

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

*Biomaterials*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 02.

## Published in final edited form as:

Biomaterials. 2007 December ; 28(35): . doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.08.041.

# **Designing Hydrogel Adhesives for Corneal Wound Repair**

#### Mark W. Grinstaff

Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Chemistry, Metcalf Center for Science and Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

# Abstract

Today, corneal wounds are repaired using nylon sutures. Yet there are a number of complications associated with suturing the cornea, and thus there is interest in an adhesive to replace or supplement sutures in the repair of corneal wounds. We are designing and evaluating corneal adhesives prepared from dendrimers – single molecular weight, highly branched polymers. We have explored two strategies to form these ocular adhesives. The first involves a photocrosslinking reaction and the second uses a peptide ligation reactions to couple the individual dendrimers together to from the adhesive. These adhesives were successfully used to repair corneal perforations, close the flap produced in a LASIK procedure, and secure a corneal transplant.

#### Keywords

cornea; wound healing; hydrogel; adhesive; ophthalmology

# Introduction

Repair of wounds after traumatic or surgical injury is of significant clinical and research importance. The cornea of the eye is one such site where tissue remodeling after injury is critical since the cornea serves an important role in refracting and focusing light rays necessary for clear vision. The cornea possesses the unique characteristics of an orderly arrangement of stromal collagen fibrils and a lack of blood vessels that result in transparency. Inadequate healing of the cornea after injury can result in decreased or loss of vision. Consequently, new surgical materials, instruments, and clinical procedures are being developed to improve patient care. My laboratory designs, prepares, and evaluates new polymer-based hydrogel sealants for the repair of corneal wounds.

Corneal wounds arise from surgical procedures (e.g., transplants, incisions for cataract removal and intraocular lens implantation, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis), infections (e.g., ulcers), and traumatic injury (e.g., lacerations, perforations). Today, these wounds are repaired using nylon sutures. Depending on the pattern and extent of injury in a corneal wound, multiple sutures are often needed to realign the edges of damaged tissue in an effort to restore the structural integrity of the cornea. Yet, sutures are not ideal because the suture material does not actively participate in healing and the procedure is inherently invasive.<sup>1-4</sup> The specific drawbacks of using sutures include: 1) placement of the sutures inflicts additional trauma to corneal tissue, especially when multiple passes are needed; 2) sutures

<sup>© 2007</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

mgrin@bu.edu, tel: 617-358-3429, fax: 617-358-3186.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

can act as a nidus for infection and incite corneal inflammation and vascularization. With persistent inflammation and vascularization, the propensity for corneal scarring increases; 3) corneal suturing often yields uneven healing resulting in a regular or an irregular astigmatism; 4) sutures are also prone to becoming loose and/or broken postoperatively and require additional attention for prompt removal; 5) sutures require removal by an ophthalmologist, often months after the operation. Each time a suture is removed, trauma occurs and there is a new opportunity for infection; and 6) suturing requires an acquired technical skill that can vary widely from surgeon to surgeon, thus influencing the time and success of the operation. Consequently, there is clinical interest in an adhesive to replace or supplement sutures in the repair of corneal wounds and - in fact - in all ophthalmic wounds.

It is estimated that globally more than 12 million procedures per year use nylon sutures to close ocular wounds, including an estimated 2 million corneal wounds each year in the US. There are precedents for the use of adhesives. For example, cyanoacrylate glues were reported in the 1960s' by Webster *et al.* for the repair of corneal perforations.<sup>5</sup> However, these glues have limitations with regard to their ease of application and effectiveness. A number of complications have been reported such as cataract formation, corneal infiltration, granulomatous keratitis, glaucoma, and retinal toxicity.<sup>6-14</sup> Cyanoacrylate glues are used "off-label" but have proven to be an effective therapeutic option in certain ophthalmic settings such as sealing small corneal perforations (1 mm) and preemptive treatment of progressive corneal thinning disorders.<sup>5,7,15-19</sup>

An effective polymer adhesive for repairing corneal wounds must meet a number of design requirements. An ideal adhesive should: 1) adhere to the moist corneal surface and seal the wound to withstand high intraocular pressures (> 80 mm Hg); 2) possess rheological properties that allow for controlled and rapid placement (viscosity < 100 cP); 3) rapidly cure to seal the corneal wound in a controlled ma nner (< 30 s); 4) quickly restore the intraocular pressure (< 24 hrs); 5) maintain the structural integrity of the eye; 6) possess a refractive index matching that of the native cornea (1.42); 7) possess solute diffusion properties favorable for normal corneal healing (>  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s for small molecules/nutrients); 8) be biocompatible; 9) be more elastic than corneal tissue so as to disfavor formation of an astigmatism during healing; 10) provide a microbial barrier (2-3 days); and 11) be bioabsorbed or exuded from the wound on a time scale consistent with tissue regeneration (days to months depending on the application).

