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#### Abstract

HCl -catalyzed deprotection and cyclization of benzylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 5}$ cleanly provided tricycle $\mathbf{1 6}$ by a cis-selective intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Acetylation of the phenol, bis epoxidation, and base-catalyzed hydrolysis and cyclization afforded tetracycle 19 with the bisabosqual skeleton, but the wrong stereochemistry at the tertiary alcohol. Selective dehydration of the tertiary alcohol to form the exocyclic alkene, ozonolysis, reductive deoxygenation of the side chain epoxide, and addition of MeMgBr to the ketone from the less hindered face gave tertiary alcohol $\mathbf{2 4}$ with the tetracyclic core of bisabosqual A (1).


## 1. Introduction

Bisabosqual A (1) was isolated in 2001 from the culture broth of Stachybotrys sp. RF-7260, obtained from decaying tree leaves. ${ }^{1}$ Three related natural products, bisabosquals B-D, were isolated from Stachybotrys ruwenzoriensis RF-6853. Bisabosqual A (1) has broad spectrum antifungal activity in vitro and inhibits the microsomal squalene synthases from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Candida albicans, HepG2 cell and rat liver with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.43,0.25,0.95$ and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively, suggesting that bisabosqual A might be useful for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.

The novel tetracyclic structure of bisabosqual A (1) was determined by 2D NMR experiments and confirmed by X-ray crystallography of bisabosqual B. ${ }^{2}$ The three six-membered rings of $\mathbf{1}$ are analogous to those of tetra-hydrocannabinoids (THCs), although the cyclohexane and pyran rings are trans-fused in the extensively investigated $\mathrm{THCs}^{3}$ and cis-fused in $\mathbf{1}$. The additional furan ring and tertiary alcohol of $\mathbf{1}$ pose additional synthetic challenges.

We envisaged that bisabosqual A (1) might be accessible by oxidative cyclization of cis-fused tricycle 2, which should be available by an inverse electron demand Diels-Alder reaction of quinone methide 3 (see Scheme 1). Although hexahydrocannabinoids are invariably formed with a trans ring fusion, Rickards found that treatment of $\mathbf{4}$ with TMSCl and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ cleaved the MOM ethers and generated a quinone methide that cyclized to give $65 \%$ of the cis-fused tetrahydrocannabinoid tricycle 5 (see eq 1). ${ }^{4}$

[^0]

These observations are consistent with MMX calculations of transition state energies for the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. ${ }^{5}$ The transition state leading to a transfused hexahydrocannabinoid is more stable than the lowest energy transition state leading to a cisfused hexa-hydrocannabinoid by $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The presence of the double bond in the tether changes the transition state energies such that the one leading to the cis-fused tetrahydrocannabinoid $\mathbf{5}$ is more stable than the one leading to the trans-fused tetrahydrocannabinoid by $0.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

## 2. Results and Discussion

Deprotonation of the bis MOM ether of orcinol (6) ${ }^{6}$ at the 2-position with $n$ - BuLi in THF followed by addition of citral (7) afforded $90-100 \%$ of $\mathbf{8}$ as a $\approx 60: 40 \mathrm{E}: Z \mathrm{Z}$ mixture that was used without purification (see Scheme 2). ${ }^{7,8}$ In our hands, treatment of crude alcohol $\mathbf{8}$ with $\mathrm{TMSBr},{ }^{9} \mathrm{TMSCl}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$, or TMSCl and $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not give tricyclic phenol 9. Use of TMSBr at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the MOM ether of 9 (not shown) in $60 \%$ yield, which can also be obtained in $70 \%$ yield with TMSCl and NaI at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{10}$ Eventually, we found that complete cyclization of $\mathbf{8}$ and deprotection to give $\mathbf{9}$ can be effected in $58 \%$ overall yield from 6 by heating $\mathbf{8}$ in a 1:6 mixture of 3 M aqueous hydrochloric acid and MeOH at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Tricycle 9 is somewhat unstable and can only be purified on MeOH -deactivated silica gel.

Syn oxidative cyclization ${ }^{11,12}$ of 9 would yield the desired tertiary alcohol 14 in a single step. However, all previous oxidative cyclizations have started with unsaturated alcohols, rather than phenols. The electron rich resorcinol is more easily oxidized than the alkene of 9 . Treatment of 9 with bis(collidine)iodonium hexafluorophosphate ${ }^{13}$ afforded the diiodo phenol. Reaction with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ also occurred on the aromatic ring. ${ }^{14}$ Not surprisingly, treatment of 9 with $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ReO}_{3},\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{HCCO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ReO}_{3}$, or PCC led to complex mixtures of products.

