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#### Abstract

The enzyme aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (AIR) carboxylase catalyzes the synthesis of the purine intermediate, 4 -carboxy-5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (CAIR). Previously, we have shown that the compound 4 -nitro-5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (NAIR) is a slow, tight binding inhibitor of the enzyme with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 0.34 nM . The structural attributes and the slow, tight binding characteristics of NAIR implicated this compound as a transition state or reactive intermediate analog. However, it is unclear what molecular features of NAIR contribute to the mimetic properties for either of the two proposed mechanisms of AIR carboxylase. In order to gain additional information regarding the mechanism for the potent inhibition of AIR carboxylase by NAIR, a series of heterocyclic analogs were prepared and evaluated. We find that all compounds are weaker inhibitors than NAIR and that CAIR analogs are not alternative substrates for the enzyme. Surprisingly, rather subtle changes in the structure of NAIR can lead to profound changes in binding affinity. Computational investigations of enzyme intermediates and these inhibitors reveal that NAIR displays an electrostatic potential surface similar to a proposed reaction intermediate. The result indicates that AIR carboxylase is likely sensitive to the electrostatic surface of reaction intermediates and thus compounds which mimic these surfaces should possess tight binding characteristics. Given the evolutionary relationship between AIR carboxylase and $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase, we believe that this concept extends to the mutase enzyme as well. The implications of this hypothesis for the design of selective inhibitors of the $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase are discussed.


## Introduction

The basic aspects of de novo purine biosynthesis were outlined in the 1950's by Buchanan who studied the metabolism of labeled purine intermediates in chicken or pigeon liver extracts. From this work, a 10-step pathway for the conversion of PRPP into inosine monophosphate, the common branch point intermediate for both AMP and GMP biosynthesis was proposed. However, subsequent detailed biochemical studies of the purine biosynthetic enzymes from various organisms has revealed that differences in the pathway are observed. In vertebrates, purines are synthesized from phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP) according to the 10 steps originally proposed by Buchanan; however, in bacteria, yeast and fungi, an extra step is

[^0]required ${ }^{1-7}$. This extra step involves the synthesis of the intermediate 4-carboxy-5aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (CAIR, figure 1$)^{3-5}$.

De novo purine biosynthesis contains only one carbon-carbon bond forming reaction, namely in the conversion of 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (AIR) into 4-carboxy-5aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (CAIR). In vertebrates, AIR is converted directly to CAIR by the enzyme AIR carboxylase ${ }^{2,4}$. ATP is not required for this conversion and AIR carboxylase uses $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, not bicarbonate, as the one-carbon substrate ${ }^{2,4}$. Biochemical studies on purine biosynthesis in bacteria, yeast and fungi, demonstrate that two enzymes are required for the synthesis of CAIR ${ }^{1,3-5}$. The first enzyme, $N^{5}$-carboxyaminoimidazole ribonucleotide ( $N^{5}$-CAIR) synthetase, converts AIR to a previously unrecognized intermediate $N^{5}$-CAIR. The second enzyme, $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase, converts $N^{5}$-CAIR to CAIR. Sequence alignments of AIR carboxylase and the microbial enzymes reveal that AIR carboxylase is evolutionarily related to $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase and structural studies demonstrate that the architecture of the active sites of each enzyme are nearly superimposable. Despite these conserved features, biochemical studies have shown that both enzymes are highly specific. AIR carboxylase cannot use $N^{5}$ CAIR as a substrate and $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase cannot directly use AIR and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{2,4}$.

Our early efforts on these enzymes lead to the discovery of the most potent inhibitor of $G$. gallus (chicken) AIR carboxylase known to date. This compound, 4-nitro-5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (NAIR), is an analog of CAIR and has a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $0.34 \mathrm{nM}^{8}$. NAIR displays slow, tight-binding kinetics with a 10,000 fold tighter affinity for the enzyme than CAIR ${ }^{8}$. In contrast, NAIR is a steady-state competitive inhibitor of fungal and bacterial $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value similar to the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ for $\mathrm{CAIR}^{3,4}$.

The slow, tight-binding characteristic of NAIR with AIR carboxylase implicates a possible reactive intermediate or transition state attribute to the inhibitor design. Two proposed mechanisms for AIR carboxylase are show in Figure 2A. In the first (path a), the N3 of AIR is protonated followed by deprotonation of C 4 to generate a N -ylide. Previous studies have shown that N 3 is the primary site of protonation for both AIR and CAIR ${ }^{9}$. Enzyme-mediated formation of ylides has been postulated for orotidylate decarboxylase and thiamine dependent enzymes ${ }^{10,11}$. Attack on carbon dioxide generates the desired product, CAIR. The second mechanism (path b) involves direct attack of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with assistance of the electron-rich exocyclic amine. The resulting tetrahedral intermediate, previously termed iso-CAIR, is then converted into CAIR via deprotonation of the C 4 carbon ${ }^{6}$. As shown in figure 2B, NAIR could (in principle) have characteristics of a transition state for either mechanism. NAIR could mimic the transition state for the N -ylide mechanism (path a) if NAIR was protonated at N3. However, previous studies have shown that the pKa value for N 3 of NAIR is 0.3 reducing the possibility that protonation occurs when bound at the enzyme active site ${ }^{12}$. Protonation of NAIR at the C 4 position could generate a compound which would be nearly identical to the transition state shown for path $b$. UV measurements of NAIR binding to AIR carboxylase showed a minimal 10 nm shift of the $\lambda \max$ for NAIR when the inhibitor was used to titrate the AIR carboxylase active sites ${ }^{8}$. Density functional calculations for the C 4 protonated NAIR (figure 2b) have shown that the predicted UV properties of the C4 protonated NAIR differ from what is experimentally observed (see Supporting Information). Thus, existing data offers little support for NAIR to be a mimic of the tetrahedral intermediate in path $b$.