# Dendrimers

To meet these design requirements for an adhesive, we need a polymer and resulting crosslinked network that can be tuned, both at the molecular and macroscopic scales. A polymer type that lends itself to optimization due to precise control of composition, and tunable properties is a dendrimer. Dendrimers are unique macromolecules and quite different than the more common linear polymers. A schematic of a linear and a dendrimer polymer are shown in Figure 1. Dendrimers are highly branched polymers possessing three main structural zones consisting of a central core, internal branching layers, and peripheral groups.<sup>20-28</sup> The branched structure of dendrimers affords a globular, three-dimensional macromolecular shape with a multitude of end groups. A linear polymer does not possess this globular structure in solution and has only two end groups. As shown in Figure 1, the repeat unit in the dendrimer is branched. Each layer in the dendrimer is termed a generation (G) and thus as a dendrimer becomes larger it has a higher number of generations (e.g., G1 vs. G4). Within the structure, a single branch is called a dendron. Additionally, the synthesis and the choice of monomers is highly flexible, since these macromolecules are synthesized in a repetitive manner by either a divergent, <sup>29-32</sup> (from core to periphery) or convergent,<sup>28,33-36</sup> (from periphery to core) approach. An illustration of a divergent

Our specific research interest is in degradable and biocompatible dendrimers. We have reported the synthesis and characterization of polyester, polyester-ether, and polyamide dendrimers and dendrons composed of biocompatible building blocks.<sup>37-48</sup> These dendritic polymers are termed "biodendrimers". Examples of a generation four poly(,,) dendrimer terminated with hydroxyl groups ([**G4]-P** a-OH), a generation three dendritic-linear copolymer terminated with hydroxyl groups (([**G3]-P** a-OH), a generation three dendritic-linear copolymer terminated with hydroxyl groups (([**G3]-P** a-OH), a generation two lysine-cysteine dendron ([**G2]-** and) are shown in Figure 3. Cytotoxicity studies show that the polymers are non-toxic with responses similar to non-treated controls. These data are consistent with the literature reports<sup>49,50</sup> that describe low toxicity with dendritic polymers possessing OH, CO<sub>2</sub>H, and PEG end groups. Positively-charged groups such as amines generally demonstrate dose-dependent toxicity.

#### Crosslinking strategies to adhesives

Subsequent crosslinking of these dendritic macromolecules affords hydrogel adhesives. The crosslinked hydrogel adhesives are transparent, pliable, and soft. A photograph of one such hydrogel is shown in figure 4. Hydrogel networks can be formed using two strategies. In the first approach, the end groups of the dendritic macromolecule are modified to contain an acrylate or other free-radical polymerization group.<sup>39</sup> Upon exposure to visible light the acrylated-modified dendritic macromolecule, which is dissolved in aqueous solution containing a small quantity of a photoinitiating system, crosslinks to form a hydrogel (Figure 4). This visible photoinitiating system comprises eosin Y, 1-vinyl-pyrrilidinone, and triethanol amine. Photolysis of the solution using an argon ion laser (514 nm) initiates the free radical polymerization of the methacrylate moieties on the dendritic polymer. This initiating system has been previously used and shown to be non-toxic.<sup>51-54</sup> This *in situ* photocrosslinking approach which delivers a liquid polymer to a site followed by solidification of the polymer via light to form a three-dimensional hydrogel network is an exciting modality being explored by many groups.<sup>55-57</sup>

Alternatively, the end groups of the dendritic macromolecule are decorated with nucleophiles and subsequently reacted with another polymer containing electrophiles. Of particular interest to us, is the identification of nucleophile-electrophile crosslinking chemistry which would occur rapidly at 37 °C under neutral aqueous conditions without the generation of side-products. Moreover the reaction needs to be chemoselective and possess a high tolerance to a range of other chemical functionalities (e.g., amines, thiols, carboxylates) which may be present under the conditions of crosslinking. Consequently, we selected a peptide ligation reaction and have recently used such chemistry to prepare a hydrogel.<sup>46</sup> Specifically, we mixed aqueous solutions of a dendron containing *N*-terminal cysteines and a PEG-dialdehyde ( -DA) to afford a crosslinked network via formation of thiazolidine linkages throughout the hydrogel (Figures 3 and 4).<sup>46</sup> This mild procedure involving a thiol and amine reacting with an aldehyde has been applied successfully to the synthesis of a variety of proteins.<sup>58-62</sup> These two crosslinking strategies have been used to repair a variety of corneal wounds, as described below.

#### Corneal wounds

Repairing corneal lacerations, sealing clear corneal incisions, securing corneal transplants, and closing LASIK flaps are four ophthalmic indications where the use of an adhesive is advantageous. We will focus our discussion to corneal lacerations and clear corneal incisions. Corneal lacerations which are caused by trauma, infection, or inflammation are an ophthalmic emergency that can lead to loss of vision. A clear corneal incision is the wound made during a cataract procedure. Today, surgeons break up and remove the cataract using ultrasound energy and implant a synthetic intraocular lens, all through this incision in the cornea. In the following text, we will describe two of the dendritic macromolecule adhesive formulations we have developed and their use to repair corneal wounds.

