

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 January 1

# Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2009; 48(4): 660–719. doi:10.1002/anie.200801695.

# Recent Advances in the Chemistry and Biology of Naturally Occurring Antibiotics

# K. C. Nicolaou, Jason S. Chen, David J. Edmonds, and Anthony A. Estrada

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037 (USA), Fax: (+1) 858-784-2469 and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093 (USA)

K. C. Nicolaou: kcn@scripps.edu; Jason S. Chen: ; David J. Edmonds: ; Anthony A. Estrada:

# Lead-in

Ever since the world-shaping discovery of penicillin, nature's molecular diversity has been extensively screened for new medications and lead compounds in drug discovery. The search for anti-infective agents intended to combat infectious diseases has been of particular interest and has enjoyed a high degree of success. Indeed, the history of antibiotics is marked with impressive discoveries and drug development stories, the overwhelming majority of which have their origins in nature. Chemistry, and in particular chemical synthesis, has played a major role in bringing naturally occurring antibiotics and their derivatives to the clinic, and no doubt these disciplines will continue to be key enabling technologies for future developments in the field. In this review article, we highlight a number of recent discoveries and advances in the chemistry, biology, and medicine of naturally occurring antibiotics, with particular emphasis on the total synthesis, analog design, and biological evaluation of molecules with novel mechanisms of action.

# Keywords

antibiotics; drugs; natural product; structure-property relationship; synthesis (org.)

# 1. Introduction

The advent of modern antibiotics, beginning with the discovery of penicillin, is undoubtedly one of the most significant developments of the twentieth century. With these medicines, bacterial infections are much more effectively treated, greatly enhancing the life expectancy and quality of life of people around the world. Modern antibiotics have saved uncounted millions of lives, and their role today is as important as ever. The worldwide sales of oral antibiotics totaled \$25 billion USD in 2005.[1]

# 1.1. Historical Overview

The first general purpose antibiotic used in modern medicine was prontosil (1, Figure 1),[2] discovered by Gerhard Domagk in 1932, developed by the Bayer Laboratories, and launched in 1935 by the same company. Prontosil is a synthetic diazo dye containing a sulfonamide functionality, and the first member of a large class of antibacterial agents known as sulfonamides or sulfa drugs. Though largely supplanted by later antibiotics, sulfonamides still have some limited use today. Domagk was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine

Correspondence to: K. C. Nicolaou, kcn@scripps.edu.

Nicolaou et al.

in 1939 "for the discovery of the antibacterial effects of prontosil." Another class of antibacterial agents of synthetic origin is the quinolones [see ciprofloxacin (**2**,Figure 1)],[3] first introduced in 1962. Interestingly, compounds structurally related to the quinolone antibiotics were later isolated from natural sources.[4] Almost four decades would elapse before the next synthetic antibiotic would be introduced. This would be the oxazolidinone linezolid (**3**,Figure 1),[5] whose approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) came in 2000.

Though antibacterial agents of totally synthetic origin are important, they represent only a small fraction of the antibiotics in use today. Indeed, most antibiotics in the clinic can trace their development to the discovery of a natural product lead compound.[6] The history of naturally occurring antibiotics in modern medicine started in 1928 with the discovery by Alexander Fleming that *Penicillium notatum* inhibited bacterial growth around it.[7] The penicillins [see penicillin G (4,Figure 2)] saved the lives of countless soldiers during World War II, and afterward, they became available for civilian use. Penicillins belong to the large family of βlactam antibiotics that also includes the cephalosporins and carbapenems. Ever since their launch,  $\beta$ -lactams have continuously represented the most widely used class of antibiotics. In 1945, Fleming, Chain, and Florey were awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine "for the discovery of penicillin and its curative effect in various infectious diseases." In the two decades after World War II, several new classes of antibacterial agents were developed from naturally occurring antibiotics and brought to the clinic. Among them are the tetracyclines [see tetracycline (5, Figure 2)], the phenylpropanoids [see chloramphenicol (6, Figure 2)], the macrolides [see erythromycin A (7, Figure 2)], and the glycopeptides [see vancomycin (8, Figure 2)]. However, after this period of explosive growth in the development of antibiotics, the introduction of major new classes of natural product-based antibacterial agents stalled. The approval of the lipopeptide daptomycin (9, Figure 2)[8] in 2003 marked the launch of the first natural product-based antibiotic from a new structure class in 41 years.

The long pause in the introduction of new classes of antibacterial agents in recent times is partly due to a prevailing belief near the end of the period of rapid development that bacterial infections were more or less a solved problem.[9] However, in light of the growing problem of antibiotic resistance among clinically relevant pathogens, it soon became clear that this was not the case.[10] Even with careful use of antibiotics, the inevitable onset of bacterial resistance will demand the continued search for and development of new antibiacterial agents. Indeed, resistance to antibiotics of last resort such as vancomycin is now a clinically significant problem,[11] and thus the need for new antibiotics is as urgent as ever. However, with few exceptions, new antibiotics have been next-generation versions of established drugs, and many structure classes are now in their third or fourth generation of development.[6b] While this phenomenon demonstrates the immense potential of the existing leads, it also points to a paucity of diversity within the arsenal of antibacterial agents used in modern medicine. This state of affairs leaves society vulnerable to the likelihood of highly resistant superbugs and the possibility of a dangerous outbreak.

Fortunately, developments in biology and chemistry have improved our ability to discover novel classes of antibiotics from natural sources.[6a,12] Furthermore, it is now easier than ever before to determine the mechanism of action of a newly discovered antibiotic. One can even screen for agents with a particular mechanism of action. Interestingly, progress in genomics has led to the identification of several highly conserved, essential bacterial genes, most of which have not yet been targeted as means to combat bacteria. Collectively, these advances are currently facilitating the discovery of new antibacterial agents with novel mechanisms of action.

From the beginning of the era of modern antibiotics, chemical synthesis has served an important role in the discovery and development of useful antibacterial agents.[6b] Thus, medicinal chemistry on naturally occurring antibiotics has yielded anti-infective agents with improved properties, and semisynthesis often offers a direct and cost effective process for the large scale production of next-generation compounds. And in some instances, such as in the manufacturing of chloramphenicol (6),[13] total synthesis is the preferred means to produce naturally occurring antibiotics due to the inefficiencies of the fermentation method. Even though few clinically used antibiotics are manufactured by total synthesis, the de novo synthesis of naturally occurring antibiotics and their analogs plays a critical role in understanding the mechanism of action and the Structure Activity Relationships (SARs) of many naturally occurring antibiotics.[6b] For example, research stemming from the total synthesis of vancomycin (8,Figure 2) has contributed significantly to the uncovering of its mechanism of action and synthesis of improved analogs that are effective against vancomycin-resistant bacterial strains.[14]

#### 1.2. Scope of Article

The body of work on the chemistry and biology of naturally occurring antibiotics is immense, and a comprehensive review of the subject is unrealistic and nearly impossible. Therefore, this review will limit its scope to works published since 2000, and highlights will be selected from classes of naturally occurring antibiotics for which total syntheses have been reported during this timeframe. Some antibiotics discussed in this review, such as tetracycline (**5**) and thiostrepton (**12**, Figure 3), have a history of extensive use in human and veterinary medicine stretching back in time for many decades. Others, such as pseudomonic acid A (mupirocin, **10**, Figure 3), have seen more limited use to date. Ramoplanin A2 (**13**, Figure 3) is currently in phase III clinical trials. Kinamycin C (**11**, Figure 3) has not been developed into a clinically useful drug, but controversy surrounding its molecular structure lasted for over two decades. The GE thiopeptides, lysobactin (katanosin B, **16**, Figure 3), abyssomycin C (**14**, Figure 3), platensimycin (**17**, Figure 3) and platencin (**18**, Figure 3) all represent new and exciting families of antibiotics that hold promise as novel therapeutic agents and leads for further optimization.

# 2. Tetracycline

The tetracyclines, originally discovered in 1945, [15] were the first broad spectrum antibiotics to be developed. Tetracyclines are effective against Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, and bacteria lacking cell walls. Chlortetracycline (19, Figure 4) entered the clinic in 1948, followed by tetracycline (5) in 1953. The biology, medicine, and agricultural use of tetracyclines has been extensively reviewed, [16] and, therefore, only highlights will be presented here. To date, at least ten members of the tetracycline family have been used in human medicine. In addition, tetracyclines are heavily used in veterinary medicine, both for the treatment of bacterial infections and as feed additives.[16,17] The use of tetracyclines as feed additives was first reported in 1949,[18] and this usage was first approved by the FDA in 1951. Tetracyclines are also used to prevent and combat infections of commercially valuable fish, trees, and insects.[17] All told, an estimated 5000 metric tons of tetracyclines are consumed annually.[19] Given the pervasive use of tetracyclines for the last sixty years, it is not surprising that many resistant bacterial strains have emerged. This problem of growing bacterial resistance has no doubt contributed to the decline in the use of tetracyclines in human medicine. However, in view of their good safety profile, abundant supply, and broad spectrum activity, tetracyclines remain first line agents for a variety of indications, including acne vulgaris, cholera, Lyme disease, and pneumonia.[16d] Tetracyclines are also used as alternative agents for other indications, including the treatment of certain protozoan diseases such as malaria.[20]

Nicolaou et al.

Tetracyclines inhibit bacterial growth by reversibly binding to the prokaryotic 30S ribosomal subunit and blocking the interaction of the ribosome with aminoacyl-tRNA, thus inhibiting protein biosynthesis.[16d] By contrast, tetracyclines interact weakly with the eukaryotic 80S ribosomal subunit. However, tetracyclines do inhibit mitochondrial protein biosynthesis, and this accounts for some of their antiparasitic activity. Interestingly, some tetracycline-susceptible parasites do not possess mitochondria, and the mechanism of action of tetracyclines against such protozoa is not known. Tetracycline resistance rarely is the result of a mutation in the bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit, but, rather, is usually conferred by the acquisition of one or more resistance genes.[16d] These genes encode either an efflux pump or a ribosome-protecting protein, and over thirty such genes have been characterized.

Tetracycline (**5**) possesses a tetracyclic framework (ABCD, see Figure 4) containing a congested array of functionalities and six contiguous stereocenters. Semisynthetic tetracyclines [21] have been extensively investigated, and the recent development of the semisynthetic glycylglycines[16b,22] demonstrates the continued importance of studying semisynthetic analogs. However, de novo synthesis would ultimately provide access to a larger universe of analogs. Not surprisingly, the high level of molecular complexity within a deceptively simple carbon framework combined with the medically important broad spectrum antibacterial activity of the tetracyclines has attracted the attention of many synthetic organic chemists. Seminal works toward the total synthesis of the tetracyclines include those by the laboratories of R. B. Woodward,[23] H. Muxfeldt,[24] and G. Stork.[25] Also of note is a semisynthesis of tetracycline by H. H. Wasserman and coworkers.[26] The mechanism of tetracycline biosynthesis is well studied,[16a] but it provides little assistance to the synthetic chemist.

In 2000, Tatsuta and coworkers disclosed the first total synthesis of tetracycline.[27] As shown in Scheme 1, their synthesis featured a Diels–Alder cycloaddition[28] to forge the AB ring system and a Michael reaction Dieckmann condensation cascade to append the C and D rings. Thus, heating diene **20** and D-glucosamine-derived enone **21** to 170 °C afforded a Diels–Alder cycloadduct which was then subjected to Jones oxidation conditions to give the AB ring system **22**. The newly formed enone moiety was then reacted with the lithium anion of lactone **23** in a Michael reaction–Dieckmann condensation cascade to furnish tetracyclic compound **24**, possessing the entire tetracycline carbon framework, as an inconsequential mixture of diastereomers. A series of functional group manipulations provided anhydrotetracycline (**25**); and using the method described in the semisynthesis of tetracycline (**5**) disclosed by Wasserman and coworkers,[26] anhydrotetracycline (**25**) was photooxidized in the presence of molecular oxygen and tetraphenylporphine (TPP) as a sensitizer to yield hydroperoxide **26**. Platinum black-promoted hydrogenolysis of the crude hydroperoxide and concomitant reduction of the tetrasubstituted olefin completed the total synthesis of tetracycline (**5**).

Five years later, Myers and coworkers disclosed a second total synthesis of tetracycline[29] as part of a continuing program to develop next-generation tetracycline analogs.[30] The Myers synthesis featured a late-stage convergent assembly of the carbon skeleton via a Diels–Alder cycloaddition.[28] The AB ring system **34** (Scheme 2) was initially synthesized from benzoic acid,[30] but an improved synthesis of this compound[31] commenced with an enantioselective addition of divinylzinc to isoxazole aldehyde **27**, promoted by norephedrine-derived chiral auxiliary **28**,[32] to provide optically active alcohol **29** in 93 % *ee*. Alcohol **29** was converted into tertiary amine **30**, which was lithiated and trapped with furan aldehyde **31** to yield intermediate **32** as an inconsequential mixture of diastereomers. Compound **32** was heated to 105 °C in order to effect an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction to furnish, after oxidation of the secondary hydroxyl group, intermediate **33**. The latter was smoothly converted by a sequence of functional group manipulations to AB ring system **34**.

A mixture of AB ring fragment 34 and excess cyclobutene derivative 35[33] was heated neat to 85 °C to yield pentacycle 37 (Scheme 3). Presumably, thermal  $4\pi$  ring opening of cyclobutene 35 generated transient diene 36, which was trapped by a Diels-Alder cycloaddition with the enone moiety of 34. Interestingly, the unprotected hydroxyl group of 34 appears to be a necessary feature of the dienophile for the success of this Diels-Alder reaction. Attempts to perform the reaction with hydroxyl-protected derivatives of 34, with or without Lewis acid catalysis, did not yield the desired cycloadduct. Cleavage of the silyl ether within intermediate 37 and subsequent oxidation provided triketone 38. The tertiary amine of 38 was protected by protonation, and then the sulfide moiety was oxidatively eliminated to generate naphthalene structure **39**. The latter intermediate was not isolated since it spontaneously oxidized upon exposure to air to afford hydroperoxide 40. One possible explanation for this surprisingly facile autooxidation [compare with the sensitizer-promoted photooxidation of anhydrotetracycline (25,Scheme 1)[26,27]] is that the isoxazole ring system may serve as an internal sensitizer for this process. Subjecting the so-obtained hydroperoxide (40) to a hydrogen atmosphere and catalytic palladium black resulted in hydrogenolysis of the peroxide bond, hydrogenation of the tetrasubstituted alkene, and cleavage of the isoxazole N-O bond to complete the total synthesis of tetracycline (5).

The described total syntheses of tetracycline may facilitate the development of another generation of tetracycline-based therapeutics. Indeed, the Myers total synthesis was part of a program directed towards the development of new tetracycline-based antibiotics.[30] While de novo synthesis of tetracycline analogs may not match the low cost of fermentation, it allows access to analogs that are not obtainable by semisynthesis. Notable analogs designed, synthesized, and evaluated by the Myers laboratory include 6-deoxytetracycline (**41**, Figure 5) and pentacyclic derivative **42** (Figure 5). Antibacterial testing of these analogs (Table 1) revealed promising properties, including activity against pathogens that are resistant to tetracycline (such as *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 700699). No doubt, further research may uncover even more effective compounds within the tetracycline class, giving hope for the emergence of a new generation of antibiotics.

#### 3. Thiopeptide Antibiotics

The thiopeptide family of antibiotics appeared on the scientific scene with the isolation of micrococcin (**43**, Figure 6) in 1948.[34] Since then, approximately thirty different sub-families spanning over 75 thiopeptide natural products have been discovered, including the flagship compound of this class, thiostrepton (**12**), in 1954.[35] The chemistry and biology of thiopeptide antibiotics has been well reviewed,[36] so only highlights will be presented here. Despite the broad diversity in their structural frameworks, nearly all of these secondary metabolites exert their biological activity through the inhibition of bacterial protein biosynthesis. Furthermore, they mainly target Gram-positive bacteria, and most are highly effective against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), making them attractive potential drug leads in the face of growing bacterial resistance to existing antibiotics. Characteristic structural features of the thiopeptides include sulfur- and nitrogen-containing heterocycles, complex macrocyclic frameworks, indole structural motifs, tri- and tetrasubstituted pyridine cores, and unnatural amino acids. Thiostrepton is currently used as a topical antibiotic in animal health care,[37] but its low water solubility and poor bioavailability has precluded, so far, its use in humans.

While only a handful of thiopeptide antibiotics have been constructed by total synthesis, the continuous development of novel methods for heterocycle synthesis will fuel, no doubt, future synthetic undertakings in the field. Since 2000, amythiamicin D (44, Figure 6), thiostrepton (12), GE2270A (45, Figure 6), GE2270T (46, Figure 6), GE2270C1 (47, Figure 6), and

siomycin A (**48**, Figure 6) have succumbed to total synthesis. These synthetic endeavors will be discussed briefly below.

# 3.1. Amythiamicin D

Amythiamicin D (44) was isolated in 1994 from *Amycolatopsis* sp. MI481–42F4, and its structure was determined by degradative and spectroscopic techniques.[38] In addition to inhibiting the growth of Gram-positive bacteria, amythiamicin D also inhibits the action of elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu), a GTP-dependent translation factor associated with antimalarial activity in blood cultures of *Plasmodium falciparum* (the parasite responsible for human malaria).[39] These fascinating biological properties, the need to deduce the stereochemistry of the three chiral centers of the molecule, and the potential for a biosynthetically-inspired construction of the 2,3,6-trisubstituted pyridine core prompted the Moody group to embark on a total synthesis of amythiamicin D, which they completed in 2004.[40] This group had previously achieved the total synthesis of promothiocin A in 1998.[41]

The strategy devised by Moody et al. towards amythiamicin D (44) is shown retrosynthetically in Figure 7. Dissection of the structure at four amide bonds revealed four key building blocks (49–52), of which the trisubstituted pyridine core 49 presented the most significant synthetic challenge. The team opted to carry out a ring construction rather than functionalize a more readily available pyridine system. Additionally, they faced the task of installing orthogonal ester protecting groups at the thiazolyl carboxy termini of fragment 49 in order to avoid the potential complication of differentiating these two esters.

Thiazole building block **50** was constructed using Moody's rhodium carbene N–H insertion methodology.[42] Thus, as shown in Scheme 4, aspartic acid derivative **53** and diazo compound **54** underwent a chemoselective N–H insertion reaction in the presence of catalytic dirhodium tetraoctanoate ( $Rh_2Oct_4$ ) to furnish an inconsequential mixture of  $\beta$ -ketoamide diastereomers (**55**). Exposure of **55** to Lawesson's reagent[43] and subsequent functional group manipulations provided thiazole building block **50**. This newly designed and productive method has already been adopted by others in the field and is a valuable addition to the commonly employed Hantzsch reaction[44] for the construction of thiazoles. Peptide coupling of intermediates **50** and **52** and subsequent acidic deprotection yielded dithiazole **56**.

Scheme 5 summarizes Moody's hetero-Diels–Alder based construction of the pyridine core of anythiamicin D (44), the assembly of the key building blocks, and the final stages of the synthesis. While the existence of Diels–Alderase enzymes is still being debated, there is no doubt about the usefulness of Diels–Alder based strategies inspired by biosynthetic considerations.[28] Such is the case with the proposal put forth originally by Bycroft and Gowland,[45] and subsequently by Floss and coworkers,[46] in which the 2,3,6-trisubstituted pyridine core of thiopeptide antibiotics such as amythiamicin D (44) [as well as the dehydropiperidine core of thiostrepton (12) and siomycin A (48)] is hypothesized to be constructed biosynthetically from serine-based dehydroalanine units. The syntheses of the corresponding core structures of amythiamicin D (44), thiostrepton (12), and the GE2270 compounds (45–47, see below) provide experimental support for this hypothesis.

The azadiene component (**59**, Scheme 5) for the synthesis of amythiamicin D was generated from the reaction of bisthiazole imidate **58** with amine hydrochloride **57**, followed by DBU-assisted elimination of the primary acetate from the condensation product. The biomimetic Diels–Alder reaction was then conducted in a microwave[47] at 120 °C in the presence of the dehydroalanine-like dienophile **60** to furnish the desired pyridine core (**49**) in 33 % yield. Subsequent elaboration of pyridine **49**, involving peptide couplings with protected glycine **51** and dithiazole fragment **56**, afforded macrocyclization precursor **61**. Simultaneous removal of the *tert*-butyl protecting groups and macrolactamization completed the synthesis of

amythiamicin D (44) in 73 % yield over the final two steps, and confirmed Moody's suspicion that the three stereocenters within the molecule were derived from naturally occurring amino acids.

#### 3.2. Thiostrepton

Isolated in 1954 from *Streptomyces azureus* and subsequently from *Streptomyces hawaiiensis* and *Streptomyces laurentii*,[35] thiostrepton (**12**) is the flagship member of the thiopeptide antibiotics. Thiostrepton displays a remarkable biological profile, including potent activity against a broad spectrum of Gram-positive bacteria [including multiple drug resistant pathogens such as MRSA and VRE (vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*)],[37,48] micromolar activity against several tumor cell lines,[48,49] activity against *P. falciparum*,[50] and immunosuppressive properties.[51] Its mechanism of action, similar to that of many other thiopeptide antibiotics, involves binding to the 23S region of the bacterial ribosomal RNA and protein L11, thereby inhibiting the GTPase-dependent function of the 50S ribosomal RNA and thus inhibiting protein biosynthesis.[52] The biosynthetic origin of thiostrepton has been thoroughly investigated, and the hypothesized biogenetic Diels–Alder pathway[46b] inspired the Nicolaou laboratory's synthetic efforts[53] toward the construction of the dehyropiperidine nucleus of the molecule. These studies also shed considerable light on the biosynthetic origins of the quinaldic acid residue of thiostrepton.[54]

As shown in Figure 8, Nicolaou and coworkers envisioned thiostrepton (12) as arising from the convergent assembly of five building blocks (63–67). Six retrosynthetic disconnections were envisioned, namely four amide bond formations, one macrolactamization and one macrolactonization, as indicated on fully masked structure 62. This disassembly provided a flexible and convergent approach to thiostrepton (12) since the chosen sites for ring closure could be altered if necessary. The overall complexity of thiostrepton, the acute sensitivity of its structural motifs such as the dehydropiperidine and thiazoline moieties and the dehydroalanine units, as well as the challenging task of installing and maintaining the seventeen stereogenic centers and the Z-trisubstituted olefin posed considerable challenges to its total synthesis. The Nicolaou laboratory's synthesis of thiostrepton was completed in 2004.

Prompted by the intriguing hypothesis of the natural origins of thiostrepton, [46b] the Nicolaou team adopted an aza-Diels-Alder dimerization strategy (Scheme 6) in which thiazolidine 68 would be utilized as the precursor for both the diene and the dienophile in their projected construction of the dehydropiperidine core (65) of the molecule. After extensive experimentation, it was found that exposure of thiazolidine 68 to a mixture of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, pyridine, DBU and BnNH2 at -12 °C furnished a ca. 1:1 inseparable mixture of C5/C6 dehydropiperidine diastereomers 65 and 65' in 60 % total yield. The proposed mechanistic pathway for this cascade sequence commences with formation of the fleeting azadiene intermediate 69, which spontaneously undergoes the dimerization illustrated in depiction 70 through an *endo* transition state to provide a mixture of imines (71 + 71'). A tautomerization can then occur to give, through enamines 72 and 72', stereoselective formation of bicyclic byproducts 73 and 73' by an aza-Mannich cyclization (Path B). Alternatively, capture of the initially formed imine (71 + 71') by BnNH<sub>2</sub> generates the desired mixture of products 65 and 65' after hydrolysis of intermediates 74 and 74', as well as aldehyde 75 as a recyclable byproduct (Path A). Water was initially used in place of BnNH<sub>2</sub>, but the weaker nucleophilicity of water toward imine intermediates 71 and 71' favored formation of the undesired bicyclic products 73 and 73'.

With the dehydropiperidine system in hand, the seemingly trivial task of coupling the mixture of primary amines **65** and **65'** with an appropriate carboxy donor proved to be problematic because of an undesired six- to five-membered imine ring contraction. A systematic investigation revealed that the use of a small electrophile such as **66** (Scheme 7) allowed direct

acylation without attendant isomerization to provide, after transesterification, the desired sixmembered ring coupled products **76** and **76'**. Subsequent reduction of the azide functionality and separation of the diastereomeric mixture provided fragment **77**.

The quinaldic acid building block (67) was traced back to methyl ester 79, prepared from commercially available 2-quinoline carboxylic acid (78, Scheme 8). A Boekelheide-type sequence[55] followed by dehydration with Burgess reagent[56] generated olefin 80. Various diastereoselective epoxidation methods were tested, and it was found that the (R,R)-Katsuki manganese salen catalyst (81)[57] provided the desired epoxide 82 in 87:13 *dr*. Radical bromination and elimination of the so-formed bromide afforded 83. Allylic epoxide 83 was then transformed into carboxylic acid 85 through a synthetic sequence that featured a regio-and stereoselective epoxide opening with L-Ile-OAllyl (84) as the key step. Carboxylic acid 85 was coupled with amine 86 to give, after allyl ester cleavage, quinaldic acid fragment 67.

With gram-scale synthetic routes toward the required fragments secured, Nicolaou and coworkers proceeded to assemble them toward a total synthesis of thiostrepton. Thus, fragment **77** was transformed to amino alcohol **87** (Scheme 9), then coupled with thiazole acid **63**. The resulting diester was subjected to Me<sub>3</sub>SnOH-mediated hydrolysis, producing an inseparable mixture of regioisomeric monoacids **88** and **88'**. The scope, selectivity, synthetic utility, and ability of the very mild reagent Me<sub>3</sub>SnOH to hydrolyze epimerization-sensitive substrates has been demonstrated by the Nicolaou group,[58] and has found broad applicability in complex natural product total synthesis.[59] The mixture of monoacids **88** and **88'** underwent azide reduction and macrolactamization to provide the 26-membered macrocycle **90** after hydrolysis of **89**. As anticipated, the undesired monoacid **88'** did not macrolactamize, most likely due to unfavorable strain interactions as suggested by manual molecular modeling.

The next task, the preparation of the 27-membered quinaldic acid-containing macrocyclic intermediate **62** (Scheme 10), proved to be the most problematic. The primary obstacle encountered was the reactivity of the dehydroalanine moieties of thiostrepton, which were prone to palladium-mediated reduction, Et<sub>2</sub>NH-mediated fragmentation, and addition of nucleophiles in a Michael fashion.[60] A solution was found by masking the dehydroalanine functionalities (as in dipeptide **64**) until the penultimate step. Thus, coupling of carboxylic acid **90** with **64** provided intermediate **91**. Alloc deprotection and coupling to fragment **67** afforded fluorenylmethyl ester **92**, which was deprotected and subjected to Yamaguchi macrolactonization conditions[61] to furnish macrolactone **62**, possessing the complete macrocyclic framework of thiostrepton. Gratifyingly, oxidative elimination of the three phenylseleno groups followed by simultaneous global desilylation and dehydration of the secondary TES-protected alcohol proceeded smoothly to furnish synthetic thiostrepton (**12**). The formation of the desired olefin geometry was rationalized as being due to an *anti*-periplanar elimination.

