

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int J Pharm. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 February 28.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J Pharm. 2012 February 28; 423(2): 257–263. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.11.046.

# Delivery of salmon calcitonin using a microneedle patch

Cetin Tas<sup>a,b</sup>, Saffar Mansoor<sup>a</sup>, Haripriya Kalluri<sup>c</sup>, Vladimir G. Zarnitsyn<sup>a</sup>, Seong-O Choi<sup>a</sup>, Ajay K. Banga<sup>c</sup>, and Mark R. Prausnitz<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0100 USA

<sup>b</sup>Gulhane Military Medical Academy, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 06018-Etlik-Ankara, Turkey

<sup>c</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Mercer University, Atlanta, GA 30341-4155 USA

### Abstract

Peptides and polypeptides have important pharmacological properties but only a limited number have been exploited as therapeutics because of problems related to their delivery. Most of these drugs require a parenteral delivery system which introduces the problems of pain, possible infection, and expertise required to carry out an injection. The aim of this study was to develop a transdermal patch containing microneedles (MNs) coated with a peptide drug, salmon calcitonin (sCT), as an alternative to traditional subcutaneous and nasal delivery routes. Quantitative analysis of sCT after coating and drying onto microneedles was performed with a validated HPLC method. In vivo studies were carried out on hairless rats and serum levels of sCT were determined by ELISA. The AUC value of MNs coated with a trehalose-containing formulation ( $250 \pm 83$  ng/ mL.min) was not significantly different as compared to subcutaneous injections ( $403 \pm 253$  ng/ mL.min), but approximately 13 times higher than nasal administration ( $18.4 \pm 14.5$  ng/mL.min). T<sub>max</sub> ( $7.5 \pm 5$  min) values for MN mediated administration were 50% shorter than subcutaneous injections (15 min), possibly due to rapid sCT dissolution and absorption by dermal capillaries. These results suggest that with further optimization of coating formulations, microneedles may enable administration of sCT and other peptides without the need for hypodermic injections.

#### Keywords

Microneedle; Peptide delivery; Salmon calcitonin; Transdermal drug delivery

# 1. Introduction

Recent advances in biotechnology have made it possible to use biological macromolecules, such as peptides and proteins, as therapeutic agents. Calcitonin, which is a cyclic polypeptide of 32 amino acids (molecular weight of approximately 3450 Da), has a physiological role in the regulation of calcium homeostasis and is a potent inhibitor of osteoclastic bone resorption (Azria et al., 1995). Calcitonin is found in pigs and humans, and

<sup>© 2011</sup> Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel +1 404 894 5135 Fax +1 404 894 2866, prausnitz@gatech.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

even in the ultimobranchial gland of birds and fish. Salmon calcitonin (sCT) has been used preferentially because of its higher potency compared to other sources as a therapeutic agent to treat postmenopausal osteoporosis, hypercalcemia and symptomatic Paget's disease of bone (Schneyer, 1991).

sCT has been commercialized in the form of intramuscular (IM) and subcutaneous (SC) injections and nasal spray formulations (Torres-Lugo and Peppas, 2000; Physicans' Desk Reference, 2011). The main limitations of current injectable formulations are nausea and facial flushes caused by a high blood concentration peak (Harvey, 1985). Furthermore, IM injection has problems such as infection at the site of injection, pain caused by needle insertion and poor patient compliance.

To overcome these limitations, nasal delivery was introduced for simple patient administration. However, current nasal formulations irritate nasal mucosa and cause side effects such as rhinitis, rhinorrhea, and allergic rhinitis (Ugwoke et al., 2001). These side effects have been difficult to eliminate because nasal formulations typically require absorption enhancers that increase transmucosal sCT delivery, but also cause irritation. Even with the use of absorption enhancers, the bioavailability of sCT is much lower than that following IM and SC injection, i.e., only approximately 3% for clinically used sprays (Lee et al., 1994).

To avoid the above problems, transdermal administration has been proposed to take the place of injection and nasal application (Chang et al., 2000). Transdermal drug delivery is especially attractive, because patches offer a simple and painless way to administer drugs. However, the tough barrier posed by the skin's outer layer, stratum corneum, has generally limited the transdermal route to drugs that are hydrophobic, low molecular weight, and potent (Prausnitz and Langer, 2008a), which precludes sCT. Iontophoresis has been shown to increase sCT delivery into the skin, but requires a sophisticated electronic device (Chaturvedula et al., 2005).

In this study, we propose the use of a microneedle (MN) patch to administer sCT via skin. MN patches use an array of micron-scale needle-like structures to pierce into the superficial layers of the skin in a painless manner (Gill et al., 2008; Prausnitz et al., 2009; Donnely et al., 2010; Birchall et al., 2011; Sachdeva and Banga, 2011). Drugs or vaccines can be adminstered this way either for local effect in the skin or systemic distribution via capillary uptake.

Four different types of microneedle designs have been developed, which include solid microneedles that pierce the skin to make it more permeable, solid microneedles coated with dry powder drugs for dissolution in the skin, microneedles prepared from polymers with encapsulated drugs for rapid or controlled release in the skin, and hollow microneedles for injections (Prausnitz et al., 2008b).