#### Photochemical crosslinkable adhesives

We first examined a photocrosslinkable biodendrimer adhesive for repairing 4.1 mm linear corneal lacerations.<sup>39,40,63</sup> The specific polymer used was a dendritic linear copolymer composed of PEG, glycerol, and succinic acid like the one shown in Figure 3. The polymer was modified to contain terminal methacrylate (MA) groups and dissolved in a neutral aqueous solution. Upon exposure of  $([G1]-P - MA)_{2} - W = 0$  to visible light a crosslinked network is formed. A keratome knife was used to create 4.1 mm full-thickness linear incisions in the central cornea of enucleated human eyes. In this ex vivo experiment, the wound was closed using either three interrupted 10-0 nylon sutures in a standard 3-1-1 suturing configuration or the photocrosslinkable dendritic macromolecule. Application of 20  $\mu$ L of the photocrosslinkable adhesive to the laceration followed by irradiation with visible light rendered the hydrogel which sealed the wound (Figure 5; argon ion laser, 514 nm, 200 mW, 1 sec exposures; 60 sec total irradiation time; the polymer solution contained ethyl eosin in 1-vinyl pyrrolidinone and TEA as photoinitiator and co-catalyst, respectively). The eye globes were then inflated with saline solution while the intraocular pressure was monitored via a pressure transducer until fluid leaked from each eye. Using this procedure an initial study was conducted with different gene rations of the dendritic macromolecule (i.e., ([G0]-P an-MA)2- m, ([G1]-P an-MA)2- m, ([G2]-P an-MA)2- m, and ([G3]-P an-MA)2- m) and it was found that the adhesive formed from ([G1]-P ...-MA)2- ... performed the best. This adhesive gave a tight seal and was easily applied and subsequently crosslinked. The smaller generation dendrimers did not crosslink effectively under the operating conditions to give a leak-tight seal and the larger dendrimer gelled, but then delaminated from the surface without forming an adequate seal. For globes that received a linear incision in the experiment, the mean leaking pressure was 79 mm Hg for the suture group and 110 mm Hg for the ([G1]-P an-MA)2- m adhesive group. Control globes that received only dendritic polymer but no photocrosslinking or photocrosslinking alone did not seal the wound. The difference in leakage pressures was significant relative to 34 mm Hg, the IOP under stressful physiologic conditions, e.g. coughing, valsalva maneuver. The adhesive withstood pressures well above normal physiological intraocular pressure of about 12 mm Hg. This adhesive was also effective for repairing 4.1 mm stellate corneal perforations, closing the flap produced in a LASIK procedure, and securing a corneal transplant ex vivo.<sup>63,6465</sup>

With these successes, we initiated an *in vivo* study to compare the clinical and histological healing response of corneal lacerations repaired by either traditional sutures or the light-activated dendritic adhesive (**[G1]-P** as MA)<sub>2</sub>- m. In vivo corneal wound healing studies have been previously performed on primates, <sup>66,67</sup> cats, <sup>68</sup> dogs, <sup>69</sup> rats, <sup>70</sup> chickens, and rabbits.<sup>71,72</sup> Rabbits have been the most commonly used animals for these types of *in vivo* experiments, <sup>71,72</sup> however, the rabbit cornea heals rapidly compared to the human eye and the rabbit cornea does not possess a Bowman's membrane. Thus, we selected the chicken model (White Leghorn Chicken; *Gallus gallus domesticus*) to test the efficacy of this

adhesive since the chicken cornea is similar to the human cornea and the healing response is similar to humans.<sup>73,74</sup> It is only recently that the chicken model is becoming a preferred model, 73,75,76 and the Commission of the European Communities has recently recognized the chicken eye as a preferred model for assessing eye irritation.<sup>77</sup> After the 4 mm fullthickness linear corneal wounds were made in the right eye of white leghorn chickens, half of the animals, 30, received approximately 20 µL of the ([G1]-P ...-MA)<sub>2</sub>- ... adhesive solution and the other half, 30, received 3 interrupted 10-0 nylon sutures. Healing of corneal perforations following treatment was evaluated clinically for up to 28 days in the 60 chickens. At 6 hours and 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after surgery, slit-lamp examination of corneal healing and Seidel tests for wound leaking were performed. No evidence of a toxic response to the procedure or the biodendrimer adhesive was observed during the clinical examinations indicating good biocompatibility. Animals were sacrificed at days 1, 3, 7, and 28. Histological examination was performed to determine the time course and extent of corneal healing. All wounds were confirmed to be Seidel positive and not selfsealing incisions. Histological studies performed after post-operative day zero also confirmed the lacerations were not self-sealing. The anterior chambers quickly refilled, following the application and photopolymerization of ([G1]-P ...-MA)2- ..., or suture placement. Seidel tests confirmed that by post-operative day two, all of the adhesive and suture treated eyes were Seidel negative – that is the wound was sealed and did not leak (Figure 6). More corneal haze was evident in the sutured group on post-operative day one with subsequent scarring evident at all later days when compared to the adhesive group. By post-operative day one, all anterior chambers had formed. The adhesive was visibly present in most of the treated eyes on post-operative days one through seven, but had disappeared by day 14.