With the total synthesis of thiostrepton completed, the synthetic fragments and derivatives thereof were tested for biological activity. In these investigations, it was discovered that dehydropiperidine core analog **93** (Figure 9), despite its considerably reduced complexity to that of thiostrepton (**12**), maintained comparable antibacterial activity and surpassed the antitumor activity of the parent compound against several cancer cell lines.[48] Additionally, compound **93** showed a 30-fold difference in its ability to differentiate between human and bacterial cells, providing a promising therapeutic window for this potential drug lead. This unexpected discovery demonstrates the importance of natural product total synthesis as a tool in the investigation of biologically active substances.

#### 3.3. Siomycin A

Isolated in 1961 from Streptomyces sioyaensis, [62] siomycin A (48) is almost structurally identical to thiostrepton (12), with the only difference being the dehydroalanine-valine unit connected to the quinaldic acid in siomycin A (48) rather than the alanine-isoleucine residues present in thiostrepton (12). Siomycin A is active against Gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria,[62] and its structural elucidation was accomplished through extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis[63] and degradative studies.[64] The first total synthesis of siomycin A was reported in 2007 by Hashimoto, Nakata, and coworkers.[65] Their retrosynthetic analysis dissects a late-stage precursor to siomycin A (94) into five simplified fragments (64, 95-98) as indicated by the peptide bond, ester, and macrolactamization disconnections shown in Figure 10. In examining the building block construction and subsequent assembly of siomycin A (48), the major differences that set this total synthesis apart from the thiostrepton synthesis discussed above are the differing strategies utilized for the synthesis of dehydropiperidine core 96 and the installation of the Z-trisubstituted olefin, the late-stage thiazoline formation, and the order in which the fragments were stitched together. Therefore, we will highlight the construction of dehydropiperidine 96 and its incorporation with the remaining fragments into the growing molecule that eventually yielded the natural product.

Hashimoto and Nakata's preparation of the dehydropiperidine core (**96**) commenced with a stereoselective 1,2-addition between chiral sulfinimine **100** and dehydropyrrolidine **99** to furnish addition product **102** in 71 % yield (Scheme 11). Both the (*R*)- and (*S*)- sulfinimine auxilliaries were investigated, and the stereochemistry of the major product was found to be controlled by the configuration of the sulfinimine group. The authors propose a transition state such as shown in depiction **101** to rationalize the observed stereochemical outcome. It is also possible that the reaction proceeds via a [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition mechanism, followed by fragmentation of the initially formed bicyclic aminal.[66] After desulfinylation of the 1,2-addition product with TFA to provide amine **103**, the resulting dehydropyrrolidine underwent equilibration with its six-membered ring relative **104**. Exposure of this mixture to NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN yielded piperidine **105** as the only isolated reduction product. The authors attributed this preference for reduction of the six-membered ring imine (**104**) to the steric hindrance around the imine functionality in dehydropyrrolidine **103**.[67] Piperidine system **105** was then transformed into intermediate **96** through functional group manipulations and coupling with Bpoc-L-Ala-OH (**106**).

The convergent fragment assembly process commenced with an intermolecular esterification between dehydropiperidine core **96** and quinaldic acid fragment **98** to yield ester **107** (Scheme 12). A series of deprotections and incorporation of bisphenylseleno amine **97** afforded intermediate **108**. Subjecting **108** to HATU-facilitated cyclization gave, after acid-induced *N*-Boc and mono-TBS cleavage, the 27-membered quinaldic acid-containing macrolactam **109**. After appending thioamide fragment **95** through another peptide coupling, a late-stage, DAST-mediated thiazoline formation was conducted to yield macrolactam precursor **110**. The decision to perform this transformation near the end of the synthesis was a witty strategic maneuver since the thiazoline moiety is prone to epimerization, and great care must be taken to avoid basic conditions in order to maintain its stereochemical integrity, as Nicolaou and coworkers also experienced in their thiostrepton synthesis.[53] Unable to differentiate between the two thiazolyl TMSE esters, Hashimoto and Nakata resorted to employing an excess of ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, which resulted in hydrolysis of both esters as well as the rupture of both the *N*-Teoc and acetonide functionalities to give amino diacid **111**.

Maintaining optimism, this was viewed as an opportunity to attempt a one-pot macrolactamization-peptide chain elongation reaction. Thus, after examining a variety of peptide coupling conditions, Hashimoto and Nakata found that under high dilution conditions (1 mM solution) amino diacid **111** underwent the desired transformation in the presence of

HATU and bisphenylseleno fragment **64** (Scheme 13). The action of HATU presumably activated both carboxylic acid functionalities, resulting in formation of a macrolactam containing an activated ester (**112**). This activated ester then coupled with bisphenylseleno fragment **64** to give protected siomycin A (**94**). The crude product was then subjected to global desilylation and oxidative elimination of the five phenylseleno moieties to furnish synthetic siomycin A (**48**) in 7 % yield over four steps from **110** (along with the regioisomeric cyclization–elongation product in 8 % yield).

# 3.4. GE2270 Factors

The GE2270 factors were isolated in 1991 from the fermentation broth of *Planobispora rosea* ATCC53773.[68] This family of thiopeptide antibiotics consists of ten structurally related compounds. Their structural elucidation was a result of extensive spectroscopic[69] and degradation studies,[70] as well as the determination of the relative and absolute stereochemistry of the hydroxy phenylalanine domain by Heckmann and Bach.[71] Active against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (including MRSA and VRE),[72] these antibiotics inhibit bacterial protein biosynthesis by acting on the elongation factor Ef-Tu[73] in a similar fashion to amythiamicin D (44). This segment of the review will focus on the recent synthesis of GE2270A (45), GE2270T (46), and GE2270C1 (47) in the Nicolaou–Chen laboratory in Singapore,[74] as well as the concise synthesis of GE2270A (45) that followed shortly thereafter by Bach and coworkers.[75] Recently, Nicoloau, Dethe, and Chen reported the total syntheses of amythiamicins A, B, and C using a similar strategy to that described here for the GE2270 factors.[40c]

The retrosynthetic analysis of GE2270A (**45**), GE2270T (**46**) and GE2270C1 (**47**) employed by the Nicolaou–Chen team[74] took advantage of the numerous sites for amide bond formation (**a**–**d**, Figure 11) as potential junctures for ring closure, allowing for considerable flexibility in the assembly process. The other two disconnection sites involve oxazoline/ oxazole formation and L-prolinamide peptide coupling. The building blocks envisioned for this strategy (**51**, **113–118**, Figure 11) were comprised mainly of amino acid precursors, with the synthesis of 2,3,6-trisubstituted pyridine core fragment **114** being the most daunting. Since the three natural products (**45–47**) are closely related to one another, this strategy was expected to be applicable to the synthesis of all three antibiotics.

As shown in Scheme 14, the Nicolaou–Chen synthesis featured a key aza-Diels–Alder[28] dimerization cascade[76] similar to that previously optimized during the Nicolaou laboratory's thiostrepton total synthesis.[53] Thus, thiazoline intermediate **119** was subjected to the aza-Diels–Alder conditions and subsequent DBU-promoted deamination and aromatization to forge pyridine system **114** in 18 % overall yield. This key reaction presumably proceeded via a fleeting azadiene intermediate dimerizing in a Diels–Alder fashion through transition state **120**.

The four potential macrocyclization sites  $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$  (Figure 11) were evaluated, and it was determined experimentally that successful macrolactamizations could be carried out at sites  $\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{d}$ , but not at site  $\mathbf{a}$ .[74b] The sequence involving macrolactamization at site  $\mathbf{c}$  is shown in Scheme 15. Thus, tetrathiazole 114 was *N*-Boc deprotected and then coupled with Boc-Gly-OH (51) to provide amide 121. A subsequent *N*-Boc deprotection and coupling with dithiazole 123 [synthesized by joining building blocks 113 and 117 (Figure 11)] furnished diester 124. Unable to differentiate between the two thiazole methyl esters in 124, the Nicolaou–Chen team resorted to Me<sub>3</sub>SnOH hydrolysis,[58,59] which yielded a mixture of regioisomeric monoacids 125 and 125'. After unmasking the free amine, FDPP-assisted macrolactamization under high dilution conditions afforded macrolactam 126 in 20 % overall yield from the mixture of monoacids 125 and 125'. As with the 26-membered macrolactam formation in the thiostrepton

synthesis, the undesired monoacid did not macrolactamize. This is, again, most likely attributed to unfavorable strain interactions.

With the successful formation of macrolactam **126**, the first total synthesis of GE2270A (**45**) and GE2270T (**46**) was within reach. Thus, as shown in Scheme 16, peptide coupling of **126** with H-L-Ser-OMe (**115**) and oxazoline formation produced intermediate **127**. Diverging from this advanced material, Me<sub>3</sub>SnOH hydrolysis and L-prolinamide (**116**) coupling furnished GE2270A (**45**), while oxazole formation followed by the same two transformations yielded GE2270T (**46**).

A superior route for the total synthesis of GE2270C1 (**47**, Scheme 17) was developed involving the peptide coupling of carboxylic acid **128** [differing from dithiazole **123** (Scheme 15) by the exclusion of the methoxymethyl group absent in GE2270C1] with the previously employed amine **122** to give diester **129**. After revealing the diacid and amino functional groups, a one-pot macrolactamization–peptide chain elongation was accomplished by subjection to HATU in order to promote ring closure, followed by addition of H-L-Ser-OMe (**115**) to furnish coupled macrolactam **131** in 35 % overall yield for the three steps. This sequence likely proceeds via presumed HOAt-activated ester intermediate **130**, and significantly improved the final approach to the GE2270 factors. The final operations needed to complete the first total synthesis of GE2270C1 (**47**) were oxazoline formation, hydrolysis to **132**, L-prolinamide (**116**) coupling, and desilylation.

Shortly after the disclosure of the Nicolaou–Chen synthesis of GE2270A (**45**) and GE2270T (**46**), Bach and coworkers published a remarkably concise synthesis of GE2270A (**45**).[75] As shown in Scheme 18, their synthetic route featured a unique approach which centered around three successive regioselective palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions,[77] undoubtedly inspired by Bach's experience in this field,[78] to attach three advanced fragments onto the central pyridine core. This strategy was demonstrated initially in the same 2005 communication that included the elucidation of the previously unknown relative and absolute stereochemistry of the hydroxy phenylalanine domain by the de novo synthesis of a GE2270A degradation product.[71] Thus, a peptide coupling between carboxylic acid **134** and amine **133** provided iodide **135** (Scheme 18). Two sequential Negishi couplings[79] were then performed, first between **135** and organozinc reagent **136** to afford dibromo pyridine **137**, then a regioselective Negishi coupling with thiazolyl zinc reagent **138** to furnish bromo pyridine **139**.

To complete the total synthesis of GE2270A (**45**), Bach evaluated two potential macrocyclization strategies: a macrolactamization reaction at site **b** (Figure 11), as had been previously demonstrated by the Nicolaou–Chen group,[74] and an intramolecular Stille coupling.[80] The latter was ultimately chosen for implementation as the macrolactamization sequence suffered from low yields. Therefore, bromostannane **141** (Scheme 18), derived from the saponification of ester **139** and subsequent peptide coupling with amine **140**, underwent efficient intramolecular Stille coupling to afford macrolactam **142** in 75 % yield. Acidic cleavage of the *tert*-butyl ester, TOTU-mediated coupling with amine **143**, DAST cyclization, and desilylation completed the total synthesis of GE2270A (**45**) in 20 linear steps and 4.8 % overall yield.

# 4. Pseudomonic Acids

The pseudomonic acids [see for example pseudomonic acids A (**10**, Figure 12) and C (**144**, Figure 12)] were isolated from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and comprise a class of antibiotics with potent activity against Gram-positive bacteria and selected Gram-negative bacteria.[81] Due to the clinical importance of mupirocin (a mixture of pseudomonic acids containing 90 % pseudomonic acid A), the biology and medicine of the pseudomonic acids has been extensively reviewed.[82] Discovered in 1971,[81] pseudomonic acid A (**10**) is used in the clinic as a topical

disinfectant and antibiotic. However, its low bioavailability and metabolic instability (the ester moiety is readily hydrolyzed in vivo, resulting in an inactive metabolite) has hindered attempts to develop it as an oral antibiotic. The thiomarinols [see thiomarinol A (**145**, Figure 12)][83] and a related unnamed compound (**146**, Figure 12)[84] are rare marine natural products discovered in the 1990s with structures reminiscent of the pseudomonic acids, but possessing greater potency against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

The pseudomonic acids are competitive inhibitors of bacterial isoleucyl–tRNA synthetases (IleRSs).[82] These antibiotics inhibit protein biosynthesis by blocking formation of the enzyme–isoleucine complex that transfers the amino acid to tRNA. However, they bind only weakly to the corresponding eukaryotic IleRSs, minimizing eukaryotic toxicity. This unusual mechanism of action has resulted in a relatively slow emergence of resistant bacterial strains and minimal development of cross-resistance with other antibiotics.[85] Interestingly, it was recently found that the producing strain, *P. fluorescens*, possesses two different IleRSs, one of which is similar to eukaryotic IleRSs.[86] The presence of this eukaryotic-like IleRS allows protein biosynthesis to proceed in the producing strain even in the presence of high concentrations of pseudomonic acids.

The pseudomonic acids are polyketides, and their biosynthesis has been extensively studied. [87] The genetic machinery performing the biosynthesis of the pseudomonic acids, consisting of six proteins responsible for polyketide biosynthesis and 26 polypeptides performing tailoring functions, is well characterized. Recently, mutational analysis has determined that every open reading frame present in the 74 kilobase gene cluster is required for the biosynthesis of pseudomonic acid A, and has revealed the sequence in which many of these proteins function. [87]

In light of the recognized importance of mupirocin, it is not surprising that this class of compounds has received considerable attention from synthetic chemists. Extensive work on the preparation and evaluation of semisynthetic analogs in order to elucidate the SARs of this class of molecules has been performed, notably by researchers at SmithKline Beecham (now part of GlaxoSmithKline).[88] The company markets mupirocin as the topical antibacterial agent Bactroban<sup>®</sup>. The most notable structural motif of the pseudomonic acids from the chemical synthesis point of view is the densely functionalized tetrahydropyran core, and many strategies for its construction have been developed. The first total synthesis of pseudomonic acid S fourteen total syntheses and formal total syntheses of pseudomonic acids had been published. These syntheses have been reviewed elsewhere.[90] Two more total syntheses, from the laboratories of Willis and Hall, have been disclosed since then and are discussed below.

In 2000, Willis and coworkers published a novel total synthesis of pseudomonic acid C (144) that featured two Baeyer–Villiger oxidations to prepare the tetrahydropyran core of the molecule (151, Scheme 19).[91] Dihydroxylation and subsequent silyl protection of optically active ketone 147 gave compound 148, which was subjected to Baeyer–Villiger oxidation conditions to furnish lactone 149. Reductive opening of the lactone and capping of the resulting primary hydroxyl group afforded secondary alcohol 150, which was oxidized to the corresponding ketone and subjected to a second Baeyer–Villiger oxidation to give lactone 151. Alkylation of the latter compound with allylic iodide 152 (Scheme 20) provided compound 153, which was elaborated through a sequence of standard manipulations to furnish methyl ketone 154. Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefination with phosphonoacetate derivative 155 gave, after desilylation, methyl pseudomonate C (156), which could be carefully hydrolyzed to yield pseudomonic acid C (144).

In 2005, Gao and Hall disclosed the first synthesis of the unnamed compound 146.[92] Application of an inverse electron demand Diels-Alder cycloaddition[28] and allylboration sequence developed by the Hall and Carreaux laboratories[93] led to an efficient entry into the requisite core structure (162, Scheme 21). Thus, an enantioselective Diels-Alder cycloaddition of boronic ester 157 and vinyl ether 158 was promoted at room temperature by Jacobsen's chiral Cr(III) catalyst 159.[94] It is interesting to note that vinyl ether 158 was difficult to obtain as a single isomer, and thus a mixture of isomers was employed. The desired Z-isomer was more reactive, and separation of isomers was unnecessary. After a quick filtration to remove the catalyst, the resulting cycloadduct (160) entered into a sluggish allylboration with aldehyde 161 to furnish key intermediate 162 in 76 % overall yield and with very high stereoselectivity (98 % dr, 95 % ee). This compound was elaborated to sulfone 163, which was subjected to Julia-Kocienski olefination conditions with aldehyde 164 to produce ester 165, as shown in Scheme 21. The ester moiety of 165 was hydrolyzed, and the so-revealed carboxylic acid was coupled to alcohol 166 to yield the protected natural product (167, Scheme 22). Fluoridemediated desilylation and subsequent acid-promoted acetonide cleavage generated the natural product (146). This entire sequence was accomplished in an impressive 22 % overall yield from boronic ester 157. The ability to produce pseudomonic acid analogs in high yield through a de novo synthesis is expected to enable SAR studies with analogs that are inaccessible from the natural substances.

#### 5. Kinamycin C

The kinamycins [see kinamycin C (**11**, Figure 13)] are a class of antibacterial agents first discovered in 1970 by Omura and coworkers.[95] The kinamycins possess potent activity against Gram-positive bacteria, and kinamycin C also possesses modest cytotoxicity. On the basis of X-ray crystallographic analysis and chemical correlation, kinamycin C was originally assigned the cyanamide-containing structure **168** (Figure 13). In a long odyssey that has been reviewed elsewhere,[96] the structure of the kinamycins was the subject of investigation for many years. These studies culminated in revision of their originally assigned structures to the now accepted diazobenzofluorene compounds (as in **11**) as independently reported by the Gould[97] and Dmitrienko [98] groups in 1994.

Not surprisingly, the interesting biological profile and the novel and disputed structures of the kinamycins attracted the attention of several synthetic chemists. The first total synthesis of kinamycin C was completed by Lei and Porco in 2006.[99] The Porco total synthesis features a Stille cross coupling reaction [77,80] and a Friedel–Crafts acylation to assemble the kinamycin skeleton. Cross coupling partner 172 was prepared from phenol 169 as shown in Scheme 23. Thus, phenol 169 was oxidized to a partially protected quinone, and manipulation of the protecting groups provided compound 170. A one-carbon unit was installed onto 170 under Baylis-Hillman conditions[100] to give, after an enantioselective epoxidation, epoxide 171. Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation conditions[101] provided the epoxide in 85 % yield and 70 % ee. The low performance of this process prompted further studies that ultimately led to a tartrate-promoted asymmetric nucleophilic epoxidation, [102] which gave the desired epoxide in 94 % yield and 90 % ee. Epoxide 171 was then converted through a standard sequence of manipulations to vinyl bromide 172. Stille cross coupling [77,80] of vinyl bromide 172 with aryl stannane 173 yielded coupled product 174 (Scheme 24), which was transformed into carboxylic acid 175 by standard chemistry. This set the stage for a critical intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation, which proceeded smoothly upon exposure of 175 to trifluoroacetic anhydride to furnish tetracyclic intermediate 176. MOM deprotection and oxidation of the sorevealed dihydroquinone yielded quinone 177. To complete the synthesis of kinamycin C (11), the diazo group was introduced by condensation of 177 with protected hydrazine 178 to afford the corresponding hydrazone, which was oxidized by the action of  $PhIF_2$  to install the

diazo moiety.[103] Synthetic kinamycin C (11) exhibited indentical physical data to those of the natural substance, laying to rest any lingering doubts of the true structure of the kinamycins.

In 2007, Kumamoto, Ishikawa, and coworkers reported a synthesis of methyl kinamycin C (186, Scheme 26).[104] An intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation of carboxylic acid 179 (Scheme 25) provided a cyclic ketone, which was oxidized to enone 180 by the action of IBX. [105] Diels–Alder cycloaddition[28] of enone 180 with diene 181 furnished, after silyl deprotection, tetracyclic intermediate 182. This intermediate was then oxidized by KF and air in DMSO to give tertiary alcohol 183. This compound was elaborated in a sequence of standard manipulations to afford advanced intermediate 184 (Scheme 26). Exposure of 184 to Burgess reagent[56] then promoted dehydration of the unprotected tertiary alcohol, after which the acetonide moiety was cleaved and the resulting secondary alcohol was acetylated to give dienone 185. Hydrazone formation and CAN-promoted oxidation to the required diazo moiety with concomitant oxidation of the protected dihydroquinone yielded methyl kinamycin C (186).

Shortly afterward, Nicolaou and coworkers reported a second total synthesis of kinamycin C (11),[106] featuring an Ullman coupling and a benzoin-type condensation. Starting with chiral enone 187 (Scheme 27), methyl cuprate addition and subsequent Saegusa-Ito oxidation[107] provided methylated compound 188, which was dihydroxylated and protected to yield acetonide 189. Another Saegusa-Ito oxidation and iodination of the resulting enone afforded vinyl iodide 190. Ullman coupling [108] of iodide 190 with aryl bromide 191 furnished coupled product 192 (Scheme 28). Interestingly, the authors reported that the addition of catalytic CuI significantly improved the yield of this key step. The product was then exposed to the Rovis catalyst 193[109] and triethylamine to yield pentacycle 194 in a benzoin-type condensation. The required migration of the olefinic bond was achieved through a three-step procedure. Thus, 194 was acetylated, and the resulting acetate was reductively cleaved with samarium(II) iodide. [110] Exposure of the resulting intermediate to triethylamine effected migration of the olefin into conjugation with the ketone. With the conjugated dienone moiety of compound 195 installed, a series of standard reactions provided advanced intermediate 196. Hydrazone formation, oxidation to the corresponding diazo compound with concomitant oxidation of the protected dihydroquinone to a quinone moiety, and desilylation, yielded kinamycin C (11) as shown in Scheme 28.

# 6. Ramoplanin A2

Vancomycin and teicoplanin currently serve as drugs of last resort in the ongoing battle with pathogenic bacteria. However, bacterial resistance is inevitable,[10] and vancomycin resistance is now a clinically relevant problem.[111] Ramoplanin factors A1, A2 (**13**,Figure 14), and A3 were discovered in 1984 by Cavalleri and coworkers at Gruppo LePetit (now Biosearch Italia) in a screen for antibiotics that inhibit peptidoglycan biosynthesis in Grampositive bacteria.[112] (Ramoplanins A1 and A3 differ only in the structure of the lipophilic domain and have virtually identical antibacterial properties.) Originally proposed to possess a (*Z*,*Z*) olefin geometry in the lipophilic side chain, the structure of ramoplanin A2 (**13**) was corrected by Kurz and Guba in 1996.[113] Ramoplanin A2 is more potent than vancomycin, and it is bactericidal at concentrations near its MIC.[112b] (In contrast, vancomycin is bacteriostatic at concentrations near its MIC.) It is also active against MRSA and VRE. Ramoplanin A2 is currently in phase III clinical trials for the prevention of VRE infections in hospitalized patients. The chemistry,[114] biology,[114] and clinical development[115] of ramoplanin have been recently reviewed.

Somner and Reynolds found in 1990 that ramoplanin A2 blocks the conversion of Lipid I into Lipid II, and proposed that it inhibits the MurG enzyme in peptidoglycan biosynthesis by

binding its substrate, Lipid I.[116] However, due to the lack of suitable MurG assays and the difficulty of studying Lipid I and Lipid II at the time, experimental support for this proposal was not firmly established. Walker and coworkers recently demonstrated in a series of experiments that ramoplanin A2 has a higher affinity for Lipid II, binding to Lipid II in a 2:1 stoichiometry to form insoluble fibrils, and this interaction is now believed to be responsible for the antibiotic activity of ramoplanin A2.[117] Inhibition of MurG may be a secondary mechanism of action, but contrary to the originally proposed model, binding of Lipid I was shown to be not required for MurG inhibition.

Ramoplanin A2 (13) is a large (molecular weight >2500) cyclic lipoglycodepsipeptide possessing a daunting array of synthetic challenges, including a mixture of D- and L-amino acids, multiple readily epimerizable arylglycine residues, a 49-membered macrocycle, and a hydrolytically labile lactone moiety. Having previously synthesized vancomycin aglycon [118,119] and teicoplanin aglycon,[118c,120,121] Boger and coworkers completed their total synthesis of the aglycon of ramoplanin A2 and ramoplanose (197,Figure 15), the latter having antibiotic activity equal to that of ramoplanin A2, in 2002.[118c,122] (Ramoplanose differs from ramoplanin A2 in the identity of the oligosaccharide domain.) Their retrosynthetic analysis of ramoplanin A2 aglycon (197) was designed around the known solution state structure of ramoplanin A2,[113,117b,123] and two potential macrocyclization sites **b** (Figure 15) were chosen in hopes that the formation of a beta sheet secondary structure would assist the critical macrolactamization step. Further retrosynthetic disconnections led to fragments 198–201.

Boger and coworkers developed multiple successful syntheses of the requisite 49-membered macrocycle **204** (Scheme 29), and the route shown in Scheme 29 was ultimately selected as the most practical. DEPBT-promoted coupling of fragments **198** and **200** gave intermediate **202**. Selective Boc deprotection in the presence of trityl groups, promoted by bromocatecholborane, revealed a free amine, which was coupled with carboxylic acid **199** to provide macrocyclization precursor **203**. Sequential Boc and benzyl deprotection followed by EDC and HOAt-promoted macrocyclization afforded the key macrocyclic intermediate **204**. Selective Fmoc deprotection, amide coupling with carboxylic anhydride **201**, and global deprotection completed the total synthesis of ramoplanin A2 aglycon (**197**). By altering the choice of carboxylic anhydride, Boger and coworkers also prepared the aglycons of ramoplanins A1 and A3 by total synthesis.[124]

While hundreds of semisynthetic analogs of ramoplanin A2 have been studied,[115] the total synthesis devised by Boger and coworkers has allowed access to more varied modifications to the structure, enabling more precise probing of the biological properties of ramoplanin A2. [125] Notably, the laboratories of Boger and Walker demonstrated that [L-Dap<sup>2</sup>]ramoplanin A2 aglycon (**205**,Figure 16), which replaces the unstable macrolactone functionality with a macrolactam of the same size, conferred improved hydrolytic stability with no loss of activity. [125b] More recently, Boger and coworkers performed an alanine scan on analog **205**, providing insight into the role and importance of each residue within the ramoplanin structure. [125c] No doubt, access to such a collection of analogs will assist further studies on the mechanism of action of ramoplanin A2 and enable the design of improved analogs.

