Governance and Public Management

Series Editors
Robert Fouchet
Universite Aix Marseille
France

Juraj Nemec
Masaryk University
Czech Republic

IIAS Series: Governance and Public Management
International Institute of Administrative Sciences (IIAS) – *Improving Administrative Sciences Worldwide*

To cover the diversity of its members, the IIAS has set up four sub-entities:
– The EGPA (European Group for Public Administration)
– The IASIA (International Association of Schools and Institutes of Administration)
– The LAGPA (Latin American Group for Public Administration)
– The AGPA (Asian Group for Public Administration)

Website: http://www.iias-iisa.org

Governance and Public Management Series
The *Governance and Public Management* series, published in conjunction with the International Institute of Administrative Sciences (IIAS), brings the best research in public administration and management to a global audience. Encouraging a diversity of approach and perspective, the series reflects the Institute's conviction for a neutral and objective voice, grounded in the exigency of fact. How is governance conducted now? How could it be done better? What defines the law of administration and the management of public affairs, and can their implementation be enhanced? Such questions lie behind the Institute's core value of *accountability*: those who exercise authority must account for its use to those on whose behalf they act.

More information about this series at
http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/15021

Hubert Heinelt • Annick Magnier
Marcello Cabria • Herwig Reynaert
Editors

Political Leaders and Changing Local Democracy

The European Mayor

palgrave
macmillan

Editors
Hubert Heinelt
Technische Universität Darmstadt
Darmstadt, Germany

Marcello Cabria
Department of Social and Political Sciences
University of Florence
Firenze, Italy

Annick Magnier
Department of Social and Political Sciences
University of Florence
Firenze, Italy

Herwig Reynaert
Wetenschappen
Vakgroep Politieke Wetenschappen
Gent, Belgium

Governance and Public Management
ISBN 978-3-319-67409-4 ISBN 978-3-319-67410-0 (eBook)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67410-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017954964

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.
The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.
The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Contents

1 Introduction 1
Hubert Heinelt, Annick Magnier, Marcello Cabria, and Herwig Reynaert

2 Local Government Systems: Grasping the Institutional Environment of Mayors 19
Hubert Heinelt, Nikos Hlepas, Sabine Kuhlmann, and Pawel Swianiewicz

3 From the Few Are Still Chosen the Few? Continuity and Change in the Social Background of European Mayors 79
Kristof Steyvers and Lluís Medir

4 The Mayors' Political Career: Between Local and National Ambition 109
Jérémy Dodeigne, Joanna Krukowska, and Aistė Lazauskienė

5 Institutional Environments and Mayors' Role Perceptions 149
Ivan Koprić, Eva Marín Hlynsdóttir, Jasmina Džinić, and Enrico Borghetto

6 **Mayors' Notions of Local Democracy** 173
Angelika Vetter, Hubert Heinelt, and Lawrence E. Rose

7 **Leadership Styles of European Mayors: How Much Have They Changed Over the Past 12 Years?** 209
Nikos Hlepas, Thanos Chantzaras, and Panagiotis Getimis

8 **Mayors' Agendas: Emerging Variations on the Theme of Entrepreneurialism** 243
Marcello Cabria, Annick Magnier, and Patricia Pereira

9 **Political Leadership in Issue Networks: How Mayors Rule Their World?** 273
Bas Denters, Kristof Steyvers, Pieter-Jan Klok, and Daniel Cermak

10 **Vertical Relations After the Financial Crisis** 297
Oliver Dlabac, Marta Lackowska, and Daniel Kübler

11 **Mayors and Political Parties** 327
Björn Egner, Adam Gendźwiłł, Pawel Swianiewicz, and Werner Pleschberger

12 **Mayors in the Town Hall: Patterns of Relations and Conflict Among Municipal Actors** 359
Carmen Navarro, David Karlsson, Jaume Magre, and Iveta Reinholde