We have chosen to use solid MNs coated with a dry-powder drug formulation that dissolves off the MNs upon insertion in the skin (Gill and Prausnitz, 2007). Previous studies have used this approach to administer other peptides, including desmopressin in preclinical studies (Cormier et al, 2004) and parathyroid hormone in clinical trials (Daddona et al., 2011), as well as other compounds, notably including influenza vaccine (Zhu et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Fernando et al., 2010) and other vaccines (Andrianov et al., 2009; Prow et al., 2010; Hiraishi et al., 2011). We believe this is the first study to report on sCT delivery using MNs.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

sCT was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA) for MN coatings, Novartis Pharma Stein (Miacalcin Injection, East Hanover, NJ, USA) for SC and intravenous (IV) injections, and Par Pharmaceutical Companies (Calcitonin-Salmon Nasal Spray USP, NY, USA) for intranasal (IN) instillation. We also used carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (CMCNa, low viscosity, USP grade, Carbo-Mer, San Diego, CA, USA), Lutrol F-68 NF (BASF, Mt. Olive, NJ, USA), and D –(+)-trehalose dihydrate (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for MN coatings. Active<sup>®</sup> Ultra-Sensitive sCT ELISA kit was purchased from Diagnostic Systems Labs (Webster, TX, USA).

#### 2.2. Methods

**2.2.1. MN fabrication**—MNs were fabricated from stainless steel sheets (SS 304, 50  $\mu$ m thick, Trinity Brand Industries, Atlanta, GA, USA) as five-needle arrays, each MN measuring 730  $\mu$ m long, 180  $\mu$ m wide at the base, 50  $\mu$ m in thickness, and tapering to a sharp tip with less than 3  $\mu$ m radius of curvature, as described previously (Gill and Prausnitz, 2007). MN were coated using two different coating solution formulations, as shown in Table 1. MN coating was performed by dipping each MN eight times into the coating solution at 25 °C using a specially designed apparatus with computer-controlled linear stages (Newmark Systems, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, USA) and a video camera (Prosilica, Newburyport, MA, USA) to monitor the process.

**2.2.2. In vitro assay of sCT on coated MNs**—Four MN arrays, each containing five coated MNs, were incubated in 1 mL deionized water for 5 min to completely dissolve sCT. The solution was filtered through a membrane filter having a pore diameter of 0.45  $\mu$ m and analyzed with a validated HPLC method (Tas et al., In press). Briefly, chromatographic separation was performed using a reverse-phase Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (150 × 4.6 mm i.d., 3.5  $\mu$ m particle size, Greensboro, NC, USA). The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and water (35:65 v/v) containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid degassed prior to use. The column temperature was 65 °C and the flow rate was set at 1 mL/min. Triamcinolone acetonide was used as an internal standard. The validation parameters are presented in Table 2.

**2.2.3.** In vitro dissolution kinetics of sCT from MNs—One array of MNs coated with sCT was dipped into DI water (250  $\mu$ L) for different periods of time (2, 5, 8, 12 and 20 s). Then, the dissolution medium was filtered and sCT assay was performed by HPLC, as described above.

**2.2.4. In vivo bioavailability of sCT in hairless rats**—All animal studies were conducted with approval by the Georgia Institute of Technology Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Twenty-eight hairless male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) weighing 300 – 350 g were equally divided into seven groups (i.e., four rats per group) and fasted for 18 h prior to the experiment, but allowed free access to water. The rats were anesthetized with isoflurane during sCT administration and until the end of the experiment. Although anesthesia may alter sCT pharmacokinetics relative to conscious animals, anesthesia was used to immobilize animals during the study and was used on all animals in all study groups. Blood samples were collected from the tail vein at 0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 min after MN, SC and IN administration and at 0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 min after IV administration. All samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. and serum was collected (Chaturvedula et al., 2005).

Group 1 received an IV injection of commerical sCT for injection as a positive control. Group 2 received a SC injection of commerical sCT for injection as a positive control. Group 3 received an IN spray of commercial sCT for nasal delivery as a positive control. Groups 4 and 5 received sCT from a MNs patch formluated without or with trehalose, respectively. Groups 6 and 7 received a SC injection of sCT dissolved in DI water from MNs formulated without or with trehalose, respectively.

**2.2.4.1.** Conventional routes of sCT administration: sCT was administered IV at a dose of 3  $\mu$ g (i.e., corresponding to approximately 10  $\mu$ g/kg) given through the femoral vein. sCT was administered SC at a dose of 3  $\mu$ g at the dorsal hind portion of the rat. For IN administration, rats were placed in the supine position. A dose of 5.68  $\mu$ L per rat (equal to 3  $\mu$ g) was instilled into the left nares using a pipetter with a disposable plastic tip. After 2 min, the rats were turned over and remained in the prone position.

**2.2.4.2.** sCT administration using MNs: Two arrays of MNs (coated with a dose equal to approximately  $3 \mu g$ ) were applied to the dorsal section of the rat by gentle pushing. MN were left in the skin for 20 min to be sure that essentially all of the sCT dissolved in tissue fluids. After removal from the skin, we kept the MNs refrigerated until subsequent analysis to determine if any sCT remained on the MNs.