# 7. Lysobactin

Lysobactin (katanosin B, **16**, Figure 17) is a depsipeptide antibiotic independently reported in 1988 by O'Sullivan and coworkers at Squibb[126] and Shoji and coworkers at Shionogi. [127] It is highly potent against Gram-positive bacteria (for example, MIC 0.06  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*; compare vancomycin, MIC 0.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) and possesses activity against strains resistant to a variety of other antibiotics (including vancomycin). For

example, lysobactin is up to 50-fold more potent against VRE than vancomycin, with MICs ranging from 0.4 to 0.8  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>.[128] Like vancomycin, lysobactin inhibits peptidoglycan biosynthesis, but it appears to have a different mode of action that is not yet fully elucidated. In 2007, von Nussbaum and coworkers at Bayer reported an elegant total synthesis of lysobactin designed around knowledge gleaned from a crystal structure of the compound.[129] Shortly afterward, the VanNieuwenhze group reported another total synthesis which delivers this antibiotic in similar efficiency.[130] The biology and chemistry of lysobactin has been very recently reviewed.[131]

# 8. Abyssomicins

Abyssomicin C (14, Figure 18) is a polyketide antibiotic reported by Sussmuth and coworkers in 2004.[132] Isolated from the rare actinomycete *Verrucosispora* strain AB18-032, abyssomicin C blocks the growth of Gram-positive bacteria by inhibiting the synthesis of *para*-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) from chorismate, a key enzymatic step in the bacterial biosynthesis of tetrahydrofolate. The biosynthesis of PABA is essential for many microorganisms but absent in humans, making the responsible enzyme a highly appealing molecular target for an antibiotic.[133] Abyssomicin D (**206**, Figure 18) and other related natural products were found to be inactive, suggesting that the enone moiety of abyssomicin C (**14**) is an essential structural motif for its observed activity. Abyssomicin D (**206**) was proposed to be the product of a 1,4-reduction of the enone moiety of abyssomicin C (**14**) and addition of the resulting enolate into the unsaturated lactone moiety.

In light of the promising biological profile of abyssomicin C (14) and its intriguing molecular architecture, the molecule drew attention from several chemical synthesis laboratories. The total syntheses of abyssomicin C have recently been reviewed, [134] and thus only highlights will be presented here. One year after the disclosure of the structure of abyssomicin C, Sorensen and coworkers published the first total synthesis of this antibiotic.[135] As shown in Scheme 30, the synthesis featured a presumed biomimetic late-stage intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction.[28] Lithiation of lactone 208 and addition of the resulting species to aldehyde 207 provided, after oxidation, diketone 209. The Sorensen group was able to eliminate the protected secondary hydroxyl moiety of 209 in order to reveal an electron-deficient conjugated triene (210) for an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction to furnish advanced intermediate 211. However, in order to avoid the requirement of handling the sensitive triene 210, a one-pot elimination/Diels-Alder cascade was sought. It was found that lanthanum(III) triflate was a competent promoter of this cascade sequence, affording the desired product 211 in 50 % yield from diketone 209. Epoxidation and methyl ether cleavage then led to epoxide 212. All attempts at base-promoted intramolecular epoxide opening as a means to construct abyssomicin C (14) were met with failure. It was ultimately discovered that exposure of epoxide 212 to mild acidic conditions effected its quantitative conversion to abyssomicin C (14) and isoabyssomicin C (ca. 1:1 ratio), an isomer of the natural product whose full structural characterization remained elusive until later (see below).

Concurrent with the Sorensen laboratory's publication, Snider and Zou disclosed a related Diels–Alder approach toward the abyssomicins (Scheme 31).[136] Thus, deprotonation of lactone **208** and addition of the resulting anion to aldehyde **213** gave, after oxidation, the same triene that the Sorensen group had prepared (**210**). A thermal Diels–Alder reaction (70 °C in chloroform) furnished compound **211** in 80 % yield, but Snider and Zou were unsuccessful in converting this advanced intermediate into abyssomicin C (**14**). However, the Sorensen laboratory's total synthesis of abyssomicin C (**14**) from the same advanced intermediate renders the Snider and Zou work a formal total synthesis. Interestingly, Snider and Zou discovered that upon conjugate addition of a thiolate into the enone moiety of **211**, compound **214**, possessing the abyssomicin D carbon skeleton, was obtained. This was the first synthetic entry into the

abyssomicin D ring framework, and it provided experimental support for the proposed biosynthesis of abyssomicin D (**206**).[132]

Shortly afterward, Couladouros and coworkers disclosed another formal total synthesis of abyssomicin C (14) based on the same Diels–Alder strategy (Scheme 32).[137] Thus, lactone **208** was lithiated and trapped with aldehyde **215** to provide compound **216**, which was transformed into triene **210** in a standard sequence of reactions. In the hands of Couladouros and coworkers, the requisite Diels–Alder cycloaddition was promoted by a catalytic amount of iodine to furnish advanced intermediate **211** in 50 % yield, thus completing their formal synthesis of abyssomicin C (14). Interestingly, the use of excess iodine resulted in formation of compound **217**, possessing the abyssomicin D carbon skeleton.

In 2006, Nicolaou and Harrison completed a conceptually different total synthesis of the abyssomicins.[138] An intermolecular Diels-Alder cycloaddition[28] was envisioned for the construction of the densely functionalized core of the abyssomicins, and it was proposed that a late-stage ring closing olefin metathesis[139] could forge the macrocyclic domain. Thus, chiral diene 218 (Scheme 33) was preorganized in a complex with the phenolate generated from the deprotonation of phenol 219 by MeMgBr, then Diels-Alder cycloaddition with methyl acrylate and spontaneous lactonization furnished lactone 221, presumably via the intermediacy of complex 220, in 80 % yield. Lactone 221 was converted in a series of standard manipulations to acetate 222. Deprotonation of 222 resulted in a Dieckmann condensation to the non-isolated intermediate 223, which, upon mild acidification and subsequent silyl protection, provided compound 224. The latter compound was then lithiated and trapped with lactone 225 (Scheme 34) to yield, after masking of the ketone moiety as a dithiane, primary alcohol **226**. This compound was transformed into compound 227, possessing two terminal alkene moieties, and setting the stage for the key ring closing metathesis reaction. Exposure to the Grubbs II olefin metathesis initiator (228)[140] then forged the required 11-membered ring, producing advanced intermediate 229. Oxidation of the allylic alcohol moiety and dithiane cleavage afforded a compound whose spectroscopic data were very similar to that of abyssomicin C (14), but not a perfect match. Fortuitously, while characterizing this compound in CDCl<sub>3</sub> containing traces of acid, it was discovered that the unknown compound was equilibrating with abyssomicin C (14, Scheme 34). Chromatographic separation of the two isomers followed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the unknown compound led to its identification as *atrop*abyssomicin C (15, Scheme 34).

With the discovery of this unexpected atropisomerism, Nicolaou and Harrison proceeded to study the chemistry of the abyssomicins.[138b] They discovered that the thermal interconversion of the atropisomers of abyssomicin C (14 and 15, Scheme 35) required a surprisingly high temperature (180 °C). In contrast, this interconversion could be promoted at room temperature under acidic conditions. While a few possible mechanistic explanations have been put forth, it is as yet unclear why acids promote this interconversion. Interestingly, they demonstrated that the conditions of the final step in the Sorensen laboratory's synthesis of abyssomicin C (14) effected equilibration of the abyssomicin C atropisomers (14 and 15), suggesting the identity of the incompletely characterized iso-abyssomicin C as atropabyssomicin C (15). L-Selectride-promoted 1,4-reduction of both atropisomers yielded further insight into the chemistry of the abyssomicins. Thus, the conjugate reduction of abyssomicin C (14) did not afford abyssomicin D (206, Scheme 35), but rather, it provided a mixture of products, the major one of which was iso-abyssomicin D (232, Scheme 35), presumably via the intermediacy of *E*-enolate **230**. In contrast, the conjugate reduction of *atrop*-abyssomicin C (15) presumably generated Z-enolate 231, transannular Michael addition of which provided abyssomicin D (206). Thus, abysomicin D (206) appeared to be the product of a bioreduction of the putative natural product *atrop*-abyssomicin C (15), not of abyssomicin C (14) as originally proposed. Kinetic studies in which both atropisomers of abyssomicin C (14 and

**15**) were exposed to an analog of NADH further supported this conclusion. These studies also revealed that *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) is more reactive than abyssomicin C (**14**). In an antibacterial assay against MRSA, *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) was found to be somewhat more potent than abyssomicin C (**14**).[138] Together with the higher reactivity of *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) towards an NADH analog, this observation supported the Sussmuth hypothesis[132] that the enone moiety of abyssomicin C (**14**) is responsible for its antibacterial activity.

As predicted by Nicolaou and Harrison, *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) was discovered as a naturally occurring secondary metabolite in *Verrucosispora* strain AB18-032.[141]*atrop*-Abyssomicin C (**15**) was found to be the primary abyssomicin metabolite present, with abyssomicin C (**14**) representing a minor and less active byproduct. Sussmuth and coworkers recently disclosed that *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) is a substrate mimetic that irreversibly binds to the thiol functionality of the Cys263 residue of the PabB subunit of 4-amino-4-deoxychorismate (ADC) synthase.[142] This fascinating tale and the still ongoing research on *atrop*-abyssomicin C (**15**) demonstrate the power of total synthesis; and the studies derived from unexpected discoveries along the way provide insight into the structure, biosynthesis, and mechanism of action of bioactive molecules.

# 9. Fatty Acid Biosynthesis Inhibitors

Fatty acids are biomolecules essential to biological membranes and involved in energy storage. In most eukaryotes, including mammals, fatty acid biosynthesis (FAS) is undertaken by a very large dimeric protein that is composed of several domains that together catalyze the entire repertoire of necessary reactions.[143] This process is known as the associated, or type I, fatty acid biosynthesis (FAS I) pathway. In contrast, prokaryotes employ a distinct pathway involving individual enzymes, each with a specific role, known as the dissociated, or type II fatty acid biosynthesis (FAS II) pathway.[144] The type II pathway also operates in plant [145] and parasite[146] plasmids and mammalian mitochondria,[147] as might be expected from the bacterial origin of these organelles.

The FAS II pathway is essential to bacterial viability and, since it differs significantly from the FAS I pathway of mammals, it is an attractive target for antibacterial chemotherapy.[148] Additionally, the pathway is now well understood at the molecular level, with threedimensional structures available for many of the individual enzymes,[145] with many of the key components well conserved across important bacterial pathogens. The molecular biology of FAS II has been reviewed recently;[144] however, a brief description of the pathway and key steps is given here.

The best-characterized FAS II pathway is that of *Escherichia coli*. An overview of the key steps is shown in Scheme 36.[144] The first committed step of fatty acid biosynthesis, the conversion of acetyl-co-enzyme A (CoA) into malonyl-CoA, is mediated by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc). Acc is a multi-subunit enzyme complex that catalyzes the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA in a two-step process. First, AccB is carboxylated on its biotin motif in an ATP driven process catalyzed by AccC. The AccA/D subunits then transfer the carboxyl group to acetyl-CoA to give malonyl-CoA.[144] The pseudopeptide naturally occurring antibiotics moiramide B (**233**, Figure 19) and andrimid (**234**, Figure 19)[149] have recently been shown to act through inhibition of the Acc complex.[150,151] The malonyl group is then transferred to the acyl carrier protein (ACP), which is a small (ca. 9 kDa) acidic peptide bearing a 4'-phosphopantetheine group (Scheme 36). Malonyl-CoA:ACP transacylase (FabD) catalyzes the transfer of the malonyl group from CoA to ACP to provide malonyl-ACP, the key feedstock of the FAS II cycle. Recently, a screen of natural products identified corytuberine (**235**, Figure 19), isolated from *Helicobacter pylori*, as an inhibitor of FabD.[152] FabD catalyzes the transesterification via the transient formation of a malonyl-enzyme intermediate, with malonyl-

CoA transferring the malonyl group to a serine residue in the active site (Ser92 in *E. coli*). Binding of ACP is followed by the transesterifaction step, with His201 activating the ACP thiol for attack on the acyl-enzyme ester.

Acyl chain formation is initiated by the action of the condensing enzyme  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACPsynthase III (FabH).[144] All the condensing enzymes catalyze the Claisen condensation [153] of an acyl primer with malonyl-ACP, with the loss of CO<sub>2</sub>, but, unlike the elongation condensing enzymes (vide infra), FabH uses acyl-CoA primers, with high selectivity for short chains, primarily acetyl-CoA.[154] The reaction begins with the acetylation of the active site cysteine (Cys112 in E. coli) by acetyl-CoA to give an acyl-enzyme intermediate thioester (Scheme 37).[144] The active site cysteine is activated by its position at the end of a long  $\alpha$ helix, which lowers its  $pK_a$  significantly due to the strong helix dipole. Binding of malonyl-ACP then occurs. Decarboxylation of the malonyl group, assisted in the active site by hydrogen bonding to His254 and Asp274, generates a two-carbon nucleophile which attacks the acylenzyme thioester. The tetrahedral intermediate is stabilized by hydrogen bond donation from backbone N–H groups. The free enzyme is then regenerated, and the  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP product is released. Variations in FabH selectivity between species determine the range of fatty acids produced. For example, the FabH enzymes of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and other mycobacteria accept long-chain acyl-CoA primers. Mycobacteria are unusual in that they have both FAS I and FAS II systems. The synthesis of  $C_{12}$ - $C_{16}$  fatty acids is undertaken by a FAS I system similar to that in animals. These products are then converted into the very long chain fatty acids (> C<sub>50</sub>) needed for mycolic acid synthesis by a FAS II system. Thus, mycobacterial FAS II does not undertake de novo fatty acid biosynthesis, and the initiation enzyme must accept longer chain primers.[155]

After Claisen condensation, the  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP then progresses to  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP reductase (FabG), an NADPH-dependent reductase that generates  $\beta$ -hydroxyacyl-ACP (Scheme 36). Only a single form of this enzyme has been isolated. It is essential for FAS II, and it is highly conserved throughout bacterial species,[144] but despite its promise as a target for antibiotics, there are very few known inhibitors of its action. Recently, a number of plant-derived polyphenols were found to inhibit FabG, including epigallocatechin gallate (**236**, Figure 19), but they did not exhibit potent antibacterial activity.[156]

Following reduction, the  $\beta$ -hydroxyacyl-ACP undergoes dehydration to form enoyl-ACP (Scheme 36). This process is catalyzed by one of two  $\beta$ -hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase enzymes in E. coli, FabA and FabZ. FabA also has the ability to catalyze isomerization of the trans-2,3-olefin to the cis-3,4-isomer, a key transformation in the synthesis of unsaturated fatty acids. FabA is limited to Gram-negative bacteria, whereas FabZ is found in all FAS II systems. [144,153] A second reduction step then converts the enoyl-ACP into a simple acyl-ACP intermediate, ready for the next round of elongation. There are three families of enoyl-ACP reductases: FabI, FabK, and FabL. The particular form(s) present and their cofactors vary with bacterial species. Fabl is the only form present in E. coli and is dependent on NADH, whereas S. aureus FabI is dependent on NADPH. The tuberculosis treatment isoniazid (237, Figure 20) targets InhA, the enoyl-ACP reductase of *M. tuberculosis*, which is similar to *E. coli* FabI. Isoniazid undergoes enzymatic oxidation to form an active species that inhibits InhA by binding the InhA–NADH complex via a covalent bond. This important tuberculosis drug is the only clinically used antibiotic that targets bacterial type II FAS. FabI is the target of the important antibacterial agent triclosan (238, Figure 20), which is used widely in household items such as cleaners and fabrics. A number of important pathogenic bacteria utilize FabK, which shares no sequence similarity with FabI and is therefore unaffected by triclosan. FabK is NADHdependent. The third form, FabL, is a distant homolog of FabI, NADPH-dependent, and present alongside FabI in Bacillus subtilis. The fact that several different enoyl-ACP reductases are

found across key pathogens makes this step less attractive as a target for developing broad spectrum antibiotics.[148c,e]

Enoyl-ACP reduction to acyl-ACP is the final step in the synthesis of fatty acids, and the acyl-ACP product is either taken off into other pathways or, if it is not yet long enough, enters into another cycle of elongation and reduction (Scheme 36). The elongation enzymes  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP-synthases I and II, known as FabB and FabF, carry out the iterative carbon-carbon bond formations of the biosynthesis cycle.[144] FabB and FabF are closely related, sharing high sequence identity, and they carry out a very similar Claisen condensation to FabH.[153] Again, there is some variation between species, especially with regards to the FabB enzyme. Fab B is found alongside FabA only in Gram-negative bacteria, where it plays a key role in the elongation of unsaturated acyl-ACP primers. Both FabB and FabF have a conserved active site Cys-His-His catalytic triad, as compared with the Cys-His-Asn triad of FabH. The mechanism of the Claisen condensation is very similar to that described above for FabH, with the acyl-ACP primer transferring its acyl chain to the active site cysteine, followed by binding of malonyl-ACP in an adjacent pocket. Decarboxylation of the malonate group to generate an active two-carbon nucleophile is then followed by the Claisen condensation event, releasing a new  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP product. The decarboxylation step in these enzymes is organized by the histidine residues and is thought to involve the participation of an active site water molecule, with the CO<sub>2</sub> leaving as bicarbonate. As in FabH, the active site cysteine is activated by a helix dipole effect, and backbone N-H groups provide an oxyanion hole to stabilize the tetrahedral intermediates in the two nucleophilic displacement steps.[144,153]

#### 9.1. Cerulenin and Thiolactomycin

Cerulenin (**239**, Figure 21)[157] and thiolactomycin (**240**, Figure 21)[158] are two microbial metabolites that inhibit FAS II at the condensing enzyme stage. Cerulenin (**239**) was isolated from *Caephalosporium caerulens* in 1960 and contains a hydrophobic tail attached to a polar reactive head group bearing an epoxide.[157] Upon entering the active site of the FabB/F enzymes, the epoxide moiety of cerulenin is attacked by the active cysteine residue to form a covalent adduct, irreversibly inhibiting the enzyme. In *E. coli*, it inhibits FabB most potently (IC<sub>50</sub> = 3  $\mu$ M), and it also inhibits FabF (IC<sub>50</sub> = 20  $\mu$ M), but not FabH (IC<sub>50</sub> > 700  $\mu$ M). [159] This difference has been ascribed to the presence of a Cys–His–Asn triad in FabH, rather than Cys–His–His in FabB/F, which does not activate the epoxide electrophile strongly enough to encourage attack by the cysteine thiolate.[148a,d,159] Although cerulenin can inhibit bacterial growth, the reactivity of the epoxide and its lack of selectivity for FAS II over animal FAS I systems make it unsuitable for development as a drug. However, cerulenin has found utility as a biochemical tool.[160]

Thiolactomycin (**240**) was isolated from a *Nocardia* strain collected in Japan and reported in 1982.[158] It was found to be active against a range of bacterial species, and it protected mice challenged with various bacterial infections. Thiolactomycin was later shown to act on the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway, inhibiting all three  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-ACP-synthases, FabB, FabF, and FabH, with IC<sub>50</sub>s of 6, 25, and 110  $\mu$ M, respectively.[159] Thiolactomycin binds reversibly in the malonate binding pocket of the enzymes, with hydrogen bonding to the His–His active site residues of FabB and FabF being crucial interactions, endowing it with more potent inhibitory activity against FabB and FabF than against FabH (which has a His–Asn arrangement). In recent years, the search for novel antibiotics has led to a resurgence of interest in thiolactomycin, both as a biochemical tool[160] and a platform for drug discovery. The parent compound is not thought suitable for drug development due to synthesis and stability issues, [148] and studies have indicated that efflux and membrane permeability problems hamper its potential as an antibiotic.[161,162]

Nicolaou et al.

A number of groups have investigated thiolactomycin (240) as a potential anti-tuberculosis drug. As mentioned above, M. tuberculosis relies on a type II FAS system for the preparation of mycolic acids, and the success of isoniazid (237) validates the FAS II pathway as an antituberculosis target. Thiolactomycin inhibits the FAS II initiation condensing enzyme mtFabH[163] and the elongation condensing enzymes, known as KasA and KasB (equivalent to FabB and FabH in E. coli),[164] leading to the inhibition of mycolic acid biosynthesis. [165] The potential for thiolactomycin to inhibit multiple enzymes complicates the interpretation of SAR data and, with several conflicting results, a clear picture has yet to emerge. The Besra group has reported an analog series in which the thiolactomycin side chain was varied.[166] Analogs of general structure 243 (Scheme 38) were synthesized via alkylation of a dianion (242) derived from thiolactomycin core structure 241, a modification of the first total synthesis of thiolactomycin by Wang and Salvino.[167] As such, all the analogs were tested as racemates. Tetrahydrogeranyl analog 244 (Scheme 38) showed improved activity against *M. tuberculosis* [MIC = 29  $\mu$ M; compare (±)-thiolactomycin, MIC = 125  $\mu$ M]. Later, the Besra group reported several series of analogs bearing C5 biphenyl and propargyl groups, leading to the discovery of analogs 245 and 246 (Scheme 38).[168] These analogs showed improved potency against *mt*FabH [IC<sub>50</sub> = 3, 4 and 75  $\mu$ M for 245, 246 and (±)-thiolactomycin, respectively], but anti-mycobacterial activity against whole cells was either not reported or poor (MIC >250 µM against Mycobacterium bovis BCG).[168c] These results contrast those of Dowd and coworkers, who reported that the (5R)-isoprene side chain of thiolactomycin was necessary for activity against the condensing enzymes of E. coli (FabH, FabB) and M. tuberculosis (mtFabH, KasA and KasB), as well as whole-cell activity against both species. [169,170]

Thiolactomycin (240) has also been used as a scaffold for the development of anti-malaria drugs. FAS II has recently been identified as a potential target for anti-protozoan chemotherapy following the discovery of this pathway in a number of important pathogenic species, including P. falciparum, the parasite responsible for malaria; Toxoplasma gondii, the cause of toxoplasmosis, a neurological disease affecting infants and immunocompromised patients; Trypanosoma brucei, the causative agent of sleeping sickness; and Trypanosoma curzi, the parasite which causes Chagas' disease.[171] FAS II takes place in these eukaryotic parasites in their plasmid organelles, which are thought to be of bacterial origin.[172] Waller and coworkers identified a number of analogs of thiolactomycin with improved activity against P. falciparum.[173] They found that longer alkyl chains at C5 were more potent, with unsaturated chains giving better activity than the corresponding saturated systems. The most potent compounds (247 and 248, Figure 22) have geranyl and farnesyl groups at C5. Both compounds, which were tested as racemates, exhibited lethal activity against P. falciparum with an  $IC_{50}$  of  $8\mu$ M [(±)-thiolactomycin, IC<sub>50</sub> = 49  $\mu$ M]. In contrast, saturated analog **244** (Figure 22) was tenfold less potent, with mono-unsaturated analog 249 (Figure 22) showing intermediate activity.[173] Gilbert and coworkers have also investigated thiolactomycin analogs as potential anti-malarial agents.[174] They investigated substitution at C3, C5, and the C4-hydroxyl, as well as substituting the heteroatom, and tested their analogs for inhibition of P. falciparum, T. curzi, and T. brucei rhodesiense, and for inhibition of P. falciparum KasIII (equivalent to FabH). Selected analogs are shown in Figure 22. Compound 250 (Figure 22), having a C3propyl group and C5-hexadecyl side chain, was a potent inhibitor of *P. falciparum*, and a moderate inhibitor of T. curzi and T. brucei rhodesiense (IC<sub>50</sub> = 6, 13, and 29  $\mu$ M, respectively). A benzyl group at C3 (251, Figure 22) was also tolerated (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7, 14, and 32  $\mu$ M, respectively). Compounds 252 and 253 (Figure 22) were the most potent against *T. brucei rhodesiense*  $(IC_{50} = 6 \text{ and } 7 \mu M)$ , but were only weak inhibitors in the other species. The most potent compound against *P. falciparum* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1  $\mu$ M) was **254**, bearing an allyl ether at C4. In comparison, thiolactomycin itself was a poor inhibitor of P. falciparum, T. curzi, and T. brucei *rhodesiense* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 143, > 427, and 256  $\mu$ M, respectively). As in the studies reported for

tuberculosis, little correlation could be made between the growth inhibition results and the results of *P. falciparum* KasIII inhibition studies.[173,174]

Finally, recent studies have identified thiolactomycin analogs active against type I FAS. Cancer cells are thought to be susceptible to FAS inhibitors since FAS is often subject to up-regulation in cancer cells. The FAS cycle generates NAD<sup>+</sup> from the two reduction steps, helping to offset the hypoxic nature of many cancer cells.[175] Townsend and coworkers found both cytotoxicity and weight loss activity amongst the analogs tested as part of a program directed towards FAS I inhibition, and were able to separate these activities. For example, compound 255 (Figure 23) did not kill cancer cells, but it induced 11 % weight loss when administered to mice. Conversely, compound 256 (Figure 23) is moderately active against a breast cancer cell line  $[IC_{50} = 17.6 \,\mu\text{g mL}^{-1} (73 \,\mu\text{M})]$ , without causing significant weight loss. Other analogs showed either one or both activities to varying degrees.[176] Similar results were reported by Ohata and Terashima, who tested a range of analogs for antibiotic activity and mammalian FAS I inhibition. Unusually, they prepared each analog in enantiomerically pure form and tested both enantiomers. They noted that while ent-thiolactomycin (ent-240) was inactive in the antibacterial assays, it was a weak inhibitor of FAS I [IC<sub>50</sub> = 43.7  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (208  $\mu$ M)]. The most potent compound (257, Figure 23) inhibited FAS I with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 8.8  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (44  $\mu$ M). In general, they noted that the unnatural (5S) analogs were more potent than the natural (5R) series against FAS I.[177] While none of the thiolactomycin analogs studied against FAS I were highly potent, this potential crossover activity must be borne in mind when assessing such compounds as potential antibacterial agents.[147,176,177]

The interest in the medicinal chemistry of thiolactomycin (240) has been mirrored by the publication of a number of total syntheses in recent years. The first asymmetric synthesis of thiolactomycin (240), reported by Thomas and Chambers in 1989 (Scheme 39), established the absolute stereochemistry of the natural product as the (R)-enantiomer.[178] The key step in the synthesis is the [3,3]-signatropic rearrangement of an allylic xanthate [179] to generate the required chiral tertiary sulfide. Thus, distillation of xanthate 259, derived from ethyl lactate (258), resulted in rearrangement to the dithiocarbonate 260 (Scheme 39). Carbonate removal and in situ protection of the thiol was followed by ozonolysis, which showed remarkable selectivity in the presence of the sulfide and electron rich arene. Olefination of 261 was achieved through the action of the lithium salt of  $\alpha$ -silyl imine 262. This reagent minimized deformylation through a retroaldol mechanism, a common problem in similar compounds. [180] The resulting enal was converted to diene **263**, but the diene side chain proved too labile to be carried intact through the remaining steps. Masking of the diene as a primary selenide (264) allowed assembly of the thiolactone ring in 265. However, regeneration of the diene was troublesome, requiring base-mediated elimination of a methyl selenonium salt to produce (5S)-ent-thiolactomycin (ent-240).[178]

A second asymmetric approach to thiolactomycin (**240**, Scheme 40) was reported by the Townsend group in 2002,[181] in which they made use of Seebach's self-regeneration of chirality method[182] to install the required stereocenter. (*S*)-Thiolactic acid (**267**) was prepared in three steps from D-alanine (**266**) via chlorination with retention of stereochemistry under diazotization conditions, displacement with cesium thioacetate, and hydrolysis. Formation of the corresponding oxathiolanone resulted in a 2.5:1 ratio of diastereomers, with recrystallization giving **268** as a single compound in moderate yield. Aldol reaction of the lithium enolate of **268** with tiglic aldehyde provided allylic alcohol **269**, which was subjected to dehydration via sulfinic ester rearrangement (**270** to **271**) and sulfoxide elimination to yield diene **272**. Hydrolysis of the oxathiolanone, formation of thiopropionate **273**, and Dieckmann cyclization completed this concise approach to thiolactomycin (**240**).[181]

Nicolaou et al.