13 **Mayors and Administrative Reforms** 387
Sabine Kuhlmann, Markus Seyfried, and Irena Bačlija Brajnik

14 Mayors and Spatial Planning in Their Cities 411
Annick Magnier, Panagiotis Getimis, Marcello Cabria, and Luis Baptista

15 Conclusion 447
Herwig Reynaert, Hubert Heinelt, Annick Magnier, and Marcello Cabria

Appendix: Common Questionnaire of the Survey 457

Index 475

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Timetable of data collection by countries	8
Fig. 3.1	Mean ages by group according to the LAI	88
Fig. 3.2	Percentage of mayors holding university degrees by levels of local autonomy	89
Fig. 3.3	Percentage of mayors belonging to a talking and brokerage profession by level of local autonomy	90
Fig. 3.4	Age means of mayors by city size	94
Fig. 3.5	Absolute probability of a high relationship between the SEI and the LAI	100
Fig. 3.6	Absolute probability of a high relationship between the SEI and forms of local government	101
Fig. 3.7	Absolute probability of a high relationship between the SEI and the number of inhabitants of a municipality	102
Fig. 3.8	Absolute probability of a high relationship between the SEI and the membership of a mayor in a left-wing party	102
Fig. 4.1	Factors determining mayors' careers paths. Source: own elaboration developed after Borchert and Stolz 2011a	112
Fig. 4.2	Types of career patterns in European democracies. Source: own elaboration on the basis of Kjær 2006	114
Fig. 4.3	Descriptive statistics of mayors' career background as councillor. Note: the *dashed vertical black line* indicates the European average (weighted by country) while the *square* on the boxplot represents the mean per country. Source: own elaboration	121
Fig. 4.4	Mayors' experience at other administrative levels according to the size of the municipality. Source: own elaboration	123
Fig. 4.5	Percentage of respondents declaring a full-time occupation as mayor. Source: own elaboration	127

Fig. 4.6 Descriptive statistics of the average number of weekly hours served in office. Note: the *dashed vertical black line* indicates the European average (weighted by country) while the *square* on the boxplot represents the mean per country. Source: own elaboration 129
Fig. 4.7 Descriptive statistics of mayors' seniority (number of years). Note: the *dashed vertical black line* indicates the European average (weighted by country) while the *square* on the boxplot represents the mean per country. Source: own elaboration 130
Fig. 4.8 Distribution of progressive, static, and discrete mayoral ambitions per country. Comparison 2003–2004 and 2015. Only countries with more than 20 answers are included. Source: own elaboration 136
Fig. 4.9 European countries and their score on indices for the interconnectedness of the mayoral career with the local and the national political systems. Comparison 2003–2004 and 2015–2016. Source: own elaboration, data for 2003–2004 on the basis of Kjær 2006 140
Fig. 5.1 Different tasks' importance grouped on the basis of two surveys 154
Fig. 5.2 Different tasks' importance grouped on the basis of mayoral designation procedures 157
Fig. 5.3 Different tasks' importance grouped on the basis of mayor's competencies 159
Fig. 5.4 Mixed effects model explaining role perceptions by means of individual-level attributes of mayors 163
Fig. 5.5 Marginal effects of party support relevance of party programme 164
Fig. 5.6 Marginal effects of local support relevance of local autonomy 164
Fig. 6.1 Support of participatory democracy among mayors by countries in 2015–2016. Mean values on an index from 1 'no support at all' to 5 'full support'. Created by the authors 180
Fig. 6.2 Support of representative democracy among mayors by country in 2015–2016. Mean values on an index from 1 'no support at all' to 5 'full support'. Created by the authors 182
Fig. 6.3 Changes in support for representative and participatory democracy among mayors between the years 2003–2004 and 2015–2016 by country. Created by the authors 185
Fig. 7.1 Leadership styles typology 216
Fig. 7.2 City size and leadership styles (2015–2016). Note: $\chi^2(9) = 25.307$, p-value = 0.003 222
Fig. 8.1 Priorities on European mayors' policy agenda (mean values) 247

