

Microcontainers for oral insulin delivery – In vitro studies of permeation enhancement

Jørgensen, Jacob Rune; Jepsen, Morten Leth; Nielsen, Line Hagner; Dufva, Martin; Nielsen, Hanne Mørck; Rades, Thomas; Boisen, Anja; Müllertz, Anette

Published in: European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2019.08.011

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Jørgensen, J. R., Jepsen, M. L., Nielsen, L. H., Dufva, M., Nielsen, H. M., Rades, T., Boisen, A., & Müllertz, A. (2019). Microcontainers for oral insulin delivery – In vitro studies of permeation enhancement. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, *143*, 98-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2019.08.011

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Microcontainers for oral insulin delivery – *in vitro* studies of permeation enhancement

2 Jacob Rune Jørgensen^{a,b,c}, Morten Leth Jepsen^{a,c}, Line Hagner Nielsen^{a,c}, Martin Dufva^{a,c}, Hanne Mørck

3 Nielsen^b, Thomas Rades^{b,c}, Anja Boisen^{a,c} and <u>Anette Müllertz^{b,c,d,*}</u>

4 ^a Department of Health Technology, Technical University of Denmark, Ørsteds Plads, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

5 ^b Department of Pharmacy, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 2, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

6 ^c The Danish National Research Foundation and Villum Foundation's Center for Intelligent Drug delivery and sensing Using

7 microcontainers and Nanomechanics

⁸ ^d Bioneer:FARMA, Department of Pharmacy, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 2, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

9

10 e-mails: jacob.r.joergensen@sund.ku.dk, mojep@dtu.dk, lihan@dtu.dk, dufva@dtu.dk, hanne.morck@sund.ku.dk,

11 thomas.rades@sund.ku.dk, aboi@dtu.dk and <u>anette.mullertz@sund.ku.dk</u>*

12 *<u>corresponding author</u>

13

14 Abstract

15 Oral delivery of peptides is challenging due to their low uptake through the small intestinal epithelium. Tight junctions, connecting the enterocytes, impede permeability, often necessitating the use of permeation enhancers 16 in the formulation. Loading of peptide and permeation enhancer into micro-scale devices, such as 17 microcontainers, can potentially confine the effective absorptive area through unidirectional release and thereby 18 enhance absorption. This concept is investigated by *in vitro* permeation studies of insulin across Caco-2 cell and 19 20 Caco-2/HT29-MTX-E12 co-culture monolayers mimicking the intestinal absorption barrier. The importance of proximity between the microcontainers and the barrier is assessed, by keeping the amounts of insulin and sodium 21 22 caprate fixed throughout all experiments, while collectively orienting the unidirectional release towards the cell monolayers. Increasing the distance is observed to have a negative effect on insulin permeation matching a one-23 phase exponential decay function, while no difference in insulin transport is observed between Caco-2 and co-24 culture monolayers. Although there are no signs of cytotoxicity caused by the microcontainer material, reversible 25 26 cell deterioration, as a consequence of high local concentrations of sodium caprate, becomes evident upon qualitative assessment of the cell monolayers. These results both suggest a potential of increasing oral 27 bioavailability of peptides by the use of microcontainers, while simultaneously visualising the ability of 28 29 regaining monolayer integrity upon local permeation enhancer induced toxicity.

30 Keywords: oral peptides; micro devices; permeation enhancers; sodium caprate (C₁₀); Caco-2 cells.

31 1. Introduction

32 Oral delivery of macromolecules has been a major aim in drug delivery ever since the discovery of insulin in the 1920s (Moroz et al., 2016). The field has seen significant progress within the last decade, resulting in several 33 34 oral peptide formulations advancing to phase II and III clinical trials. However, only two oral dosage forms for systemic delivery of peptides with molecular sizes higher than 500 Da have reached the market, namely; 35 Neoral[®]/Sandimmune[®] (Cyclosporine A, Novartis) and Minirin[®] (Desmopressin, Ferring Pharmaceuticals) 36 (Aguirre et al., 2016). Regardless of which peptide or protein is attempted for oral delivery, the main challenges 37 come down to their relatively large size, hydrophilicity and chemical predisposition to degradation; all together 38 leading to low oral bioavailability (Moroz et al., 2016; Smart et al., 2014). Protecting peptides from both pH and 39 40 enzyme catalysed degradation in the stomach has largely been achieved by enteric coatings, leaving the intestinal environment as the main focus of developing delivery strategies (Felton and Porter, 2013; Thakral et al., 2013). 41 As dissolution of the enteric coating makes the peptide accessible to intestinal enzymes, further enzymatic 42 protection is often incorporated in oral formulations. Locally decreasing the pH below the optimal conditions for 43 44 enzymatic activity by co-release of citric acid or direct inhibition using competitive peptidase inhibitors are two 45 of such approaches (Bernkop-Schnürch, 1998; Welling et al., 2014).

However, increasing the fraction of native peptide reaching the enterocytes through gastric protection and 46 enzyme inhibition will not necessarily lead to higher bioavailability, as the permeability of peptides is hindered 47 by their aforementioned physicochemical properties. Overcoming the challenge of gaining peptide transport 48 across the enterocytes and into the bloodstream has been thoroughly investigated by the use of permeation 49 50 enhancers (Maher et al., 2016). By their interaction with the protein complexes forming the inter-enterocyte 51 connections, known as tight junctions, the paracellular transport of larger hydrophilic molecules can be 52 facilitated (Lindmark et al., 1998; Taverner et al., 2015). Several other interesting approaches in the field of 53 macromolecular absorption enhancement have likewise shown promising properties, such as liposome formulations, cell-penetrating peptides and microneedle-based delivery devices (Abramson et al. 2019; Nielsen 54 et al., 2014; Parmentier et al., 2010; Traverso et al. 2015). Nevertheless, commercially available peptides for oral 55 delivery are currently limited to a mass of 1.2 kDa (Aguirre et al., 2016). Consequently, there is a need for novel 56 57 strategies for systemic delivery upon oral administration of larger macromolecules, such as the 5.8 kDa dipeptide hormone, insulin, and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) agonists both used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. 58

Perhaps counter-intuitively, the large surface area (> 30 m^2) of the small intestine (Helander and Fändriks, 2014) might be a disadvantage when delivering peptides. As peptide and excipients are released, they are diluted in the fluid along the epithelium often leading to fast absorption of the permeation enhancer (Buckley et al., 2018).