Ohata and Terashima developed the most efficient synthesis of thiolactomycin (**240**) reported to date as part of their analog program described above. Their strategy (Scheme 41)[183] featured a deconjugative asymmetric sulfenylation controlled by Evans' auxiliary.[184] Imide **275** was prepared in three steps from tiglic aldehyde (**274**). Treatment of **275** with NaHMDS generated an extended enolate which reacted at the  $\alpha$ -position with thiosulfonate **276** to give sulfide **277** in 10:1 *dr*. With the chiral sulfide installed and the side chain in place, only four steps were required to complete the synthesis. Notably, a two-step removal of the thiol protecting group allowed for the direct coupling with propionyl chloride (**278** to **279**), obviating the need to isolate the free thiol. Again, a Dieckmann reaction was used to complete the total synthesis of thiolactomycin (**240**), in 22 % overall yield for the eight-step sequence.[183]

The Takabe group reported a chemoenzymatic approach to thiolactomycin (**240**).[185] Thiotetronic acid **241**, an intermediate from the Wang–Salvino route to (±)-thiolactomycin, [167] was protected as the methyl vinylogous ester under basic conditions and hydroxylmethylated to give (±)-**280** (Scheme 42). The primary alcohol was resolved by the action of *Candida antarctica*-derived lipase Chirazyme<sup>®</sup> L-2, which gave recovered **280** in 38 % yield as a single enantiomer. Lewis acid mediated crotylation of the corresponding aldehyde avoided deformylation, yielding homoallylic alcohol **281**. Bromination, elimination, and deprotection furnished thiolactomycin (**240**).[185,186]

Recently, Dormann and Bruckner reported an efficient and concise route to thiolactomycin (240, Scheme 43).[187] Their approach began from enal 282, an intermediate in the BASF industrial synthesis of vitamin A. Wittig reaction followed by acetate cleavage gave allylic alcohol 283, which was subjected to Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation;[101] in situ protection of the primary alcohol afforded epoxide 284 in 83 % yield and 93 % *ee.* Notably, this approach constitutes the first catalytic asymmetric approach to thiolactomycin. The tertiary sulfide was then installed via  $S_N^2$ ' addition[188] of thiopropionic acid promoted by trimethylaluminum, with addition occurring *syn* to the epoxide to afford 285. Silyl deprotection and vicinal dideoxygenation[189] of the resulting diol installed the thiolactomycin side chain in 273, and the now familiar Dieckmann cyclization then provided the natural product. This strategy provided thiolactomycin (240) in only seven steps and approximately 15 % overall yield, and was also applied to the first total syntheses of the related targets 834-B1 (286) and thiotetromycin (287).[187,190]

#### 9.2. New FAS II Inhibitors

Recently, a team of researchers at Merck led by Singh and Wang published a series of studies aimed at the discovery of novel fatty acid biosynthesis inhibitors.[191] They developed a highthroughput screen for inhibitors of the elongation cycle of FAS II using <sup>14</sup>C-labelled malonyl-CoA and medium-length (C8 and C12) acyl-CoA substrates, thus eliminating the acetyl-CoA carboxylation and initial condensation reactions from the screen and simplifying analysis. [161] This assay, in combination with whole-cell phospholipid synthesis and MIC assays, allowed the correlation of activity in the biochemical study with whole cell antibacterial action. By screening a collection of natural product extracts, the team discovered the FAS II inhibitor bischloroanthrabenzoxocinone (BABX, 288, Figure 24). BABX was shown to inhibit the FAS II elongation cycle and whole cell phospholipid biosynthesis, and was potent against permeable E. coli strains and S. aureus [MIC =  $0.2-0.4 \,\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}(0.4-0.8 \,\mu\text{M})$ ]. BABX was not an inhibitor of FabD, but it did completely inhibit the uptake of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled malonyl-CoA, indicating that it acts by inhibiting the condensing enzymes FabB and FabF, as inhibition of either the reductase or dehydrase steps would allow incorporation of one malonyl unit in the first iteration. However, BABX was also found to inhibit DNA, RNA, and cell wall synthesis, so further study is needed to determine its primary target.[161,192] The potent FAS II inhibition of

Page 24

Tu3010 (**289**, Figure 24), [193] a naturally occurring amide analog of thiolactomycin, was also discovered using this assay. [194]

Wang and coworkers have also described an antisense RNA approach for the high throughput screening of potential antibiotics.[194] Traditional high throughput screens fall into two categories. Screening whole cells in MIC assays ensures cell penetration and antibacterial action. However, it cannot distinguish selective inhibitors from toxic compounds, and the mechanism of action of the hits is unknown. Alternatively, screens may employ biochemical assays to determine activity against a known essential protein. While this yields hits with welldefined targets, activity against whole cells is often disappointing due to poor cell penetration and/or active efflux.[191] The Merck team used an engineered strain of S. aureus containing a xylose-inducible plasmid encoding antisense RNA corresponding to the gene enconding FabF and FabH. When cultured in the presence of xylose, this strain overexpresses antisense RNA for the connected gene encoding both FabF and FabH. This leads to destruction of the FabF/ H mRNA transcript, thought to be due to formation of double-stranded RNA, which is degraded enzymatically. The result is the underexpression of the FabF and FabH enzymes, which increases the sensitivity of the strain to inhibitors of these enzymes. This allowed the design of a two-plate high throughput assay for FabF/H inhibitors. In this approach, two agar plates are prepared: one containing a control strain and one containing the sensitive strain. Potential antibiotics are then added to wells on each plate. Growth of the bacteria is inhibited in a zone around the wells containing active compounds as the antibiotic diffuses through the agar medium. If a well contains a FabF or FabH inhibitor, then the area of growth inhibition around that well will be greater in the sensitive strain than in the control. By comparing the zones of inhibition between the two plates, large numbers of samples can be assayed in a high throughput manner.[191,194]

**9.2.1. Phomallenic acids**—Following verification of the assay with compounds of known mechanism of action, the Merck team screened over 250,000 natural product isolates and discovered several substances with potent antibiotic activity, beginning with the phomallenic acids (**290–292**, Figure 25).[194,195] Phomallenic acids A–C inhibited *S. aureus* FAS II with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 22, 3.4, and 0.77 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (89, 13, and 2.8 µM), respectively, and are thought to be dual inhibitors of *S. aureus* FabH and FabF. Though phomallenic acid A (**290**) was essentially inactive against *S. aureus* [MIC 250 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (~1 mM)], phomallenic acids B (**291**) and C (**292**) were active against *S. aureus* and MRSA with MICs of 12.5 and 3.9 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> (48 and 14 µM), respectively. Phomallenic acid C (**292**) was also active against *Haemophilus influenzae* and *B. subtilis*, but did not show activity against enterococci.

The interesting acetylenic allene structure of the phomallenic acids inspired a total synthesis of phomallenic acid C (292) by the Wu group.[196] Their strategy included construction of the chiral allene group through an  $S_N2$ ' reaction of a propargylic alcohol followed by a Negishi coupling. Their approach began from anhydride 293, which was subjected to acetylene addition under Lewis acid catalysis to give acetylenic ketone 294 (Scheme 44a). Following MOM protection of the acid group, CBS reduction[197] of ketone 295 furnished propargylic alcohol **296** in 94 % yield and > 96 % *ee*. Removal of the acetylinic TMS group and activation of the alcohol as the tosylate provided the substrate for an  $S_N2$ ' displacement. Treatment of the propargylic tosylate with CuBr and LiBr yielded bromo-allene 297 with efficient, but incomplete, transfer of central to axial chirality.[198] The coupling of an optically-enriched allene with an alkyne was investigated using model compound 298 (Scheme 44b), in which the adjacent stereocenter provided a means of monitoring the selectivity of the coupling reaction. Coupling of 298 with TMS-acetylene under Sonogashira conditions[77,199] led to substantial epimerization of the allene axis; however, the milder conditions of a Negishi coupling [77,79] gave allene **299** with clean inversion of the allene axis. This reactivity was replicated in the coupling of bromide 297 with 1,3-heptadiyne, with subsequent deprotection

Nicolaou et al.

affording phomallenic acid C (**292**) in excellent overall yield.[196] The Negishi coupling proceeded with complete inversion of the allene axis, as indicated by the *ee* of bromide **297** and phomallenic acid C MOM ester (88 % *ee*). Optical rotation comparisons indicated that the natural product was isolated at an *ee* of approximately 58 %. [195,196]

9.2.2 Platensimycin and Platencin—The Merck screening program led by Singh and Wang also discovered platensimycin (17, Figure 26)[200,201] and platencin (18, Figure 26). [202] These related natural products are characterized by a conserved polar aromatic group coupled through an amide linkage to a variable ketolide core. Both compounds were isolated from strains of Streptomyces platensis (Figure 27) and were found to be potent broad spectrum antibiotics. Platensimycin (17) was found to be a highly potent inhibitor of FAS II with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.45 µM against the S. aureus FAS II enzyme system. [200a] Its potency in whole cell inhibition of lipid biosynthesis was in a similar range, indicating good access to the molecular target in a whole cell setting. Single enzyme assays indicated that platensimycin is a highly potent inhibitor of both S. aureus and E. coli FabF enzymes, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 48 and 160 nM, respectively, while activity against S. aureus FabH was significantly lower at 67  $\mu$ M. Interestingly, the binding affinity of platensimycin for purified FabF was lower than expected from the potency of inhibition, and the Merck team discovered that platensimycin actually binds the acyl-enzyme intermediate formed during the condensing reaction. Thus, in the presence of lauryl-CoA to generate an acyl-enzyme species in situ, platensimycin was found to bind FabF with a binding IC<sub>50</sub> of 19 nM.[200a] The short half-life of the acyl enzyme intermediate precluded successful crystallization of the acyl-enzyme-platensimycin complex. However, a mutant E. coli FabF with the active site cysteine replaced by a glutamine residue was used to mimic the acyl-enzyme intermediate. This was based on the observation that an analogous mutant of an animal-derived  $\beta$ -ketoacyl synthase domain converted the enzyme into a malonyl decarboxylase (i.e. the second stage of the elongation condensation reaction), indicating that this mutant may adopt a similar conformation to that of the acyl-enzyme intermediate.[203] Structural studies of the E. coli FabF(C163Q) mutant supported this supposition, indicating that the mutant had the essential features of an acyl-enzyme intermediate. Indeed, platensimycin showed excellent affinity with E. coli FabF(C161O), allowing the generation of a high-resolution X-ray crystal structure of the bound platensimycin complex.

The X-ray crystal structure of the enzyme-platensimycin complex (Figure 28) indicated that platensimycin (17) binds in the malonyl binding site. The carboxylic acid of platensimycin interacts with the two active site histidine residues, the side chain of Phe400 makes an edge-to-face interaction with the aromatic ring, and the 5'-phenol makes a hydrogen bond to the periphery of the malonyl binding site through a water molecule. The amide of platensimycin is aligned perpendicular to the aromatic ring and makes two hydrogen bonds to threonines lining the cavity. The cage-like portion of the platensimycin molecule is positioned in the mouth of the malonyl binding pocket and masks 122 Å<sup>2</sup> of solvent-accessible surface, suggesting it makes a significant contribution to binding affinity. The enone carbonyl oxygen is hydrogen bonded to an alanine N–H, and the ether group engages in a hydrogen bond with a threonine side chain in a cleft on the protein surface. The enone olefin and the side of the cage unit that carries it are exposed to solvent.[200a]

Platensimycin (17) displays broad spectrum activity against Gram-positive bacteria, with MIC values as indicated in Table 2.[200a] As shown, the activity of platensimycin compared well with that of the clinical antibiotic linezolid. Notably, as expected due to its novel mechanism of action, no cross-resistance was observed with existing agents, and it is a potent inhibitor of a number of clinically important human pathogens, including MRSA, vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA), and vancomycin- and macrolide-resistant enterococci. In addition, no toxicity was observed towards HeLa mammalian cells. Platensimycin showed

promising in vivo activity in mice, with a parenteral dose of  $100 - 150 \ \mu g \ h^{-1}$  effectively suppressing a *S. aureus* infection after 24 h. Even at this rather high dose,[201] no toxic effects were observed in the test animals.[200a]

Platencin (18) shares a gross structural similarity with platensimycin, with the enone ring, linker section, and aromatic portion being completely conserved. The structures differ in their polycyclic domain, with platencin having a bicyclo[2.2.2]octane system in place of the etherbridged [3.2.1] structural motif of platensimycin.[202] Despite this close structural relationship, examination of the mechanism of action of platencin indicated an important distinction to that of platensimycin. While the latter is a highly selective inhibitor of FabF, platencin is a dual inhibitor of FabF and FabH.[202a] Platencin binds the acyl-enzyme intermediate of FabF with an IC5<sub>0</sub> of 113 nM, reflecting a 5.9-fold lower affinity for this enzyme than platensimycin. Conversely, platencin has 4.1-fold greater affinity for FabH  $(IC_{50} = 16.2 \mu M)$  as compared to platensimycin. Although platencin has rather higher affinity for FabF than for FabH, it was shown to inhibit both enzymes with similar efficiency in multienzyme assays. The differences in binding affinities between the two compounds were rationalized after examination of the structures docked in the E. coli FabF(C163Q) and FabH active sites. Absence of the hydrogen bond made by the platensimycin ether group may account for the lower affinity of platencin for FabF. Unfavorable interactions between the ether and C17 methyl groups of platensimycin and residues on the surface of FabH might explain its much lower affinity for this enzyme, while the altered shape of platencin matches that active site better.[202]

As shown in Table 2, platencin (18) exhibited similar broad spectrum antibiotic activity, although there are slight differences in the profile of this compound. Similar in vivo results were also obtained in mice, albeit at a slightly higher dose, as expected from its lower potency against *S. aureus* in the presence of serum. The dual mechanism of action of platencin offers promise in combating the emergence of resistant bacterial strains through mutations, as two separate enzymes must undergo changes in order to render this compound ineffective.[202a]

Recent studies on the biosynthesis of platensimycin (17) have indicated that the nonmevalonate terpene pathway is responsible for the production of the ketolide-carboxylic acid motif (303, Scheme 45).[204] Formation of an ent-kaurane (301) or related (302) structure from geranylgeranyl diphosphate (300) followed by loss of the three terminal carbon atoms to form the carboxylic acid group accounts for the  $C_{17}$  skeleton.[201] Platencin (18) is proposed to arise from rearrangement of this skeleton. [202b] The Singh group at Merck have recently isolated platensic acid (303) along with its methyl ester (methyl platensinoate, 304) from a strain of S. platensis, confirming that this acid is a natural product in its own right. [205] The also reported the isolation of two further natural products related to platensimycin. The first is platensimide (305, Figure 29), in which the aniline carboxylic acid motif of the parent compound is replaced by a 1,4-diaminobutyrate derivative.[205] They subsequently identified homoplatensimide (306) in cultures of the same strain. [206] In this species, the ketolide unit contains three further cabon atoms in the linking chain between the core enone and the carboxylic acid group, giving this region the C<sub>20</sub> formula expected for a diterpene. Indeed, it is easy to envisage the biosynthesis of this structure from a species such as 302, and this isolation can be taken as further evidence of the validity of the proposed biosynthesis hypothesis. In homoplatensimide (**306**), the ketolide makes an amide linkage to glutamine. The Singh group also undertook a semisyntheis of platensimide (305) in four steps from platensic acid (303), along with a number of other derivatives of the platensimide structure. None of these compounds (303–306 and derivatives) retained the potent antibacterial activity of platensimycin and platencin, indicating the importance of the benzoic acid motif to the platensimycin pharmacophore.[205, 206]

Nicolaou et al.

Singh and coworkers have also reported some chemical studies on platensimycin (17).[206] Hydrogenation of the enone olefin was employed as a means to install a tritium label for direct binding studies. Dihydroplatensimycin (307,Scheme 46) was found to undergo an interesting condensation under mildly acidic conditions to form pentacyclic enamine 308. Bromination of platensimycin (17) could be effected in high yield by treatment with NBS, giving 6'bromoplatensimycin 309, which allowed for assignment of the absolute stereochemistry of the natural product by X-ray crystallographic analysis.[200b,207] The 5'- and 6'-chloro derivatives and various *O*-methyl derivatives were also prepared. Although detailed biological data were not reported, the authors indicated that these compounds were all less active than the parent platensimycin.

The combination of a novel structural class, impressive biological activity, and the media attention surrounding its publication have made platensimycin an attractive target for total synthesis, and no fewer than ten distinct routes to this framework have appeared in the two years since its isolation was reported.[208] While there are similarities between some approaches, each also has important distinctions. The Nicolaou group reported a total synthesis of racemic platensimycin (**17**) in 2006, involving a SmI<sub>2</sub>-mediated ketyl olefin cyclization as the key step.[209] Retrosynthetic disconnection of the amide bond followed by removal of the C18 methyl group and the propanoic acid side chain revealed ketolide **310** (Figure 30), representing the central challenge of the total synthesis. Rupture of the ether linkage gave tricycle **311**, which could be simplified to spirocyclic dienone **312** by an acyl–anion or ketyl–radical addition disconnection. This disconnection defined the character of this strategy, which relies on the local symmetry of the dienone to set the challenging C8 quaternary center.[210] Two routes were explored to assemble the key spirocyclic intermediate, one involving a potentially asymmetric enyne cycloisomerization (**313**) and the other a novel oxidative cyclization (**314**).[209,211]

To test the hypothesis that a spirocycle such as **312** could be converted into the platensimycin ketolide **310**, a synthesis of racemic platensimycin (**17**) was undertaken.[209] As shown in Scheme 47, vinylogous ester **315** was converted into compound **316** by a sequence of two sequential alkylations. Simple transformations gave 4,4-disubstituted enone **317**. Cycloisomerization of **317** was effected by exposure to catalytic [CpRu(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub>,[212] providing spirocyclic silyl enol ether **318** as an inconsequential 1:1 diastereomeric mixture. Saegusa–Ito oxidation[107] followed by unmasking of the latent aldehyde group then led to **312**. Treatment of **312** with SmI<sub>2</sub> at low temperature resulted in an instantaneous reaction to form the desired tricyclic system **311** as a 2:1 mixture at the alcohol-bearing center.[110] The modest yield of this transformation, three new stereocenters are established, of which two are completely controlled. The proximity of the secondary alcohol in the major diastereoisomer of **311** allowed for facile etherification, which completed the platensimycin cage structure **310**.

From intermediate **310**, installation of the side chains was achieved by successive alkylations with complete stereocontrol, presumably due to the steric influence of the adjacent polycyclic unit (Scheme 47). The second alkylation was limited to allylation, and, while hydroboration was not suitable for installing the required oxygenation, it was discovered that the olefin would undergo cross metathesis.[139] Thus, treatment of **319** with Grubbs' second generation olefin metathesis initiator (**228**)[140] in the presence of vinyl pinacol boronate[213] gave boronate **320** in high yield. Oxidation of the vinylboronate to the corresponding aldehyde was achieved under mild conditions using trimethylamine-*N*-oxide, with further oxidation providing carboxylic acid **303**. This mild two-step conversion of allyl units to aldehydes, originally exploited by Danishefsky and coworkers for the preparation of epothilone analogs, offered a functional group-tolerant alternative to hydroboration chemistry.[214] Coupling of carboxylic

acid **303** with aniline **321** (Scheme 48) followed by a one-pot deprotection sequence yielded (±)-platensimycin (**17**).[209]

Two routes have been reported for the synthesis of aniline fragment **321**. The first, which was employed in the Nicolaou group total synthesis, began with 2-nitroresorcinol (**322**, Scheme 48a), and proceeded in five steps.[209] Thus, the carboxylate functionality was introduced via directed *ortho*-lithiation[215] of intermediate **323**, and the carbamate removed by microwave irradiation[47] at 205 °C. In an alternative approach (Scheme 48b), Heretsch and Giannis reported the nitration of benzoate **324** to give **325** in modest yield, along with a similar quantity of its 5-nitro isomer.[216] The isomers were easily separable by precipitation of the unwanted isomer during work-up. MOM protection yielded intermediate **326**, and catalytic hydrogenation of the nitro group then provided aniline **321**. Although the overall yield of this sequence is lower than that of the Nicolaou approach, its operational simplicity and flexibility with regard to protecting group installation make it an attractive alternative.

Following their synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -platensimycin (17), the Nicolaou group turned their attention to developing an asymmetric total synthesis. [211] As indicated in Figure 30, two possibilities were envisaged for the asymmetric synthesis of spirocycle **312**, and these were investigated in parallel. A catalytic asymmetric cycloisomerization of **313** would provide spirocycle **312** by direct analogy with the route to the racemate. Such a process has not yet been reported using a ruthenium system, [212c] but the Zhang group has reported analogous reactions of internal alkynes using chiral rhodium complexes.[217] Investigation of an asymmetric reaction in the context of the approach to platensimycin (17) required introduction of the dienone system prior to the spirocyclization event. An ester group was used to cap the alkyne as the terminal alkyne proved unsuitable for use in the rhodium-catalyzed reaction. Thus, silvlation of **317** (Scheme 49), introduction of the ester, oxidation with IBX,[218] and TBS deprotection gave enyne 327. Treatment of 327 with the catalyst derived from [Rh(cod)Cl]<sub>2</sub>, AgSbF<sub>6</sub>, and (S)-BINAP [217] furnished spirocycle 328 in excellent yield and *ee*. Having served its purpose, the ester group had to be removed, which came at the price of a five-step sequence, with a Barton radical decarboxylation[219] responsible for the actual C-C bond cleavage. Interestingly, the decarboxylation resulted in isomerization of the olefin to the internal position (329). This was unexpected given the neutral reaction conditions and the fact that no such isomerization is observed under strongly acidic conditions (vide infra) (the mechanistic details await further investigation). In a final twist, the SmI<sub>2</sub>-mediated cyclization of **329** proceeded in similar yield to that of the exocyclic olefin isomer **312**, but now gave complete stereoselectivity for the desired alcohol (330). This serves to underline the potential impact of subtle conformational and steric effects within the platensimycin framework. The endocyclic olefin 330 also underwent facile etherification, completing the synthesis of **310**, now as a single enantiomer. [211]

The other approach to enantiomerically pure platensimycin involved setting the absolute stereochemistry of **312** prior to the spirocyclization event, and made use of the hypervalent iodine-promoted oxidative dearomatization of phenols (Scheme 50).[220] The asymmetric alkylation of pseudoephedrine amides developed by the Myers group[221] provided a convenient means to install the required stereogenic center. Amide **331** was prepared from the corresponding carboxylic acid and alkylated with bromide **332** under Myers' standard conditions to give **333**. Although the selectivity was low for these systems (ca. 85 % *de*), the crystallinity of the pseudoephedrine derivative allowed for isolation of diastereomerically pure material in good yield. The amide group of **333** was efficiently transformed into the required allylsilane by way of intermediate **334**, providing dearomatization substrate **314** after deprotection of the phenol group. Treatment of **314** with bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene furnished dienone **335** in good yield, confirming that allylsilanes are competent nucleophiles in intramolecular dearomatization reactions.[222] Removal of the acetal under acid conditions

gave aldehyde **312** in enantiopure form, allowing the completion of the total synthesis of (–)-platensimycin (**17**) using the previously described route.[209]

The next approach to platensimycin ketolide **310** came from the Snider group, and, although **310** is formed as a racemate, this remains the most efficient preparation of this compound, proceeding in a remarkable 32 % overall yield from tetralone **338** (Scheme 51).[223] Thus, Birch reduction[224] and alkylation with **339** gave a mixture of diketones **337** and **340**. Equilibration of **340** under acidic conditions slightly favored **337**, allowing good material throughput. Radical cyclization of both diastereomers furnished tricycles **341** and **342** in high yield. In this case, base-catalyzed equilibration of undesired **342** provided some **341**, but favored **342**. Reduction of diketone **341** gave **336** with complete selectivity for the desired C10 secondary alcohol and an inconsequential 1:1 mixture at C7. Acid-catalyzed etherification served to differentiate the two hydroxyl groups (**343**) and was followed by dehydration to install the C6–C7 olefin of **344**. Finally, allylic oxidation of **344**, which was most efficient when carried out in two steps, yielded **310**, completing this short formal total synthesis of (±)-platensimycin (**17**).

An alternative strategy from the Nicolaou group bears similarities to both the earlier Nicolaou route and the Snider route. As shown in Scheme 52a, the retrosynthetic analysis includes a radical cyclization similar to that employed by Snider, and also incorporates a symmetrical dienone intermediate. In this case, however, the cyclization onto the dienone unit is a true desymmetrization in that the precursor is achiral (Scheme 52b).[225] Dienone 347 was constructed in a manner similar to that described above, beginning from **315** and by way of intermediate **346**. The key step was an intramolecular Stetter reaction [226] to form the requisite decalin system. This reaction was catalyzed by a carbene derived from heterocycle **193**[109] and provided 345 in good yield. However, the full potential of this reaction could not be realized as the substrate proved resistant to cyclization using chiral carbene catalysts. Following introduction of unsaturation in the new cyclohexanone ring to give 348, the radical cyclization proceeded efficiently under standard conditions to afford 349. While reduction of 341 (Scheme 51) with L-Selectride yielded a single diastereomer at C10, reduction of 349 under similar conditions gave **350** as a 1:1 mixture of secondary alcohols. Alternative conditions often provided high selectivity for the undesired isomer, indicating the influence of the pre-installed C6–C7 olefin on the conformation of the tricyclic system. As expected, etherification and thioacetal deprotection proceeded efficiently to give **310**.[225]

In a similar vein, Kaliappan and Ravikumar prepared an enantiopure surrogate for the platensimycin tetracyclic core[227] from the Wieland–Miescher ketone (**351**, Scheme 53). Transformation of **351** under standard conditions provided ketone **352**, reduction of which afforded secondary allylic alcohol **353**. Base-mediated addition to vinyl phenyl sulfoxide gave ether **354**, which, upon thermolysis, underwent a sulfoxide elimination to generate a vinyl ether followed by Claisen rearrangement to install the C8 quaternary center of **355**. A three-step sequence led to alkyne **356**, which underwent a similar radical cyclization to those described above. In this case it proceeded through stannylation of the alkyne; protodestannylation of the product proceeded on treatment with mild acid to yield ketone **357**. In this instance, L-Selectride again provided excellent stereoselectivity at C10, and etherification completed the synthesis of the platensimycin core (**358**).

