

HHS Public Access

Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2018 February ; 38(1): 125-139. doi:10.1016/j.iac.2017.09.010.

Diagnosis and Management of Eosinophilic Esophagitis

Jeffrey M. Wilson, MD, PhD^a and Emily C. McGowan, MD^{a,b}

^aDivision of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

^bDivision of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

SYNOPSIS

Unlike traditional food allergies, IgE is not a key mediator of eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE). Nonetheless, foods antigens are important triggers of EoE, and allergists play an important role in management of this chronic disease. This review addresses insights into the diagnosis and management as it relates to our evolving understanding about the pathogenesis of EoE.

Keywords

Eosinophilic esophagitis; food allergy; elimination diet; IgG4; swallowed steroids

Introduction

Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is defined as a chronic, local, immune-mediated esophageal disease, characterized clinically by symptoms related to esophageal dysfunction and histologically by eosinophil-predominant inflammation¹. EoE was first defined as a clinicopathologic syndrome in the 1990s^{2,3}, and since this time, it has become an increasingly appreciated chronic inflammatory disease. EoE is now estimated to affect 10–50/100,000 children and adults in the United States, Canada, Europe, and Australia, and like other allergic conditions, the incidence appears to be increasing^{4–9}. While the underlying pathophysiology of EoE remains unknown, it appears to be due to non-IgE mediated allergic inflammation to allergens, which have been shown to be predominantly food in both children and adults^{10,11}. In this review, we will discuss recent advances as it pertains to the diagnosis and management of EoE.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors have nothing to disclose.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR. Emily C. McGowan, PO Box 801355, Charlottesville, VA 22908, ekc5v@virginia.edu. AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION. Jeffrey M. Wilson, Allergy and Immunology Fellow, PO Box 801355, Charlottesville, VA 22908, (434) 924-5917, jmw2gc@virginia.edu

Emily C. McGowan, Assistant Professor of Medicine, PO Box 801355, Charlottesville, VA 22908, (434) 924-8648, ekc5v@virginia.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Symptoms

The presentation of EoE is not uniform across all ages. Young children and toddlers typically present with nausea, vomiting, feeding difficulties, abdominal pain, and failure to thrive^{12,13}. In contrast, teenagers and adults with EoE tend to present with dysphagia, esophageal dysmotility, refractory reflux, or other sequelae related to esophageal remodeling, such as food impaction^{14–17}.

In addition to the symptoms directly related to EoE, there is a clear association of EoE with other atopic diseases, such as allergic rhinitis, asthma, atopic dermatitis, and IgE-mediated immediate food allergy^{18,19}. Recent reports indicate that EoE is also more common in individuals with inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as chronic rhinosinusitis, ulcerative colitis, multiple sclerosis and systemic sclerosis^{20,21}. There is also a possible association with connective tissue diseases such as Ehlers-Danlos, Marfan and Loeys-Dietz syndrome²². This suggests that the suspicion for EoE should be heightened in individuals with such diseases.

Pathophysiology

EoE shares many immunologic features with other atopic diseases. In addition to local eosinophilia, studies from human and animal models have shown that EoE is characterized by impaired epithelial barrier function^{23,24} and infiltration of Th2 CD4+ helper T cells^{25,26}, mast cells²⁷, basophils²⁸, plasma cells²⁹, and group 2 innate lymphoid cells³⁰. While allergen-specific IgE antibodies are also often detected, elimination diets based solely on IgE sensitization have had mixed success^{31,32}, and the use of anti-IgE treatment was not shown to be more efficacious in inducing EoE remission than placebo²⁹. It has been shown, in both pediatric and adult populations, however, that food is a key trigger for EoE^{33,34}. The strongest such evidence comes from trials with elemental diets, where histologic remission rates greater than 90% are observed, although focused food elimination diets also often lead to remission^{10,11,34}. Taken together, EoE is often considered a non-IgE mediated food-antigen driven hypersensitivity³⁵, though the exact mechanism remains unclear.

Candidate and unbiased genetic approaches have identified a number of genes associated with EoE. These include the genes that encode for (or are involved in the regulation of): thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), filaggrin, desmoglein-1, calpain-14, eotaxin-3, and TGF- β^{36} . Many of these genes are known to be involved in the regulation of barrier function, Th2 induction and tissue remodeling. Consistent with a Th2-related inflammatory milieu, the cytokines IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 are also up-regulated in EoE³⁷. Emerging data also shows abundant antigen-specific IgG4 in the esophageal mucosa and peripheral blood^{29,38}. To date, the relative importance of these different cellular and molecular mediators in the pathogenesis of EoE remains to be established.

Diagnostic tests

EoE is a clinico-pathologic disease, and thus, the diagnosis depends on certain pathologic findings in individuals with an appropriate clinical history. As such, an esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) is a required part of the work-up for EoE. At the

Wilson and McGowan

macroscopic level, a number of findings are associated with EoE, including esophageal rings, linear furrows, plaques, stenosis and strictures; however these findings are neither sensitive nor specific for the disease³⁹. Histologic evidence of at least 15 eosinophils per high power field (hpf) on esophageal mucosal biopsy is required for diagnosis. Based on current guidelines, the diagnosis requires that the elevated eosinophils be limited to the esophagus and not be due to other underlying causes of eosinophilia. Furthermore, this esophageal eosinophilia must be present despite 8 weeks of empiric therapy with a protein-pump inhibitor (PPI)⁴⁰. In those whom there is a favorable response to a PPI (i.e. – the eosinophils drop to less than 15/hpf on repeat endoscopy), the label PPI-responsive esophageal eosinophilia (PPI-REE) is applied. Previous studies, however, demonstrate similar clinical⁴¹, histologic, immunologic^{42,43}, and molecular⁴⁴ characteristics between patients with PPI-REE and EoE, and thus there are currently conflicting opinions as to whether PPI-REE signifies a truly unique pathophysiologic state, or whether it should be considered within the spectrum of EoE^{45,46}. As such, recently published European guidelines have eliminated the criteria for a PPI challenge prior to diagnosing EoE¹.

The role for allergy evaluation is important for both the management of EoE and that of comorbid atopic conditions, such as asthma, allergic rhinitis, IgE-mediated food allergy, and atopic dermatitis, which affect a majority of individuals with EoE^{47-49} . Individuals with EoE frequently experience allergic rhinitis symptoms and may have seasonal exacerbations of their EoE^{50-52} , and thus a thorough evaluation of aeroallergen sensitization and treatment of allergic rhinitis symptoms is recommended. Furthermore, children with EoE frequently have concomitant IgE-mediated food allergy¹⁹, and thus a careful evaluation of this condition, especially in light of possible elimination diets and food reintroduction, is essential.