**2.2.5.** In vivo assay of sCT in serum—Serum samples obtained by the experiments described above were analyzed for sCT concentration using an enzymatically amplified two-step sandwich type immunoassay for salmon calcitonin, validated for rat serum. Briefly, specially coated plates are incubated with the peptide antibody. The unbiotinylated peptide (standards and experimental samples) is then added and allowed to incubate with the antibody to facilitate binding. The biotinylated peptide is then added to the wells which competes for the antibody binding sites with the unbiotinylated peptide. Finally, a biotin-streptavidin detection system is employed to quantify the amount of bound biotinylated peptide which in turn indicates the amount of salmon calcitonin in standards and experimental samples. Standard curves were constructed in the range of 250 – 4000 pg/ml in rat serum.

**2.2.6. Data analysis and statistical evaluation**—Results are presented as the mean of n=4 determinations with its associated standard deviation.(S.D.). Noncompartmental pharmacokinetic analysis was carried out using the Pharmacologic Calculation System (version 4.1, Springer-Verlag, Philadelphia, PA, USA) computer program which calculates the AUC (Area under the curve) of the serum concentration as a function of time. The maximum serum concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) and the time to reach the maximum serum concentration ( $T_{max}$ ) were obtained from the experimental data. Absolute ( $F_{abs}$ ; versus IV) and relative ( $F_{rel}$ ; versus SC) bioavailability were calculated according to following equations

$$F_{abs} = \frac{AUC_i/Dose_i}{AUC_{iV}/Dose_{iV}} \times 100\%$$
(1)

$$F_{rel} = \frac{AUC_i/Dose_i}{AUC_{sc}/Dose_{sc}} \times 100\%$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where the subscript *i* corresponds to SC, IN or MN.

All the results are expressed as means +S.D. Statistical differences between values were determined using SPSS 15.0 for Windows software (IBM, İstanbul, Turkey), with Student's *t*-test. The difference was regarded statistically significant when p < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

The development of effective formulations for patient-friendly peptide delivery represents a significant challenge in modern pharmaceutical technology. At present, most of these biotherapeutics have to be administered via parenteral routes by injection, which are inconvenient because of pain, fear and risks associated with this type of application (Dorkoosh et al., 2001; Deacon and Abramowitz, 2006; Nir et al., 2003). A more acceptable route of administration with potentially good bioavailability could be offered by transdermal delivery. Recent advances show that coated MN patches can be used to administer peptide drugs to obtain systemic effects (Cormier et al., 2004; Daddona et al., 2011). In this study, we have investigated delivery of sCT with coated MNs and compared the bioavailability of sCT with other drug delivery routes, i.e., subcutaneous and nasal.

#### 3.1. MN fabrication and coating with sCT

MNs fabricated by laser-cutting stainless steel sheets were designed to be long enough to penetrate through the stratum corneum and viable epidermis and into the superficial dermis by gentle manual insertion, but short enough to avoid pain (Gill et al., 2008). Our delivery strategy involved coating these solid MNs with formulations of sCT that dissolve upon insertion into skin. We developed aqueous coating formulations including a surfactant (Lutrol F-68 NF) to facilitate uniform coatings by reducing surface tension, a viscosity enhancer (CMCNa) to enable thicker coatings by increasing coating solution residence time on the MN during the drying process and a sugar derivative (Trehalose) to protect sCT against loss of activity, especially during drying of the coating. Microscopic examination revealed that dip-coating in this way produced uniform coatings localized to MN shafts (Fig. 1).

After dissolution of the coatings and analysis by HPLC, the amount of sCT on MNs was found to be  $317 \pm 49$  and  $294 \pm 36$  ng for per needle for coating formulations MN1 and MN2, respectively. In this way, a dose of 3 µg could be administered using two five-needle arrays with a total of 10 MNs. The HPLC method used enabled a relatively rapid analysis (7 min) that saved time and reduced organic solvent use. Because it was an isocratic method, this assay can be done using a simple HPLC instrument.

#### 3.2. Kinetics of in vitro dissolution of sCT from coated MNs

The dissolution of sCT from MNs required only 20 s when incubated in DI water (Fig. 2), although dissolution kinetics in the skin may be slower. There was no significant difference between the dissolution kinetics for MN1 and MN2 formulations. These rapid kinetics are probably due to the coating layer on MNs being very thin and including highly water-soluble excipients that were selected in part to enable rapid dissolution.

#### 3.3. In vivo bioavailability of sCT

**3.3.1. IV and SC injection**—As positive control experiments, we first injected sCT at a dose of 3  $\mu$ g (10  $\mu$ g/kg) via IV and SC routes in hairless rats. The average serum sCT concentration vs. time profile is shown in Fig. 3A. The average peak concentration, C<sub>max</sub>, was 10.5  $\pm$  7.0 ng/ml and 8.0  $\pm$  2.9 ng/ml and the average area under the curve, AUC, was 389  $\pm$  131 ng min/ml and 403  $\pm$  253 ng min/ml for IV and SC injection, respectively (Table 3). There were no significant differences between C<sub>max</sub> and AUC values after IV versus SC injection (*p*>0.05). The average time until peak concentration, T<sub>max</sub>, was 5 min after IV

injection, which was significantly faster than after SC injection, which was 15 min (p < 0.01). Because the first data point after IV injection was taken at 5 min, it is possible that the T<sub>max</sub> is even shorter and the C<sub>max</sub> is even higher than reported for IV injection.