Yamamoto and coworkers have reported a markedly different approach to platensimycin ketolide **310**,[228] involving an intramolecular Robinson annulation. The difference between this approach and those discussed above is evident from their retrosynthetic analysis, shown in Figure 31. The enone and pyran rings were formed last in the key Robinson annulation event. This disconnection revealed oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane unit **359**, which was traced back to an asymmetric Diels–Alder reaction of a 2-substituted cyclopentadiene (**363**).[28] Although

reactions of 5-substituted cyclopentadienes are well established, reactions of 2-substituted isomers are frought with difficulty due to the facile [1,5]-sigmatropic rearrangement that renders them unstable even at ambient temperature.[229] The resulting mixture of 1- and 2-substituted dienes leads to product mixtures from the Diels–Alder reaction.

In an accompanying paper, [230] Payette and Yamamoto addressed this problem using a Brønsted acid activated Lewis acid catalyst [231] derived from chiral oxazaborolidine **365** (Scheme 54a). Addition of the C–H Brønsted acid **366** to **365** produces a highly active Diels–Alder catalyst. Steric bias generated by the catalyst structure disfavors reaction of the 1-substituted diene, giving selectivity for the 2-substituted reactants. Thus, treatment of methyl acrylate (**364**) with an excess of methyl cyclopentadiene (**363**, a mixture of 1- and 2-Me isomers) afforded norbornene derivative **367** in excellent yield with essentially total regio-, diastereo- and enantiocontrol. Interestingly, Payette and Yamamoto also reported a means to access Diels–Alder products from 1-alkyl cyclopentadienes. By employing a sacrificial acrylate dienophile, all the 2-alkyl diene in the mixture **370** (Scheme 54b) is consumed. At the reaction temperature (–78 °C), [1,5]-sigmatropic rearrangement does not occur, leaving the 1-alkyl isomer **371** unchanged. Addition of a more active quinone dienophile (**372**) allows reaction with the 1-alkyl diene **371** to provide **373**, again with excellent stereocontrol.

Progress towards the platensimycin ketolide from **367** began with oxidative decarboxylation via *N*-nitrosoaldol reaction and base-mediated hydrolysis (Scheme 54a).[228] Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of the resulting norbornenone (**362**) under basic conditions led to rearranged product **361**, possessing the required oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane structure. An  $S_N 2$ ' addition[188,232] of a vinyl fragment was followed by re-lactonization of **368** under acid catalysis giving **369**, from which annulation substrate **359** was prepared in four steps through the intermediacy of nitrile **360**. The Robinson annulation process[233] was accomplished in two steps beginning with a Michael addition catalyzed by L-proline,[234] and completed by addition of NaOH to effect the aldol condensation step. The product (**310**) was obtained as a 5:1 mixture of C9 epimers, favoring the desired product. The use of a chiral reagent enhanced the intrinsic preference for this product, with D-proline giving the same major isomer but in only a 3:1 ratio.[228]

Ghosh and Xi have reported[235] a similar approach to the tetracyclic core of platensimycin to that reported by the Yamamoto group, using an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction[28] rather than a Robinson annulation (Scheme 55). The oxabicyclooctane system was formed from carvone (**374**) via hydrative radical cyclization/reduction (**375**) and Baeyer–Villiger reaction/translactonization (**376**). Further transformations gave lactone **377**, which was converted into ketone **379** over five steps through the intermediacy of **378**. The use of chiral Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reagent **380** allowed for stereocontrolled introduction of an olefin,[236] affording, upon further elaboration, compound **381**. Completion of the diene and installation of the dienophile provided Diels–Alder substrate **382**, which underwent cycloaddition with good stereocontrol on heating to 200 °C. Product **383** was isolated in only 39 % yield, largely because only the *E*-isomer of the 1:1 diene mixture reacted.

Another strategy is exemplified by the Tiefenbacher and Mulzer's approach to ketolide **310**. [237] Their retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 56a) involved a unique ether disconnection revealing a tertiary alcohol (**384**) that was further disconnected to known tricyclic ketone **385**. This ketone was prepared previously by Mander and coworkers via the intramolecular alkylation of an aromatic precursor by a diazoketone group.[238]

Tiefenbacher and Mulzer prepared diazoketone **388** via hydrogenation of unsaturated carboxylic acid **387**,[237] prepared in three steps from tetralone **386** (Scheme 56b).[239] The reduction step was carried out under achiral conditions, providing **388** as a racemate, but, as the authors indicated, this step may be amenable to asymmetric induction. The dearomatization

was accomplished by treatment of **388** with TFA, giving dienone **385** in good overall yield. Addition of methyl Grignard reagent to **385** proceeded with excellent regio- and stereoselectivity to afford tertiary alcohol **389**. The platensimycin cage motif could then be completed via radical bromination (providing **384**) and intramolecular nucleophilic substitution. Hydrogenation of dienone system **390** using Crabtree's iridium catalyst[240] gave a 1.3:1 mixture of C9 diastereomers (**391**), reflecting the rather symmetrical nature of **390**. The required, but more accessible, C6–C7 olefin was also hydrogenated in the process, but it could be reinstalled in moderate yield by treatment with iodic acid•DMSO complex[241] to furnish **310**.

A related approach to the one described above was employed by Lalic and Corey in their enantioselective synthesis of enone 310.[242] As seen in their retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 57a), these investigators chose to carry out the key dearomatizing alkylation after construction of the tetrahydrofuran ring; the latter was disconnected according to a more conventional etherforming reaction. Their synthesis began from naphthol **394**,[243] which was converted into quinone mono-acetal 395 (Scheme 57b). The stereochemistry at C12 in compound 396 was then set by a highly enantioselective rhodium-catalyzed conjugate addition[244] of 2-propenyl trifluoroborate.[245] This transformation was accelerated by the addition of triethylamine, allowing complete conversion at ambient temperature. The authors postulated that the amine base plays a role in the formation of the active monomeric Rh(I)-BINAP complex. The C10 stereocenter was set next through a stereoselective reduction of the carbonyl group, giving rise to **397** as a single isomer. Further elaboration, reduction at C13, and protecting group manipulations led to 393, bromoetherification of which gave tetrahydrofuran 392 as a 10:1 mixture of diastereomers at the new tertiary chiral center. The remarkable stereoselectivity of this step was crucial, as it placed the allylic bromide in a suitable orientation for the following step. It was rationalized by a concerted mechanism, with simultaneous attack of the protected alcohol oxygen and bromine atoms on the olefin, which occupies a pseudodiaxial conformation. Treatment of TIPS ether 392 with TBAF at high temperature led to efficient alkylation of the aromatic ring to furnish dienone 390. Lalic and Corey found that the reduction step could be achieved with excellent diastereoselectivity through the use of a chiral rhodium catalyst at high pressure, affording saturated ketone 391 in high yield. In this case, reintroduction of the C6-C7 olefin in 310 was accomplished via regioselective TMS enol ether formation using TMSOTf and trimethylamine, and oxidation using the IBX•MPO system. [218] Although this is a rather long sequence, the overall efficiency remains high due to the excellent yields and high diastereoselectivity obtained throughout.[242]

Nicolaou, Chen, and coworkers reported a chiral pool approach to the platensimycin ketolide (310),[246] starting from carvone and involving an alternative SmI2-mediated cyclization. Their retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 58a) began with the now familiar ether disconnection (398), but was followed by a unique strategic disconnection of the cyclohexenone ring through the C4–C5 bond. This led back to an enone–aldehyde (399), which was hypothesized to be a substrate for a ketyl–olefin or Stetter cyclization. This substrate was then traced back to (R)-carvone (ent-374).

In the forward sense, the synthesis began with the conversion of (*R*)-carvone (*ent*-**374**) into (*S*)-carvone derivative **400** through 1,2-Grignard addition and oxidative rearrangement (Scheme 58b). Hydrative radical cyclization gave a 1:1 mixture of **401** and **402**, which were converted together into aldehyde **399**. The intramolecular Stetter reaction of **399** effected the required cyclization, but the diketone product was formed as a 5:1 inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers, favoring the undesired *trans*-decalin isomer, which was unstable to epimerization conditions. A SmI<sub>2</sub>-mediated ketyl radical cyclization[110] gave hydroxy ketone **403** as a single diastereomer, again favoring the undesired stereochemistry at the C9 position. Although **403** was resistant to epimerization, conversion to an axial ester (**404**) by a

Mitsonobu reaction[247] allowed successful equilibration at C9 to afford, after base-promoted ester cleavage, **405** and **406** as a separable 1:1 mixture. Reduction of **406** with L-Selectride furnished the desired C10 secondary alcohol, which cyclized to yield ether **407** upon acidic workup. Oxidation of **407** followed by TMS enol ether formation and oxidation gave **310** as a 2:1 mixture of regioisomers, reflecting the selectivity of the silylation.[246]

The final approach to platensimycin reported to date is that by Eun Lee and coworkers,[248] based on a carbonyl ylid cycloaddition.[249] These researchers disconnected the enone ring **310** via aldol condensation and olefination reactions, leading back to ketonitrile **409** through **408** (Figure 32). Unravelling of the polycyclic cage motif along the lines of a carbonyl ylid cycloaddition led back to a diazoketone, such as **410**, and then to malononitrile **411**.

The quaternary chiral center of the cascade precursor was formed through an elegant diastereoselective double alkylation of malononitrile 411 (Scheme 59). Treatment of 411 with sodium hydride and enantiopure propylene oxide **412** led to lactone formation;[250] subsequent addition of iodide 413 to the reaction mixture gave a 63 % yield of lactone 414, along with 13 % of the epimeric product. Ketothioester 415 was formed by lactone opening with thiol and oxidation of the secondary alcohol. Hydrolysis of the thioester and diazoketone formation gave the projected cascade substrate 416 in excellent overall yield. The generation of a metallocarbene from a substrate such as 410 or 416 can lead to several products, [251] and effective control of the cascade pathway is vital to the success of any such strategy.[249] When the simpler substrate **410**, which lacks the iodine residue, was exposed to catalytic rhodium acetate dimer, only a trace of the desired product was formed, with regioisomeric cage product **421** predominating, along with a small quantity of the cyclopropanation product (not shown). Use of rhodium trifluoroacetate dimer suppressed cyclopropanation, but it did not affect the cycloaddition regiochemistry. To overcome this problem, the iodide moiety of 416 was installed on the olefin partner in order to modify the HOMO coefficient of the dipolarophile. This proposal was borne out by the reaction of **416** with rhodium acetate dimer, which furnished the desired cage structure **418** via 1,3-dipole **417** in excellent yield, along with only traces of the regioisomeric product and cyclopropane. Reduction of the now redundant iodide and olefination of the ketone afforded enone **419**. Again, the issue of selective reduction of a fairly symmetrical C4-C9 enone group had to be addressed; and this obstacle was overcome using hydrosilylation with dimethylphenylsilane and Wilkinson's catalyst.[252] The temporary masking of the ketone as the silvl enol ether during the hydrosilvlation reaction allowed Lee and coworkers to reduce the nitrile group in situ through the addition of DIBAL-H, which gave ketoaldehyde 420 in 59 % yield, after hydrolysis of the silyl ether. The C9 epimeric ketone was also formed in 23 % yield, reflecting the selectivity of hydrosilylation reaction. The formal asymmetric synthesis was completed by an efficient acid-catalyzed aldol condensation, which provided **310** in 96 % yield.[248]

Two bioactive analogs of platensimycin (17) have been reported by the Nicolaou group. Adamantaplatensimycin (425, Scheme 60)[253] was prepared via the rhodium-carbene C–H insertion reaction[254] of 422 (prepared from a commercially available adamantane precursor) to give 423. Although the C–H insertion reaction was not amenable to asymmetric induction, adamantaplatensimycin (425) could be accessed as a single enantiomer by resolution of carboxylic acid 424 via the corresponding menthol ester.

Carbaplatensimycin (430, Scheme 61)[255] was prepared by modification of Nicolaou's asymmetric route to platensimycin (Scheme 49) by replacing the ketyl radical cyclization of 312 (Scheme 47) with an intramolecular cyanohydrin addition and the etherification employed in that scheme with a 5-*exo*-trig radical cyclization. Cyanohydrin 426 was synthesized in three steps from 312 and underwent smooth cyclization on treatment with KHMDS to afford  $\alpha$ -alkoxy nitrile 427, which was transformed into xanthate 428 in preparation for the radical

cyclization. Indeed, **428** cyclized under standard radical conditions to form carba-cage motif **429**. This intermediate was finally converted to (–)-carbaplatensimycin (**430**) by a sequence analogous to that used for platensimycin (**17**).

Both adamantaplatensimycin (**425**) and carbaplatensimycin (**430**) were found to be active against MRSA and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), with MIC values of 1.8–2.2  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (4–5  $\mu$ M), as compared with platensimycin [0.4 and 0.8  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> (0.9 and 1.8  $\mu$ M) against MRSA and VRE, respectively, in parallel assays].[253,255] Although detailed SAR data have not been reported as yet, it seems that some variation in the structure of the cage portion is tolerated. The dual mechanism of action of platencin (**18**)[202] also raises the possibility that one or both of these analogs operates by such a mechanism, complicating any SAR interpretation at this time.

The novel molecular architecture and biological activity of platencin (**18**) have also prompted synthetic efforts, with the Nicolaou group reporting an asymmetric total synthesis in 2008. [256] Figure 33 depicts their retrosynthetic analysis. A similar final drive to that used for the total synthesis of platensimycin (see Scheme 47) was envisioned, revealing enone **431** as a key intermediate. Disconnection of the enone led to bicyclo[2.2.2]octane **432**, which could be converted retrosynthetically to bicyclo[3.2.1] system **433** through a homoallyl radical rearrangement.[257,258] This bicyclic system was further disconnected to reveal enone **434**, potentially available through asymmetric Diels–Alder chemistry.

In the forward sense (Scheme 62), enone 434 was accessed through a Rawal asymmetric Diels-Alder reaction between aminodiene 435 and enal 436 catalyzed by chromium salen complex 437.[259] Standard transformations from 438 gave TIPS enol ether 439, which cyclized efficiently on exposure to gold(I) catalysis, as reported by Toste. [260] The C9 stereochemistry was installed by conjugate addition to bicyclic enone 440. Conversion of the ketone to the corresponding xanthate (441) set the stage for the key rearrangement. Toyota and coworkers had previously reported the rearrangement of similar systems for the formation of various terpene natural products, [257] and, under their reported conditions, xanthate 441 provided rearrangement product **432**. Notably, the 3-exo-trig cyclization step of the rearrangement (giving 442) proceeded faster than the alternative cyclization onto the allyl group, with the 5exo-trig product being isolated only as a minor byproduct. From 432, Wacker oxidation, deprotection, and oxidation yielded 443, which underwent smooth aldol condensation on treatment with ethanolic sodium hydroxide to afford enone 431. Enone 431 was converted into carboxylic acid 444 using the same five-step sequence employed in the platensimycin synthesis. Coupling of 444 with aniline 445, prepared by modification of the Giannis protocol [216] (see Scheme 48b), yielded amide 446, which was deprotected under mild conditions to furnish platencin (18).

Hayashida and Rawal also reported a total synthesis of platencin in early 2008.[261] Their retrosynthetic analysis disconnected the target to reveal tricyclic enone **431** as a key intermediate. Their approach to this structure, shown in Figure 34, was conceptually distinct from that of Nicolaou et al., and involved a Ni-mediated reductive cyclization to form the core bicyclo[2.2.2]ocatane motif and a Diels–Alder reaction (to form **447** or a similar structure) between amino diene **449** and an equivalent of cyclohexadienone **448**.

The synthesis began with reductive alkylation of *ortho*-anisic acid (**450**) with 2,3dibromopropene (**339**), followed by acid workup to effect hydrolsis and decarboxylation of the dearomatized material (Scheme 63).[261] Subsequent selenation gave **451**, a surrogate for the required cyclohexadienone moiety. Enone **451** underwent a smooth Diels–Alder cycloaddition with the highly reactive aminosilyloxy diene **449** at 40 °C under neat conditions, leading to *cis*-decalin enone **452** after hydrolysis/elimination upon treatment with HF. The

second enone group was unmasked through elimination of the selenoxide to give a decalin diene-dione. This species (not shown) was found to be a poor substrate for the key cyclization reaction, and reduction of the C5 enone group was investigated as means to bias the conformation of the decalin to favor cyclization. The reduction was accomplished in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner to afford enone 453. Exposure of 453 to excess [Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>] [262] led to efficient formation of the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane motif. This reductive Heck-type process provided 454 in good yield. Removal of the ketone group from 454 and re-oxidation of the allylic alcohol gave tricyclic enone **431**, the key intermediate for the total synthesis of platencin. Methylation of the enone proceeded smoothly and, in a variation to the procedure employed by Nicolaou et al., was followed by allylation with silicon-containing electrophile 455. This allowed for facile oxidation of 456 to aldehyde 457 using a modified Tamao-Fleming protocol in which the addition of iodosobenzene proved crucial in effecting chemoselective silane oxidation in the presence of the enone group. Further oxidation of 457 and coupling of the resultant carboxylic acid 444 with fully-unprotected aniline 458[216] gave platencin (18) directly, without the need for a final deprotection step. [261] Although this sequence furnished platencin as a racemate, the approach is notably concise, aided in part by the novel method for side-chain incorporation.

Very recently, a third route to the tricyclic core of platencin was reported by Daesung Lee and coworkers.[263] Their approach commenced from meso anhydride 459, which was converted to racemic lactone 461 by treatment with DIBAL-H followed by acid-catalyzed lactonization in 92 % yield (Scheme 64). Alternatively, catalytic enantioselective desymmeterization of 459 using dimeric cinchona alkaloid catalyst (DHQD)<sub>2</sub>AQN according to the procedure of Deng et al. [264] gave monoester 460, which was converted into highly enantioenriched lactone 461 in a three-step sequence. Stereoselective propargylation (giving 462), reduction, and acetylation set the stage for the key step of this approach. Treatment of 463 with *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH and AIBN resulted in addition of the tributyltin radical to the alkyne and a 5-exo-trig cyclization of the resulting vinyl radical to generate bicyclo[3.2.1]octyl radical 464. This fleeting intermediate underwent a homoallyl radical rearrangement[257] (see Scheme 62 and relevant discussion above) to give vinylstannane 465; addition of silica to the reaction mixture effected protodestannylation. It is instructive to compare the outcome of this process with those shown in Schemes 61 and 62, above. In the former cases, similar 5-exo-trig radical cyclizations onto enone acceptors resulted in the isolation of the bicyclo[3.2.1]octane system required for platensimycin. As indicated by the current example, the absence of a carbonyl group to stabilize the intermediate radical species clearly favors the rearrangement process, while in the former examples the 3-exo-trig/radical fragmentation equilibria must presumably favor the species with the radical stabilized by the adjacent carbonyl group.

In continuing towards the tricyclic enone core of platencin, [263] Lee and coworkers removed the acetate groups from **466** to give a diol, which was monoprotected by treatment with sodium hydride and TBSCI. [265] Although the protection was highly selective for the monoprotected products, the regioselectivity was only approximately 2:1. The major product (**468**) was converted through a seven step sequence to tricyclic enone **431** via diol **469** and an aldol condensation to form the enone ring. The minor TBS ether (**467**) was also progressed to enone **431** through an eight step sequence (not shown), with ring-closing metathesis as the key cyclization step. [263]

The striking biological activities of platensimycin and platencin highlight the value of targeting bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis as a strategy for antibiotic discovery and development. The manner of their discovery is testament to the continuing potential of natural product research in a medicinal chemistry setting, especially when coupled with sophisticated biochemical methods. Whether either of these compounds eventually reaches the market as an approved drug remains to be seen, but it seems likely that a compound from this class will eventually

give rise to an effective antibiotic treatment. The challenge of developing such drugs is supported by the efforts of chemical synthesis, and the variety of routes developed to both the thiolactomycin and platensimycin classes is indicative of the strength of the discipline. Each of the routes to platensimycin and platencin described above provide some insight into the chemistry of these fascinating structures, but none could yet be considered flawless. It will be interesting to chart future developments in this area, particularly with regard to investigation of SAR details, a task that will certainly demand more efficient and flexible synthetic routes.

# 10. Summary and Outlook

Following a brief history of antibiotics, this review highlighted recent advances in the chemistry, biology, and medicine in the field. The apparent surge in these investigations was prompted by the appearance and persistence of drug-resistant bacterial strains and the realization that a catastrophic outbreak of deadly infections due to such bacteria is not outside the realm of possibilities. As from the very beginning, natural products continue to be at the forefront of antibiotic research. Aided by new advances in biology and powerful screening and isolation techniques, this field is clearly back in favor, and further breakthrough discoveries should be expected. As demonstrated in this review, it does not take long for the synthetic chemists to follow suit once a new promising lead is discovered from nature. And given the awesome and constantly increasing power of chemical synthesis, such molecules and their analogs have become accessible for further study in the laboratory. To be sure, it is the combination of new discoveries from nature and their intelligent exploitation in the laboratory that will synergistically lead to the antibiotics of tomorrow. Such new drugs are certainly needed if we are to stay ahead of the never ending invasions by our fearful enemies, the superbugs.

# Acknowledgments

It is with enormous pride and great pleasure that we wish to thank our collaborators whose names appear in the references cited and whose contributions made the described work so enjoyable and rewarding. We gratefully acknowledge the National Institutes of Health (USA), the National Science Foundation, the Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, Amgen, and Merck for supporting our research programs. We also acknowledge a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate (NDSEG) fellowship (to J.S.C.), a Merck postdoctoral fellowship (to D.J.E.), an NIH/UCSD predoctoral fellowship and an ACS Division of Organic Chemistry graduate fellowship sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim (both to A.A.E.).

# References

- 1. Christoffersen RE. Nature Biotech 2006;24:1512-1514.
- 2. Owa T, Nagasu T. Expert Opin Ther Patents 2000;10:1725-1740.
- a Da Silva AD, De Almeida MV, De Souza MVN, Couri MRC. Curr Med Chem 2003;10:21–39. [PubMed: 12570719] b Drlica K, Malik M. Curr Topics Med Chem 2003;3:249–282.
- 4. Kunze B, Hoefle G, Reichenbach H. J Antibiot 1987;40:258–265. [PubMed: 3106289]
- Barbachyn MR, Ford CW. Angew Chem 2003;115:2056–2070. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2003;42:2010–2023. [PubMed: 12746812]
- a Singh SB, Barrett JF. Biochem Pharmacol 2006;71:1006–1015. [PubMed: 16412984] b von Nussbaum F, Brands M, Hinzen B, Weigand S, Häbich D. Angew Chem 2006;118:5194–5254. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:5072–5129.
- 7. Sheehan, JC. The Enchanted Ring: The Untold Story of Penicillin. The MIT Press; Boston: 1984. p. 248
- a Raja A, LaBonte J, Lebbos J, Kirkpatrick P. Nature Rev Drug Disc 2003;2:943–944. b Alder JD. Drugs Today 2005;41:81–90. [PubMed: 15821781]
- 9. Overbye KM, Barrett JF. Drug Disc Today 2005;10:45-52.