Epoxidation of 9 with $m$-CPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ takes place at the alkene from the less hindered $\alpha$ face as reported by Razdan in a similar system. ${ }^{15}$ The initially formed epoxy phenol $\mathbf{1 0}$ partially cyclized to give tetracycle $\mathbf{1 1}$ with the desired ring system, but the wrong stereochemistry at the tertiary alcohol. Treatment of this mixture with methanolic NaOH for 2 h provided $83 \%$ of 11 .

The rigidity of the ring system allowed us to develop an efficient procedure to convert $\mathbf{1 1}$ to the desired alcohol 14. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with MsCl and excess $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided $89 \%$ of an $84: 16$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and the endocyclic isomer. Formation of the less stable alkene $\mathbf{1 2}$ is favored because only the methyl protons can adopt the required antiperiplanar orientation to the equatorial leaving group. Oxidative cleavage of the alkene mixture with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ gave ketone 13. Addition of MeMgBr to the ketone in THF occurred selectively from the less hindered $\alpha$-face to afford the desired tertiary alcohol 14 in $64 \%$ yield from alkene $\mathbf{1 2}$. The spectral data of the cyclohexanol protons and carbons of $\mathbf{1 4}$ correspond closely to those of bisabosqual A(1), while those of $\mathbf{1 1}$ are quite different. In particular, MeCOH absorbs at
$\delta 1.25$ in $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\delta 1.31$ in $\mathbf{1}$, but at $\delta 0.87$ in the epimeric alcohol $\mathbf{1 1}$, in which the methyl group of the tertiary alcohol is in the shielding cone of the aromatic ring.

We then turned our attention to the preparation of 24, containing the unsaturated side chain of the bisabosquals. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{6}^{6}$ with $n$-BuLi in THF followed by addition of $6 E$ farnesal ${ }^{16}$ afforded $\mathbf{1 5}$ as an $E: Z$ mixture that partially decomposed on chromatography (see Scheme 3). Although benzylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 5}$ could be isolated in $73 \%$ yield, the overall yield of acetate $\mathbf{1 7}$ from 6 was higher when crude 15 was used for the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Cyclization of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and deprotection to give phenol $\mathbf{1 6}$ was achieved by heating $\mathbf{1 5}$ in a 1:6 mixture of 3 M aqueous hydrochloric acid and MeOH in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 3 h . The yield of $\mathbf{1 6}$ decreased at higher temperatures. Chromatographic purification was best carried out after acetylation of crude phenol 16 in $1: 1 \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pyridine for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give acetate 17. This three-step sequence afforded $90 \%$ pure 17 in $48 \%$ overall yield from 6 .

We were initially disappointed to find that epoxidation of either $\mathbf{1 6}$ or $\mathbf{1 7}$ with one equiv of $m$-CPBA occurred selectively on the side chain double bond rather than the desired cyclohexene double bond. However, on further consideration, epoxidation of the side chain double bond would protect this double bond during the oxidative cleavage of the exocyclic double bond of $\mathbf{2 0}$ that generates cyclohexanone $\mathbf{2 2}$.

We therefore epoxidized $\mathbf{1 7}$ with 2.5 equiv of $m$ - CPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give bis epoxide $\mathbf{1 8}$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers on the side chain epoxide. Hydrolysis of the acetate and cyclization with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min afforded tetracyclic alcohol 19 in $54 \%$ overall yield from dienyl acetate $\mathbf{1 7}$. The spectra of the two diastereomers of 19 were surprisingly different, suggesting that they might differ in the stereochemistry at one or more of the ring positions, rather than simply at the epoxide on the side chain. Fortunately, Cornforth reductive deoxygenation ${ }^{17}$ of the diastereomeric mixture with $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{NaI}$, and NaOAc in HOAc afforded a single compound establishing that only a mixture of side chain epoxides was present in 19.