62 Potentially, this might lead to local permeation enhancer concentrations below their effective threshold and further to a reduced degree of peptide-permeation enhancer co-localisation. Spatial proximity has recently been 63 shown to be crucial for the gastric uptake of the GLP-1 analog, semaglutide, which is governed by the 64 65 permeation enhancer sodium N-[8-(2-hydroxybenzoyl) aminocaprylate] SNAC being present at a very confined area under and around the site of tablet disintegration (Buckley et al., 2018). Increasing the amount of drug and 66 excipients is a way of compensating for the dilution effect in the gastrointestinal tract (GI-tract), however, higher 67 doses will result in more expensive formulations, as well as larger dosage forms. Alternatively, the dilution 68 69 could be reduced by confining the effective absorptive area of the intestine by the use of micro-fabricated delivery systems capable of unidirectional release. Such micro-devices have previously shown the potential to 70 71 increase oral bioavailability of small molecules in rodents compared to controls of the same dose either in 72 solution or as powder in capsules (Chirra et al., 2014; Mazzoni et al., 2017). Moreover, another study reported a tendency of the cylindrical-shaped microcontainers to become embedded in the intestinal mucus in rats (Nielsen 73 et al., 2016). As this behaviour could minimise the release of the encapsulated microcontainer content into the 74 intestinal lumen and thus lower the risk of enzymatic degradation, such unidirectionally releasing devices are of 75 significant interest for oral delivery of peptides (Ahmed et al., 2002; Banerjee and Mitragotri, 2017; Whitehead 76 77 et al., 2004).

In this study, the concept of utilising microcontainers to improve insulin permeation was investigated across 78 79 Caco-2 cell culture and mucus-secreting Caco-2/HT29-MTX-E12 co-culture monolayers. Unidirectional release was optimised by collectively orientating the openings of the microcontainers towards the cell monolayers, while 80 the amounts of permeation enhancer and insulin were kept constant throughout all the studies. This allowed for 81 82 the assessment of the direct effect of proximity on insulin transport, by manipulating the distance between the 83 monolayers and the point of release from the microcontainers. Distances similar to the thickness of mucus along the GI-tract of laboratory animals were chosen (0.2 - 2 mm) (Atuma et al., 2001; Varum et al., 2012). For all the 84 85 studies, the medium chain fatty acid, sodium caprate (C_{10}), was used, due to its ability to enhance paracellular permeation (Lindmark et al., 1998; Maher et al., 2009). Furthermore, the importance of insulin and C_{10} co-86 localisation was evaluated by loading microcontainers either with a mixture of the two components (1:1 w/w) or 87 by loading the single components into separate microcontainers prior to the transport studies. 88

89

90

92 2. Materials and methods

93 2.1. Materials

Silicon wafers (4" (100) n-type) were obtained from Okmetic (Vantaa, Finland). SU-8 2075 and SU-8 Developer 94 95 were acquired from Micro Resist Technology (Berlin, Germany). Human recombinant insulin, bovine serum 96 albumin (BSA), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), Triton[™] X-100, Dulbecco's 97 Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), penicillin-streptomycin, L-glutamine and MEM non-essential amino acid 98 solution (100x) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). *n*-Capric acid sodium salt (C₁₀) 99 was obtained from abcr (Karlsruhe, Germany), fetal bovine serum from PAA Laboratories (Pasching, Austria) 100 and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany). Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, calcium, magnesium, no phenol red), sodium bicarbonate solution and Hoechst 33342 solution were acquired 101 102 from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS) and phenazine methosulfate (PMS) were 103 104 obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Paraformaldehyde (PFA) 16% (w/v) aqueous solution was 105 provided by Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA). All other chemicals and solvents were of at least analytical grade 106 and obtained from commercial suppliers. Ultrapure water purified by an Ultra Clear UV system (Evoqua Water Technologies, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) was used throughout the studies. 107

108

109 2.2 Fabrication and filling of microcontainers

110 The microcontainers were fabricated with the parameters previously described (Nielsen et al., 2012) based on the published method by Tao et al. (2006). Briefly, the epoxy-based negative photoresist, SU-8, was dispensed and 111 112 spin coated onto silicon wafers followed by a baking step and lastly UV exposure using a chromium mask creating the base of the microcontainers. These steps were then repeated with the use of a different chromium 113 mask in order to generate the walls of the microcontainers after which the non-polymerised SU-8 was removed 114 from the wafer. The silicon wafers were then cut into chips $(12.8 \times 12.8 \text{ mm}^2)$ each holding 625 microcontainers. 115 The exact dimensions of the individual microcontainers have previously been determined with an average cavity 116 diameter of 188 µm and a volume capacity of 7.5 nL (Mazzoni et al., 2017). Prior to filling, a shadow mask was 117 118 aligned on top of the microcontainer chip in order to minimise the amount of powder being distributed between 119 the microcontainers, as previously illustrated (Abid et al., 2017). A powder mixture of insulin and C_{10} (1:1 w/w) 120 was distributed on top of the shadow mask and subsequently loaded into the individual microcontainers by 121 centrifuging the microcontainer chip in a flat-bottomed Falcon[™] tube using a Heraeus Megafuge 16R

Centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at $3,720 \times g$ for 30-40 s at 21 °C. Any excessive 122 powder, between the microcontainers, was afterwards removed by pressurised air while simultaneously covering 123 the microcontainer openings with a flat silicon chip. Additionally, to investigate the importance of co-124 125 localisation, insulin and C₁₀ were individually filled into each half of the microcontainer chip. This was done by 126 first covering one half of the shadow mask with a layer of tape prior to centrifuging with insulin, upon which the shadow mask was removed and cleaned using pressurised air. Subsequently, the shadow mask was now placed 127 with the tape covering the insulin-filled microcontainers, followed by a second centrifugation step with C_{10} . 128 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to visualise both empty and filled microcontainers using a 129 Hitachi TM3030 tabletop microscope (Hitachi High-Technologies Europe, Krefeld, Germany) with 15 kV 130 131 accelerating voltage.