To further understand the basic principles of NAIR binding to AIR carboxylase, we examined a series of azole nucleotide analogs of NAIR to establish the molecular features important for binding to AIR carboxylase ${ }^{6,7,13,14}$. In the studies presented here, we report the synthesis of a variety of heterocyclic analogs of NAIR and CAIR. We find that the inhibition of AIR carboxylase by NAIR is exquisitely sensitive to changes in the electronic structure of the
compound suggesting that the electrostatic surface of the molecule plays a dominate role in inhibitor binding to AIR carboxylase.

## Results

Compound Design
A structure-activity series for NAIR was designed to incorporate several key features. First, all compounds retained a phosphoribose since previous studies from our laboratory indicated that the phosphate group provided a substantial contribution to the binding (unpublished data). Second, only five member heterocyclic rings with ribosylation sites occurring at N1 were considered. This criterion was chosen to preserve the relative orientations of substituents at the C4 and C5 positions as is observed in both the product and substrate for the enzyme. This limited the heterocycle cores to a defined set of imidazoles, pyrroles, pyrazoles, and 1,2,3triazoles (Figure 3). Our SAR also examined the role of a heteroatom at position 3 of the heterocycle. While this feature is inherited from the heterocycle choices listed above, the SAR allowed for probes of what role, if any, a basic nitrogen site plays in binding. The primary site of protonation for both the substrate and the product occurs at N3 of the heterocycle leading to the potential for this feature to be recognized by the enzyme ${ }^{9}$. If inhibitors could mimic or preserve this site, the potential for the recognition of protonation as a binding feature could be tested. The final feature explored was the nature of the substituents at C4 and C5. Here we limited the substituents at C 4 to hydrogen, aldehyde, carboxylic acid, and nitro functional groups to mimic the product of the reaction or to mimic the parent inhibitor, NAIR. For C5, we used either hydrogen or an amino group (figure 3). By interchanging the substituents at C 4 and C 5 , we could generate a number of possible combinations to explore the enzyme binding sites with these inhibitors. For example, replacement of a nitro group at C 4 with hydrogen allowed us to address the possibility of a nitro binding pocket in the enzyme. If the placement of a nitro group at C 4 was responsible for the majority of the binding energy of NAIR, then removal of the amino functionality at C 5 should result in a compound with only a marginal decrease in $K_{\mathrm{i}}$.

## Chemistry

We anticipated that the required ribonucleotides could be prepared from the corresponding ribonucleosides by phosphorylation (scheme I). The nucleoside precursors, 4-NIRs (11) ${ }^{15}$, 3-
 readily prepared from known compounds. Thus, their syntheses were carried out following the reported procedure. However, FAIRs (15), NAPzRs (16) and CATRs (17) have not been described previously and their syntheses are discussed here.

Synthesis of FAIRs (15)—Ribosylation of commercially available ethyl 4(5)-nitroimidazole-5(4)-carboxylate was accomplished using a stereospecific chlororibofuranose, $17^{19}$ to generate both regioisomers (Scheme II). The regioisomers were separated by silica gel chromatography and the 5 -nitro regioisomer ( $\mathbf{1 8}$ ) was verified by conversion to AICAR, a known purine intermediate (see supplementary information). Reduction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with DIBAL, followed by conversion of the nitro functionality to the free amine generated compound $\mathbf{2 0}$, the latter gave the free nucleoside $\mathbf{1 5}$ after deprotection.

Synthesis of NAPzRs (16)—Ribosylation of 5-amino-4-nitropyrazole or 5-acetylamino-4nitropyrazole using standard Lewis acid mediated conditions failed to generate the desired compound. Reaction of the mercury salt of 5-amino-4-nitropyrazole and tribenzoylribfuranose chloride ${ }^{20}$ in heated toluene gave exclusively the 3-amino-4-nitropyrazole nucleoside.

The same reaction was done at lower temperature with the stereospecifically prepared ribosyl chloride 17 (scheme II). NMR analysis of the reaction products revealed that all four possible products had been formed in the reaction. The $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-isomers of the reaction could be separated by column chromatography and the regioisomeric mixture of $\beta$-isomers were deprotected using standard conditions. The desired compound, 16, could be isolated by selective crystallization of the nucleosides.

Synthesis of Triazole Nucleotides-Synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole nucleoside focused on a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction involving an active methylene compound and 1azidoribofuranoside as reported for other triazole analogs of purine intermediates ${ }^{21}$ (Scheme III). t-Butyl cyanoacetate was chosen as the active methylene compound since the t-butyl group could be removed under acidic conditions which would prevent the facile Dimroth rearrangements observed with 5-amino-1,2,3-triazoles ${ }^{22}$. Condensation of 2,3-O-isopropylidene-5-O-tert-butylsilyl-ribofuranose azide, $\mathbf{2 2}^{23}$, with t-butyl cyanoacetate under basic conditions failed to give the desired product. However, if the anion of the cyanoacetate was preformed in THF and then added to a solution of the ribofuranosyl azide in t-butyl alcohol under refluxing conditions, the required nucleoside $\mathbf{2 3}$ could be prepared in good yield. Compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ was deprotected smoothly using TFA to give desired nucleoside 17.

Phosphorylation-Phosphorylation of the azole ribonucleosdies with Yoshikawa procedure gave desired ribonucleoside monophosphates in various yields for each specific nucleoside and they were generally purified by anion exchange chromatography (scheme I) 24-26. An efficient purification method was developed during the course of the work which used a boronate affinity gel. Since the main byproduct of the Yoshikawa reaction is phosphorylation of either the $2^{\prime}$ or $3^{\prime}$ hydroxyl, the boronate column can easily separate these from the desired $5^{\prime}$-monophosphates ${ }^{27}$.

