean IW, Bwayo JJ, MacDonald K, Oyugi J, Maitha GM, Peeling RW, Cheang M, Nagelkerke NJ, Plummer FA, and Brunham RC, Risk factors for Chlamydia trachomatis pelvic

inflammatory disease among sex workers in Nairobi, Kenya, The Journal of infectious diseases. (1996) 173: 1437–1444. [PubMed: 8648217]

- [18]. Cohen CR, Koochesfahani KM, Meier AS, Shen C, Karunakaran K, Ondondo B, Kinyari T, Mugo NR, Nguti R, and Brunham RC, Immunoepidemiologic profile of Chlamydia trachomatis infection: importance of heat-shock protein 60 and interferon- gamma, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2005) 192: 591–599. [PubMed: 16028127]
- [19]. Wang C, Tang J, Geisler WM, Crowley-Nowick PA, Wilson CM, and Kaslow RA, Human leukocyte antigen and cytokine gene variants as predictors of recurrent Chlamydia trachomatis infection in high-risk adolescents, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2005) 191: 1084–1092. [PubMed: 15747244]
- [20]. Rank RG, Soderberg LS, and Barron AL, Chronic chlamydial genital infection in congenitally athymic nude mice, Infection and immunity. (1985) 48: 847–849. [PubMed: 3997251]
- [21]. Poston TB, Qu Y, Girardi J, O'Connell CM, Frazer LC, Russell AN, Wall M, Nagarajan UM, and Darville T, A Chlamydia-Specific TCR-Transgenic Mouse Demonstrates Th1 Polyfunctionality with Enhanced Effector Function, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2017) 199: 2845–2854.
- [22]. Perry LL, Feilzer K, and Caldwell HD, Immunity to Chlamydia trachomatis is mediated by T helper 1 cells through IFN-gamma-dependent and -independent pathways, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (1997) 158: 3344–3352.
- [23]. Morrison RP, Feilzer K, and Tumas DB, Gene knockout mice establish a primary protective role for major histocompatibility complex class II-restricted responses in Chlamydia trachomatis genital tract infection, Infect Immun. (1995) 63: 4661–4668. [PubMed: 7591120]
- [24]. Morrison SG, Su H, Caldwell HD, and Morrison RP, Immunity to murine Chlamydia trachomatis genital tract reinfection involves B cells and CD4(+) T cells but not CD8(+) T cells, Infection and immunity. (2000) 68: 6979–6987. [PubMed: 11083822]
- [25]. Su H, and Caldwell HD, CD4+ T cells play a significant role in adoptive immunity to Chlamydia trachomatis infection of the mouse genital tract, Infection and immunity. (1995) 63: 3302–3308. [PubMed: 7642259]
- [26]. Morrison RP, and Caldwell HD, Immunity to Murine Chlamydial Genital Infection, Infection and immunity. (2002) 70: 2741–2751. [PubMed: 12010958]
- [27]. Naglak EK, Morrison SG, and Morrison RP, Gamma Interferon Is Required for Optimal Antibody-Mediated Immunity against Genital Chlamydia Infection, Infection and immunity. (2016) 84: 3232–3242. [PubMed: 27600502]
- [28]. Morrison SG, Farris CM, Sturdevant GL, Whitmire WM, and Morrison RP, Murine Chlamydia trachomatis Genital Infection Is Unaltered by Depletion of CD4+ T cells and Diminished Adaptive Immunity, The Journal of infectious diseases. (2011) 203: 1120–1128. [PubMed: 21321103]
- [29]. Morrison SG, and Morrison RP, A Predominant Role for Antibody in Acquired Immunity to Chlamydial Genital Tract Reinfection(), Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2005) 175: 7536–7542.
- [30]. Gondek DC, Olive AJ, Stary G, and Starnbach MN, CD4(+) T cells are necessary and sufficient to confer protection against C. trachomatis infection in the murine upper genital tract, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2012) 189: 2441–2449.
- [31]. Pearce EL, Shedlock DJ, and Shen H, Functional characterization of MHC class IIrestricted CD8+CD4- and CD8-CD4- T cell responses to infection in CD4-/- mice, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2004) 173: 2494–2499.
- [32]. Li L-X, and McSorley SJ, A re-evaluation of the role of B cells in protective immunity to Chlamydia infection, Immunology letters. (2015) 164: 88–93. [PubMed: 25704502]
- [33]. Li L-X, and McSorley SJ, B Cells Enhance Antigen-Specific CD4 T Cell Priming and Prevent Bacteria Dissemination following Chlamydia muridarum Genital Tract Infection, PLoS Pathogens. (2013) 9: e1003707. [PubMed: 24204262]
- [34]. Rank RG, and Batteiger BE, Protective role of serum antibody in immunity to chlamydial genital infection, Infection and immunity. (1989) 57: 299–301. [PubMed: 2909492]