132

133 2.3 In vitro permeation studies with insulin

Caco-2 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured under conditions and with 134 135 growth medium as previously described (Larsen et al., 2008). Both Caco-2 cells alone and 1:1 co-cultures of Caco-2 cells and mucus-secreting HT29-MTX-E12 cells (Inserm, Paris, France) were cultured on polycarbonate 136 Transwell[®] filters with a surface area of 4.67 cm² and 0.4 µm pore size (Corning Costar from Sigma-Aldrich, St. 137 Louis, MO, USA). The Caco-2 cells were used from passage 26-48, and the HT29-MTX-E12 cells from passage 138 139 55-63. Both Caco-2 and co-culture monolayers were allowed to mature for 21-28 days prior to insulin permeation studies. All studies were conducted from the apical to the basolateral side at 37 °C using 10 mM 140 HEPES-buffered HBSS (hHBSS) containing BSA (0.05% w/v). Solubilisation of both insulin and C10 in the 141 hHBSS was ensured by adjusting the pH of both the donor and receptor compartment to 7.4, i.e. above the pKa 142 of C10 of 6.5-7.2 (Kanicky et al., 2000; Maher et al., 2016) and more than two units above the isoelectric point of 143 insulin of 5.3 (Iyire et al., 2016). The cells were washed two times with hHBSS after which 1.50 mL and 2.60 144 mL hHBSS were added to the apical and basolateral side, respectively. The study was initiated by gently placing 145 a chip of microcontainers either directly on top of the monolayer (d = 0.0 mm) or at defined distances (d = 0.2, 146 147 0.5, or 2.0 mm). Placement of the microcontainers upside down was possible without loaded powder falling out, 148 due to its centrifugal compaction. The fixed distances were achieved by using custom-made polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) carvings (Fig. 1). 149

150 A control group was included in which a chip of empty microcontainers was placed directly on the monolayer 151 together with a solution of 0.1 mM insulin and 3 mM C_{10} (1:1 w/w) in hHBSS. These concentrations were 152 calculated based on a maximal loading capacity of 1.6 mg of insulin: C_{10} (1:1 w/w) powder mixture per

microcontainer chip and an apical volume of 1.50 mL. The permeation study was then carried out with orbital 153 shaking (Compact Shaker KS 15 A, Edmund Bühler, Bodelshausen, Germany) of 75 rpm for 2 h at 37 °C with 154 basolateral sampling of 100 µL at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 min. Each sample was replaced with 100 µL 155 preheated hHBSS to maintain a basolateral volume of 2.60 mL. Samples were stored at -20 °C until 156 quantification by reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). Cumulated transported 157 percentages of the total amount of insulin were calculated by including the apical concentration at 120 min. All 158 159 experiments were performed in triplicates and repeated over three passages (n = 3). The Caco-2 cell monolayers 160 were washed twice with hHBSS after the final sampling and evaluated regarding effects on the monolayers. These evaluations were carried out both immediately after the permeation studies, as well as after subsequent 161 162 incubation periods of 24 h in growth medium at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C.

163

164 2.4 Insulin quantification by RP-HPLC

The permeation study samples were analysed by RP-HPLC-UV with 20 µL injection volume, using a Dionex 165 166 UltiMate 3000 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a Kinetex XB-C18 column (100×4.60 mm, 5 µm, 100 Å; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). Elution was done with two mobile 167 168 phases: A: TFA in water (0.1% v/v) and B: TFA in acetonitrile (0.1% v/v) with a gradient of 0-3 min A-B (75:25 v/v) to A-B (20:80 v/v), 3-3.5 min A-B (20:80 v/v) to A-B (75:25 v/v), and 3.5-4.5 min A-B (75:25 v/v) and a 169 170 flow rate of 1.0 mL/min at 22 °C. Quantification of insulin was determined as the area under the curve (AUC) of the UV-absorbance peak at 214 nm with retention time of 2.7 min. A new insulin standard curve ranging from 2-171 $100 \ \mu g/mL \ (LOD = 0.25 \ \mu g/ml)$ was prepared for each day of quantitative analysis. 172

173

174 2.5 Caco-2 monolayer integrity and viability

175 Transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured across the monolayers to assess their integrity using 176 an Epithelial Volt/Ohm Meter (EVOM) (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) with Endohm 177 chambers. Measurements were performed before and after the transport studies, as well as upon recovery after 178 24 h of incubation under the same conditions as during culturing (Larsen et al., 2008). Cell viability after 2 h of 179 transport was evaluated for the cells having a chip of microcontainers loaded with insulin-C₁₀ powder mixture at 180 d = 0.0 mm. For this, a cell metabolic assay (MTS/PMS) was implemented and compared to a control group of 181 Caco-2 cells only being exposed to exchange of growth medium to hHBSS. A solution of MTS (240 µg/mL) and PMS (2.4 μ g/mL) in hHBSS was prepared immediately before use and 1.5 mL was added to the apical side of the wells. The plate was then protected from light and incubated at 37 °C with orbital shaking of 75 rpm for 1 h. Samples of 100 μ l were taken in triplicates from each well and transferred to a 96-well plate for absorbance measurements at 492 nm using a Labsystems Multiskan MS 352 Microplate Reader (Labsystems, Finland). Both integrity and viability assays were performed in triplicates and repeated over three passages (n = 3).

187

188 2.6 Staining and visualisation of Caco-2 cells

Visualisation of cell nuclei was performed either immediately after the transport studies or upon the 24 h 189 190 recovery period. The Caco-2 cell monolayer only being exposed to hHBSS for 2 h was visualised for 191 comparison. Fixation of the cells was achieved by incubation of the monolayers in a paraformal dehyde solution 192 in hHBSS (4% w/v) for 15 min at room temperature. The cells were then permeabilised with a Triton[™] X-100 193 aqueous solution (0.1% v/v) for 10 min after which any excess membrane protein binding sites were blocked 194 with a BSA solution in hHBSS (3% w/v) for 30 min, both at ambient temperature. Hoechst 33342 staining 195 solution (1 µg/mL), prepared in hHBSS immediately before use, was applied to the cells for 15 min at room temperature while protected from light. The Transwell[®] filters were then cut out, placed on microscopy slides 196 and visualised at an excitation wavelength of 405 nm using an LSM 700 scanning confocal microscope (Carl 197 Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with EC Epiplan Neofluar 10×0.25 HD objective. Images were processed using 198 199 ImageJ version 1.52a (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

200

201 2.7 Statistics

All data were handled using GraphPad Prism version 8.1.2 and expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. Comparisons of insulin transport were based on the slopes derived by linear regression analysis of the transport profiles and defined as significant at p-values below 5% (P < 0.05) and very significant at p-values below 1% (P < 0.01).