Fig. 8.2 European mayors' single most important priority (in per cent) 248
Fig. 8.3 The European mayors' Urban Agenda and their self-positioning on a left-right scale: difference to the total mean 250
Fig. 8.4 Emphasis given by mayors *'To* improve *communal infrastructure, communication and transport' by country* 253
Fig. 8.5 Priorities of mayors regarding the development of *social policies by country* 256
Fig. 8.6 European mayors' single most important priority by country 257
Fig. 8.7 Emphasis given by mayors '*To improve the integration of ethnic, religious or cultural minorities and emphasize diversity and tolerance in the local community*' 258
Fig. 8.8 European mayors' agenda priorities inside and outside a large urban agglomeration (in per cent) 260
Fig. 8.9 Demographic growth and land consumption. Mayors' perception by country 262
Fig. 8.10 The density issue: mayors' perception by country 264
Fig. 8.11 Difference between the mean values of the respondents who agree or strongly agree with the idea that grow of population helps to maintain a satisfactory level of services and the general mean value 266
Fig. 9.1 Average scores per country for overall network management activism of mayors (scale 0–100). $F = 4.642$; $p = 0.000$; degrees of freedom: 20; $N = 2357$ 280
Fig. 9.2 Average scores per country for process management orientation of mayors (scale 0–100). $F = 14.664$; $p = 0.000$; degrees of freedom: 20; $N = 2347$ 281
Fig. 9.3 Average scores per country for consensus orientation of mayors (scale 0–100). $F = 12.688$; $p = 0.000$; degrees of freedom: 20; $N = 2318$ 282
Fig. 9.4 Volume and type of network management activities of mayors by issue area 283
Fig. 9.5 Overall perception of the mayors about their success in network management (distribution of mean success on scale 1–5 in per cent) 289
Fig. 10.1 Mayoral perceptions of power shifts between state levels over decades preceding the two surveys (country averages). *Note*: The axes were reverted for better comparability with the institutional power shifts presented in the appendix. No data is available for Switzerland in the first round and for Poland, Netherlands and Slovenia in the second round 304

Fig. 10.2 Shares of mayors perceiving a centralisation towards the national and regional level, selected sample for the first and second surveys. *Note*: For Poland the item regarding power shifts between regional and national levels was not asked (*left panel*) 308
Fig. 10.3 Mayors' evaluations of the financial situation of their municipalities (2015–2016) 310
Fig. 10.4 Mayoral rescaling strategies according to the results of the two surveys (country averages) 317
Fig. 10.5 Absolute values for local autonomy and regional authority as measured by Local Autonomy Index/Regional Authority Index in 2010/2014, countries covered by the first and/or second European Mayor Survey. *Note*: For the regional authority index the most recent values are for 2010. For the second round we therefore depict the values for 2010 rather than 2014. For England, the values were calculated on behalf of the regional scores of the Regional Authority Index. Regarding local autonomy, the values for England are taken from the UK, since more detailed indices are not available 322
Fig. 10.6 Relative changes of the values of the Local Autonomy Index/ Regional Authority Index over the decade preceding the two surveys (only countries covered by both surveys). *Note*: For the Regional Authority Index the most recent values are for 2010; therefore, the change before 2015 encompasses only six years, rather than a decade. For England, the underlying scores were calculated on behalf of the regional scores of the Regional Authority Index. Regarding local autonomy, the values are taken from the UK score and must not be valid for England 323
Fig. 11.1 Per cent of middle answers on the left–right self-location 341
Fig. 11.2 Mean of the PSI by country 343
Fig. 11.3 Party membership of mayors and party significance by the size of municipality 347
Fig. 11.4 Party membership of mayors and average party significance (according to the PSI) by local government forms (according to the typology of Mouritzen and Svara) 348
Fig. 12.1 Comparison of mean values of perceived influence of municipal actors over local authority activities by forms of local government according to the Mouritzen and Svara typology (95 per cent confidence interval) 362
Fig. 12.2 Mean values of number of hours per week (declared by mayors) with political actors (executive board and council) and with administrative staff (95 per cent confidence intervals) 373