## Biochemistry

Inhibition of G. gallus AIR carboxylase-The nucleotides were examined for their ability to inhibit G. gallus AIR carboxylase using the CAIR decarboxylation assay (Table I). The high degree of sequence identity (>95\%) between the G. Gallus enzyme and the human enzyme indicates that these data are also relevant to understanding how these compounds would interact with the human enzyme. All of the nucleotides investigated displayed a significant decrease (1,500-75,000 fold) in binding to the enzyme when compared to NAIR. Like NAIR, all compounds were competitive with CAIR, but unlike NAIR, none of the compounds displayed slow, tight binding kinetics. Because these compounds are competitive with CAIR, it is reasonable to assume that these compounds bind to the active site of the enzyme and that the relative $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values relate to the differences in free energy.

The changes in affinity as measured by $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values indicate that binding to the enzyme is remarkably sensitive to changes in the structure of the compounds. For example, the movement of the N3 nitrogen in NAIR to yield NAPzR results in a 1,000-fold decrease in $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value. The same modification in converting CAIR into CAPzR results in a 3-fold difference in binding affinity and CAPR binds with greater affinity than CAIR. These data outlined in Table I indicate that there is no direct correlation between the presence or absence of certain functional groups and binding affinity.

## CAPzR and CATR are not alternative substrates for G. gallus AIR carboxylase

-Compounds CAPzR and CATR could also act as alternative substrates for AIR carboxylase. We tested both of these agents as substrates for AIR carboxylase. UV and HPLC analysis indicates that neither compound is an alternative substrate for the enzyme.

Electrostatic calculation of inhibitors of AIR carboxylase—Previous studies of binding of NAIR to AIR carboxylase revealed a 10 nm shift in the UV-vis spectrum with no change in the extinction coefficient for NAIR upon binding ${ }^{8}$. While the exact mechanism for this change is unknown, the observed shift does indicate that there are environment changes in the chromophore upon NAIR binding to the enzyme but are not consistent with alterations in the bonding framework. These observations suggest that desolvation and/or the electronic structure of NAIR may be critical for tight-binding. To probe this concept, we investigated two questions: a) does NAIR electronically resemble a reaction intermediate in the conversion of AIR to CAIR and b) do the compounds prepared in this report display electrostatic properties that are similar or dissimilar to these reaction intermediates?

Since the pKa value of N3 of NAIR is so low, we consider it unlikely that NAIR would be protonated in the active site ${ }^{12}$. This assumption is supported by x-ray structural data which indicate that there are no acidic amino acids near the proposed substrate binding site in AIR carboxylase. Thus, we chose to disregard the ylide mechanism for electrostatic calculations.

The molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) surface of the 1-methyl derivatives of CAIR and the proposed reaction intermediate iso-CAIR (figure 2A) were calculated using Gaussian 03 with the BL3yLP basis set. We performed these calculations in both the gas phase and in water. This was done because x-ray structural data on human AIR carboxylase revealed that the active site was remarkably solvent exposed, yet contained a hydrophobic pocket ${ }^{13}$. Therefore, it is likely that some parts of the molecule would be exposed to an environment with a higher dielectric constant while other would be in a low dielectric constant.

Minimization and calculation of the MEP for methyl-isoCAIR was difficult because of decarboxylation of the intermediate to generate methyl-AIR and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. This feature in reactivity has been observed by others ${ }^{6}$. To address this problem, we allowed the bond length to vary from $1.7 \AA$ and $2.0 \AA$ which resulted in converged, minimized structures. Computational investigations on carboxylases and decarboxylases by other groups have shown that the bond distance between the carbon and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ group is between $1.75-2.6 \AA$ in the transition state ${ }^{28-}$ ${ }^{30}$. We interpret these results to indicate that the $1.7 \AA$ Ainimized structure provided a reasonable representation of the intermediate methyl-isoCAIR, while the $2.0 \AA$ structure likely resembles the transition state for its synthesis (iso-CAIRTS).

Regardless of the exact bond distance for the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ bond, conversion of AIR into iso-CAIR results in the formation of an sp3 center altering the geometry around the C 4 carbon. None of our compounds contain this feature of the intermediate and as discussed above, we feel that it is unlikely that the enzyme would catalyze protonation at this position. However, substantial electronic changes also occur during this conversion (Figure 3). The exocyclic amine of AIR becomes increasingly cationic with a developing negative charge on the carboxylic acid of isoCAIR. Removal of the C 4 proton from iso-CAIR to give CAIR results in substantial negative charge on the carboxylic acid with a decrease in the positive charge on the exocyclic amine (data not shown). In addition, there is a change in the conformation of the exocyclic amine during the conversion from AIR to iso-CAIR. The exocyclic amine rotates such that one of the hydrogens on the amine is within hydrogen bonding distance to the carboxylic acid. It is reasonable to anticipate that complementary chemical features would exist in the active site of AIR carboxylase.

Using the calculations for iso-CAIR as a comparison, we calculated the MEP surfaces for NAIR and each of the charge neutral inhibitors using the same protocol as above. The results of these calculations are shown in figure 4. Visual examination of the MEP surfaces for methyl-isoCAIR compared to the charge neutral inhibitors reveal that NAIR is the closest match to methylisoCAIR. Almost all other compounds display a substantial decrease in negative charge on the

C4 substituent when compared to methyl-isoCAIR and methyl-NAIR. Methyl-FAIR is the only other compound besides NAIR that displays a negative charge on the substituents that is close to methyl-isoCAIR; however, methyl-FAIR contains only one electronegative atom at C4 compared with two in methyl-isoCAIR. Furthermore, NMR studies have demonstrated that FAIR undergoes pH -dependent structural changes through at least one, ill-defined, intermediate ${ }^{31}$. This introduces ambiguity into a direct comparison of the binding affinity for this compound with its electrostatic features since it is likely that FAIR exists in multiple configurations/conformations in solution. Methyl-NAIR contains a large negative charge on the N 3 nitrogen of the ring, a feature which mimics that found in methyl-isoCAIR. The same trend holds for calculations done with water as the solvent, but the comparison between methylNAIR and methyl-isoCAIR is more striking (see supplemental information). A hypothesis consistent with the results of these calculations and the experimental data is that NAIR likely mimics either isoCAIR or the transition state going from AIR to isoCAIR.