As mentioned above, previous studies examining the use of elemental diets in both children and adults with EoE have demonstrated histologic remission in over 90% of patients^{10,11}, suggesting that exposure to certain foods are a key trigger for this condition. However, because elemental diets are burdensome and unappealing to many individuals, efforts have focused on the utility of allergy testing, such as skin prick tests, patch tests, and quantification of food-specific IgE, to design more tailored avoidance diets. In general, studies examining allergy-testing directed diets have shown mixed results, albeit with outcomes inferior to elemental diets (see Table 1). A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that 45% of individuals responded to diets guided by allergy testing, but there was considerable heterogeneity among the studies, which likely reflects different testing approaches³⁴.

The majority of studies examining allergy-testing directed diets have assessed the utility of using positive results from both skin prick and atopy patch testing (APT). APT involves the topical application of fresh food to the skin for 48 hours, with subsequent evaluation and follow-up at 72 hours. APT is an intuitive approach given that IgE-independent mechanisms are thought to be involved in EoE, but the testing is logistically challenging and has not been shown to be universally successful^{53–55}.

The predictive value of testing serum for food-specific IgE is less well studied than skin testing, but recent reports suggest that low-level specific IgE may be useful for dietary guidance^{56,57}. The fact that testing for specific IgE by a multi-array component assay was

not helpful likely reflects important differences between traditional ImmunoCAP assays and multi-array component assays¹⁴, with ImmunoCAP having greater sensitivity to many food allergens⁵⁸. It has been theorized that this poor correlation may be due to assay inhibition by IgG4 blocking antibodies⁵⁹. A role for food-specific IgG4 in identifying food triggers remains an open question, as a recent study also demonstrated that food-specific IgG4 levels of trigger foods decline significantly in patients with EoE after dietary elimination of these

foods.³⁸. However, whether this test may be used to predict causative foods remains unclear.

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis for EoE includes a number of conditions that can cause esophageal eosinophilia and upper gastrointestinal symptoms. These include gastroesophageal reflux (GERD), parasitic or fungal infections, connective tissue disease, Crohn's disease, celiac disease, carcinoma, drug-related eosinophilia, congenital rings, achalasia, vasculitis and bullous pemphigoid. It is important to exclude these entities based on history and, in some cases, complementary testing.

Treatment

Evidence-based treatment options for EoE generally fall into one of the following categories: acid suppression, dietary avoidance, swallowed steroids, or esophageal dilation. With current guidelines requiring PPI failure as part of EoE diagnostic criteria, an obvious implication is that acid suppression by itself is inadequate therapy (with the exception of PPI-REE). However, as discussed above, recent European guidelines have eliminated the requirement of a PPI trial prior to diagnosis of EoE, and thus treatment with a PPI alone may be adequate in select patients¹. The decision of whether to continue a PPI after confirming the diagnosis of EoE is not clear-cut, but may be considered if the PPI nonetheless improves symptoms such as reflux or dysphagia⁶¹. The decision to continue a PPI should be made after weighing the benefits versus the risks associated with the long-term use of these medications^{62,63}.

Most patients will initially start therapy with either dietary avoidance or a topical steroid. Oral steroids or immunosuppressants can be considered for severe cases, but these are not commonly used or recommended⁶⁴. The advantage of dietary avoidance is that it avoids complications that can result from long-term topical steroid use, such as esophageal candidiasis^{65,66}, cataracts⁶⁷, and adrenal suppression⁶⁸. A disadvantage is that long-term diet restrictions can be challenging, particularly if there are multiple trigger foods. There have been few head-to-head trials comparing food elimination and steroids, although a recent report by Philpott *et al* agrees with our clinical experience that steroids may be more likely to achieve remission than diet alone⁶⁹. Often we find that patients have strong preferences for one option or the other, which helps guide initial management.

Approaches to dietary avoidance can be empiric elimination of the most common food triggers, allergy testing-directed diets, or elemental diets. The fact that allergy tests have not consistently proven useful for predicting trigger foods has led many providers to start with empiric diets. The classic six-food elimination diet (SFED) involves avoidance of milk,

Wilson and McGowan

wheat, egg, soy, fish/shellfish and peanuts/tree nuts. This diet was initially studied, in large part, as these foods are commonly associated with IgE-mediated food allergy;⁷⁰ however, numerous studies have shown that the SFED can be effective in EoE as well (see Table 2)⁷¹. Studies examining the SFED have generally shown histologic remission in 60–80% of cases^{54,70,72}, which is also supported by findings in the systematic review and meta-analysis by Arias and colleagues³⁴.

Two recent studies have evaluated the efficacy of an empiric four-food elimination diet (FFED). In the first study, which was performed in adults, avoidance of milk/dairy, glutencontaining grains, egg and legumes led to remission in 54% of patients⁷³. It is important to note that this "FFED" also included avoidance of goat and sheep's milk, all glutencontaining grains, lentils, chickpeas, peas, beans, peanuts, and many tree nuts. More recently, avoidance of milk/dairy, wheat, egg, and soy was assessed in children with EoE, and a similar percentage of patients (65%) achieved histologic remission⁷⁴.

With the realization that milk and wheat are the most common triggers, some investigators have transitioned to a 'step-up' approach, which involves starting with avoidance of milk and gluten-containing cereals, and only restricting additional foods if there has been a response failure⁷⁵. It is important to note, however, that foods that are not included in the SFED have also been implicated in some studies^{19,31,76}.

The topical steroid formulations that have been most studied are budesonide and fluticasone. While neither is approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of EoE, both have shown efficacy in controlled trials, and treatment with these medications is supported by American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) guidelines⁴⁰. Fluticasone is usually administered twice a day with a metered-dose inhaler (MDI) without a spacer. While the optimal dose has not been established, commonly used doses are 176 μ g/day in 1–4 year olds, 440 µg/day in children 5-10 years old and 880-1760 µg/day for those 11 years or older⁷⁷. though some investigators have used 1760 µg/day in children as young as 3 years old⁷⁸. It is critical that the patient swallow and not inhale the medication. Some providers advocate ingesting the contents of individually wrapped foil-lined packets within the drypowder inhaler (DPI) formulation of fluticasone for easier delivery⁷⁹. Budesonide can be administered by ingestion of the nebulized formulation, but most providers favor using a viscous slurry. In this case, the content of a budesonide respute is added to a thickening agent, such as sucralose, though other vehicles including honey, agave nectar, Neocate Nutra, and applesauce are also frequently used^{80,81}. Typically 1 mg of budesonide will be mixed with 0.5-1 teaspoons of sweetener⁸¹. The recommended oral viscous budesonide (OVB) dose in children (<10 years old) is 1 g once a day, while in those older than 10 years, it is 1 g twice a day. For both fluticasone and budesonide, it is recommended that food and drink be avoided for at least 30 minutes after administration. There have been no head-tohead trials comparing the two different steroid preparations, but an intriguing trial compared budesonide by the viscous versus nebulized formulations and found that viscous budesonide was superior for reducing eosinophilic inflammation⁸². Not unexpectedly, scintigraphy analysis demonstrated that the viscous formulation had extended contact with the esophageal mucosa compared to the nebulized version. Moreover, a recent retrospective comparison of the experience at a single center showed EoE subjects treated with OVB achieved histologic

remission (75%) more often than those treated with swallowed fluticasone $(40\%)^{83}$. Taken together, these studies suggest that budesonide viscous slurry may be of benefit in individuals who have failed fluticasone.