206

207

209 3. Results and discussion

210 3.1 Filling of microcontainers with insulin and C_{10}

Drug and permeation enhancer loading were carried out in one microcontainer chip $(12.8 \times 12.8 \text{ mm}^2)$ at a time 211 212 each holding 625 microcontainers. Utilising a swinging bucket centrifuge, ensured powder settlement 213 perpendicular to the axis of rotation, thereby compacting the powder into the microcontainers. Combined with a 214 shadow mask, this filling method is especially useful for filling of expensive powders, due to the minimisation of 215 powder accumulation between the microcontainers, which is otherwise difficult to retrieve. Microcontainer chips were successfully filled either with the insulin and C_{10} powder mixture, or with the individual powders (Fig. 2). 216 HPLC analysis confirmed efficient filling of insulin and C₁₀ at a 1:1 weight ratio upon loading of the powder 217 mixture resulting in 1.2 ± 0.2 mg of the powder mixture per microcontainer chip, equivalent to an average 218 219 microcontainer load of 1.0 µg of insulin.

220

221 3.2 Distance dependency studies

Insulin transport was initially monitored across Caco-2 cell monolayers using varied distances (0-2 mm) between the monolayer and the microcontainers loaded with a mixture of insulin and C_{10} (1:1 w/w). The effect of an equivalent amount of insulin and C_{10} in solution, 0.1 mM and 3 mM respectively, was also tested, together with an empty microcontainer chip. The TEER was measured before and after the 2 h transport experiments as well as upon a subsequent 24 h incubation period for the cell monolayers suffering the most significant loss of integrity, due to direct contact with the microcontainers (d = 0.0 mm), (Fig. 3).

228 Based on the TEER values, the loss of cell integrity induced by C_{10} increased with decreasing distances between 229 microcontainers and cell monolayer. Although the solution only resulted in a drop in TEER to 71% of the initial value, the same amount released from microcontainers with d = 0.0 mm caused a drop to 27%. Regarding the 230 effect of specific concentrations of permeation enhancers, substantial lab-to-lab variability is reported in 231 232 literature (Maher et al., 2009), yet complete recovery of the TEER value has previously been shown after a 233 decrease to only 10% of the initial TEER triggered by a 8.5 mM solution of C_{10} (Brayden et al., 2015). For the 234 current distance-dependency study, the highest risks of irreversible loss of integrity and cell damage were 235 expected in the experiments with d = 0.0 mm, as this likely would result in the highest local concentrations of 236 C10. Incubating these Caco-2 monolayers for 24 h in growth medium subsequent to exposure, however, proved 237 their capability of regaining 86% of their initial TEER value. Insulin transport monitored across the Caco-2

monolayers followed the anticipated trend, i.e. insulin transport rates increased with decreasing distances between monolayer and microcontainers. Despite the fact that insulin permeation is influenced by the interplay of several mechanisms, (e.g. dissolution-/diffusion rates of insulin and C_{10} , and the rate of cell monolayer integrity loss), a relatively simple analysis could be used to fit the data. Plotting the transport rate constants, obtained by linear regression of the transport profiles over 2 h, as a function of the distance (*d*) identifies the mathematical correlation as a one-phase exponential decay regression (Fig. 4).

244 Upon release with d = 0.0 mm, 18% of insulin was transported to the basolateral side after 2 h. Even at d = 2.0mm, a significant increase in insulin transport of more than 2-fold was observed compared to the solution (Fig. 245 6). From the rate constant-distance correlation, it is obvious that mucus, acting as a spacing layer, is likely to 246 have a negative impact on the bioavailability. Based on the exponential equation: $Y = 8.29e^{-5.28x} + 0.57$, a 247 248 distance increase of 0.13 mm results in a 50% decrease of the insulin transport rate through the Caco-2 249 monolayer, calculated as ln(2)/5.28. While the thickness of the adherent mucus layer is relatively uniform (25-55 250 µm) throughout both rat and pig small intestines (Varum et al., 2012), larger variations of the non-adherent layer 251 have been observed in rats. Thicknesses from 120-200 µm in the duodenum and jejunum to about 500 µm in the 252 ileum and almost 1000 µm in the colon have been reported (Atuma et al., 2001). Although mucus potentially 253 will have a negative effect on insulin absorption, it serves as a protecting layer throughout the GI-tract partly by 254 minimising the risk of pathogen absorption (Cornick et al., 2015). However, as parallel uptake of e.g. pathogens 255 is a common concern regarding the use of permeation enhancers, further evaluation of the monolayer integrity 256 was performed using confocal laser-scanning microscopy.

257

258 3.3 Qualitative assessment of Caco-2 monolayers

An MTS/PMS viability assay demonstrated a relative monolayer metabolic activity of $93 \pm 2\%$ immediately after transport studies with d = 0.0 mm, compared to monolayers, which had only been subjected to exposure of hHBSS. This indicated either a negligible cytotoxic effect of C₁₀ distributed along the monolayer or alternatively more profound effects at local areas. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy images were obtained after staining the Caco-2 cell nuclei with Hoechst 33342 in order to qualitatively assess the local effect caused by C₁₀ during the transport studies (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5A-C show the Caco-2 cell nuclei density immediately after transport studies with d = 0.0, 0.2, and 0.5 mm, respectively. Areas, corresponding to microcontainer openings, of complete absence of Caco-2 cells, were apparent after the 2 h permeation study with d = 0.0 mm (Fig. 5A). Despite this pronounced toxic effect on the 268 Caco-2 cells, the relatively low drop in viability compared to the drop in TEER can be explained by the confined 269 environments of high C_{10} concentrations. Considering a mean diameter of the microcontainer cavities of 188 μ m, the total area of 625 microcontainers only corresponds to 3.6% of the total area of the monolayer. Likewise, 270 areas of low Caco-2 cell nuclei densities were visible after a release at d = 0.2 mm (Fig. 5B). The toxic effects of 271 C10, and surfactants in general, are well known at concentrations even below their critical micelle concentration 272 273 (Maher et al., 2009). In this case the cytotoxicity manifests itself as local disruptions of the monolayer, resulting 274 in the relatively high transport rates for the short microcontainer-monolayer distances (d = 0.0-0.2 mm), as seen 275 in Fig. 4. However, despite a TEER value decrease to 60% of the initial value after 2 h permeation study with d 276 = 0.5 mm, no variation in the monolayer integrity was observed when visualising the cell nuclei (Fig. 5C), 277 compared to monolayers being subjected to 2 h exposure of hHBSS (Fig. 5F). This indicates that the 4.2-fold 278 increase in insulin flux, observed with d = 0.5 mm, compared to the 0.1 mM insulin and 3 mM C₁₀ solution, was triggered by paracellular transport across an intact monolayer, rather than by local deterioration of the barrier. 279 No distinguishable impressions of microcontainers were visible on the cell monolayers after exposure to the 280 solution in combination with a chip of empty microcontainers placed directly on the monolayer (Fig. 5E). 281 Permeation enhancing and cytotoxic effects must therefore be a consequence of high local concentrations of C10, 282 rather than an effect caused by microcontainer material itself. Cell proliferation and/or migration of cells to the 283 284 compromised areas of the cell monolayers with d = 0.0 mm was clearly visible upon 24 h incubation in growth 285 medium, as the areas of complete absence of nuclei had restored some extent of integrity (Fig. 5D) in accordance with the recovering TEER values. A feature unlikely to happen had the whole cell monolayer been exposed to a 286 287 cytotoxic C₁₀ concentration similar that of the local areas under the microcontainers (Chao et al., 1999; Sakai et al., 1998; Shima et al., 1999). 288