- [35]. Johnson RM, Yu H, Strank NO, Karunakaran K, Zhu Y, and Brunham RC, B Cell Presentation of Chlamydia Antigen Selects Out Protective CD4gamma13 T Cells: Implications for Genital Tract Tissue-Resident Memory Lymphocyte Clusters, Infection and immunity. (2018) 86.
- [36]. Gallo E, Katzman S, and Villarino AV, IL-13-producing Th1 and Th17 cells characterize adaptive responses to both self and foreign antigens, Eur J Immunol. (2012) 42: 2322–2328. [PubMed: 22684943]
- [37]. Motomura Y, Kitamura H, Hijikata A, Matsunaga Y, Matsumoto K, Inoue H, Atarashi K, Hori S, Watarai H, Zhu J, Taniguchi M, and Kubo M, The transcription factor E4BP4 regulates the production of IL-10 and IL-13 in CD4+ T cells, Nature immunology. (2011) 12: 450–459. [PubMed: 21460847]
- [38]. Rees W, Bender J, Teague TK, Kedl RM, Crawford F, Marrack P, and Kappler J, An inverse relationship between T cell receptor affinity and antigen dose during CD4(+) T cell responses in vivo and in vitro, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. (1999) 96: 9781–9786. [PubMed: 10449771]
- [39]. Luckheeram RV, Zhou R, Verma AD, and Xia B, CD4(+)T Cells: Differentiation and Functions, Clinical and Developmental Immunology. (2012) 2012: 925135. [PubMed: 22474485]
- [40]. Tubo NJ, and Jenkins MK, CD4+ T Cells: guardians of the phagosome, Clin Microbiol Rev. (2014) 27: 200–213. [PubMed: 24696433]
- [41]. Shtrichman R, and Samuel CE, The role of gamma interferon in antimicrobial immunity, Curr Opin Microbiol. (2001) 4: 251–259. [PubMed: 11378475]
- [42]. Zhang Y, Wang H, Ren J, Tang X, Jing Y, Xing D, Zhao G, Yao Z, Yang X, and Bai H, IL-17A synergizes with IFN-gamma to upregulate iNOS and NO production and inhibit chlamydial growth, PloS one. (2012) 7: e39214. [PubMed: 22745717]
- [43]. Nelson DE, Virok DP, Wood H, Roshick C, Johnson RM, Whitmire WM, Crane DD, Steele-Mortimer O, Kari L, McClarty G, and Caldwell HD, Chlamydial IFN-γ immune evasion is linked to host infection tropism, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. (2005) 102: 10658–10663. [PubMed: 16020528]
- [44]. Gondek DC, Roan NR, and Starnbach MN, T cell responses in the absence of IFN-gamma exacerbate uterine infection with Chlamydia trachomatis, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2009) 183: 1313–1319.
- [45]. Perry LL, Feilzer K, and Caldwell HD, Immunity to Chlamydia trachomatis is mediated by T helper 1 cells through IFN-gamma-dependent and -independent pathways, The Journal of Immunology. (1997) 158: 3344–3352. [PubMed: 9120292]
- [46]. Cotter TW, Ramsey KH, Miranpuri GS, Poulsen CE, and Byrne GI, Dissemination of Chlamydia trachomatis chronic genital tract infection in gamma interferon gene knockout mice, Infection and immunity. (1997) 65: 2145–2152. [PubMed: 9169744]
- [47]. Poston TB, O'Connell CM, Girardi J, Sullivan JE, Nagarajan UM, Marinov A, Scurlock AM, and Darville T, T-independent IFNgamma and B cells Cooperate to Prevent Mortality Associated with Disseminated Chlamydia muridarum Genital Tract Infection, Infection and immunity. (2018).
- [48]. Naglak EK, Morrison SG, and Morrison RP, Neutrophils Are Central to AntibodyMediated Protection against Genital Chlamydia, Infection and immunity. (2017) 85: e00409–00417. [PubMed: 28739831]
- [49]. Perez-Shibayama C, Gil-Cruz C, Pastelin-Palacios R, Cervantes-Barragan L, Hisaki E, Chai Q, Onder L, Scandella E, Regen T, Waisman A, Isibasi A, Lopez-Macias C, and Ludewig B, IFN-γ– Producing CD4+ T Cells Promote Generation of Protective Germinal Center–Derived IgM+ B Cell Memory against Salmonella Typhi, The Journal of Immunology. (2014) 192: 5192–5200. [PubMed: 24778443]
- [50]. Roan NR, Gierahn TM, Higgins DE, and Starnbach MN, Monitoring the T cell response to genital tract infection, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. (2006) 103: 12069–12074. [PubMed: 16880389]
- [51]. Yu H, Karunakaran KP, Kelly I, Shen C, Jiang X, Foster LJ, and Brunham RC, Immunization with live and dead Chlamydia muridarum induces different levels of protective immunity in a murine genital tract model: correlation with MHC class II peptide presentation and