A final option to be considered in some cases is periodic esophageal dilation. Some individuals with esophageal strictures may require dilation as part of a multi-faceted treatment approach. In other cases, primarily when symptoms are restricted to dysphagia due to strictures, the symptoms may be addressed solely through periodic esophageal dilation. This approach is undertaken with the important caveat that the procedure is not addressing the underlying inflammation. Dilation has been shown to be successful in many studies, with a recent meta-analysis showing improvement in 75% of cases⁸⁴. The possibility of adverse events including post-procedural chest pain, esophageal perforation, and hemorrhage must be considered when dilation is considered.⁸⁴

Management

The natural history of EoE is not completely understood, but it is thought to be a chronic disease, and the development of fibrotic changes and strictures appears to be common in those with delayed diagnosis⁸⁵. As symptoms and histologic inflammation have been shown to recur in individuals who stop treatment, continued management and surveillance of patients is recommended.⁴⁰ In particular, maintenance therapy with swallowed steroids or dietary management should be considered in patients with severe dysphagia, a history of food impaction, high-grade esophageal strictures, and a rapid clinical or histologic response with therapy⁴⁰.

While long-term outcomes are not well established, there is evidence that dietary elimination can lead to sustained remission in those who successfully avoid their food triggers⁷⁶. In these patients, especially those avoiding multiple foods, it is important to monitor for nutritional deficiencies and to strongly consider referral or co-management with a dietitian.

Maintenance therapy with swallowed steroids was evaluated in a prospective study of 28 adults with EoE in remission who were treated with either twice daily budesonide (0.25 mg) or placebo for 50 weeks. While eosinophil counts increased in both groups during the study, the increase was statistically less among those treated with swallowed budesonide compared to those treated with placebo, and it was not associated with a significant increase in clinical symptoms⁸⁶. In the absence of long-term data, the risks of treating with swallowed steroids remain largely theoretic, but emerging data suggests a need for careful monitoring of adverse effects. For example, in a prospective trial of children with EoE who were treated with topical steroids for a mean duration of 15 weeks, it was demonstrated that 66% of children developed adrenal suppression⁸⁷. For this reason, periodic monitoring of a morning cortisol level or ACTH is suggested. To minimize the long-term risk of steroids, the dose should ideally be reduced to the minimum that will achieve ongoing remission of symptoms and inflammation, however this is complicated by emerging evidence that dose reduction often leads to a loss of response^{78,88}.

Future Considerations

Two key areas of ongoing investigation in EoE relate to the development of novel diagnostics and therapeutics. The current reliance on EGD for diagnosis and management of EoE has several pitfalls. An important one is that the inflammatory lesions in EoE are often patchy, which means that several samples are required to reduce the chance of a false negative. And while generally very safe, EGDs are invasive and expensive procedures that carry the risk of infection, perforation, and adverse effects from anesthesia. Novel approaches that may impact diagnosis and/or surveillance include a microarray gene expression diagnostic panel⁸⁹ and non-invasive techniques that utilize a capsule or sponge to measure luminal secretions^{90,91}. Given the limitations of our current allergy testing, the development of novel assays to identify food and environmental triggers of EoE would further have an important role in guiding more personalized avoidance strategies.

From a therapeutic perspective, there is ongoing interest in targeting a number of mediators involved in eosinophil recruitment and Th2 inflammation. One of the most studied targets to date is IL-5, a cytokine important in the maturation and recruitment of eosinophils⁹². Mepolizumab^{93–95} and reslizumab⁹⁶, both monoclonal antibodies targeting IL-5, have been shown in clinical trials to decrease esophageal eosinophilia, but clinical responses have not been uniform. Similarly, a monoclonal antibody targeting IL-13, a Th2 cytokine that is elevated in the esophagi of patients with EoE⁹⁷, was found to significantly decrease esophageal eosinophils, and there was a non-significant trend towards improved symptoms⁹⁸. A clinical trial of an inhibitor of chemoattractant receptor-homologous molecule on Th2 cells (CRTH2), which is expressed by pathogenic effector Th2 cells⁹⁹, was also shown to reduce esophageal eosinophilia and improve clinical symptoms¹⁰⁰. Potential future targets that may prove efficacious in treating EoE include monoclonal antibodies against IL-4 receptor alpha, a shared receptor for both IL-4 and IL-13, TSLP, and IL-9¹⁰¹.

Summary

EoE is an emerging chronic inflammatory disease with significant associated morbidity. EoE is commonly food-triggered, and appropriate dietary avoidance is often sufficient for disease remission. Ongoing research holds great promise for the development of novel tools for disease diagnosis and management, as well as for the development of targeted therapies.

References

- Lucendo AJ, Molina-Infante J, Arias A, et al. Guidelines on eosinophilic esophagitis: evidencebased statements and recommendations for diagnosis and management in children and adults. United European gastroenterology journal. 2017; 5(3):335–358. [PubMed: 28507746]
- Attwood SE, Smyrk TC, Demeester TR, Jones JB. Esophageal eosinophilia with dysphagia. A distinct clinicopathologic syndrome. Dig Dis Sci. 1993; 38(1):109–116. [PubMed: 8420741]
- 3. Straumann A, Spichtin HP, Bernoulli R, Loosli J, Vogtlin J. Idiopathic eosinophilic esophagitis: a frequently overlooked disease with typical clinical aspects and discrete endoscopic findings. Schweiz Med Wochenschr. 1994; 124(33):1419–1429. [PubMed: 7939509]
- Dellon ES. Epidemiology of eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2014; 43(2): 201–218. [PubMed: 24813510]