## Discussion

The synthesis and evaluation of a variety of heterocyclic analogs of the AIR, CAIR and the slow, tight-binding inhibitor NAIR are reported here. All of the compounds show a decrease in binding affinity when compared to NAIR, despite the fact that only conservative structural analogs were designed. For example, moving a single nitrogen from N3 to N2 (NAIR vs. NAPzR) results in a 1000 -fold decrease in binding affinity as measured by $K_{\mathrm{i}}$. Larger structural changes such as removal of the exocyclic amine (NIR, NPyR, NPzR) or replacement of the nitro group with either an aldehyde or carboxylic acid group resulted in a greater loss of binding affinity. Overall, the range of $\Delta \Delta G$ values for the inhibitor analog binding to AIR carboxylase with respect to NAIR is 4 to $>7.7 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mole.

Why do all of these conservative substitutions in NAIR have large quantitative impacts on binding? We have previously suggested that NAIR is a transition state analog based upon the fact that this compound displays slow, tight binding kinetics ${ }^{8}$. However, NAIR is not geometrically similar to either the proposed transition state, or the intermediate iso-CAIR. In addition, other compounds, such as NAPzR, contain all of the necessary functional groups to mimic the transition state yet are only micromolar, competitive, steady-state inhibitors. Based upon the results presented here, it is reasonable to surmise that neither geometric similarity nor functional group presence is the sole determinate for tight binding to the G. gallus AIR carboxylase.

We examined the molecular electrostatic potential surface of the methyl derivatives of the compounds prepared in this study. Qualitative comparison of MEP surfaces to the proposed intermediate iso-CAIR and the transition state for its synthesis, revealed that NAIR closely matched both. NAIR, however, possessed less negative charge on the C 4 nitro group than the C4 carboxyl of the intermediate. For the putative transition state model, methyl-isoCAIRTS, a strong electronic similarity between the transition state model and NAIR was clear. Other compounds, such as NIR and NAPzR also have some similarity to methyl-isoCAIRTS and these two compounds are the most potent, new nucleotides described in this work. Therefore, the data presented here indicate that AIR carboxylase is sensitive to the electronic character of the inhibitors.

The concept of complementary electrostatic recognition in enzyme inhibitor binding and catalysis has been discussed for several important enzyme systems. For example, work by the Schramm group has highlighted the importance of electrostatic complementarity in the design of transition state analogs for deaminases, nucleosidases and phosphorylases ${ }^{32-35}$. Electrostatics have been suggested to be important for the design of haptens for the creation of catalytic antibodies ${ }^{36}$ and an ultra high-resolution crystallographic study of aldose reductase
has demonstrated electrostatic complementarity for cofactor binding ${ }^{37}$. However, a recent study on transition state analogs binding to ketosteroid isomerase showed that changes in the electrostatics of the compounds had small effects on binding to the enzyme ${ }^{38}$. This work suggests that electrostatics contributed only a small amount to the binding energy of the compound, while geometrical complementarity provides a more significant contribution. For AIR carboxylase, geometrical complementarity alone does not appear a major source of binding energy.

Here, we have proposed that NAIR is an electrostatic mimic of either iso-CAIR or the transition state leading to its synthesis. Previous studies have shown that AIR carboxylase is evolutionarily related to the bacterial enzyme $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase which also produces CAIR. Structural analysis has shown that the two enzymes display a remarkable degree of identity in the active site and recent research on $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase has also postulated the involvement of iso-CAIR in its mechanism ${ }^{6}$. These data indicate that NAIR should be a potent inhibitor of $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase as well, yet NAIR binds $4.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ weaker to the mutase enzyme than AIR carboxylase ${ }^{6,39}$.

What accounts for this difference? Although both enzymes are related and likely proceed via the same intermediate, isoCAIR, the enzymes clearly recognize different substrates and are specific for their own reactions. From this perspective, it is not unexpected that each enzyme would have its own reaction coordinate with its own potential energy landscape. Even if both enzymes proceed via the iso-CAIR intermediate, it would not be without precedent that the energy barriers for iso-CAIR synthesis are different for each enzyme ${ }^{40,41}$. Since we have proposed that NAIR is an electrostatic mimic of either iso-CAIR or iso-CAIRTS, the differences in NAIR binding affinity may be related to the differences in the energy barriers for iso-CAIR synthesis for each enzyme.

## Implications from the present work

Previous research on de novo purine biosynthesis has revealed that this pathway is different in prokaryotes and lower eukaryotes than in humans ${ }^{2,3,39}$. This difference has significant implications for the development of novel antimicrobial agents ${ }^{42}$. To develop selective antimicrobial compounds, agents targeting $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase over AIR carboxylase must be created. In this report, we have shown here that AIR carboxylase is sensitive to the electrostatic nature of inhibitors and we surmise that compounds that mimic the electrostatic character of the transition state for the decarboxylation of $N^{5}$-CAIR should be excellent inhibitors of bacterial and fungal $N^{5}$-CAIR mutase. Investigations are currently underway to either validate or refute this hypothesis.