Fig. 13.1 Desirability of selected reforms (part 1) 393
Fig. 13.2 Desirability of selected reforms (part 2) 395
Fig. 13.3 Priority of politico-administrative issues on the policy agenda 396
Fig. 13.4 Setting goals for administrative transformation 398
Fig. 13.5 Elements of performance management 400
Fig. 13.6 Public services—outsourcing and privatisation 402
Fig. 13.7 Preference of service delivery by tasks 403
Fig. 14.1 Responses to the statement *The market is the best way to attend to housing needs* by degree of urbanisation and urban agglomeration (means). Responses on a 0–5 scale. 0 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree 419
Fig. 14.2 Responses to the statement *The market is the best way to attend to housing needs* by countries 420
Fig. 14.3 Responses to the question '*To realise his/her ambitions to enhance or preserve the qualities of the local territory, a mayor may adopt different tactical orientations. Among the following options, which strategies are in your opinion, those most likely to succeed?*' 422
Fig. 14.4 Three current patterns of European mayors' spatial planning orientation 426
Fig. 14.5 Three current patterns of European mayors' spatial planning orientation by country 428
Fig. 14.6 Difficulties experienced by spatial planning approach 434

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Surveys on local government actors and the number of respondents by countries and time in which they were carried out	4
Table 2.1	Countries by the institutionally defined strength of mayors according to the weighting of Heinelt and Hlepas (2006)	36
Table 2.2	Countries by the institutionally defined strength of mayors with a different weighting of variables than Heinelt and Hlepas (2006)	37
Table 2.3	Countries by horizontal power relation classified according to the typology of Mouritzen and Svara	38
Table 2.4	Mean scores of mayoral strength in institutional settings according to Mourtizen-Svara typology	38
Table 2.5	Self-perception of mayors about their own influence over the activities of the municipality in comparison with other municipal actors (differences of means) by countries and the Mourtizen-Svara types of local government systems	40
Table 2.6	Self-perception of mayors about their own influence over the activities of the municipality in comparison with other municipal actors (differences of means) by forms of local government systems	41
Table 2.7	A typology of vertical power relations	44
Table 2.8	Types of vertical power relations by municipal spending as per cent of the GDP and scores of the LAI	45
Table 2.9	The typology of vertical power relations by countries	46

Table 2.10	Perception of mayors about the influence of local MPs and ministers, and upper levels of government over the activities of the municipality (means on a scale from 1 = no influence to 5 = high influence)	48
Table 2.11	Perception of mayors about the influence of local MPs and ministers, and upper levels of government over the activities of the municipality—means for types of vertical power relations	49
Table 2.12	Types of municipal administration by countries	53
Table 2.13	Local administrative systems by countries	56
Table 2.14	Correlations of local administrative systems with institutional key features of local government systems	57
Table 2.15	Local administrative systems by types of horizontal power relations	58
Table 2.16	The Local Autonomy Index by countries	60
Table 2.17	Data for institutional variables concerning horizontal power relations	61
Table 2.18	Data for institutional variables concerning vertical power relations	64
Table 2.19	Data for institutional variables concerning vertical power relations	67
Table 3.1	Social background of mayors by countries with respect to vertical power relations according to the LAI (per cent)	87
Table 3.2	Social background by horizontal power relations according to the Mourtizen and Svara typology (in per cent)	91
Table 3.3	The social background of mayors by city size (in per cent)	93
Table 3.4	Social background of mayors by density and urbanisation (in per cent)	95
Table 3.5	Social background of mayors by party families (in per cent)	96
Table 3.6	The social background of mayors—a binomial logistic regression analysis	98
Table 4.1	Political experience of mayors (in per cent)	119
Table 4.2	Share of mayors declaring experience at the local council in the context of average number of years spent as a councillor	122
Table 4.3	Declarations of mayors' experience in political party structures in the context of Party Significance Index	125
Table 4.4	Multilevel linear regression explaining seniority	132
Table 4.5	Mayors' ambitions in the context of party significance in local government and the level of local autonomy	134
Table 4.6	Mayors' ambitions in the context of local autonomy and age (in per cent)	137
Table 4.7	Ambitions declared by directly and indirectly elected mayors in the context of local autonomy	139