- For a thematic issue on antibiotic resistance, see: Walsh CT, Wright G. Chem Rev 2005;105:391– 774.774
- a Leeb M. Nature 2004;431:892–893. [PubMed: 15496888] b Clark NM, Hershberger E, Zervosc MJ, Lynch JP. Curr Opin Crit Care 2003;9:403–412. [PubMed: 14508154]
- 12. Brown ED, Wright GD. Chem Rev 2005;105:759–774. [PubMed: 15700964]
- Johnson, F. The Total Synthesis of Natural Products. ApSimon, J., editor. Wiley; New York: 1973. p. 457-465.
- 14. a Nicolaou KC, Cho SY, Hughes R, Winssinger N, Smethurst C, Labischinski H, Endermann R. Chem Eur J 2001;7:3798–3823. b Nicolaou KC, Hughes R, Cho SY, Winssinger N, Labischinski H, Endermann R. Chem Eur J 2001;7:3824–3843. c Crowley BM, Boger DL. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:2885–2892. [PubMed: 16506767]
- 15. Duggar BM. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1948;51:177–181. [PubMed: 18112227]
- a Hunter IS, Hill RA. Drugs Pharmaceut Sci 1997;82:659–682. b Sum P-E, Sum F-W, Projan SJ. Curr Pharmaceut Des 1998;4:119–132. c Roberts MC. Antimicrob Resistance 2003;36:462–467. d Chopra I, Roberts M. Microbio Mol Bio Rev 2001;65:232–260.
- Levy, SB. The Antibiotic Paradox: How Miracle Drugs are Destroying the Miracle. Plenum Press; New York: 1992. p. 279
- Stockstad ELR, Jukes TH, Pierce J, Page AC, Franklin AL. J Biol Chem 1949;180:647–654. [PubMed: 18135798]
- Behal, V.; Hunter, IS. Genetics and Biochemistry of Antibiotic Production. Vining, LC.; Studdard, C., editors. Butterworth–Heinemann; Boston: 1995. p. 359-385.
- 20. Bunnag D, Karbwang J, Na-Bangchang K, Thanavibu A, Chittamas S, Harinasuta T. Southeast Asia J Trop Med Public Health 1996;27:15–18.
- Rogalski, W. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology. Hlavka, JJ.; Boothe, JH., editors. Springer– Verlag; New York: 1985. p. 179-316.
- 22. a Sum P-E, Lee VJ, Testa RT, Hlavka JJ, Ellestad GA, Bloom JD, Gluzman Y, Tally FP. J Med Chem 1994;37:184–188. [PubMed: 8289194] b Eliopoulos GM, Wennersten CB, Cole G, Moellering RC. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994;38:534–541. [PubMed: 8203851] c Wise R, Andrews JM. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994;38:1096–1102. [PubMed: 8067744]
- 23. Korst JJ, Johnston JD, Butler K, Bianco EJ, Conover LH, Woodward RB. J Am Chem Soc 1968;90:439–457.
- 24. Muxfeldt H, Haas G, Hardtmann G, Kathawala F, Mooberry JB, Vedejs E. J Am Chem Soc 1979;101:689–701.
- 25. Stork G, La Clair JJ, Spargo P, Nargund RP, Totah N. J Am Chem Soc 1996;118:5304–5305.
- 26. Wasserman HH, Lu T-J, Scott AI. J Am Chem Soc 1986;108:4237-4238.
- 27. Tatsuta K, Yoshimoto T, Gunji H, Okado Y, Takahashi M. Chem Lett 2000:646-647.
- 28. a Corey EJ. Angew Chem 2002;114:1724–1741. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:1650–1667. b Nicolaou KC, Snyder SA, Montagnon T, Vassilikogiannakis G. Angew Chem 2002;114:1742–1773. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:1668–1698.
- 29. Charest MG, Siegel DR, Myers AG. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:8292-8293. [PubMed: 15941256]
- Charest MG, Lerner CD, Brubaker JD, Siegel DR, Myers AG. Science 2005;308:395–398. [PubMed: 15831754]
- 31. Brubaker JD, Myers AG. Org Lett 2007;9:3523-3525. [PubMed: 17691796]
- a Oppolzer W, Radinov RN. Tetrahedron Lett 1991;32:5777–5780. b Soai K, Hayase T, Takai K, Sugiyama T. J Org Chem 1994;59:7908–7909.
- 33. a Dhawan KL, Gowland BD, Durst T. J Org Chem 1980;45:922–924. b Akgün E, Glinski MB, Dhawan KL, Durst T. J Org Chem 1981;46:2730–2734.
- 34. Su TL. Br J Exp Path 1948;29:473–481. [PubMed: 18123292]
- 35. a Pagano JF, Weinstein MJ, Stout HA, Donovick R. Antibiot Annu 1955 1956:554–559. b Vandeputte J, Dutcher JD. Antibiot Annu 1955 – 1956:560–561. c Steinberg BA, Jambor WP, Suydam LO. Antibiot Annu 1955 – 1956:562–565.
- 36. a Bagley MC, Dale JW, Merritt EA, Xiong X. Chem Rev 2005;105:685–714. [PubMed: 15700961] b Hughes RA, Moody CJ. Angew Chem 2007;119:8076–8101.Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:7930– 7954.
- 37. Dennis SM, Nagaraja TG, Dayton AD. Res Vet Sci 1986;41:251-256. [PubMed: 3775116]
- 38. a Shimanaka K, Kinoshita N, Iinuma H, Hamada M, Takeuchi T. J Antibiot 1994;47:668–674. [PubMed: 8040071] b Shimanaka K, Takahashi Y, Iinuma H, Naganawa H, Takeuchi T. J Antibiot 1994;47:1145–1152. [PubMed: 7961165] c Shimanaka K, Takahashi Y, Iinuma H, Naganawa H, Takeuchi T. J Antibiot 1994;47:1153–1159. [PubMed: 7961166]
- Clough B, Rangachari K, Strath M, Preiser PR, Wilson RJM. Protist 1999;150:189–195. [PubMed: 10505418]
- 40. aHughes RA, Thompson SP, Alcaraz L, Moody CJ. Chem Commun 2004:946.bHughes RA, Thompson SP, Alcaraz L, Moody CJ. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:15644. [PubMed: 16262432]very recently, Nicolaou, Dethe, and Chen reported the total syntheses of amythiamicins A, B, and C, see cNicolaou KC, Dethe DH. Chem Commun 2008:2632–2634.2634
- 41. a Moody CJ, Bagley MC. Chem Commun 1998:2049–2050. b Bagley MC, Bashford KE, Hesketh CL, Moody CJ. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:3301–3313.
- 42. Davies JR, Kane PD, Moody CJ. Tetrahedron 2004;60:3967-3977.
- 43. Ozturk T, Ertas E, Mert O. Chem Rev 2007;107:5210-5278. [PubMed: 17867708]
- 44. a Kelly RC, Ebhard I, Wicniensky N. J Org Chem 1986;51:4590–4594. b Holzapfel C, Pettit GJ. J Org Chem 1985;50:2323–2327.
- 45. Bycroft BW, Gowland MS. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1978:256-258.
- 46. a Mocek U, Knaggs AR, Tsuchiya R, Nguyen T, Beale JM, Floss HG. J Am Chem Soc 1993;115:7557–7568. b Mocek U, Zeng Z, O'Hagan D, Zhou P, Fan L-DG, Beale JM, Floss HG. J Am Chem Soc 1993;115:7992–8001.
- Larhed, M.; Olofsson, K., editors. Microwave Methods in Organic Synthesis. Springer-Verlag; Berlin: 2006. p. 301Topics in Current Chemistry No. 266
- 48. Nicolaou KC, Zak M, Rahimipour S, Estrada AA, Lee SH, O'Brate A, Giannakakou P, Ghadiri MR. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:15042–15044. [PubMed: 16248640]
- 49. Jonghee K. PCT Int Appl. 2002WO 2002066046, [CAN 137: 195555]
- a McConkey GA, Rogers MJ, McCutchan TF. J Biol Chem 1997;272:2046–2049. [PubMed: 8999899]Hardman, JG.; Limbird, LE.; Molinoff, PB.; Ruddon, RWA.; Gilman, G. Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. Vol. 9. McGraw- Hill; New York: 1996. p. 965-985.
- Ueno M, Furukawa S, Abe F, Ushioda M, Fujine K, Johki S, Hatori H, Ueda J. J Antibiot 2004;57:590– 596. [PubMed: 15580960]
- 52. Xing Y, Draper DE. Biochemistry 1996;35:1581-1588. [PubMed: 8634289]
- 53. a Nicolaou KC, Safina BS, Zak M, Estrada AA, Lee SH. Angew Chem 2004;116:5197–5202.Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:5087–5092. b Nicolaou KC, Zak M, Safina BS, Lee SH, Estrada AA. Angew Chem 2004;116:5202–5207.Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:5092–5097. c Nicolaou KC, Safina BS, Zak M, Lee SH, Nevalainen M, Bella M, Estrada AA, Funke C, Zécri FJ, Bulat S. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:11159–11175. [PubMed: 16076224] d Nicolaou KC, Zak M, Safina BS, Estrada AA, Lee SH, Nevalainen M. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:11176–11183. [PubMed: 16076225]
- 54. Priestley ND, Smith TM, Shipley PR, Floss HG. Bioorg Med Chem 1996;4:1135–1147. [PubMed: 8831986]
- 55. Fontenas C, Bejan E, Haddou HA, Galavoine GA. Synth Commun 1995;25:629-633.
- 56. Burgess EM, Penton HR, Taylor EA. J Org Chem 1973;38:26-31.
- 57. aSasaki H, Irie R, Hamada T, Suzuki K, Katsuki T. Tetrahedron 1994;50:11827–11838.11838bIto K, Yoshitake M, Katsuki T. Tetrahedron 1996;52:3905–3920.3920for a pertinent review in this area, see cKatsuki T. Curr Org Chem 2001;5:663–678.678
- 58. Nicolaou KC, Estrada AA, Zak M, Lee SH, Safina BS. Angew Chem 2005;117:1402–1406.Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:1378–1382.
- 59. For the use of Me<sub>3</sub>SnOH in natural product total synthesis from other laboratories, see: aO'Malley SJ, Tan KL, Watzke A, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:13496–13497.13497

[PubMed: 16190703]bHanessian S, Del Valle JR, Xue Y, Blomberg N. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:10491–10495.10495 [PubMed: 16895415]cFürstner A, Aïssa C, Chevrier C, Teplý F, Nevado C, Tremblay M. Angew Chem 2006;118:5964–5969.5969Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:5832–5837.5837dPeltier HM, McMahon JP, Patterson AW, Ellman JA. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:16018–16019.16019 [PubMed: 17165738]eGrubbs AW, Artman GD III, Tsukamoto S, Williams RM. Angew Chem 2007;119:2307–2311.2311Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:2257–2261.2261fTrost BM, Yang H, Thiel OR, Frontier AJ, Brindle CS. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:2206–2207.2207 [PubMed: 17279751]

- 60. a Naidu BN, Li W, Sorenson ME, Connolly TP, Wichtowski JA, Zhang Y, Kim OK, Matiskella JD, Lam KS, Bronson JJ, Ueda Y. Tetrahedron Lett 2004;45:1059–1063. b Naidu BN, Sorenson ME, Zhang Y, Kim OK, Matiskella JD, Wichtowski JA, Connolly TP, Li W, Lam KS, Bronson JJ, Pucci MJ, Clark JM, Ueda Y. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2004;14:5573–5577. [PubMed: 15482927] c Naidu BN, Sorenson ME, Bronson JJ, Pucci MJ, Clark JM, Ueda Y. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15:2069–2072. [PubMed: 15808470]
- 61. Inanaga J, Hirata K, Saeki H, Katsuki T, Yamaguchi M. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1979;52:1989–1993.
- 62. Nishimura H, Okamoto S, Mayama M, Ohtsuka H, Nakajima K, Tawara K, Shimohira M, Shimaoka N. J Antibiot Ser A 1961;14:255–263.
- 63. a Tori K, Tokura K, Yoshimura Y, Okabe K, Otsuka H, Inagaki F, Miyazawa T. J Antibiot 1979;32:1072–1077. [PubMed: 528371] b Tori K, Tokura K, Yoshimura Y, Terui Y, Okabe K, Otsuka H, Matsushita K, Inagaki F, Miyazawa T. J Antibiot 1981;34:124–129. [PubMed: 7251503] c Clayden NJ, Inagaki F, Williams RJP, Morris GA, Tori K, Tokura K, Miyazawa T. Eur J Biochem 1982;123:127–131. [PubMed: 6279393]
- 64. a Ebata M, Miyazaki K, Otsuka H. J Antibiot 1969;22:423–433. [PubMed: 5346489] b Ebata M, Miyazaki K, Otsuka H. J Antibiot 1969;22:434–441. [PubMed: 4899606]
- 65. a Mori T, Higashibayashi S, Goto T, Kohno M, Satouchi Y, Shinko K, Suzuki K, Suzuki S, Tohmiya H, Hashimoto K, Nakata M. Tetrahedron Lett 2007;48:1331–1335. b Mori T, Higashibayashi S, Goto T, Kohno M, Satouchi Y, Shinko K, Suzuki K, Suzuki S, Tohmiya H, Hashimoto K, Nakata M. Chem Asian J 2008;3:982–1012. c Mori T, Higashibayashi S, Goto T, Kohno M, Satouchi Y, Shinko K, Suzuki K, Suzuki K, Suzuki S, Tohmiya H, Hashimoto K, Nakata M. Chem Asian J 2008;3:1013–1025. [PubMed: 18464235]
- 66. Viso A, Fernández de la Pradilla R, Guerrero-Strachan C, Alonso M, Martínez-Ripoll M, André I. J Org Chem 1997;62:2316–2317. [PubMed: 11671555]
- 67. Higashibayashi S, Hashimoto K, Nakata M. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:105-110.
- Selva E, Beretta G, Montanini N, Saddler GS, Gastaldo L, Ferrari P, Lorenzetti R, Landini P, Ripamonti F, Goldstein BP, Berti M, Montanaro L, Denaro M. J Antibiot 1991;44:693–701. [PubMed: 1908853]
- 69. Kettenring J, Colombo L, Ferrari P, Tavecchia P, Nebuloni M, Vekey K, Gallo GG, Selva E. J Antibiot 1991;44:702–715. [PubMed: 1880060]
- a Selva E, Ferrari P, Kurz M, Tavecchia P, Colombo L, Stella S, Restelli E, Goldstein BP, Ripamonti F, Denaro M. J Antibiot 1995;48:1039–1042. [PubMed: 7592050] b Tavecchia P, Gentili P, Kurz M, Sottani C, Bonfichi R, Selva E, Lociuro S, Restelli E, Ciabatti R. Tetrahedron 1995;51:4867–4890.
- a Heckmann G, Bach T. Angew Chem 2005;117:1223–1226. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:1199– 1201. b Delgado O, Heckmann G, Müller HM, Bach T. J Org Chem 2006;71:4599–4608. [PubMed: 16749794]
- 72. King A, Bethune L, Phillips I. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1993;37:746-749. [PubMed: 8494370]
- Anborgh PH, Parmeggiani A. J Biol Chem 1993;268:24622–24628. [PubMed: 8227020] b Heffron SE, Jurnak F. Biochemistry 2000;39:37–45. [PubMed: 10625477] c Parmeggiani A, Krab IM, Okamura S, Nielsen RC, Nyborg J, Nissen P. Biochemistry 2006;45:6846–6857. [PubMed: 16734421]
- 74. a Nicolaou KC, Zou B, Dethe D-H, Li DB, Chen DY-K. Angew Chem 2007;118:7950–7956.Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:7786–7792. b Nicolaou KC, Dethe D-H, Leung GYC, Zou B, Chen DY-K. Chem Asian J 2008;3:413–429. [PubMed: 18188863]

- 75. a Müller HM, Delgado O, Bach T. Angew Chem 2007;119:4855–4858.Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:4771–4774. b Delgado O, Müller HM, Bach T. Chem Eur J 2008;14:2322–2339.
- 76. For selected reviews of cascade reactions in organic synthesis, see: aTietze LF, Brasche G, Gericke K. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis Wiley–VCHWeinheim2006:631.bTietze LF, Beifuss U. Angew Chem 1993;105:137–170.170Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1993;32:131–163.163cTietze LF. Chem Rev 1996;96:115–136.136 [PubMed: 11848746]dPellissier H. Tetrahedron 2006;62:1619–1665.1665ePellissier H. Tetrahedron 2006;62:2143–2173.2173fHo T-L. Tandem Organic Reactions WileyNew York1992:512.gBunce RA. Tetrahedron 1995;51:13103–13159.13159hNicolaou KC, Edmonds DJ, Bulger PG. Angew Chem 2006;118:7292–7344.7344Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:7134–7186.7186
- 77. For selected reviews on palladium-catalyzed cross-couplings, see: aMetal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions (2) 2. de Meijere A, Diederich F. Wiley-VCHWeinheim2004:938.bHegedus LS. Transition Metals in the Synthesis of Complex Organic Molecules (2) 2. University Science BooksSausalito1999:352.cHandbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis Negishi E. Wiley InterscienceNew York2002:3350.dCross-Coupling Reactions: A Practical Guide Miyaura N. Topics in Current Chemistry No. 219.Springer-VerlagBerlin2002:248.eNicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew Chem 2005;117:4516–4563.4563Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:4442–4489.4489
- 78. a Bach T, Heuser S. Angew Chem 2001;113:3283–3284. Angew Chem Int Ed 2001;40:3184–3185.
  b Bach T, Heuser S. J Org Chem 2002;67:5789–5795. [PubMed: 12153282] c Spieß A, Heckmann G, Bach T. Synlett 2004:131–133.
- For selected reviews on Negishi cross-coupling reactions, see: aNegishi, E-i. Acc Chem Res 1982;15:340–348.348bErdik E. Tetrahedron 1992;48:9577–9648.9648cKnochel P, Singer RD. Chem Rev 1993;93:2117–2188.2188dKnochel P, Calaza MI, Hupe E. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions (2) 2. de Meijere A, Diederich F. Wiley-VCHWeinheim2004:619– 670.670dNegishi E, Hu Q, Huang Z, Qian M, Wang G. Aldrichimica Acta 2005;38:71–88.88
- For selected reviews on Stille cross-coupling reactions, see: aStille JK. Angew Chem 1986;98:504– 520.520Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1986;25:508–524.524bFarina V, Krishnamurthy V, Scott WJ. Org React 1997;50:1–652.652
- Fuller AT, Mellows G, Woolford M, Banks GT, Barrow KD, Chain EB. Nature 1971;234:416–417. [PubMed: 5003547]
- 82. Ward A, Campoli-Richards DM. Drugs 1986;32:425-444. [PubMed: 3098541]
- a Shiozawa H, Kagasaki T, Kinoshita T, Haruyama H, Domon H, Utsui Y, Kodama K, Takahashi S. J Antibiot 1993;46:1834–1842. [PubMed: 8294241] b Shiozawa H, Takahashi S. J Antibiot 1994;47:851–853. [PubMed: 8071134]
- 84. Stierle DB, Stierle AA. Experientia 1992;48:1165–1169.
- 85. Henkel T, Finlay J. J Chemother (Firenze) 1999;11:331-337.
- 86. Yanagisawa T, Kawakami M. J Biol Chem 2003;278:25887-25894. [PubMed: 12672810]
- Hothersall J, Wu J, Rahman AS, Shields JA, Haddock J, Johnson N, Cooper SM, Stephens ER, Cox RJ, Crosby J, Willis CL, Simpson TJ, Thomas CM. J Biol Chem 2007;282:15451–15461. [PubMed: 17383964]
- Brown P, Best DJ, Broom NJP, Cassels R, O'Hanlon PJ, Mitchell TJ, Osborne NF, Wilson JM. J Med Chem 1997;40:2563–2570. [PubMed: 9258363]
- 89. Kozikowski AP, Schmiesing RJ, Sorgi KL. J Am Chem Soc 1980;102:6577-6580.
- 90. Class YJ, DeShong P. Chem Rev 1995;95:1843-1857.
- 91. Mckay C, Simpson MJ, Willis CL, Forrest AK, O'Hanlon PJ. Chem Commun 2000:1109-1110.
- 92. Gao X, Hall DG. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:1628-1629. [PubMed: 15700983]
- 93. a Deligny M, Carreaux F, Carboni B, Toupet L, Dujardin G. Chem Commun 2003:276–277. b Gao X, Hall DG. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:9308–9309. [PubMed: 12889956] c Gao X, Hall DG, Deligny M, Favre A, Carreaux F, Carboni B. Chem Eur J 2006;12:3132–3142.
- 94. Gademann K, Chavez DE, Jacobsen EN. Angew Chem 2002;114:3185–3187. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:3059–3061.
- 95. a Ito S, Matsuya T, Omura S, Otani M, Nakagawa A. J Antibiot 1970;23:315–317. [PubMed: 5458310] b Hata T, Omura S, Iwai Y, Nakagawa A, Otani M. J Antibiot 1971;24:353–359. [PubMed: 5091211]

c Omura S, Nakagawa A, Yamada H, Hata T, Furusaki A. Chem Pharm Bull 1973;21:931–940. [PubMed: 4727361]

- 96. Marco-Contelles J, Molina MT. Curr Org Chem 2003;7:1433-1442.
- 97. Gould SJ, Tamayo N, Melville CR, Cone MC. J Am Chem Soc 1994;116:2207-2208.
- 98. Mithani S, Weeratunga G, Taylor NJ, Dmitrienko GI. J Am Chem Soc 1994;116:2209-2210.
- 99. Lei X, Porco JA. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:14790-14791. [PubMed: 17105273]
- 100. Aggarwal VK, Mereu A, Tarver GJ, McCague R. J Org Chem 1998;63:7183–7189.7189 [PubMed: 11672359] for selected reviews covering the Baylis–Hillman reaction, see: bBasavaiah D, Dharma Rao P, Suguna Hyma R. Tetrahedron 1996;52:8001–8062.8062cBasavaiah D, Rao AJ, Satyanarayana T. Chem Rev 2003;103:811–892.892 [PubMed: 12630854]dBasavaiah D, Venkateswara Rao K, Jannapu Reddy R. Chem Soc Rev 2007;36:1581–1588.1588 [PubMed: 17721583]eMcGarrigle EM, Myers EL, Illa O, Shaw MA, Riches SL, Aggarwal vK. Chem Rev 2007;107:5841–5883.5883 [PubMed: 18072810]
- 101. aKatsuki T, Sharpless KB. J Am Chem Soc 1980;102:5976–5978.5978 for selected reviews, see: bPfenninger A. Synthesis 1986:89–116.116cBerrisford DJ, Bolm C, Sharpless KB. Angew Chem 1995;107:1159–1171.1171Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1995;34:1059–1070.1070
- 102. Lio C, Johnson RP, Porco JA. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:5095–5106. [PubMed: 12708860]
- 103. Furrow ME, Myers AG. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:12222-12223. [PubMed: 15453728]
- 104. Kitani Y, Morita A, Kumamoto T, Ishikawa T. Helv Chim Acta 2002;85:1186–1195. b Kumamoto T, Kitani Y, Tsuchiya H, Yamaguchi K, Seki H, Ishikawa T. Tetrahedron 2007;63:5189–5199.
- 105. Nicolaou KC, Montagnon T, Baran PS. Angew Chem 2002;114:1035–1038. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:993–996.
- 106. Nicolaou KC, Li H, Nold AL, Pappo D, Lenzen A. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:10356–10357. [PubMed: 17676854]
- 107. Ito Y, Hirao T, Saegusa T. J Org Chem 1978;43:1011-1013.
- 108. Banwell MG, Kelly BD, Kokas OJ, Lupton DW. Org Lett 2003;5:2497–2500. [PubMed: 12841764]
- 109. Kerr MS, de Alaniz JR, Rovis T. J Org Chem 2005;70:5725–5728. [PubMed: 15989360]
- 110. For selected reviews of the use of SmI<sub>2</sub> in organic synthesis, see: aSoderquist JA. Aldrichimica Acta 1991;24:15–23.23bMolander GA. Chem Rev 1992;92:29–68.68cMolander GA. Org React 1994;46:211–367.367dMolander GA, Harris CR. Chem Rev 1996;96:307–338.338 [PubMed: 11848755]eMolander GA, Harris CR. Tetrahedron 1998;54:3321–3354.3354fKagan HB, Namy J-L. Lanthanides: Chemistry and Use in Organic Synthesis Kobayashi S. SpringerBerlin1999:155–198.198gKagan HB. Tetrahedron 2003;59:10351–10372.10372hEdmonds DJ, Johnston D, Procter DJ. Chem Rev 2004;104:3372–3404.3404iDahlén A, Hilmersson G. Eur J Inorg Chem 2004:3393–3403.3403
- 111. Hiramatsu K. Drug Resist Updates 1998;1:135–150.
- 112. a Cavalleri B, Pagani H, Volpe G, Selva E, Parenti F. J Antibiot 1984;37:309–317. [PubMed: 6547132] b Pallanza R, Berti M, Scotti R, Randisi E, Arioli V. J Antibiot 1984;37:318–324. [PubMed: 6547133] c Ciabatti R, Kettenring JK, Winters G, Tuan G, Zerilli L, Cavalleri B. J Antibiot 1989;42:254–267. [PubMed: 2597278] d Kettenring JK, Ciabatti R, Winters G, Tamborini G, Cavalleri B. J Antibiot 1989;42:268–275. [PubMed: 2925517] e Parenti F, Ciabatti R, Cavalleri B, Kettenring J. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1990;16:451–455. [PubMed: 2100246]
- 113. Kurz M, Guba W. Biochem 1996;35:12570-12575. [PubMed: 8823194]
- 114. Walker S, Chen L, Hu Y, Rew Y, Shin D, Boger DL. Chem Rev 2005;105:449–475. [PubMed: 15700952]
- 115. Fulco P, Wenzel RP. Expert Rev Anti-Infective Ther 2006;4:939–945.
- 116. Somner EA, Reynolds PE. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990;34:413–419. [PubMed: 2334153]b Reynolds PE, Somner EA. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1990;16:385–389. [PubMed: 2151441]
- 117. Lo M-C, Men H, Branstrom A, Helm J, Yao N, Goldman R, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:3540–3541. b Lo M-C, Helm JS, Sarngadharan G, Pelczer I, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:8640–8641. [PubMed: 11525690] c Helm JS, Chen L, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:13970–13971. [PubMed: 12440876] d Hu Y, Helm JS, Chen L, Ye X-Y, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:8736–8737. [PubMed: 12862463]

- 118. a Boger DL, Miyazaki S, Kim SH, Wu JH, Loiseleur O, Castle SL. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:3226– 3227. b Boger DL, Miyazaki S, Kim SH, Castle SL, Wu JH, Loiseleur O, Jin Q. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:10004–10011. c Boger DL. Med Res Rev 2001;21:356–381. [PubMed: 11579438]
- 119. For other total syntheses of vancomycin and vancomycin aglycon, see: aEvans DA, Wood MR, Trotter BW, Richardson TI, Barrow JC, Katz JL. Angew Chem 1998;110:2864–2868.2868Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2700–2704.2704bEvans DA, Dinsmore CJ, Watson PS, Wood MR, Richardson TI, Trotter BW, Katz JL. Angew Chem 1998;110:2868–2872.2872Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2704–2708.2708cNicolaou KC, Nataranjan S, Li H, Jain NF, Hughes R, Solomon ME, Ramanjulu JM, Boddy CNC, Takayanagi M, Angew Chem 1998;110:2872-2878.2878Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2708–2714.2714dNicolaou KC, Jain NF, Nataranjan S, Hughes R, Solomon ME, Li H, Ramanjulu JM, Takayanagi M, Koumbis AE, Bando T. Angew Chem 1998;110:2879-2881.2881Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2714-2716.2716eNicolaou KC, Takayanagi M, Jain NF, Nataranjan S, Koumbis AE, Bando T, Ramanjulu JM. Angew Chem 1998;110:2881-2883.2883Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:2717-2719.2719fNicolaou KC, Mitchell HJ, Jain NF, Winssinger N, Hughes R, Bando T. Angew Chem 1999;111:253-255.255Angew Chem Int Ed 1999;38:240-244.244gNicolaou KC, Li H, Boddy CNC, Ramanjulu JM, Yue T-Y, Natarajan S, Chu X-J, Bräse S, Rübsam F. Chem Eur J 1999;5:2584–2601.2601hNicolaou KC, Boddy CNC, Li H, Koumbis AE, Hughes R, Natarajan S, Jain NF, Ramanjulu JM, Bräse S, Solomon ME. Chem Eur J 1999;5:2602–2621.2621iNicolaou KC, Koumbis AE, Takayanagi M, Natarajan S, Jain NF, Bando T, Li H, Hughes R. Chem Eur J 1999;5:2622-2647.2647;Nicolaou KC, Mitchell HJ, Jain NF, Bando T, Hughes R, Winssinger N, Natarajan S, Koumbis AE. Chem Eur J 1999;5:2648-2667.2667
- 120. a Boger DL, Kim SH, Miyazaki S, Strittmatter H, Weng J-H, Mori Y, Rogel O, Castle SL, McAtee JJ. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:7416–7417. b Boger DL, Kim SH, Mori Y, Weng J-H, Rogel O, Castle SL, McAtee JJ. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:1862–1871. [PubMed: 11456806]
- 121. For another total synthesis of teicoplanin aglycon, see: Evans DA, Katz JL, Peterson GS, Hintermann T. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:12411–12413.12413 [PubMed: 11734044]
- 122. a Jiang W, Wanner J, Lee RJ, Bounaud P-Y, Boger DL. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:5288–5290.
   [PubMed: 11996568] b Jiang W, Wanner J, Lee RJ, Bounaud P-Y, Boger DL. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:1877–1887. [PubMed: 12580615]
- 123. Skelton NJ, Harding MM, Mortishire-Smith RJ, Rahman SK, Williams DH, Rance MJ, Ruddock JC. J Am Chem Soc 1991;113:7522–7530.
- 124. Shin D, Rew Y, Boger DL. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;111:11977–11979. [PubMed: 15175429]
- 125. a Rew Y, Shin D, Hwang I, Boger DL. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:1041–1043. [PubMed: 14746470]
   b Chen L, Yuan Y, Helm JS, Hu Y, Rew Y, Shin D, Boger DL, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:7462–7463. [PubMed: 15198592] c Nam J, Shin D, Rew Y, Boger DL. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:8747–8755. [PubMed: 17592838]
- 126. a O'Sullivan J, McCullough JE, Tymiak AA, Kirsch DR, Trejo WH, Principe PA. J Antibiot 1988;41:1740–1744. [PubMed: 3209465] b Bonner DP, O'Sullivan JO, Tanaka SK, Clardy JM, Whitney RR. J Antibiot 1988;41:1745–1751. [PubMed: 3209466] c Tymiak AA, McCormick TJ, Unger SE. J Org Chem 1989;54:1149–1157.
- 127. a Shoji J, Hinoo H, Matsumoto K, Hattori T, Yoshida T, Matsuura S, Kondo E. J Antibiot 1988;41:713–718. [PubMed: 3403364] b Kato T, Hinoo H, Terui Y, Kikuchi J, Shoji J. J Antibiot 1988;41:719–725. [PubMed: 3403365] c Kato T, Hinoo H, Terui Y, Kikuchi J, Shoji J. J Antibiot 1989;42:C-2.
- 128. Maki H, Miura K, Yamano Y. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:1823–1827. [PubMed: 11353632]
- 129. von Nussbaum F, Anlauf S, Benet-Buchholz J, Häbich D, Köbberling J, Musza L, Telser J, Rübsamen-Waigmann H, Brunner NA. Angew Chem 2007;119:2085–2088. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:2039–2042.
- 130. Guzman-Martinez A, Lamer R, VanNieuwenhze MS. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:6017–6021. [PubMed: 17432854]
- 131. Campagne J-M. Angew Chem 2007;119:8700-8704. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:8548-8552.
- 132. a Bister B, Bischoff D, Ströbele M, Riedlinger J, Riecke A, Wolter F, Bull AT, Zähner H, Fiedler H-P, Süssmuth RD. Angew Chem 2004;116:2628–2630.Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:2574–2576.