- Hruz P, Straumann A, Bussmann C, et al. Escalating incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis: a 20-year prospective, population-based study in Olten County, Switzerland. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011; 128(6):1349–1350. e1345. [PubMed: 22019091]
- Prasad GA, Alexander JA, Schleck CD, et al. Epidemiology of eosinophilic esophagitis over three decades in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009; 7(10):1055–1061. [PubMed: 19577011]
- Jensen ET, Martin CF, Kappelman MD, Dellon ES. Prevalence of Eosinophilic Gastritis, Gastroenteritis, and Colitis: Estimates From a National Administrative Database. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016; 62(1):36–42. [PubMed: 25988554]
- Dellon ES, Erichsen R, Baron JA, et al. The increasing incidence and prevalence of eosinophilic oesophagitis outpaces changes in endoscopic and biopsy practice: national population-based estimates from Denmark. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015; 41(7):662–670. [PubMed: 25684441]
- Giriens B, Yan P, Safroneeva E, et al. Escalating incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis in Canton of Vaud, Switzerland, 1993–2013: a population-based study. Allergy. 2015; 70(12):1633–1639. [PubMed: 26304142]
- Kelly KJ, Lazenby AJ, Rowe PC, Yardley JH, Perman JA, Sampson HA. Eosinophilic esophagitis attributed to gastroesophageal reflux: improvement with an amino acid-based formula. Gastroenterology. 1995; 109(5):1503–1512. [PubMed: 7557132]
- Peterson KA, Byrne KR, Vinson LA, et al. Elemental diet induces histologic response in adult eosinophilic esophagitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013; 108(5):759–766. [PubMed: 23381017]
- Sorser SA, Barawi M, Hagglund K, Almojaned M, Lyons H. Eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adolescents: epidemiology, clinical presentation and seasonal variation. J Gastroenterol. 2013; 48(1):81–85. [PubMed: 22618806]
- Spergel JM, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Beausoleil JL, et al. 14 years of eosinophilic esophagitis: clinical features and prognosis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009; 48(1):30–36. [PubMed: 19172120]
- Erwin EA, Tripathi A, Ogbogu PU, et al. IgE Antibody Detection and Component Analysis in Patients with Eosinophilic Esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2015; 3(6):896–904. e893. [PubMed: 26099818]
- Miehlke S. Clinical features of Eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adults. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2015; 29(5):739–748. [PubMed: 26552773]
- Lucendo AJ, Castillo P, Martin-Chavarri S, et al. Manometric findings in adult eosinophilic oesophagitis: a study of 12 cases. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007; 19(5):417–424. [PubMed: 17413294]
- Garcia-Compean D, Gonzalez Gonzalez JA, Marrufo Garcia CA, et al. Prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in patients with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms: A prospective study. Dig Liver Dis. 2011; 43(3):204–208. [PubMed: 20843755]
- Simon D, Marti H, Heer P, Simon HU, Braathen LR, Straumann A. Eosinophilic esophagitis is frequently associated with IgE-mediated allergic airway diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005; 115(5):1090–1092. [PubMed: 15867873]
- Hill DA, Dudley JW, Spergel JM. The Prevalence of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Pediatric Patients with IgE-Mediated Food Allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2017; 5(2):369–375. [PubMed: 28042003]
- 20. Padia R, Curtin K, Peterson K, Orlandi RR, Alt J. Eosinophilic esophagitis strongly linked to chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope. 2016; 126(6):1279–1283. [PubMed: 26606888]
- Peterson K, Firszt R, Fang J, Wong J, Smith KR, Brady KA. Risk of Autoimmunity in EoE and Families: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016; 111(7):926–932. [PubMed: 27215923]
- Abonia JP, Wen T, Stucke EM, et al. High prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in patients with inherited connective tissue disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013; 132(2):378–386. [PubMed: 23608731]
- Davis BP, Stucke EM, Khorki ME, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis-linked calpain 14 is an IL-13induced protease that mediates esophageal epithelial barrier impairment. JCI Insight. 2016; 1(4):e86355. [PubMed: 27158675]

- Simon D, Radonjic-Hosli S, Straumann A, Yousefi S, Simon HU. Active eosinophilic esophagitis is characterized by epithelial barrier defects and eosinophil extracellular trap formation. Allergy. 2015; 70(4):443–452. [PubMed: 25620273]
- Straumann A, Bauer M, Fischer B, Blaser K, Simon HU. Idiopathic eosinophilic esophagitis is associated with a T(H)2-type allergic inflammatory response. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001; 108(6):954–961. [PubMed: 11742273]
- 26. Yamazaki K, Murray JA, Arora AS, et al. Allergen-specific in vitro cytokine production in adult patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2006; 51(11):1934–1941. [PubMed: 17009121]
- Arias A, Lucendo AJ, Martinez-Fernandez P, et al. Dietary treatment modulates mast cell phenotype, density, and activity in adult eosinophilic oesophagitis. Clin Exp Allergy. 2016; 46(1): 78–91. [PubMed: 25640519]
- Noti M, Wojno ED, Kim BS, et al. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin-elicited basophil responses promote eosinophilic esophagitis. Nat Med. 2013; 19(8):1005–1013. [PubMed: 23872715]
- 29. Clayton F, Fang JC, Gleich GJ, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in adults is associated with IgG4 and not mediated by IgE. Gastroenterology. 2014; 147(3):602–609. [PubMed: 24907494]
- Doherty TA, Baum R, Newbury RO, et al. Group 2 innate lymphocytes (ILC2) are enriched in active eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 136(3):792–794. e793. [PubMed: 26233928]
- Spergel JM, Beausoleil JL, Mascarenhas M, Liacouras CA. The use of skin prick tests and patch tests to identify causative foods in eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002; 109(2): 363–368. [PubMed: 11842310]
- Spergel JM, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Cianferoni A, et al. Identification of causative foods in children with eosinophilic esophagitis treated with an elimination diet. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 130(2):461–467. e465. [PubMed: 22743304]
- McGowan EC, Platts-Mills TA. Eosinophilic Esophagitis From an Allergy Perspective: How to Optimally Pursue Allergy Testing & Dietary Modification in the Adult Population. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2016; 18(11):58. [PubMed: 27726042]
- 34. Arias A, Gonzalez-Cervera J, Tenias JM, Lucendo AJ. Efficacy of dietary interventions for inducing histologic remission in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2014; 146(7):1639–1648. [PubMed: 24534634]
- Simon D, Cianferoni A, Spergel JM, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis is characterized by a non-IgEmediated food hypersensitivity. Allergy. 2016; 71(5):611–620. [PubMed: 26799684]
- Davis BP, Rothenberg ME. Mechanisms of Disease of Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2016; 11:365–393. [PubMed: 26925500]
- Blanchard C, Stucke EM, Rodriguez-Jimenez B, et al. A striking local esophageal cytokine expression profile in eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011; 127(1):208–217. 217, e201–207. [PubMed: 21211656]
- Wright BL, Kulis M, Guo R, et al. Food-specific IgG4 is associated with eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 138(4):1190–1192. e1193. [PubMed: 27130859]
- 39. Miehlke S. Clinical features of eosinophilic esophagitis. Dig Dis. 2014; 32(1-2):61-67.
- Dellon ES, Gonsalves N, Hirano I, et al. ACG clinical guideline: Evidenced based approach to the diagnosis and management of esophageal eosinophilia and eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE). Am J Gastroenterol. 2013; 108(5):679–692. quiz 693. [PubMed: 23567357]
- Molina-Infante J, Ferrando-Lamana L, Ripoll C, et al. Esophageal eosinophilic infiltration responds to proton pump inhibition in most adults. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011; 9(2):110– 117. [PubMed: 20920599]
- Moawad FJ, Wells JM, Johnson RL, Reinhardt BJ, Maydonovitch CL, Baker TP. Comparison of eotaxin-3 biomarker in patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis, proton pump inhibitor-responsive oesophageal eosinophilia and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015; 42(2):231–238. [PubMed: 26011446]
- 43. Molina-Infante J, Rivas MD, Hernandez-Alonso M, et al. Proton pump inhibitor-responsive oesophageal eosinophilia correlates with downregulation of eotaxin-3 and Th2 cytokines overexpression. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014; 40(8):955–965. [PubMed: 25112708]