**3.3.2. Nasal administration**—The IN route represents an attractive alternative to parenteral delivery for an increasing number of therapeutic peptides. The pharmacokinetic profile obtained after IN administration of a commercial sCT formulation is shown in Fig. 3A. The C<sub>max</sub> and AUC values were significantly lower compared to IV and SC injections (p < 0.05), with an absolute bioavailability of only  $4.7 \pm 3.7$  % by the IN route (Table 3). The T<sub>max</sub> value after IN delivery was not significantly different than the IV and SC routes (p > 0.05). This result is consistent with previous findings that absolute bioavailability of sCT after IN administration is around 3% (Sweetman, 2002). Low bioavailability of sCT via the IN route can be explained by the low membrane permeability and high metabolic turnover of this peptide in the nasal epithelium and a short local residence time of the formulation at the site of absorption (Bernkop-Schnürch et al., 2004).

Prior work has shown that degradation of sCT in excised bovine nasal mucosa was primarily due to enzymatic breakdown by  $\alpha$ -chymotryptic and tryptic activity, which could be inhibited by cyclodextrins, resulting with high bioavailability (Sigurjonsdottir et al., 1999). sCT also has a relatively short residence time in the nose, which limits its absorption. The lack of a suitable mucoadhesive polymer in commercial formulations is another possible reason for low bioavailability of sCT via the nasal route (Tas et al., 2009).

**3.3.3. Delivery using MNs**—The pharmacokinetics of sCT delivery using MN is shown in Fig. 3B. Delivery using the MN1 formulation showed a significantly lower  $C_{max}$  and higher  $T_{max}$  compared to IV injection (p < 0.05) and a decreased AUC compared to both IV and SC injection (p<0.05) (Table 3). Compared to IN administration, delivery using the MN1 formulation had a significantly faster  $T_{max}$  and larger AUC (p<0.05). The MN1 formulation contained CMC and surfactant, but no trehalose. This shows that delivery with a MN patch using the MN1 formulation offers improved bioavailability compared to IN delivery, but has a bioavailability significantly smaller than the injection route.

Addition of trehalose in the MN2 formulation improved sCT delivery profile (Fig. 3B). For the MN2 formulation, there were no significant differences in  $C_{max}$ ,  $T_{max}$  or AUC compared to IV injection (p>0.05) (Table 3). The  $C_{max}$  and AUC values associated with the MN2 formulation were also not significantly different from SC injection (p>0.05). Compared to IN delivery, MNs with the MN2 formulation had significantly faster  $T_{max}$  and larger AUC values (p<0.05). This shows that delivery using a MN patch containing trehalose incorporated MN2 formulation had pharmacokinetic parameters that were similar to the injection route, and a dramatic improvement over IN administration.

Although the AUC values for IV injection and MN delivery using the MN2 formulation were statistically indistinguishable, the absolute bioavailability of MN2 delivery was only  $64.3\pm21.5\%$ , while the absolute bioavailability of MN1 delivery was only  $39.6\pm20.2\%$ . We hypothesized that these reduced bioavailabilities might be due to incomplete dissolution of sCT from MNs in the skin and/or from damage to sCT during the MN coating process.

To assess whether incomplete dissolution of sCT might have played a role, we incubated MNs in water after removing from the skin. Subsequent analysis by ELISA found that there was no significant sCT remaining on the MNs after removal from the skin (data not shown). Although we did not measure if sCT might have flaked off or otherwise became deposited on the skin surface during MN insertion, previous studies using similar MN formulations

have shown that this probably did not occur (Gill and Prausnitz, 2007). Thus, we conclude that most of the full dose of sCT coated onto the MN was delivered into the skin.

We next considered possible damage to sCT during the coating process. This process involves applying a liquid formulation to the MN surface, which is then air dried to leave a solid film. Substantial drying occurs within 10 s (as seen thorugh visual observation, data not shown), although complete drying occurs over a longer time scale.

To separate the effects of MN fabrication from the MN route of delivery, coated MNs with sCT using the MN1 and MN2 formulation, dissolved in deionised water and then injected the resulting solution by the SC route (Fig. 3C). In this scenario, SC injection of the MN2 formulation had a significantly longer  $T_{max}$  compared to SC injection of the commercial formulation. In addition, SC injection of both MN1 and MN2 formulations had significantly longer  $T_{max}$  values compared to delivery via MNs (p<0.05) (Table 3). SC injections of MN coating formulations also had significantly smaller  $C_{max}$  values as compared to SC injection of the commercial formulation and MNs mediated delivery (p<0.05). Despite these differences, the AUC values for SC injections of either of the MN formulations were not significantly different from SC injection of the commercial formulation and not significantly different from delivery using a MN patch with either formulation (p>0.05).

Altogether, these data suggest that the MN formulation generally slowed the dissolution rate when admnistered by SC injection, as shown by longer  $T_{max}$  and smaller  $C_{max}$  values, but nonetheless yielded similar AUC values. Although the differences in AUC values were not significant, absolute bioavailability of sCT by SC injection using the MN1 and MN2 formulations, was just 68.7±46.6% and 58.9±33.8%, respectively, which is similar to the values for the MN route of administration (Table 3). Based on the similiar bioavailability values seen for MN1 and MN2 formulations when given SC or using a MN patch, we propose that the observed low bioavailabilities can be explained in part by damage to sCT during formulation and MN coating, and may not be related to this route of administration.

#### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Bioavailability

Delivery of drugs via coated MNs might benefit from traditional delivery routes such as oral, parenteral and nasal. Like many proteins and peptides, the therapeutic use of calcitonin is restricted due to its physical instability. It is well-known that calcitonin is unstable upon incubation in aqueous solution. pH and temperature have a dominant effect on aggregation of calcitonin (Mansoor et al., 2005). In aqueous solutions, calcitonin has a pronounced tendency to aggregate into long, thin fibrillar aggregates, yielding a viscous and turbid dispersion (Bauer et al., 1995). A calcitonin solution stored for 20 h at room temperature also indicated the early onset of aggregation (Dijkhuizen-Radersma et al., 2002). Commercial injectable dosage forms of sCT must be formulated with suitable excipients to protect the drug in the formulation and in the biologic environment (Lee et al., 1992).

Our coating formulation MN1 contained only CMC and a surfactant. In the MN2 formulation trehalose was also added to study its effect as a stabilizing agent, especially during coating and drying processes. There were no other excipients such as pH buffer or enzyme inhibitors, or environmental controls such as low temperature, that could have conferred additional stability to sCT.

It is also known that chemical stability of peptides and proteins in the dry state is enhanced by the presence of certain amorphous sugars (Okamoto et al., 2002). Sugars can protect peptides and proteins against loss of activity through chemical and thermal denaturation.

Trehalose has been known to be a superior stabilizer in providing protection to biological materials against dehydration and desiccation (Kaushik and Bhat, 2003). Two hypotheses have been proposed for the mechanism of protein stabilization by an amorphous sugar. The water substitution hypothesis supposes that sugar molecules form hydrogen bonds with dried proteins in place of water molecules to maintain higher-order protein structure. The glassy state theory supposes that the high viscosity of an amorphous sugar prevents proteins from degrading physically or chemically by retarding molecular movement. Thus, the presence of trehalose in the MN2 formulation may play a role in stabilization of sCT.

#### 4.2. Kinetics of drug onset

The  $T_{max}$  value of sCT delivery using MN with the MN1 formulation was similar to SC injection (p>0.05) (Table 3). However the  $T_{max}$  value for a MN patch using the MN2 formulation was significantly shorter (p<0.05). This can be explained by the thin film of dried sCT on the MNs composed largely of highly water-soluble trehalose in the MN2 formulation that dissolves quickly in skin (Lammert et al., 1998) (Fig. 2). Moreover, skin has been shown to be a site for rapid absorption of drugs into the systemic circulation, in previous MN studies (Harvey et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2011). Surfactant (Lutrol F68, NF) used in both coating formulations also may play in role in the fast dissolution of sCT in skin, because surfactant can increase drug wettability and dispersibility by decreasing surface tension between the drug and the dissolution medium (Prasanthi et al., 2011).

In contrast, SC application of solutions obtained by dissolution of MNs in deionised water, lengthened the  $T_{max}$  value (p<0.05). These findings can be explained with the dissolution of coated layer of MNs in deionised water can form a viscous solution due to the presence of NaCMC. Generally polymers used in formulations can cause decrease of the drug release from the formulations and also can extend the drug release period. Also relatively long distances in the SC space to capillaries can be evaluated as another factor (Barichello et al., 1999, Tas et al., 2006).

#### 4.3. sCT metabolism is tissue

Skin is known to contain both exo- and endopeptidases, with aminopeptidases being the best known (Banga and Chien, 1993). For this reason, the viable layers of skin may metabolise drugs. For example, vasopressin was found to degrade during skin transport (Banga et al., 1995, Barry, 2001) and Raiman and co-workers showed that LHRH was degraded in the viable epidermis (Raiman et al., 2004). Recently, several reports introduced the use of proteolytic enzyme-inhibitors to stabilize proteins and peptides during their transmucosal delivery. Mingda and coworkers showed that bestatin (aminopeptidase inhibitor), aprotinin (trypsin inhibitor) and leupeptin (trypsin and cathepsin B inhibitor) had an inhibiting effect on the degradation of [Arg<sup>8</sup>]-vasopressin by the skin (Bi and Singh 2000). The results indicated that the bioavailability of these drugs was significantly improved in the presence of enzyme inhibitors. These results suggest that MN coating formulations containing enzyme inhibitors could increase the bioavailability of sCT.

#### 4.4. Potential therapeutic significance

This study shows that delivery of sCT using a MN patch may offer an alternative to hypodermic injections, with comparitively high bioavailabilities. This could be significant because injections require patient training, are painful, generate sharps waste and, for these reasons and others, lead to decreased patient compliance. A MN patch, in contrast, can be designed to be painless, is readily accepted by patients and healthcare providers, and can be used with little or no training (Gill et al., 2008; Birchall et al., 2011). Although MN production will require new manufacturing methods, mass-produced MN patches are expected to have a cost similar to needle-and-syringe delivery (Prausnitz et al., 2008b).

Given the small size of microneedles, delivered doses may be limited to sub-milligram, but this is sufficient for many peptide and protein drugs. We therefore conclude that sCT delivery using a MN patch may provide an important advance to simplify administration of sCT and other peptide and protein drugs and thereby increase patient access and compliance.