multifunctional Th1 cells, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2011) 186: 3615–3621.

- [52]. Stary G, Olive A, Radovic-Moreno AF, Gondek D, Alvarez D, Basto PA, Perro M, Vrbanac VD, Tager AM, Shi J, Yethon JA, Farokhzad OC, Langer R, Starnbach MN, and von Andrian UH, A mucosal vaccine against Chlamydia trachomatis generates two waves of protective memory T cells, Science (New York, N.Y.). (2015) 348: aaa8205aaa8205.
- [53]. Zhu J, and Paul WE, Heterogeneity and plasticity of T helper cells, Cell research. (2010) 20: 4– 12. [PubMed: 20010916]
- [54]. Allen JE, and Wynn TA, Evolution of Th2 Immunity: A Rapid Repair Response to Tissue Destructive Pathogens, PLOS Pathogens. (2011) 7: e1002003. [PubMed: 21589896]
- [55]. Vicetti Miguel RD, Harvey SAK, LaFramboise WA, Reighard SD, Matthews DB, and Cherpes TL, Human Female Genital Tract Infection by the Obligate Intracellular Bacterium Chlamydia trachomatis Elicits Robust Type 2 Immunity, PloS one. (2013) 8: e58565. [PubMed: 23555586]
- [56]. Vicetti Miguel RD, Quispe Calla NE, Dixon D, Foster RA, Gambotto A, Pavelko SD, Hall-Stoodley L, and Cherpes TL, IL-4-secreting eosinophils promote endometrial stromal cell proliferation and prevent Chlamydia-induced upper genital tract damage, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. (2017) 114: E6892–e6901. [PubMed: 28765368]
- [57]. Hawkins RA, Rank RG, and Kelly KA, A Chlamydia trachomatis-Specific Th2 Clone Does Not Provide Protection against a Genital Infection and Displays Reduced Trafficking to the Infected Genital Mucosa, Infection and immunity. (2002) 70: 5132–5139. [PubMed: 12183563]
- [58]. Schmid-Grendelmeier P, Altznauer F, Fischer B, Bizer C, Straumann A, Menz G, Blaser K, Wuthrich B, and Simon HU, Eosinophils express functional IL-13 in eosinophilic inflammatory diseases, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2002) 169: 1021–1027.
- [59]. Pope SM, Brandt EB, Mishra A, Hogan SP, Zimmermann N, Matthaei KI, Foster PS, and Rothenberg ME, IL-13 induces eosinophil recruitment into the lung by an IL-5- and eotaxindependent mechanism, The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. (2001) 108: 594–601. [PubMed: 11590387]
- [60]. Rankin SM, Conroy DM, and Williams TJ, Eotaxin and eosinophil recruitment: implications for human disease, Molecular Medicine Today. (2000) 6: 20–27. [PubMed: 10637571]
- [61]. Blaschitz C, and Raffatellu M, Th17 Cytokines and the Gut Mucosal Barrier, Journal of clinical immunology. (2010) 30: 196–203. [PubMed: 20127275]
- [62]. McGeachy MJ, and McSorley SJ, Microbial-induced Th17: superhero or supervillain?, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2012) 189: 3285–3291.
- [63]. Vicetti Miguel RD, Quispe Calla NE, Pavelko SD, and Cherpes TL, Intravaginal Chlamydia trachomatis Challenge Infection Elicits T(H)1 and T(H)17 Immune Responses in Mice That Promote Pathogen Clearance and Genital Tract Damage, PloS one. (2016) 11: e0162445. [PubMed: 27606424]
- [64]. Andrew DW, Cochrane M, Schripsema JH, Ramsey KH, Dando SJ, O'Meara CP, Timms P, and Beagley KW, The Duration of Chlamydia muridarum Genital Tract Infection and Associated Chronic Pathological Changes Are Reduced in IL-17 Knockout Mice but Protection Is Not Increased Further by Immunization, PloS one. (2013) 8: e76664. [PubMed: 24073293]
- [65]. Scurlock AM, Frazer LC, Andrews CW, O'Connell CM, Foote IP, Bailey SL, Chandra-Kuntal K, Kolls JK, and Darville T, Interleukin-17 Contributes to Generation of Th1 Immunity and Neutrophil Recruitment during Chlamydia muridarum Genital Tract Infection but Is Not Required for Macrophage Influx or Normal Resolution of Infection, Infection and immunity. (2011) 79: 1349–1362. [PubMed: 21149587]
- [66]. Kortylewski M, Xin H, Kujawski M, Lee H, Liu Y, Harris T, Drake C, Pardoll D, and Yu H, Regulation of the IL-23 and IL-12 balance by Stat3 signaling in the tumor microenvironment, Cancer cell. (2009) 15: 114–123. [PubMed: 19185846]
- [67]. Veldhoen M, Hocking RJ, Flavell RA, and Stockinger B, Signals mediated by transforming growth factor-beta initiate autoimmune encephalomyelitis, but chronic inflammation is needed to sustain disease, Nature immunology. (2006) 7: 1151–1156. [PubMed: 16998492]