289 There is an on-going debate regarding the risks of utilising permeation enhancers for oral formulations 290 (McCartney et al., 2016). Certainly, these considerations also need to be taken into account when promoting 291 permeation enhancement through confined high concentrations. The risk of co-absorption is of concern as 292 opening of tight junctions and/or cell membrane perturbation could facilitate the concurrent systemic uptake of 293 e.g., pathogens (McCartney et al., 2016). Although the results obtained from the cell integrity and viability 294 assays did not immediately give rise to any concerns, the confocal images of the monolayers upon 2 h exposure 295 to high local concentrations of C₁₀ clearly depict the reasons for this debate. Studies on Caco-2 cell monolayers, 296 however, often overestimate toxic effects, due to their lack of *in vivo* complexity, such as mucus, heterogenic 297 cell type composition, co-factors, and peristalsis altogether resulting in reduced repair functions (McCartney et al., 2016; Swenson et al., 1994). The magnitude of the observed toxic effects are therefore likely to be reduced in 298 299 the GI-tract, however, further measures might be necessary in case local tissue damage is still observed in vivo.

Reducing the amount of C_{10} in the microcontainers or loading of alternative permeation enhancers could resolve potential cytotoxic effects. Permeation enhancers of interest might simply show a broader range between efficient- and cytotoxic concentrations or alternatively work by peptide specific complexation resulting in increased hydrophobicity and thus transcelluar uptake. The latter mechanism has previously claimed to be the cause of enhancement by SNAC (Ding et al., 2004; Malkov et al., 2005). As the absence of mucus on Caco-2 cell monolayers is one of the main differences compared to *in vivo* conditions, transport studies were also conducted with mucus-secreting co-cultures.

307

308 3.4 Impact of co-localisation with permeation enhancer and mucus on insulin transport

309 Insulin transport studies across Caco-2/HT29-MTX-E12 co-culture monolayers were carried out to address the 310 potential negative impact of mucus on insulin permeation, when placing the microcontainer chips directly on the 311 monolayer (d = 0.0 mm). In parallel, the importance of co-localisation was evaluated by the use of 312 microcontainer chips where half of the microcontainers were filled with insulin and the other half with C₁₀. The 313 latter evaluation was likewise carried out on both Caco-2 and co-culture monolayers with d = 0.0 mm (Fig. 6).

314 Loading insulin and C₁₀ on each half of the microcontainer chip triggered a 4-5 fold decrease of the insulin 315 transport over 2 h, compared to microcontainers with the powder mixture (1:1 w/w), on both monolayer types 316 with d = 0.0 mm. A similar importance of co-localisation has previously been observed when simply controlling the degree of co-localisation of 4 kDa fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran and C_{10} by intestinal instillation in rats 317 318 either together or at staggered time points (Wang et al., 2010). The microcontainer chips with insulin and C₁₀ individually filled, however, still resulted in a 10-fold increase in insulin transport across the Caco-2 monolayer 319 compared to the equivalent mass of insulin and C₁₀ in solution (0.1 mM and 3 mM, respectively), most likely 320 caused by diffusion of insulin to the areas of high local C_{10} concentrations. 321

322 No differences in insulin transport were observed between the mucus-secreting co-culture monolayers and Caco-2 cell monolayers. This could simply be due to the weight of the microcontainer chip causing penetration thereby 323 bypassing the mucus layer. However, neither was any difference in insulin transport observed between Caco-2 324 325 cell monolayers and co-culture monolayers from the separately loaded microcontainers, even though the insulin 326 molecules inevitably would need to diffuse along the monolayers in order to undergo transport. This implies that 327 the hydrodynamic size of insulin (1.5 - 3.0 nm) (Jensen et al., 2014) is sufficiently below the mesh spacing of 328 the mucus, secreted by the HT29-MTX-E12 cells, in order not to be retained. Other studies have previously 329 determined limited diffusivity for peptides of molecular mass above 12.4 kDa in porcine intestinal mucus

(Bernkop-Schnürch and Fragner, 1996) where the pores in such mucus have been determined to range from 100 nm to several micrometers by cryo-SEM (Boegh and Nielsen, 2015). The mucus layer *in vivo* is, however, still likely to negatively influence absorption as removal of mucus on rat ileal segments has previously been found to significantly increase insulin transport (Aoki et al., 2005). Although the authors claimed the mucus to predominantly function as an enzymatic barrier, the thickness of the mucus might additionally lead to increased distances between microcontainers and enterocytes.

336 Furthermore, it might be unlikely to imagine an *in vivo* scenario in which capsule disintegration will result in unanimous optimal orientation of microcontainers, however, our *in vitro* results strongly indicate the importance 337 of continuous initiatives to improve these parameters. In situ intestinal perfusion studies have previously shown 338 339 the propensity of microcontainers to become partly embedded in the mucus, thereby shortening the distance to 340 the absorptive barrier lower than that of the mucus thickness (Nielsen et al., 2016). While this might compensate 341 for some degree of suboptimal orientation, further initiatives in order to increase the tendency of unidirectional 342 release towards the barrier remain an important focus of microcontainers as well as for other oral peptide 343 delivery devices (Abramson et al., 2019; Mazzoni et al., 2019; Vaut et al., 2019).