Conversion of tertiary alcohol $\mathbf{1 9}$ to the exocyclic alkene $\mathbf{2 0}$ and then to ketone $\mathbf{2 2}$ proved much more challenging than for model $\mathbf{1 1}$ without the functionalized side chain. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 9}$ with MsCl and excess $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided $4: 1$ to $8: 1$ mixtures of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ in only 5$30 \%$ yield. Dehydration of $\mathbf{1 9}$ with Martin's sulfurane, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}$, in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ proceeded cleanly, but with considerable loss of regioselectivity, to give an inseparable $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$. Oxidative cleavage of the double bond of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4} / \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ with or without 2,6-lutidine, ${ }^{19}$ proceeded in low yield. Ozonolysis of the $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing pyridine at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by reduction with activated $\mathrm{Zn}^{20}$ provided epoxy ketone 22 in $43 \%$ overall yield from epoxy alcohol 19. Reductive deoxygenation of 22 by Cornforth's procedure ${ }^{17}$ with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{Cu}), \mathrm{NaI}$, and NaOAc in HOAc at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min afforded alkenyl ketone $\mathbf{2 3}$ in $53 \%$ yield. Slightly lower yields were obtained with activated Zn or $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{Ag})$ and much lower yields were obtained with unactivated Zn dust. Epoxy ketone 23 was obtained in $0-20 \%$ yield with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2} / \mathrm{Zn},{ }^{21} \mathrm{WCl}_{6} / \mathrm{BuLi},{ }^{22}$ or $\mathrm{NaI} / \mathrm{TMSCl}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} .{ }^{23}$

Addition of MeMgBr to ketone $\mathbf{2 3}$ in THF occurred selectively from the less hindered $\alpha$-face to afford the desired tertiary alcohol 24 in $78 \%$ yield. The spectral data of the cyclohexanol protons and carbons of $\mathbf{2 4}$ correspond closely to those of bisabosqual A (1), while those of $\mathbf{1 9}$ are quite different. In particular, MeCOH absorbs at $\delta 1.24$ in 24 and $\delta 1.31$ in $\mathbf{1}$, but at $\delta$ 0.86 and 0.87 in the two diastereomers of the epimeric epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{1 9}$, in which the methyl group of the tertiary alcohol is in the shielding cone of the aromatic ring (See Figure 1). The stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ of $\mathbf{2 4}$ was established by an NOE from $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ at $\delta 3.61$ to $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ at $\delta$ 1.73-1.64 and $\delta 1.61-1.52$, but not to $\mathrm{H}_{14}$ at $\delta 1.39$.

The only significant differences between the spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 4}$ occur for $\mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ (see Table 1 and Figure 1 for atom numbering scheme). The absorptions are further downfield in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ as expected, because the two aldehydes of $\mathbf{1}$ are electron withdrawing whereas the aromatic methyl group of $\mathbf{2 4}$ is electron donating. The methoxy protons of $\mathbf{2 5}$, which are analogous to $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ absorb at $\delta 3.93$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 24$ whereas the methoxy protons of 26, which are analogous to $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ of $\mathbf{2 4}$, absorb at $\delta 3.76$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} .{ }^{25}$


In conclusion, we have developed a short and efficient route to the tetracyclic core of the bisabosquals that effectively deals with the side chain unsaturation. We are currently adapting this to more highly functionalized resorcinols needed for the synthesis of the bisabosquals.

## 3. Experimental Section

## General procedures

NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise indicated. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$, coupling constants in Hz , and IR spectra in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