## 5. Conclusion

Peptide- and protein-based therapies are currently limited by their need for hypodermic injection by needle and syringe. The only current alternative to injections of sCT is intranasal delivery, but this method has extremely low bioavailability issues. In this study, we introduced the use of a microneedle patch to administer sCT without the need for hypodermic needles and found that the bioavailability was not significantly different from conventional subcutaneous injection. This suggests that delivery using a microneedle patch may provide a viable alternative to injection of sCT and other peptide and protein therapeutics.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Donna Bondy for administrative assistance. This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Institutes of Health and Gulhane Military Medical Academy, Turkey. Mark Prausnitz serves as a consultant and is an inventor on patents licensed to companies developing microneedle-based products. This potential conflict of interest has been disclosed and is being managed by Georgia Tech and Emory University

#### References

- Azria M, Copp DH, Zanelli JM. 25 years of salmon calcitonin: from synthesis to therapeutic use. Calcif Tissue Int. 1995; 57(6):405–408. [PubMed: 8581869]
- Andrianov AK, DeCollibus DP, Gillis HA, Kha HH, Marin A, Prausnitz MR, Babiuk LA, Townsend H, Mutwiri G. Poly[di(carboxylatophenoxy) phosphozane] is a potent adjuvant for intradermal immunization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106(45):18936–18941. [PubMed: 19864632]
- Banga, AK.; Chien, YW. Dermal absorption of peptides and proteins. In: Audus, KL.; Raub, TJ., editors. Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Vol. 4, Biological Barriers to Protein Delivery. Plenum; New York: 1993. p. 179-197.
- Banga AK, Katakam M, Mitra R. Transdermal iontophoretic delivery and degradation of vasopressin across human cadaver skin. Int J Pharm. 1995; 116:211–216.
- Barichello JM, Morishita M, Takayama K, Nagai T. Absorption of insulin from Pluronic F-127 gels following subcutaneous administration in rats. Int J Pharm. 1999; 184:189–198. [PubMed: 10387948]
- Barry BW. Novel mechanisms and devices to enable succesful transdermal drug delivery. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2001; 14:101–114. [PubMed: 11500256]
- Bauer HH, Aebi U, Haner M, Hermann R, Müller M, Merkle HP. Architecture and polymorfism of fibrillar supramolecular assemblies produced by in vitro aggregation of human calcitonin. J Struct Biol. 1995; 115:1–15. [PubMed: 7577226]
- Bernkop-Schnürch A, Krauland AH, Leitner VM, Palmberger T. Thiomers: potential excipients for non-invasive peptide delivery systems. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2004; 58:253–263. [PubMed: 15296953]
- Bi M, Singh J. Effect of buffer pH, buffer concentration and skin with or without enzyme inhibitors on the stability of [Ag<sup>8</sup>]-vasopressin. Int J Pharm. 2000; 197:87–93. [PubMed: 10704796]
- Birchall JC, Clemo R, Anstey A, John DN. Microneedles in clinical practice--an exploratory study into the opinions of healthcare professionals and the public. Pharm Res. 2011; 28(1):95–106. [PubMed: 20238152]
- Chang SL, Hofmann GA, Zhang L, Deftos LJ, Banga AK. Transdermal iontophoretic delivery of salmon calcitonin. Int J Pharm. 2000; 200:107–113. [PubMed: 10845691]