345 4. Conclusion

346 The concept of improving intestinal permeation of insulin by the use of unidirectionally releasing microcontainers in combination with sodium caprate (C_{10}) was investigated in *in vitro* transport studies across 347 348 Caco-2 cell monolayers and mucus-secreting co-culture monolayers. Decreasing the distance between the point 349 of unidirectional release and the barrier resulted in enhanced insulin permeation, but also increased local cytotoxic effects observed by confocal microscopy. Close proximities (0.0-0.2 mm) triggered local reversible 350 deteriorations of the barrier, while distances of 0.5-2.0 mm seemed to prompt non-destructive paracellular 351 permeation enhancement. To which extent local epithelial deterioration is acceptable needs further evaluation in 352 more complex barrier models, in order to assess the true potential of using unidirectionally releasing micro 353 354 devices in combination with permeation enhancers for oral delivery of insulin.

355

356 Acknowledgements

Lene Grønne Pedersen and Mette Frandsen are acknowledged for their efforts regarding cell culturing. Stephan Sylvest Keller and Lasse Højlund Thamdrup are both thanked for manufacturing of microcontainers. Lasse Johansson is acknowledged for production of the PTFE carvings and Daniel Bar-Shalom is recognised for initiating ideas leading to the method for filling the microcontainers. This work was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF122) and Villum Foundation (Grant No. 9301), Center for Intelligent Drug delivery and sensing Using microcontainers and Nanomechanics (IDUN).

363

364 Declaration of interest

365 The authors have no competing interests.

366 **References**

- Abid, Z., Gundlach, C., Durucan, O., von Halling Laier, C., Nielsen, L.H., Boisen, A., Keller, S.S., 2017.
 Powder embossing method for selective loading of polymeric microcontainers with drug formulation. Microelectron. Eng. 171, 20–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2017.01.018
- Abramson, A., Caffarel-Salvador, E., Khang, M., Dellal, D., Silverstein, D., Gao, Y., Frederiksen, M.R., Vegge,
 A., Hubálek, F., Water, J.J., Friderichsen, A.V., Fels, J., Kirk, R.K., Cleveland, C., Collins, J., Tamang,
- S., Hayward, A., Landh, T., Buckley, S.T., Roxhed, N., Rahbek, U., Langer, R., Traverso, G., 2019. An
 ingestible self-orienting system for oral delivery of macromolecules. Science 363, 611–615.
- 374 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau2277
- Aguirre, T.A.S., Teijeiro-Osorio, D., Rosa, M., Coulter, I.S., Alonso, M.J., Brayden, D.J., 2016. Current status of
 selected oral peptide technologies in advanced preclinical development and in clinical trials. Adv. Drug
 Deliv. Rev., Oral delivery of peptides: Opportunities and issues for translation 106, Part B, 223–241.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.02.004
- Ahmed, A., Bonner, C., Desai, T.A., 2002. Bioadhesive microdevices with multiple reservoirs: a new platform
 for oral drug delivery. J. Control. Release 81, 291–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(02)00074-3
- Aoki, Y., Morishita, M., Takayama, K., 2005. Role of the mucous/glycocalyx layers in insulin permeation across
 the rat ileal membrane. Int. J. Pharm. 297, 98–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.03.004
- Atuma, C., Strugala, V., Allen, A., Holm, L., 2001. The adherent gastrointestinal mucus gel layer: thickness and physical state in vivo. Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 280, G922–G929.
 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.2001.280.5.G922
- Banerjee, A., Mitragotri, S., 2017. Intestinal patch systems for oral drug delivery. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 36, 58-
- 387 65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2017.08.005
- Bernkop-Schnürch, A., 1998. The use of inhibitory agents to overcome the enzymatic barrier to perorally
 administered therapeutic peptides and proteins. J. Control. Release 52, 1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(97)00204-6
- Bernkop-Schnürch, A., Fragner, R., 1996. Investigations into the Diffusion Behaviour of Polypeptides in Native
 Intestinal Mucus with Regard to their Peroral Administration. Pharm. Pharmacol. Commun. 2, 361–363.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1996.tb00632.x
- Boegh, M., Nielsen, H.M., 2015. Mucus as a Barrier to Drug Delivery Understanding and Mimicking the
 Barrier Properties. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 116, 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.12342
- Brayden, D.J., Maher, S., Bahar, B., Walsh, E., 2015. Sodium caprate-induced increases in intestinal
 permeability and epithelial damage are prevented by misoprostol. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J.
 Arbeitsgemeinschaft Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV 94, 194–206.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.05.013
- Buckley, S.T., Bækdal, T.A., Vegge, A., Maarbjerg, S.J., Pyke, C., Ahnfelt-Rønne, J., Madsen, K.G., Schéele,
 S.G., Alanentalo, T., Kirk, R.K., Pedersen, B.L., Skyggebjerg, R.B., Benie, A.J., Strauss, H.M.,
- Wahlund, P.-O., Bjerregaard, S., Farkas, E., Fekete, C., Søndergaard, F.L., Borregaard, J., HartoftNielsen, M.-L., Knudsen, L.B., 2018. Transcellular stomach absorption of a derivatized glucagon-like
- 404 peptide-1 receptor agonist. Sci. Transl. Med. 10, eaar7047. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aar7047
 405 Chao, A.C., Nguyen, J.V., Broughall, M., Griffin, A., Fix, J.A., Daddona, P.E., 1999. In vitro and in vivo
- evaluation of effects of sodium caprate on enteral peptide absorption and on mucosal morphology. Int. J.
 Pharm. 191, 15–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(99)00213-6
- Chirra, H.D., Shao, L., Ciaccio, N., Fox, C.B., Wade, J.M., Ma, A., Desai, T.A., 2014. Planar Microdevices for
 Enhanced In Vivo Retention and Oral Bioavailability of Poorly Permeable Drugs. Adv. Healthc. Mater.
 3, 1648–1654. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201300676
- 411 Cornick, S., Tawiah, A., Chadee, K., 2015. Roles and regulation of the mucus barrier in the gut. Tissue Barriers
 412 3. https://doi.org/10.4161/21688370.2014.982426