- [68]. Damsker JM, Hansen AM, and Caspi RR, Th1 and Th17 cells: Adversaries and collaborators, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. (2010) 1183: 211–221. [PubMed: 20146717]
- [69]. Shevach EM 2011 Chapter 4 Biological Functions of Regulatory T Cells In Advances in Immunology. Alexander R, and Shimon S, eds. Academic Press 137–176.
- [70]. Mabey DCW, Hu V, Bailey RL, Burton MJ, and Holland MJ, Towards a safe and effective chlamydial vaccine: Lessons from the eye, Vaccine. (2014) 32: 1572–1578. [PubMed: 24606636]
- [71]. Moniz RJ, Chan AM, Gordon LK, Braun J, Arditi M, and Kelly KA, Plasmacytoid dendritic cells modulate non-protective T cell responses to genital infection by C. muridarum, FEMS immunology and medical microbiology. (2010) 58: 397–404. [PubMed: 20180848]
- [72]. Moore-Connors JM, Fraser R, Halperin SA, and Wang J, CD4(+)CD25(+)Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells promote Th17 responses and genital tract inflammation upon intracellular Chlamydia muridarum infection, Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950). (2013) 191: 3430–3439.
- [73]. Naglak EK, Morrison SG, and Morrison RP, IFNgamma is Required for Optimal Antibody-Mediated Immunity against Genital Chlamydia Infection, Infection and immunity. (2016).

Highlights

- Overview of literature on clinical data supporting investigation of CD4 T responses to *Chlamydia* infection
- Summary of animal studies supporting investigation of CD4 T responses to *Chlamydia* infection
- Discussion of studies examining different T helper type responses to *Chlamydia* infection