

Lecture Notes in Physics

Founding Editors: W. Beiglböck, J. Ehlers, K. Hepp, H. Weidenmüller

Editorial Board

R. Beig, Vienna, Austria
W. Beiglböck, Heidelberg, Germany
W. Domcke, Garching, Germany
B.-G. Englert, Singapore
U. Frisch, Nice, France
F. Guinea, Madrid, Spain
P. Hänggi, Augsburg, Germany
W. Hillebrandt, Garching, Germany
R. L. Jaffe, Cambridge, MA, USA
W. Janke, Leipzig, Germany
H. v. Löhneysen, Karlsruhe, Germany
M. Mangano, Geneva, Switzerland
J.-M. Raimond, Paris, France
D. Sornette, Zurich, Switzerland
S. Theisen, Potsdam, Germany
D. Vollhardt, Augsburg, Germany
W. Weise, Garching, Germany
J. Zittartz, Köln, Germany

The Lecture Notes in Physics

The series Lecture Notes in Physics (LNP), founded in 1969, reports new developments in physics research and teaching – quickly and informally, but with a high quality and the explicit aim to summarize and communicate current knowledge in an accessible way. Books published in this series are conceived as bridging material between advanced graduate textbooks and the forefront of research and to serve three purposes:

- to be a compact and modern up-to-date source of reference on a well-defined topic
- to serve as an accessible introduction to the field to postgraduate students and nonspecialist researchers from related areas
- to be a source of advanced teaching material for specialized seminars, courses and schools

Both monographs and multi-author volumes will be considered for publication. Edited volumes should, however, consist of a very limited number of contributions only. Proceedings will not be considered for LNP.

Volumes published in LNP are disseminated both in print and in electronic formats, the electronic archive being available at springerlink.com. The series content is indexed, abstracted and referenced by many abstracting and information services, bibliographic networks, subscription agencies, library networks, and consortia.

Proposals should be sent to a member of the Editorial Board, or directly to the managing editor at Springer:

Christian Caron
Springer Heidelberg
Physics Editorial Department I
Tiergartenstrasse 17
69121 Heidelberg / Germany
christian.caron@springer.com

J. Daillant
A. Gibaud (Eds.)

X-ray and Neutron Reflectivity

Principles and Applications

 Springer

Jean Daillant
CEA-Saclay
IRAMIS, LIONS
91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex
France
jean.daillant@cea.fr

Alain Gibaud
Université du Maine
Faculté des Sciences
bd. O. Messiaen
72085 Le Mans Cedex 9
France
alain.gibaud@univ-lemans.fr

Daillant, J., Gibaud, A. (Eds.), *X-ray and Neutron Reflectivity: Principles and Applications*, Lect. Notes Phys. 770 (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 2009), DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-88588-7

ISBN: 978-3-540-88587-0

e-ISBN: 978-3-540-88588-7

DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-88588-7

Lecture Notes in Physics ISSN: 0075-8450

e-ISSN: 1616-6361

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008937156

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: Integra Software Services Pvt. Ltd.

Printed on acid-free paper

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

Foreword to the First Edition

The reflection of x-rays and neutrons from surfaces has existed as an experimental technique for almost 50 years. Nevertheless, it is only in the last decade that these methods have become enormously popular as probes of surfaces and interfaces. This appears to be due to the convergence of several different circumstances. These include the availability of more intense neutron and x-ray sources (so that reflectivity can be measured over many orders of magnitude and the much weaker surface diffuse scattering can now also be studied in some detail); the growing importance of thin films and multilayers in both technology and basic research; the realization of the important role which roughness plays in the properties of surfaces and interfaces; and finally the development of statistical models to characterize the topology of roughness, its dependence on growth processes and its characterization from surface scattering experiments. The ability of x-rays and neutrons to study surfaces over 4–5 orders of magnitude in length scale regardless of their environment, temperature, pressure, etc., and also their ability to probe buried interfaces often makes these probes the preferred choice for obtaining global statistical information about the microstructure of surfaces, often in a complementary manner to the local imaging microscopy techniques. This is witnessed by the veritable explosion of such studies in the published literature over the last few years. Thus these lectures will provide a useful resource for students and researchers alike, covering as they do in considerable detail most aspects of surface x-ray and neutron scattering from the basic interactions through the formal theories of scattering and finally to specific applications.

It is often assumed that neutrons and x-rays interact weakly with surfaces and in general interact weakly enough so that the simple kinematic theories of scattering are good enough approximations to describe the scattering. As most of us now appreciate, this is not always true, e.g., when the reflection is close to being total, or in the neighborhood of strong Bragg reflections (e.g., from multilayers). This necessitates the need for the full dynamical theory (which for specular reflectivity is fortunately available from the theory of optics), or for higher order approximations, such as the distorted wave Born approximation to describe strong off-specular scattering. All these methods are discussed in detail in these lectures, as are also the

ways in which the magnetic interaction between neutrons and magnetic moments can yield information on the magnetization densities of thin films and multilayers. I commend the organizers for having organized a group of expert lecturers to present this subject in a detailed but clear fashion, as the importance of the subject deserves.