- Chaturvedula A, Joshi DP, Anderson C, Morris RL, Sembrowich WL, Banga AK. In vivo iontophoretic delivery and pharmacokinetics of salmon calcitonin. Int J Pharm. 2005; 297:190– 196. [PubMed: 15907605]
- Cormier M, Johnson B, Ameri M, Nyam K, Libiran L, Zhang DD, Daddona P. Transdermal delivery of desmopressin using a coated microneedle array patch system. J Cont Rel. 2004; 97:503–511.
- Daddona PE, Matriano JA, Mandema J, Maa YF. Parathyroid hormone (1–34)- coated microneedle patch system: clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics for treatment of osteoporosis. Pharm Res. 2011; 28(1):159–165. [PubMed: 20567999]
- Deacon B, Abramowitz J. Fear of needles and vasovagal reactions among phlebotomy patients. J Anxiety Disord. 2006; 20(7):946–960. [PubMed: 16460906]
- Dijkhuizen-Radersma, vR; Nicolas, HM.; Weert, Mv de; Blom, M.; Groot, K de; Bezemer, JM. Stability aspects of salmon calcitonin entrapped in poly(ether-ester) sustained release systems. Int J Pharm. 2002; 248:229–237. [PubMed: 12429476]
- Donnelly RF, Raj Singh TR, Woolfson AD. Microneedle-based drug delivery systems: microfabrication, drug delivery, and safety. Drug Deliv. 2010; 17(4):187–207. [PubMed: 20297904]
- Dorkoosh FA, Verhoef JC, Borchard G, Rafiee-Tehrani M, Junginger HE. Development and characterization of a novel peroral peptide drug delivery system. J Cont Rel. 2001; 71:307–318.
- Fernando GJ, Chen X, Prow TW, Crichton ML, Fairmaid EJ, Roberts MS, Frazer IH, Brown LE, Kendall MA. Potent immunity to low doses of influenza vaccine by probabilistic guided microtargeted skin delivery in a mouse model. PLoS One. 2010; 5(4):e10266. [PubMed: 20422002]
- Gill SH, Prausnitz MR. Coated microneedles for transdermal delivery. J Cont Rel. 2007; 117:227–237.
- Gill HS, Denson DD, Burris BA, Prausnitz MR. Effect of microneedle design on pain in human volunteers. Clin J Pain. 2008; 24(7):585–594. [PubMed: 18716497]
- Gupta J, Fenler EI, Prausnitz MR. Rapid pharmacokinetics of intradermal insulin administered using microneedles in type 1 diabetes subjects. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2011; 13(4):451–456. [PubMed: 21355717]
- Harvey AJ, Kaestner SA, Sutter DE, Harvey NG, Mikszta JA, Pettis RJ. Microneedle-based intradermal delivery enables rapid lymphatic uptake and distribution of protein drugs. Pharm Res. 2011; 28(1):107–116. [PubMed: 20354765]
- Harvey, SC.; Withrow, CD. Hormones. In: Gennaro, AR.; Chase, GD.; Gibson, MR.; Granberg, CB.; Harvey, SC., editors. Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences. Mack Printing Company; Pennsylvania: 1985. p. 951-1001.
- Hiraishi Y, Nandakumar S, Choi SO, Lee JW, Kim YC, Posey JE, Sable SB, Prausnitz MR. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccination using a microneedle patch. Vaccine. 2011; 29(14):2626–2636. [PubMed: 21277407] J Infect Dis. 201(2):190–198. [PubMed: 20017632]
- Kaushik JK, Bhat R. Why is trehalose an exceptional protein stabilizer? J Bio Chem. 2003; 278 (29): 26458–26465. [PubMed: 12702728]
- Kim YC, Quan FS, Yoo DG, Compans RW, Kang SM, Prausnitz MR. Enhanced memory responses to seasonal H1N1 influenza vaccination of the skin with the use of vaccine-coated microneedles. J Infect Dis. 2010; 201(2):190–198. [PubMed: 20017632]
- Lammert AM, Schmidt SL, Day GA. Water activity and solubility of trehalose. Food Chemistry. 1998; 61:139–144.
- Lee KC, Lee YJ, Song HM, Chun CJ, DeLuca PP. Degradation of synthetic salmon calcitonin in aqueos solution. Pharm Res. 1992; 9:1521–1523. [PubMed: 1475245]
- Lee WA, Ennis RD, Longenecker JP, Bengtsson P. The bioavailability of intranasal salmon calcitonin in healthy volunteers with and without a permeation enhancer. Pharm Res. 1994; 11(5):747–750. [PubMed: 8058647]
- Mansoor S, Youn YS, Lee KC. Degradation kinetics of sCT and Lys<sup>18</sup>-PEG<sub>2K</sub>-sCT: Effect of buffer pH, buffer species, buffer concentration, ionic strength and temperature. Journal of NPA. 2005; XXIV(1):47–55.
- Nir Y, Paz A, Sabo E, Potamsan I. Fear of injections in young adults: prevalence and associations. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2003; 68(3):341–344. [PubMed: 12685642]

- Okamoto H, Todo H, Lida K, Danjo K. Dry powders for pulmonary delivery of peptides and proteins. KONA. 2002; 20:72–83.
- Physicians' Desk Reference. PDR Network; Montvale, NJ: 2011. p. 3250
- Prasanthi NL, Manikiran SS, Sowmya S, Anusha B, Rao NR. Effect of poloxamer 188 on in vitro dissolution properties of antipsychotic solid dispersions. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res. 2011; 10 (1): 15–19.
- Prausnitz MR, Langer R. Transdermal drug delivery. Nat Biotechnol. 2008a; 26(11):1261–1268. [PubMed: 18997767]
- Prausnitz, MR.; Gill, HS.; Park, J-H. Microneedles for drug delivery. In: Rathbone, MJ.; Hadgraft, J.; Roberts, MS.; Lane, ME., editors. Modified Release Drug Delivery. 2. Informa Press; New York: 2008b.
- Prausnitz MR, Mikszta JA, Cormier M, Andrianov AK. Microneedle-based vaccines. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2009; 333:369–393. [PubMed: 19768415]
- Prow TW, Chen X, Prow NA, Fernando GJ, Tan CS, Raphael AP, Chang D, Ruutu MP, Jenkins DW, Pyke A, Crichton ML, Raphaelli K, Goh LY, Frazer IH, Roberts MS, Gardner J, Khromykh AA, Suhrbier A, Hall RA, Kendall MA. Nanopatch-targeted skin vaccination against West Nile Virus and Chikungunya virus in mice. Small. 2010; 6(16):1776–1784. [PubMed: 20665754]
- Raiman J, Koljonen M, Huikko K, Kostiainen R, Hirvonen J. Delivery and stability of LHRH and Nafarelin in human skin: the effect of constant/pulsed iontophoresis. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2004; 21:371–377. [PubMed: 14757511]
- Sachdeva V, Banga AK. Microneedles and their applications. Recent Pat Drug Deliv Formul. 2011; 5(2):95–132. [PubMed: 21453248]
- Schneyer CR. Calcitonin and the treatment of osteoporosis. Md Med J. 1991; 40:469–473. [PubMed: 1861574]
- Sigurjonsdottir JF, Loftsson T, Mason M. Influence of cyclodextrins on the stability of the peptide salmon calcitonin in aqueous solution. Int J Pharm. 1999; 186:205–213. [PubMed: 10486439]
- Sweetman, SC. Martindale The Complete Drug Reference. 3. Pharmaceutical Press; London: 2002. p. 749-751.
- Tas C, Ozkan CK, Savaser A, Ozkan Y, Tasdemir U, Altunay H. Nasal absorption of metoclopramide from different carbopol<sup>®</sup>981 based formulations: In vitro, ex vivo and in vivo evaluation. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2006; 64(2):246–254. [PubMed: 16870409]
- Tas C, Ozkan CK, Savaser A, Ozkan Y, Tasdemir U, Altunay H. Nasal administration of metoclopramide from different dosage forms: In vitro, ex vivo and in vivo evaluation. Drug Deliv. 2009; 16(3):167–175. [PubMed: 19514977]
- Tas C, Mansoor S, Banga AK, Prausnitz MR. Development and validation of a rapid isocratic RP-HPLC method for the quantification of salmon calcitonin. Turkish J Pharm Sci. In press.
- Torres-Lugo M, Peppas NA. Transmucosal delivery systems for calcitonin: a review. Biomaterials. 2000; 21:1191–1196. [PubMed: 10811300]
- Ugwoke MI, Verbeke N, Kinget R. The biopharmaceutical aspects of nasal mucoadhesive drug delivery. J Pharm Pharmacology. 2001; 53:3–22.
- Zhu Q, Zarnitsyn VG, Ye L, Wen Z, Gao Y, Pan L, Skountzou I, Gill HS, Prausnitz MR, Yang C, Compans RW. Immunization by vaccine-coated microneedle arrays protects against lethal influenza virus challenge. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106(19):7968–7973. [PubMed: 19416832]