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined using either a Melt-Temp or Thomas Hoover apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were acquired using a Perkin Elmer 1600 series FTIR. Mass spectra were acquired using a Kratos MS 50 spectrometer. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker 300 MHz or Varian 500 MHz spectrometer. All NMR spectra acquired in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were referenced to an external sample containing TSP for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for ${ }^{31}$ P. Elemental analysis were conducted at the Purdue Microanalysis facility and all values are $\pm 0.40 \%$ of the calculated value. All chemical shifts are listed in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ with the following abbreviations: ssinglet, bs-broad singlet, d-doublet, t-triplet, q-quartet, dd-doublet of doublets, m-multiplet. Silica thin layer chromatography plates containing 254 nm fluorescent indicator were purchased from Sigma. Whatman cellulose thin layer chromatography plates with 254 nm fluorescent indicator and bulk cellulose (CF-11 or CC-31) were purchased from VWR. TLC plates were visualized by fluorescence, staining with $\mathrm{I}_{2}, 5 \%$ phosphomolibdic acid (PMA) in ethanol or 5 -sulfosalicilic acid $/ \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$. Dowex AG 50W-X8 was purchased from Sigma and the

Dowex resin was treated with a 5 mM EDTA solution before exchanging to the desired ion. Ion chromatography resins, Q and DEAE Sepharose were purchased from Pharmacia. AffiGel boronate gel was purchased from Bio-Rad. Aqueous ion exchange chromatography were conducted using an Isco 2350 series HPLC pump with an Isco 2360 series gradient maker. The eluent was monitored at the indicated wavelengths using an Isco $\mathrm{V}^{4}$ series UV-vis detector. Analytical and preparative PRP-1 columns were purchased from Hamilton. Semi-preparative C18 columns were purchased from Ranin. All solvents, unless otherwise indicated are HPLC grade. 1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tribenzoyl-ribofuranoside was purchased from Sigma and used without purification. Triethyl phospate was purchased from Aldrich and distilled from BaO before use. Hexamethyldisilizane was purchased from Aldrich and distilled before use. Carbon tetrachloride, acetonitrile, methylene chloride and 1,2-dichloroethane were distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ before use. Hexamethylphosphoric acid triamide was purchased in technical grade, purified by vacuum distillation and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hexamethylphosphorus triamide and tertbutyl alcohol were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and distilled before use. Dry MeOH was produced by distillation from sodium. Diisopropylethylamine was purchased from Aldrich and distilled from $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ and acetic anhydride before use. Toluene was dried over sodium/benzophenone and distilled before use. Phosphorous oxychloride, trifluoroacetic acid and phosphorus pentoxide was purchased from Fisher. Ammonium bicarbonate, ammonia, hydrogen chloride, Celite, NaH ( $60 \%$ in oil), trimethylsilyl chloride, t-butyldimethylsilyl chloride and mercuric chloride were purchased from Aldrich. All solvents used for NMR analysis were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes.

## Ethyl-5-nitro-1-(5'-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2',3'-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl) imidazole-4-carboxylate (18)

In a flame-dried 100 mL flask, $5^{\prime}$-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2', $3^{\prime}$-isopropylidene- $\beta$-Dribofuranose ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF containing 1.3 mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(13.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2.1 mL of HMPT (11.6 mmol ) was added slowly via an addition funnel. Occasional gel formation was reduced by momentary increases of the temperature to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To another flame-dried 50 ml flask, sodium hydride ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl-4(5)-nitroimidazole-5(4)caboxylate ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of THF and 1 mL of HMPA. After stirring for 30 min , the sodium salt solution was added via an addition funnel to the above solution of the ribosyl chloride at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was complete after 30 min . The reaction was warmed to room temperature over a 30 min period, quenched with water, extracted with ethyl acetate and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 8:1:0.1 Hexane:EtOAc: $\mathrm{MeOH} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2-0.3$ ) to yield the 5-nitroisomer ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ) $\mathbf{1 8}$ and the 4nitroisomer ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ) as yellow oils. 5-Nitro isomer 18: UV ( $\lambda_{\max }$ in MeOH) 306 $\mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 6.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1$ '), $5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.80\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 4.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right)$, $3.92\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1\right.$ and $\left.11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right), 3.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1\right.$ and $\left.11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}\right), 1.27,1.06\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, isopropylidene), $0.94\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}-\right), 0.11(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Si}-\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.7,136.1,135.6,132.8,112.8,90.8,83.9,81.6$, 80.6, 65.4, 61.6, 25.4, 24.8, 23.9, 17.7, 13.6, -5.9, -6.1; HRMS (CI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}$ $472.2115[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 472.2124 ; 4-Nitro isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 6.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 5.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), $4.39\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{CH}_{2}} \mathbf{O}\right), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$ and $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ '), $3.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\mathrm{J}=2.8$ and $\left.11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right), 1.40,1.27(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, isopropylidene), $1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 0.93\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}-\right), 0.12\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Si}-\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 157.9,147.9,134.5,117.0,112.6,89.9,83.8,81.8,80.9,64.8,61.2,25.4,24.8,23.9,17.7$, 13.3, -6.0 and $-6.1\left(\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; HRMS (CI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si} 472.2115[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 472.2120 .

## 5-Nitro-1-(5'-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2',3'-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl) imidazole-4carboxaldehyde (19)

Compound $\mathbf{1 8}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 20 mL of diethyl ether and chilled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of 2.3 mL of diisobutylaluminum hydride ( 1 M in hexane). The reaction, which was completed within 10 min , was quenched with 20 mL of tartaric acid solution ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 6.8$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature after which time the phases became separated. The phases were separated by a separatory funnel and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic layers was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography to give the pure product ( 635 mg , $70 \%$ ) which required storage at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark to prevent decomposition. UV ( $\lambda_{\max }$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}): 221,304 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2)$, $6.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 5.11\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, C4'), $3.84\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1\right.$ and $\left.11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2\right.$ and $\left.11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}\right), 1.27,1.00$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, isopropylidene), $0.96\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}-\right), 0.13\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Si}-\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 183.5,137.5,113.3,91.7,84.5,81.9,81.0,65.0,25.8,25.7,24.2,-0.1$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si} 428.1853[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 428.1857.