Argonne, IL

S.K. Sinha

Contents

1 The Interaction of X-Rays (and Neutrons) with Matter	1
F. de Bergevin	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Generalities and Definitions	2
1.3 From the Scattering by an Object to the Propagation in a Medium	14
1.4 X-Rays	26
1.5 X-Rays: Anisotropic Scattering	47
1.A Appendix: the Born Approximation	54
References	56
2 Statistical Aspects of Wave Scattering at Rough Surfaces	59
A. Sentenac and J. Daillant	
2.1 Introduction	59
2.2 Description of Randomly Rough Surfaces	60
2.3 Description of a Surface Scattering Experiment, Coherence Domains	67
2.4 Statistical Formulation of the Diffraction Problem	72
2.5 Statistical Formulation of the Scattered Intensity Under the Born Approximation	79
References	84
3 Specular Reflectivity from Smooth and Rough Surfaces	85
A. Gibaud and G. Vignaud	
3.1 The Reflected Intensity from an Ideally Flat Surface	85
3.2 X-Ray Reflectivity in Stratified Media	98
3.3 From Dynamical to Kinematical Theory	107
3.4 Influence of the Roughness on the Matrix Coefficients	111
3.A Appendix: The Treatment of Roughness in Specular Reflectivity	113
3.B Appendix: Inversion of reflectivity data	117
References	131

4 Diffuse Scattering	133
J. Daillant, S. Mora and A. Sentenac	
4.1 Differential Scattering Cross-Section for X-Rays	134
4.2 First Born Approximation	141
4.3 Distorted Wave Born Approximation	145
4.4 From the Scattering Cross-Section to the Scattered Intensity	153
4.5 Examples	160
4.A Appendix: The Reciprocity Theorem	170
4.B Appendix: Verification of the Integral Equation in the Case of the Reflection by a Thin Film on a Substrate	172
4.C Appendix: Interface Roughness in a Multilayer Within the Born Approximation	173
4.D Appendix: Quantum Mechanical Approach of Born and Distorted-Wave Born Approximations	175
References	181
5 Neutron Reflectometry	183
C. Fermon, F. Ott and A. Menelle	
5.1 Introduction	183
5.2 Schrödinger Equation and Neutron–Matter Interactions	185
5.3 Reflectivity on Non-Magnetic Systems	188
5.4 Neutron Reflectivity on Magnetic Systems	193
5.5 Non-Perfect Layers, Practical Problems and Experimental Limits .	206
5.6 The Spectrometers	211
5.7 Non-Magnetic Reflectivity	213
5.8 Polarized Neutron Reflectometry on Magnetic Systems	218
5.9 Off-Specular Scattering	225
5.10 Grazing Incidence Scattering	228
5.11 Conclusion on Neutron Reflectometry	229
References	231
6 X-Ray Reflectivity by Rough Multilayers	235
T. Baumbach and P. Mikulík	
6.1 Introduction	235
6.2 Description of Rough Multilayers	237
6.3 Set-Up of X-Ray Reflectivity Experiments	240
6.4 Specular X-Ray Reflection	243
6.5 Non-Specular X-Ray Reflection	253
6.6 Interface Roughness in Surface-Sensitive Diffraction Methods . . .	266
6.7 X-Ray Reflection from Multilayer Gratings	269
6.A Appendix: Reciprocal Space Constructions for Reflectivity	277
References	281

7 Grazing Incidence Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering from Nanostructures	283
R. Lazzari	
7.1 Introduction	283
7.2 The GISAXS Scattering Geometry	285
7.3 Scattering from Density Inhomogeneities in DWBA: The Case of Isolated Particles	287
7.4 Scattering from Collections of Particles	306
7.5 Experimental Considerations in GISAXS	329
7.6 Examples of GISAXS Experiments in Hard Condensed Matter: Islands on Surfaces	330
7.7 Soft Condensed Matter GISAXS Studies: A Nanometer Scale Crystallographic Study of Self-Organization in Templated Silica Thin Films	337
References	340
Main Notation Used in This Book	343
Index	345

Introduction

In his paper entitled “On a New Kind of Ray, A Preliminary Communication” relating the discovery of x-rays, which was submitted to the Würzburg Physico-Medical Society on December 28, 1895, Röntgen stated the following about the refraction and reflection of the newly discovered rays: “The question as to the reflection of the X-ray may be regarded as settled, by the experiments mentioned in the preceding paragraph, in favor of the view that *no noticeable regular reflection of the rays takes place from any of the substances examined*. Other experiments, which I here omit, lead to the same conclusion.¹”