Wilson and McGowan

- 44. Wen T, Dellon ES, Moawad FJ, Furuta GT, Aceves SS, Rothenberg ME. Transcriptome analysis of proton pump inhibitor-responsive esophageal eosinophilia reveals proton pump inhibitor-reversible allergic inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 135(1):187–197. [PubMed: 25441638]
- 45. Shoda T, Matsuda A, Nomura I, et al. Eosinophilic Esophagitis vs Proton Pump Inhibitor-Responsive Esophageal Eosinophilia: Transcriptome Analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017
- Molina-Infante J, Bredenoord AJ, Cheng E, et al. Proton pump inhibitor-responsive oesophageal eosinophilia: an entity challenging current diagnostic criteria for eosinophilic oesophagitis. Gut. 2016; 65(3):524–531. [PubMed: 26685124]
- Orenstein SR, Shalaby TM, Di Lorenzo C, et al. The spectrum of pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis beyond infancy: a clinical series of 30 children. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000; 95(6):1422–1430. [PubMed: 10894574]
- Roy-Ghanta S, Larosa DF, Katzka DA. Atopic characteristics of adult patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2008; 6(5):531–535. [PubMed: 18304887]
- Gonzalez-Cervera J, Arias A, Redondo-Gonzalez O, Cano-Mollinedo MM, Terreehorst I, Lucendo AJ. Association between atopic manifestations and eosinophilic esophagitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2017; 118(5):582–590. e582. [PubMed: 28366582]
- Almansa C, Krishna M, Buchner AM, et al. Seasonal distribution in newly diagnosed cases of eosinophilic esophagitis in adults. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009; 104(4):828–833. [PubMed: 19240704]
- 51. Moawad FJ, Veerappan GR, Lake JM, et al. Correlation between eosinophilic oesophagitis and aeroallergens. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2010; 31(4):509–515. [PubMed: 19925501]
- 52. Fahey L, Robinson G, Weinberger K, Giambrone AE, Solomon AB. Correlation Between Aeroallergen Levels and New Diagnosis of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in New York City. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2017; 64(1):22–25. [PubMed: 27111345]
- 53. Spergel JM, Andrews T, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Beausoleil JL, Liacouras CA. Treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis with specific food elimination diet directed by a combination of skin prick and patch tests. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2005; 95(4):336–343. [PubMed: 16279563]
- Henderson CJ, Abonia JP, King EC, et al. Comparative dietary therapy effectiveness in remission of pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 129(6):1570–1578. [PubMed: 22541246]
- Molina-Infante J, Martin-Noguerol E, Alvarado-Arenas M, Porcel-Carreno SL, Jimenez-Timon S, Hernandez-Arbeiza FJ. Selective elimination diet based on skin testing has suboptimal efficacy for adult eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 130(5):1200–1202. [PubMed: 22867695]
- Rodriguez-Sanchez J, Gomez Torrijos E, Lopez Viedma B, et al. Efficacy of IgE-targeted vs empiric six-food elimination diets for adult eosinophilic oesophagitis. Allergy. 2014; 69(7):936– 942. [PubMed: 24816218]
- Erwin EA, Kruszewski PG, Russo JM, Schuyler AJ, Platts-Mills TA. IgE antibodies and response to cow's milk elimination diet in pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 138(2):625–628. e622. [PubMed: 27113847]
- Sastre J. Molecular diagnosis in allergy. Clin Exp Allergy. 2010; 40(10):1442–1460. [PubMed: 20682003]
- Aalberse RC, Platts-Mills TA, Rispens T. The Developmental History of IgE and IgG4 Antibodies in Relation to Atopy, Eosinophilic Esophagitis, and the Modified TH2 Response. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2016; 16(6):45. [PubMed: 27221343]
- Dellon ES. Diagnostics of eosinophilic esophagitis: clinical, endoscopic, and histologic pitfalls. Dig Dis. 2014; 32(1–2):48–53. [PubMed: 24603380]
- Asher Wolf W, Dellon ES. Eosinophilic esophagitis and proton pump inhibitors: controversies and implications for clinical practice. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y). 2014; 10(7):427–432. [PubMed: 25904830]
- 62. Schnoll-Sussman F, Katz PO. Clinical Implications of Emerging Data on the Safety of Proton Pump Inhibitors. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol. 2017