Histological studies were performed to determine the time course and extent of corneal healing on all chicken eyes at 1, 3, 7, or 28 days after application of the adhesive or sutures. Histological sections at day 28 for the adhesive and suture are shown in Figure 7. The photocrosslinkable (**[G1]-P**  $_{\text{sw}}$ -MA)<sub>2</sub>- $_{\text{sw}}$  adhesive completely sealed 97% (28/29) of the linear lacerations on post-operative day one and all wounds were Seidel negative by postoperative day two. Initially, the sutured wounds appeared to form a more stable wound histologically at day 3. However, between days three and seven the wounds from both groups looked similar except that the interface between the epithelial and stromal layers was more uniform with the adhesive. At day 28, the wounds sealed with the adhesive appeared histologically more complete. A more uniform stromal layer, no detachments between the stromal and epithelial layer, and no overlapping of the Bowman's layer was observed compared to the wounds treated with sutures.

# Peptide ligation crosslinkable adhesives

In the course of performing these in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo experiments, it became evident that an adhesive that did not require light for crosslinking and formation would also be of interest to clinicians. Ease of use, simplicity of application procedure, and reducing procedural risks are three important milestones to achieve, when the goal is to translate basic research to the clinic. As mentioned above, it was important to identify mild crosslinking chemistry which occurs rapidly in aqueous solution at pH 7 and at 37 °C and is chemoselective. Thus, we are investigating the use of a thiazolidine linkage which is formed between an *N*-terminal cysteine and an aldehyde; this reaction belongs to a family of peptide liga tion reactions.<sup>58-62</sup> For this approach to work, we need a dendritic polymer which contains 3 or more *N*-terminal cysteines and a PEG which contains at least two terminal aldehyde groups. Aldehyde-based adhesives have been explored for ophthalmic use including a condroitin sulfate and a PEG-PLL micelle systems as well as there is a commercial product used for cardiovascular surgery.<sup>78-80</sup> Thus, we prepared a G2 lysine

based dendron with terminal cysteines (**[G2]**- **...**) and a PEG di-aldehyde ( **...**-DA) as shown in Figures 3 and 4. A hydrogel is formed upon mixing an aqueous solution of the two polymers as a result of the thiazolidine linkages.

With this hydrogel formulation in hand, we decided to evaluate this adhesive for sealing clear corneal incisions – the wound made during a cataract procedure. Cataract removal is the most commonly performed ophthalmic surgical procedure, and this number is expected to increase with the aging demographics. At the conclusion of the procedure, this clear corneal incision is either left alone to "self-seal" or closed with nylon sutures. As mentioned earlier, suturing has a number of drawbacks but so does the "self-seal" approach. These risks include leakage and increased endophthalmitis with an occurrence rate of 0.3.<sup>81,82</sup> To determine whether this hydrogel sealant would secure a clear corneal incisions, we performed a series of experiments on enucleated eyes to evaluate the leaking pressures of self-sealed, suture, or hydrogel sealant-repaired incisions.

For this ex vivo experiment, a 3 mm clear corneal linear incision was made in an enucleated eye. This wound was either left to self-seal, closed using one interrupted 10-0 nylon suture mixed quickly at room temperature and then  $\approx 20 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the hydrogel adhesive was applied to the incision. Figure 8 shows a 3 mm clear corneal wound leaking before treatment as well as a sealed wound repaired using the hydrogel adhesive. Within 5 minutes of repairing the incision, saline was injected in the anterior chamber via a syringe pump until the repaired incision leaked. In this ex vivo study, the mean leaking pressure for the self-seal (n=7) and suture (n=2) treated eyes were  $24 \pm 8$  and  $54 \pm 16$  mm Hg, respectively. The leaking pressure for the eyes repaired with hydrogel adhesive (n=8) was  $184 \pm 79$  mm Hg. The incision is not sealed using only the dendron or ...-DA hydrogel precursors. The larger dendron performed well and was easier to synthesize. The hydrogel adhesive secures the clear corneal incision and withstands higher pressures and stresses placed on a wound than conventional suture or self-sealed treated wounds. The procedure with the hydrogel adhesive is facile and requires less surgical time than conventional suturing (4 to 6 times), does not inflict additional tissue trauma, and does not require additional instruments (e.g., laser) to prepare the crosslinked adhesive. Using this crosslinking strategy, we have also closed LASIK flaps and repaired corneal lacerations. The crosslinked hydrogel adhesive is transparent, adhesive, elastic, hydrophilic, and acts a physical protective barrier to the ocular surface.