This conclusion remained unquestioned until in 1922 Compton [1] pointed out that if the refractive index of a substance for x-rays is less than unity, it ought to be possible, according to the laws of optics, to obtain total external reflection from a smooth surface of it, since the x-rays, on entering the substance from the air, are going into a medium of smaller refractive index. This was the starting point for x-ray (and neutron) reflectivity. The demonstration that the reflection of x-rays on a surface was indeed obeying the laws of electromagnetism was pursued by Prins [2, 3] and others who investigated the role of absorption on the sharpness of the limit of total reflection and showed that it was consistent with the Fresnel formulae. This work was continued by Kiessig [4] using nickel films evaporated on glass. Reflection on such thin films gives rise to fringes of equal inclination (the “Kiessig fringes” in the x-ray literature), which allow the measurement of thin film thicknesses, now the most important application of x-ray and neutron reflectivity. It was, however, not until 1954 that Parratt [5] suggested inverting the analysis and interpreting x-ray reflectivity as a function of angle of incidence via models of an *inhomogeneous* surface density distribution. The method was then applied to several cases of solid or liquid [6] interfaces. Whereas Parratt noticed in his 1954 paper that “it is at first surprising that any experimental surface appears smooth to x-rays. One frequently hears that, for good reflection, a mirror surface must be smooth to within about one wavelength of the radiation involved...” it soon appeared that effects of surface roughness were important, the most dramatic of them being the asymmetric

¹ A more complete citation of Röntgen’s paper is given in an appendix to this introduction.

surface reflection known as Yoneda wings [7]. These Yoneda wings were subsequently interpreted as diffuse scattering of the enhanced surface field for incidence or exit angle equal to the critical angle for total external reflection. The theoretical basis for the analysis of this surface diffuse scattering was established in particular through the pioneering work of Croce et al. [8]. In a context where coatings, thin films and nanostructured materials are playing an increasingly important role for applications, the number of studies using x-ray or neutron reflectivity dramatically increased during the 1990s, addressing virtually all kinds of interfaces: solid or liquid surfaces, buried solid–liquid or liquid–liquid interfaces, interfaces in thin films and multilayers. Apart from the scientific and technological demand for more and more surface characterisation, at least two factors explain this blooming of x-ray and neutron reflectivity. First, the use of second and third generation synchrotron sources has resulted in a sophistication of the technique now such that not only the thicknesses but also the morphologies and correlations within and between rough interfaces can be accurately characterised for in-plane distances ranging from atomic or molecular distances to hundreds of microns. In parallel more and more accurate methods have been developed for data analysis. Second, the development of neutron reflectometers (Chap. 5) has been decisive, in particular for polymer physics owing to partial deuteration and for magnetism.

This book follows summer schools on reflectivity held in Luminy in June 1997, Le Croisic in June 2000 and Giens in May 2008. Since the first edition of the book published in 1999, x-ray and neutron reflectivity have continued to develop and new related techniques like grazing incidence small angle scattering (GISAXS) have become very popular. The first aim of this second edition was therefore to include these important new developments. Moreover, the first edition was organised into two parts, “principles” and “applications” whose aim was to give examples of the use of reflectivity in different fields. Several excellent reviews have been published since then and it was no longer necessary to include a review in the book. We found it more useful to include examples in the different chapters devoted to reflectivity-related methods as tutorials. This is the second main change made to the book.

As strongly suggested by the short historical sketch given above, most of the revolutions in the use of x-rays (not only for interface studies) arise by considering new potentialities related to their nature of electromagnetic waves, which was so controversial in the days of Röntgen. The book therefore starts with a panorama of the interaction of x-rays with matter, giving both a thorough treatment of the basic principles, and an overview of more advanced topics like magnetic or anisotropic scattering, not only to give a firm basis to the following developments but also to stimulate reflection on new experiments.

Then, a rigorous presentation of the statistical aspects of wave scattering at rough surfaces is given. This point, obviously important for understanding the nature of surface scattering experiments, as well as for their interpretation, is generally ignored in the x-ray literature (this chapter has been written mainly by a researcher in optics). The basic statistical properties of surfaces are introduced first. Then an ideal scattering experiment is described, and the limitations of such a description, in particular the fact that the experimental resolution is always finite, are discussed.

The finiteness of the resolution leads to the introduction of ensemble averages for the calculation of the scattered intensities and to a natural distinction between coherent (specular, equal to the average of the scattered field) and incoherent (diffuse, related to the mean-square deviation of the scattered field) scattering. These principles are immediately illustrated within the Born approximation in order to avoid all the mathematical complications resulting from the details of the interaction of an electromagnetic wave with matter.