## 5-Amino-1-(5'-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2',3'-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl) imidazole-4-carboxaldehyde (20)

To a 50 mL flask, 19 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ (600 $\mathrm{mg}, 100 \%, \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction flask was flushed with hydrogen gas and placed under a positive hydrogen atmosphere. After 2.5 h at room temperature, the starting material was consumed. The reaction mixture was filtered through a sintered glass filter funnel to remove the catalyst and concentrated in vacuo to give a pale yellow oil ( $464 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) which required no furthur purification. $\mathrm{UV}\left(\lambda_{\max }\right.$ in MeOH$) 215,308 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 5.92\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\prime}\right), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 4.87 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}$ ), 4.44 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\prime}$ ), 3.91 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2$ and $\left.11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right)$, $3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$ and $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ '), $1.39,1.34(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, isopropylidene), $0.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}-\right), 0.11\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{Si}-\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.2,145.9,131.0$, $122.9,87.9,83.4,82.0,80.5,65.2,25.7,25.3,24.0,17.9,-5.6,-5.7$; HRMS (CI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si} 428.1853[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 428.1857.

## 5-Amino-1- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosylimidazole-4-carboxaldehyde (15)

To $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.385 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a precooled solution of $90 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid ( 1 mL ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 5 h at $0-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was poured into 50 mL of diethyl ether and the resulting yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with 10 mL of diethyl ether (2X). The solid was dried in vacuo to remove trace amounts of trifluoroacetic acid, dissolved in $1 \%$ ammonia in MeOH and concentrated again to a yellow solid. The resulting solid ( 97.5 mg ) was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 65:35:10 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, lower phase, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.4$ ) and recrystallized from methanol to yield yellow plate crystals ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). The material spontaneously decomposed to yield a dark red liquid after prolonged exposure to air and light at room temperature. UV $\left(\lambda_{\max }\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 212,306$ $\mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 9.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 4.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.60\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ and 12.8 $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right), 3.76\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0\right.$ and $\left.12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 168.5,118.3$, 105.1, 69.2, 67.9, 55.3, 54.1, 44.8 HRFABMS ( -Ve , DTT/DTE) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 244.0933 , found 244.0944

## 5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)-4-nitropyrazole (16)

In a 250 mL flask, 3(5)-amino-4-nitropyrazole ( $4.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in a solution of 75 mL acetonitrile containing 25 mL of HMPA. To the clear solution, $\mathrm{NaH}(1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ in
oil) was added in large portions and after 15 min a clear orange solution of the sodium salt formed, which was used immediately in the next reaction.

In a 500 mL flask, 5-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribofuranose ( 5.01 $\mathrm{g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 80 mL ) containing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, HMPT ( $3.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.0208 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 1.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with occasional warming to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to liquefy. The reaction was removed from the bath and a solution of the above nitropyrazole sodium solution was cannulated into the reaction. The reaction is stirred at room temperature for 18 h before concentration in vacuo to a black oil. The oil was dissolved in 300 mL of EtOAc and the solution washed with 400 mL of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and activated carbon. The solution was filtered and concentrated in vacuo to a dark orange oil. The mixture was purified by flash silica chromatography using a gradient of 10:1 hexane/ethyl acetate to $2: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate in 600 mL fractions. Concentration of the appropriate fractions gave a regioisomeric mixture of both the $\beta$ and $\alpha$ nucleosides. $\beta$ nucleosides: 1.615 $\mathrm{g}, 24 \%$. TLC (silica, $2: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate) Rf $0.65 \alpha$ nucleosides: $3.316 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$. TLC (silica, 2:1 hexane/ethyl acetate) Rf 0.49 .

In a 250 mL flask, $\beta$-nucleosides ( $1.468 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added along with 50 mL of $10 \%$ TFA in water and 10 mL of acetonitrile. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , concentrated in vacuo and the residue was evaporated in vacuo from $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{X})$ to remove TFA. The resulting solid was purified by flash silica chromatography using 9:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$. The appropriate fractions were concentrated to a yellow foam which was triturated with $1 \%$ MeOH in water. The remaining solid, which is enriched in the 5 -amino isomer 16, was crystallized from water to give yellow needles (132 mg, 29\%). HPLC (C18) $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in water, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ gave a retention time of 31 min ; m.p. $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ) $\delta 7.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 3), 7.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.76\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right)$, $4.44\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.08\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 3.86\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J=4.6 and $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ '), $3.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $\mathrm{J}=4.65$ and 11.75 Hz C5'); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d $^{6}$ ) $\delta 146.7,135.5,117.6,89.4,85.0,72.5,70.7,62.1$; HR FABMS (+ Ve, DTT/DTE) calcd 261.0835 found: 261.0830 .

