Complex Systems Science in Biomedicine

TOPICS IN BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL BOOK SERIES

Series Editor: Evangelia Micheli-Tzanakou
Rutgers University
Piscataway, New Jersey

Signals and Systems in Biomedical Engineering:
Signal Processing and Physiological Systems Modeling
Suresh R. Devasahayam

Models of the Visual System
Edited by George K. Hung and Kenneth J. Ciuffreda

PDE and Level Sets: Algorithmic Approaches to Static and Motion Imagery
Edited by Jasjit S. Suri and Swamy Laxminarayan

Frontiers in Biomedical Engineering:
Edited by Ned H.C. Hwang and Savio L-Y. Woo

Handbook of Biomedical Image Analysis:
Volume I: Segmentation Models Part A
Edited by Jasjit S. Suri, David L. Wilson, and Swamy Laxminarayan

Handbook of Biomedical Image Analysis:
Volume II: Segmentation Models Part B
Edited by Jasjit S. Suri, David L. Wilson, and Swamy Laxminarayan

Handbook of Biomedical Image Analysis:
Volume III: Registration Models
Edited by Jasjit S. Suri, David L. Wilson, and Swamy Laxminarayan

Complex Systems Science in Biomedicine
Edited by Thomas S. Deisboeck and J. Yasha Kresh

Complex Systems Science in Biomedicine

Edited by

Thomas S. Deisboeck
Department of Radiology
Massachusetts General Hospital, and
Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts

and

J. Yasha Kresh
Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery and Medicine
Drexel University College of Medicine
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Springer

Thomas S. Deisboeck, M.D.
Assistant Professor of Radiology (HMS, MGH, HST)
Director, Complex Biosystems Modeling Laboratory
Harvard–MIT (HST) Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging
Massachusetts General Hospital–East Bldg. 149, 13th Street, Charlestown, MA 02129
deisboec@helix.mgh.harvard.edu

J. Yasha Kresh, Ph.D., F.A.C.C.
Professor and Research Director
Dept. of Cardiothoracic Surgery and
Professor of Medicine
Director, Cardiovascular Biophysics
Drexel Univ. College of Medicine
215 N. 15th Street, MS# 111
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1192
jkresh@drexelmed.edu

Front cover: The first figure appears courtesy of Gustavo Stolovitzky (IBM T. J. Watson Research Center). The second appears courtesy of J. Yasha Kresh (Drexel University College of Medicine). The third appears with permission from *Nature* http://www.nature.com/ and originally appeared in print as Figure 1 in *Nature* **411**:41–42, 2001 ''Lethality and centrality in protein networks,'' by H. Jeong, S. P. Mason, A.-L. Barabási, and Z. N. Oltvai. The fourth appears courtesy of Ricard V. Solé (ICREA Complex Systems Lab, Universitat Pompeu Fabra). The right-hand figure appears courtesy of Josh Snyder, David Tuch, Nouchine Hadjikhani, and Bruce Fischl (Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Harvard Medical School).

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005934914

ISBN-10: 0-387-30241-7
ISBN-13: 978-0387-30241-6

Printed in the United States of America

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the participation of everyone involved in the making of this textbook. Our special thanks go to the contributing authors, whose expertise and enthusiastic commitments made this volume a reality. We also thank our colleagues, whose insights helped shape this book, in particular Tom Kepler, Stuart Kauffman, Ary Goldberger, and Bernard Blickman, as well as Yuri Mansury, Chaitanya Athale, Brian Gregor, Meg Etherington, and Pam Fried. We especially appreciate the energy and excitement of the Springer publishing team (Aaron Johnson, Tim Oliver, Jasmine Benzvi, Shoshana Sternlicht, and Krista Zimmer), whose unwavering patience and tenacity ensured that the project go the distance. Finally, our deepest thanks to our families, who encouraged us with their love and support through the years of continuous intensity and concentration that this effort required. We could not have done it without you: Lizette M. Pérez-Deisboeck and Myrna P. Kresh.