## $\alpha$-(2,6-Dimethyl-1,5-heptadienyl)-2,6-bis(methoxymeth-oxy)-4-methyl-benzenemethanol (8)

$n-\mathrm{BuLi}(6.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 10.6 mmol$)$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{6}^{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ (2 $\mathrm{g}, 9.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(88 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and citral (7) $(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction was then stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield $3.78 \mathrm{~g}(110 \%)$ of $\mathbf{8}$ as a $\approx 6: 4 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture that was about $90 \%$ pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.63 (s, 2), 5.900 (dd, $0.6 \times 1, J=10.9$, $9.1), 5.897$ (dd, $0.4 \times 1, J=12.2,9.1), 5.70(b r d, 0.4 \times 1, J=9.1), 5.68(b r d, 0.6 \times 1, J=9.1)$, 5.24-5.18 (m, 4), $5.06(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=6.7), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \times 1, J=10.9, \mathrm{OH}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \times 1, J=12.2$, $\mathrm{OH}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \times 6), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \times 6), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.08-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 2.08-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}$, 3), $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$.
(6aR,10aS)-rel-6a,7,8,10a-Tetrahydro-3,6,6,9,-tetra-methyl-6H-dibenzo[b,d]pyran-1-ol (9)
A 3 M solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(22 \mathrm{~mL}, 65 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of crude $\mathbf{8}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was heated in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give $1.48 \mathrm{~g}(104 \%)$ of crude 9. Flash chromatography of the residue on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (hexanes) gave 825 $\mathrm{mg}(58 \%)$ of tricycle 9 that was $90-95 \%$ pure. Further chromatography on non-deactivated silica gel resulted in decomposition to give less pure 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.24 (s, 1), 6.22 (br s, 1), 6.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), $4.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.58-3.53(\mathrm{br}, 1), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.00-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 1.74-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}$, 3), 1.52-1.42 (m, 1), $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 154.8, 153.8, 137.3, 135.0, 121.8, 110.7, 109.3, 108.7, 76.2, 40.0, 31.4, 29.7, 25.9, 25.2, 23.7, 20.9, 20.6; HRMS (DEI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 258.1620$, found 258.1612.

# (3R,3aR,9aR,9bS)-rel-2,3,3a,9,9a,9b-Hexahydro-3,6,9,9-tetramethyl-1 H-benzofuro[4,3,2-cde] 

 [1]benzopyran-3-ol (11)A solution of $m$-CPBA ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 1.357 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of $9(254 \mathrm{mg}, 0.984 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(54 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 12 h . The solvent was evaporated and the bright orange residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was then washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in MeOH (40 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $4 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(25.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The MeOH was evaporated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (hexanes) gave $224 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=8.6), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=$ 8.6, 6.7), 2.26 (s, 3), 1.97 (ddd, $1, J=11.6,6.7,6.1$ ), 1.74-1.65 (m, 2), 1.48-1.36 (m, 1), 1.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3$ ), 1.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3$ ), 0.96 (dddd, $1, J=14.3,11.0,11.0,4.3$ ), $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 161.2, 151.9, 140.4, 107.57, 107.51, 102.4, 93.6, 79.0, 73.2, 37.6, 35.2, 34.8, 26.7, 26.0, 24.6, 22.1, 19.3; HRMS (DEI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$274.1569, found 274.1558.

## (3aR,9aR,9bS)-rel-2,3,3a,9,9a,9b-Hexahydro-6,9,9-tri-methyl-3-methylene-1 H -benzofuro [4,3,2-cde][1]benzopyran (12)

$\mathrm{MsCl}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop-wise to a solution of $11(157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $25^{\circ}$ C and stirred for 14 h . The reaction was then quenched with 2 M HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (hexanes) gave 130 mg ( $89 \%$ ) of an $84: 16$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and the endocyclic isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (12) $6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 5.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=7.9), 5.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1), 4.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1), 3.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, 1$, $J=7.9,7), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.24(\mathrm{ddd}, 1, J=12,3,3), 2.00(\mathrm{ddd}, 1, J=12,6,6), 1.88-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$, $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 0.92-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1)$ : (partial data for endocyclic isomer) $5.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=$ 5.2 ), $5.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=8), 3.77-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 160.4, 151.9, 144.8, 140.0, $110.3,107.7,106.7,103.3,86.6,78.7,39.7,36.5,31.8,26.4,26.2,23.7,22.2$; HRMS (DEI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 256.1463$, found 256.1471.

## (3aR,9aR,9bS)-rel-1,2,3a,9,9a,9b-Hexahydro-6,9,9-tri-methyl-3H-benzofuro[4,3,2-cde][1] benzopyran-3-one (13)

$\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(42 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $2.5 \%$ solution in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1,1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Flash chromatography of the residue on MeOH -deactivated silica gel ( $9: 1$ hexanes-EtOAc) gave $19 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of $80 \%$ pure ketone 13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $6.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), $5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=7.3), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=7.3,7.3), 2.40-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.10-2.05(\mathrm{~m}$, 1), 1.46 (s, 3), $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.38-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 208.4, 160.5, 151.3, 141.1, 108.3, 104.6, $103.3,87.4,78.5,39.1,39.0,38.7,26.7,26.4,22.7,22.1$.