## tert-Butyl 5-amino-1-(5-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (23)

In a 250 mL flask, tert-butyl cyanoacetate $(1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0132 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 30 mL of THF. NaH ( $0.581 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0145 \mathrm{~mol}, 60 \% \mathrm{in}$ oil) was added in several large portions and the resulting solution was allowed to stir for 15 min . To the solution of $22(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of dry tert-butyl alcohol was added the above mixture in one portion and the reaction was heated to reflux for 1.5 h . The reaction was poured into 200 mL of brine and extracted with EtOAc ( $300 \mathrm{~mL}, 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 300$ mL ), dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to a white solid. The compound was purified by silica flash chromatography using 5:1 hexane/ethyl acetate to give a white solid ( 0.696 g , $49 \%$ ). TLC ( $9: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate) Rf 0.25 ; m.p. $144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.0\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 5.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH} 2), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 6.3 Hz , $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), $4.81\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5\right.$ and $\left.6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4$ and 11.2 Hz , $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), $3.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5\right.$ and $\left.11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}\right), 1.56\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}\right.$-butyl ester), $1.54\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.83\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CSi}-\right),-0.01\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Si}-\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(75$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.1,146.3,122.6,114.1,92.5,87.3,82.7,81.7,80.6,62.7,28.4,26.9,25.9$, 25.2, 18.4, -5.5; FABMS (+Ve,) $471.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

## 5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (17)

In a 50 mL flask, $23(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 10 mL of $90 \% \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water was added and the reaction was evaporated in vacuo to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 100 mL of water and adjusted to pH 8.0 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and applied to a Q-Sepharose column $\left(2.5 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$. The column was washed with water and then with a gradient of $0-500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ over 120 min at a flow rate of $4.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. The eluent was monitored at 260 nm , the appropriate fractions were collected and dried by lyophilization (3X) to a hydroscopic solid which was dried over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ( $65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). TLC (cellulose, $2: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ $0.31 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.78$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C} 1$ '), 4.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C} 2$ '), 4.31 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C} 3$ '), 4.07 $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C} 5$ ) $), 3.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{mHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 172.8,149.7$, 124.6, 92.1, 88.3, 75.8, 73.4, 64.3. HRMS(FAB) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} 278.1101$ [M $\left.+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$, found 278.109.

## General phosphorylation procedure

The nucleoside was dissolved triethyl phosphate and freshly distilled $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was added at $0^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 to 5 h and the mixture was poured into 100 mL of $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous solution was lyophilized to dryness. The resulting solid was purified either by anion exchange chromatography on DEAE and Q sepharose column followed by preparative HPLC (PRP-1) using 50 mM DIPEAA $\mathrm{pH} 7.0 / 10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at a flow rate of $8.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ while monitoring at 280 nm , or by passing through boronate affinity gel column.

## 3-Nitro-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)imidazole 5'-Phosphate (4)

$11(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol})$ was phosphorylated in 2.0 mL of $\mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{OEt})$ with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(190 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.04$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ for 4 h , and purified on a DEAE Sepharose column $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}, 2.5 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ to yield a white solid ( 38 mg , $58 \%$ ). TLC(cellulose, 3:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.35$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 8.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 5), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1$ '), $4.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 8147.5,136.5,119.5$, 90.9, 85.1, 76.1, 70.9, 61.7; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 0.56$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P} 326.0389[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 326.0393.

## 5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)imidazole-4-carboxaldehyde 5'-phosphate (5)

$\mathbf{1 5}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was phosphorylated in 1.0 mL of triethyl phosphate with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (86.2 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 4 h , and purified by Q Sepharose anion exchange chromatography to give yellow solid ( $47.8 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). UV ( $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 215,310 \mathrm{~nm} ; \varepsilon 310 \mathrm{~nm}=5500 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mM Tris Buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 9.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2), 6.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 4.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.47\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right.$ and C4'), 3.94 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 186.5,142.5,137.5,124.0,88.2,86.6$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 74.5,73.7,67.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (121 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 0.88$; HRFABMS (-Ve, DTT/DTE) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P} 324.0597$, found 324.0600

## 3-Nitro-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)pyrrole 5'-phosphate (6)

$12(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was phosphorylated in 2.0 mL of triethyl phosphate with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.18$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 3 h and was purified by Q Sephrose anion exchange chromatography to give the desired product ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). UV ( $\lambda_{\max }$ in 0.1 N HCl$) 287 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 2), 6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4), 6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 4.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}$, m, C5'); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 138.8,125.5,124.3,107.7,92.2,86.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $74.3,73.2,66.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \operatorname{NMR}\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 0.18$; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P} 323.0280[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, found 323.0210.

## 4-Nitro-1-(- $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)pyrazole 5'-phosphate (7)

13 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was phosphorylated in 1.0 mL of triethyl phosphate with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.13$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 3 h and purified by Q-sepharose anion exchange chromatography to give the desired product ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). UV ( $\lambda_{\max }$ in 0.1 N HCl$) 202,273 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 8.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 3), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 5), 5.81\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi t, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 4.34\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \psi \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2\right.$ and $\left.4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 3.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.0,132.8,96.6,87.87 .0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.3,73.0,66.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{p}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (121 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 0.61$; HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}$ $324.0233[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, found 324.0263.

## 5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)pyrazole-4-carboxylate 5'-phosphate (8)

$14(248 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was phosphorylated in 15 mL of triethyl phosphate with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (403 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1.5 h and was purified by boronate affinity gel column to give a white solid ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). 65.6 mg of the ethyl ester ( 0.163 mmol ) was dissolved in 10 mL of water containing $\mathrm{NaOH}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was heated to reflux for 4 h , cooled to room temperature and then diluted to 50 mL with water. The solution was applied to a Q Sepharose column $\left(1.5 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$, the column washed with water and then eluted with a gradient of $0-500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ over 30 min at a flow rate of $4.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. The eluent, monitored at 260 nm , was collected in fractions and the appropriate fractions were combined and dried by lyophilization (3X). The solid was dissolved in water ( 10 mL ) and the solution passed through Chelex $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$to remove metal contaminants ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). TLC; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 3), 5.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\prime}\right), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$ and 6.7 $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\prime}\right), 4.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.3\right.$ and $\left.5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 4.08\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $8169.3,152.9,143.5,98.1,66.3,71.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$, 73.2 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}$ ), 84.7 ( $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ or C3'), 90.1 ( $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ '), 98.1 (C4), 143.5 (C3), 152.9 (C5), 169.3 (C=O); ${ }^{31}$ PNMR (121 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 0.38$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P} 340.0546[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 340.0545.