#### Fig. 1.

Microscopic images of MNs coated with sCT. (A) Low-magnification view of a five-needle array of MNs. (B) Higher-magnification view of a single MN. In both images, the stainless steel MNs are coated with a dried formulation containing sCT, as well as a surfactant (Lutrol F-68 NF), a viscosity enhancer (NaCMC) and a peptide stabilizer (trehalose). The MNs each measure 730  $\mu$ m long, 180  $\mu$ m wide at the base, 50  $\mu$ m in thickness, and tapering to a sharp tip with 3  $\mu$ m radius of curvature.

Tas et al.





Dissolution of sCT from coated MNs in DI water. MNs coated using formulation MN1 or MN2 (see Table 1) were incubated in DI water at room temperature to determine dissolution kinetics. Data points represent the average of n = 4 replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

Tas et al.



#### Fig. 3.

Serum levels of sCT after administration by different delivery routes with different dosage forms in hairless rats. Pharmacokinetic profiles are shown for (A) IV, SC and IN routes, (B) delivery using MNs with the MN1 and MN2 formulation and (C) SC injection using sCT coated onto MNs using the MN1 and MN2 formulations, and then redissolved in DI water before injection as a liquid formulation. Data points represent the average of n = 4 replicates, each from a different rat. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

#### Table 1

# Composition of MN coating formulations $^{l}$

Coating Formulation Code	sCT (w/v %)	CMCNa (Low viscosity) (w/v %)	Trehalose (w/v %)	Lutrol F-68 NF (w/v %)
MN1	1	1	-	0.5
MN2	1	1	15	0.5

 $^{I}$ Coating formulations were prepared with deionized water.

#### Table 2

#### Validation parameters of sCT in HPLC analysis.

Linearity range	2.5–50 μg/ml
Correlation coefficient	0.999
Detection limit	1.00 µg/ml
Quantification limit	2.5 µg/ml
Intra-day precision (RSD %) $^{l}$	0.99
Inter-day precision (RSD %)	1.73

<sup>1</sup>RSD: Relative Standart Deviation

# Table 3

Pharmacokinetic parameters of sCT after application via different delivery routes in hairless rats (n=4).

Delivery Route <sup>I</sup>	Formulation	AUC (ng/mL.min)	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	T <sub>max</sub> (min)	$F_{abs}\left(\%\right)2$	$F_{rel}$ (%) $^3$
IV	Commercial (IV)	389±131	$10.5\pm7.0$	5	,	,
SC	Commercial (IV)	$403\pm 253$	$8.0\pm 2.9$	15	$104{\pm}65$	,
NI	Commercial (IN)	$18.4 \pm 14.5$	$0.38 \pm 0.47$	$61\pm 53$	4.7±3.7	4.6±3.6
Skin	MN1	$154{\pm}79$	$3.8 \pm 3.2$	15	$39.6 \pm 20.2$	$38.2\pm19.5$
Skin	MN2	250±83	$6.5\pm 2.6$	$7.5\pm 5.0$	$64.3\pm 21.5$	62.0±20.7
SC	MN1	$267{\pm}181$	$2.0\pm0.9$	$30\pm 15$	68.7±46.6	66.3±45.0
SC	MN2	$229\pm 131$	$2.3\pm0.1$	45±15	$58.9 \pm 33.8$	$56.8 \pm 32.6$

<sup>1</sup>IV: intraveneous. SC: subcutaneous. IN: intranasal.

 $^2\mathrm{F}_{abs:}$  absolute bioavailablity (relative to IV injection)

 $^3F_{rel}$ : relative bioavailability (relative to SC injection)