# Conclusion

In summary, *in situ* polymerizing hydrogel-based adhesives have been developed and evaluated for the repair of corneal wounds. The use of crosslinkable dendritic macromolecules for this application is advantageous since the high level of molecular control enables precise designing and prototyping of the macromolecule as a macromer. Both the photocrosslinking and nucleophile-electrophile crosslinking strategies afford hydrogels that are adhesive, transparent, elastic, hydrophilic, and soft. An advantage of the photocrosslinking approach is the ability to crosslink and adhere tissue only where the clinician directs the light. However, the limitation is the potential risks with ocular damage when using light. The self-gelling approach eliminates the need for light and will crosslink upon placement on the tissue. The specific crosslinking chemistry based on peptide ligation is attractive over previous systems such as N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) or malimide because no by-products are generated, the reaction is performed at neutral pH, and the reaction is chemoselective (i.e., only coupling between the correct partners). These hydrogel adhesives can be used alone or in conjunction with a reduced number of sutures to secure

the corneal wound interface. The dendritic macromolecules used to form these hydrogel adhesives belong to a class of macromolecules composed of biocompatible building blocks which are highly branched and have multiple end groups. This system enables efficient crosslinking, varied hydrogel properties, and aqueous polymer solutions of the adhesive formulation for application to a wound site.

In my opinion, where are we today? I believe that a corneal adhesive has the potential to change clinical practice and improve patient care. While we do not yet have a corneal adhesive that a clinician can use sitting on the shelf in his/her office, we have made significant progress. Also, we have a better understanding of the performance requirements needed for such an adhesive. Academic and industrial scientists are working on this advancement. Will there be a suitable product on the market within three to five years? The answer must be yes – patients need one. As an interdisciplinary team composed of chemists, engineers, and ophthalmic surgeons, we are committed to develop a corneal adhesive for use in the clinic.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH. I wish to thank my long-term collaborator Dr. Terry Kim and his fellows who worked on this project Drs. M. Starck Johnson, Pil Jung, Paul C. Kang, Jitek Kim, Johannes Kristinsson, Crystan Middleton, and Andrew Velazques at the Duke Eye Center for their time, surgical expertise, and interest in evaluating tissue adhesives for corneal wound repair. I also thank my graduate students and postdoctoral fellows for their hard work and dedication to this project: Jason Berlin, Michael A. Carnahan, Lovorka Degoricija, Nathanael R. Luman, Abigal Oelker, Kimberly A. Smeds, and Dr. Michel Wathier.

# References

- Nirankari VS, Karesh JW, Richards RD. Complications of exposed monofilament sutures. Am J Ophthalmol. 1983; 95:515–519. [PubMed: 6837695]
- Shahinian LJ, Brown SI. Postoperative complications with protruding monofilament nylon sutures. Am J Ophthalmol. 1977; 83:546–548. [PubMed: 326051]
- Varley GA, Meisler DM. Complications of penetrating keratoplasty: Graft infections. Refract Corneal Surg. 1991; 7:62–66. [PubMed: 2043548]
- 4. Binder PS. Selective suture removal can reduce postkeratoplasty astigmatism. Ophthalmology. 1985; 92:1412–1416. [PubMed: 3906491]
- 5. Webster RG, Slansky HH, Refojo MM, Boruchoff SA, Dohlman CH. The use of adhesive for the closure of corneal perforations. Arch Ophthalmol. 1968; 80:705–709. [PubMed: 5699321]
- Hyndiuk RA, Hull DS, Kinyoun JL. Free tissue patch and cyanoacrylate in corneal perforations. Ophthalmic Surg. 1974; 5:50–55. [PubMed: 4616198]
- 7. Weiss JL, Williams P, Lindstrom RL, Doughman DJ. The use of tissue adhesive in corneal perforations. Ophthalmology. 1983; 90:610–615. [PubMed: 6888854]
- Leahey AB, Gottsch JD, Stark WJ. Clinical experience with n-butyl cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive. Ophthalmology. 1993; 100:173–180. [PubMed: 8437823]
- Carlson AN, Wilhelmus KR. Giant papillary conjunctivitis associated with cyanoacrylate glue. Am J Ophthalmol. 1987; 104:437–438. [PubMed: 3661662]
- Hida T, Sheta SM, Proia AD, et al. Retinal toxicity of cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive in the rabbit. Retina. 1988; 8:148–153. [PubMed: 3420314]
- Seelenfreund MH, Refojo MF, Schepens CL. Sealing choroidal perforations with cyanoacrylate adhesives. Arch Ophthalmol. 1970; 83:619–625. [PubMed: 5442154]
- 12. Girard LJ, Cobb S, Reed T, Williams B, Minaya J. Surgical adhesives and bonded contact lenses: An experimental study. Ann Ophthalmol. 1969; 1:65.
- 13. Siegal JE, Zaidman GW. Surgical removal of cyanoacrylate adhesive after accidental instillation in the anterior chamber. Ophthalmic Surg. 1989; 20:179–181. [PubMed: 2652021]