Table 5.1	Functions and type of mayors' tasks	153
Table 5.2	Results of a Wilcoxon test for the significance of differences in responses to the mayors' tasks between the two surveys	167
Table 5.3	Results of a Wilcoxon test for the significance of differences between the two surveys	167
Table 5.4	Operationalisation of control variables for the analysis of the relationship between mayors' role perceptions and recruitment patterns	168
Table 6.1	Items for the operationalization and measurement of mayors' notions of local democracy	176
Table 6.2	Measuring notions of local democracy among European mayors. Factor loadings	177
Table 6.3	Support of participatory democracy among mayors by countries in 2015–2016	179
Table 6.4	Support of representative democracy among mayors by country in 2015–2016	181
Table 6.5	Changes in the support of participatory and representative democracy among mayors between the years 2003–2004 and 2015–2016 by country	184
Table 6.6	Changes in support for participatory and representative democracy from 2003–2004 to 2015–2016	186
Table 6.7	Individual characteristics and mayors' notions of democracy, 2003–2004 and 2015–2016 (comparison of means across groups)	189
Table 6.8	Individual characteristics and country dummies for explaining mayors' notions of democracy in 2015–2016	192
Table 6.9	Notions of democracy among mayors by different macro-level factors in 2015–2016 (mean comparisons across groups)	196
Table 6.10	Individual and contextual effects for explaining support for participatory democracy (multilevel models)	199
Table 6.11	Individual and contextual effects for explaining support for representative democracy (multilevel models)	200
Table 6.12	European Social Science data file round 1 to round 6	204
Table 7.1	Likert items used to create Likert scales per leadership style dimension	215
Table 7.2	Dimensions of leadership style—results for 2015–2016 and 2003–2004	217
Table 7.3	Distribution of leadership styles—results for 2015–2016 and 2003–2004	218
Table 7.4	Leadership styles and type of horizontal power relation in municipalities (2015–2016)	219

Table 7.5	Leadership styles, type of horizontal power relation and mean hours spent per week as a mayor (2015–2016)	220
Table 7.6	Leadership styles and types of local administrative systems (2015–2016)	221
Table 7.7	Leadership styles (%) and mayors' affiliation to 'party families'—2015–2016 and 2003–2004	223
Table 7.8	Leadership styles and mayors' party affiliation (2015–2016)	224
Table 7.9	Leadership styles and intensity of political conflict inside the council (2015–2016)	225
Table 7.10	Leadership styles and financial situation of the municipality (2015–2016)	226
Table 7.11	Leadership styles and mayors' age class (2015–2016)	227
Table 7.12	Leadership styles and mayors' gender—in 2015–2016 and 2005 in those countries included in both surveys	228
Table 7.13	Leadership styles and mayors' gender (2015–2016)	228
Table 7.14	Leadership styles and mayors' highest completed education level (2015–2016)	229
Table 7.15	Leadership styles and academic discipline of university-educated mayors (2015–2016)	229
Table 7.16	Leadership styles and mayors' main profession (2015–2016)	230
Table 7.17	Leadership styles and mayors' sector of previously economic activity (2015–2016)	231
Table 7.18	Leadership styles and mayors' seniority (2015–2016)	231
Table 7.19	Leadership styles and mayors' position on the political spectrum (2015–2016)	232
Table 7.20	Leadership styles and mayors' most important political aim (2015–2016)	233
Table 7.21	How to explain mayoral leadership styles: A summary	234
Table 8.1	Urban agenda priority index	249
Table 8.2	Index of single most important priority (in per cent)	250
Table 8.3	Comparison of European mayors' agendas between 2003–2004 and 2015–2016 (based on findings of the two surveys)	252
Table 8.4	Differences in mayors' priorities on social policies and integration by country	259
Table 8.5	'*It is not possible to increase urban density in this municipality*': mayors' responses by country	265
Table 8.6	'*Local governments have the primary responsibility for promoting environmental awareness in the population*': mayors' responses by country	266
Table 9.1	Differences in the dependencies on particular kinds of actors and overall network dependence for different countries	285