- Ding, X., Rath, P., Angelo, R., Stringfellow, T., Flanders, E., Dinh, S., Gomez-Orellana, I., Robinson, J.R.,
 2004. Oral Absorption Enhancement of Cromolyn Sodium Through Noncovalent Complexation. Pharm.
- 415 Res. 21, 2196–2206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-004-7671-9
- Felton, L.A., Porter, S.C., 2013. An update on pharmaceutical film coating for drug delivery. Expert Opin. Drug
 Deliv. 10, 421–435. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2013.763792
- Helander, H.F., Fändriks, L., 2014. Surface area of the digestive tract revisited. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 49, 681–689. https://doi.org/10.3109/00365521.2014.898326
- 420 Iyire, A., Alayedi, M., Mohammed, A.R., 2016. Pre-formulation and systematic evaluation of amino acid
 421 assisted permeability of insulin across in vitro buccal cell layers. Sci. Rep. 6.
- 422 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32498
- Jensen, S.S., Jensen, H., Cornett, C., Møller, E.H., Østergaard, J., 2014. Insulin diffusion and self-association characterized by real-time UV imaging and Taylor dispersion analysis. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 92, 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.01.022
- Kanicky, J.R., Poniatowski, A.F., Mehta, N.R., Shah, D.O., 2000. Cooperativity among Molecules at Interfaces
 in Relation to Various Technological Processes: Effect of Chain Length on the pKa of Fatty Acid Salt
 Solutions. Langmuir 16, 172–177. https://doi.org/10.1021/la9907190
- Larsen, M., Larsen, B.B., Frølund, B., Nielsen, C.U., 2008. Transport of amino acids and GABA analogues via
 the human proton-coupled amino acid transporter, hPAT1: Characterization of conditions for affinity
 and transport experiments in Caco-2 cells. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 35, 86–95.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2008.06.007
- Lindmark, T., Schipper, N., Lazorová, L., Boer, A.G.D., Artursson, P., 1998. Absorption Enhancement in
 Intestinal Epithelial Caco-2 Monolayers by Sodium Caprate: Assessment of Molecular Weight
 Dependence and Demonstration of Transport Routes. J. Drug Target. 5, 215–223.
 https://doi.org/10.2100/10611860808005876
- 436 https://doi.org/10.3109/10611869808995876
- Maher, S., Leonard, T.W., Jacobsen, J., Brayden, D.J., 2009. Safety and efficacy of sodium caprate in promoting
 oral drug absorption: from in vitro to the clinic. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev., 2009 Editors' Collection 61,
 1427–1449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2009.09.006
- Maher, S., Mrsny, R.J., Brayden, D.J., 2016. Intestinal permeation enhancers for oral peptide delivery. Adv.
 Drug Deliv. Rev., Oral delivery of peptides: Opportunities and issues for translation 106, Part B, 277–
 319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.06.005
- Malkov, D., Angelo, R., Wang, H., Flanders, E., Tang, H., Gomez-Orellana, I., 2005. Oral delivery of insulin
 with the eligen technology: mechanistic studies. Curr. Drug Deliv. 2, 191–197.
- Mazzoni, C., Jacobsen, R.D., Mortensen, J., Jørgensen, J.R., Vaut, L., Jacobsen, J., Gundlach, C., Müllertz, A.,
 Nielsen, L.H., Boisen, A., 2019. Polymeric Lids for Microcontainers for Oral Protein Delivery.
 Macromol. Biosci. 19, 1900004. https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.201900004
- Mazzoni, C., Tentor, F., Strindberg, S.A., Nielsen, L.H., Keller, S.S., Alstrøm, T.S., Gundlach, C., Müllertz, A.,
 Marizza, P., Boisen, A., 2017. From concept to in vivo testing: Microcontainers for oral drug delivery. J.
 Control. Release 268, 343–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.10.013
- McCartney, F., Gleeson, J.P., Brayden, D.J., 2016. Safety concerns over the use of intestinal permeation
 enhancers: A mini-review. Tissue Barriers 4. https://doi.org/10.1080/21688370.2016.1176822
- Moroz, E., Matoori, S., Leroux, J.-C., 2016. Oral delivery of macromolecular drugs: Where we are after almost 100years of attempts. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 101, 108–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.01.010
- Nielsen, E.J.B., Yoshida, S., Kamei, N., Iwamae, R., Khafagy, E.-S., Olsen, J., Rahbek, U.L., Pedersen, B.L.,
 Takayama, K., Takeda-Morishita, M., 2014. In vivo proof of concept of oral insulin delivery based on a
 co-administration strategy with the cell-penetrating peptide penetratin. J. Control. Release 189, 19-24.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.06.022
- Nielsen, L.H., Keller, S.S., Gordon, K.C., Boisen, A., Rades, T., Müllertz, A., 2012. Spatial confinement can
 lead to increased stability of amorphous indomethacin. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 81, 418–425.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2012.03.017
- 15

- Nielsen, L.H., Melero, A., Keller, S.S., Jacobsen, J., Garrigues, T., Rades, T., Müllertz, A., Boisen, A., 2016.
 Polymeric microcontainers improve oral bioavailability of furosemide. Int. J. Pharm. 504, 98–109.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.03.050
- Parmentier, J., Hartmann, F.J., Fricker, G., 2010. In vitro evaluation of liposomes containing bio-enhancers for
 the oral delivery of macromolecules. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 76, 394-403.