Thomas S. Deisboeck, MD
Boston, Massachusetts

J. Yasha Kresh, PhD
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

PREFACE

Work on Deisboeck and Kresh's *Complex Systems Science in BioMedicine* started years ago. In fact, thoughts and ideas leading up to this textbook date back to our first conversation, sometime in the fall of 1996. We quickly found common ground, and talked about emergence and self-organization and their relevance for medicine. We were both fascinated by the idea of complexity and marveled about its tremendous possibilities for cancer research, which was then and still is Tom's main scientific interest. Much has happened in science and technology since we first discussed our vision. For instance, in a remarkable international effort the human genome has been deciphered, nanotechnology has become a household name, and computing infrastructure, a critical enabler, is as powerful and affordable as ever before.

It is exactly *because* of this unprecedented progress that *Complex Systems Science in BioMedicine* is now making a case for a new approach in the life sciences. So let us start then with the obvious question first: why do we need a new fresh approach to ensure continued progress in the biomedical sciences? Did decades of methodically thorough research not yield great accomplishments and trigger an unparalleled productivity, with each year seeing thousands of scientific papers published in peer-reviewed journals? Certainly. *Reductionism* has led to ever-growing knowledge about isolated molecular pathways and selected portions of disease processes. We concede, dissecting biological mechanisms into bits and pieces has been utterly successful—if the number of fragmented discoveries is to be the decisive parameter. However, if we take understanding connectivity across scales, or better yet, *function* as the yardstick for measuring scientific achievements, much less progress can be claimed. Neither the vision nor the technical tools necessary to achieve these goals are "mainstream" yet. But there are signs in the biomedical sciences that things are changing—clear signs.

Indeed, most of the field involved in mapping the human genome in the 1990s is now engaged in *functional* genomics. Beginning to realize that the sum of its genes and proteins will not be able to explain a single cell's behavior, much less cell–cell interaction dynamics, let alone entire organ systems, we remember Aristotle, who had already argued that "*The whole is more than the sum of its parts*." For biomedicine it means that, no matter how many more

details we enthusiastically discover on ever smaller scales, we fail in deducing the complexity of a cell or multicellular tissue on the basis of this fragmented knowledge alone. In other words, piecing it together afterwards will not work. We need a new scientific approach, one that takes the nonlinearity of the majority of biological processes as much into account as their multi-scaled character. We believe that we are at a crucial bifurcation, where we need to integrate knowledge rather than dissect it, where we need to collaborate intensely across disciplines, theoretically and experimentally, in order to move forward. Complex systems science can match this challenge. Intrinsically multidisciplinary, it comprises concepts and quantitative tools that enable us to investigate how multiple biological elements interact and how molecular networks guide cell behavior and ultimately determine tissue function.

You might wonder how this is any different from, say physiology, a cornerstone of classic biomedical training. Indeed, physiology, the science of how living organisms function, may well be regarded as a predecessor of what many in the computational biology community now call "systems biology" and which clearly overlaps with complexity science in its goals. Where they differ, however, is in the *approach* to get there. Complex systems science applies a set of concepts and quantitative tools that are based on analogy and commonality, if not universality, between distinctively different systems, biologically or otherwise. Let us give you an example. The reason my, i.e., Tom's, laboratory developed an agent-based model to study cancer cell migration was an admittedly rather tired look out of a window while approaching London's Heathrow Airport by night several years back. What caught my attention was that, from above, the busy suburbs and streets resembled the cellular clusters and path patterns of a growing biosystem where single cells rather than people represent the system's individual "agents." Could one possibly investigate the metabolism-driven interaction of a rapidly evolving multicellular system, internally and with its microenvironment, in a way similar to how social scientists analyze the adaptive, economically driven behavior seen in expanding human societies? If so, then why not try an urban-planning approach for cancer research in an effort to better understand the dynamics of growth, migration and aggregation in tumor cell populations? Chapter 6.3 (Part III) summarizes some of the intriguing results arising from this line of work. This example illustrates how complex systems science approaches the problem at hand with tools adapted from nonlinear dynamics, applying sometimes rather abstract modeling and simulation techniques ranging from network theory to agent-based frameworks. It follows a "top–down" concept based on the claim that abstraction, not simplification, is the key to understanding the complexity of interaction between multiple parts on and across various scales of interest. That, however, is distinctively different from classic physiology, which uses biophysics and engineering concepts to describe the biological entity of interest in as much detail as available and, thus, "bottom–up." Let us emphasize that tackling the very same scientific problem from two seemingly opposing sides should not be seen as much as a case of competing approaches but as an exciting opportunity to exploit their mutual strengths in going forward.