Page 7

- Honig, MA.; Rapuano, CJ. Cornea. Krachmer, JH.; Mannis, MJ.; Holland, EJ., editors. Mosby; St. Louis, MO: 1997. p. 1815-1832.
- 15. Fogle JA, Kenyon KR, Foster CS. Tissue adhesive arrests stromal melting in the human cornea. Am J Ophthalmol. 1980; 89:795–802. [PubMed: 7386556]
- Hirst LW, Smiddy WE, Stark WJ. Corneal perforations: Changing methods of treatment, 1960-1980. Ophthalmology. 1982; 89:630–635. [PubMed: 7122042]
- Hirst LW, Smiddy WE, de Juan E. Tissue adhesive therapy for corneal perforations. Aust J Ophthalmol. 1983; 11:113–118. [PubMed: 6870685]
- Refojo MF, Dohlman CH, Ahmad B, Carroll MD, Allen JC. Evaluation of adhesives for corneal surgery. Arch Ophthalmol. 1968; 80:645–656. [PubMed: 4879226]
- 19. Taravella MJ, Chang CD. 2-octyl cyanoacrylate medical adhesive in treatment of a corneal perforation. Cornea. 2001; 20:220–221. [PubMed: 11248835]
- Fréchet JMJ. Dendrimers and supramolecular chemistry. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2002; 99:4782–4787. [PubMed: 11959930]
- Fischer M, Vögtle F. Dendrimers: From design to application a progress report. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1999; 38:884–905.
- Bosman AW, Janssen HM, Meijer EW. About dendrimers: Structure, physical properties, and applications. Chem Rev. 1999; 99:1665–1688. [PubMed: 11849007]
- Zeng F, Zimmerman SC. Dendrimers in supramolecular chemistry: From molecular recognition to self-assembly. Chem Rev. 1997; 97:1681–1712. [PubMed: 11851463]
- Mathews OA, Shipway AN, Stoddart JF. Dendrimers-branching out from curiosities into new technologies. Prog Polym Sci. 1998; 23:1–56.
- Newkome GR, Moorefield CN, Keith JN, Baker GR, Escamilla GH. Chemistry within a unimolecular micelle precursor-boron superclusters by site-specific and depth-specific transformations of dendrimers. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1994; 33:666–668.
- 26. Newkome, GR.; Moorefield, CN.; Vögtle, F. Dendritic molecules: Concepts, syntheses, perspectives. VCH; New York: p. 1996
- 27. Tomalia DA, Fréchet JMJ. Discovery of dendrimers and dendritic polymers: A brief historical perspective. J Poly Sci Pol Chem. 2002; 40:2719–2728.
- 28. Hawker CJ, Frechet JM. Preparation of polymers with controlled molecular architecture. A new convergent approach to dendritic macromolecules. J Am Chem Soc. 1990; 112:7638–7647.
- Newkome GR, Yao Z, Baker GR, Gupta VK. Casacade molecules: A new approach to micelles. J Org Chem. 1985; 50:2003–2004.
- Tomalia DA, Baker H, Deward J, Hall M, Kallos G, Martin S, Reck J, Ryder J, Smith P. A new class of polymers: Starburst-dendritic macroolecules. Polymer Journal. 1985; 17:117–132.
- Ihre H, De Jesus OLP, Fréchet JMJ. Fast and convenient divergent synthesis of aliphatic ester dendrimers by anhydride coupling. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:5908–5917. [PubMed: 11414823]
- 32. Lambrych KR, Gitsov I. Linear-dendritic poly(ester)-block-poly(ether)-block-poly(ester) aba copolymers constructed by a divergent growth method. Macromolecules. 2003; 36:1068–1074.
- Bharathi P. ea. Improvements in the synthesis of phenylacetylene monodendrons including a solidphase convergent method. Macromolecuels. 1995; 28:5955–5963.
- Ihre H, Hult A, Fréchet JMJ. Double-stage convergent approach for the synthesis of functionalized dendritic aliphatic polyesters based on 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)proponic acid. Macromolecules. 1998; 31:4061–4068.
- Hawker CJ, Fréchet JMJ. A new convergent approach to monodisperse dendritic macromolecules. J Chem Soc Chem Commun. 1990:1010–1013.
- Zhang W, et al. Orthogonal, convergent syntheses of dendrimers based on melamine with one or two unique surface site for manipulation. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:8914–8922. [PubMed: 11552798]
- Carnahan MA, Grinstaff MW. Synthesis and characterization of polyether-ester dendrimers from glycerol and lactic acid. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:2905–2906. [PubMed: 11456987]
- Carnahan MA, Grinstaff MW. Synthesis and characterization of poly(glycerol-succinic acid) dendrimers. Macromolecules. 2001; 34:7648–7655.