- Scarpignato C, Gatta L, Zullo A, et al. Effective and safe proton pump inhibitor therapy in acidrelated diseases - A position paper addressing benefits and potential harms of acid suppression. BMC Med. 2016; 14(1):179. [PubMed: 27825371]
- 64. Netzer P, Gschossmann JM, Straumann A, Sendensky A, Weimann R, Schoepfer AM. Corticosteroid-dependent eosinophilic oesophagitis: azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine can induce and maintain long-term remission. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007; 19(10):865–869. [PubMed: 17873610]
- 65. Chuang MY, Chinnaratha MA, Hancock DG, et al. Topical Steroid Therapy for the Treatment of Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE): A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2015; 6:e82. [PubMed: 25809314]
- Miehlke S, Hruz P, Vieth M, et al. A randomised, double-blind trial comparing budesonide formulations and dosages for short-term treatment of eosinophilic oesophagitis. Gut. 2016; 65(3): 390–399. [PubMed: 25792708]
- Weatherall M, Clay J, James K, Perrin K, Shirtcliffe P, Beasley R. Dose-response relationship of inhaled corticosteroids and cataracts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respirology. 2009; 14(7):983–990. [PubMed: 19740259]
- Harel S, Hursh BE, Chan ES, Avinashi V, Panagiotopoulos C. Adrenal Suppression in Children Treated With Oral Viscous Budesonide for Eosinophilic Esophagitis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2015; 61(2):190–193. [PubMed: 25950088]
- 69. Philpott H, Nandurkar S, Royce SG, Thien F, Gibson PR. Allergy tests do not predict food triggers in adult patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis. A comprehensive prospective study using five modalities. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016; 44(3):223–233. [PubMed: 27247257]
- Kagalwalla AF, Sentongo TA, Ritz S, et al. Effect of six-food elimination diet on clinical and histologic outcomes in eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006; 4(9):1097– 1102. [PubMed: 16860614]
- Boyce JA, Assa'ad A, Burks AW, et al. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Food Allergy in the United States: Summary of the NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Report. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010; 126(6):1105–1118. [PubMed: 21134568]
- 72. Gonsalves N, Yang GY, Doerfler B, Ritz S, Ditto AM, Hirano I. Elimination diet effectively treats eosinophilic esophagitis in adults; food reintroduction identifies causative factors. Gastroenterology. 2012; 142(7):1451–1459. e1451. quiz e1414–1455. [PubMed: 22391333]
- Molina-Infante J, Arias A, Barrio J, Rodriguez-Sanchez J, Sanchez-Cazalilla M, Lucendo AJ. Four-food group elimination diet for adult eosinophilic esophagitis: A prospective multicenter study. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014; 134(5):1093–1099. e1091. [PubMed: 25174868]
- 74. Kagalwalla AF, Wechsler JB, Amsden K, et al. Efficacy of a 4-Food Elimination Diet for Children With Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017
- Molina-Infante J, Gonzalez-Cordero PL, Arias A, Lucendo AJ. Update on dietary therapy for eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adults. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017; 11(2): 115–123. [PubMed: 27998193]
- 76. Lucendo AJ, Arias A, Gonzalez-Cervera J, et al. Empiric 6-food elimination diet induced and maintained prolonged remission in patients with adult eosinophilic esophagitis: a prospective study on the food cause of the disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013; 131(3):797–804. [PubMed: 23375693]
- Teitelbaum JE, Fox VL, Twarog FJ, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in children: immunopathological analysis and response to fluticasone propionate. Gastroenterology. 2002; 122(5):1216–1225. [PubMed: 11984507]
- 78. Butz BK, Wen T, Gleich GJ, et al. Efficacy, dose reduction, and resistance to high-dose fluticasone in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology. 2014; 147(2):324–333. e325. [PubMed: 24768678]
- Hirano I. How I Approach the Management of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Adults. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017; 112(2):197–199. [PubMed: 27845336]
- Lee J, Shuker M, Brown-Whitehorn T, et al. Oral viscous budesonide can be successfully delivered through a variety of vehicles to treat eosinophilic esophagitis in children. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2016; 4(4):767–768. [PubMed: 27025298]

- Rubinstein E, Lee JJ, Fried A, et al. Comparison of 2 delivery vehicles for viscous budesonide to treat eosinophilic esophagitis in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2014; 59(3):317–320. [PubMed: 24821535]
- Dellon ES, Sheikh A, Speck O, et al. Viscous topical is more effective than nebulized steroid therapy for patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology. 2012; 143(2):321–324. e321. [PubMed: 22561055]
- Fable JM, Fernandez M, Goodine S, Lerer T, Sayej WN. Retrospective Comparison of Fluticasone Propionate and Oral Viscous Budesonide in Children with Eosinophilic Esophagitis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2017
- Moawad FJ, Cheatham JG, DeZee KJ. Meta-analysis: the safety and efficacy of dilation in eosinophilic oesophagitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2013; 38(7):713–720. [PubMed: 23915046]
- Schoepfer AM, Safroneeva E, Bussmann C, et al. Delay in diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis increases risk for stricture formation in a time-dependent manner. Gastroenterology. 2013; 145(6): 1230–1236. e1231–1232. [PubMed: 23954315]
- Straumann A, Conus S, Degen L, et al. Long-term budesonide maintenance treatment is partially effective for patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011; 9(5):400– 409. e401. [PubMed: 21277394]
- Ahmet A, Benchimol EI, Goldbloom EB, Barkey JL. Adrenal suppression in children treated with swallowed fluticasone and oral viscous budesonide for eosinophilic esophagitis. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol. 2016; 12:49. [PubMed: 27766109]
- Eluri S, Runge TM, Hansen J, et al. Diminishing Effectiveness of Long-Term Maintenance Topical Steroid Therapy in PPI Non-Responsive Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2017; 8(6):e97. [PubMed: 28617448]
- 89. Wen T, Stucke EM, Grotjan TM, et al. Molecular diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis by gene expression profiling. Gastroenterology. 2013; 145(6):1289–1299. [PubMed: 23978633]
- Furuta GT, Kagalwalla AF, Lee JJ, et al. The oesophageal string test: a novel, minimally invasive method measures mucosal inflammation in eosinophilic oesophagitis. Gut. 2013; 62(10):1395– 1405. [PubMed: 22895393]
- Katzka DA, Geno DM, Ravi A, et al. Accuracy, safety, and tolerability of tissue collection by Cytosponge vs endoscopy for evaluation of eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015; 13(1):77–83. e72. [PubMed: 24997328]
- Bochner BS, Gleich GJ. What targeting eosinophils has taught us about their role in diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010; 126(1):16–25. quiz 26-17. [PubMed: 20434203]
- Stein ML, Collins MH, Villanueva JM, et al. Anti-IL-5 (mepolizumab) therapy for eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006; 118(6):1312–1319. [PubMed: 17157662]
- Straumann A, Conus S, Grzonka P, et al. Anti-interleukin-5 antibody treatment (mepolizumab) in active eosinophilic oesophagitis: a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Gut. 2010; 59(1):21–30. [PubMed: 19828470]
- Assa'ad AH, Gupta SK, Collins MH, et al. An antibody against IL-5 reduces numbers of esophageal intraepithelial eosinophils in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterology. 2011; 141(5):1593–1604. [PubMed: 21835135]
- 96. Spergel JM, Rothenberg ME, Collins MH, et al. Reslizumab in children and adolescents with eosinophilic esophagitis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 129(2):456–463. 463, e451–453. [PubMed: 22206777]
- Blanchard C, Mingler MK, Vicario M, et al. IL-13 involvement in eosinophilic esophagitis: transcriptome analysis and reversibility with glucocorticoids. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007; 120(6):1292–1300. [PubMed: 18073124]
- Rothenberg ME, Wen T, Greenberg A, et al. Intravenous anti-IL-13 mAb QAX576 for the treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 135(2):500–507. [PubMed: 25226850]
- 99. Mitson-Salazar A, Yin Y, Wansley DL, et al. Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase defines a proeosinophilic pathogenic effector human T(H)2 cell subpopulation with enhanced function. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 137(3):907–918. e909. [PubMed: 26431580]