These more rigorous aspects of the scattering theory are treated in Chaps. 3 and 4 for specular and diffuse scattering. The matricial theory of the reflection of light in a smooth or rough stratified medium and its consequences are treated in Chap. 3. A new section on the inversion of reflectivity data has been added to this chapter. The developments of Chap. 3 are used in Chap. 4 for the treatment of diffuse scattering. The Croce approach to the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) based on the use of Green functions is mainly used. It is currently the most popular for data analysis and is extensively used in particular in Chap. 6. The presentation of the DWBA is complemented by the discussion of a more simple approximation, very useful in particular for thin films. The derivation of the scattered intensity from the scattering cross section is described in detail as well as the implications for reflectivity experiments of a finite resolution. Examples are finally discussed in detail, in particular for liquid surfaces and thin films for which a full calculation of the scattering cross section can be made.

The specific aspects of neutron reflectometry require a separate treatment given in Chap. 5. After an introduction to neutron-matter interactions, neutron reflectivity of non-magnetic and magnetic materials is presented and the characteristics of the neutron spectrometers are given. Special attention is paid to the case of non-perfect layers. The theoretical presentation is followed by examples including biological and magnetic films, off-specular reflectivity and grazing incidence scattering.

Multilayers are discussed in Chap. 6. The experimental set-ups are described and examples of reflectivity studies and non-specular scattering measurements are discussed with the aim of reviewing all the important situations that can be encountered. Examples include rough multilayers, stepped surfaces, interfaces in porous media, the role of roughness in diffraction experiments and multilayer gratings.

GISAXS is discussed in Chap. 7. The emphasis is put on the characterisation of nano-objects on surfaces or buried in a substrate. The application of the DWBA to GISAXS is discussed after an introduction to the GISAXS scattering geometry. Form factors are given for a large number of nano-objects and the effect of their correlations is discussed. Examples in hard and soft condensed matter are finally given.

Appendix: Röntgen's Report on the Reflection of X-Rays

“With reference to the general conditions here involved on the other hand, and to the importance of the question whether the X-rays can be refracted or not on passing from one medium into another, it is most fortunate that this subject may be

investigated in still another way than with the aid of prisms. Finely divided bodies in sufficiently thick layers scatter the incident light and allow only a little of it to pass, owing to reflection and refraction; so that if powders are as transparent to X-rays as the same substances are in mass—equal amounts of material being presupposed—it follows at once that neither refraction nor regular reflection takes place to any sensible degree. Experiments were tried with finely powdered rock salt, with finely electrolytic silver-powder, and with zinc-dust, such as is used in chemical investigations. In all these cases no difference was detected between the transparency of the powder and that of the substance in mass, either by observation with the fluorescent screen or with the photographic plate... The question as to the reflection of the X-ray may be regarded as settled, by the experiments mentioned in the preceding paragraph, in favor of the view that no noticeable regular reflection of the rays takes place from any of the substances examined. Other experiments, which I here omit, lead to the same conclusion.

One observation in this connection should, however, be mentioned, as at first sight it seems to prove the opposite. I exposed to the X-rays a photographic plate which was protected from the light by black paper, and the glass side of which was turned towards the discharge-tube giving the X-rays. The sensitive film was covered, for the most part, with polished plates of platinum, lead, zinc, and aluminum, arranged in the form of a star. On the developing negative it was seen plainly that the darkening under the platinum, the lead and particularly the zinc, was stronger than under the other plates, the aluminum having exerted no action at all. It appears, therefore, that these metals reflect the rays. Since, however, other explanations of a stronger darkening are conceivable, in a second experiment, in order to be sure, I placed between the sensitive film and the metal plates a piece of thin aluminum-foil, which is opaque to ultraviolet rays, but it is very transparent to the X-rays. Since the same result substantially was again obtained, the reflection of the X-rays from the metals above named is proved. If we compare this fact with the observation already mentioned that powders are as transparent as coherent masses, and with the further fact that bodies with rough surfaces behave like polished bodies with reference to the passage of the X-rays, as shown as in the last experiment, we are led to the conclusion already stated that regular reflection does not take place, but that bodies behave toward X-rays as turbid media do towards light.”

References

1. Compton, A.H.: *Phil. Mag.* **45**, 1121 (1923) xi
2. Prins, J.A.: *Zeit. f. Phys.* **47**, 479 (1928) xi
3. James, R.W.: *The Optical Principles of the Diffraction of X-Rays*, Bell and sons, London (1948) xi
4. Kiessig, H.: *Ann. der Physik* **10**, 715, 769 (1931) xi
5. Parratt, L.G.: *Phys. Rev.* **95**, 359 (1954) xi
6. Lu, B.C., Rice, S.A.: *J. Chem. Phys.* **68**, 5558 (1978) xi
7. Yoneda, Y.: *Phys. Rev.* **131**, 2010 (1963) xii
8. Croce, P., Nénot, L., Pardo, B.: *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **274**, 803, 855 (1972) xii