## 5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)-4-nitropyrazole 5'-phosphate (9)

16 was phosphorylated in $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(154 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 4 h and was purified on a Q Sepharose column to give a white solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). TLC (cellulose, 7:3 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Rf} 0.32 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 8.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 3), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J=5.8 Hz, C1'), 5.07 ( 1 H , dd, J=5.8 Hz, J=5.5 Hz, C2'), 4.74 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=5.5, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right)$, 4.60 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4$ '), 4.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5$ ') ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{O}) \delta 64.2\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5\right.$ '), 69.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}$ ), 71.3 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ or $\left.\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right), 83.4\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 118.3(\mathrm{C} 4), 136.5(\mathrm{C} 3), 147.0$ (C5); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{PNMR}\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 1.23$; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P} 341.0498$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, found 341.0500.

5-Amino-1-( $\beta$-D-ribofuranosyl)-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate 5'-phosphate (10)
$17(19.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol})$ was phosphaorylated in 2.0 mL of $\mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(14 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.15 mmol ) for 6 h and was purified first on a Q Sepharose column then on a semi-preparative PRP-1 column to give the desired product ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.81$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}\right), 4.73\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.3\right.$ and $\left.5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right), 4.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.8$ and 5.3 Hz , C3'), 4.21 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 4$ '), 3.91 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} 5$ '); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{PNMR}\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 0.94$; HRMS (FABM $+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P} 358.0764$, found 368.0760.

## Testing for inhibition against AIR carboxylase

All compounds were analyzed as inhibitors as previously described. Briefly, the inhibitor (10 nM to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added to a cuvette containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 and CAIR $(10-100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The reaction was initiated by the addition of $23-46 \mathrm{ng}$ of enzyme and the change in absorbance at 260 nm was monitored. The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value was determined from a series of $1 / \mathrm{v}$ vs. $1 / \mathrm{S}$ plots using the program Enzyme Kinetics (Trinity Software). For each compound,
three different inhibitor concentrations were used based upon preliminary experiments to determine the IC50 value.

## Molecular electrostatic potential calculations

The geometries of each compound were determined by minimization using Gaussian 03 with the B3LyP 6-31G* basis set. The electrostatic potential was calculated from the wave functions generated for the minimized structure using the CUBE option from Gaussian. The color map for each compound was set to the same range of charges ( -0.07 to 0.07 in gas phase; -0.1 to 0.1 for water). The range was established to maximize contrast between the compounds.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Differences in de novo purine biosynthesis between humans and microbes.


Figure 2.
Proposed mechanisms for AIR carboxylase and the similarity between NAIR and the putative transition states for these enzymes. A. Two mechanisms proposed for AIR carboxylase. Path a. The ylide mechanism. Path b. The iso-CAIR mechanism. B. Comparison of transition states for two mechanisms outlined in A to NAIR.


| 1. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | AIR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | CAIR |
| 3. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | NAIR |
| 4. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | 4-NIR |
| 5. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CHO}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | FAIR |
| 6. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | 3-NPyR |
| 7. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | 4-NPzR |
| 8. | X=N | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | CAPzR |
| 9. | $X=N$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | NAPzR |
| 10. | $X=N$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-2}$ | CATR |
| 11. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | 4-NIRs |
| 12. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=C H$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | 3-NPyRs |
| 13. | $X=N$ | $Y=C H$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | 4-NPzRs |
| 14. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | CAPzRs |
| 15. | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $Y=N$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CHO}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | FAIRs |
| 16. | $X=N$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | NAPzRs |
| 17. | $X=N$ | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ | CATRs |

Figure 3.
Nucleoside and nucleotides involved in this project. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are the substrate and product of AIR carboxylase. Compound $\mathbf{3}$ is the most potent known inhibitor of the enzyme. Compounds 4-10 are the targets for this study.
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Figure 4.
Comparison of NAIR to the proposed reaction intermediates in the AIR carboxylase reaction. A. The proposed first step of the reaction catalyzed by AIR carboxylase. AIR is converted to the tetrahedral intermediate isoCAIR. B. MEP surface of AIR, isoCAIRTS and iso-CAIR. Surfaces were calculated in the gas phase. The second set of MEP surfaces are the MEP surfaces looking down the C4 bond. Comparison of iso-CAIRTS and isoCAIR with NAIR. Notice the similarity between NAIR and iso-CAIRTS.


Figure 5.
MEP surfaces for charge neutral compounds calculated in the gas phase.


Scheme I.
Phosphorylation of nucleosides


Scheme II.
Synthesis of FAIRs (15) and NAPzRs (16).


Scheme III.
Synthesis of CATRs (17)

Table 1
Inhibition constants against G. gallus AIR carboxylase ${ }^{a}$.

| Number | Name | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ or IC50 $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\Delta \Delta G^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | NAIR | 0.00034 | 0 |
| 4 | NIR | 1.3 | 5.1 |
| 5 | FAIR | 62 | 7.4 |
| 6 | NpyR | $>100{ }^{\text {b }}$ | >7.7 |
| 7 | NPzR | 22 | 6.8 |
| 8 | CAPzR | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 9 | NAPzR | 0.39 | 4.3 |
| 10 | CATR | 2.8 | 5.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Values determined using the CAIR decarboxylation assay.
${ }^{b}$ IC50 value.
$c_{\text {calculated by }} \Delta \mathrm{GNAIR}^{-\Delta}$ GInhibitor
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