## (3S,3aR,9aR,9bS)-rel-2,3,3a,9,9a,9b-Hexahydro-3,6,9,9-tetramethyl-1 H-Benzofuro[4,3,2-cde] [1]benzopyran-3-ol (14)

$\mathrm{MeMgBr}(0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.214 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of partially purified ketone 13 (14 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h, quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted with EtOAc . The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (hexanes) gave $10.4 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 4}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.22
$(\mathrm{s}, 1), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 4.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=8.5), 3.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, 1, J=8.5,6), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.84(\mathrm{ddd}, 1, J$ $=11.6,6,6), 1.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1, J=11.6), 1.60-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$, 1.26-1.16 (m, 2); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 161.4, 151.6, 140.3, 108.1, 107.6, 101.4, 90.5, 78.8, 69.4, 38.6, 34.9, 34.1, 29.6, 26.7, 26.1, 22.2, 16.5; HRMS (DEI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 274.1569$, found 274.1570.

## $\alpha$-(2,6,10-Trimethyl-1,5,9-undecatrienyl)-2,6-bis(methoxymethoxy)-4-methylbenzenemethanol (15)

$n-\mathrm{BuLi}(7.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 11.2 mmol$)$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $6(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 mL ). The resulting solution was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 4 h . A THF solution $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ of a $2: 1$ mixture of $(2 E, 6 E)$ - and $(2 Z, 6 E)$ - farnesal ${ }^{16}(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2$ mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and the reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50$ mL ). The combined $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to give crude 15 (3.40 $\mathrm{g})$. A portion of the crude product ( 43 mg ) was purified by flash chromatography on $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ deactivated silica gel ( $15: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) to yield $\mathbf{1 5}(23 \mathrm{mg})$ as a mixture of cis and trans isomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 5.91(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9.2,9.2), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=9.2), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}$, 2), 5.11-5.04 (m, 2), 3.68-3.60 (m, 1, -OH), $3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.24-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.11-1.89$ (m, 7), $1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3), 1.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 154.8 (2 C), 138.7, 137.3, 135.1, 131.2, 126.6, 124.3 123.8, 118.6, 109.0 (2 C), 94.4 (2 C), 64.3, 56.2 (2 C), 39.64, 39.60, 26.6, 26.3, 25.6, 21.8, 17.6, 16.4, 15.9; IR (neat) $3318,2919,2854,1664,1611$; HRMS (EI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 432.2876$, found 432.2871 .

## (6S,6aR,10aS)-rel-6a,7,8,10a-Tetrahydro-3,6,9,-trimethyl-6-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-6H-dibenzo[b,d]pyran-1-yl Acetate (17)

Aqueous hydrochloric acid ( $30 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of crude $\mathbf{1 5}$ (3.38 g) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give crude 16.

Acetic anhydride ( 6 mL ) was added to a pyridine ( 6 mL ) solution of crude 16. The reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The resulting mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give crude 17. Flash chromatography on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (40:1 hexanes/EtOAc) yielded $1.41 \mathrm{~g}\left(48 \%\right.$ for three steps) of $90 \%$ pure 17 as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), $6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 5.86-5.81$ (br, 1), 5.07-5.00 (br, 1), 3.45-3.39 (br, 1), 2.33 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3$ ), $2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.06-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 1.81-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.37$ (s, 3); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR 169.0, 153.4, 149.8, 137.2, 135.3, 131.7, 123.9, 121.1, 115.9, 115.3, 115.2, 78.2, $37.4,37.0,31.2,29.6,25.7,23.7,22.9,22.3,21.4,20.9,20.2,17.4$; IR (neat) 2966, 2927, 1766; HRMS (Q-tof) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 369.2430$, found 369.2437.

## (1aR,3aR,4S,9bS,9cS)-rel-4-[(RS)-2-(3,3-Dimethyloxiranyl)ethyl]-1a,2,3a,4,9b,9c-hexahydro-1a,4,7-trimeth-yl-3H-oxireno[3,4]benzo[1,2-c][1]benzopyran-9-yl Acetate (18)