Table 9.2 Different types of network dependencies and overall network dependence per issue 286
Table 9.3 Regression models for overall network management activities of mayors in relation to issues and types of network dependencies 288
Table 9.4 Regression model for the mayors' perception of successful network management 290
Table 10.1 Ordered logistic model for explaining the perceived deceleration of regionalisation within Napoleonic countries and Central Eastern European countries—compared to federalist countries (2015–2016) 311
Table 10.2 Ordered logistic model for explaining the degree of perceived centralisation towards the regional level within countries with federalist state tradition—compared to Napoleonic and Central Eastern European countries (2015–2016) 312
Table 10.3 Ordered logistic two-level model for explaining the perceived centralisation towards the German Länder, and the perceived deceleration of regionalisation across the Spanish autonomous communities in 2015–2016 314
Table 10.4 Ordered logistic model for explaining the importance of the task to promote the influence of local authorities in Central Eastern European/Southern Eastern European countries—compared to Napoleonic and federalist countries in 2015–2016 319
Table 11.1 Mayors and party membership per country 335
Table 11.2 Shares of partisan mayors for party families over countries according to the parties classification of Andersson et al. 2014 (in per cent) 337
Table 11.3 Current and previous party membership of mayors 338
Table 11.4 Mean of left–right self-assessment for non-party mayors and mayors in party families 339
Table 11.5 Mayors' opinions on the role of political parties—comparison of 2003–2004 and 2015–2016—and proportion of time spent on party meetings 344
Table 11.6 Mayors' party membership and the PSI by countries 345
Table 11.7 Explanations of party membership (1A, 1B) and party significance (2A, 2B) 350
Table 12.1 Perceived influence of different municipal actors by country and forms of local government (mean values and standard deviations) 365
Table 12.2 Perceived changes in influence among local actors (indexed) 367

Table 12.3	Perceived changes in influence by country	369
Table 12.4	Number of hours per week dedicated to meetings with other political actors and with administrative staff—according to statements of mayors (mean values and standard deviations)	371
Table 12.5	Level of perceived political conflict by country (per cent, mean value, standard deviation)	376
Table 12.6	Level of perceived political conflict among different categories of municipalities and characteristics of mayors (per cent of municipalities with high degree of conflict; mean values 0–10; correlation values)	377
Table 12.7	Correlation between perceived conflict levels and perceived political influence of political actors (Pearson's *r*, mean values)	380
Table 14.1	Political self-placement and responses to the statement *The market is the best way to attend to housing needs*	419
Table 14.2	Spatial planning orientations of mayors by explanatory variables	429
Table 14.3	Prime difficulty in planning declared by mayors per country (in per cent of the mentioned difficulties)	432
Table 14.4	Planning patterns and strategic orientations (in per cent)	438
Table 14.5	Planning patterns and responses to the statement *the market is the best way to attend to housing needs* (per cent)	439
Table 14.6	Planning patterns and countries (in per cent)	440
Table 14.7	Planning patterns and education; age; degree of urbanisation (in per cent)	441
Table 14.8	Planning patterns and main strategic difficulties (in per cent)	441