- 100. Straumann A, Hoesli S, Bussmann C, et al. Anti-eosinophil activity and clinical efficacy of the CRTH2 antagonist OC000459 in eosinophilic esophagitis. Allergy. 2013; 68(3):375–385. [PubMed: 23379537]
- 101. Otani IM, Nadeau KC. Biologic Therapies for Immunoglobulin E-mediated Food Allergy and Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2017; 37(2):369–396. [PubMed: 28366483]
- 102. Al-Hussaini A, Al-Idressi E, Al-Zahrani M. The role of allergy evaluation in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. J Gastroenterol. 2013; 48(11):1205–1212. [PubMed: 23354622]
- 103. Wolf WA, Jerath MR, Sperry SL, Shaheen NJ, Dellon ES. Dietary elimination therapy is an effective option for adults with eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014; 12(8): 1272–1279. [PubMed: 24440337]
- 104. van Rhijn BD, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Versteeg SA, et al. Evaluation of allergen-microarray-guided dietary intervention as treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015; 136(4):1095–1097. e1093. [PubMed: 25935104]
- 105. Kagalwalla AF, Amsden K, Shah A, et al. Cow's milk elimination: a novel dietary approach to treat eosinophilic esophagitis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2012; 55(6):711–716. [PubMed: 22820121]

KEY POINTS

- Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is a chronic inflammatory disease that is commonly food-triggered.
- Mainstays of therapy involve the use of proton pump inhibitors, elimination of relevant food triggers, serial esophageal dilations, and topical steroids.
- Contemporary diagnosis and management relies on repeat endoscopy; however, emerging insights hold promise for the development of non-invasive approaches for disease monitoring and novel immune modulating therapies.

Table 1

Key Studies Assessing the Utility of Allergy Testing in EoE

Study (year)	Testing Modality	# of Subjects	Histologic Response	Additional Findings
Children				
Spergel et al (2002)31	SPT and APT	26	75% *	Prospective study
				Milk and egg were the most common positive foods by SPT
				• Wheat was the most common positive food by APT
Spergel <i>et al</i> (2005) ⁵³	SPT and APT	146	49% <i>§</i>	Retrospective analysis of clinic population
				 Egg, milk, and soy were most common trigger foods identified by SPT
				• Corn, soy, and wheat were most common foods identified by APT
Spergel <i>et al</i> (2012) ³²	SPT and APT	319	53% §	• Retrospective analysis of clinic population
				• Of 941 patients, causative foods were identified in 319
				Similar histologic success for SPT/APT directed diets and SFED
				Most common trigger foods were milk, egg, whea and soy
Al-Hussaini <i>et al</i> (2013) ¹⁰²	SPT and Food-specific IgE (ImmunoCAP >0.35 kU/L)	10	40% **	Prospective study
				 Most common trigger foods were milk, soy, wheat egg, and nuts
Henderson <i>et al</i> (2012) ⁵⁴	SPT and APT	23	65% **	Retrospective comparison of elemental, SFED, and allergy-testing directed diets
				• Elemental diet was the most effective.
				• SFED and allergy-testing directed diets had comparable effectiveness
Adults				
Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> (2012) ⁵⁵	SPT, APT, and prick- prick testing	15	33% [†]	Prospective trial
				• Foods that were positive by any form of testing were eliminated
Wolf et al (2014) ¹⁰³	SPT	22	32% *	Retrospective study of clinic cohort
				• Milk, egg, and wheat were most common trigger foods
Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶	Food-specific IgE (ImmunoCAP >0.1 kU/L)	26	73% [†]	Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diets.
				• Fewer foods were removed and fewer EGDs were required in sIgE-directed diet.
				• Most common triggers: milk, wheat, egg, and legumes
Van Rhijn <i>et al</i> (2015) ¹⁰⁴	Component IgE (ISAC microchip)	15	7%‡	Prospective trial of IgE-directed diet, based on ISAC assay.

Study (year)	Testing Modality	# of Subjects	Histologic Response	Additional I	Findings
				•	Trial was prematurely terminated because only 1/15 patients showed improvement in the interim analysis.

SPT = Skin prick testing; APT = Atopy Patch Testing;

*Based on resolution of symptoms and biopsy results when available;

 $^{\$}$ < 5 eosinophils/hpf;

** < 15 eosinophils/hpf;

 † <14 eosinophils/hpf and clinical improvement;