A solution of $m$-CPBA $(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 7}(630 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated. The residue was redissolved in $\mathrm{EtOAc}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give 558 mg of crude $\mathbf{1 8}$ as an oil, which was used directly in the next step. A portion of the crude product ( 28 mg ) was purified by flash chromatography on $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ deactivated silica gel (20:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to yield bis epoxide $\mathbf{1 8}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), 6.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), [3.16-3.14 (br, 1), 3.13-3.11 (br, 1)], [3.11
$(\mathrm{d}, 1, J=6.1), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6.1)],[2.75(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=6.1), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=6.1)],[2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.36$
$(\mathrm{s}, 3)], 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.03-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 9), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3),[1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3)], 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(169.48,169.43),(154.21,154.17),(149.57,149.54), 138.37,(115.79,115.76)$,
$(115.10,115.05),(112.81,112.80),(77.65,77.49),(64.27,64.17),(62.27,62.24),(58.41$,
$58.37),(58.14,58.09),(35.47,35.14), 34.00,32.34,(25.97,25.88), 24.80,(23.10,23.04)$,
$(22.75,22.56), 21.93,(21.05,21.03), 20.96,(19.38,19.28),(18.63,18.44) ;$ IR (neat) 2958 ,
2927,$1768 ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{tof})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 401.2328$, found 401.2327.

## (R,3aR,9S,9aR,9bS)-rel-9-[(RS)-2-(3,3-Dimethyloxiranyl)ethyl]-2,3,3a,9,9a,9b-hexahydro-3-hydroxy-3,6,9-trimethyl-1 H -benzofuro[4,3,2-cde][1]benzopyran-3-ol (19)

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a solution of crude $\mathbf{1 8}(548 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to give crude 19. Flash chromatography on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (3:2 hexanes/EtOAc) yielded $330 \mathrm{mg}\left(54 \%\right.$ from 17) of $\mathbf{1 9}$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ), $[6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1)],[4.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=8.0), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=8.0)],[3.71$ (dd, $1, J=8.0,7.4), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=8.0,7.4)]$, [2.69 (t, $1, J=6.1), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=6.1)], 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$, 2.08-2.00 (m, 2), 1.93-1.53 (m, 6), 1.52-1.29 (m, 1), [1.38 (s, 3), $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3)], 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.25$ (s, 3), 1.05-0.93 (m, 1), [0.87 (s, 3), $0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3)] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (161.23, 161.17), (151.64, 151.60), (140.48, 140.42), (107.57, 107.54), (107.44, 107.42), (102.58, 102.53), (93.74, 93.63), (80.71, 80.67), (73.15, 73.11), (64.23, 63.88), (58.49, 58.31), (35.98, 35.57), (34.97, 34.92), (34.88, $34.82)$, (34.79, 34.71), (24.77, 24.75), (24.48, 24.40), (23.63, 23.43), 22.37, (22.15, 22.11), (19.22, 19.16), (18.61, 18.57); IR (neat) 3432, 2946, 2870, 1623; HRMS (Q-tof) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 359.2222$, found 359.2221.

## (3aR,9S,9aR,9bS)-rel-9-[(RS)-2-(3,3-Dimethyloxiranyl)-ethyl]-1,2,3a,9,9a,9b-hexahydro-6,9-dimethyl-3H-benzofuro[4,3,2-cde][1]benzopyran-3-one (22)

A solution of Martin's sulfurane ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 9}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated to give a $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and 21.

The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was bubbled through it for 12 min while the reaction was monitored by TLC (every 60 seconds). The ozone flow was replaced by an air flow and the reaction was quenched with the addition of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(300 \mathrm{mg}\right.$, activated) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was slowly warmed to 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 2 h . The resulting mixture was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give crude 22. Flash chromatography on MeOH -deactivated silica gel ( $1: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) yielded $82 \mathrm{mg}(43 \%$ from 4$)$ of 22 as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $6.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1),[6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1)],[5.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=7.8), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J=7.8)],[4.07(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=7.8,7.2) .4 .02(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=7.8,7.2)],[2.71(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=6.0), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, 1$, $J=6.0)], 2.42-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.12-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 1.97-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5),[1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}$, 3)], 1.29 (s, 3), 1.27 (s, 3); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (208.25, 208.17), (160.56, 160.50), 151.10, (141.24, 141.18), (108.42, 108.30), (104.63, 104.51), (103.54, 103.51), (87.47, 87.43), 80.29, (64.11, $63.71)$, (58.55, 58.35), (38.78, 38.76), 38.66, (37.34, 37.05), (35.03, 34.81), (24.79, 24.77), (23.65, 23.48), (22.77, 22.71), (22.66, 22.50), 22.15, (18.67, 18.64); IR (neat) 2966, 2928, 1732, 1624; HRMS (Q-tof) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 343.1909$, found 343.1917.
(3aR,9S,9aR,9bS)-rel-9-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-1,2,3a,9,-9a,9b-hexahydro-6,9-dimethyl-3H-benzofuro[4,3,2-cde]-[1]benzopyran-3-one (23)