- Carnahan MA, Middleton C, Kim J, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Hybrid dendritic-linear polyesterethers for in situ photopolymerization. J Am Chem Soc. 2002; 124:5291–5293. [PubMed: 11996569]
- 40. Grinstaff MW. Biodendrimers: New polymeric materials for tissue engineering. Chem Eur J. 2002; 8:2838–2846.
- 41. Carnahan MA, Grinstaff MW. Synthesis of controlled layered polyester dendrimers composed of glycerol and succinic or adipic acid. Macromolecules. 2006; 39:609–616.
- Degoricija L, Carnahan MA, Johnson CS, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Synthesis and characterization of bola-type amphiphilic dendritic macromolecules. Macromolecules. 2006; 39:8952–8958.
- 43. Luman NR, Smeds KA, Grinstaff MW. The convergent synthesis of poly(glycerol-succinic acid) dendritic macromolecules. Chem Eur J. 2003; 9:5618–5626. [PubMed: 14639645]
- Luman NR, Grinstaff MW. Synthesis and aqueous aggregation properties of amphiphilic surfaceblock dendrimers. Org Lett. 2005; 7:4863–4866. [PubMed: 16235908]
- 45. Luman NR, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Dendritic polymers composed of glycerol and succinic acid: Synthetic methodologies and medical applications. Pure App Chem. 2004; 76:1375–1385.
- Wathier M, Jung PJ, Carnahan MA, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Dendritic macromers as in situ polymerizing biomaterials for securing cataract incisions. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:12744– 12745. [PubMed: 15469247]
- 47. Wathier M, Johnson SM, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Hydrogels formed by multiple peptide ligation reactions to fasten corneal transplants. Bioconjugate Chem. 2006; 17:873–876.
- Wathier M, Johnson MS, Carnahan MA, Baer C, McCuen BM, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. In situ polymerized hydrogels for repairing scleral incisions used in pars plana vitrectomy procedures. ChemMedChem. 2006; 1:821–825. [PubMed: 16902936]
- 49. Hong S, Leroueil PR, Janus EK, Peters JL, Kober MM, Islam MT, Orr BG, Baker JR Jr, Banaszak Holl MM. Interaction of poly(amidoamine) dendrimers with supported lipid bilayers and cells: Hole formation and the relation to transport. Bioconj Chem. 2004; 15:774–782.
- Chen HT, Neerman MF, Parrish AR, Simanek EE. Cytotoxicity, hemolysis, and acute in vivo toxicity of dendrimers based on melamine, candidate vehicles for drug delivery. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:10044–10088. [PubMed: 15303879]
- Lynman MD, Melanson D, Sawhney AS. Characterization of the formation of interfacially photopolymerized thin hydrogels in contact with arterial tissue. Biomaterials. 1996; 17:359–364. [PubMed: 8745333]
- 52. Pathak CP, Sawhney AS, Hubbell JA. Rapid photopolymerization of immunoprotective gels in contact with cells and tissue. J Am Chem Soc. 1992; 114:8311–8312.
- Miki D, Pfister-Serres A, Dastghieb KA, Smeds KA, Inoue M, Hatchell DL, Grinstaff MW. A novel tissue adhesive hydrogel for sealing corneal lacerations. Cornea. 2002; 21:393–399. [PubMed: 11973389]
- Söntjens S, Nettles DL, Carnahan MA, Setton LA, Grinstaff MW. Biodendrimer-based hydrogel scaffolds for cartilage tissue repair. Biomacromolecules. 2006; 7:310–316. [PubMed: 16398530]
- Hubbell JA. In situ material transformation in tissue engineering. Mat Res Soc Bull. 1996; 21:33– 35.
- Elisseeff J, Anseth K, Sims D, McIntosh W, Randolph M, Langer R. Transdermal photopolymerization for minimally invasive implantation. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1999; 96:3104– 3107. [PubMed: 10077644]
- Smeds K, Pfister-Serres A, Miki D, Dastghieb KA, Inoue M, Hatchell DL, Grinstaff MW. Novel photocrosslinkable polysaccharides for in situ hydrogel formation. J Biomed Mat Res. 2001; 54:115–121.
- 58. Liu CF, Tam JP. Chemical ligation approach to from a peptide bond between unprotected peptide segments: Concepts and model study. J Am Chem Soc. 1994; 116:4149–4153.
- Tam JP, Miao Z. Stereospecific pseudoproline ligation of n-terminal serine and thereonine, or cysteine-containing unprotected peptides. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:9013–9022.
- Liu CF, Rao C, Tam JA. Orthogonal ligation of unprotected peptide segments through pseudoproline formation for the synthesis of hiv-1 protease analogs. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:307–312.