467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2010.09.002

- Sakai, M., Imai, T., Ohtake, H., Otagiri, M., 1998. Biopharmaceutics: Cytotoxicity of Absorption Enhancers in Caco-2 Cell Monolayers. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 50, 1101–1108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1998.tb03319.x
- Shima, M., Kimura, Y., Adachi, S., Matsuno, R., 1999. Recovery of Caco-2 Cell Monolayers to Normal from the
 Transport-enhanced State Induced by Capric Acid Sodium Salt and its Monoacylglycerol. Biosci.
 Biotechnol. Biochem. 63, 680–687. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.63.680
- 474 Smart, A.L., Gaisford, S., Basit, A.W., 2014. Oral peptide and protein delivery: intestinal obstacles and
 475 commercial prospects. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 11, 1323–1335.
 476 https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2014.917077
- 477 Swenson, E.S., Milisen, W.B., Curatolo, W., 1994. Intestinal Permeability Enhancement: Efficacy, Acute Local
 478 Toxicity, and Reversibility. Pharm. Res. 11, 1132–1142. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018984731584
- Tao, S.L., Popat, K., Desai, T.A., 2006. Off-wafer fabrication and surface modification of asymmetric 3D SU-8
 microparticles. Nat. Protoc. 1, 3153–3158. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.451
- Taverner, A., Dondi, R., Almansour, K., Laurent, F., Owens, S.-E., Eggleston, I.M., Fotaki, N., Mrsny, R.J.,
 2015. Enhanced paracellular transport of insulin can be achieved via transient induction of myosin light
 chain phosphorylation. J. Control. Release 210, 189-197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.05.270
- Thakral, S., Thakral, N.K., Majumdar, D.K., 2013. Eudragit®: a technology evaluation. Expert Opin. Drug
 Deliv. 10, 131–149. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2013.736962
- Traverso, G., Schoellhammer, C.M., Shroeder, A., Maa, R., Lauwers, G.Y., Polat, B.E., Anderson, D.G.,
 Blankschtein, D., Langer, R., 2015. Microneedles for Drug Delivery via the Gastrointestinal Tract. J.
 Pharm. Sci. 104, 362-367. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.24182
- Varum, F.J.O., Veiga, F., Sousa, J.S., Basit, A.W., 2012. Mucus thickness in the gastrointestinal tract of
 laboratory animals. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 64, 218–227. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.20427158.2011.01399.x
- 492 Vaut, L., 2019. Additive Manufacturing and Characterization of Mini Devices for Oral Drug Delivery. PhD
 493 Thesis, Technical University of Denmark.
- Wang, X., Maher, S., Brayden, D.J., 2010. Restoration of rat colonic epithelium after in situ intestinal instillation
 of the absorption promoter, sodium caprate. Ther. Deliv. 1, 75–82. https://doi.org/10.4155/tde.10.5
- Welling, S.H., Hubálek, F., Jacobsen, J., Brayden, D.J., Rahbek, U.L., Buckley, S.T., 2014. The role of citric
 acid in oral peptide and protein formulations: Relationship between calcium chelation and proteolysis
- 498 inhibition. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 86, 544–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2013.12.017
- 499 Whitehead, K., Shen, Z., Mitragotri, S., 2004. Oral delivery of macromolecules using intestinal patches:
- applications for insulin delivery. J. Control. Release 98, 37-45.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.04.013
- 502

503 Figures



504

Fig. 1. Left: Illustration of the permeation study setup using polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) carvings to control the distance between the microcontainer chip and the Caco-2 cell monolayer. Right: Micrograph of a microcontainer chip elevated 0.5 mm by a PTFE carving, with depiction of dimension, *d*, ensuring exact microcontainer-monolayer distance. Visualised using a Dino-Lite Premier AM7013MZT digital microscope (AnMo Electronics Corporation, Taiwan).

510



512 Fig. 2. Representative SEM images of microcontainers. A: empty, B: loaded with insulin and C_{10} (1:1 w/w), C:

513 loaded with insulin, D: loaded with C_{10}





516 Fig. 3. TEER values of the Caco-2 cell monolayers after the 2 h permeation study (left of the dotted line) and after subsequent 24 h recovery (right of the dotted line) with different distances (0-2.0 mm) between the 517 microcontainer chips and the cells. The solution consisted of 0.1 mM insulin and 3 mM C_{10} (1:1 w/w) in 518 combination with an empty microcontainer chip with direct contact to the monolayer. Control cells were 519 520 exposed to 2 h in fresh 10 mM hHBSS. Expressed as percentages of initial TEER values; mean + SD ($n \ge 3$). Absolute values of the initial TEER was $278 \pm 17 \Omega$ cm² (mean \pm SD, n = 7). *P < 0.1, ****P < 0.0001 compared 521 to control TEER after transport and ns: not significant, P > 0.05, compared to control TEER after recovery based on a 522 523 Tukey's multiple comparisons one-way ANOVA test.

524



Fig. 4. Exponential decay regression of the insulin transport rate as a function of the distance between the microcontainer chip and Caco-2 cell monolayer. Expressed as mean \pm SD (n \geq 3). A one phase decay (least squares) fitting analysis was done using GraphPad Prism resulting in the equation: $Y = 8.29e^{-5.28x} +$ 0.57, $R^2 = 0.904$.



530

Fig. 5. Representative confocal laser-scanning microscopy images of Caco-2 cell monolayers with Hoechst 531 532 33342 nuclei staining. A: Monolayer upon 2 h permeation study with microcontainers at direct contact, B: 533 Monolayer upon 2 h permeation study with microcontainers fixed at a 0.2 mm distance, C: Monolayer upon 2 h 534 permeation study with microcontainers fixed at a 0.5 mm distance, D: Monolayer after 24 h incubation upon 2 h 535 permeation study with microcontainers at direct contact, E: Monolayer after 2 h exposure to a solution of 0.1 mM insulin and 3 mM C₁₀ with a chip of empty microcontainers, F: Control monolayer upon 2 h exposure to 536 537 hHBSS. Images have been adjusted for brightness/contrast and smooth processed using ImageJ. Scale bars represent 100 μ m (n = 2). 538





540 Fig. 6. Combined plot of all eight insulin transport profiles of insulin from microcontainers across Caco-2 or Caco-2/HT29-MTX-E12 co-culture monolayers. Microcontainers were filled with insulin and C10 either; 541 premixed (1:1 w/w), indicated with filled symbols, or individually, indicated with half-filled symbols, and 542 543 compared with a solution of 0.1 mM insulin and 3 mM C₁₀ (1:1 w/w) in combination with an empty 544 microcontainer chip, indicated with Ø. Distance between the microcontainers and the Caco-2 cell monolayer was varied between 0-2 mm for the microcontainers loaded with premixed insulin and C₁₀. All studies were 545 carried out in 10 mM hHBSS with pH 7.4 and 0.05% (w/v) BSA at 37 °C. The graphs are expressed as mean + 546 547 SD (n = 3). Increased number of passages (n = 7) for direct Caco-2 monolayer-microcontainer contact (0.0 mm) 548 were carried out to ensure comparability across passages.