 \ddagger <10 eosinophils/hpf

Table 2

Key studies assessing utility of empiric diets in EoE

(2006) ⁷⁰ SFED with elemental formula die.(2006) ⁷⁰ SFED with elemental formula die.(2006) ⁷⁰ 26 81% Established that the SFED is associate clinical and histologic improvement in mad allergy-testing directed diets, as o Table 1Kagalwalla et al (2017) ⁷⁴ Four-food elimination7864%Prospective observational study Patients with detectable specific IgE (IU/mL) were less likely to respond toKagalwalla et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ Milk only1765% *Retrospective observational study Patients with detectable specific IgE (IU/mL) were less likely to respond toKagalwalla et al (2012) ¹⁰⁵ Milk only1765% *Retrospective observational study •Erwin et al (2016) ⁵⁷ 2162% *Prospective observational study •Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk resp milk elimination diet.AdultsImage: Starfood elimination5074% *First study of SFED in adults. •Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination5074% *First study of SFED in adults. •Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 6773% *Also excluded rice, corn, and legumesRodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶ 1753% *•Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 5652% *•Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budesMolina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination5254% *•SFED successful in 31% of FFED no responders </th <th>Study (year)</th> <th>Empiric Diet</th> <th># of Subjects</th> <th>Histologic Response</th> <th>Additional Findings</th>	Study (year)	Empiric Diet	# of Subjects	Histologic Response	Additional Findings			
(2006) 70SFED with elemental formula diet.(2006) 70SFED with elemental formula diet.(2006) 70SFED with elemental formula diet.(2017) 7426 $81\%^{+}$ Retrospective comparison of elementa and allergy-testing directed diets, as o Table 1Kagalwalla et al (2017) 74Four-food elimination7864%Prospective observational study Patients with detectable specific IgE (IU/mL) were less likely to respond toKagalwalla et al (2012) 105Milk only1765% *Retrospective observational study 	Children							
Henderson et al (2012) ⁵⁴ 26 81% * Retrospective comparison of element and allergy-testing directed diets, as o Table 1 Kagatwalla et al (2017) ⁷⁴ Four-food elimination 78 64% Prospective observational study (2017) ⁷⁴ Kagatwalla et al (2017) ⁷⁴ Four-food elimination 78 64% Prospective observational study (2017) ⁷⁴ Kagatwalla et al (2016) ⁵⁷ Milk only 17 65% * Retrospective study of clinic cohort (2012) ¹⁰⁵ Erwin et al (2016) ⁵⁷ 21 62% * Prospective observational study valuetectable, specific IgE to milk resp milk elimination diet. Aduits Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk resp milk elimination diet. Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination 50 74% * First study of SFED in adults. Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes values 15 patients demonstrated remission at values Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶ 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budes Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination5		Six-food elimination	35	74% [‡]	• Retrospective observational study comparing SFED with elemental formula diet.			
Kagalwalla <i>et al</i> $(2017)^{74}$ Four-food elimination7864%Prospective observational study • Patients with detectable specific [gf (IU/mL) were less likely to respond to IU/mL) were less likely to respond toKagalwalla <i>et al</i> $(2017)^{74}$ Milk only17 $65\%^*$ •Retrospective observational study • IU/mL) were less likely to respond toKagalwalla <i>et al</i> $(2012)^{103}$ Milk only17 $65\%^*$ •Retrospective observational study • Demonstrated that patients with how, a undetectable, specific [gE to milk resp milk elimination diet.AdultsGonsalves <i>et al</i> (2012)^{72}Six-food elimination50 $74\%^*$ •First study of SFED in adults. • Most common triggers: wheat and mil • SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013)^{76}67 $73\%^*$ •Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes • • • • • Is patients demonstrated remission at • • • •Rodriguez-Sanchez <i>et al</i> (2014)^{56}17 $53\%^*$ •Compared SFED to slgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 $52\%^*$ •Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budges Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> (2014)^{73}Four-food elimination52 $54\%^*$ •SFED successful in 31% of FFED no responders					• Established that the SFED is associated with clinical and histologic improvement in EoE			
$(2017)^{74}$ InterformationInterformationKagalwalla <i>et al</i> (2012) ¹⁰⁵ Milk only17 65% Retrospective study of clinic cohortErwin <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁵⁷ 21 62% Prospective observational studyErwin <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁵⁷ 21 62% Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk elimination diet.AdultsGonsalves <i>et al</i> (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination50 74% First study of SFED in adults.Gonsalves <i>et al</i> (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at .Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013) ⁷⁶ 17 53% Compared SFED to slgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budesMolina-Infante <i>et al</i> (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination52 54% SFED successful in 31% of FFED nor responders	Henderson et al (2012) ⁵⁴		26	81% *	and allergy-testing directed diets, as outlined in			
 Patients with detectable specific IgE (IU/mL) were less likely to respond to Kagalwalla <i>et al</i> (2012)¹⁰⁵ Milk only 17 65% * Retrospective observational study Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk resp milk elimination diet. Adults Gonsalves <i>et al</i> (2012)⁷² Six-food elimination 74% * First study of SFED in adults. Most common triggers: wheat and mil sPT only predicted trigger foods in 12 Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013)⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at (2014)⁵⁶ Rodriguez-Sanchez <i>et al</i> 17 53% * Compared SFED to sfgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016)⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budes Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED nor responders 		Four-food elimination	78	64%	Prospective observational study			
(2012)103Erwin et al (2016)5721 62% *Prospective observational study . Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk resp milk elimination diet.AdultsGonsalves et al (2012)72Six-food elimination50 74% *First study of SFED in adults. . Most common triggers: wheat and mil . SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13Lucendo et al (2013)7667 73% *Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes . 15 patients demonstrated remission at . Most common triggers: milk, wheat, e legumesRodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014)5617 53% *Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% *Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budesMolina-Infante et al (2014)73Four-food elimination52 54% *SFED successful in 31% of FFED nor responders	(2017) ⁷⁴				• Patients with detectable specific IgE (>0.35 IU/mL) were less likely to respond to diet			
Adults - Demonstrated that patients with low, a undetectable, specific IgE to milk respinilk elimination diet. Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination 50 74% * • First study of SFED in adults. Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination 50 74% * • First study of SFED in adults. Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * • Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * • Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶ 17 53% * • Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * • Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budes Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination 52 54% * • SFED successful in 31% of FFED not responders		Milk only	17	65% *	Retrospective study of clinic cohort			
Adults First study of SFED in adults. Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination 50 74% * First study of SFED in adults. Most common triggers: wheat and milling SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13 SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13 Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes Iso excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at Most common triggers: milk, wheat, elegumes Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶ 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budess Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED not responders	Erwin et al (2016)57		21	62% *	Prospective observational study			
Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷² Six-food elimination 50 74% * First study of SFED in adults. Most common triggers: wheat and mil SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13 Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes Is patients demonstrated remission at common triggers: milk, wheat, end 15 patients demonstrated remission at the legumes Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budes Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED not responders					• Demonstrated that patients with low, and even undetectable, specific IgE to milk responded to milk elimination diet.			
 Most common triggers: wheat and mil SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13 Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013)⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at Most common triggers: milk, wheat, e legumes Rodriguez-Sanchez <i>et al</i> 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016)⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budess Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED non responders 	Adults							
 SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13 Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013)⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at Most common triggers: milk, wheat, e legumes Rodriguez-Sanchez <i>et al</i> 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016)⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budess Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED nor responders 	Gonsalves et al (2012) ⁷²	Six-food elimination	50	74% *	• First study of SFED in adults.			
Lucendo et al (2013) ⁷⁶ 67 73% * Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes 15 patients demonstrated remission at 15 patients demonstrated remission at 15 patients demonstrated remission at Rodriguez-Sanchez et al 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet (2014) ⁵⁶ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in Au Molina-Infante et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED nor responders					• Most common triggers: wheat and milk			
 I5 patients demonstrated remission at Most common triggers: milk, wheat, e legumes Rodriguez-Sanchez <i>et al</i> (2014)⁵⁶ 17 53%* Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016)⁶⁹ 56 52%* Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budges Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54%* SFED successful in 31% of FFED not responders 					• SPT only predicted trigger foods in 13%			
Rodriguez-Sanchez et al (2014) ⁵⁶ 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budess Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED non responders	Lucendo <i>et al</i> (2013) ⁷⁶		67	73% *	Also excluded rice, corn, and legumes			
Rodriguez-Sanchez et al 17 53% * Compared SFED to sIgE-targeted diet outlined in Table 1 Philpott et al (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in Au comparing SFED to swallowed budese Molina-Infante et al (2014) ⁷³ Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED non responders					• 15 patients demonstrated remission at 2y			
(2014) ⁵⁶ 55% outlined in Table 1 Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁶⁹ 56 52% * Prospective, observational study in At comparing SFED to swallowed budes Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54% * SFED successful in 31% of FFED not responders					• Most common triggers: milk, wheat, eggs, and legumes			
Molina-Infante <i>et al</i> Four-food elimination 52 54% * • SFED successful in 31% of FFED non responders			17	53% *	Compared bi ED to sight-targeted areas, as			
(2014) ⁷³ responders	Philpott <i>et al</i> (2016) ⁶⁹		56	52% *	• Prospective, observational study in Australia comparing SFED to swallowed budesonide			
Milk was the most common trigger (5		Four-food elimination	52	54% *	bi ED successiai in 51% of 11 ED non			
					• Milk was the most common trigger (50%)			

SPT = Skin prick testing; APT = Atopy Patch Testing

 $\stackrel{\ddagger}{\sim}$ <10 eosinophils/hpf;

* <15 eosinophils/hpf