- Tam JP, Xu J, Eom KD. Methods and strategies of peptide ligation. Biopolymers. 2001; 60:194–205. [PubMed: 11774225]
- 62. Dawson PE, Muir TW, Clark-Lewis I, Kent SBH. Synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation. Science. 1994; 266:766–778.
- Velazquez AJ, Carnahan MA, Kristinsson J, Stinnett S, Grinstaff MW, Kim T. New dendritic adhesives for sutureless ophthalmic surgeries: In vitro studies of corneal laceration repair. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004; 122:867–870. [PubMed: 15197062]
- 64. Kang C, Carnahan MA, Wathier M, Grinstaff MW, Kim T. Novel tissue adhesives to secure laser in situ keratomileusis flaps. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2005; 31:1208–1212. [PubMed: 16039499]
- 65. Degoricija L, Johnson CS, Wathier M, Kim T, Grinstaff MW. Photocrosslinkable biodendrimers as ophthalmic adhesives for central lacerations and penetrating keratoplasties. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science. 2007; 48
- Marshall J, Trokel SL, Rothery S, Krueger RR. Long-term healing of the central conrea after photorefractive keratectomy using an excimer laser. Ophthalmology. 1988; 95:1411–1421. [PubMed: 3226689]
- SundarRaj N, Geiss MJD, Fantes F, et al. Healing of excimer laser ablated monkey corneas. An immunohistochemical evaluation. Arch Ophthalmol. 1990; 108:1604–1610. [PubMed: 1700895]
- Tripoli NK, Cohen KL, Proia AD. Cat keratoplasty wound healing and corneal astigmatism. Refract Corneal Surg. 1992; 8:196–203. [PubMed: 1633137]
- 69. Wilkie DA, Whittaker C. Surgery of the cornea. Vet Clin Noth Am Small Anim Pract. 1997; 27:1067–1107.
- Sandvig KU, Kravik K, Haaskjold E, Blika S. Epithelial wound healing of the rat cornea after excimer laser ablation. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. 1997; 75:115–119. [PubMed: 9197552]
- 71. Bito LZ. Species differences in the responses of the eye to irritation and trauma: A hypothesis of divergence in ocular defense mechanisms, and the choice of experimental animals for eye research. Exp Eye Res. 1984; 39:807–829. [PubMed: 6394354]
- Goodman GL, Trokel SL, Stark WJ, Munnerlyn CR, Green WR. Corneal healing following laser refractive keratectomy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1989; 107:627–639.
- 73. Fowler WC, Chang DH, Roberts BC, Proia AD. A new paradigm for corneal wound healing research: The white leghorn chicken. Curr Eye Research. 2004; 28:241–250.
- Martinez-Garcia MC, Merayo-Lloves J, Blanco-Mezquita T, Mar-Sardana S. Wound healing following refractive surgery in hens. Experimental Eye Research. 2006; 83:728–735. [PubMed: 16701650]
- Wallman J, Adams JL. Developmental aspects of experimental myopia in chicks: Susceptibility, recovery, and relation to emmetropization. Vision Res. 1987; 27:1139–1163. [PubMed: 3660666]
- 76. Schaeffel F, Howland HC. Visual optics in normal and ametropic chickens. Clin Vision Sci. 1988; 3:83–98.
- Chamberlain M, Gad SC, Gautheron P, Prinsen MK. Irag working group i. Organotypic models for the assessment/prediction of ocular irritation. Interagency regulatory alternative group. Food Chem Toxicol. 1997; 35:23–37. [PubMed: 9100813]
- Reyes JMG, Herretes S, Pirouzmanesh A, Wang DA, Elisseeff JH, Jun A, McDonnell PJ, Chuck RS, Behrens A. A modified chondroitin sulfate aldehyde ahdesive for sealing corneal incisions. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science. 2005; 46:1247–1250. [PubMed: 15790885]
- Murakami Y, Yokoyama M, Okano T, Nishida H, Tomizawa Y, Endo M, Kurosawa H. A novel synthetic tissue-adhesive hydrogel using a crosslinkable polymeric micelle. J biomed Mat Res. 2007; 80A:421–427.
- 80. Cryolife, I. 2007. www.cryolifecom
- McDonnell PJ, Taban M, Sarayba M, Rao B, Zhang J, Schiffman R, Chen ZP. Dynamic morphology of clear corneal cataract incisions. Ophthalmology. 2003; 110:2342–2348. [PubMed: 14644716]
- John, ME.; Noblitt, R. The blue line incision and refractive phacoemulsification. Buzard, KA.; Friedlander, MH.; Febbraro, JL., editors. SLACK; NJ: 2001. p. 53-56.



#### Figure 1.

Schematic of a linear (A) and dendrimer (B) polymer. The three main structural zones of the dendrimer consisting of the central core, internal branching layers, and peripheral groups are labeled.



#### Figure 2.

Divergent synthesis of a generation three dendimer showing the step-wise coupling and deprotection reactions. The monomer consists of three parts – the \_\_ portion for coupling to a growing dendrimer, a \_\_ portion which has two potential coupling sites which is capped by a \_\_ protecting group. After the monomer is coupled, the protecting group is removed, and the monomer is added again to create the large dendrimer. This process is repeated until a specific dendrimer generation is prepared.



([G3]-PGLSA-OH)<sub>2</sub>-PEG



#### Figure 3.

Chemical structures of a generation 4 poly( \_\_\_\_\_) dendrimer (**[G4]-P** \_\_\_-OH), a generation 3 dendritic-linear copolymer ((**[G3]-P** \_\_\_-OH)<sub>2</sub>- \_\_\_), and a generation 2 lysine-cysteine dendron (**[G2]-** \_\_\_\_).





Schematic of the two crosslinking strategies: (top) photocrosslinking reaction and (bottom) nucleophile-electrophile reaction.



#### Figure 5.

Photographs of the closure procedure for a 4.1 mm full thickness corneal laceration. (left) Placement of the adhesive solution on the wound. (middle) Photocrosslinking of the solution to form the adhesive. (right) Sealed corneal laceration.



**Figure 6.** Seidel test confirming the closed corneal laceration.





H & E stains of the repair chicken cornea after treatment with a dendrimer adhesive (top) and suture (bottom).



#### Figure 8.

(top) A 3 mm clear corneal wound leaking before closure. (bottom) A sealed wound with the dendritic adhesive composed of ([G2]- max and max-DA.