A mixture of sodium acetate ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium iodide ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and zinc-copper couple ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $22(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in
acetic acid $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give crude 23. Flash chromatography on MeOH -deactivated silica gel ( $5: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) yielded 36 mg ( $53 \%$ ) of pure 23 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 5.06(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=7.2), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J$ $=7.6), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=7.6,6.4), 2.42-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.18-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3), 1.77-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, 2), $1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.34-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 208.3, 160.5, 151.3, 141.1, $132.2,123.4,108.4,104.7,103.3,87.5,80.8,38.9,38.7,38.4,36.9,25.6,22.8,22.7,22.4,22.1$, 17.7; IR (neat) 2966, 2917, 1730, 1623; HRMS (Q-tof) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$327.1960, found 327.1965 .

## (3S,3aR,9S,9aR,9bS)-rel-9-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-2,3,-3a,9,9a,9b-hexahydro-3-hydroxy-3,6,9-trimethyl-1 H-benzofuro[4,3,2-cde][1]benzopyran-3-ol (24)

$\mathrm{MeMgBr}(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene/THF, 0.33 mmol$)$ was added to a solution of $23(27 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to give crude 24. Flash chromatography on MeOH -deactivated silica gel (15:1 hexanes/EtOAc) yielded 22 mg ( $78 \%$ ) of pure 24 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.20 (s, 1), 6.17 (s, 1), 5.04 (t, 1, $\left.J=7.6, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=8.5, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=8.5,6.1, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.12-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, 2, $2 \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), 1.96-1.88 (m, 1, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), 1.75-1.45 (m, 4, $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.39$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 1.33-1.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3, \mathrm{H}_{15}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 161.4, 151.6, 140.3, 131.9, 123.7, 108.1, 107.7, 101.4, 90.5, 81.0, 69.5, 38.3, 36.5, 35.1, 33.7, 29.8, 25.6, 22.5, 22.4, 22.2, 17.6, 16.4; IR (neat) $3442,2965,1716,1626$; HRMS (EI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 342.2195$, found 342.2201 . The relative stereochemistry of the side chain of 24 was established by a 1D NOESY experiment. Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ at $\delta 3.61$ showed an NOE to $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ at $\delta 1.73-1.64$ and $\delta$ 1.61-1.52, but not to $\mathrm{H}_{14}$ at $\delta 1.39$.
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Figure 1.
3-Dimensional structures of 19 and 24


Scheme 1.
Retrosynthetic analysis of bisabosqual A.


Scheme 2.
Synthesis of tetracyclic model 14.


Scheme 3.
Synthesis of alkenyl-substituted tetracyclic model 24.

Table 1
Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of bisabosqual A (1) and tetracyclic model 24

|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR |  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 24 | 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 1.55, 1.28 | 1.8-1.4, 1.3-1.1 | 16.3 | 16.4 |
| 2 | 1.79, 1.21 | 1.8-1.4, 1.3-1.1 | 34.9 | 35.1 |
| 3 |  |  | 69.1 | 69.5 |
| 4 | 4.97 (d, 8.8) | 4.69 (d, 8.5) | 93.8 | 90.5 |
| 5 | 3.66 (dd, 8.8, 6.6) | 3.61 (dd, 8.5, 6.1) | 33.3 | 33.7 |
| 6 | 2.05 | 1.96-1.88 | 35.9 | 36.5 |
| 7 |  |  | 83.5 | 81.0 |
| 8 | 1.67, 1.57 | 1.8-1.4 | 38.7 | 38.3 |
| 9 | 2.08 | 2.12-2.03 | 22.2 | 22.4 |
| 10 | 5.03 | 5.04 | 123.1 | 123.7 |
| 11 |  |  | 132.5 | 131.9 |
| 12 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 25.6 | 25.6 |
| 13 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 17.6 | 17.6 |
| 14 | 1.46 | 1.39 | 22.1 | 22.2 |
| 15 | 1.31 | 1.24 | 29.5 | 29.8 |
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