b Riedlinger J, Reicke A, Zähner H, Krismer B, Bull AT, Maldonado LA, Ward AC, Goodfellow M, Bister B, Bischoff D, Süssmuth RD, Fiedler H-P. J Antibiot 2004;57:271–279. [PubMed: 15217192]

- 133. Walsh CT, Liu J, Rusnak F, Sakaitani M. Chem Rev 1990;90:1105–1129.
- 134. Peters R, Fischer DF. Angew Chem 2006;118:5866–5869. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:5736–5739.
- 135. Zapf CW, Harrison BA, Drahl C, Sorensen EJ. Angew Chem 2005;117:6691–6695. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:6533–6537.
- 136. Snider BB, Zou Y. Org Lett 2005;7:4939-4941. [PubMed: 16235927]
- 137. Couladouros EA, Bouzas EA, Magos AD. Tetrahedron 2006;62:5272-5279.
- 138. a Nicolaou KC, Harrison ST. Angew Chem 2006;118:3334–3338.Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:3256–3260. b Nicolaou KC, Harrison ST. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:429–440. [PubMed: 17212423]
- 139. For selected reviews of alkene metathesis, see: aGrubbs RH, Chang S. Tetrahedron 1998;54:4413–4450.4450bFürstner A. Angew Chem 2000;112:3140–3172.3172Angew Chem Int Ed 2000;39:3012–3043.3043cConnon SJ, Blechert S. Top Organomet Chem 2004;11:93–124.124dSchmidt B, Hermanns J. Top Organomet Chem 2004;13:223–267.267eNicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew Chem 2005;117:4564–4601.4601Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:4490–4527.4527fSchrock RR, Czekelius C. Adv Synth Catal 2007;349:55–77.77gMori M. Adv Synth Catal 2007;349:121–135.135hHolub N, Blechert S. Chem Asian J 2007;2:1064–1082.1082 [PubMed: 17638376]
- 140. a Scholl M, Ding S, Lee CW, Grubbs RH. Org Lett 1999;1:953–956. [PubMed: 10823227] b Trnka TM, Morgan JP, Sanford MS, Wilhelm TE, Scholl M, Choi T-L, Ding S, Day MW, Grubbs RH. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:2546–2558. [PubMed: 12603143]
- Keller S, Nicholson G, Drahl C, Sorensen EJ, Fiedler H-P, Süssmuth RD. J Antibiot 2007;60:391– 394. [PubMed: 17617698]
- 142. Keller S, Schadt HS, Ortel I, Süssmuth RD. Angew Chem 2007;119:8433–8435. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:8284–8286.
- 143. Smith S. FASEB J 1994;8:1248-1259. [PubMed: 8001737]
- 144. White SW, Zheng J, Zhang Y-M, Rock CO. Annu Rev Biochem 2005;74:791–831. [PubMed: 15952903]
- 145. Harwood JL. Biochim Biophys Acta 1996;1301:7-56. [PubMed: 8652653]
- 146. Waller RF, Ralph SA, Reed MB, Su V, Douglas JD, Minnikin DE, Cowman AF, Besra GS, McFadden GI. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:297–301. [PubMed: 12499205]
- 147. Christensen CE, Kragelund BB, von Wettstein-Knowles P, Henriksen A. Protein Sci 2007;16:261– 272. [PubMed: 17242430]
- 148. a Campbell JW, Cronan JE Jr. Annu Rev Microbiol 2001;55:305–332. [PubMed: 11544358] b Heath RJ, White SW, Rock CO. Prog Lipid Res 2001;40:467–497. [PubMed: 11591436] c Heath RJ, White SW, Rock CO. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2002;58:695–703. [PubMed: 12021787] d Heath RJ, Rock CO. Curr Opin Invest Drugs 2004;5:146–153. e Zhang Y-M, Lu Y-J, Rock CO. Lipids 2004;39:1055–1060. [PubMed: 15726819] f Wright HT, Reynolds KA. Curr Opin Microbiol 2007;10:447–453. [PubMed: 17707686]
- 149. a Fredenhagen A, Tamura SY, Kenny PTM, Komura H, Naya Y, Nakanishi K, Nishiyama K, Sugiura M, Kita H. J Am Chem Soc 1987;109:4409–4411. b Needham J, Kelly MT, Ishige M, Andersen RJ. J Org Chem 1994;59:2958–2063.
- 150. Freiberg C, Brunner NA, Schiffer G, Lampe T, Pohlmann J, Brands M, Raabe M, Häbich D, Ziegelbauer K. J Biol Chem 2004;279:26066–26073. [PubMed: 15066985]
- 151. For the total syntheses of moiramide B and andrimid, see: aDixon DJ, Davies SG. Chem Commun 1996:1797–1798.1798bDavies SG, Dixon DJ. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 11998;:2635–2643.2643
- 152. Liu W, Han C, Hu L, Chen K, Shen X, Jiang H. FEBS Lett 2006;580:697-702. [PubMed: 16413022]
- 153. Heath RJ, Rock CO. Nat Prod Rep 2002;19:581-596. [PubMed: 12430724]
- 154. a Jackowski S, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 1987;262:7927–7931. [PubMed: 3294837] b Tsay J-T, Oh W, Larson TJ, Jackowski S, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 1992;267:6807–6814. [PubMed: 1551888]

- 155. Choi K-H, Kremer L, Besra GS, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 2000;275:28201–28207. [PubMed: 10840036]
- 156. Zhang Y-M, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 2004;279:30994–31001. [PubMed: 15133034]
- 157. a Hata T, Sano Y, Matsumae A, Kamio Y, Nomura S, Sugawara R. Jpn J Bacteriol 1960;15:1075– 1077. b Arison BH, Omura S. J Antibiot 1974;27:28–30. [PubMed: 4858397]
- 158. a Oishi H, Noto T, Sasaki H, Suzuki K, Hayashi T, Okazaki H, Ando K, Sawada M. J Antibiot 1982;35:391–395. [PubMed: 7096194] b Sasaki H, Oishi H, Hayashi T, Matsuura I, Ando K, Sawada M. J Antibiot 1982;35:396–400. [PubMed: 7096195] c Noto T, Miyakawa S, Oishi H, Endo H, Okazaki H. J Antibiot 1982;35:401–410. [PubMed: 6980215] d Miyakawa S, Suzuki K, Noto T, Harada Y, Okazaki H. J Antibiot 1982;35:411–419. [PubMed: 7096196]
- 159. Price AC, Choi K-H, Heath RJ, Li Z, White SW, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 2001;276:6551–6559. [PubMed: 11050088]
- 160. Both cerulenin and thiolactomycin have been used as biochemical probes in studies of fatty acid biosynthesis, see for example: aOmura S. Bacteriol Rev 1976;40:681–697.697 [PubMed: 791237] bWallace KK, Lobo S, Han L, McArthur HAI, Reynolds KA. J Bacteriol 1997;179:3884–3891.3891 [PubMed: 9190803]cSchaeffer ML, Agnihotri G, Volker C, Kallender H, Brennan PJ, Lonsdale JT. J Biol Chem 2001;276:47029–47037.47037 [PubMed: 11600501] See also Refs. [148a, 154, 175].
- 161. Kodali S, Galgoci A, Young K, Painter R, Silver LL, Herath KB, Singh SB, Cully D, Barrett JF, Schmatz D, Wang J. J Biol Chem 2005;280:1669–1677. [PubMed: 15516341]
- 162. Furukawa H, Tsay J-T, Jackowski S, Takamura Y, Rock CO. J Bacteriol 1993;175:3723–3729. [PubMed: 8509326]
- 163. Choi K-H, Kremer L, Besra GS, Rock CO. J Biol Chem 2000;275:28201–28207. [PubMed: 10840036]
- 164. a Kremer L, Douglas JD, Baulard AR, Morehouse C, Guy MR, Alland D, Dover LG, Lakey JH, Jacobs WR Jr, Brennan PJ, Minnikin DE, Besra GS. J Biol Chem 2000;275:16857–16864. [PubMed: 10747933] b Sridharan S, Wang L, Brown AK, Dover LG, Kremer L, Besra GS, Sacchettini JC. J Mol Biol 2007;366:469–480. [PubMed: 17174327]
- 165. Slayden RA, Lee RE, Armour JW, Cooper AM, Orme IM, Brennan PJ, Besra GS. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996;40:2813–2819. [PubMed: 9124847]
- 166. Douglas JD, Senior SJ, Morehouse C, Phetsukiri B, Campbell IB, Besra GS, Minnikin DE. Microbiology 2002;148:3101–3109. [PubMed: 12368443]
- 167. Wang C-LJ, Salvino JM. Tetrahedron Lett 1984;25:5243-5246.
- 168. a Senior SJ, Illarionov PA, Gurcha SS, Campbell IB, Schaeffer ML, Minnikin DE, Besra GS. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2003;13:3685–3688. [PubMed: 14552758] b Senior SJ, Illarionov PA, Gurcha SS, Campbell IB, Schaeffer ML, Minnikin DE, Besra GS. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2004;14:373–376. [PubMed: 14698162] c Bhowruth V, Brown AK, Senior SJ, Snaith JS, Besra GS. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2007;17:5643–5646. [PubMed: 17766110]
- 169. Kim P, Zhang Y-M, Shenoy G, Nguyen Q-A, Boshoff HI, Manjunatha UH, Goodwin MB, Lonsdale J, Price AC, Miller DJ, Duncan K, White SW, Rock CO, Barry CE III, Dowd CS. J Med Chem 2006;49:159–171. [PubMed: 16392800]
- 170. For other studies on the development of thiolactomycin analogs, see: aSakya SM, Suarez-Contreras M, Dirlam JP, O'Connell TN, Hayashi SF, Santoro SL, Kamicker BJ, George DM, Ziegler CB. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2001;11:2751–2754.2754 [PubMed: 11591516]bKamal A, Shaik AA, Sinha R, Yadav JS, Arora SK. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15:1927–1929.1929 [PubMed: 15780635]
- 171. a Roberts CW, McLeod R, Rice DW, Ginger M, Chance ML, Goad LJ. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2003;126:129–142. [PubMed: 12615312] b Sato S, Wilson RJM. Curr Top Microbiol Immun 2005;295:251–273.
- 172. Waller RF, Keeling PJ, Donald RGK, Striepen B, Handman E, Lang-Unnasch N, Cowman AF, Besra GS, Roos DS, McFadden GI. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:12352–12357. [PubMed: 9770490]
- 173. Waller RF, Ralph SA, Reed MB, Su V, Douglas JD, Minnikin DE, Cowman AF, Besra GS, McFadden GI. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:297–301. [PubMed: 12499205]

- 174. a Jones SM, Urch JE, Brun R, Harwood JL, Berry C, Gilbert IH. Bioorg Med Chem 2004;12:683–692. [PubMed: 14759729] b Jones SM, Urch JE, Kaiser M, Brun R, Harwood JL, Berry C, Gilbert IH. J Med Chem 2005;48:5932–5941. [PubMed: 16161997]
- 175. a Hochachka PW, Rupert JL, Goldenberg L, Gleave M, Kozlowski P. BioEssays 2002;24:749–757.
  [PubMed: 12210536] b Kuhajda FP, Jenner K, Wood FD, Hennigar RA, Jacobs LB, Dick JD, Pasternack GR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994;91:6379–6383. [PubMed: 8022791] c Kuhajda FP, Pizer ES, Li JN, Mani NS, Frehywot GL, Townsend CA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97:3450–3454. [PubMed: 10716717] d Menendez JA, Vellon L, Mehmi I, Oza BP, Ropero S, Colomer R, Lupu R. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:10715–10720. [PubMed: 15235125]
- 176. McFadden JM, Medghalchi SM, Thupari JN, Pinn ML, Vadlamudi A, Miller KI, Kuhajda FP, Townsend CA. J Med Chem 2005;48:946–961. [PubMed: 15715465]
- 177. Ohata K, Terashima S. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2007;17:4070–4074. [PubMed: 17507223]
- 178. aChambers MS, Thomas EJ. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1989:23–24.24bChambers MS, Thomas EJ. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 11997;:417–431.431for an earlier synthesis of related compounds employing the same strategy, see: cChambers MS, Thomas EJ, Williams DJ. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1987:1228–1230.1230
- 179. a Smith SG. J Am Chem Soc 1961;83:4285–4287. b Taguchi T, Kawazoe Y, Yoshihira K, Mori M, Tabata K, Harano K. Tetrahedron Lett 1965;6:2717–2722. c Ferrier RJ, Vethaviyasar N. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1970:1385–1387. d Harano K, Tagichi T. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1972;20:2348– 2356. e Nakai T, Ari-Izumi A. Tetrahedron Lett 1976;17:2335–2338.
- 180. Kim P, Barry CE III, Dowd CS. Tetrahedron Lett 2006;47:3447–3451.3451 [PubMed: 16699591] See also, Ref. [186].
- 181. McFadden JM, Frehywot GL, Townsend CA. Org Lett 2002;4:3859–3862. [PubMed: 12599477]
- 182. a Seebach D, Naef R, Calderari G. Tetrahedron 1984;40:1313–1324. b Seebach D, Sting AR, Hoffman M. Angew Chem 1996;108:2881–2921.Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1996;35:2708–2748.
- 183. Ohata K, Terashima S. Tetrahedron Lett 2006;47:2787-2791.
- 184. For selected reviews, see: aAger DJ, Prakash I, Schaad DR. Aldrichimica Acta 1997;30:3– 12.12bGnas Y, Glorius F. Synthesis 2006:1899–1930.1930
- 185. Toyama K, Tauchi T, Mase N, Yoda H, Takabe K. Tetrahedron Lett 2006;47:7163-7166.
- 186. For an alternative enzymatic approach to thiolactomycin, see: Kamal A, Shaik AA, Azeeza S, Malik MS, Sandbhor M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006;17:2890–2895.2895
- 187. Dormann KL, Brückner R. Angew Chem 2007;119:1178–1182. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:1160– 1163.
- 188. For reviews of  $\rm S_N2'$  reactions, see: a Magid RM. Tetrahedron 1980;36:1901–1930.1930b Marshall JA. Chem Rev 1989;89:1503–1511.1511
- 189. Garegg PJ, Samuelsson B. Synthesis 1979:469-470.
- 190. For other approaches to the thiolactomycin system, see: aLi Y-J, Liu Z-T, Yang S-C. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:8011–8013.8013bKikionis S, Prousis KC, Detsi A, Igglessi-Markopoulou O. ARKIVOC 2006:28–37.37
- 191. For an excellent overview of this program, see: Singh SB, Phillips JW, Wang J. Curr Opin Drug Disc Dev 2007;10:160–166.166
- 192. Herath KB, Jayasuriya H, Guan Z, Schulman M, Ruby C, Sharma N, MacNaul K, Menke JG, Kodali S, Galgoci A, Wang J, Singh SB. J Nat Prod 2005;68:1437–1440. [PubMed: 16180833]
- 193. Rapp C, Jung G, Isselhorst-Scharr C, Zähne H. Liebigs Ann Chem 1988:1043–1047.
- 194. Young K, Jayasuriya H, Ondeyka JG, Herath K, Zhang C, Kodali S, Galgoci A, Painter R, Brown-Driver V, Yamamoto R, Silver LL, Zheng Y, Ventura JI, Sigmund J, Ha S, Basilio A, Vicente F, Rubén Tormo J, Pelaez F, Youngman P, Cully D, Barrett JF, Schmatz D, Singh SB, Wang J. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:519–526. [PubMed: 16436705]
- 195. Ondeyka JG, Zink DL, Young K, Painter R, Kodali S, Galgoci A, Collado J, Ruben Tormo J, Basilio A, Vicente F, Wang J, Singh SB. J Nat Prod 2006;69:377–380. [PubMed: 16562839]
- 196. Jian Y-J, Tang C-J, Wu Y. J Org Chem 2007;72:4851-4855. [PubMed: 17518499]

- 197. a Corey EJ, Bakshi RK, Shibata S. J Am Chem Soc 1987;109:5551–5553. b Corey EJ, Bakshi RK, Shibata S, Chen C-P, Singh VK. J Am Chem Soc 1987;109:7925–7926. c Corey EJ, Helal CJ. Angew Chem 1998;110:2092–2118.Angew Chem Int Ed 1998;37:1986–2012.
- 198. For selected studies on the formation of halo-allenes by this method, see: aMontury M, Goré J. Synth Commun 1980;10:873–879.879bElsevier CJ, Meijer J, Tadema G, Stehouwer PM, Bos HJT, Vermeer P. J Org Chem 1982;47:2194–2196.2196cElsevier CJ, Bos HJT, Vermeer P. J Org Chem 1984;49:379–381.381dElsevier CJ, Vermeer P. J Org Chem 1984;49:1649–1650.1650eElsevier CJ, Vermeer P, Gedanken A, Runge W. J Org Chem 1985;50:364–367.367; for more recent examples demonstrating the potential loss of stereochemical integrity during the S<sub>N</sub>2' reaction, see: fGrese TA, Hutchinson KD, Overman LE. J Org Chem 1993;58:2468–2477.2477gCrimmins MT, Emmitte KA. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:1533–1534.1534 [PubMed: 11456742]
- 199. For a review of palladium-catalyzed alkynylation reactions, see: aNegishi E, Anastasia L. Chem Rev 2003;103:1979–2017.2017 [PubMed: 12744698] for a brief historical overview of the development of the Sonogashira reaction, see Sonogashira K. J Organomet Chem 2002;653:46– 49.49
- 200. a Wang J, Soisson SM, Young K, Shoop W, Kodali S, Galgoci A, Painter R, Parthasarathy G, Tang YS, Cummings R, Ha S, Dorso K, Motyl M, Jayasuriya H, Ondeyka J, Herath K, Zhang C, Hernandez L, Allocco J, Basilio Á, Tormo JR, Genilloud O, Vicente F, Pelaez F, Colwell L, Lee SH, Michael B, Felcetto T, Gill C, Silver LL, Hermes JD, Bartizal K, Barrett J, Schmatz D, Becker JW, Cully D, Singh SB. Nature 2006;441:358–361. [PubMed: 16710421] b Singh SB, Jayasuriya H, Ondeyka JG, Herath KB, Zhang C, Zink DL, Tsou NN, Ball RG, Basilio A, Genilloud O, Diez MT, Vicente F, Pelaez F, Young K, Wang J. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:11916–11920. [PubMed: 16953632]
- 201. Häbich D, von Nussbaum F. ChemMedChem 2006;1:951-954. [PubMed: 16952137]
- 202. a Wang J, Kodali S, Lee SH, Galgoci A, Painter R, Dorso K, Racine F, Motyl M, Hernandez L, Tinney E, Colletti SL, Herath K, Cummings R, Salazar O, González I, Basilio A, Vicente F, Genilloud O, Pelaez F, Jayasuriya H, Young K, Cully D, Singh SB. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:7612–7616. [PubMed: 17456595] b Jayasuriya H, Herath KB, Zhang C, Zink DL, Basilio A, Genilloud O, Diez MT, Vicente F, Gonzalez I, Salazar O, Pelaez F, Cummings R, Ha S, Wang J, Singh SB. Angew Chem 2007;119:4768–4772. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:4684–4688.
- 203. Witkowski A, Joshi AK, Lindqvist Y, Smith S. Biochemistry 1999;38:11643–11650. [PubMed: 10512619]
- 204. Herath KB, Attygalle AB, Singh SB. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:15422–15423. [PubMed: 18034483]
- 205. Herath KB, Zhang C, Jayasuriya H, Ondeyka JG, Zink DL, Burgess B, Wang J, Singh SB. Org Lett 2008;10:1699–1702. [PubMed: 18393511]
- 206. Jayasuriya H, Herath KB, Ondeyka JG, Zink DL, Burgess B, Wang J, Singh SB. Tetrahedron Lett 2008;49:3648–3651.
- 207. Singh SB, Herath KB, Wang J, Tsou N, Ball RG. Tetrahedron Lett 2007;48:5429–5433.
- 208. For recent reviews on platensimycin, see: aTiefenbacher K, Mulzer J. Angew Chem 2008;120:2582–2590.2590Angew Chem Int Ed 2008;47:2548–2555.2555bManallack DT, Crosby IT, Khakham Y, Capuano B. Curr Med Chem 2008;15:705–710.710 [PubMed: 18336284]
- 209. Nicolaou KC, Li A, Edmonds DJ. Angew Chem 2006;118:7244–7248. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:7086–7090.
- 210. Numbering throughout this section refers to the numbering system shown in Figure 26.
- 211. Nicolaou KC, Edmonds DJ, Li A, Tria GS. Angew Chem 2007;119:4016–4019. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:3942–3945.
- 212. aTrost BM, Toste FD. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:714–715.715bTrost BM, Surivet J-P, Toste FD. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:15592–15602.15602 [PubMed: 15563189] for a review of non-metathesis Ru-catalyzed reactions, see: cTrost BM, Frederiksen MU, Rudd MT. Angew Chem 2005;117:6788–6825.6825Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:6630–6666.6666
- 213. Morrill C, Grubbs RH. J Org Chem 2003;68:6031-6034. [PubMed: 12868943]
- 214. Njardarson JT, Biswas K, Danishefsky SJ. Chem Commun 2002:2759-2761.