Complex Systems Science in BioMedicine presents some of the fundamental theoretical basics of this rapidly emerging field and exemplifies the potential of the new approach by studying such diverse areas as molecular networks and developmental processes, the immune and nervous systems, the heart, cancer, and multi-organ failure. In this effort, the book itself follows a *multi-scaled* approach from molecular to macroscopic, thereby discussing both the normal and diseased states in selected topics. The invited contributions intentionally represent the dynamic state of the field in that biophysics, bioengineering, and computational biology modeling works are put side by side with complex systems-driven approaches. We believe that such juxtaposition not only anchors the new approach properly in established terrain but also helps showcase the differences.

A section on *emergent* technologies, no matter how long, can hardly ever be complete and, since the book was started years back, must run the risk of being outdated by the time of publication. By taking this risk we show by example that this novel approach has already led to and will continue to inspire design and development of cutting edge technology, ranging from micro-fluidics and innovative database management to multi-scale bioengineering, neuromorphic systems, functional MR imaging, and even operating room design. Undoubtedly, these and other techniques will feedback vital data and thus help complex systems science achieve its goals.

Finally, is there something like complex systems *science* at all or is it merely a powerful tool kit? As stated earlier and as reviewed in the book, there are certain techniques that are ubiquitous for the study of complex systems in economics, population dynamics, and biology. The title of the book reveals that we advocate the application of these techniques *also* to relevant areas in biomedicine where reductionism may have reached its limits. Nothing more, nothing less. As such, this book presents visionary ideas and their potential impact on future directions in biomedical research. It is not and cannot be definitive. Rather, we let the reader judge how far this, our field, has come, and if the presented work at this stage represents merely a promising, fresh approach or if it already signals the dawn of a new and yet to be fully defined science.

As described in detail in Yasha Kresh's introductory chapter, the origins of applying systems ideas in one form or another to the life sciences date back at least several decades. And while initial efforts to move complex systems further into the center of mainstream medicine were undertaken by a few pioneers, this has certainly changed. Over the last years, many colleagues have embraced the necessity of moving in this new direction, also documented by the enthusiastic feedback we received when we asked for participation in this multi-authored book. The newly established multidisciplinary graduate and postgraduate training curricula, sprouting complex systems-related academic centers as well as novel crosscutting grant funding programs, are testimony that these ideas are starting to catch on. What counts now are the steps we take in order to further foster this nascent development. As such, if *Complex Systems Science in BioMedicine* can help draw more attention to the application of complexity techniques to important questions in biomedicine and thus help support ongoing

and upcoming scientific, teaching, and training efforts, we will consider it successful.

The quest for novel ways of thinking was what brought us together back in 1996, first as colleagues, now also as friends. It is the immense potential of complex systems science that provided a source of relentless energy for this textbook and that continues to fuel our scientific work.

Thomas S. Deisboeck, MD
Boston, Massachusetts

Stuart A. Kauffman, MD
Santa Fe, New Mexico
2004

CONTENTS

Part I: Introduction

Part II: Complex Systems Science: The Basics

Section III.6: Cancer: A Systems Approach

Section III.7: The Interaction of Complex Biosystems

Part IV: Enabling Technologies