- 215. For selected reviews of *ortho*-lithiation, see: aSnieckus V. Chem Rev 1990;90:879– 933.933bWhisler MC, MacNeil S, Snieckus V, Beak P. Angew Chem 2004;116:2256– 2276.2276Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:2206–2225.2225
- 216. Heretsch P, Giannis A. Synthesis 2007:2614-2616.
- 217. a Cao P, Zhang X. Angew Chem 2000;112:4270–4272. Angew Chem Int Ed 2000;39:4104–4106.
  b Lei A, He M, Wu S, Zhang X. Angew Chem 2002;114:3607–3610. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:3457–3460. c Lei A, Waldkirch JP, He M, Zhang X. Angew Chem 2002;114:4708–4711. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:4526–4529. d Lei A, He M, Zhang X. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:8198–8199. [PubMed: 12105894]
- 218. Nicolaou KC, Gray DLF, Montagnon T, Harrison ST. Angew Chem 2002;114:1038–1042. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:996–1000.
- 219. Barton DHR, Crich D, Motherwell WB. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1983:939-941.
- 220. For a review of the oxidation of phenolic compounds with hypervalent iodine reagents, see: aMoriarty RM, Prakash O. Org React 2001;57:327–415.415bQuideau S, Pouységu L, Deffieux D. Synlett 2008:467–495.495 for more general reviews of the use of hypervalent iodine reagents in synthesis, see: cStang PJ, Zhdankin VV. Chem Rev 1996;96:1123–1178.1178 [PubMed: 11848783] dMoriarty RM, Prakash O. Org React 1999;54:273–418.418eZhdankin VV, Stang PJ. Chem Rev 2002;102:2523–2584.2584 [PubMed: 12105935]fWirth T. Angew Chem 2005;117:3722– 3731.3731Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:3656–3665.3665
- 221. a Myers AG, Gleason JL, Yoon T, Kung DW. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:656–673. b Myers AG, Gleason JL, Yoon T. J Am Chem Soc 1995;117:8488–8489.Myers AG, Yang BH, Chen H, McKinstry L, Kopecky DJ, Gleason JL. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:6496–6511.
- 222. a Quideau S, Looney MA, Pouységu L. Org Lett 1999;1:1651–1654.Quideau S, Pouységu L, Oxoby M, Looney MA. Tetrahedron 2001;57:319–329.
- 223. Zou Y, Chen C-H, Taylor CD, Foxman BM, Snider BB. Org Lett 2007;9:1825–1828. [PubMed: 17407302]
- 224. Birch AJ. Pure Appl Chem 1996;68:553-556.
- 225. Nicolaou KC, Tang Y, Wang J. Chem Commun 2007:1922-1923.
- 226. aStetter H, Schreckenberg M. Angew Chem 1973;85:89.Angew Chem Int Ed 1973;12:81.for selected reviews, see: bStetter H, Kuhlmann H. Org React 1991;40:407–496.496cEnders D, Balensiefer T. Acc Chem Res 2004;37:534–541.541 [PubMed: 15311952]dJohnson JS. Angew Chem 2004;116:1348–1350.1350Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:1326–1328.1328eChristmann M. Angew Chem 2005;117:2688–2690.2690Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:2632–2634.2634fEnders D, Niemeier O, Henseler A. Chem Rev 2007;107:5606–5655.5655 [PubMed: 17956132]gMarion N, Díez-González S, Nolan SP. Angew Chem 2007;119:3046–3058.3058Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:2988–3000.3000
- 227. Kaliappan KP, Ravikumar V. Org Lett 2007;9:2417-2419. [PubMed: 17489601]
- 228. Li P, Payette JN, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:9534-9535. [PubMed: 17630748]
- 229. Mironov VA, Sobolev EV, Elizarova AN. Tetrahedron 1963;19:1939-1958.
- 230. Payette JN, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:9536–9537. [PubMed: 17630749]
- 231. For a review of the use of combined Brønsted and Lewis acid catalysts, see: Yamamoto H, Futatsugi K. Angew Chem 2005;117:1958–1977.1977Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:1924–1942.1942
- 232. Curran DP, Chen M-H, Leszczweski D, Elliott RL, Rakiewicz DM. J Org Chem 1986;51:1612– 1614.
- 233. aRapson WS, Robinson R. J Chem Soc 1935:1285–1288.1288bdu Feu EC, McQuillin FJ, Robinson R. J Chem Soc 1937:53–60.60for reviews, see: cJung ME. Tetrahedron 1976;32:3–31.31dGawley RE. Synthesis 1976:777–794.794
- 234. For selected recent reviews of organocatalysis that cover relevant 1,4-addition processes, see: aList B. Tetrahedron 2002;58:5573–5590.5590bNotz W, Tanaka F, Barbas CF III. Acc Chem Res 2004;32:580–591.591 [PubMed: 15311957]cTsogoeva SB. Eur J Org Chem 2007:1701–1716.1716dAlmasi D, Alonso DA, Nájera C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007 18:299–365.365ePellissier H. Tetrahedron 2007;63:9267–9331.9331fVicario JL, Badía D, Carrillo L. Synthesis 2007:2065–2092.2092gJaroch S, Weinmann H, Zeitler K. ChemMedChem

2007;2:1261–1264.1264 [PubMed: 17607798]hMukherjee S, Yang JW, Hoffmann S, List B. Chem Rev 2007;107:5471–5569.5569 [PubMed: 18072803]

- 235. Ghosh AK, Xi K. Org Lett 2007;9:4013–4016. [PubMed: 17764196]
- 236. a Hatakeyama S, Satoh K, Sakurai K, Takano S. Tetrahedron Lett 1987;28:2713–2716. b Gais H-J, Schmiedl G, Ossenkamp RKL. Liebigs Ann 1997:2419–2431.
- 237. Tiefenbacher K, Mulzer J. Angew Chem 2007 119:8220–8221. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:8074–8075.
- 238. Beames DJ, Klose TR, Mander LN. Aust J Chem 1974;27:1269–1275.
- 239. Anantha Reddy P, Krishna Rao GS. Indian J Chem Sect B 1981;20:100-103.
- 240. a Crabtree RH. Acc Chem Res 1979;12:331–337. b Crabtree RH, Davis MW. J Org Chem 1986;51:2655–2661.
- 241. Nicolaou KC, Montagnon T, Baran PS. Angew Chem 2002;114:1444–1447. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:1386–1389.
- 242. Lalic G, Corey EJ. Org Lett 2007;9:4921-4923. [PubMed: 17929829]
- 243. Hulme AN, Henry SS, Myers AI. J Org Chem 1995;60:1265-1270.
- 244. For reviews of Rh-catalyzed 1,4-additions, see: aHayashi T, Yamasaki K. Chem Rev 2003;103:2829–2844.2844 [PubMed: 12914482]bFagnou K, Lautens M. Chem Rev 2003;103:169–196.196 [PubMed: 12517183]
- 245. For reviews on the use of organotrifluoroborates in synthesis, see: aDarses S, Genet J-P. Eur J Org Chem 2003:4313–4327.4327bMolander GA, Ellis N. Acc Chem Res 2007;40:275–286.286 [PubMed: 17256882]cStefani HA, Cello R, Vieira AS. Tetrahedron 2007;63:3623– 3658.3658dDarses S, Genet J-P. Chem Rev 2008:288–325.325 [PubMed: 18095714]
- 246. Nicolaou KC, Pappo D, Tsang KY, Gibe R, Chen DY-K. Angew Chem 2008;120:958–960. Angew Chem Int Ed 2008;47:944–946.
- 247. Mitsonobu O. Synthesis 1981:1-28.
- 248. Kim CH, Jang KP, Choi SY, Chung YK, Lee E. Angew Chem 2008;120:4009–4011. [PubMed: 18412205]Angew Chem Int Ed 2008;47:4073–4075.
- 249. aPadwa A, Fryxell GE, Zhi L. J Am Chem Soc 1990;112:3100–3109.3109For selected reviews of carbonyl-ylid cycloaddition chemistry, see: bPadwa A, Weingarten MD. Chem Rev 1996;96:223– 269.269 [PubMed: 11848752]cPadwa A. Helv Chim Acta 2005;88:1357–1374.1374
- 250. Glickman SA, Cope AC. J Am Chem Soc 1945;67:1012–1016.
- 251. Padwa A, Austin DJ, Hornbuckle SF. J Org Chem 1996;61:63-71.
- 252. Kanazawa Y, Tsuchiya Y, Kobayashi K, Shiomi T, Itoh J, Kikuchi M, Yamamoto Y, Nishiyama H. Chem Eur J 2006;12:63–71.
- 253. Nicolaou KC, Lister T, Denton RM, Montero A, Edmonds DJ. Angew Chem 2007;119:4796– 4798. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:4712–4714.
- 254. For selected reviews of metallocarbene C H insertion reactions, see: aDoyle MP, Forbes DC. Chem Rev 1996;96:911–935.935 [PubMed: 11848775]bDavies HML, Beckwith REJ. Chem Rev 2003;103:2861–2903.2903 [PubMed: 12914484]cDavies HML, Manning JR. Nature 2008;451:417–424.424 [PubMed: 18216847]
- 255. Nicolaou KC, Tang Y, Wang J, Stepan AF, Li A, Montero A. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:14850– 14851. [PubMed: 17988130]
- 256. Nicolaou KC, Tria GS, Edmonds DJ. Angew Chem 2008;120:1804–1807. Angew Chem Int Ed 2008;47:1780–1783.
- 257. a Toyota M, Wada T, Fukumoto K, Ihara M. J Am Chem Soc 1998;120:4916–4925. b Toyota M, Asano T, Ihara M. Org Lett 2005;7:3929–3932. [PubMed: 16119934]
- 258. For reviews covering radical rearrangements, see: aNonhebel DC. Chem Soc Rev 1993:347– 359.359bDowd P, Zhang W. Chem Rev 1993;93:2091–2115.2115
- 259. a Kozmin SA, Rawal VH. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:7165–7166. b Huang Y, Iwama T, Rawal VH. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:7843–7844. c Janey JM, Iwama T, Kozmin SA, Rawal VH. J Org Chem 2000;65:9059–9068. [PubMed: 11149852] d Kozmin SA, Iwama T, Huang Y, Rawal VH. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:4628–4641. [PubMed: 11971711] e Huang Y, Iwama T, Rawal VH. Org Lett 2002;4:1163–1166. [PubMed: 11922808]

- 260. Staben ST, Kennedy-Smith JJ, Huang D, Corkey BK, LaLonde RL, Toste FD. Angew Chem 2006;118:6137–6140. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:5991–5994.
- 261. Hayashida J, Rawal VH. Angew Chem 2008;120:4445–4448. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;47:4373–4376.
- 262. For similar examples for [Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>]-mediated cyclizations, see: aSolé D, Cancho Y, Llebaria A, Moretó JM, Delgado A. J Am Chem Soc 1994;116:12133–12134.12134bSolé D, Bonjoch J, Bosch J. J Org Chem 1996;61:4194–4195.4195 [PubMed: 11667312]cNicolaou KC, Roecker AJ, Follmann M, Baati R. Angew Chem 2002;114:2211–2214.2214Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:2107–2110.2110
- 263. Young SY, Zheng J-C, Lee D. Angew Chem 2008;120in pressAngew Chem Int Ed 2006;47in press
- 264. Chen Y, Tian S-K, Deng L. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:9542–9543.
- 265. McDougal PG, Rico JG, Oh Y-I, Condon BD. J Org Chem 1986;51:3388-3390.

### Abbreviations

Ac	acetyl
Acc	acetyl-CoA carboxylase
АСР	acyl carrier protein
AIBN	2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile)
Ala	alanine
Alloc	allyloyycarbonyl
Asn	
ATP	asparagine
BABX	adenosine triphosphate
BAIB	bischloroanthrabenzoxocinone
0 RRN	bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene
	9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane
BINAP	2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthalene
Bn	benzyl
Boc	<i>tert</i> -butoxycarbonyl
Bpoc	

## 1-methyl-1-(4-biphenylyl)ethoxycarbonyl

brsm	
	based on recovered starting material
Bt	benzotriazol-1-yl
С	
<u></u>	cysteine
CAN	ammonium cerium(IV) nitrate
cat	Catalytic
CBS	Catalytic
CDS	Corey–Bakshi–Shibata
Cbz	
	benzyloxycarbonyl
CIP	2-chloro-1.3-dimethylimidazolidinium hexafluorophosphate
CoA	
COIL	coenzyme A
cod	
	cyclooctadiene
Ср	cyclopentadienyl
CSA	
	camphorsulfonic acid
Cys	overtaine
DAST	cysteme
DASI	(diethylamino)sulfur trifluoride
dba	
	1,5-diphenyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one
DBU	1,8-diazabicyclo[5,4,0]undec-7-ene
DCC	
	<i>N</i> , <i>N</i> '-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
de	
DEDDT	diastereomeric excess
DFLRI	3-(diethoxyphosphoryloxy)-1,2,3-benzotriazin-4(3H)-one

D	HP	3,4-dihydro-2 <i>H</i> -pyran
D	DIAD	diisopropyl azodicarboxylate
D	OIBAL-H	diisobutylaluminum hydride
D	OIC	N.N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide
D	DIOP	4.5_his/dinhenvlnhosnhino_methyl)_2.2_dimethyl_1.3_diovolane
D	IPT	
D	MAP	diisopropyl tartrate
D	MDO	4-dimethylaminopyridine
П	омр	dimethyldioxirane
		Dess–Martin periodinane [1,1,1-Tris(acetyloxy)-1,1-dihydro-1,2-benziodoxol-3-(1 <i>H</i> )-one]
D	OMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
D	NA	deoxyribonucleic acid
D	PPA	diphenylphosphoryl azide
di	r	diastereometic ratio
E	DC	N (3 Dimethylaminopropyl) $N$ athylasrbodiimida
e	е	
E	E	enantiomeric excess
F	ab	1-ethoxyethyl
F	AS	fatty acid biosynthesis enzymes
F	DA	fatty acid biosynthesis
F	DPP	United States Food and Drug Administration
-		

	pentafluorophenyl diphenylphosphinate
Fm	fluorenylmethyl
Gly	glycine
GTP	guanosine triphosphate
HATU	<i>O</i> -(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> ', <i>N</i> '-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate
His	histidine
HOAt	1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole
HOBt	1-hydroxybenzotriazole
номо	highest occupied molecular orbital
HMDS	hexamethyldisilazine
IBX	o-iodoxybenzoic acid
IC <sub>50</sub>	inhibitory concentration 50 %
Ile	isoleucine
IleRSs	isoleucine-tRNA synthetases
KasA/B	ketoacyl synthase A/B
KHMDS	potassium hexamethyldisilazide
LDA	lithium diisopropylamide
LiHMDS	lithium hexamethyldisilazide
mCPBA	<i>m</i> -chloroperbenzoic acid
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration

MNBA	2-methyl-6-nitrobenzoic anhydride
MOM	methoxymethyl
MPO	4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide
mRNA	messenger RNA
Ms	methanesulfonyl
NCI	National Cancer Institute, U.S.A
NAD	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADH	reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADP	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NADPH	reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NaHMDS	sodium hexamethyldisilazide
NBS	N-bromosuccinimide
NMM	4-methylmorpholine
NMO	4-methylmorpholine-N-oxide
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
PABA	para-aminobenzoic acid
PCC	pyridinium chlorochromate
Phe	phenylalanine
pin	pinacol
Piv	trimethylacetyl

РМВ	<i>para</i> -methoxybenzyl
РРО	purchosphata
PPTS	
pTol	pyridinium <i>para</i> -toluene sulfonate
	para-tolyl
ру	pyridine
Q	glutamine
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SAR	Structure Activity Relationship(s)
SEM	2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl
Ser	serine
SES	2_trimethylsilylethanesulfonyl
TACE	2-unitediyishylelianesunonyi
1A5F	tris(dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate
TBAF	tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride
TBDPS	tert-butyldiphenylsilyl
TBS	tert-butyldimethylsilyl
Теос	2-(trimethylsilyl)-ethoxycarbonyl
TES	triethylsilyl
Tf	trifluoromethanesulfonyl
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
TFAA	trifluoroacetic anhydride

TFP	tri(2-furyl)phosphine
THP	tetrahydropyran-2-yl
TIPS	
TMS	тизоргорувнут
TMSE	trimethylsilyl
TOTI	2-(trimethylsilyl)-ethyl
1010	<i>O</i> -[(Ethoxycarbonyl)cyanomethylenamino]- <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> ', <i>N</i> '-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate
ТРАР	tetra- <i>n</i> -propylammonium perruthenate
TPP	5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine
Tr	trityl
Ts	4-toluenesulfonyl
tRNA	transfer RNA
VISA	vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus
VRE	vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus.

# Biographies

**Professor K.C. Nicolaou**, born in Cyprus and educated in England and the United States, is currently Chairman of the Department of Chemistry at The Scripps Research Institute where he holds the Darlene Shiley Chair in Chemistry and the Aline W. and L. S. Skaggs Professorship in Chemical Biology as well as Professor of Chemistry at the University of California, San Diego. The impact of his career on chemistry, biology and medicine flows from his contributions to chemical synthesis, which have been described in numerous publications and patents. His dedication to chemical education is reflected in his training of hundreds of graduate students and postdoctoral fellows. His books *Classics in Total Synthesis I* and *II*, and his book *Molecules That Changed the World*, which he has co-authored with his students Erik J. Sorensen, Scott A. Snyder, and Tamsyn Montganon, respectively, are used around the world as a teaching tool and source of inspiration for students and practitioners of the art of chemical synthesis.

**Jason S. Chen** was born in Taipei, Taiwan in 1979. He received his A.B. and A.M. degrees in 2001 from Harvard University, where he performed research under the supervision of

Professor Matthew Shair. He then joined Enanta Pharmaceuticals, where he was a medicinal chemist working on novel cyclosporine A analogs. In summer 2003, he joined Professor K. C. Nicolaou's laboratory at The Scripps Research Institute, where he is currently a graduate student. He was a member of a team that recently disclosed the total synthesis and biological evaluation of uncialamycin.

**David J. Edmonds** was born in Glasgow (UK) in 1980. He received his M.Sci. in chemistry with medicinal chemistry from the University of Glasgow in 2001. He was awarded a University Scholarship for postgraduate research, and carried out his Ph.D. work at Glasgow under the supervision of Dr. David J. Procter on the application of samarium(II)-mediated reactions to total synthesis. In early 2005, he joined Professor K. C. Nicolaou's group, supported by a European Merck Postdoctoral Fellowship, where he has continued to pursue his research interests in the total synthesis of natural products.

Anthony A. Estrada, born in Los Angeles, California in 1981, received his B.S. in chemistry from the University of La Verne in 2003 and his M.S. in chemistry from the University of California, San Diego in 2005. He is currently working towards his Ph.D. under the supervision of Professor K. C. Nicolaou where he has devoted his attention to the chemistry and biology of the thiopeptide antibiotics thiostrepton and the nocathiacins, as well as *N*-hydroxyindole and trimethyltin hydroxide synthetic methodologies. He has been the recipient of a NIH/UCSD pre-doctoral fellowship and an ACS Division of Organic Chemistry graduate fellowship sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim.





Nicolaou et al.





Molecular structures of selected antibiotics derived from natural products.



**Figure 3.** Representative members of antibiotic classes discussed in this article.







**Figure 5.** Selected synthetic tetracycline analogs (Myers et al., 2005).[30]



#### Figure 6.

Molecular structures of selected thiopeptide antibiotics.



**Figure 7.** Retrosynthetic analysis of amythiamicin D (**44**) (Moody et al., 2004).[40]

















#### Figure 11.

Retrosynthetic analysis of GE2270A (**45**), GE2270T (**46**), and GE2270C1 (**47**) (Nicolaou, Chen et al., 2007).[74]



Figure 12. Molecular structures of pseudomonic acid A (10) and related natural products.



# **168**: kinamycin C (originally proposed structure)



11: kinamycin C (revised structure)

# Figure 13.

Originally proposed (168) and revised (11) structures of kinamycin C.

Me



**Figure 14.** Molecular structure of ramoplanin A2 (**13**).

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 









**205**: [L-Dap<sup>2</sup>]ramoplanin A2 aglycon





**Figure 17.** Molecular structure of lysobactin (**16**).












236: epigallocatechin gallate

**Figure 19.** Molecular structures of selected natural FAS II inhibitors.

238: triclosan

ار

OH



## 237: isoniazid

#### Figure 20.

Molecular structures of the clinical tuberculosis drug isoniazid (237) and the widely used antibacterial agent triclosan (238).







254



Figure 22.

Thiolactomycin analogs with activity against protozoan parasites (Waller et al., 2003;[173] Gilbert et al., 2004–2005[174]).

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript



## Figure 23.

Thiolactomycin analogs with activity against mamallian fatty acid biosynthesis (Townsend et al., 2005;[176] Ohata and Terashima, 2007[177]).





# 288: bischloroanthrabenzoxocinone

289: Tü3010

## Figure 24.

Molecular structures of fatty acid inhibitors bischloroanthrabenzoxocinone (BABX, **288**) and Tü3010 (**289**).



Page 80



**Figure 25.** Molecular structures of phomallenic acids A–C (**290–292**).



Figure 26. Molecular structures of platensimycin (17) and platencin (18).



## Figure 27.

Picture of *Streptomyces platensis*. (Copyright © 2007 Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, N.J., U.S.A. All Rights Reserved.)

Nicolaou et al.



### Figure 28.

Overlay of platensimycin (**17**), cerulenin (**239**), and thiolactomycin (**240**) bound to the active site of FabF (a). X-ray derived structures of platensimycin (yellow) bound in the malonate subsite of *E. coli* C163Q Fab F. Significant contacts to protein residues (green) are shown by dashed lines, with interatomic distances in Å (b and c). Solvent accessible surface of the C163Q Fab F–platensimycin complex showing platensimycin (yellow) partially exposed to solvent (d). Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature*, 441, 358–361, **2006**.





Molecular structures of platensimide (305)[205] and homoplatensimide (306).[206]







Figure 31.

Retrosynthetic analysis of the platensimycin ketolide (310) (Yamamoto et al., 2007).[228]



**Figure 32.** Retrosynthetic analysis of **310** (Eun Lee et al., 2008).[248]

Page 88



**Figure 33.** Retrosynthetic analysis of platencin (**18**) (Nicolaou et al., 2008).[256]



Figure 34.

Retrosynthetic analysis of platencin (18) (Hayashida and Rawal, 2008).[261]









Highlights of the second generation synthesis of AB ring fragment **34** (Myers et al., 2007). [31]







## Scheme 4.





### Scheme 5.

Biomimetic Diels–Alder approach to pyridine core **49** and completion of the total synthesis of amythiamicin D (**44**) (Moody et al., 2004).[40]



#### Scheme 6.

Construction of the dehydropiperidine core structure (**65**) of thiostrepton (**12**) through a biosynthetically inspired aza-Diels–Alder dimerization (Nicolaou et al., 2004).[53]





Acylation of dehydropiperidine core compound 65 (Nicolaou et al., 2004).[53]

Page 97



Scheme 8. Synthesis of quinaldic acid fragment 67 (Nicolaou et al., 2004).[53]

Nicolaou et al.



#### Scheme 9.

Synthesis of 26-membered tetrapeptide macrocycle 90 (Nicolaou et al., 2004).[53]







## Scheme 11.

Synthesis of the dehydropiperidine core (96) via stereoselective 1,2-addition between chiral sulfinimine 100 and dehydropyrrolidine 99 (Hashimoto et al., 2007).[65]



### Scheme 12.

Fragment assembly and macrolactamization toward siomycin A (**48**) (Hashimoto et al., 2007). [65]





Page 103



Scheme 14. Synthesis of pyridine–bisthiazole intermediate 114 (Nicolaou, Chen et al., 2007).[74]

Page 104



Scheme 15. First-generation synthesis of macrolactam 126 (Nicolaou, Chen et al., 2007).[74]









Second-generation macrocyclization applied to the total synthesis of GE2270C1 (**47**) (Nicolaou, Chen et al., 2007).[74]



**Scheme 18.** Total synthesis of GE2270A (**45**) (Bach et al., 2007).[75]

Page 108






Scheme 20. Highlights of the completion of the total synthesis of pseudomonic acid C (144) (Willis et al., 2000).[91]









Scheme 22. Completion of the total synthesis of thiomarinol derivative **146** (Gao and Hall, 2005).[92]















Scheme 26.

Highlights of the completion of the total synthesis of methyl kinamycin C (**186**) (Ishikawa et al., 2007).[104b]



Scheme 27. Synthesis of vinyl iodide **190** (Nicolaou et al., 2007).[106]





#### Scheme 28.

Highlights of the completion of the total synthesis of kinamycin C (11) (Nicolaou et al., 2007). [106]





**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript



Scheme 30. Total synthesis of abyssomicin C (14) (Sorensen et al., 2005).[135]



# Scheme 31.





## Scheme 32.

Formal total synthesis of abyssomicin C (14) and entry into the abyssomicin D carbon skeleton (Couladouros et al., 2006).[137]





Highlights of the synthesis of the abyssomicin C bicyclic core **224** (Nicolaou and Harrison, 2006).[138]



## Scheme 34.

Highlights of the completion of the total synthesis of abyssomicin C (14) and *atrop*-abyssomicin C (15) (Nicolaou and Harrison, 2006).[138]





Synthesis of abyssomicin D (**206**) and *iso*-abyssomicin D (**232**) (Nicolaou and Harrison, 2007). [138b]





Bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis (FAS II) (a) and the structure of the acyl carrier protein (ACP) 4'-phosphopantotheine linker group (b).[144]

Nicolaou et al.







#### Scheme 38.

A widely used strategy for the synthesis of thiolactomycin analogs (a)[167] and molecular structures of selected thiolactomycin analogs with antitubercular activity (b) (Besra et al., 2002–2007).[166,168]









Total synthesis of thiolactomycin (240) using chiral relay (Townsend et al., 2002).[181]

Nicolaou et al.





Total synthesis of thiolactomycin (**240**) through asymmetric sulfenylation (Ohata and Terashima, 2006).[183]





Chemoenzymatic total synthesis of thiolactomycin (240) (Takabe et al., 2006).[185]



## Scheme 43.

Catalytic asymmetric total synthesis of thiolactomycin (240) and the molecular structures of 834-B1 (286) and thiotetromycin (287) (Dormann and Bruckner, 2007).[187]

Nicolaou et al.



Scheme 44. Asymmetric total synthesis of phomallenic acid C (292) (Wu et al., 2007).[196]



Scheme 45. Highlights of the biosynthesis of platensimycin (17).[204]





Selected chemical transformations of platensimycin (17) (Singh et al., 2007).[200b,207]





Scheme 47.

Total synthesis of (±)-platensimycin (17) (Nicolaou et al., 2006).[209]

Nicolaou et al.



Scheme 48.

Synthesis of platensimycin aniline fragment **383** [a) Nicolaou et al., 2006;[209] b) Heretsch and Giannis, 2007[216]]





Asymmetric synthesis of (-)-**310** via Rh-catalyzed cycloisomerization (Nicolaou et al., 2007). [211]









Retrosynthetic analysis (a) and synthesis (b) of  $(\pm)$ -**310** through a radical cyclization (Snider et al., 2007).[223]





## Scheme 52.

Retrosynthetic analysis (a) and synthesis (b) of  $(\pm)$ -**310** through desymmetrization and radical cyclization (Nicolaou et al., 2007).[225]

Nicolaou et al.









Synthesis of platensimycin core (**310**) (a) and asymmetric Diels–Alder reactions of 1-alkylcyclopentadienes (b) (Yamamoto et al., 2007).[228,230]





Synthesis of platensimycin core structure **383** via intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction (Ghosh and Xi, 2007).[235]


### Scheme 56.

Retrosynthetic analysis (a) and synthesis (b) of  $(\pm)$ -**310** via dearomatizing alkylation (Tiefenbacher and Mulzer, 2007).[237]



#### Scheme 57.

Retrosynthetic analysis (a) and enantioselective synthesis (b) of enone **310** via dearomatizing alkylation (Lalic and Corey, 2007).[242]





Retrosynthetic analysis (a) and synthesis (b) of **310** via ketyl–olefin cyclization (Nicolaou, Chen, et al., 2007).[246]





Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 January 1.





Page 150



Scheme 61.

Highlights of the asymmetric synthesis of (–)-carbaplatensimycin (**430**) (Nicolaou et al., 2007). [255]





#### Scheme 62.

Enantioselective total synthesis of platencin (**18**) through a homoallyl radical rearrangement (Nicolaou et al., 2008).[256]





### Scheme 63.

Total synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -platencin (18) via reductive cyclization and Diels–Alder reactions (Hayashida and Rawal, 2008).[261]



Scheme 64.

Formal total synthesis of platencin (**18**) using a radical addition/rearrangement cascade (Daesung Lee et al., 2008).[263]

# Table 1

## Antibiotic properties of selected tetracycline analogs against Gram-positive bacteria (Myers et al., 2005).[30]

Bacterial strain	tetracycline (5) MIC ( $\mu g m L^{-1}$ )	41 MIC (μg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	$42 MIC \ (\mu g \ m L^{-1})$
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213	1	1	1
Staphylococcus epidermidis ACH-0016	1	0.5	0.5
Staphylococcus haemolyticus ACH-0013	8	2	1
Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 700802	1	0.5	1
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 700699	> 64	2	1

#### Table 2

Antibiotic properties of platensimycin (17) and platencin (18) against selected bacterial strains (Wang et al., 2006–2007).[200a,202a]

Bacterial strain	$17^{[a]}$ MIC (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	$18^{[a]} \mathrm{MIC} (\mu \mathrm{g}\mathrm{mL}^{-1})$	linezolid <sup>[<i>a</i>]</sup> MIC (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )
Staphylococcus aureus	0.5	0.5	4
Staphylococcus aureus plus serum	2	8	4
MRSA	0.5	1	2
MRSA (macrolide <sup><math>R</math></sup> )	0.5	1	2
MRSA (linezolid <sup><math>R</math></sup> )	1	1	32
VISA	0.5	0.5	2
Enterococcus faecalis (macrolide $^{R}$ )	1	2	1
Enterococcus faecium (vancomycin $^R$ )	0.1	< 0.06	2
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1	4	1
Escherichia coli	> 64	> 64	> 64
Candida albicans	> 64	> 64	> 64
HeLa MTT (IC <sub>50</sub> )	> 1000	> 100	> 100

 $[a]_1 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$  is equivalent to 2.27  $\mu M$  for platensimycin (17), 2.35  $\mu M$  for platencin (18), and 2.96  $\mu M$  for linezolid.

 $R_{\rm indicates\ strain\ is\ resistant\ to\ the\ stated\ antibiotic(s).}$